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Abstract-The notion of Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space has been generalized and a few basic properties on this concept are studied. It has been shown that the intersection and union of an arbitrary family of Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces are also Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space. Lastly, the notion of a linear transformation on a hypervector space is introduced and established an important theorem relative to Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of hyperstructure was introduced by F. Marty in 1934. Then he established the definition of hypergroup [4] in 1935. Since then many researchers have studied and developed (for example see [5], [6]) the concept of different types of hyperstructures in different views. In 1990 M. S. Tallini [10] introduced the notion of hypervector spaces. Then in 2005 R. Ameri [1] also studied this spaces extensively. In our previous papers ([7], [8]), we also introduced the notion of a hypervector spaces in more general form than the previous concept of hypervector space and thereafter established a few useful theorems in this space.

The concept of intuitionistic Fuzzy set, as a generalization of a fuzzy set was first introduced by Atanassov [3]. Then many researchers ([2], [9], [12]) applied this notion to norm, Continuity and Uniform Convergence etc. At the present time many researchers (for example [11]) are trying to apply this concept on the hyperstructure theory.

In this paper, the concept of Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space is introduced and a few basic properties are developed. Further it has been shown that the intersection and union of an arbitrary family of Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces are also Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space. Lastly we have introduced the notion of a linear transformation on a hypervector space and established an important theorem relative to Intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space.

II. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains some basic definitions and preliminary results which will be needed.

Definition 2.1 [6] A hyperoperation over a non-empty set $X$ is a mapping from $X \times X$ into the set of all non-empty subsets of $X$.

Definition 2.2 [6] A non-empty set $X$ with exactly one hyperoperation `$#$' is called a hypergroupoid.

Let $(X, #)$ be a hypergroupoid. For every point $x \in X$ and every non-empty subset $A$ of $X$, we defined $x \# A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \{x \# a\}$.

Definition 2.3 [6] A hypergroupoid $(X, #)$ is called a hypergroup if

(i) $x \# (y \# z) = (x \# y) \# z$.

(ii) There exists $0 \in X$ such that for every $a \in X$, there is unique element $b \in X$ for which $0 \in a \# b$ and $0 \in b \# a$. Here $b$ is denoted by $-a$.

(iii) For all $a, b, c \in X$ if $a \in b \# c$ then $b \in a \# (-c)$.

Proposition 2.4 [6] (i) In a hypergroup $(X, #)$, $-(-a) = a$, $\forall a \in X$.
(ii) \( 0 \# a = \{a\}, \forall a \in X \), if \((X, \#)\) is a commutative hypergroup.

(iii) In a commutative hypergroup \((X, \#)\), 0 is unique.

**Definition 2.5** [7] A hyperring is a non-empty set equipped with a hyperaddition ‘\#’ and a multiplication ‘.’ such that \((X, \#)\) is a commutative hypergroup and \((X, \cdot)\) is a semigroup and the multiplication is distributive across the hyperaddition both from the left and from the right and \(a.0 = 0 = a, \forall a \in X\), where 0 is the zero element of the hyperring.

**Definition 2.6** [7] A hyperfield is a non-empty set \(X\) equipped with a hyperaddition ‘\#’ and a multiplication ‘.’ such that

(i) \((X, \#, \cdot)\) is a hyperring.
(ii) There exists an element \(1 \in X\), called the identity element such that \(a.1 = a, \forall a \in X\).
(iii) For each non-zero element \(a \in X\), there exists an element \(a^{-1} \in X\) such that \(a.a^{-1} = 1\).
(iv) \(a, b = b, a, \forall a, b \in X\).

**Definition 2.7** [8] Let \((F, \oplus, \cdot)\) be a hyperfield and \((V, \#)\) be an additive commutative hypergroup. Then \(V\) is said to be a hypervector space over the hyperfield \(F\) if there exists a hyperoperation \(\ast : F \times V \to P^{\#}(V)\) such that

(i) \(a \ast (a \# \beta) \subseteq a \ast a \# a \ast \beta, \forall a \in F\) and \(\forall \alpha, \beta \in V\).
(ii) \((a \oplus b) \ast \alpha \subseteq a \ast \alpha \# b \ast \alpha, \forall a, b \in F\) and \(\forall \alpha \in V\).
(iii) \((a, b) \ast \alpha = a \ast (b \ast \alpha), \forall a, b \in F\) and \(\forall \alpha \in V\).
(iv) \((-a) \ast \alpha = a \ast (-\alpha), \forall a \in F\) and \(\forall \alpha \in V\).
(v) \(\alpha \in 1_{F} \ast \alpha, \forall \alpha \in V\) and \(0 \ast \theta = \theta, \forall \alpha \in V\).

where \(1_{F}\) is the identity element of \(F\), 0 is the zero element of \(F\) and \(\theta\) is zero vector of \(V\) and \(P^{\#}(V)\) is the set of all non-empty subset of \(V\).

