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I. Introduction

In the modern era, consumer awareness has increased, which has led them to make a decision to buy a familiar and profitable brand. Hence, in order to compete effectively, businesses have to do more to ensure that consumers buy more of their products and brands. Because brands have the unique attributes of contributing to firms’ assets, brands are often considered as vital ingredients that add considerable value to the organizations. As a result, many organizations are searching for the vast scope to sustain present brands rather than expanding new brands. Moreover, the brand manager's tactical tool is brand equity, which assists in exploiting economic indications as well as marketing efficiency (Yoo and Donthu, 2001). It is commonly thought that the brand enhances the long-term profitability of a company. However, brand equity’s concept has grown rapidly over the past few decades. Proper management of brand equity leads “consumer loyalty, low risk of marketing activity and crisis, flexible response to price fluctuations, more business support and cooperation, effectiveness of marketing communications, licensing opportunities, additional opportunities for brand extension, more attraction for investors, and support from investors (Aaker, 1991; Keller, 2003; Van Auken, 2005), greater profit margins (Kim and Kim, 2005), ability to attract good employees (DelVecchio, et al. 2007), protection of potential competitors entrance during outsourcing” (Tan and Lim, 2009). Different brand equity models have found nowadays, whereas we had chosen a model which is developed by David Aaker (1991). Brand equity is an eminent model for brand research over the period. Previous researches were focused on different contexts of the brand model where they used Aaker’s (1991) model, for instance, hostelling and airlines, but no one had done any research on “the impact of brand equity on consumer’s Smartphone purchase decision in Chittagong”. In an attempt, we try to find out the relationship between the purchases decisions of consumers and dimensions. The researchers of the brand have developed numerous concepts of brands and the way how brands effect on customers present and future’s purchasing behavior. The Smartphone industry in Bangladesh is one of the best rising sectors with high export potential. This study examines the relationship between the brand value of a Smartphone manufacturer and the purchase decisions for potential customers.

II. Literature Review

The term brand has been using for many years; the brand value is a core concept for raising institutions that have emerged in the last twenty years (Leone et al, 2006). Buying decision is a kind of decision in which we examined that why a consumer purchases a particular brand. Sproles and Kendall (1986) mentioned that “a purchaser's decision-making style is a mental orientation characterizing a consumer’s approach to making choices”. Furthermore, cognitive and perceptual models assume that the knowledge of brand affects customer response to the brand. According to Schiffman and Kanuk (2000), “customer behavior is how consumers take a decision for household or personal goods by using their resources such as money, effort and time”. Several models are developed to depict the customer...
purchasing attitudes. The process of the Customer
decision model has 7 stages in which customers need
to go through those 7 stages before entering their
ultimate decisions. In addition, all stages are following;
“need recognition, search for information, pre-purchase,
evaluation, purchase, consumption, post-consumption
evaluation and divestment” (Blackwell et al., 2006).
Stage one is “need recognition”. The purchasing
process begins when consumers recognize their
unsatisfactory needs (Levy and Weitz, 1995). However,
the classification of needs is two, the first one is
“functional needs” and the second one is
“psychological needs”. On the one hand, functional
needs which are involved in the product’s performance.
On the other hand, the needs of psychological are
acquired when consumers feel satisfied with owning a
product or goods. The second stage is the “search of
information”. The depth of search is varied for different
consumers and it depends on some variables, for
instance, income, personality, and consumer satisfaction (Moorthy et al., 1997). Also, the search for
information can be classified into two ways, (1) “pre
purchase search” (2) “ongoing search”, mentioned by
Solomon et al. (2006). However, Pre-purchase research
begins when buyers identify the need and then they are
looking for more market information. And, ongoing
research is likely to be based on the self-interest of a
specific brand. The third stage is “pre-purchase
assessment”, whereas the customer makes a
comparison with different services and brands for
making a purchase decision. Moreover, customers give
attention to the attributes of products that are relevant to
their needs and it is also included in this stage (Kotler et
al., 2005). To judge a brand, customers are using the
following attributes, quality, price, and quantity.
Blackwell et al., (2006) mentioned that the changes in
attributes can affect the customer’s decision and the
product or brand of the choices. However, Porter (2004)
mentioned that Smartphone industries can increase
their competitive advantages by creating some values.
The fourth stage is “Purchase decision” which comes
after considering various retail offerings. In the fifth
stage, consumers are started purchasing the products
or goods, whereas consumers evaluate the purchasing
process in the sixth stage. In the seventh stage, customers recycle or dispose of the products.

a) “Brand Equity”
Brand equity is the commercial value that
comes from customer perception of the brand name of
a particular product or service. Aaker (1991) mentioned
that “brand equity as an aggregate variable of the five
dimensions of the brand assets, for instance, brand
loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand
association, and other proprietary assets”. However,
brand equity’s positive side is that it happens when
customers are intending to give more for the same level
of quality only because of the attractiveness of the brand
name attached to the product mentioned by Bello and
Holbrook (1995). Nevertheless, if brand equity is not
managed properly then it will be destroyed. For
instance, poor services and poor product quality may
affect the image of the brand.

