Nicotine's Influence on Musculoskeletal Healing: A Review Featuring nAChRS and miRNA

By Carlos M. Carballosa, David J. Fernandez-Fidalgo & Herman S. Cheung

University of Miami, United States

Abstract- Nicotine is the main ingredient of smoking cessation therapies and electronic cigarettes. New to the market, electronic cigarettes, which are not regulated by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), have been marketed as the safe and alternative approach to cigarette smoking. Although containing significantly fewer amounts of toxic chemicals, electronic cigarettes, as well as other nicotine replacement therapies, still present additional health hazards due to significant nicotine exposure. The effects of nicotine exposure on musculoskeletal health have been extensively studied, but the mechanisms behind these effects are still unknown. Current research, however, suggests that these effects are mediated by the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) of the musculoskeletal system. These receptors, which are activated in the presence of nicotine, undergo conformational changes that eventually alter the ionic permeability of their respective membranes.

Keywords: *cigarette smoking, electronic cigarette, nicotine replacement therapies, nicotine, nicotine acetylcholine receptor, wound healing, bone healing, musculoskeletal, microRNA.*

GJMR-H Classification: *NLMC Code: WE 168, WS 270*

NICOTINESINFLUENCEONMUSCULOSKELETALHEALINGAREVIEWFEATURINGNACHRSANDMIRNA

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

Nicotine's Influence on Musculoskeletal Healing: A Review Featuring nAChRS and miRNA

Carlos M. Carballosa [α], David J. Fernandez-Fidalgo [σ] & Herman S. Cheung [ρ]

Abstract- Nicotine is the main ingredient of smoking cessation therapies and electronic cigarettes. New to the market, electronic cigarettes, which are not regulated by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), have been marketed as the safe and alternative approach to cigarette smoking. Although containing significantly fewer amounts of toxic chemicals, electronic cigarettes, as well as other nicotine replacement therapies, still present additional health hazards due to significant nicotine exposure. The effects of nicotine exposure on musculoskeletal health have been extensively studied, but the mechanisms behind these effects are still unknown. Current research, however, suggests that these effects are mediated by the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) of the musculoskeletal system. These receptors, which are activated in the presence of nicotine, undergo conformational changes that eventually alter the ionic permeability of their respective membranes. The results of these actions are linked to changes in cell proliferation, differentiation and microRNA expression.

Keywords: cigarette smoking, electronic cigarette, nicotine replacement therapies, nicotine, nicotine acetylcholine receptor, wound healing, bone healing, musculoskeletal, microRNA.

I. Introduction

According to recent statistics from the Centers for Disease Control and Protection (CDC), the prevalence of tobacco use among Americans is, as of 2011, around 19% (CDC, 2011). Cigarette smoking, which kills nearly 440,000 Americans each year (CDC, 2011), is the leading cause of preventable death worldwide. Awareness of the diseases associated with cigarette smoking was initiated with the release of the 1964 Surgeon General's Report, which celebrates its 50th anniversary this year. In addition to increasing the susceptibility to various cancers, cigarette smoking also adversely affects the musculoskeletal system; increasing the risk of progressive bone diseases (Porter & Hanley, 2001) and delaying wound (Sopori, 2002), fracture (Alemdaroğlu et al., 2009), and bone healing (Krannitz et al., 2009) following traumatic injury.

Author α: Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida.
e-mail: c.carballosa@umiami.edu
Author σ: Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida.
e-mail: d.fernandezfidalgo2@umiami.edu
Author ρ: Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida.
e-mail: HCheung@med.miami.edu

The extent of these effects, however, is believed to be dose dependent and also reversible, to a certain degree, following smoking cessation (Sloan et al., 2010; Fusby et al., 2010). Although these health hazards associated with cigarette smoking are well known, additive chemicals, such as nicotine, make it extremely difficult for chronic users to quit.

II. Nicotine

Nicotine is the quintessential compound responsible for an individual's addiction to cigarettes and/or other tobacco-containing substances (Benowitz, Hukkanen & Jacob, 2009). The most widely used source of nicotine comes from the tobacco plant, which is processed to manufacture cigarettes as well as numerous nicotine replacement therapies. Although nicotine is included in all, the specific concentration used within each product varies from company to company. Table 1 displays the nicotine levels for an average cigarette and the most common nicotine-containing products used for nicotine replacement therapies. Individual products also contain unique methods for nicotine deployment. The most common method for the release of nicotine in the human body is through the burning (combustion) of tobacco, such as seen in smoking cigarettes. In smoking cessation products, such as nicotine gum, transdermal patches and inhalers, nicotine is released through alkaline-buffered diffusion mechanisms designed for targeted areas of absorption (skin, mouth, lungs, etc.). Electronic cigarettes, which recently burst into the scene as the "safer" alternative to cigarettes, use vaporization to release nicotine from a liquid solution.

a) Absorption and Metabolism

Nicotine is a weak base (pKa = 8.0) and its rate of absorption is primarily dependent on the pH and surface area of the environment. In acidic environments with smaller surface areas, nicotine does not rapidly cross cell membranes, whereas in alkaline environments with larger surface areas, it is readily absorbed. As a consequence of this, nicotine from cigarette smoke is not readily absorbed in the mouth, but is readily absorbed in the lungs through the alveoli. As a result, about 2.3-3.5 mg of nicotine, which accounts for approximately 80 to 90% of inhaled nicotine, is absorbed during smoking (Benowitz, Jacob & Denaro, 1991). Average blood-nicotine levels in chronic smokers

1

have been shown to reach 19.0 ± 11.3 ng/ml after the first cigarette and 22.9 ± 11.2 ng/ml after the second cigarette, correlating to $0.117 \pm 0.070 \mu$ M and $0.141 \pm 0.069 \mu$ M, respectively (Herning et al., 2009). The various forms of nicotine replacement therapies, such as nicotine gum, transdermal patches and inhalers, are buffered to a more alkaline pH to facilitate the absorption of nicotine through cell membranes. As a result, nicotine absorption is slower when compared to smoking cigarettes and the increase in nicotine blood levels is more gradual.

