



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: G
VETERINARY SCIENCE AND VETERINARY MEDICINE
Volume 14 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2014
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Effect of High Energy and High Protein Diets on Zinc and Copper Metabolism in Goats

By A.N.Sayed, Nabila A.Gazia, A.M.Abd-Ellah & Sh. M.Abdel-Raheem

Abstract- The effect of different levels of energy and protein on the utilization of zinc and copper were evaluated in four metabolic experiments. A total of nine growing castrated male balady kids having nearly the same age (8-9 months) and body weight (15-18kg) were experimented on. Kids were housed individually in metabolism cages in order to collect feces and urine. Four experiments, 3 trials per each were done on the same animals, with about 10 days as a rest period between one experiment and another, in which the animals were fed on control ration during the interval. The nine kids were randomly divided into 3 groups (A, B and C), 3 kids per each. The first group (A) was fed the control ration and used as control, while the other two groups (B and C) were fed the tested rations which furnished 15% more or less DE and CP than the control. Feeding the high energy ration increased the apparent absorption and retention of copper and decreased the apparent retention of zinc, while feeding low energy ration decreased the apparent absorption and retention of zinc and copper.

Keywords: energy, protein, zinc, copper, metabolism, goats.

GJMR-G Classification : NLMC Code: QU 55, WB 400



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2014. A.N.Sayed, Nabila A.Gazia, A.M.Abd-Ellah & Sh. M.Abdel-Raheem. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Effect of High Energy and High Protein Diets on Zinc and Copper Metabolism in Goats

A.N.Sayed ^α, Nabila A.Gazia ^σ, A.M.Abd-Ellah ^ρ & Sh. M.Abdel-Raheem ^ω

Abstract- The effect of different levels of energy and protein on the utilization of zinc and copper were evaluated in four metabolic experiments. A total of nine growing castrated male balady kids having nearly the same age (8-9 months) and body weight (15-18kg) were experimented on. Kids were housed individually in metabolism cages in order to collect feces and urine. Four experiments, 3 trials per each were done on the same animals, with about 10 days as a rest period between one experiment and another, in which the animals were fed on control ration during the interval. The nine kids were randomly divided into 3 groups (A, B and C), 3 kids per each. The first group (A) was fed the control ration and used as control, while the other two groups (B and C) were fed the tested rations which furnished 15% more or less DE and CP than the control. Feeding the high energy ration increased the apparent absorption and retention of copper and decreased the apparent retention of zinc, while feeding low energy ration decreased the apparent absorption and retention of zinc and copper. Feeding the high protein ration decreased the apparent absorption and retention of copper, while low protein ration increased the absorption and retention of zinc and copper. Feeding the high energy-high protein ration increased the apparent absorption of zinc but decreased the apparent absorption of copper. On the other hand, low energy-low protein ration decreased the absorption and retention of zinc but increased the absorption and retention of copper.

Keywords: energy, protein, zinc, copper, metabolism, goats.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is no doubt that considerable increase in animal production can be achieved with improved nutrition and management practices under different production systems of management ¹. Efficient utilization of nutrients depends on an adequate supply of energy, which of paramount importance in determining the productivity. In goats, energy deficiency retards kids growth, delays puberty, reduces fertility². With continued energy deficiency, the animals show a concurrent reduction in resistance to infectious diseases and parasites. The problem may be further complicated by deficiencies of protein, minerals and vitamins. Energy limitation may result from inadequate feed intake. Low energy intake that result from either feed restriction or low diet component digestibility prevent goats from meeting their requirements and from attaining their genetic potential. Goats are more active and travel

greater distances than sheep, which increases energy requirements, high water content of forages may also become a limiting factor ³. Protein deficiencies in the diet deplete stores in the blood, liver and muscles and predispose animal to a variety of serious and even fatal ailments. The further protein deficiency reduces rumen function and lower the efficiency of feed utilization ². Mineral requirements for animals is affected by many aspects, such as nature and level of production, age, level and chemical form of elements, breed and animal adaptation⁴. The bioavailability of trace-elements to animals can be affected by a variety of dietary components, one of these components is protein⁵. Copper deficiency is a serious problem for grazing ruminant in many countries of the world due to both low concentration of the element in the forage as well as to elevated amount of molybdenum and sulfur which interfere with copper utilization⁶. The present study was carried out to investigate the effect of different levels of energy and protein on the metabolism of zinc and copper.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Animals and housing

