

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: I SURGERIES AND CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Volume 16 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year 2016 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Hyaluronidase Injection and Multiple Puncture Technique in the Treatment of Paraphimosis: A Comparitive Study

By Dr. Kiran Kumar P S

Basaveshwara Medical College & Hospital, India

Abstract- Background: Paraphimosis is a surgical emergency in surgical practice. A number of treatment options are available for treatment of paraphimosis including surgical and non surgical ones. No randomized studies were undertaken to compare any treatment techniques of paraphimosis. This study attempts to compare the outcome of injection of Hyaluronidase and Multiple puncture technique in the treatment of paraphimosis.

Materials and methods: A randomized controlled study was undertaken in the surgery department of a medical college. About 60 consecutive patients admitted with the history of paraphimosis were randomly divided into two groups. One group received Hyaluronidase injection and another group had been treated by using multiple puncture technique. The patients were followed on seventh day and six months after the surgery.

Keywords: paraphimosis, hyaluronidase, multiple puncture technique, randomized controlled trial. *GJMR-I Classification:* NLMC Code: WI 480



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2016. Dr. Kiran Kumar P S. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution. Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hyaluronidase Injection and Multiple Puncture Technique in the Treatment of Paraphimosis: A Comparitive Study

Dr. Kiran Kumar P S

Abstract- Background: Paraphimosis is a surgical emergency in surgical practice. A number of treatment options are available for treatment of paraphimosis including surgical and non surgical ones. No randomized studies were undertaken to compare any treatment techniques of paraphimosis. This study attempts to compare the outcome of injection of Hyaluronidase and Multiple puncture technique in the treatment of paraphimosis.

Materials and methods: A randomized controlled study was undertaken in the surgery department of a medical college. About 60 consecutive patients admitted with the history of paraphimosis were randomly divided into two groups. One group received Hyaluronidase injection and another group had been treated by using multiple puncture technique. The patients were followed on seventh day and six months after the surgery.

Results: The study group included both pediatric and adult population. About 43.3% of the patients in Hyaluronidase group and 60% in the multiple puncture technique group had the skin changes and edema was present in 83.3% of the Hyaluronidase and 87.7% of the multiple puncture technique group. The outcome of the technique was 10%, 3.3% and 3.3% of the patients in the Hyaluronidase group had recurrence, need for surgery and post operative pain. About 13.3%, 13.3% and 16.7% of the patients in multiple puncture technique groups had recurrence, need for surgery and post operative pain. About 13.3%, 13.3% and 16.7% of the patients in multiple puncture technique groups had recurrence, need for surgery and post operative pain respectively.

Conclusion: The injection Hyaluronidase was better than the multiple puncture technique in the treatment of paraphimosis.

Keywords: paraphimosis, hyaluronidase, multiple puncture technique, randomized controlled trial.

I. INTRODUCTION

Paraphimosis is not a common condition but when presented, it is a surgical emergency in day to day surgical practice. Paraphimosis is a condition of retraction of the foreskin behind the glans penis in uncircumcised males. The condition is common in young boys and this entity is rare in middle and elderly males.¹ The phimosis can be congenital or acquired is the causative factor for paraphimosis in most of the cases. The congenital phimosis mainly occurs in the young children and acquired is more common in elderly population may be a result of poor hygiene, chronic balanoposthitis or forceful retraction of the prepuce, leading to a tight fibrotic ring.² This painful medical condition requires urgent attention and early reduction. If the paraphimosis left untreated, the paraphimosis lands up in severe consequences including strangulation of the glans and tissue necrosis.³ The goal of treatment of paraphimosis is reducing the penile edema and restoring to the prepuce to its original position. A number of treatment methods are available for the paraphimosis. They include the use of gentle manual compression, osmotic agents or ice. The invasive modes of treatment include glans aspiration, dorsal slit procedures, injection of Hyaluronidase etc which are often used when the non invasive methods fails.⁴ The literature available shows the success and failures of different treatment methods in one or two cases. Similarly, the literature shows that the Hyaluronidase injection has shown efficacy in two cases.⁵ The Hyaluronidase degrades the hyaluronic acid and enhances the diffusion of trapped fluid in the tissue planes to decrease the preputial swelling.⁶ Where as multiple puncture technique permits the safe and effective evacuation of the trapped fluid.⁷ None of the studies are available to compare the efficacy and recurrence of these methods in the literature available. Hence, this study was undertaken in a tertiary care setting in Karnataka.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A randomized controlled study was taken up in order to determine the efficacy and recurrence of multiple and puncture technique and injection of hyaluronidase in the general surgery department of Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga. About 30 patients admitted to the surgery department were randomly divided in to two groups with the help of computer generated random numbers. In the patients of multiple puncture technique group, using a 24 – 26 G needle one or several openings were done in the edematous prepuce distal to the constricting ring to allow the edematous fluid to escape from the puncture sites with the manual compression of the glans and Hyaluronidase prepuce. In group, injection of Hyaluronidase was given into one or more sites of the edematous prepuce to facilitate the reduction of