The most common pathway for the metabolism of nicotine is the cotinine pathway, which accounts for 70 to 80% of the nicotine metabolized by the human body (Hukkanen, Jacob & Benowitz, 2005). The remaining amount is exposed to the bodily tissues and the highest affinity for nicotine is seen in the liver, kidney, spleen, and lung, whereas the lowest affinity is seen in adipose tissue (Urakawa et al., 1994). Nicotine also binds to brain tissues with high affinity, and the receptor binding capacity is increased in smokers compared with nonsmokers (Perry et al., 1999). Cigarette smoking itself influences the rate of metabolism of nicotine. Research has found that the clearance of nicotine is significantly slower in cigarette smokers compared with nonsmokers (Benowitz & Jacob, 1993). In support of this observation are two crossover studies comparing the clearance of nicotine in the same subjects when smoking compared with not smoking. After 4 days of smoking abstinence, nicotine clearance was increased by 14% (Benowitz & Jacob, 2000), and after 7 days of abstinence, nicotine clearance was 36% higher (Lee, Benowitz & Jacob, 1987) when compared with overnight abstinence from cigarettes. Because the same enzyme metabolizes nicotine and cotinine, it has been postulated that cotinine might be responsible for the slowed metabolism of nicotine in smokers. In a study in which nonsmokers received an intravenous infusion of nicotine with and without pretreatment with high doses of cotinine, there was no effect of cotinine on the clearance of nicotine (Zevin, Jacob, Benowitz, 1997). Also carbon monoxide at levels and in a pattern similar to those experienced during smoking had no effect on nicotine and cotinine clearance (Benowitz & Jacob, 2000). Further studies must be performed in order to understand the biological mechanisms that control the rate at which nicotine is metabolized by the human body.

III. The Nicotinic Effect on Musculoskeletal Healing

Nicotine is quickly dispersed throughout the body via cardiac circulation, where it is subsequently exposed to a majority of the internal tissues. The effects of nicotine metabolization throughout the body have been studied extensively; however, its implications in regards to musculoskeletal health and repair are still being investigated. The subsequent sections, therefore, aim to summarize the findings of recent scientific experiments investigating the effect of nicotine on the wound and skeletal healing processes. Healing, in general, is a complex process orchestrated by several role players whose ultimate goal is to efficiently restore damaged tissue to its original state. The basic mechanisms behind wound and skeletal healing and the effects of nicotine on these processes have previously been reviewed (Misery, 2004; Martin et al., 2009; Kallala et al., 2013); however, our aim herein is to present recent and human-only-based research.

In order to do so, the following filters and search titles were used when gathering potential publications on the PubMed database (http://www.ncbi.nl-m.nih.gov/pubmed): Publication Dates: 5 Years; Species: Human; Title: (Wound Healing OR Skin OR Soft Tissue OR Blood Vessels AND Nicotine) OR (Bone OR Fracture Fixation OR Fracture Healing OR Osteoblast OR Osteoclast AND Nicotine).

a) Wound Healing

Two of the major role players involved in the wound healing process are fibroblasts and endothelial progenitor cells. Fibroblasts, which produce extracellular matrix as well as collagen, and endothelial progenitor cells, which give rise to the endothelial cells that help form new capillaries, are simultaneously recruited by activated macrophages and cell mediators to the site of injury in order to replace damaged tissues (reviewed in Martin et al., 2009). Although efficient, these cells can become ineffective when exposed to outside factors such as nicotine. Therefore, current therapies, which aim to facilitate regeneration, use chemical agents and growth factors to enhance the number and function of fibroblasts and endothelial progenitor cells.

b) Fibroblast-Based Studies

In 2010, Choi et al. (2010) observed that nicotine increased the expression of early growth response-1 (EGR-1) in cultured human skin dermal fibroblasts (HSDFs) (Choi et al., 2010). The increased expression of EGR-1, which encodes a protein involved in collagen production and skin wound repair, is suggested by Choi et al. (2010) to improve the function of HSDFs, which, in turn, will facilitate the wound healing process. In a later study, Silva et al. (2012) investigated the effects of nicotine on the viability and migration potential of human gingival fibroblasts (HGFs) (Silva et al., 2012). The researchers observed that nicotine had little to no effect on cell viability and cell death, but did stimulate cell migration. Ultimately, however, Silva et al. (2012) concluded that the effect of nicotine on human gingival fibroblasts was not enough to significantly affect the healing potential of these cells. Tinti & Soory (2012), investigating the oxidative effects of nicotine on HGFs and human periosteal fibroblasts (HPFs), determined that the detrimental effects of nicotine oxidation on

wound healing could be reversed by the anti-oxidant glutathione (Tiniti & Soory, 2012).

c) Endothelial-Based Studies

While studying the in vitro effect of nicotine on human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), Y.J. Park et al. (2008) observed that nicotine exposure augmented the proliferation, migration and angiogenic potential of HUVECs (Y.J. Park et al., 2008). In 2011, H.S. Park et al. (2011) investigated the acute and chronic effects of nicotine on the proangiogenic activity of HUVECs (H.S. Park et al., 2011). The group looked at the effect of nicotine on several factors including: production of nitric oxide (NO), expression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), cell viability, migration potential and morphology and the results from these experiments can be summarized into two relatable conclusions. The first conclusion is that nicotine, regardless of exposure time, has an affect on the angiogenic activity of HUVECs. This result was supported by the variation in values between non-exposed and exposed groups for all factors. The second conclusion is that the degree of this nicotinic effect is dependent on exposure time. H.S. Park et al. (2011) showed that the production levels of NO and eNOS were significantly higher in acute vs. chronic exposed HUVECs. The migratory function and tubular formation (number and length of circles) of acutely exposed HUVECs was also significantly better when compared to the chronic exposed groups.

d) Combined Studies

In 2011, Laytragoon-Lewin et al. (2011) investigated the effects of pure nicotine on human-derived fibroblasts and endothelial cells (Laytragoon-Lewin et al., 2011). The researchers showed that, compared to the control, nicotine exposure increased the proliferative capacity and altered the morphology of both cell types. In addition, the researchers evaluated nicotine's effect on the expression of 96 well-defined genes common to both cell types, which were grouped into 5 categories: Cell Cycle and DNA Damage, Apoptosis and Cell Senescence, Signal Transduction and Adhesion, Angiogenesis, and Invasion and Metastasis. Surprisingly, nicotine caused a differential expression in 80% of endothelial and 73% of fibroblast genes investigated within an hour of exposure.

e) Skeletal Healing

The dose dependent effect of nicotine is well known and has been recently demonstrated in many of the cells that comprise the skeletal tissues. The process of bone fracture healing is very similar to the process of wound healing. It can be divided into three phases: reactive phase, reparative phase and remodeling phase. During the reactive phase, blood vessels surrounding the fracture site constrict to prevent further bleeding. At the same time, extravascular blood cells form a clot,

known as a hematoma, in the fracture site. All the cells within the clot undergo apoptosis, allowing for the migration and proliferation of fibroblast cells within the clot, forming granulation tissue. The fibroblasts create a provisional extracellular matrix for the migration and proliferation of cells necessary for the formation of new bone. Once this phase is complete, the reparative phase begins with the migration, differentiation and proliferation of precursor cells from the periosteum, a connective tissue membrane covering the bone. These precursor cells include mesenchymal stem cells, which differentiate into chondrocytes and osteoblasts, which are responsible for the formation of new cartilage and new bone, respectively. During this phase, various preliminary bone structures are formed by chondrocytes and replaced by osteoblasts (Ham & Harris, 1971). Finally, during the remodeling phase, the preliminary bone structure is reinforced with compact bone. It can take anywhere from 3 to 5 years for the newly formed bone to achieve its original strength (Ham & Harris, 1971). The time frame in which wound healing and bone fracture healing take place depends on a patient's age and general condition, which includes a patient's exposure to nicotine.

f) Chondrocyte and Bone Marrow Stromal Cell-Based Studies

In 2012, Ying & Cheng et al. (2012) demonstrated that nicotine, at concentrations of 0.154µM (25ng/ml), 0.308µM (50ng/ml), and 0.617µM (100ng/ml), caused significant increases in the cellular proliferation and collagen type II expression/production of human derived chondrocytes (Ying & Cheng et al., 2012).