A total number of nine growing castrated male balady kids to be nearly of the same age (8-9 months) and body weight (15-18 kg) were used in this study. Each kid was kept in an individual metabolic cage allowing the collection of feces and urine separately. A weighed daily ration was offered to each animal in its respective feed trough and tap water freely available.

b) Rations and Feeding

This study was carried out in four experiments, each experiment had 3 trials and each trial durated 30 days. Before starting the experiments, the kids were fed a balanced ration (control ration) for three weeks in order to accustom the animals on the ration and to assume the repletion of body mineral store. The control ration was formulated to contain the recommended levels of digestible energy (DE) 2.94 Mcal/kg, crude protein (CP) 9.51%, zinc 63.55 ppm and copper 16.72 ppm according to the NRC3 for goats. The preliminary period was extended for 21 days, while the collection period was extend for 8 days. During the collection period, the daily fecal matter excreted and the daily amount of urine were separately collected, measured, sampled and prepared for further chemical analysis. A

Author ^{α σ ρ ω}: Dept. of Animal and Clinical Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, P.O. Box 71526, Assiut, Egypt. e-mail: baset61@yahoo.com

fixed weight of the ration was offered to each animal and the daily feed intake was calculated. The experiments were carried on the same groups and separated by a rest period of 10 days, during which the kids were fed on control ration. Nine test rations varying in their energy and protein levels were fed to the kids during the metabolism study as shown in the design in Table 1. The nine kids were randomly divided into 3 groups (A, B and C), 3 kids per each. The first group (A) was fed the

control ration and used as control, while the other two groups (B and C) were fed the tested rations which furnished 15% more or less DE and CP than the control. The physical and chemical composition of the nine tested rations and energy values (DE) were shown in Tables 3 and 4, while Table 2 shows the chemical composition and energy value of the ingredients used in formulating of the experimental rations.

Table 1 : The design of experimental study

Experiment	Trial	Group	DE (Mcal/kg diet)	CP (%)	Ration
I	1	A	2.94	9.51	1 NENP*
	2	B	2.96	10.79	2 NEHP
	3	C	2.93	8.08	3 NELP
II	1	A	2.94	9.51	1 NENP
	2	B	3.39	9.54	4 HENP
	3	C	3.40	11.00	5 HEHP
III	1	A	2.94	9.51	1 NENP
	2	B	3.37	8.14	6 HELP
	3	C	2.53	9.59	7 LENP
IV	1	A	2.94	9.51	1 NENP
	2	B	2.58	10.80	8 LEHP
	3	C	2.51	8.06	9 LELP

*NE=normal energy, HE=High energy, LE=Low energy, NP=normal protein, HP=high protein, LP=low protein

Table 2 : Chemical composition (on DM basis) and digestible energy of the ingredients used in the experimental rations

Ingredient	DM	Chemical composition								DE (Mcal/kg)
		(%)						(ppm)		
		OM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	Ash	Cu	Zinc	
Yellow corn	89.7	97.88	9.6	4.16	2.77	81.35	2.12	3.5	12.8	3.84
Soybean meal	91.3	93.09	47.0	5.47	7.44	33.18	6.91	22.8	42.9	3.88
CSM	92.5	95.40	27.0	6.40	24.5	37.50	4.60	19.9	62.2	2.65
Wheat bran	90.65	93.00	15.6	4.70	8.37	64.33	7.00	12.7	113.7	3.09
Wheat straw	90.0	86.70	3.5	1.66	38.0	43.54	13.30	3.2	5.6	1.94
Limestone	98.0	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-
Common salt	98.0	-	-	-	-	-	98.00	-	-	-
Mineral mixture	98.0	-	-	-	-	-	98.00	10	40	-
AD3E	98.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3 : Physical composition of the experimental rations

