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1. Introduction

Biological data sources are characterized by a very high degree of heterogeneity in terms of the type of data model used, the schema design within a given data model, as well as incompatible formats and nomenclature of values. The biological databases generate huge volumes of genomics and proteomics data after the draft of human genome sequences in 2001. The researchers use the existing sequence information to find similar patterns of genes, proteins and derive other sequence information. Each data source has custom text formats, and these formats change occasionally. Furthermore, an entire data source may be retired or completely restructured using a new schema. Some data sources are inconsistent at the semantic level, and frequently, there is inadequate use of controlled vocabularies and common data elements to specify the metadata.

The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) is one major resource that maintains public biomedical annotation databases, which are represented in different useful formats that includes XML format. The XML format of databases is very useful, because it is one of the powerful languages for representing the biological data in semi structured form and also the extraction of biological entities from XML format of databases are very easy at any extent. The content similarity measure needs distances that estimate similarity in terms of the textual content inside elements, while the structure dimension needs distances that estimate similarity in terms of the structural relationships of the elements.

The Genomic sequence data are stored in public databases like NCBI, Uniport in various formats. The genomic sequence data consist of large number of attributes for describing the sequences. Finding the important attributes for comparing the genomic sequence data based on annotation, becomes the challenging task. Feature selection methods can be used to analyze and study the best features used for representing sequence information for association and clustering of documents. The complexity of clustering the documents based on the description without considering the sequence data depends on the features selected for clustering. We have analyzed and ranked the features using Filter Based Approach by using CHIR and x² statistics.

The Gene Ontology (GO) is one of the most important ontologies in the bioinformatics community and is developed by GO consortium. It is specifically intended in annotating gene products with consistent, controlled and structured vocabulary. The semantic similarity between the documents is determined based on its contents. Many approaches has been used to cluster biological documents based on contents. We have proposed an idea using Gene Ontology terms as a filter to group documents to get meaningful clusters and
compared the same by considering other attributes as keywords leaving GO terms using. In this paper the grouping of biological documents in XML is done based on structural similarity followed by semantic similarity.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the literature review of the study for clustering XML documents and Filter based Feature Selection methods. Section 3 explains the proposed methodology in detail. Section 4 discussed the experimental results of the proposed work followed by conclusion in Section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

The background study related to the work is discussed in detail in the following section. The various approaches to find the similarity between the documents are syntactic similarity and semantic similarity. The related work based on structural and semantic similarity to cluster the documents is as follows. The structural similarity between XML documents is found using graph edit distance measure by Nieman and Jagadish. Edit distance is operations performed on a graph to transform form one form to other[12]. Raffaele has proposed an XML based approach for automatic musicological analysis[14]. Joachim and Paul have presented the use of XQuery with illustrations for retrieving musical features in music XML[8].

Tagarelli A and Grew has addressed the problem of clustering XML data based on structure and contents[15]. Ma & Chbili have studied the method for using same schema for finding similarity of XML data based on structure and content[10]. Theoore and Cheng have proposed a method for clustering XML documents based on structure using tree representation[16]. Docuet A has proposed an approach for clustering homogenous XML documents based on Kmeans algorithm[6]. Panagiotis and Christos has proposed a clustering algorithm for Heterogeneous and homogenous XML using Edge summaries[130]. Nayak R has discussed clustering of heterogeneous XML documents[11]. Bertino has given an matching algorithm for measuring structural similarities between XML documents and DTD applications[3]. Yu-Chih and Jia has proposed an approach for extraction and clustering structural features for Music XML[19]. Wang[18] proposed a hierarchical algorithm for structural similarity which reduces the join cost for querying XML documents which is stored in relational tables.