That same year, Ying & Zhang et al. (2012) used a different set of cells, human bone marrow stromal stem cells (BMSCs), to investigate the effects of a higher nicotine dose on the proliferation and collagen type II expression of these cells (Ying & Zhang et al., 2012). In this study, Ying & Zhang et al. (2012) observed significant enhancements of both qualities at lower nicotine doses (1.0µM), but significant impairments at higher doses of (10µM). In addition, Ying et al. also investigated the effect of nicotine on the expression/production of aggrecan; however, no significant changes were noted.

A 2013 study conducted by Shen et al. (2013) also investigated the effects of nicotine on the BMSCs derived from the iliac crest (Shen et al., 2013). Similar to Ying & Zhang et al.'s results (2012), Shen et al. (2013) observed that low doses of nicotine (0.308µM [50ng/ml] and 0.617µM [100ng/ml]) caused significant and sustained increases in the proliferation of BMSCs, significant increases in alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, and significant and sustained increases in the expression of ALP and collagen type I. In addition to significantly decreasing all of these effects, higher doses

of nicotine (6.17μM [1000ng/ml]) significantly inhibited cell-mediated calcium deposition, osteocalcin (OCN) expression, and bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) expression.

g) Periodontal Ligament Cell-Based Studies

The increased incidences of alveolar bone degenerating diseases, such as periodontitis, have been well documented in smokers and tobacco users alike (S.I. Lee et al., 2012; Bergstrom, 2004; Ojima et al., 2006). The oral cavity is the initial site of toxic exposure for all tobacco-containing products and many nicotine-containing products (e-cigarettes, nicotine gums, and nicotine lozenges). During their use, nicotine remains in the oral cavity for extended periods of time causing a rapid increase in concentration. As a result, the tissues of the oral cavity are extremely susceptible to the effects of nicotine exposure.

A 2009 study by H. Lee et al. (2009), investigating the effects of nicotine on periodontal ligament (PDL) cells, showed that nicotine downregulated the expression of osteoblastic differentiation markers ALP, OCN, and osteopontin (OPN) (H. Lee et al., 2009). In order to prevent additional cytotoxic effects, nicotine decreased the expression of osteoprotegerin (OPG) while simultaneously increasing the expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand (RANKL) and the production of transcription factor NF-E2-related factor-2 (Nrf2) and heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1).

A study by S.I. Lee et al. (2012) demonstrated that nicotine exposure promotes endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and facilitates extracellular matrix degradation via downregulation of extracellular matrix molecules, such: as collagen type I, elastin, and fibronectin; and upregulation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), including: MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-8 and MMP-9 (S.I. Lee et al., 2012). Interestingly though, S.I. Lee et al. (2012) demonstrated that these negative effects could be attenuated through the use of the experimental drug Salubrinal and small interfering RNA.

h) Adult Stem Cell-Based Studies

Currently, a majority of the research in this field has shifted its focus towards the effect of nicotine on adult stem cells. This shift is especially important because these cells are the progenitors for many of the bone remodeling cells. Presently, the mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) derived from the human bone marrow are most investigated population of these cells.

A study by Ruiz et al. (2012) investigated the dose dependent effects of nicotine on the mechanical properties of human bone marrow - derived MSCs (h MSCs) (Ruiz et al., 2012). At 0.5 and 1.0 μM concentrations, nicotine significantly increased the stiffness of the h MSC cytoplasm and nucleus. The authors suggest that this increase in stem cell stiffness

reduces the ability to respond to mechanical stimuli and therefore hinders mechano-induction. A stiffer stem cell would also experience retardation in locomotion seeing as it would be less compliant and consequently more likely to encounter difficulties when traveling out of the bone marrow.

In 2012, a study by B. Kim et al. (2012) showed that nicotine had dose dependent effects on human alveolar bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hABMMSCs) (B. Kim et al., 2012). The researchers investigated the effect of nicotine (1μM-5mM) on the proliferation of hABMMSCs and observed no changes at low concentrations (1μM-100μM), significant increases at moderate concentrations (1-2mM), and significant decreases at high concentrations (5mM). High concentrations of nicotine also caused significant detrimental effects to cell morphology, ALP activity, calcium accumulation, and osteogenic gene expression. A majority of these effects, including: reduced ALP activity, reduced calcium deposition, and reduced expression of OCN, bone sialoprotein (BSP), collagen type I α 1 (COL1A1), and runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), were observed at the 2mM concentration. These results confirm the dual effects of nicotine and, although not explicitly stated, suggests that the threshold value for positive to negative effects in hABMMSCs exists somewhere in the mM range.

Ng. et al. (2013) also investigated the effects of nicotine on h MSCs as well as PDL-derived stem cells (PDLSC) (Ng et al., 2013). At 1μM, nicotine significantly reduced the proliferation and migration potential of both adult stem cell populations. The osteogenic differentiation potential of h MSCs and PDLSCs was also inhibited by nicotine as made evident by reductions in alkaline phosphatase activity and calcium deposition. Nicotine also significantly downregulated the expression of protein tyrosine kinase 2 (PTK2), a gene associated with cell migration, and also downregulated the osteogenic genes RUNX2, alkaline phosphatase (ALPL), osteocalcin (BGLAP), COL1A1 and collagen type I α 2 (COL1A2). Ng et al. (2013) also were the first to demonstrate that nicotine had a dose dependent effect on the microRNA (miRNA) expression profiles of PDLSCs. Moreover, the authors noted that half of the top 10 differentially expressed miRNAs were related to osteogenesis.

These recent studies continue to demonstrate the potent effects of nicotine on musculoskeletal tissue regeneration. Whether direct or indirect, the effects of nicotine exposure appear to be beneficial at low concentrations, but detrimental once concentrations exceed a certain threshold. Most studies aim to investigate the effects of nicotine at physiological concentrations with hopes of identifying these cell-specific threshold values; however, a majority of these studies tend to investigate vast concentration ranges that fall outside of the normal. This approach further

demonstrates the lingering uncertainty surrounding the exact effects of nicotine exposure in the musculoskeletal system and throughout the body. Although numerous studies detail the general effects of nicotine on certain cells, few detail the specific mechanisms behind nicotine's action. Current research, however, points to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors as the main potential mediator of the nicotinic effect.