b) “Brand Awareness”
Brand awareness is a marketing term that
depicts the degree of customer recognition of a
product by its name. Aaker (1996) mentioned that
brand awareness is a consumer’s ability to identify a
brand by its product or service category. A small
number of customers make their purchase decisions
by the brand’s goodwill in the market (Keller, 1993).
Keller (2003) mentioned that brand awareness plays
an important role in customer decision making by
bringing some advantages; these are the following,
consideration advantages, learning advantages and
choice advantages. Therefore, we may anticipate that
H1: “Brand awareness might have a positive impact
on customer’s purchase decision”.

c) “Brand Association”
Brand associations are brand attributes that
come to mind when consumers talk about a brand.
“Brand associations contribute to brand equity by
making a non-attribute-based component of brand
equity and an attribute-based component of brand
equity and provide evidence by supporting their
conceptualization” (Park and Srinivasan, 1994).
However, a brand association is “anything linked in
mentioned that the benefits of brand associations are
the following: differentiating the brand, creating positive
feelings, generating a reason to purchase and providing
a basis for extensions. From the above literature we can
hypothesize that:
H2: “Brand association might have a positive impact
on customer’s purchase decision”.

d) “Perceived Quality”
Perceived quality of a brand could help to
generate values by charging a premium price, providing
a pivotal reason-to-buy, differentiating the position of a
brand, motivating channel members to perform well and
also introducing extensions into new brand groups”,
mentioned by Aaker (1991). Furthermore, price is one of
the significant clues to examine the perceived quality,
(Aaker, 1991). Thus it is hypothesized from the above
literature that:
H3: “Perceived quality might have a positive impact on
customer’s purchase decision”.

e) “Brand loyalty”
Brand loyalty is “a deeply held commitment to
re-buy or re-patronize a preferred product or service
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consistently in the future, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause switching behavior” (Oliver 1997). It shows the motivation to be loyal towards the brand, and it is reflected when consumers choose the brand as their first choice mentioned by Yoo & Donthu (2001). Customers continue to buy the brand because of the loyalty of a brand, regardless of the convenience and prices which are owned by its competitors (Aaker, 1991). Thus it is hypothesized from the above literature that:

H4: “Brand loyalty might have a positive impact on customer’s purchase decision”.

III. Conceptual Framework

With an objective to find the nexus between Brand Equity and Consumer’s Purchase intention a theoretical framework has been formed where consumers’ purchase decisions is associated with brand awareness, brand associations, perceive quality and brand loyalty.

IV. Research Objectives

This study is designed with the following objectives:

- This study has been designed with an objective to evaluate the impact of Brand Equity dimensions of David Aaker Brand Equity model on customer’s buying decision of smart phones in Chittagong.
- Also, this study designed to understand different dimension of Brand Equity model developed by David Aaker

V. Methodology

The objective of this research is to measure the impact of brand equity on customers buying decisions. In this study, the impact of brand equity dimensions on customers buying decisions is measured. It is quantitative research. A questionnaire is adopted to conduct a survey, whereas the respondents were 300 who were living in Chittagong. A convenience sampling method has been used to select the samples. Tools and techniques used in analyzing the collected data and information were mostly statistical in nature. Statistical Techniques such as reliability analysis and regression analysis were done with the help of SPSS-22 software.

Table 1: Test of Reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>N of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.870</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Shows Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Brand Awareness</th>
<th>Brand Association</th>
<th>Brand Loyalty</th>
<th>Perceived Quality</th>
<th>Purchase Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baw Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.717*</td>
<td>.781*</td>
<td>.848*</td>
<td>.905*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAS Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.717*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.627*</td>
<td>.817*</td>
<td>.857*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLO Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.781*</td>
<td>.627*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.807*</td>
<td>.839*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQU Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.884*</td>
<td>.817*</td>
<td>.807*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.926*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the correlation among the variables (both dependent and independent variables). The results show positive and very significant correlations among the variables. The strongest correlation is found in between ‘Product Quality’ and ‘Purchase Decision’ which is .926. The value .905 also indicates stronger correlation between ‘Brand Awareness’ and ‘Purchase Decision’. The correlation matrix table shows that all the variables are strongly correlated with each other.

Table 3: Shows Case Processing Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.970a</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td>.09192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>39.322</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.831</td>
<td>1163.507</td>
<td>.000b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Residual</td>
<td>2.492</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41.815</td>
<td>299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVAa

The ANOVA table shows the R square value is .940 which is highly impressive. It means 94% of variance in ‘Purchase Decision’ is explained by the predictors (independent variables). And adjusted R square is also .940.

Table 5: Shows Coefficientsa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>1.968</td>
<td>.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAW</td>
<td>.361</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>10.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAS</td>
<td>.348</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>13.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLO</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>9.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PQU</td>
<td>.179</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>4.514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

This study sets out to measure the brand equity impact on customer’s purchase decisions on smartphone. The results of this investigation show that the customer’s purchase decision is significantly influenced by brand equity dimensions. In a developing country like Bangladesh, consumers usually prefer the products that are inexpensive and mostly non-branded. However, the findings of this study suggest that the consumers in Chittagong consider brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty very
important while making their purchase decisions and that is why good brands have emerged locally to serve the smart phone markets with their high brand equity.
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