



The Psychology of Emotional Safety Signals: How Hotel Guests May Experience Comfort, Trust, and Reassurance

Online First

Dipak Paul[§]



Howard Moskowitz^{‡¶*}

*Corresponding Author



Sharon Wingert[¶]

David Stevens[¶]

Daniel Moskowitz[§]

Brown Fitterman[¥]

[§] Mind Genomics Associates Inc., White Plains, United States

[‡] Mind Genomics Associates Inc., White Plains, New York, USA & Tactical Data Group, Stafford, Virginia, USA

[¶] Tactical Data Group, Los Angeles, United States

^{||} Advanced Learning Strategies, Silver Lake, United States

+1 additional affiliations listed on the metadata continuation page.

RECEIVED

2026-06-12

ACCEPTED

2026-06-22

ONLINE PUBLISHED

2026-06-29

PEER REVIEW

Double Blind

Abstract

Emotional safety signals are the subtle cues through which hotel guests may develop feelings of comfort, trust, reassurance, and ease within an unfamiliar environment. These signals can include staff greetings, tone of communication, environmental predictability, interpersonal interactions, physical surroundings, and the clarity of procedures. Drawing on concepts from emotional safety, environmental psychology, hospitality behavior, and the Mind Genomics perspective, this conceptual paper explores how guests may interpret emotional safety signals in different ways. Rather than presenting the results of a formal Mind Genomics experiment, the paper uses four AI-generated illustrative mindsets to examine alternative patterns of interpretation centered on warmth, predictability, personal recognition, and autonomy. These mindsets are not intended to represent fixed categories of guests, but rather to provide conceptual lenses through which emotional safety may be viewed. Building on these perspectives, the paper discusses a series of illustrative opportunities related to staff training, environmental design, personalization, autonomy-supportive systems, emotional safety audits, and nighttime guest experiences. The paper aims to encourage discussion about the diverse ways emotional safety may be experienced within hotel environments and how these differences may inform future hospitality practice, research, and service design.

Emotional Safety Signals

Hotel Guests

Mind Genomics

Environmental Psychology

Guest Experience

Psychological Safety

AI-Generated Mindsets

AI USE STATEMENT

No generative AI was used for analysis or results.

FUNDING

No external funding was declared for this work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Not applicable for this article.

ETHICS

No ethics committee approval was required for this article type.

CONSENT

Not applicable for this article.

TRIAL REG.

Not applicable.

Crossref DOI: 10.34257/GJMBRF258578

How to Cite: Paul et al. (2026). The Psychology of Emotional Safety Signals: How Hotel Guests May Experience

Comfort, Trust, and Reassurance. Global Journal of Management and Business Research, Ahead of Print, 1-15. DOI:

10.34257/GJMBRF258578

LICENSE

© 2026 Global Journals. Open-access article under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 International License.



Print ISSN 0975-5853



Online ISSN 2249-4588



Under the strict compliance and defined process of



METADATA CONTINUATION

AUTHOR CONTACT QR LEDGER

Dipak Paul§ 	Howard Moskowitz§¶* 
--	--

ARCHIVAL RECORD

The Psychology of Emotional Safety Signals: How Hotel Guests May Experience Comfort, Trust, and Reassurance

Dipak Paul[§], Howard Moskowitz^{‡¶*}, Sharon Wingert[¶], David Stevens[¶], Daniel Moskowitz[§], and Brown Fitterman[¥]

Affiliations

[§] Mind Genomics Associates Inc., White Plains, United States

[‡] Mind Genomics Associates Inc., White Plains, New York, USA & Tactical Data Group, Stafford, Virginia, USA

[¶] Tactical Data Group, Los Angeles, United States

[¥] Advanced Learning Strategies, Silver Lake, United States

+1 additional affiliations listed on metadata continuation page.