IV. Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors

Once internalized and in the blood stream, nicotine is free to complex with a subset of cholinergic receptors known as nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). These specific receptors, believed to be the main mediators behind nicotine's cellular effects, have been identified on numerous cellular populations including, but not limited to: epithelial cells, keratinocytes, vascular endothelial cells, osteoblasts, embryonic stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells (Chemyavsky et al., 2005; Resende et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010; Wessler & Kirkpatrick, 2008; Macklin et al., 1998; Walker et al., 2001). NAChRs are predominantly expressed on neuronal and neuromuscular tissues (Picciotto et al., 2001) and serve to regulate the flow of specific ions across these membranes (Albuquerque et al., 2009). Although all nAChRs serve the same basic purpose, the downstream implications initiated by receptor activation vary from location to location (Boulter et al., 1987; Papke et al., 1989; Papke & Heinemann, 1991; Portugal & Gould, 2008); this variation is partly due to the different interactions that occur with different tissue components, but mostly to the specific combination of subunits that are used to build each nAChR.

To date, 16 unique subunit varieties have been identified in the mammalian species (Dani & Bertrand, 2007; Lukas et al., 1999). Functional nAChRs are created from a specific combination of 5 of these subunits. This combination is dependent on the location of the cell in the body and receptors on these cells are arranged in one of two conformations, homopentameric or heteropentameric (Hurst, Rollema & Bertrand, 2013). In the former arrangement, commonly only seen in neuronal tissues, nAChRs are created using only one subunit type. On the other hand, heteropentameric nAChRs, which exist in a wider variety of tissues, are created using a mix of subunit varieties. Although slightly different in function, all subunits used to form functional nAChRs share the same basic structure. Each subunit is divided into three major domains: an extracellular amino acid domain, a transmembrane domain containing 4 individual units (labeled TM1-TM4), and a cytoplasmic domain composed of an amino acid loop (Albuquerque et al., 2009). Although almost entirely consistent amongst subunit varieties, the amino acid sequences of these domains are unique to each subunit. Variations in only a few amino acids are enough to influence receptor features such as agonist binding and ionic preference (Wallace & Bertrand, 2013; Albuquerque et al., 2009; Galzi et al., 1992; Corringer et al., 1999).

Subunits are typically classified as either α- or non-α subtype depending on their amino acid sequence (Albuquerque et al., 2009). To date, 9 nAChR α-subunits have been identified in the mammalian species: α1, α2, α3, α4, α5, α6, α7, α9 and α10 (Albuquerque et al., 2009). α-subunits contain a characteristic cysteine-cysteine bond proximal to TM1 in their extracellular domain, which is critical for agonist binding (Albuquerque et al., 2009). In heteropentameric nAChRs, α-subunits contribute to the "positive" side of the ligand-binding channel and influence the ligand affinity of the receptor (Albuquerque et al., 2009); however, there are two exceptions, the α5 and α10 subunit. Although both subunits are classified as α, neither contributes to the "positive" side of the ligand-binding channel (Albuquerque et al., 2009). On the other hand, the non-α subunits, as well as the α10 subunit, contribute to the "negative" face of the ligand-binding channel and influence the ligand selectivity of the receptor. To date, only 7 different nAChR non α-subunits have been identified in the mammalian species: β1-β4, δ, γ, and ε. Together the α- and the non α- subunits (in the heteropentameric case) align to create a ligand-binding site. When present in sufficient quantities, receptor agonists, such as nicotine, bind to this region and activate the receptor. If closed, receptor activation leads to the opening of the transmembrane ionic channel (reviewed in Albuquerque et al., 2009; Dani & Bertrand, 2007). In this conformation, extracellular ions are free to flow into the intracellular domain. The physiological implications arising from the increase in ionic permeability across the membrane following nAChR activation vary from tissue to tissue (S.Y. Kim et al., 2012; Huang and Winzer-Serhan, 2006; Zia et al., 1997; Villablanca, 1998; Sharma & Vijayaraghavan, 2002); however, for the purposes of this review we will only mention; albeit brief due to the lack of research, the nicotinic receptors of the musculoskeletal system and the potential cellular effects that may arise following receptor activation due to nicotine.

a) Musculoskeletal nAChRs

i. Muscle Tissue

Compared to the rest of the body, muscular nAChR expression is relatively basic/straightforward. Muscular nAChRs exist in only one of two heteropentameric conformations, 2α1/β1/δ/γ and 2α1/β1/δ/ε (Albuquerque et al., 2009); however, α4, α5, α7 and β4 subunit transcripts have been identified in early skeletal development (Corriveau et al., 1995). Differing by only one subunit, the two muscular nicotinic

receptors have unique sites of expression and characteristic functions. γ-containing receptors are typically found on immature, non-innervated muscle and are known to have ionic channels that remain open for longer periods of time after receptor activation (Albuquerque et al., 2009). As the muscle begins to develop, the subunit composition of the nAChR will gradual change by replacing the γ subunit with the ε subunit. This process is critical for successful muscle development (Hurst, Rollema & Bertrand, 2013). These new receptors, which aggregate proximal to the axon terminals (Corriveau et al., 1995), are different from their immature counterparts in that they are more susceptible to activation by receptor agonists (Conti et al., 1994; Lindstrom, 1997; Missias et al., 1996). As a result, ε-containing nAChRs can be activated more rapidly and with lower concentrations of receptor agonists. The receptors inherently gate both $Na+$ and $Ca2+$ ions; however, the higher permeability lies with $Na+$ (Albuquerque et al., 2009). In the muscular case, the activation of nAChRs typically causes an inward flux of $Na+$, which depolarizes the membrane (Fagerlund & Eriksson, 2009) and releases intracellular $Ca2+$.

ii. Bone Tissue

H MSCs play an integral role in maintaining and repairing many tissues of the musculoskeletal system. Research within the last decade has revealed that, like many other tissues, h MSCs exhibit various nAChR subunits and are therefore susceptible to the nicotinic effect. In a 2009 study, Hoogduijn et al. (2009) screened MSC cells collected from the femoral head for the presence of nAChR subunits. Out of the 7 subunits investigated via RT-PCR (α3, α5, α7, α9, α10, β2 and β4), 3 (α3, α5, and α7) were identified (Hoogduijn, Cheng & Genever, 2009). In addition, Hoogduijn et al. (2009) showed that intracellular calcium stores increased following in vitro treatment with 10μM nicotine. Schraufstatter et al. (2009) obtained similar results when treating hMSCs with a 2μM concentration of nicotine, but further showed that the intracellular calcium flux occur directly through α7 homopentameric nAChR channels (Schraufstatter, DiScipio & Khaldoyanidi, 2009). Contrary to the Hoogduijn et al. (2009) report, Schraufstatter et al. (2009) conducted RT-PCR for 13 nAChR subunits: α1, α2, α3, α4, α5, α6, α7, α9, α10, β1, β2, β3 and β 4 and identified levels for all except α6, α10, and β1. More importantly, however, protein levels for α7, β2, and β4 were identified in these cells, indicating that subunits capable of interacting with nicotine were in fact translated from mRNA transcripts.