The contents in the biological databases are represented as XML tags. Inferring information from the XML tags which have biological semantic meaning is very complex. The bioinformatics community used various ontologies to infer meaningful biological similarities across documents. The various work proposed by researchers using GO ontology for clustering are as follows: Meeta Mistry and Paul Pavlidhas has proposed various content similarity measure using GO and also represented GO as flat matrix representation[9]. Catia Pesquita[5] has evaluated GO based semantic similarity measure using the relationship with sequence similarity as a means to measure based on the presence and absence of these annotations. Brendan and Sheehan [2] has proposed and idea to measure the semantic similarity based on set based and vector based approaches using GO based on conceptual level and structure level. Julie Chabaler ad Jean Mosser[7] has used vector space model for computing semantic similarity between genes using a traversal approach. Andreas Schlicker[1], Francisco has presented a new method for comparing set of GO terms and assessing the functional similarity of gene products. Gene products are said to be functionally similar if they have comparable molecular functions and are involved in a similar biological process.

Feature selection methods have been successfully applied to text categorization but seldom applied to text clustering due to the unavailability of class label information. Bassam Al-Salemi used Feature Selection techniques such as Mutual Information (MI), Chi-Square Statistic (CHI), Information Gain (IG), GSS Coefficient (GSS) and Odds Ratio (OR) to reduce the dimensionality of feature space by eliminating the features that are considered irrelevant for the category[4].

III. METHODOLOGY

The proposed methodology shows in Figure. 1 consists of two phases for clustering genomic sequence documents using the sequence descriptions. The first phase is the structural similarity phase where the original documents are analyzed based on structure. The filtered structurally similar documents are passed for measuring the content similarity. The second phase the features of the sequence documents are analyzed based on supervised statistical techniques and semantic grouping of documents are done. Two approaches are proposed to group similar documents based on the features. The first approach All Keyword based approach the clusters are analyzed using all the keywords. The second approach GO Terms based clusters analyze the similarity among documents using the GO as keywords. The proposed clustered approaches are validated.
documents from the databases for the organisms and stored in db2 for further extraction and querying. The work is implemented using two softwares. The xml preprocessing and extraction is carried out using DB2 an IBM Product using XQuery language and we have linked with.NET Framework using COM.

ii. Feature Set Identification

Filter Based approaches supervised methods like X² statistics, CHIR statistics are used for analyzing the features of the xml document for the proposed work. The X² Statistics is used to measure the independence between the keyword and the category[4]. This can be done by comparing observed frequency in the 2-way contingency table with the expected frequency when they are assumed to be independent. CHIR is a supervised learning algorithm based on X²statistics, which determines the dependency between a keyword and a category and also the type of dependency [17]. Type of dependency indicates whether the feature is a positive or negative dependency for the category. The Features are Ranked based on X² max, X² avg and χ² statistics. The highly ranked Features are used for analyzing the term relevance. The Feature sets are identified based on ranking.

The documents are initially clustered for analyzing the features using hierarchical clustering algorithm for assigning class labels. The proposed work we have considered 150 documents with 358 extracted keywords. On clustering the 150 documents 30 clusters are generated. From the generated cluster it is found that single document is found in many clusters and maximum documents are found in 9 clusters. So we have taken the cluster which contains highest number of documents to analyze the feature attributes and find the term relevance using filter based approach. Among 358 keywords retrieved we have identified three feature set based on the ranking with 156, 77 and 58 keywords respectively.. The feature set identified are considered for grouping the documents based on its contents.

iii. Semantic Similarity

The content similarity is the main task involved in document clustering, in which the important terms from the documents that differentiate the documents are identified. The term matrix (vector space model) is constructed for the documents which are structurally similar. Consider there are n number of documents in a data set D, that are denoted by d₁, d₂, d₃, ….., dₙ and the distinct terms from the above document are denoted by t₁, t₂, t₃, ….., tₘ. Then the term matrix of size n×m, where n is the number of documents in dataset and m is the number of distinct terms appeared in the data set D, is constructed.

