



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: K
INTERDISCIPLINARY

Volume 15 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2015

Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Cutting Edges of Science Edification: The Power of Economy

By Akbar Nikkhah

University of Zanjan, Iran

Abstract- This article innovatively elaborates on two entirely useful and harmful angles of science education in building growing economy nationally and globally. Static economy-demolishing schooling versus accountable and moral economy-flourishing mentorship is delineated from a science edification philosophy. Generating moral figures and perceptions from scientific and educational discoveries must be globally pursued. This is key to maintaining an expanding nature for science-founded economy and to establishing national and international peace and prosperity.

Keywords: science, education, economy, global business, finance.

GJMR-K Classification: NLMC Code: WY 18.5, WZ 18.2



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Cutting Edges of Science Edification: The Power of Economy

Akbar Nikkhah

Abstract- This article innovatively elaborates on two entirely useful and harmful angles of science education in building growing economy nationally and globally. Static economy-demolishing schooling versus accountable and moral economy-flourishing mentorship is delineated from a science edification philosophy. Generating moral figures and perceptions from scientific and educational discoveries must be globally pursued. This is key to maintaining an expanding nature for science-founded economy and to establishing national and international peace and prosperity.

Keywords: science, education, economy, global business, finance.

I. INNOVATIVE EDGES OF SCIENCE-FOUNDED ECONOMY POLICIES

The objective of this economy policy article was to describe innovative edges of science education for quality economy and life in the new postmodern rising era. Science and technology education in the postmodern time will not be counted merely on the basis of practical or purely hypothetical realizations and achievements. The capability to preserve embryonic tendencies in science and technology education will rely on generating the type of scientists and researchers who can capacitate education and creation of more and not less qualified than own.

Such new generations of science and technology educators and mentors are not simply characterized by teaching and research proficiencies [1,2]. They must be crucial edges whose exclusivities are embraced with merits in growth and education of science-founded economy mentorship concepts. Mentorship is an art whereas schooling is a limited occupation. Schooling is transferring knowledge to learners whereas moral mentorship is constructing, capturing and exchanging insights in science and technology. Schooling teaches learning and education of self, but mentorship creates capacities to train and mentor minds and bodies of else [3,4].

From a global perspective, schooling develops learners that finally graduate whereas mentorship generates pragmatic influencers that move on forever in the learning path until after even they bodily die. Schooling requires giving back the teacher only the

materials that were educated whereas mentorship directs minds to create innovative philosophies. Schooling is almost a one-way correspondence, but mentorship is an innovative and creative medium for idea and perspective exchange. Schooling does not tolerate mentees to question teachers and the way they think and teach, whereas mentorship truly welcomes pragmatic learners to challenge mentors' thoughts [5-7].

Questions and challenges are the means whereby learners can experience science communication with others and observe critical education of others. Schooling is restricted to habitual times whereas mentorship defines a circadian lifetime commitment [8].

Schoolers are employees whereas mentors serve as employers. Schools employ teachers whereas mentors employ science and technology. Schooling encourages learning whereas mentorship creates mentors capable of building everevolving education roads. Schoolers tutor science whereas mentors generate innovative science producers. Schooling is an already-known task whereas mentorship is a creative and challenging commitment. The most important results of schooling are science discoveries whereas among the utmost consequences of mentorship are brilliant minds and philosophies that are created within mentors' contemplations towards creating the scientists that fuel ongoing discoveries.

Schooling may expand the existing knowledge somewhat, whereas mentorship does develop scientists who collectively make considerable progress in the innovation of new groundbreaking insights. Knowledge is the end but insight is just the inauguration to commence and create novel authorities of contemplation. In a nutshell, schooling is an instant line whereas mentorship is a well-shaped thorough concept of pragmatism that resembles an encircle surrounding a central negligible tip of discoveries. However, the adjacent surrounding area encompasses the morality in creating leading-edge mentors of science education. Certainly, schooling causes knowledge accumulation that conceptually and pragmatically adds nothing to the literature but the complexity, whereas mentorship integrates science into safe and quality economy and life policies.

II. CONCLUSIONS

To sum, schooling complicates science whereas mentorship simplifies understanding of

Author: Chief Highly Distinguished Professor Foremost Principal Highly Distinguished Elite-Generating Scientist Department of Animal Sciences Faculty of Agricultural Sciences University of Zanjan National Elite Foundation, Iran. e-mail: anikkha@yahoo.com

economy and life. Accountable mentorship instead of irresponsible schooling will persist to serve as a crucial cutting edge science for today's education towards quality economy and life. Such a pragmatic mentorship will immensely help create global moral figures and concepts from scientific discoveries. These perceptions are a crucial beginning to global cooperations in establishing reciprocal understanding and sturdy national-international peace and prosperity.

III. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Ministry of Science Research and Technology, National Elite Foundation, and University of Zanjan thanked for supporting the author's global initiatives and innovative programs of optimizing science edification in the new millennium.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Nikkhah A. (2014). Science of the new times: A circle not a line. *Adv. Crop Sci. Tech.* 2: e111. doi:10.4172/2329-8863.1000e111.
2. Nikkhah, A. (2011). Structuring science education in the new millennium: Authorizing a succeeding integrity. In *Progress in Education*. Nova Publishers Inc., New York, USA.
3. Nikkhah, A. (2011). Science education of the new millennium: mentorship arts for creative lives. *Creative Education* 2(4): 341.
4. Nikkhah, A. (2011). The art of science education. In *Progress in Education*. Nova Publishers Inc., New York, USA.
5. Weinberg, S. (2011). Nobelist Steven Weinberg Calls for Bigger Science, More Taxes. By Karen A. Frenkel, 6 June 2011, ScienceNOW. <http://news.Sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2011/06/nobelist-steven-weinberg-calls-f.html?etoc>.
6. Nikkhah, A. (2011). Postmodern science edification philosophy. *Open Journal of Philosophy*. 1:37-38.
7. Nikkhah, A. (2011). Postmodern governments and science education. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*. 1(1): 71-74.
8. Nikkhah A. (2015). Global Science Education: Bioprocessing and Brainfueling of Innovation. *J. Bioprocess. Biotechniq.* 5: e122 doi:10.4172/2155-9821.1000e122.