Excluding the hMSC population, only four nAChR subunits have been identified within the human bone tissue. It is possible that the typical 5 subunit-based nicotinic receptors do not exist in these tissues, however this cannot be said with certainty seeing as the research in this field is relatively new and thus much has

yet to be discovered. In 1997, Romano et al. (1997) identified the α7 n AChR subunit in the periosteum of human bone samples (Romano et al., 1997). This finding is particularly interesting because in general, the α7 subunit is capable of forming homopentameric nAChRs like those seen in neuronal tissues. Shortly after Romano's discovery, Walker et al. (2001) identified the presence of the α4 nAChR subunit within the core of the human bone and in osteoblast cells (Walker et al., 2001). Moreover, Walker et al. (2001) observed that osteoblast proliferation was improved following low doses of nicotine, but unaffected once D-tubocurarine (a known nAChR antagonist) was introduced, suggesting that the α4 nAChR subunit could be a mediator of this process. Most recently, En-Nosse et al. (2009), also working with human osteoblasts, identified both α3 and α5 subunits in human bone tissues (En-Nosse et al., 2009), bringing the total nAChR subunit expression in bone to only 4 α subunits. As previously mentioned, heteropentameric nAChRs require non-α subunits in order to create functional ligand-binding sites. Therefore, in their absence, the effects of nicotine on bone cells would only be possible via homopentameric nAChRs.

iii. Ligament Tissues

To date, the only nAChR subunits identified in human ligament tissues are α7 and β4. Wang et al. (2010) was the first to identify the expression of any nAChR on human ligament tissues when they identified the α7 subunit on cultured periodontal ligament cells (PDLs) (Wang et al., 2010). In addition, Wang et al. (2010) observed that nicotine treatment caused an increase in receptor subunit expression, whereas treatment with alpha-bungarotoxin, a specific α7 receptor antagonist, reversed these effects. In 2012, S.Y. Kim et al. (2012) later confirmed the expression of α7 nAChRs in human ligament tissue and also identified the presence β4 nAChR subunit, while investigating the apoptotic effect of nicotine on periodontal ligament derived stem cells (S.Y. Kim et al., 2012). In addition to identifying these subunits, S.Y. Kim et al. (2012) showed that the gene expression of both subunits was upregulated in the presence of nicotine. Moreover, the apoptotic effect observed in the presence of nicotine was reversed once nAChR antagonists were introduced. This research hints at the importance of nAChRs in the ligament and further supports the overarching notion that nicotine can influence cellular physiology via nicotinic receptors.

iv. Cartilage Tissues

To date, the only human cartilage tissue investigated for the presence of nAChRs is that of the human growth plate chondrocytes. A study preformed by Kawakita et al. (2008) revealed that chondrocytes cultured from extra human fingers expressed homopentameric α7 nAChRs (Kawakita et al., 2008). In

the presence of nicotine, these chondrocytes experienced diminished matrix production and inefficient hypertrophic differentiation; an affect that was prevented in the complementary murine models when using the α7 nAChR specific antagonist methyllycaconitine. However, until the "preventative" effect of MLA is translated into the human samples of this study, it cannot be definitively stated that the negative effects of nicotine were mediated via the nAChRs of the chondrocytes.

V. Conclusion

The detrimental health effects associated with cigarette smoking are well known. Although many people are aware of these consequences, millions continue to use tobacco-based products on a daily basis. Individuals who try to quit smoking, however, usually do so with the assistance of nicotine replacement therapies that help them gradually overcome their addictions to nicotine. Although not labeled as such, the electronic cigarette is quickly becoming the most popular of the nicotine replacement therapies. These devices simulate regular cigarettes, but use only vapor to deliver nicotine doses. New to the market, ecigarettes, which are not regulated by the Food and Drug Administration, have been marketed as "a safe alternative" to cigarette smoking. Although containing significantly fewer amounts of toxic chemicals, ecigarettes, as well as other nicotine replacement therapies, still present additional health hazards due to significant nicotine exposure.

Nicotine accumulation can occur via chronic smoking and/or the overuse of nicotine replacement therapies. Furthermore, due to its chemical nature, nicotine readily accumulates in some tissues more than others and therefore blood serum concentrations are usually not indicative of the true bodily concentrations (Department of Health and Human Services, 1988). The dose dependent effects of nicotine on human cellular physiology have been, and continue to be, extensively studied. Nicotine's effects, which are typically beneficial at low doses and detrimental at higher doses, are believed to affect numerous cellular processes, including wound and skeletal healing mechanisms (Ma et al., 2011), via ligand-gated nAChRs. In the presence of nicotine, these receptors undergo a conformation change and open their transmembrane ion channels, allowing for ion flow across the membrane. The intracellular flow of ions is believed to influence several secondary messenger signaling pathways (Kihara et al., 2001; West et al., 2003; Brunzell, Russell & Picciotto, 2003; Miñana et al. 1998; Meyer, Gahring & Rogers 2002); however, relationships between these pathways and their effect on the musculoskeletal system have yet to be established.

Nicotine exposure has also been shown to affect miRNA expression (Ng et al., 2013). miRNA are small, non-coding RNAs (~22 nucleotides), which can alter gene expression by forming complimentary base pairs with mRNA strands (Bartel, 2004). These miRNA are expressed throughout the body, including in muscular and skeletal tissues, and have been shown to affect cell viability, cell differentiation and even organ development by downregulating the genes associated with these biological processes (Callis, Chen & Wang, 2007). Each miRNA can target several genes, and therefore upregulation of a single strand can affect various biological processes. A link between the nicotinic effect and the miRNA expression has yet to be fully determined; however, there does appear to be a correlation between the two. In addition, it would also be interesting to see if miRNA expression was also altered as a consequence of nAChR activation. If so, a variety of therapeutic approaches, such as anti-sense miRNA or nAChR antagonists, could be devised to reverse and combat the negative effects of nicotine exposure on biological processes, such as wound and skeletal healing.