Two different clustering approaches namely All keywords based approach and GO Terms based approach are proposed for clustering similar documents based on the sequence annotations. All keyword based
approach the feature set extracted from the documents are represented as keywords and the term matrix is generated. The documents are clustered using the existing similarity measures like Euclidean, jaccard and cosine. In GO Term based cluster approach the GO terms alone from the feature set are extracted and the mapping is done to find the corresponding genes for the GO terms and vice versa using GO ontology. The term matrix is constructed for the genes and GO terms and the documents are clustered.

c) All Keywords Based Approach - KBA
The feature set with 156 keywords and 77 keywords is used as dataset and the feature matrix is constructed. Some biological keywords like Alternate name, Go terms, Gene name, Sp_block keywords and Ecnumber are ranked high in the feature set identification, which has a positive dependency for the clusters generated. The selected feature set attributes were analyzed with respect to the document by varying the number of attributes and clusters were generated. In order to get high degree of cohesion in documents in each cluster we used kernel approach [10], in which the documents in each cluster have high degree of similarity. Kernel is the count of the individual unique keywords from the term matrix greater than a particular threshold. The kernels were created for values starting from 30 and varying it up to 55. The clusters were generated by varying the kernel to find the similarity among attributes. The clusters generated for the kernel values are shown in Figure 3.

d) Go Terms Based Approach - Gota
The proposed idea of our work choosing GO terms as keywords for clustering documents is based on the idea that Documents are said to be semantically based on the gene products. Gene products are said to be functionally similar if they have comparable molecular functions and are involved in similar biological process. GO annotation capture the available information of genes and used as a basis for defining a measure of functional similarity between genes which is used in our second approach to group documents based on semantic similarity. Each gene is related with more than one GO terms.

A Vector Space Model (VSM) is used to compute similarity between pair of gene products. VSM are essentially used in information retrieval for computing the similarity between documents described as vectors of Keywords[2007]. We have used the same model for our second approach to find associative relations between the terms in the GO. To compute the similarity between documents the gene products are described as vectors of GO terms. A gene product is represented by a specific vector g as follows: $g = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ where $t_i$ is the numeric value that the term takes on for the gene product and $n$ is the number of terms associated with the gene products. A value $t_i = 0$ means when there exists no association between GO terms and genes. The existing similarity measures are used to cluster the documents.

All the go terms and gene names are extracted from the feature set and a mapping is done with existing go terms and genes using the bioinformatics famous Gene Ontology recently downloaded. The term matrix is constructed representing genes as rows and GO terms as columns for the clustering phase. In the proposed approach we have included only the GO terms as features for clustering leaving other attributes from the documents. We have compared the two approaches and results are discussed in section 4.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dataset with 150 documents is given as input for the first phase of clustering to extract documents that are structurally similar which is heterogeneous containing information for two organism E.Coli and human. The structural path is used to analyze the structural similarity. Structurally similar 107 documents were retrieved based on the approach, which is given as input for the content similarity phase.

The various feature sets identified using Filter based on the ranking of $r^2$ statistics is shown in Figure 2. We have considered the feature set with count of keywords with high, low and average 156, 77, and 56 respectively for the proposed study. The identified feature set is passed for finding the semantic similarity using All keyword based and GO Term based approach.
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**Figure 2: Identified Feature Set**

a) Keyword Based Approach - KBA
The clustering result of all KBA for kernel values 30, 45, and 55 for 30 clusters is shown in Figure 3.
The grouping of documents is based on the 156 keywords which are functionally related with each other. The snapshot of the document grouped in some clusters for a set of keywords for the above kernels is shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