Abstract

Emotional safety signals are the subtle cues through which hotel guests may develop feelings of comfort, trust, reassurance, and ease within an unfamiliar environment. These signals can include staff greetings, tone of communication, environmental predictability, interpersonal interactions, physical surroundings, and the clarity of procedures. Drawing on concepts from emotional safety, environmental psychology, hospitality behavior, and the Mind Genomics perspective, this conceptual paper explores how guests may interpret emotional safety signals in different ways. Rather than presenting the results of a formal Mind Genomics experiment, the paper uses four AI-generated illustrative mindsets to examine alternative patterns of interpretation centered on warmth, predictability, personal recognition, and autonomy. These mindsets are not intended to represent fixed categories of guests, but rather to provide conceptual lenses through which emotional safety may be viewed. Building on these perspectives, the paper discusses a series of illustrative opportunities related to staff training, environmental design, personalization, autonomy-supportive systems, emotional safety audits, and nighttime guest experiences. The paper aims to encourage discussion about the diverse ways emotional safety may be experienced within hotel environments and how these differences may inform future hospitality practice, research, and service design.

Keywords: *Emotional Safety Signals, Hotel Guests, Mind Genomics, Environmental Psychology, Guest Experience, Psychological Safety, AI-Generated Mindsets*

* Corresponding Author

Howard Moskowitz

DOI

10.34257/GJMBRF258578

1. Introduction

Human beings are biologically wired to detect safety. The brain continuously evaluates environments for cues that signal whether it is safe to relax or necessary to remain alert. Emotional safety has been described as an important foundation for comfort, trust, and positive social engagement, influencing how individuals interpret both people and places [1]. Research in emotion and social cognition further suggests that people continuously scan their surroundings for signs of safety, threat, acceptance, and belonging, often making these judgments rapidly and with limited conscious awareness [2].

In hotel environments, these safety judgments may begin within moments of arrival. Guests encounter a variety of signals, including staff greetings, tone of communication, environmental predictability, physical surroundings, and the clarity of procedures. Collectively, these signals may serve as environmental and interactive cues that contribute to perceptions of comfort and reassurance. Previous research has suggested that physical environments influence emotional responses and behavioral evaluations, making environmental design an important component of the overall service experience [3]. In hospitality settings, both environmental cues and service interactions have been examined as factors that

may influence guest perceptions of comfort, trust, and anxiety [3–5]. Such observations suggest that emotional safety signals may emerge from the combined influence of physical surroundings and interpersonal experiences within the hotel environment.

The ways in which guests experience emotional safety are unlikely to be identical. Some individuals may place greater value on warmth and interpersonal connection, whereas others may prioritize predictability, recognition, or independence. These differences reflect broader psychological needs related to belonging, trust, autonomy, and personal relevance [6,7]. Consequently, understanding emotional safety requires attention not only to hotel operations and service delivery but also to the different ways guests may interpret and respond to the same experience.

Although this paper does not present a formal Mind Genomics experiment, it is influenced by the Mind Genomics approach to understanding human judgment and subjective experience. A central premise of Mind Genomics is that individuals often interpret the same situation in different ways, reflecting alternative patterns of meaning rather than a single shared perspective. Consistent with this orientation, the present paper explores multiple ways in which hotel guests may perceive emotional safety signals and the implications of those differences for hospitality

practice. The mindsets presented here should therefore be viewed as conceptual illustrations inspired by this mindset-segmentation philosophy rather than empirically derived segments. Future research utilizing formal Mind Genomics designs may examine, refine, or test these proposed patterns of interpretation among hotel guests.

Contemporary market analyses and industry commentary within the hotel sector have also highlighted the importance of emotional factors such as comfort, trust, safety, personalization, and a sense of being understood in shaping guest perceptions and decision-making [8]. Building on these observations, this paper examines emotional safety signals as a lens through which hotel experiences may be viewed. Using four AI-generated mindsets as illustrative perspectives, the paper explores how different guests may interpret warmth, predictability, personal recognition, and autonomy within hotel environments. The objective is not to establish fixed categories of guests, but rather to stimulate discussion about the diverse psychological pathways through which emotional safety, trust, comfort, and engagement may emerge during the hospitality experience.