References Références Referencias

1. Albuquerque, E. X., Pereira, E. F. R., Alkondon, M., & Rogers, S. W. (2009). Mammalian nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: From structure to function. Physiological Reviews, 89(1), 73-120.
2. Alemdaroğlu, K. B., Tiftikçi, U., Iltar, S., Aydoğan, N. H., Kara, T., Atlihan, D., & Sabri Ateşalp, A. (2009). Factors affecting the fracture healing in treatment of tibial shaft fractures with circular external fixator. Injury, 40(11), 1151-1156.
3. Bartel, D. P. (2004). MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell, 116(2), 281-297.
4. Benowitz, N. L., Hukkanen, J., & Jacob III, P. (2009). Nicotine chemistry, metabolism, kinetics and biomarkers. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, 192, 29-60.
5. Benowitz, N. L., & Jacob III, P. (1993). Nicotine and cotinine elimination pharmacokinetics in smokers and nonsmokers. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 53(3), 316-323.
6. Benowitz, N. L., & Jacob III, P. (2000). Effects of cigarette smoking and carbon monoxide on nicotine and cotinine metabolism. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 67(6), 653-659.
7. Benowitz, N. L., Jacob III, P., Denaro, C., & Jenkins, R. (1991). Stable isotope studies of nicotine kinetics and bioavailability. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 49(3), 270-277.
8. Benowitz, N. L., Porchet, H., Sheiner, L., & Jacob III, P. (1988). Nicotine absorption and cardiovascular effects with smokeless tobacco use: Comparison

9. Bergström, J. (2004). Tobacco smoking and chronic destructive periodontal disease. Odontology / the Society of the Nippon Dental University, 92(1), 1-8.

10. Boulter, J., Connolly, J., Deneris, E., Goldman, D., Heinemann, S., & Patrick, J. (1987). Functional expression of two neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors from cDNA clones identifies a gene family. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 84(21), 7763-7767.

11. Brunzell, D. H., Russell, D. S., & Picciotto, M. R. (2003). In vivo nicotine treatment regulates mesocorticolimbic CREB and ERK signaling in C57B1/6J mice. Journal of Neurochemistry, 84(6), 1431-1441.

12. Callis, T. E., Chen, J. -., & Wang, D. -. (2007). MicroRNAs in skeletal and cardiac muscle development. DNA and Cell Biology, 26(4), 219-225.

13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2011). Quitting smoking among adults—United States, 2001–2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 60:1513–1519.

14. Chernyavsky, A. I., Arredondo, J., Karlsson, E., Wessler, I., & Grando, S. A. (2005). The Ras/Raf-1/MEK1/ERK signaling pathway coupled to integrin expression mediates cholinergic regulation of keratinocyte directional migration. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280(47), 39220-39228.

15. Choi, J. E., Kim, J. N., Jeong, S. H., & Son, S. W. (2010). Nicotine induces the expression of early growth response-1 in human skin dermal fibroblasts. International Journal of Dermatology, 49(2), 158-161.

16. Corringer, P. -., Bertrand, S., Galzi, J. -., Devillers-Thiéry, A., Changeux, J. -., & Bertrand, D. (1999). Mutational analysis of the charge selectivity filter of the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Neuron, 22(4), 831-843.

17. Corriveau, R. A., Romano, S. J., Conroy, W. G., Oliva, L., & Berg, D. K. (1995). Expression of neuronal acetylcholine receptor genes in vertebrate skeletal muscle during development. Journal of Neuroscience, 15(2), 1372-1383.

18. Conti-Tronconi, B. M., McLane, K. E., Raftery, M. A., Grando, S. A., & Protti, M. P. (1994). The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: Structure and autoimmune pathology. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 29(2), 69-123.

19. Dani, J. A., & Bertrand, D. (2007). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and nicotinic cholinergic mechanisms of the central nervous system. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol, 47, 699–729.

20. Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking: nicotine addiction. A Report of the Surgeon General. (1988). Retrieved January 15, 2014, from http:// profiles. nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/B/Z/D/

21. En-Nosse, M., Hartmann, S., Trinkaus, K., Alt, V., Stigler, B., Heiss, C., . . . Lips, K. S. (2009). Expression of non-neuronal cholinergic system in osteoblast-like cells and its involvement in osteogenesis. Cell and Tissue Research, 338(2), 203-215.

22. Fagerlund, M. J., & Eriksson, L. I. (2009). Current concepts in neuromuscular transmission. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 103(1), 108-114.

23. Fusby, J. S., Kassmeier, M. D., Palmer, V. L., Perry, G. A., Anderson, D. K., Hackfort, B. T., . . . Swanson, P. C. (2010). Cigarette smoke-induced effects on bone marrow B-cell subsets and CD4 +:CD8+ T-cell ratios are reversed by smoking cessation: Influence of bone mass on immune cell response to and recovery from smoke exposure. Inhalation Toxicology, 22(9), 785-796.

24. Galzi, J. -., Devillers-Thiery, A., Hussy, N., Bertrand, S., Changeux, J. -., & Bertrand, D. (1992). Mutations in the channel domain of a neuronal nicotinic receptor convert ion selectivity from cationic to anionic. Nature, 359(6395), 500-505.

25. Goniewicz, M. L., Hajek, P., & Mcrobbie, H. (2013). Nicotine content of electronic cigarettes, its release in vapour and its consistency across batches: Regulatory implications. Addiction, 1-8.

26. Ham, A.W., & Harris, W.R. (1971). Repair and transplant of bone. In G.H. Bourne (Ed.), The Biochemistry and Physiology of Bone: Development and Growth (pp.337-399). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, Medical Pub. Division.

27. Herning, R. I., Jones, R. T., Benowitz, N. L., & Mines, A. H. (1983). How a cigarette is smoked determines blood nicotine levels. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 33(1), 84-90.

28. Hoogduijn, M. J., Cheng, A., & Genever, P. G. (2009). Functional nicotinic and muscarinic receptors on mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells and Development, 18(1), 103-112.

29. Huang, L. Z., & Winzer-Serhan, U. H. (2006). Chronic neonatal nicotine upregulates heteromeric nicotinic acetylcholine receptor binding without change in subunit mRNA expression. Brain Research, 1113(1), 94-109.

30. Hukkanen, J., Jacob III, P., & Benowitz, N. L. (2005). Metabolism and disposition kinetics of nicotine. Pharmacological Reviews, 57(1), 79-115.

31. Hurst, R., Rollema, H., & Bertrand, D. (2013). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: From basic science to therapeutics. Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 137(1), 22-54.

32. Kallala, R., Barrow, J., Graham, S. M., Kanakaris, N., & Giannoudis, P. V. (2013). The in vitro and in vivo effects of nicotine on bone, bone cells and fracture repair. Expert Opinion on Drug Safety, 12(2), 209-233.

33. Kawakita, A., Sato, K., Makino, H., Ikegami, H., Takayama, S., Toyama, Y., & Umezawa, A. (2008). Nicotine acts on growth plate chondrocytes to delay skeletal growth through the α7 neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. PLoS ONE, 3(12), 1-11.

34. Kihara, T., Shimohama, S., Sawada, H., Honda, K., Nakamizo, T., Shibasaki, H., . . . Akaike, A. (2001). α7 nicotinic receptor transduces signals to phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase to block A β-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 276(17), 13541-13546.