**Definition 2.8** [1] Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping and \(v \in FS(Y)\), the set of all fuzzy subset of \(Y\). Then we define \(f^{-1}(v) \in FS(X)\) as follows:

\[ f^{-1}(v)(x) = v(f(x)), \forall x \in X, \] Where \(FS(X)\) and \(FS(Y)\) denote the fuzzy subsets of \(X\) and \(Y\) respectively.

**Definition 2.9** [12] Let \(E\) be a any set. An Intuitionistic fuzzy set(IFSA) of \(E\) is an object of the form \(A = \{ (x, \mu_{A}(x), \nu_{A}(x)) : x \in E \}\), where the functions \(\mu_{A} : E \to [0,1]\) and \(\nu_{A} : E \to [0,1]\) denotes the degree of membership and the non-membership of the element \(x \in E\) respectively and for every \(x \in E\), \(0 \leq \mu_{A}(x) + \nu_{A}(x) \leq 1\).

### III. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY HYPERVECTOR SPACE

In this section, we establish the definition of intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector Spaces and deduce some important theorems. Throughout this paper, we write \(\wedge\) and \(\vee\) instead of minimum and maximum respectively.

**Definition 3.1** Let \((F, \oplus, \cdot)\) be a hyperfield. An intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield on \(F\) is an object of the form
\[ A = \{ (a, \mu_{F}(a), \nu_{F}(a)) : a \in F \}\] satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \(\wedge_{a \in b} \mu_{F}(x) \geq \mu_{F}(a) \wedge \mu_{F}(b), \forall a, b \in F\).
(ii) \(\mu_{F}(\neg a) \geq \mu_{F}(a), \forall a \in F\).
(iii) \(\mu_{F}(a, b) \geq \mu_{F}(a) \wedge \mu_{F}(b), \forall a, b \in F\).
(iv) \(\mu_{F}(a^{-1}) \geq \mu_{F}(a), \forall a(\neq 0) \in F\).
(v) \(\vee_{a \in b} \nu_{F}(x) \leq \nu_{F}(a) \vee \nu_{F}(b), \forall a, b \in F\).
(vi) \(\nu_{F}(\neg a) \leq \nu_{F}(a), \forall a \in F\).
(vii) \(\nu_{F}(a, b) \leq \nu_{F}(a) \vee \nu_{F}(b), \forall a, b \in F\).
(viii) \(\nu_{F}(a^{-1}) \leq \nu_{F}(a), \forall a(\neq 0) \in F\).
**Result 3.2** If $A$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield of $F$, then
(i) $\mu_F(0) \geq \mu_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a \in F$.
(ii) $\mu_F(1) \geq \mu_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$.
(iii) $\mu_F(0) \geq \mu_F(1)$.
(iv) $\mu_F(-a) = \mu_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a \in F$.
(v) $v_F(0) \leq v_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a \in F$.
(vi) $v_F(1) \leq v_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$.
(vii) $v_F(0) \leq v_F(1)$.
(viii) $v_F(a^{-1}) = v_F(a)$, $\forall$ $a(\neq 0) \in F$.

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Definition 3.3** Let $(V, \#)$ be a hypervector space over a hyperfield $(F, \oplus, \cdot)$ and $A$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield in $F$. An intuitionistic fuzzy subset $B = \{ (x, \mu_B(x), v_B(x)) : x \in V \}$ of $V$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space of $V$ over an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield $F$, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\wedge_{a \in A} \mu_B(a) \geq \mu_B(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$, $\forall$ $x, y \in V$.
(ii) $\mu_B(-x) \geq \mu_B(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in \mathbb{V}$.
(iii) $\wedge_{y \in A} \mu_B(y) \geq \mu_B(x) \wedge \mu_B(y)$, $\forall$ $a \in F$ and $\forall x \in V$.
(iv) $\mu_B(1) \geq \mu_B(\theta)$, where $\theta$ be the null vector of $V$.
(v) $v_B(x \# y) \leq v_B(x) \vee v_B(y)$, $\forall$ $x, y \in V$.
(vi) $v_B(-x) \leq v_B(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in \mathbb{V}$.
(vii) $v_B(1) \leq v_B(\theta)$, where $\theta$ be the null vector of $V$.