Ingredients	Rations								
	NENP	NEHP	NELP	HENP	HEHP	HELP	LENP	LEHP	LELP
Yellow corn	46.00	43.00	50.00	74.00	70.00	77.00	18.00	20.00	23.00
Soybean meal	5.00	10.00	2.00	2.00	7.00	-	8.00	10.00	3.00
Cottonseed meal	2.00	-	2.00	2.00	-	-	2.00	5.00	5.00
Wheat bran	5.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	9.00	5.00	6.00
Wheat straw	40.45	42.55	42.45	18.35	1.35	21.35	61.65	58.65	61.55
Limestone, ground	0.80	0.70	0.80	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.60	0.60	0.70
Common salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
AD3E	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Mineral mixture	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 4 : Chemical composition on (DM basis) and digestible energy of the experimental rations

Rations	DM	Chemical composition								DE (Mcal/kg)
		(%)						(ppm)		
		OM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	Ash	Cu	Zinc	
1	90.14	91.41	9.51	3.22	17.92	60.76	8.59	16.72	63.55	2.94
2	90.14	91.19	10.79	3.19	18.36	58.85	8.81	17.23	61.72	2.96
3	90.07	91.48	8.08	3.11	18.33	61.96	8.52	15.79	59.07	2.93
4	90.01	94.08	9.53	3.71	9.83	71.01	5.92	15.88	60.98	3.39
5	90.03	93.79	11.00	3.70	9.98	69.11	6.21	16.57	61.47	3.40
6	89.91	93.99	8.14	3.55	10.24	72.06	6.01	14.88	56.80	3.37
7	90.27	88.91	9.59	2.76	25.77	50.79	11.09	17.68	67.26	2.53
8	90.34	89.27	10.80	2.91	25.23	50.33	10.73	18.25	65.30	2.58
9	90.25	89.11	8.06	2.74	25.98	52.33	10.89	16.86	63.97	2.51

c) Fecal samples

The total amount of the daily fecal matter excreted per animal was collected daily at 8.00am before feeding. The freshly collected fecal matter of each animal was weighed, recorded, mixed thoroughly and then representative sample (10%) was taken and dried in hot air oven at 60C for about 24h. The dried fecal samples from each animal were thoroughly mixed finely ground and stored at room temperature for further chemical analysis.

d) Urine samples

The daily urine excreted by the kids was collected at 8.00am and measured in graduated cylinder to record its volume. The collected amount from

Apparent absorption = ----- × 100

Intake

Intake – (fecal excretion + urinary excretion)

Apparent retention = ----- × 100

Intake

(Ammerman et al)⁶

f) Minerals determination in feces and urine

Duplicate samples of 1gm feces and 10ml of urine were ashed with 20ml acid mixture (2 parts concentrated nitric acid + 1 part concentrated perchloric acid) and then digested on hot plate for 1.5-2h until the color become clear and volume reduced to the minimum. The ashed samples were diluted with bidistilled water in clean dry tightly closed glass bottles 100ml capacity and then stored for subsequent minerals

each animal was then thoroughly mixed and two representative samples, 100ml each was taken and acidified with 2ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid, then kept in refrigerator at 4°C for further chemical analysis.

e) Metabolism trials

In the simple form of the balance technique, the intake of the element under study is compared with the fecal output from the animal's body and the difference is assumed to be absorbed by the animal (apparent absorption) which may then be expressed as a percentage of the dietary intake or in g or mg/head/day.

