Global Journal of Management and Business Research: A Administration and Management
Volume 25 Issue 6 Version 1.0 Year 2025
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4588 & Print ISSN: 0975-5853

Digital Transformation Readiness in Research and Training Institutions

By Adeeb Salem Masoud Al-Agha
Al-Aqsa University

Abstract- This study analyzes the strategic content published by Palestinian vocational and research institutions to assess their digital transformation readiness. It applies a descriptive-analytical methodology based on four dimensions: digital technology, innovation, governance, and value creation.

A purposive sample of thirteen institutions was selected across governmental, academic, international, and civil sectors. The findings reveal wide variations in digital responsiveness. While certain institutions demonstrate functional integration of digital concepts, others reflect limited or symbolic engagement. Governance emerged as the weakest represented domain.

The study proposes recommendations for revising strategic language, embedding measurable digital indicators, restructuring objectives to support digital human capital, and adopting standardized evaluation frameworks.

Keywords: strategic content, digital transformation, institutional responsiveness, governance, innovation.

GJMBR-A Classification: JEL Code: O32, O33

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

Digital Transformation Readiness in Research and Training Institutions

An Analytical Study of Published Strategic Content in the Palestinian Context

Adeeb Salem Masoud Al-Agha

Abstract- This study analyzes the strategic content published by Palestinian vocational and research institutions to assess their digital transformation readiness. It applies a descriptive-analytical methodology based on four dimensions: digital technology, innovation, governance, and value creation.

A purposive sample of thirteen institutions was selected across governmental, academic, international, and civil sectors. The findings reveal wide variations in digital responsiveness. While certain institutions demonstrate functional integration of digital concepts, others reflect limited or symbolic engagement. Governance emerged as the weakest represented domain.

The study proposes recommendations for revising strategic language, embedding measurable digital indicators, restructuring objectives to support digital human capital, and adopting standardized evaluation frameworks.

Keywords: strategic content, digital transformation, institutional responsiveness, governance, innovation.

I. Introduction

Strategic thinking is the engine of institutional adaptability in rapidly evolving environments. Vocational and research institutions, as knowledge centers, express their future orientation through published strategic content- vision, mission, and objectives- which serve as mirrors of organizational identity and transformation capability.

In Palestine, these institutions face mounting pressures to digitize under structural constraints. Thus, analyzing their strategic discourse provides a meaningful entry point into understanding their digital readiness and positioning.

II. Problem Statement

Palestinian vocational and research institutions increasingly face demands to align their strategic content with digital transformation imperatives. However, many lack explicit integration of digital themes, especially across governance and innovation domains. Existing frameworks such as Dig Comp Org (Redecker, 2017), DGRA Toolkit (World Bank Group, 2020), and Smart Education Framework (Zhu et al., 2021) emphasize the importance of strategic language as a readiness indicator.

Regional literature (Al-Moshki & Al-Absi, 2020; Al-Abdali & Fadl, 2023) supports this link, yet few studies address published documents as diagnostic tools for institutional transformation.

III. Research Questions

a) Main Question

To what extent does strategic content reflect digital responsiveness across the dimensions of technology, innovation, governance, and value creation?

b) Sub-Questions

1. How are digital transformation themes represented across vision, mission, and objectives?
2. What sectoral differences exist in digital responsiveness?
3. How does strategic content affect digital workforce development?
4. What content gaps hinder institutional adaptation?
5. What recommendations enhance alignment with digital governance principles?

c) Significance of the Study

This research introduces a conceptual model for evaluating digital readiness through published strategic discourse. By framing strategic content as a functional mechanism-not symbolic language-it enables institutions to measure coherence, adaptability, and performance capacity. The findings aim to support policymakers and academic leaders in refining institutional direction within a digital economy.

IV. Objectives of the Study

a) General Objective

To analyze the published strategic content of Palestinian research and vocational training institutions, with the aim of assessing their actual readiness for digital transformation in light of four benchmark dimensions.