Author: Professor in General Surgery, Basaveshwara Medical College & Hospital, Chitradurga. – 577501, India. e-mail: drkirankumarsp@gmail.com

paraphimosis. The patients were followed up after one of the procedure, 7 days and 15 days and at six months. The recurrence, need for surgery and success were noted in a predesigned proforma. The data thus collected was analyzed by using Statistical Package for Social Services (SPSS vs 21). Chi square test was applied to study the significance between the two procedures.

III. Results

Table 1 : Socio demographic characteristics and clinical parameters of the study sample

	Particulars	Hyaluronidase group $N = 30$	Multiple puncture technique group $N = 30$
Age in years, Mean $(\pm SD)$		21.13 (± 9.6)	14.1 (± 7.3)
Etiology [n (%)]	Pediatric	13 (43.3)	16 (53.3)
	Adult	17 (56.7)	14 (46.7)
Skin changes [n (%)]	Absent	17 (56.7)	12 (40.0)
	Present	13 (43.3)	18 (60.0)
Edema [n (%)]	Absent	5 (16.7)	4 (13.3)
	Present	25 (83.3)	26 (87.7)

Global Journal of Medical Research (I) Volume XVI Issue II Version I 🐱 Year 2016

The mean age of the patients in Hyaluronidase group 21.13 (\pm 9.6) years and multiple puncture technique group is 14.1 (\pm 7.3) years. About 43.3% of the cases in the Hyaluronidase and 53.3% in the multiple puncture technique group were pediatric cases. About 56.7% of the adults in the Hyaluronidase group

and 46.7% in the multiple puncture technique group were adult cases. About 43.3% of the patients in Hyaluronidase group and 60% in the multiple puncture technique group had the skin changes. Edema was present in 83.3% of the Hyaluronidase and 87.7% of the multiple puncture technique group of patients.

Table 2 : Outcome of the two different procedures

Outcome	Hyaluronidase group (N = 30) n (%)	Multiple puncture technique group (N = 30) n (%)
Recurrence	3 (10.0)	4 (13.3)
Need for surgery	1 (3.3)	4 (13.3)
Post operative pain	1 (3.3)	5 (16.7)

At the end of six months of follow up, 10% of the patients in the Hyaluronidase group and 13.3% of the patients in the multiple puncture technique groups had recurrence of the paraphimosis. About 3.3% of the patients in Hyaluronidase group and 13.3% of the patients in the multiple puncture technique group needed surgery again within six months. About 3.3% of the patients in Hyaluronidase group and 16.7% in the multiple puncture technique group had post operative pain.

IV. DISCUSSION

This study was undertaken mainly to compare the two different procedures. The literature available is very sparse about the comparison of procedures. The sample size in those studies was also a problem where only cases studies can be found. The main concern behind paraphimosis repair is to relieve the pain and to reduce further ischemia of the glans penis.