Intake - fecal excretion

determination. The zinc and copper in the prepared samples of fecal matter were measured in ppm and of urine in mg/L by atomic absorption/flame emission spectrophotometer using an air-acetylene flame and hallow cathode lamp after method described by Slavin⁸.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The metabolic balances of zinc and copper in the four experiments are presented in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5 : Zinc balance (mg/head/day) in kids during the experiments

Item	Experiment I			Experiment II			Experiment III			Experiment IV		
	NENP	NEHP	NELP	NENP	HENP	HEHP	NENP	HELP	LENP	NENP	LEHP	LELP
Zn intake	29.26	30.08	29.55	31.84	30.47	32.38	32.7	30.61	35.63	34.42	35.53	35.61
Fecal Zn	17.43	17.7	17.41	18.87	17.95	19.00	19.31	17.77	21.09	20.02	20.74	20.80
Urinary Zn	3.00	3.05	2.96	2.94	2.97	3.18	2.84	2.76	3.03	2.90	2.98	2.94
Absorbed Zn	11.83	12.37	12.14	12.97	12.52	13.38	13.57	13.02	14.54	14.40	14.79	14.81
Retained Zn	8.83	9.32	9.18	10.03	9.55	10.20	10.73	10.26	11.51	11.5	11.81	11.87
(100)*	30.43	41.12	41.08	40.73	41.08	41.32	41.5	42.52	40.81	41.84	41.63	41.59
Absorption	30.18	30.98	31.07	31.50	31.34	31.50	32.81	33.52	32.30	33.41	33.24	33.33

%	(100)*	(102.65)	(102.95)	(100)	(99.49)	(100)	(100)	(102.16)	(98.45)	(100)	(99.49)	(99.76)
Retention %												

$Absorbed = intake - fecal$ $Retained = intake - (fecal + urinary)$

*Figures in parentheses are the rate of absorption or retention in percentages.

Table 6 : Copper balance (mg/head/day) in kids during the experiments

Item	Experiment I			Experiment II			Experiment III			Experiment IV		
	NENP	NEHP	NELP	NENP	HENP	HEHP	NENP	HELP	LENP	NENP	LEHP	LELP
Cu intake	8.54	9.32	8.77	9.29	8.81	9.70	9.54	8.92	10.37	10.05	10.99	10.40
Fecal Cu	4.24	4.74	4.28	4.66	4.36	4.90	4.73	4.40	5.18	4.99	5.70	5.16
Urinary Cu	0.65	0.79	0.68	0.88	0.79	0.86	0.82	0.83	0.87	0.95	1.09	0.93
Absorbed Cu	4.30	4.58	4.49	4.63	4.45	4.80	4.81	4.52	5.19	5.06	5.29	5.24
Retained Cu	3.65	3.79	3.81	3.75	3.66	3.94	3.99	3.69	4.30	4.11	4.20	4.31
	50.35	49.14	51.20	49.84	50.51	49.48	50.42	50.67	50.05	50.35	48.13	50.38
	(100)*	(97.6)	(101.69)	(100)	(101.34)	(99.28)	(100)	(100.50)	(99.27)	(100)	(95.59)	(100.06)
Absorption %	42.74	40.66	43.44	40.36	41.54	40.62	41.82	41.37	41.47	40.8	38.22	41.44
	(100)*	(95.13)	101.64)	(100)	(102.92)	(100.64)	(100)	(98.92)	(99.16)	(100)	(93.68)	(101.57)
Retention %												

$Absorbed = intake - fecal$ $Retained = intake - (fecal + urinary)$

*Figures in parentheses are the rate of absorption or retention in percentages.

Experiment I

Kids group fed high protein showed slight increase in the amount of zinc intake and in the excreted zinc in both feces and urine compared with control. The increased urinary Zn excretion by high protein diet was similar to the findings of Greger and Snedeker⁵ who reported that high protein diet increased urinary zinc excretion and attributed that to a greater amount of histidine and cystine in the high protein ration. The apparent absorption and retention of Zn were increased when dietary protein levels increased as reported by Gawthorne et al⁹. Feeding high or low protein rations increased the amount of Cu intake, Cu excretion in feces and urine compared to control. The apparent Cu absorption and retention percentages were decreased in kids group fed the NEHP ration, while increased in group fed on NELP ration compared with control. The increase dietary crude protein is responsible for the formation of insoluble copper sulfide during rumen fermentation resulting in lower solubility and absorption of Cu^{10,11}.