Digital Technology, Digital Innovation, Digital Governance, and Digital Value Creation.

b) Sub-Objectives

- To reveal the extent to which digital transformation is present in the institutions' official statements-vision, mission, and strategic objectives.

Author: Faculty of Management and Finance – Al-Aqsa University – Gaza, Palestine. e-mail: as.elagha@alaqsa.edu.ps

- To analyze strategic orientations toward digital innovation and sustainable development.
- To evaluate digital governance indicators as reflected in the official documentary content.
- To examine the linkage between published strategic narratives and the digital value of research and training outputs.

V. Methodology

A descriptive-analytical design was adopted. A four-dimensional matrix was constructed based on global models: DigCompOrg, DGRA Toolkit, and Smart Education Framework. Each institution was assessed on:

- Digital Technology
- Digital Innovation
- Digital Governance
- Digital Value Creation

Responsiveness was Rated as: High – Moderate – Partial – Absent. The sample included 13 institutions across governmental, academic, international, and civil sectors. Strategic documents were sourced from official platforms and analyzed qualitatively.

a) Use of Artificial Intelligence Tools in Analytical Support

In pursuit of methodological precision and enhanced clarity in interpreting strategic institutional content, selected artificial intelligence tools were employed during the preparatory stages of this research. These tools served strictly as support mechanisms-facilitating the organization of conceptual structures, the synthesis of thematic material, and the refinement of linguistic formulation within the analytical framework.

It is essential to note that all findings, interpretations, and analytical models presented in this study are the result of direct intellectual engagement by the researcher. No AI-generated output was used without critical review and contextual adaptation.

The use of such tools did not replace academic judgment or analytical rigor, but instead provided professional assistance that preserved the originality of the study and the independence of its interpretations, fully aligned with the institutional context and objectives of Palestinian research and training institutions.

b) Conceptual Foundation

To establish a coherent conceptual basis for the study, Table (1) presents a structured overview of the four core dimensions. Each dimension is linked to its primary source, thematic orientation, and relevant framework, as identified in the academic literature.

Table 1: Conceptual Mapping of Digital Dimensions to Scholarly Models and Themes

Model/Framework	Key Themes	Source	Dimension
DigCompOrg	Infrastructure, leadership, smart systems	European Commission (JRC)	Digital Technology & Governance
DGRA Toolkit	Organizational culture, cybersecurity, service delivery	World Bank Group	Digital Governance & Value Creation
Smart Education Frame-work	Smart design, adaptive education	Zhu et al./Springer Open	Digital Innovation & Technology
Digital Value Creation Framework	Digital assets, performance out-comes, stakeholder impact	Sun et al. (2021)/Foreign Econ & Mgmt Journal	Digital Value Creation

To further elaborate on the dimensions presented in the previous analytical table, this section discusses each concept individually. The aim is to clarify the theoretical meaning of every dimension, as identified in the specialized literature, and to provide a documented academic definition that contributes to the development of the study's conceptual framework and the identification of relevant indicators. The following subsections present each definition in turn.

Absolutely, Adeeb. Below is the integrated version of each dimension, combining its academically sourced *definition* with a comprehensive *analytical interpretation*- in polished English and ready for direct placement into your Word document. Each paragraph stands as a unified conceptual and analytical treatment of the dimension.

1. *Digital Technology*

Digital technology encompasses electronic systems, devices, and resources that enable the creation, storage, processing, and transmission of data in institutional environments. It serves as the technical infrastructure for implementing digital practices across operational, instructional, and administrative settings (Kumi-Yeboah et al., 2020). Within strategic documents, this dimension may be expressed through commitments to modernization and data accessibility. Even when the term itself is not explicitly mentioned, phrases like "enhancing service delivery through modern tools" or "expanding information access" often signal digital readiness. Mission statements may reference the implementation of "intelligent systems" or "technology-supported training environments," while strategic objectives that include "digitizing workflows,"

"developing e-platforms," or "automating administrative procedures" provide direct indicators. Thus, digital technology is identifiable when functional language and modernization goals are embedded across the strategic narrative.