1.1. Canonical Design

This paper follows the Mind Genomics canon. We do not discuss coefficients or elements. Instead, we explore the topic through four mindsets, each representing a distinct way guests interpret emotional safety. The goal is to illustrate alternative ways guests may interpret emotional safety and provide actionable insights for hotel operators.

1.2. Introducing the Topic and the Mindsets

Emotional safety signals are interpreted differently by different types of guests. Some guests focus on warmth, others on predictability, others on personalization, and others on autonomy. These differences reflect deeper psychological needs: belonging, control, recognition, and independence. The four mindsets presented here were developed as conceptual illustrations with the assistance of AI, drawing upon concepts from emotional safety, environmental psychology, and hospitality behavior. They are intended to stimulate discussion rather than represent empirically derived or algorithmically validated guest segments. Each mindset may interpret the same safety cues differently, potentially shaping expectations and responses. These responses may also be influenced by a range of additional factors, including individual circumstances, cultural background, prior travel experiences, and situational conditions.

1.3. Mindset Table Introduction

Table 1 presents eight issues relevant to emotional safety signals and illustrates how each of the four mindsets may interpret them. Each cell contains three sentences describing how a particular mindset may respond to or evaluate the issue. Rather than representing fixed categories of guests, these mindsets provide alternative perspectives for considering how emotional safety may be experienced within hotel environments. Collectively, the mindsets highlight the diversity of expectations and interpretations that hotels may encounter when seeking to create environments that feel safe, comfortable, and welcoming.

Examples of emotional safety signals discussed in this table may include a warm or consistent greeting at check-in, predictable environmental cues such as clear signage and stable layouts, communication styles that range from warm to highly structured, transparent explanations of hotel procedures, appropriate staff

facial expressions and non-verbal cues, supportive responses during service disruptions or uncertainty, room environments that balance comfort and usability, and nighttime arrangements such as lighting, noise management, and optional guest controls. These examples are intended only as illustrations of how the categories in Table 1 may appear in real-world hotel settings and may vary across hotels and guest populations.

Table 1. How Guests Interpret Emotional Safety Signals

Issue	Mindset A: Warmth Seekers	Mindset B: Predictability Anchors	Mindset C: Personal Recognition Seekers	Mindset D: Autonomy Guardians
1. Staff Greeting	They want warmth and friendliness. A genuine smile signals safety. A cold greeting feels threatening.	They want a consistent, predictable greeting. Variability feels unsafe. They judge hotels by reliability.	They want a greeting that reflects recognition. Personal touches matter. Generic greetings feel dismissive.	They want minimal interaction. Over-warmth feels intrusive. They judge hotels by respect for space.
2. Environmental Predictability	They want environments that feel emotionally warm. Soft lighting and gentle cues matter. Harsh environments feel unsafe.	They want clear, stable environments. Unpredictability feels threatening. They judge hotels by structure.	They want environments that feel curated for them. Personal touches signal care. Generic spaces feel indifferent.	They want environments that allow independence. Over-design feels controlling. They judge hotels by freedom.
3. Tone of Communication	Warm tones signal safety. Flat tones feel uncaring. Harsh tones feel threatening.	Clear, structured tones signal competence. Ambiguity feels unsafe. They judge hotels by clarity.	Personalized tones signal recognition. Generic tones feel cold. They judge hotels by emotional intelligence.	Neutral tones signal respect. Emotional tones feel invasive. They judge hotels by restraint.
4. Clarity of Procedures	They want procedures explained with warmth. Cold explanations feel dismissive. They judge hotels by empathy.	They want procedures that are clear and predictable. Confusion feels unsafe. They judge hotels by structure.	They want procedures tailored to them. One-size-fits-all feels careless. They judge hotels by personalization.	They want procedures that allow autonomy. Over-explanation feels controlling. They judge hotels by independence.
5. Staff Micro-Expressions	Warm micro-expressions build trust. Neutral faces feel cold. Negative expressions feel threatening.	Neutral, consistent expressions feel safe. Emotional variability feels unpredictable. They judge hotels by stability.	Expressions that reflect recognition matter. Blankness feels generic. They judge hotels by emotional attunement.	Minimal expression feels respectful. Smiles can feel forced. They judge authenticity by subtlety.
6. Handling of Uncertainty	They want reassurance and emotional support. Uncertainty feels threatening. They judge hotels by empathy.	They want clear steps and predictable outcomes. Uncertainty feels unsafe. They judge hotels by structure.	They want uncertainty handled in a personalized way. Generic responses feel careless. They judge hotels by recognition.	They want uncertainty handled with minimal intrusion. Over-involvement feels controlling. They judge hotels by autonomy.
7. In-Room Environment	Warm, comforting rooms signal safety. Cold rooms feel unwelcoming. They judge hotels by emotional tone.	Predictable layouts and clear controls feel safe. Confusing rooms feel threatening. They judge hotels by usability.	Personalized touches signal care. Generic rooms feel indifferent. They judge hotels by emotional intelligence.	Rooms that allow independence feel safe. Over-automation feels restrictive. They judge hotels by control.
8. Nighttime Experience	Soft lighting and warm cues matter. Darkness without guidance feels unsafe. They judge hotels by emotional comfort.	Predictable nighttime routines feel safe. Unexpected noises feel threatening. They judge hotels by stability.	Personalized nighttime touches matter. Generic routines feel cold. They judge hotels by recognition.	Minimal nighttime intrusion feels safe. Over-attention feels invasive. They judge hotels by autonomy.