Here we say that $B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield $A$.

**Result 3.4** If $B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield $A$, then
(i) $\mu_F(0) \geq \mu_F(\theta)$.
(ii) $\mu_F(\theta) \geq \mu_F(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in V$.
(iii) $\mu_F(0) \geq \mu_F(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in V$.
(iv) $v_F(0) \leq v_F(\theta)$.
(v) $v_F(\theta) \leq v_F(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in V$.
(vi) $v_F(0) \leq v_F(x)$, $\forall$ $x \in V$.

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Theorem 3.5** Let $V$ be a hypervector space over a hyperfield $F$ and $A$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield. Let $B \in IFS(V)$. Then $B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over $A$ iff
(i) $\wedge_{a \in A} \mu_B \geq (\mu_F(a) \wedge \mu_F(x)) \wedge (\mu_F(b) \wedge \mu_F(y))$, $\forall$ $x, y \in V$ and $\forall$ $a, b \in F$.
(ii) $\mu_F(1) \geq \mu_F(\theta) \geq \mu_F(x)$, where $\theta$ be the null vector of $V$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}$.
(iii) $v_F(x \# \theta) \leq (v_F(x) \vee v_F(y)) \vee (v_F(b) \vee v_F(y))$, $\forall$ $x, y \in V$ and $\forall$ $a, b \in F$.
(iv) $v_F(1) \leq v_F(\theta) \leq v_F(x)$, where $\theta$ be the null vector of $V$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}$.

**Proof:** First we suppose that $B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over the intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield $A$. Then for $a, b \in F$ and $x, y \in V$, we have
$$\wedge_{x \in A} \mu_B(x) = \wedge_{x \in A} \mu_F \mu_B(x)$$
Conversely suppose that the inequalities of the theorem hold for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( \forall a, b \in F \). Then

\[ \Lambda_{x \in 1 \times 1 \times y} \mu_V(z) \]
\[ \geq (\mu_F(1) \land \mu_V(x)) \land (\mu_F(1) \land \mu_V(y)) \]
\[ \geq (\mu_V(\theta) \land \mu_V(x)) \land (\mu_V(\theta) \land \mu_V(y)) \]
\[ = \mu_V(x) \land \mu_V(y) \]

i.e. \( \Lambda_{x \in 1 \times y} \mu_V(z) \geq \mu_V(x) \land \mu_V(y) \), as \( x \in 1 \times x \) and \( y \in 1 \times y \).
\[ V_{x \in A \setminus \lambda \# \setminus y} v_F(z) \leq v_F(x) \lor v_F(y), \quad \text{as } x \in 1 \ast x \text{ and } y \in 1 \ast y. \]

\[ v_F(-x) \leq V_{x \in -1 \ast x} v_F(z), \quad \text{as } -x \in -1 \ast x \]

\[ \leq V_{x \in -1 \ast x} \# \setminus 0 \ast x v_F(z) \]

\[ \leq (v_F(-1) \lor v_F(x)) \lor (v_F(0) \lor v_F(x)) \]

\[ = (v_F(1) \lor v_F(x)) \lor v_F(x), \quad \text{as } v_F(0) \leq v_F(1) \leq v_F(x) \]

\[ \leq (v_F(\theta) \lor v_F(x)) \lor v_F(x) \]

\[ = v_F(x) \lor v_F(x) \]

\[ = v_F(x) \]

i.e. \( v_F(-x) \leq v_F(x). \)

\[ v_{y \in A \setminus x} v_F(y) \]

\[ \leq v_{y \in A \setminus x \setminus 0 \ast x} v_F(y) \]

\[ \leq (v_F(a) \lor v_F(x)) \lor (v_F(0) \lor v_F(x)) \]

\[ = (v_F(x) \lor v_F(a)) \lor v_F(x), \quad \text{as } v_F(0) \leq v_F(1) \leq v_F(x) \]

\[ = v_F(x) \lor v_F(a). \]

The eighth inequality of definition 3.3 is obvious.