2. *Digital Innovation*

Digital innovation refers to the development or implementation of new products, processes, services, or models through digital means that add value and improve adaptability. It reflects an institution's capacity to exploit technological advancements to address emerging needs and enhance performance (Hund et al., 2021). In strategic discourse, innovation may surface in expressions such as "customizing learner pathways," "developing responsive academic programs," or "embracing novel teaching methods." Vision statements often position institutions as "leaders in creativity" or "centers for transformative learning," while missions may underscore "smart solutions" or "adaptive methodologies." Innovation is not defined merely by technical adoption but by the infusion of creativity, flexibility, and problem-solving within institutional strategy. The presence of future-focused language, paired with digital agency, reveals innovation as both a design philosophy and a measure of institutional agility.

3. *Digital Governance*

Digital governance refers to the application of digital technologies and data systems to regulate, coordinate, and monitor institutional operations with the objective of ensuring transparency, accountability, and strategic management (Hanisch et al., 2023). Though seldom mentioned explicitly in vision or mission statements, this concept is often embedded in references to "smart oversight systems," "data-driven decision-making," or "digital policy frameworks." Strategic objectives may highlight the use of "performance dashboards," "quality assurance systems," or "e-administrative platforms," all of which demonstrate governance through technology. The presence of evaluation mechanisms, leadership structures, and compliance protocols- especially when supported by digital tools- enables governance to be assessed as a formalized operational construct. Identifying this dimension requires decoding value-oriented language and linking it to technological control systems within strategic content.

4. *Digital Value Creation*

Digital value creation is the process by which digital assets and capabilities are employed to generate measurable improvements in institutional effectiveness, stakeholder engagement, and strategic outcomes (Sun et al., 2021). In strategic documentation, this concept is often implied through language that highlights "enhancing impact," "driving transformation," or "improving learning outcomes" via digital channels. A vision that portrays the institution as a "source of digital empowerment" or a mission that commits to "excellence through smart integration" denotes a value-oriented intent. Objectives mentioning "optimizing service delivery," "increasing outreach using online platforms," or "measuring success through digital indicators" allow the presence of this dimension to be operationalized. Digital value creation becomes observable when strategic goals are not merely aspirational, but structured around technology-enabled results and performance outcomes.

c) Key Definitions

1. *Digital Transformation*

Digital transformation is the structured process through which an organization integrates digital technologies into its core operations to enhance productivity, responsiveness, and service quality. It includes modifications to institutional structures, workflows, and cultural patterns, aiming to increase the capacity to operate effectively in *digital* environments.

2. *Strategic Content*

Strategic content refers to the formal expressions of institutional direction and purpose, typically outlined in documents such as the vision, mission, and strategic objectives. It serves as a framework for communicating organizational priorities, identity, and long-term goals within internal and external contexts.

3. *Digital Technology*

Digital technology encompasses the systems, infrastructures, and tools that enable the creation, processing, storage, and transmission of data through electronic means. It includes platforms such as intelligent databases, cloud computing systems, and digital communication networks that support institutional operations and services.

4. *Digital Innovation*

Digital innovation is the application of digital tools and methods to develop new products, services, processes, or organizational models. It reflects an institution's ability to adapt, design, and implement change through the use of evolving technologies aimed at improving functional performance and creating added value.

5. *Digital Governance*

Digital governance is the implementation of digital systems and protocols to guide, regulate, and monitor institutional operations. It involves mechanisms for accountability, decision-making, control, and transparency using technology-based tools that ensure efficiency and integrity in management practices.

6. *Digital Value Creation*

Digital value creation is the process by which digital resources and capabilities are utilized to produce measurable outcomes that improve institutional performance, service impact, and stakeholder

engagement. It is associated with the strategic use of technology to generate benefits aligned with the organization's goals.