1.4. Illustrative Opportunities Emerging from the Mindsets

Table 1 presents four illustrative mindsets through which guests may interpret emotional safety signals in hotel environments. Different guests may respond to different combinations of warmth, predictability, personal recognition, and autonomy. Building on these illustrative mindsets, the following opportunities are presented as potential directions for enhancing emotional safety within hotel environments. Opportunities emphasizing staff warmth and emotional responsiveness may be especially relevant to Mindset A (Warmth Seekers), whereas initiatives focused on consistency, clarity, and environmental predictability may align more closely with Mindset B (Predictability Anchors). Personalized approaches may resonate most strongly with Mindset C (Personal Recognition Seekers), while autonomy-supportive systems may be particularly relevant to Mindset D (Autonomy Guardians). Some opportunities may simultaneously support multiple mindsets, whereas others may involve trade-offs. For example, personalized safety touchpoints may strengthen recognition for Mindset C but may require careful balancing for guests who prefer minimal intrusion and greater independence, as reflected in Mindset D. The opportunities presented below should therefore be viewed as conceptual illustrations derived from the differing preferences reflected in the four mindsets.

Each opportunity includes four illustrative compositions.

Opportunity 1: Emotional Safety Training for Staff

1. Consider training staff to recognize and respond to different emotional safety preferences reflected in the mindsets.
2. Use scenario-based exercises to encourage reflection on diverse guest expectations.
3. Encourage staff to attend to verbal and non-verbal guest cues.
4. Reinforce emotional consistency across shifts.

Opportunity 2: Predictable Environment Design

1. Explore environmental features that may reduce uncertainty for guests.
2. Use lighting, layout, and signage to support predictability.
3. Test environmental designs with different guest profiles in mind.
4. Refine approaches based on guest feedback and observational data.

Opportunity 3: Personalized Safety Touchpoints

1. Consider developing personalized safety cues for returning guests.
2. Use available guest information to tailor selected emotional safety touchpoints.

3. Encourage staff to adapt tone and pace when appropriate.
4. Explore the potential emotional impact of personalization strategies.

Opportunity 4: Autonomy-Respecting Systems

1. Develop systems that allow guests greater control over their experience.
2. Use technology to support independence and choice.
3. Reduce unnecessary interactions where appropriate.
4. Explore guest perceptions of autonomy and control.