Therefore \( B \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over \( A \). This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.6** Let \( B^a_{(a \in A)} = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in V \} \) be a family of intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces of a hypervector space \( V \) over the same intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield \( A = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in F \} \). Then the intersection of those intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces is defined as

\[ (\cap_{a \in A} B^a)(x) = \{ (x, \Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F(x), \Lambda_{a \in A} v_F(x)) : x \in V \} \]

and the union of those intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces is defined as

\[ (\cup_{a \in A} B^a)(x) = \{ (x, \vee_{a \in A} \mu_F(x), \vee_{a \in A} v_F(x)) : x \in V \}. \]

**Theorem 3.7** The intersection of any family of intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces of a hypervector space \( V \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space.

**Proof:** Let \( \{ B^a : a \in A \} \) be a family of intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces of \( V \) over the same intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield \( A = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in F \} \). Let \( B = (\cap_{a \in A} B^a)(x) = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in V \} \) where \( \mu_F(x) = \Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(x) \) and \( v_F(x) = \Lambda_{a \in A} v_F^a(x) \).

Let \( x, y \in V \) and \( a, b \in F \).

\[ \Lambda_{x \in A \setminus y} \setminus y \mu_F(x) \]

\[ = \Lambda_{x \in A \setminus y} \setminus y \Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(x) \]

\[ = \Lambda_{a \in A} \Lambda_{x \in A \setminus y} \setminus y \mu_F^a(x) \]

\[ \geq \Lambda_{a \in A} (\mu_F(a) \land \mu_F^a(x)) \land (\mu_F(b) \land \mu_F^a(y)) \]

\[ = \{(\mu_F(a) \land (\Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(x))) \land (\mu_F(b) \land (\Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(y))) \}

\[ = (\mu_F(a) \land \mu_F(y) \land v_F(x) \land (\mu_F(b) \land \mu_F(y)) \}

Therefore \( \Lambda_{x \in A \setminus y} \setminus y \mu_F(x) \geq (\mu_F(a) \land \mu_F(x) \land (\mu_F(b) \land \mu_F(y)) \}

Again \( \mu_F(1) \geq \mu_F^a(\theta) \geq \mu_F^a(x), \forall x \in V \) and \( \forall a \in A \).

Therefore \( \mu_F(1) \geq \Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(\theta) \geq \Lambda_{a \in A} \mu_F^a(x) \).

i.e. \( \mu_F(1) \geq \mu_F(\theta) \geq \mu_F(x) \).
Proof: Let \( \{ B^a : a \in \Lambda \} \) be a family of intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces of \( V \) over the same intuitionistic fuzzy hyperfield \( A = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in F \} \).

Let \( B = (\bigcup_{a \in \Lambda} B^a)(x) = \{ (x, \mu_F(x), v_F(x)) : x \in V \} \)

where \( \mu_F(x) = v_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(x) \) and \( v_F(x) = v_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(x) \).

Let \( x, y \in V \) and \( a, b \in F \).

\[
\Lambda_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu_F(x) = \Lambda_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu^a_F(z) \\
\geq \Lambda_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu^a_F(z) \\
\geq \Lambda_{a \in \Lambda} \{ (\mu_F(a) \wedge \mu^a_F(x)) \wedge (\mu_F(b) \wedge \mu^a_F(y)) \} \\
= \{ (\mu_F(a) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(x))) \wedge (\mu_F(b) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(y))) \} \\
= (\mu_F(a) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(x))) \wedge (\mu_F(b) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(y))).
\]

Therefore \( \Lambda_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu_F(z) \geq \mu_F(a) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(x))) \wedge (\mu_F(b) \wedge (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \mu^a_F(y))) \).

Again \( \mu_F(1) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta), \forall x \in V \) and \( \forall a \in \Lambda \).

Therefore \( \mu_F(1) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta) \).

i.e \( \mu_F(1) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta) \geq \mu^a_F(\theta) \).