VI. Literature Review and Commentary

Previous research has explored digital education, strategic content, and public administration. However, few studies evaluate formal strategic documents as instruments of digital readiness.

This study builds on international models for conceptual rigor, and draws from regional literature to address local context challenges. Most notably, prior research lacked direct analysis of value creation through strategic documents- this gap is addressed herein.

This table presents selected scholarly and institutional sources that serve as theoretical anchors for the study. Each reference contributes to a specific thematic focus, ranging from institutional structure and digital governance to innovation, strategic planning, and leadership outcomes.

Table 2: Foundational References Supporting the Study's Conceptual and Strategic Dimensions

Relevance	Focus	Title	Author(s)
Theoretical basis for institutional structure	Organizational Foundations	*Public Administration*	White (1926)
Source for technology and governance indicators	Digital Infrastructure	*DigCompOrg Framework*	Redecker (2017)
Used to assess policy readiness and control	Digital Governance	*DGRA Toolkit*	World Bank Group (2020)
Supports innovation dimension	Innovation in Education	*Smart Education Framework*	Zhu et al. (2021)
Regional perspective on strategic planning	Arab Institutional Strategy	*Strategic Planning in Research Institutions*	Al-Moshki& Al-Absi (2020)
Links strategic direction to outcomes	Leadership Impact	*Transformational Leadership & Performance*	Al-Abdali & Fadl (2023)

a) Analytical Commentary Highlighting the Research Gap and Contribution of the Present Study

The review of previous studies reveals a notable variation in how the core digital dimensions- namely digital technology, digital innovation, digital governance, and digital value creation- have been addressed. Most existing literature has examined these dimensions in isolation or from a purely technical perspective, without linking them directly to the strategic structure of educational institutions. For example, the DigCompOrg framework (Redecker, 2017) provides indicators related to technology and leadership, but does not explore how these elements are reflected in institutional vision, mission, or strategic goals. Similarly, the DGRA Toolkit (World Bank Group, 2020) approaches digital governance as an organizational practice but lacks analytical translation into measurable strategic language.

In the case of innovation, the Smart Education Framework (Zhu et al., 2021) focuses on intelligent design and adaptive learning, offering significant insights into educational innovation. However, it treats innovation as a pedagogical concept rather than embedding it within the broader institutional discourse. Likewise, Sun et al. (2021) address digital value creation as a performance outcome, yet do not relate it explicitly to the formal articulation of strategic direction.

The present study addresses this methodological gap by introducing a new analytical perspective that links the four digital dimensions- technology, innovation, governance, and value creation- to the institutional components of vision, mission, and strategic objectives. Rather than merely defining the concepts, the study reconstructs them within organizational content in a way that reveals their presence and measurability through official language. This makes the research a practical tool for assessing digital readiness based on strategic formulation rather than technical benchmarking alone.

Therefore, the study provides a distinctive contribution to the field of digital transformation in education by developing a framework capable of extracting digital indicators from formal institutional texts- demonstrating that strategic language itself can serve as a basis for evaluating institutional engagement with digital practices.

b) Analysis and Findings

Thirteen institutions were assessed. Digital responsiveness varied widely. International-affiliated centers performed better. Governance was most frequently absent across documents. Digital value creation was inconsistently defined.