Opportunity 5: Emotional Safety Audits

1. Review emotional safety across multiple guest touchpoints.
2. Use mystery guests or similar approaches to evaluate safety-related cues.
3. Evaluate hotel experiences in relation to warmth, predictability, personalization, and autonomy.
4. Use findings to inform training and service design.

Opportunity 6: Nighttime Safety Enhancements

1. Explore ways to improve nighttime lighting and predictability.
2. Reduce unexpected noises where feasible.
3. Consider personalized nighttime cues for different guest preferences.
4. Support autonomy through optional controls and flexible settings.

2. Discussion

Emotional safety signals may play an important role in shaping how guests experience hotel environments. Although emotional safety often operates below conscious awareness, it may influence how guests interpret comfort, trust, reassurance, and their overall experience. The four illustrative mindsets presented in this paper suggest that guests may interpret emotional safety signals in different ways.

Warmth Seekers appear to value emotional connection and interpersonal reassurance. Predictability Anchors place greater importance on structure, consistency, and clarity. Personal Recognition Seekers focus on individualized attention and acknowledgement, whereas Autonomy Guardians appear to value independence and personal control. Together, these perspectives highlight the possibility that emotional safety may not be experienced uniformly across guests.

Viewed through these illustrative mindsets, emotional safety may represent a useful area for consideration in hotel design and service delivery. Hotels may wish to consider how staff training, communication practices, environmental design, personalization strategies, technology, and service consistency contribute to perceptions of emotional safety. The opportunities presented in this paper are intended to stimulate thinking about how different guests may experience the same environment in different ways.

3. Conclusion

This paper explored emotional safety signals through four AI-generated illustrative mindsets informed by concepts from emotional safety, environmental psychology, hospitality behavior, and the Mind Genomics perspective. The analysis suggests that guests may differ in the importance they assign to warmth, predictability, personal recognition, and autonomy when interpreting hotel experiences.

Rather than proposing definitive categories of guests, the paper offers a conceptual framework for considering alternative patterns of interpretation. These perspectives suggest that emotional safety may be viewed as one component of the broader guest experience and may provide useful directions for future discussion, practical exploration, and empirical investigation.

3.1. The Role of AI in This Paper

AI contributed to the development of the four mindsets by drawing upon concepts related to emotional safety, environmental psychology, and hotel guest experiences. AI may also support the identification of emotional safety gaps, personalization of safety signals, and the exploration of likely emotional triggers. AI can support staff training through simulated emotional scenarios and may assist in examining tone, timing, and emotional cues across guest touchpoints. AI is a partner in exploring environments that feel safe, warm, and intelligently designed.

■ REFERENCES

- [1] Porges SW. *The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, Self-Regulation*. New York: Norton ; 2011.
- [2] Cacioppo JT, Gardner WL. Emotion. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 1999;50:191–214. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.50.1.191
- [3] Bitner MJ. Servicescapes: The impact of physical surroundings on customers and employees. *J Mark*. 1992;56(2):57–71. doi:10.1177/002224299205600205
- [4] Walls AR, Okumus F, Wang Y, Kwun DJW. Understanding the consumer experience: An exploratory study of luxury hotels. *J Hosp Mark Manag*. 2011;20(2):166–197. doi:10.1080/19368623.2011.536074
- [5] Kandampully J, Zhang T, Bilgihan A. Customer loyalty: A review and future directions with a special focus on the hospitality industry. *Int J Contemp Hosp Manag*. 2015;27(3):379–414. doi:10.1108/IJCHM-03-2014-0151
- [6] Baumeister RF, Leary MR. The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychol Bull*. 1995;117(3):497–529. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.497
- [7] Deci EL, Ryan RM. The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychol Inq*. 2000;11(4):227–268. doi:10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- [8] Formula. The psychology behind hotel bookings (and how to use it to your advantage) [Internet]. London: Formula Digital Growth Agency; 2025 Aug 27 [cited 2026 Jun 12]. Available from: <https://thisisformula.com/the-psychology-behind-hotel-bookings/>