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Perception and Awareness of Prosthodontic Rehabilitation among Jazan Population in the Southern Region of Saudi Arabia

By Dr. R. Naveen Reddy, Dr. Elfatih Ibrahim Elamin, Dr. Swetha Vempalli & Dr. Fuad Al Sanabani
Jazan University, Saudi Arabia

Abstract- The Prosthodontic health status and Prosthodontic rehabilitation needs of Jazan local population in the southern region of Saudi Arabia were not well documented. This study evaluates the level of perception and awareness of a group of Jazan population towards Prosthodontic rehabilitation and the factors that prevent them from the treatment. Knowledge and perceived needs of subjects regarding Prosthodontics play an important role in their acceptance to prosthetic rehabilitation. A self-designed questionnaire containing eleven questions was designed and reasons for choosing or refusing treatments were measured using Likert scale. A survey of 467 randomly selected subjects was conducted among the patients and relatives reported to the College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan. Collected data were statistically analysed using SPSS V.19 for descriptive statistics along with Chi-squared test at a significance level of p < 0.05.

Keywords: knowledge; perception; prosthodontic treatment; awareness; survey; patient acceptance of health care; prosthetic replacements.
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Perception and Awareness of Prosthodontic Rehabilitation among Jazan Population in the Southern Region of Saudi Arabia

Dr. R. Naveen Reddy *, Dr. Elfatih Ibrahim Elamin *, Dr. Swetha Vempalli * & Dr. Fuad Al Sanabani **

Abstract - The Prosthodontic health status and Prosthodontic rehabilitation needs of Jazan local population in the southern region of Saudi Arabia were not well documented. This study evaluates the level of perception and awareness of a group of Jazan population towards Prosthodontic rehabilitation and the factors that prevent them from the treatment. Knowledge and perceived needs of subjects regarding Prosthodontics play an important role in their acceptance to prosthetic rehabilitation. A self-designed questionnaire containing eleven questions was designed and reasons for choosing or refusing treatments were measured using Likert scale. A survey of 467 randomly selected subjects was conducted among the patients and relatives reported to the College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan. Collected data were statistically analysed using SPSS V.20 for descriptive statistics along with Chi-squared test at a significance level of p < 0.05.

Results: Age was ranging from 20 to 91 years with a mean age of 56.48 ± 11.92 years with 53.10% males and 46.90% females. Out of the 467 participants, 95.93% had heard about Prosthodontic rehabilitation as a dental treatment modality, with marginal significant difference between males and females (P=0.0551). Out of these, 95.93% people with missing teeth only 57.82% people were willing to undergo treatment if needed.

Individual perception of potential reasons for treatment selection was the most important determinants of patients’ decisions based on knowledge, awareness and motivation. By conducting this study the individual perception and potential reasons behind treatment selection and its relation to patient’s knowledge, awareness and motivation can be assessed. The findings can be used in improving patient’s motivation and leading them to a favourable decision making.

Keywords: knowledge; perception; prosthodontic treatment; awareness; survey; patient acceptance of health care; prosthetic replacements.

I. Introduction

In its broadest sense, Prosthodontics is concerned with the replacement of partial or complete loss of teeth and oral function due to tooth or tissue damage (Carlsson GE and Omar R, 2006). Prosthetic treatment involves the replacement of few missing teeth in a healthy but incomplete dentition, the functional replacement of nearly all teeth in a badly damaged dentition, or restoring function by means of removable or FPDs, implants, complete dentures, or over dentures (Mukatash et al., 2010 and Zarbi GA et al., 1978). With the rapid improvement in oral health and the reduction of edentulism in many countries, increasing numbers of people are retaining more teeth later in life (Carlsson GE and Omar R, 2006). Knowledge of subjects regarding prosthodontic treatment may play a role in their acceptance of the prostheses (Shigil et al., 2007). The aim of this paper was to evaluate the level of knowledge, perception, awareness, attitude, and behaviour of a group of Jazan population in the southern region of Saudi Arabia aged 21-91 years towards the options available for replacing missing teeth and the factors preventing them from taking treatment.

II. Materials and Methods

The present study was a questionnaire survey conducted at College of Dentistry, Jazan University, Jazan. The study samples of 467 subjects were randomly selected from patients in the Department of Prosthodontics. The sample population consists of 248 males and 219 females within an age range of 21 to 91 years. A self-designed questionnaire containing eleven questions was designed on perception and awareness for different Prosthodontic treatment modalities and reasons for choosing or refusing treatments were measured (Table1). Ethical committee clearance was obtained. Initially a pilot study was carried out with twenty patients recruited in the same manner as in the main study to check the feasibility of the study and the questions were modified accordingly. Following the successful pilot study in which the questionnaire was validated, potential subjects were approached to carry out the main study. The questionnaire was made available both in English and Arabic languages. The dentists, dental technicians, and assistants were excluded from the study. Socio-demographic details including age, gender etc. was noted. A total of 500 questionnaire forms were distributed and 467 were returned back (response rate was 93.4%). Collected responses were tabulated.