Experiment II

High energy-high protein ration slightly increased the amount of Zn intake, fecal and urinary Zn excretion than control one. The increase of fecal Zn excretion in kids fed HEHP ration may be due to high Zn intake as reported by McDowell¹² who reported that the fecal endogenous Zn increases with the increased Zn intake. The apparent Zn absorption was increased in HENP & HEHP rations, while the apparent retention was decreased in kids group fed HENP. Feeding high energy ration decreased the amount of Cu intake, fecal and urinary Cu excretion compared to control. The apparent absorption and retention of Cu were slightly increased in kids group fed HENP ration.

Experiment III

Feeding the HELP ration was decreased the amount of Zn intake, zinc excreted in feces and urine compared to control one. The apparent Zn absorption and retention were increased in group fed HELP ration, while decreased in group fed LENP. The decrease in absorption percentage in low energy ration may be due to high zinc intake¹³. Feeding low energy ration increased the amount of Cu intake, fecal and urinary excretion compared to HELP and control one. The apparent absorption and retention of Cu were decreased in kids group fed LENP ration.

Experiment IV

Kids fed the LEHP and LELP rations showed a slight increased in the amounts of Zn intake, Zn excreted in both feces and urine compared to control. The apparent absorption and retention of Zn were decreased in kids group fed the LEHP and LELP rations compared to control. On this respect, many authors reported that, the apparent Zn absorption and retention were increased when dietary protein levels were increased⁹. Kids fed on LEHP ration recorded the highest amount of Cu intake and excretion in feces and urine compared to LELP and control one. The apparent absorption and retention were decreased in kids group fed the LEHP compared to the control one. These findings were in accordance with that found by Ward¹⁰ and Ivans and Veira¹¹ who found that the increase in dietary CP resulting in lower solubility and absorption of Cu in sheep. The summarized effect of energy and protein levels revealed that feeding HENP ration increased the apparent absorption and retention of Cu, while the NEHP and LEHP rations recorded the lowest values for apparent absorption and retention compared to other groups including control. On the other hand, feeding low

protein with high energy levels increased the apparent absorption of Zn compared to the control group.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Timon, V.M. and Hanrhan, J.P. (1986): Small ruminant production in the developing countries. Proc. Of an expert consultation held in Sofia, Bulgaria, FAO, Rome.
2. Singh, S.N. and Sengar, O.P.S. (1970): Investigation on milk and meat potentialities of Indian goats. Final Report Project. Raja Balwaan Singh College, Bichpuri, India.
3. NRC (1981): Nutrient requirements of goats angora, dairy and meat goats in temperate and tropical countries. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
4. McDowell, L.R. and Conrad, H.J.(1977): Trace mineral nutrition in Latin America. World Animal Review. J. of Animal Production, 24:24-33.
5. Greger, J.L. and Snedeker, S.M. (1980): Effect of dietary protein and phosphorus levels on the utilization of zinc, copper and manganese by adult males. J.Nutrition, 110:2243-2253.
6. Ammerman, C.B; Baker, D.H.and Lewis, A.J. (1995): Bioavailibility of nutrients for animals (amino acids, minerals and vitamins). Academic Press, San Diego, New York, Boston, London.
7. Challa, J. and Braithwaite, G.D. (1988): Phosphorus and calcium metabolism in growing calves with special emphasis on phosphorus homestasis. J. Agric. Sci. Camb., 110:573-581.
8. Slavin, W. (1968): Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. Inter-science Publ. New York, 25:87-90.
9. Gawthorne, J.M.; Howell, J.M. and White, C.L. (1981): Trace-elements metabolism in man and animals. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York.
10. Ward, G.M. (1987): Molybdenum toxicity and hypocuprosis in ruminants. A review. J. Anim. Sci., 46:1078-1085.
11. Ivan, M. and Veria, D.M. (1981): Effect of dietary protein on the solubilities of manganese, copper, zinc and iron in the rumen and abomasums of sheep. Can J. Anim. Sci., 61:955-959.
12. McDowell, L.R. (1992): Minerals in animal and human nutrition. Academic Press, INC, New York.
13. Miller, W.J. (1970): Zinc nutrition in cattle: Review. J. Dairy Science, 53:1113-1115.





This page is intentionally left blank