It is found that same documents are found in clusters for the kernel values 30 and 50. It is also found the grouping of documents for clusters \{10, 15, 19, 19\} are different and contains only one document. In order to assess the semantic meaning of the clusters formed biologically we have analyzed the terms related to the clustered documents. We have inferred from our results that the terms that grouped the documents are biologically associated with each other. The terms responsible for one functionality had other related associated terms. The document with keyword cytoplasm had its associated terms like nucleus, cytoskeleton which are called as cellular components which is associated with a gene name, and Go number. The documents with term oxidation reduction had related terms like fatty acid metabolism, biosynthetic receptor which is responsible for biological activity. The terms like Aldedhyde dehydrogenase is associated with keywords like lipid binding, protein binding etc. The above inference of our results motivated us to go for the second approach proposed to cluster documents based on the Go terms and genes which is used by many researchers for gene clustering. The results of our second approach are briefed below.

\[ \text{Table 1: Documents clustered for Kernels} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster No.</th>
<th>Kernel 50</th>
<th>Kernel 45</th>
<th>Kernel 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,24,34,34</td>
<td>1,24,25,34,35,36,39,44,47</td>
<td>1,24,25,20,57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,4,7,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,38</td>
<td>3,4,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15</td>
<td>3,4,7,8,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,10,17,79,84,90,91,98</td>
<td>5,5,5,10,12,17,79,84,90,91,98,98,99,100,102,103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,5,5,10,12,17,79,84,90,91,98,98,99,100,102,103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5,5,5,10,12,17,79,84,90,91,98,98,99,100,102,103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{Table 2: Key Terms} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernal 50</th>
<th>Kernal 45</th>
<th>Kernal 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP-binding</td>
<td>Complete proteome</td>
<td>Oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1.1-</td>
<td>GO:00355114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:00055524</td>
<td>Nucleotide-binding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:0005737</td>
<td>Allostere enzyme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO:00355114</td>
<td>Transferase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal-binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide-binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6.5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) \text{Go Term Based Approach - Gota} 

Clustering documents based on functionality of the genes using GO terms is proposed in the second approach with the same dataset. The gene names and the corresponding go terms are extracted for the documents which are structurally similar. A total of 71 gene names and 238 go terms were extracted from the dataset and stored in structure for further processing. On implementation of the clustering algorithm the documents were grouped into 30 clusters. The number of documents grouped in each cluster is given in Figure 4.
Cluster 1 grouped maximum of 86 documents with 178 GO Terms and 55 related genes. Some of the documents were overlapped in other clusters to.

c) Validating Proposed Approaches

The two approaches Keyword Based and GO Terms based cluster results are shown in Figure 5. The go term 55114 grouped 54 documents which is responsible for the biological activity the oxidation reduction and GO Term 5737 is responsible for cellular activity in cytoplasm. The term 5488 is responsible for Molecular function for binding. The clusters with Go Terms are highly semantically relevant based on functionality than keyword based approach. Some of the documents were found to be overlapped because the functionality of one process inhibits the other. The goterms and its associated genes are functionally related to a process which can be found in the Go Taxanomy. From the results we state that the GO annotations is remarkably useful for grouping documents based on the functionality rather than considering other features. The experimental results it is found that the GO terms 55114 grouped larger documents in the second approach which is responsible for oxidation reduction. The same keyword grouped documents for kernel 45 in all KBA. The documents also found distributed in the remaining clusters of the first approach , based on the specific keywords , However the biological inference of both approaches are similar , based on the literature.

V. Conclusion

This paper presents an approach to cluster xml genomic documents using both syntactic and semantic approaches. The structural similarity of documents is done based on the path similarity as in xml documents all information is maintained in tags. The dataset used in the work contains heterogeneous documents with different structural tags for different taxonomies. The structurally similar documents filtered are analysed for Features set Identification using Filter Based approach. The attributes were statistically analyzed and identified three best feature sets. The feature set is used for grouping documents using two proposed approaches Keyword Based Approach and Go Term based approach. The two approaches are compared for their biological relevance. The experimental results it was found that GO Term based clusters documents based on functionality and the terms are related with keywords. Finally, we conclude that using the GO annotations as feature set is efficient to cluster documents which also reduce the dimension of the datasets.
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