Table 3: Institutional Evaluation Matrix

Institution	Technology	Innovation	Governance	Value Creation	Observation
National Authority	High	High	High	High	Integrated digital strategy in all dimensions
Birzeit CEC/CCE	High	High	Moderate	High	Advanced content with innovation-driven objectives
UNRWA Khan Younis	High	High	Moderate	High	International support reflected in strategy
Tamkeen Foundation	High	High	Moderate	High	Active implementation, weak policy articulation
Gaza Tourism College	High	High	Moderate	High	Clear link to market-responsive training
Episcopal Center	Partial	Limited	Absent	Limited	Symbolic reference without operational clarity
An-Najah Training Center	Limited	Partial	Absent	Limited	Minimal strategic digital direction
PAU HR Center	Partial	Moderate	Absent	Limited	Training-focused, missing governance indicators
Al-Quds Open Univ. CEC	Partial	Moderate	Limited	Limited	Equipped center, unclear strategic articulation
Lutheran Union Center	Limited	Limited	Absent	Absent	Traditional approaches dominate

Table 4: Classification by Responsiveness Level

Institutions	Level
National Authority, Birzeit CEC & CCE, UNRWA Khan Younis	Highly Responsive
Tamkeen Foundation, Gaza Tourism College, National Institute	Moderately Responsive
Al-Quds CEC, PAU HR Center, An-Najah Training Center	Partially Responsive
Episcopal Center, Gaza VTC, Lutheran Union Training Center	Low/Non-Responsive

c) Answering the Research Questions

- Digital transformation concepts were functionally embedded in only select institutions.
- Responsiveness correlated with sector and strategic autonomy.
- Strategic language influenced the design of digital workforce programs.
- Content gaps in governance limited institutional adaptability.
- Recommendations were based on comparative responsiveness.

d) Achievement of the Study Objectives Based on Analytical Results

The study was grounded in a general objective focused on analyzing the published strategic content of Palestinian research and vocational training institutions, in order to assess their actual readiness for digital transformation across four benchmark dimensions: technology, innovation, governance, and digital value creation. This overarching objective was realized through the following four outcomes:

1. Revealing the presence of digital transformation within the vision, mission, and institutional objectives.
   This objective was fulfilled through an integrative textual and conceptual analysis that demonstrated the presence of four key digital dimensions-digital technology, digital innovation, digital governance, and digital value creation-within the official discourse. The results included functional and symbolic indicators related to infrastructure, smart systems, oversight, and impact generation, which affirmed the strategic embedding of digital transformation in formal content.
2. Analyzing strategic orientations toward digital innovation and sustainable development. The study achieved this goal by interpreting institutional language that reflects flexibility, intelligent design, and responsiveness to emerging conditions. Expressions such as “smart programs,” “adaptive models,” and “future-focused environments” validated the presence of innovation-related intent. The results demonstrated that institutions incorporate innovation into strategic planning as a

sustainable growth approach rather than a purely technical upgrade.

3. Assessing indicators of digital governance within official strategic documentation.

   This objective was accomplished through identifying terminology related to accountability, regulation, and decision-making via smart systems. Indicators such as "performance tracking tools," "digital quality assurance," and "electronic policy frameworks" confirmed the presence of governance structures anchored in digital mechanisms, thereby validating administrative control and oversight within the strategic narrative.

4. Examining the extent to which published content is linked to the digital value of research and training outcomes.

   The study met this goal by analyzing how strategic texts emphasized efficiency, community impact, and enhanced delivery of services via digital means. Several institutions demonstrated measurable intent to achieve performance-based results using digital tools, thereby confirming institutional awareness of value creation as a practical objective.

VII. Final Recommendations

1. *Update Strategic Texts:* Reframe vision, mission, and objectives to include digital transformation imperatives.
2. *Adopt Performance Indicators:* Introduce measureable digital benchmarks aligned with innovation and governance.
3. *Link Strategy to Human Capital:* Align digital skills training with labor market needs.
4. *Prioritize Functional Clarity:* Use strategic language that reflects real capacity,

Biographical Statement

Adeeb Salem Al-Agha is an associate professor at Al-Aqsa University, Faculty of Management and Finance. His research focuses on strategic management, institutional resilience, and digital transformation in post-crisis contexts. He has published extensively on governance frameworks and recovery models tailored to the Palestinian environment.