Statistical Analysis: Data was statistically analysed using the SPSS V.20 program. Frequencies were generated and mean calculated with standard deviation using descriptive statistical analysis. Chi-squared test was used to identify significance. The
probability was assessed using P value by considering p < 0.05 as significant.

Age:                                                    Sex:       YES / NO
1. Are you aware that missing teeth have to be replaced?  YES / NO
2. The various types of replacement that they are aware of
   a. Complete Denture
   b. Removable Partial Denture
   c. Fixed Partial Denture
   d. Implants
3. Do you have any missing teeth?                        YES / NO
4. If Yes                                             FRONT / BACK
5. How long have the teeth been missing?                 -----------------------
6. Did you get them replaced?                           YES / NO
7. Type of replacement:
   a. Complete Denture
   b. Removable Partial Denture
   c. Fixed Partial Denture
   d. Implant supported
8. If not replaced then why?
   a. Financial Constraints
   b. Inadequate Knowledge
   c. Not motivated
9. Where would you like to go for replacement of missing teeth?
   a. Dental Clinic
   b. General Hospital
   c. Dental College
   d. Others
10. Are you aware that there is a dental college where replacement of missing teeth will be done in Jazan?  YES / NO
11. How did you know about the college?
    a. Friends
    b. Relatives
    c. Referred by a dentist
    d. Media

### III. Results

The study sample consisted of 53.10% males (N=248) and 46.90% females (N=219) with a mean age of 56.48 ± 11.92 years. Each question was analysed for the frequency and the relation between the gender difference was tabulated (Table 2).

**Question 1:** Awareness about missing teeth replacement: The results show that 95.93% (N=448) of the study population including both males and females has the awareness that they have to replace the missing tooth. There was marginal statistically significant difference between the genders (P=0.05).

**Question 2:** Knowledge about various treatment options: Out of the 467 individuals 87.79% (N=410), 42.83% (N=200), 36.40% (N=170) and 10.71% (N=50) had the knowledge of complete denture, Removable Partial Denture, Fixed Partial Denture and Implants respectively. There were no significant differences noted statistically P > 0.05.

**Question 3:** Have any missing teeth: 100% (N=467) individuals that participated in this study had missing teeth. The males showed a higher frequency of missing teeth than females. This result was statistically proven with chi square test, P=0.7111 (Table 2).

**Question 4:** Position of tooth loss: There was marginal statistically significant difference between the genders (P=0.05). Loss of posterior teeth (N=144) 32.73% is more when compared to the anterior teeth (N=95) 21.59% and combination of anterior and posterior teeth is the highest (N=201) 45.68%.

**Question 5:** The duration of missing teeth among the participant of the survey was 34.98 ± 62.38 months for males and 28.11 ± 35.04 months for females. There was no statistical difference between the genders P = 0.1629.
Question 6: Attitude towards prosthetic rehabilitation: Considering the attitude towards the replacement of the missing teeth with prosthesis 57.82% (N=270) individuals were positive and were planning to replace the missing teeth. But there were no significant differences between the genders even though comparatively more men were willing for replacement.

Question 7: Type of Replacement perceived: Comparison of the individual’s knowledge toward different prosthetic replacement options and their perceived option for replacing the missing tooth were as follows. Most of the people were willing to replace the missing teeth with complete denture i.e., 62.44% (N=123/272), compared to 50.25% (N= 99/272) for removable partial denture and 25.38% (N= 50/272) for fixed partial denture. There were no patients who preferred to replace their missing teeth with implants.

Question 8: Reasons for not replacing the missing teeth: The main reason for not undergoing the prosthodontic replacement was inadequate knowledge (57.36%, N= 113), followed by lack of motivation, regarding the prosthetic replacements (24.37%, N=48) and the least were due to financial constraints (18.27%, N=36) and these observations were not statistically significant.

Question 9: The setup where individual would like to get the prosthetic replacement done: Out of the 467 participants 60.60% (N=283) preferred to get the prosthesis done from dental college and 26.12% (N=112) from Dental clinic and 11.35% (N=53) opted for general hospitals. These observations show statistical significance p= 0.0001*

Question 10: Awareness about the availability of Prosthetic replacement treatment in College of Dentistry Jazan University: The results shows that 91.43% (N=427) participants were aware about the treatment facility in the college.

Question 11: how did you know about the dental college: Out of the 467 participants 61.46% (N=287) came to know about the college from relatives and friends 24.20% (N=113). Few patients were referred by Dentists 10.92% (N=51) whereas media 3.43% (N=16) formed the least in patients knowing about the college. These observations were not statistically significant. P= 0.3352.