This study has shown that the paraphimosis is a disease condition of both children and adult population. Skin changes and edema are the common accompaniments of the disease. The literature available shows that the phimosis is the main cause of paraphimosis in the pediatric population and acquired phimosis is the main cause for the paraphimosis in cases of adult population.² Skin changes and edema in

© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

the patients with paraphimosis also reported in other studies.⁴ This condition mainly results in venous and lymphatic returns from the glans and distal foreskin is obstructed and these structures swell alarmingly causing more pressure within the obstructed ring of prepuce.⁹

A number of treatment options are available for the management of paraphimosis including operative and non operative methods including puncture techniques, osmotic methods, aspiration methods and using Hyaluronidase etc. Multiple puncture technique is a common procedure often practiced frequently under even ordinary peripheral surgical settings. This procedure allows the edematous fluid to escape for the puncture sites with manual compression of the glans and prepuce.^{10, 11} The band of the prepuce has to be divided if the condition could not improve with these methods.¹²

Injection of Hyaluronidase in to one or more sites of the preputial edema has been shown to facilitate the reduction of paraphimosis.^{13, 14} The main acting principle of Hyaluronidase disperses extracellular edema by modifying the permeability of intracellular ground substance in the connective tissue, enhancing diffusion of trapped fluid between the tissue planes to decrease the preputial swelling. Some of the studies consider that the method of reduction is not the effect of Hyaluronidase but punctures made during the procedure. This procedure is contraindicated in presence of infection.¹⁵

Since the randomized controlled studies are lacking, this study results could not be compared. The Hyaluronidase group of patients had shown less chance of recurrence, need for further surgery and post operative pain when compared to the patients of multiple puncture technique group. The recurrence was observed in Hyaluronidase group among those who presented late to the surgeon. It may substantiate the acting principle of Hyaluronidase as reported by the available literature.^{13, 14}

V. Conclusion

This study had shown that the injection of Hyaluronidase results in lesser recurrence, need for the surgery and post operative pain than the multiple puncture technique. This is a randomized controlled study of first of its kind. The results may help other researchers to undertake many more randomized controlled studies across different parts of the world to reproduce similar results.

References Références Referencias

- 1. Herzog LW, Alvarez SR, The frequency of foreskin problems in uncircumcised children. Am J DIs Child: 1986: 140: 254 256.
- Chung CH, Emergency management of paraphimosis, Hongkong Journal of Emergency Medicine: 2003: Oct: 10:4: 253 – 7.
- Vunda A, Lacroix LE, Schneider F, Manzano S, Gervaix A, Reduction of paraphimosis in Boys, N Engl J Med, 368: 13: e16-1.
- 4. Khurram T, Arezo F, Fauzia R, Rare case of paraphimosis in a middle aged male, JSM Clin Case Rep 2014: 2(3): 1040.
- 5. Ratcliff RK, Hyaluronidase in treatment of sequel of Paraphimosis, J Amer Med Ass. 155, 746.
- 6. Litzky GM. Reduction of paraphimosis with hyaluronidase. Urology 1997; 50: 160.
- 7. Hamdy FC, Hastie KJ. Treatment for paraphimosis: the 'puncture' technique. Br J Surg 1990; 77:1186.
- 8. Litzky GM. Reduction of paraphimosis with hyaluronidase. Urology 1997; 50(1): 160.
- Saini OP, Suman A, Buri S, Saini PK, Mathur PN, Gahlot RK, Paraphimosis – a case report and medicolegal importance, J Indian Acad Forensic Med: 30: 2: 82 – 3.
- 10. Houghton GR. The "iced-glove" method of treatment of paraphimosis. Br J Surg 1973; 60(11): 876-7.
- 11. Hamdy FC, Hastie KJ. Treatment for paraphimosis: the 'puncture' technique. Br J Surg 1990; 77(10): 1186.
- 12. Holman JR, Stuessi KA. Adult circumcision. Am Fam Physician 1999; 59: 1514-8.

- 13. Baigrie RJ. Treatment for paraphimosis. Br J Surg 1991; 78(3): 378.
- 14. Ratliff RK. Hyaluronidase in treatment of paraphimosis. JAMA 1954; 155: 746.
- 15. Choe JM. Paraphimosis: current treatment options. Am Fam Physician 2000; 62(12): 2623-6, 2628.