35. Kim, B. -., Kim, S. -., Kim, H. -., Lee, S. -., Park, Y. -., Lee, J., & You, H. -. (2012). Effects of nicotine on proliferation and osteoblast differentiation in human alveolar bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Life Sciences, 90(3-4), 109-115.

36. Kim, S. Y., Kang, K. L., Lee, J. -., & Heo, J. S. (2012). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor α7 and β4 subunits contribute to nicotine-induced apoptosis in periodontal ligament stem cells. Molecules and Cells, 33(4), 343-350.

37. Krannitz, K. W., Fong, H. W., Fallat, L. M., & Kish, J. (2009). The effect of cigarette smoking on radiographic bone healing after elective foot surgery. Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery, 48(5), 525-527.

38. Laytragoon-Lewin, N., Bahram, F., Rutqvist, L. E., Turesson, I., & Lewin, F. (2011). Direct effects of pure nicotine, cigarette smoke extract, swedish-type smokeless tobacco (snus) extract and ethanol on human normal endothelial cells and fibroblasts. Anticancer Research, 31(5), 1527-1534.

39. Lee, B. L., Benowitz, N. L., & Jacob III, P. (1987). Influence of tobacco abstinence on the disposition kinetics and effects of nicotine. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 41(4), 474-479.

40. Lee, H. -., Pi, S. -., Kim, Y., Kim, H. -., Kim, S. -., Kim, Y. -., . . . Kim, E. -. (2009). Effects of nicotine on antioxidant defense enzymes and RANKL expression in human periodontal ligament cells. Journal of Periodontology, 80(8), 1281-1288.

41. Lee, S. -., Kang, K. -., Shin, S. -., Herr, Y., Lee, Y. -., & Kim, E. -. (2012). Endoplasmic reticulum stress modulates nicotine-induced extracellular matrix degradation in human periodontal ligament cells. Journal of Periodontal Research, 47(3), 299-308. Lindstrom, J. (1997). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in health and disease. Molecular Neurobiology, 15(2), 193-222.

42. Lukas, R. J., Changeux, J. -., Le Novère, N., Albuquerque, E. X., Balfour, D. J. K., Berg, D. K., . . . Wonnacott, S. (1999). International union of pharmacology. XX. Current status of the nomenclature for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and their subunits. Pharmacological Reviews, 51(2), 397-401.

43. Ma, L., Sham, M. H., Zheng, L. W., & Cheung, L. K. (2011). Influence of low-dose nicotine on bone healing. Journal of Trauma - Injury, Infection and Critical Care, 70(6), E117-E121.

44. Macklin, K. D., Maus, A. D. J., Pereira, E. F. R., Albuquerque, E. X., & Conti-Fine, B. M. (1998). Human vascular endothelial cells express functional nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 287(1), 435-439.

45. Martin, J. W., Mousa, S. S., Shaker, O., & Mousa, S. A. (2009). The multiple faces of nicotine and its implications in tissue and wound repair. Experimental Dermatology, 18(6), 497-505.

46. Meyer, E. L., Gahring, L. C., & Rogers, S. W. (2002). Nicotine preconditioning antagonizes activity-dependent caspase proteolysis of a glutamate receptor. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 277(13), 10869-10875.

47. Miñana, M. -., Montoliu, C., Llansola, M., Grisolía, S., & Felipo, V. (1998). Nicotine prevents glutamate-induced proteolysis of the microtubule-associated protein MAP-2 and glutamate neurotoxicity in primary cultures of cerebellar neurons. Neuropharmacology, 37(7), 847-857.

48. Misery, L. (2004). Nicotine effects on skin: Are they positive or negative. Experimental Dermatology, 13(11), 665-670.

49. Missias, A. C., Chu, G. C., Klocke, B. J., Sanes, J. R., & Merlie, J. P. (1996). Maturation of the acetylcholine receptor in skeletal muscle: Regulation of the AChR γ-to-ε switch. Developmental Biology, 179(1), 223-238.

50. Molander, L., Lunell, E., Andersson, S. -., & Kuylenstierna, F. (1996). Dose released and absolute bioavailability of nicotine from a nicotine vapor inhaler. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 59(4), 394-400.

51. Ng, T. K., Carballosa, C. M., Pelaez, D., Wong, H. K., Choy, K. W., Pang, C. P., & Cheung, H. S. (2013). Nicotine alters MicroRNA expression and hinders human adult stem cell regenerative potential. Stem Cells and Development, 22(5), 781-790.

52. Ojima, M., Hanioka, T., Tanaka, K., Inoshita, E., & Aoyama, H. (2006). Relationship between smoking status and periodontal conditions: Findings from national databases in japan. Journal of Periodontal Research, 41(6), 573-579.

53. Papke, R. L., Boulter, J., Patrick, J., & Heinemann, S. (1989). Single-channel currents of rat neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors expressed in xenopus oocytes. Neuron, 3(5), 589-596.

54. Papke, R. L., & Heinemann, S. F. (1991). The role of the β4-subunit in determining the kinetic properties

of rat neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine α3-receptors. Journal of Physiology, 440, 95-111.

55. Park, H. S., Cho, K., Park, Y. J., & Lee, T. (2011). Chronic nicotine exposure attenuates proangiogenic activity on human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology, 57(3), 287-293.

56. Park, Y. J., Lee, T., Ha, J., Jung, I. M., Chung, J. K., & Kim, S. J. (2008). Effect of nicotine on human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) migration and angiogenesis. Vascular Pharmacology, 49(1), 32-36.

57. Perry, D. C., Dávila-García, M. I., Stockmeier, C. A., & Kellar, K. J. (1999). Increased nicotinic receptors in brains from smokers: Membrane binding and autoradiography studies. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 289(3), 1545-1552.

58. Picciotto, M. R., Caldarone, B. J., Brunzell, D. H., Zachariou, V., Stevens, T. R., & King, S. L. (2001). Neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit knockout mice: Physiological and behavioral phenotypes and possible clinical implications. Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 92(2-3), 89-108.

59. Porter, S. E., & Hanley Jr., E. N. (2001). The musculoskeletal effects of smoking. The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 9(1), 9-17.

60. Portugal, G. S., & Gould, T. J. (2008). Genetic variability in nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and nicotine addiction: Converging evidence from human and animal research. Behavioural Brain Research, 193(1), 1-16.

61. Prather, R. D., Tu, T. G., Rolf, C. N., & Gorsline, J. (1993). Nicotine pharmacokinetics of nicoderm® (nicotine transdermal system) in women and obese men compared with normal-sized men. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 33(7), 644-649.

62. Resende, R. R., Alves, A. S., Britto, L. R. G., & Ulrich, H. (2008). Role of acetylcholine receptors in proliferation and differentiation of P19 embryonal carcinoma cells. Experimental Cell Research, 314(7), 1429-1443.