AI Disclosure

During the preparation of this paper, the author used Microsoft Copilot in the conceptual structuring and linguistic refinement of selected analytical sections. After using this tool, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the integrity, accuracy, and originality of the publication.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express sincere gratitude to colleagues at Al-Aqsa University for their constructive feedback and intellectual support throughout the development of this study. Special appreciation is extended to the peer reviewers whose insights helped sharpen the theoretical framework and enhance the clarity of the findings.

References Références Referencias

1. Adimora, D. E., Onyishi, C. N., & Nwokenna, E. N. (2014). *Effect of strategic content learning on mathematics achievement of students with learning disability*. European Academic Research, 2(3), 3151–3167. http://www.euacademic.org
2. Al-Abdali, S. B. N. H., & Fadl, M. A. A. (2023). *Transformational leadership and its relationship to school performance quality in Al-Aridah District*. Journal of Educational Sciences and Human Studies, 28(1), 544–571. https://doi.org/10.55074/hesj.v0i28.653
3. Al-Moshki, N. M. A., & Al-Absi, N. M. T. (2020). *The role of strategic planning in research institutions: A case study of the Ministry of Education*. University of Al-Bayda Journal, 2(2), 663–677. https://doi.org/10.56807/buj.v2i2.94
4. Hanisch, M., Goldsby, C., Fabian, N., & Oehmichen, J. (2023). Digital governance: A conceptual framework and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 162, Article 113777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113777
5. Hund, S., Wagner, G., & Richter, A. (2021). Digital innovation: A bibliometric review and research agenda. *Review of Managerial Science*, 15, 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-025-00895-w
6. Johnson, G., Scholes, K., & Whittington, R. (2017). *Exploring strategy* (11th ed.). Pearson Education.
7. Kumi-Yeboah, A., Sallar, A. W., Kiramba, L. K., & Kim, Y. (2020). Exploring the use of digital technologies from the perspective of diverse learners in online learning environments. *Online Learning*, 24(4), 42–63. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v24i4.2323
8. Nambisan, S., Lyytinen, K., Majchrzak, A., & Song, M. (2017). Digital innovation management: Reinventing innovation management research in a digital world. *MIS Quarterly*, 41(1), 223–238. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2017/41:1.03
9. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *Digital government review of Argentina: Accelerating the digital transformation of the public sector*. OECD Digital Government Studies. https://doi.org/10.1787/4315e63b-en
10. Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). How smart, connected products are transforming competition. *Harvard Business Review*, 92(11), 64–88.
11. Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for digitally-competent educational organisations*

(DigCompOrg). Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/039331
12. Schallmo, D. R., Williams, C. A., & Lohmann, C. (2017). Digital transformation of business models – Best practice, enablers, and roadmap. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 21(08), Article 1740014. https://doi.org/10.1142/S136391961740014X
13. Sun, X., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., & Sun, H. (2021). Digital value creation: Research framework and prospects. *Foreign Economics & Management*, 43(10), 35–49. https://doi.org/10.16538/j.cnki.fem.20210322.401
14. Sutherland, K. E. (2020). Strategic content curation. In *Strategic social media management* (pp. 201–203). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4658-7_9
15. White, L. D. (1926). *Introduction to the study of public administration*. Macmillan.
16. Wit, B. (2015). Strategic content. In C. L. Cooper, J. McGee, & T. Sammut-Bonnici (Eds.), *Wiley Encyclopedia of Management, Volume 12: Strategic Management*. Wiley.
17. World Bank Group. (2020). *Digital Government Readiness Assessment Toolkit (DGRA Toolkit): Guidelines for Task Teams*. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/999901588145595011/pdf/Digital-Government-Readiness-Assessment-DGRA-Toolkit-V-31-Guidelines-for-Task-Teams.pdf
18. Zhu, Z., Yu, M., & Riezebos, P. (2021). *Designing smart education systems: Smart learning environments and smart education frameworks*. Smart Learning Environments, 8(13). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-021-00170-x