Next, \( \bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} v_F(z) = \bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu^a_F(z) \)

= \bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} \mu^a_F(z) \\
\leq \bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \{ (v_F(a) \vee v^a_F(x)) \vee (v_F(b) \vee v^a_F(y)) \} \\
= \{ (v_F(a) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(x))) \vee (v_F(b) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(y))) \} \\
= (v_F(a) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(x))) \vee (v_F(b) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(y))).
\]

Therefore \( \bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{b \in F} v_F(z) \leq (v_F(a) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(x))) \vee (v_F(b) \vee (\bigvee_{a \in \Lambda} v^a_F(y))).
\]

Again \( v_F(1) \leq v^a_F(\theta) \leq v^a_F(\theta), \forall x \in V \) and \( \forall a \in \Lambda \).
Therefore \( \nu_F(1) \leq V_{a \in A} \nu^a_F(\theta) \leq V_{a \in A} \nu^a_F(x) \).

i.e \( \nu_F(1) \leq \nu_F(\theta) \leq \nu_F(x) \).

Therefore \( B \) is also an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over \( A \).

This completes the proof.

IV. LINEAR TRANSFORMATION

In this section we established the definition of a Linear transformation on hypervector Spaces and deduce a important theorem relative to intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector spaces.

**Definition 4.1** Let \((V, \# , \ast)\) and \((W, \#', \ast')\) be two hypervector space over the same hyperfield \((F, \oplus, .)\).

A mapping \( T : V \rightarrow W \) is called Linear transformation iff

(i) \( T(x \# y) \subseteq T(x) \# T(y) \).

(ii) \( T(a \ast x) \subseteq a \ast' T(x), \quad \forall x, y \in V \) and \( a \in F \).

(iii) \( T(\theta) = \theta' \).

**Theorem 4.2** Let \((V, \# , \ast)\) and \((W, \#', \ast')\) be two hypervector space over the same hyperfield \((F, \oplus, .)\) and \( T : V \rightarrow W \) be a linear transformation. Let \( B = \{ (y, \mu_W(y), \nu_W(y)) : y \in W \} \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space over \( A = \{ (a, \mu_F(a), \nu_F(a)) : a \in F \} \). Then \( T^{-1}(B) = \{ (x, T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x), T^{-1}(\nu_W)(x)) : x \in V \} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space of \( V \) over \( A \). Where \( T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x) = \mu_W(T(x)) \) and \( T^{-1}(\nu_W)(x) = \nu_W(T(x)) \).

**Proof:** Let \( a, b \in F \) and \( \alpha, \beta \in V \).

Then \( \wedge_{x \in a \# b \# \beta} \mu_W(T(x)) = \wedge_{x \in T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x)} \mu_W(T(x)) \geq (\mu_F(a) \land \mu_W(T(\alpha))) \land (\mu_F(b) \land \mu_W(T(\beta))) = T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\alpha) \land T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\beta) \).

Therefore the first condition of theorem 3.5 is satisfied.

Again \( T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\theta) = \mu_W(T(\theta)) = \mu_W(\theta) \leq \mu_F(1) \), where \( \theta' \) be a null vector of \( W \)

i.e. \( \mu_F(1) \geq T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\theta) \).

\( T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x) = \mu_W(T(x)) \leq \mu_W(\theta') \), as \( B \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space.

Therefore \( T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x) \leq \mu_W(\theta') = \mu_W(T(\theta)) = T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\theta) \).

So \( \mu_F(1) \geq T^{-1}(\mu_W)(\theta) \geq T^{-1}(\mu_W)(x) \).

Therefore the second condition of theorem 3.5 is satisfied.

\( \vee_{x \in a \# b \# \beta} T^{-1}(\nu_W)(x) \).

= \vee_{x \in T^{-1}(\nu_W)(x)} \nu_W(T(x)) \leq (\nu_F(a) \lor \nu_W(T(\alpha)) \lor (\nu_F(b) \lor \nu_W(T(\beta))))
Therefore the third condition of theorem 3.5 is satisfied.

Again \( T^{-1}(v_W)(\theta) = v_W(T(\theta)) = v_W(\theta') \geq v_F(1) \), where \( \theta' \) be a null vector of \( W \) i.e \( v_F(1) \leq T^{-1}(v_W)(\theta) \).

Therefore \( T^{-1}(v_W)(x) = v_W(T(x)) \geq v_W(\theta') \), as \( B \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space.

So \( v_F(1) \leq T^{-1}(v_W)(\theta) \leq T^{-1}(v_W)(x) \).

Therefore the fourth condition of theorem 3.5 is satisfied.

Hence \( T^{-1}(B) \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy hypervector space of a hypervector space \( V \) over \( A \).

V. REFERENCES