63. Romano, S. J., Corriveau, R. A., Schwarz, R. I., & Berg, D. K. (1997). Expression of the nicotinic receptor α7 gene in tendon and periosteum during early development. Journal of Neurochemistry, 68(2), 640-648.

64. Ruiz, J. P., Pelaez, D., Dias, J., Ziebarth, N. M., & Cheung, H. S. (2012). The effect of nicotine on the mechanical properties of mesenchymal stem cells. Cell Health and Cytoskeleton, 4, 29-35.

65. Schneider, N. G., Olmstead, R. E., Franzon, M. A., & Lunell, E. (2001). The nicotine inhaler clinical pharmacokinetics and comparison with other nicotine treatments. Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 40(9), 661-684.

66. Schraufstatter, I. U., DiScipio, R. G., & Khaldoyanidi, S. K. (2009). Alpha 7 subunit of nAChR regulates migration of human mesenchymal stem cells. Journal of Stem Cells, 4(4), 203-215.

67. Sharma, G., & Vijayaraghavan, S. (2002). Nicotinic receptor signaling in nonexcitable cells. Journal of Neurobiology, 53(4), 524-534.

68. Shen, Y., Liu, H. -., Ying, X. -., Yang, S. -., Nie, P. -., Cheng, S. -., . . . Xu, H. -. (2013). Dose-dependent effects of nicotine on proliferation and differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells and the antagonistic action of vitamin C. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 114(8), 1720-1728.

69. Silva, D., Cáceres, M., Arancibia, R., Martínez, C., Martínez, J., & Smith, P. C. (2012). Effects of cigarette smoke and nicotine on cell viability, migration and myofibroblastic differentiation. Journal of Periodontal Research, 47(5), 599-607.

70. Sloan, A., Hussain, I., Maqsood, M., Eremin, O., & El-Sheemy, M. (2010). The effects of smoking on fracture healing. Surgeon, 8(2), 111-116.

71. Sopori, M. (2002). Effects of cigarette smoke on the immune system. Nature Reviews Immunology, 2(5), 372-377.

72. Stevens R (1994) Joint abuse liability review of nicotine nasal spray, in FDA Drug Abuse Advisory Committee Background Information (cited in NDA 18612).

73. Tinti, F., & Soory, M. (2012). Mechanisms for redox actions of nicotine and glutathione in cell culture, relevant to periodontitis. Scientific Reports, 2, 1-7.

74. Urakawa, N., Nagata, T., Kudo, K., Kimura, K., & Imamura, T. (1994). Simultaneous determination of nicotine and cotinine in various human tissues using capillary gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 106(5), 232-236.

75. Villablanca, A. C. (1998). Nicotine stimulates DNA synthesis and proliferation in vascular endothelial cells in vitro. Journal of Applied Physiology, 84(6), 2089-2098.

76. Walker, L. M., Preston, M. R., Magnay, J. L., Thomas, P. B. M., & El Haj, A. J. (2001). Nicotinic regulation of c-fos and osteopontin expression in human-derived osteoblast-like cells and human trabecular bone organ culture. Bone, 28(6), 603-608.

77. Wallace, T. L., & Bertrand, D. (2013). Importance of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor system in the prefrontal cortex. Biochemical Pharmacology, 85(12), 1713-1720.

78. Wang, X. -., Liu, Y. -., Wang, Q. -., Tsuruoka, M., Ohta, K., Wu, S. -., . . . Inoue, T. (2010). Functional expression of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in human periodontal ligament fibroblasts and rat periodontal tissues. Cell and Tissue Research, 340(2), 347-355.

79. Wessler, I., & Kirkpatrick, C. J. (2008). Acetylcholine beyond neurons: The non-neuronal cholinergic system in humans. British Journal of Pharmacology, 154(8), 1558-1571.

80. West, K. A., Brognard, J., Clark, A. S., Linnoila, I. R., Yang, X., Swain, S. M. Dennis, P. A. (2003). Rapid akt activation by nicotine and a tobacco carcinogen modulates the phenotype of normal human airway epithelial cells. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 111(1), 81-90.

81. Wynn, W. P., Stroman, R. T., Almgren, M. M., & Clark, K. J. (2012). The pharmacist "toolbox" for smoking cessation: A review of methods, medicines, and novel means to help patients along the path of smoking reduction to smoking cessation. Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 25(6), 591-599.

82. Ying, X., Cheng, S., Shen, Y., Cheng, X., an Rompis, F., Wang, W. Lu, C. Z. (2012). Nicotine promotes proliferation and collagen synthesis of chondrocytes. isolated from normal human and osteoarthritis patients. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 359(1-2), 263-269.

83. Ying, X., Zhang, W., Cheng, S., Nie, P., Cheng, X., Shen, Y. Lu, C. (2012). Nicotine-induced chondrogenic differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells in vitro. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 20(11), 2329-2336.

84. Zevin, S., Jacob III, P., & Benowitz, N. (1997). Cotinine effects on nicotine metabolism. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 61(6), 649-654.

85. Zia, S., Ndoye, A., Nguyen, V. T., & Grando, S. A. (1997). Nicotine enhances expression of the α3, α4, α5, and α7 nicotinic receptors modulating calcium metabolism and regulating adhesion and motility of respiratory epithelial cells. Research Communications in Molecular Pathology and Pharmacology, 97(3), 243-262.

Table 1 : The above table displays the nicotine levels for the most common nicotine-containing products along with the corresponding levels of blood nicotine absorption

Brand	Nicotine Concentration	Absorbed Nicotine
Average Cigarette	13-19 mg (Wynn et al. 2012)	2.3-3.5 mg (Benowitz, Jacob & Denaro, (1991))
NicodermCQ (Nicotine Patch)	7 mg (http://www.nicodermcq.com/nicotine-patch/how-to-use)	not available
	14 mg (http://www.nicodermcq.com/nicotine-patch/how-to-use)	not available
	21 mg (http://www.nicodermcq.com/nicotine-patch/how-to-use)	14.28 mg (Prather et al., 1993)
Nicorette (Nicotine Gum)	2 mg/piece (http://www.nicorette.com/nicorette-gum)	1.56 mg (Benowitz et al., 1988)
	4 mg/piece (http://www.nicorette.com/nicorette-gum)	2.2 mg (Stevens, 1994)
Nicotrol (Nicotine Inhaler)	10 mg cartridge; 4 mg delivered (http://www.pfizer.com/files/products/uspi_nicotrol_inhaler.pdf)	2.04-2.24 mg (Molander et al., 1996; Schneider et al., 2001)
Blue Cig (E-Cigarette)	Cartridges ranging from 0 -16 mg/cartridge (http://www.blucigs.com/cartridges)	not available
Halo Cigs (E-Cigarette)	Refill Liquids ranging from 0 - 24 mg/ml (http://www.halocigs.com/e-liquid.html)	4 mg/20min from 20 mg/ml solution (Goniewicz et al., 2013)