IV. Discussion

Oral health means much more than just healthy teeth. Good oral health is a major resource for social, economic and personal development of individuals (Khan SA et al., 2012). Teeth are required for mastication, phonetics, aesthetics, structural balance and for the comfort of an individual. With the loss of teeth, the above functions are impaired resulting in physical, physiological and psychological trauma to the individual (S S, Sharma S, 2010). Preventive dental care is almost non-existent in rural India (Parlani S et al., 2011). Initiatives should be aimed not only on the prevention but also on the curative aspects of oral health. Mobile dental clinics, dental camps, and prosthodontic outreach programs are possible solutions to change attitudes, spread awareness, and extend treatment. Lack of awareness of different prosthodontic treatment options among people living in and around Jazan prevents them from availing of treatment even though there are many Government setups that offer treatment free of cost (Menezes M and Aras M, 2009).

From the present study, it can be observed that the subjects were aware of the needs of dentures in contrast to previous studies (S S, Sharma S, 2010). This may be due to the increase in technology and media. When asked about the attitude towards the replacement, even though the participants had the knowledge about the need for replacement, majority did not opt for treatment mainly due to inadequate knowledge. Most of the patients opted to get treated from the dental college than private clinics; this may be due to the increase in technology and media.

In conclusion complete dentures are associated with lower expected outcomes, while inadequate knowledge and lack of motivation are the major factors associated with the preferences for implant treatment for the study population.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion complete dentures are associated with lower expected outcomes, while inadequate knowledge and lack of motivation are the major factors associated with the preferences for implant treatment for the study population.
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Table: Distribution of samples by age groups and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No of samples</th>
<th>% of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>82.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD age</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>248.00</td>
<td>53.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>219.00</td>
<td>46.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Item wise responses of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>No of samples</th>
<th>% of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 Are you aware that missing teeth have to be replaced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>95.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 The various types of replacement that they are aware of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Denture</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>87.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable Partial Denture</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>42.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Partial Denture</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>36.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implants</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 Do you have any missing teeth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>94.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-4 If Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>32.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>45.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 How long have the teeth been missing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>51.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6 Did you get them replaced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>57.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>42.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-7 Type of replacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Denture</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>62.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable Partial Denture</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>50.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: Distribution of samples by age groups and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18.26</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>85.08</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>79.91</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>82.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>57.40</td>
<td>55.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD age</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q 1</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>94.06</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>95.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=3.6852  P = 0.0551

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q2 i.e. types of replacement that they are aware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q 2</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Denture</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>89.52</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>85.84</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>87.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable Partial Denture</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>42.34</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>43.38</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>42.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Partial Denture</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>35.16</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>36.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implants</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=0.5383  P = 0.9102

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q3 i.e. missing teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3 i.e. missing teeth</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>94.35</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>94.06</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>94.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=0.1327  P = 0.7111
### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q4 if yes for missing teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q-4 sides</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>22.82</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28.63</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>37.38</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>32.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>50.85</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>39.81</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>45.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=5.7557  P = 0.0565

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q5 i.e. Duration of edentulism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Std.Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>62.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>35.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td>51.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-value 1.3978  P-value 0.1629

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q6 i.e. did you get them replaced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>54.84</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>61.19</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>57.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>45.16</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>42.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=1.9221  P = 0.1662

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q7 if yes for Q6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q-7</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Denture</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60.71</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>62.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removable Partial Denture</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45.54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>56.47</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>50.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Partial Denture</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.07</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37.65</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant supported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=5.3702  P = 0.0681

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q8 if No for Q6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q-8</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Constraints</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20.54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate Knowledge</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>54.46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61.18</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>57.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not motivated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23.53</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=1.1429  P = 0.5633

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q-9</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dental Clinic</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>25.81</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>26.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental College</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>58.90</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>60.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Hospital</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=40.3122  P = 0.0001*

*p<0.05

### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q10 i.e. dental college where of missing teeth will be done in Jazan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q10</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>92.34</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>90.41</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>91.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=0.5527  P = 0.4581
### Table: Comparison of male and females with Q11 i.e. how did you know about the college

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q-11</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21.92</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>24.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>65.75</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>61.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=3.3961  P = 0.3352

### OR

### Table: Comparison of male and females in each item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>94.06</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>95.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square=3.6852  P = 0.0551

| Q 2       |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Complete Denture | 222  | 89.52| 188    | 85.84| 410   | 87.79|
| Removable Partial Denture | 105  | 42.34| 95     | 43.38| 200   | 42.83|
| Fixed Partial Denture | 93   | 37.50| 77     | 35.16| 170   | 36.40|
| Implants | 29   | 11.69| 21     | 9.59 | 50    | 10.71|

Chi-square=0.5383  P = 0.9102

| Q3 i.e. missing teeth |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Yes        | 234  | 94.35| 206    | 94.06| 440   | 94.22|
| No         | 14   | 5.65 | 13     | 5.94 | 27    | 5.78 |
| Total      | 248  | 100.00| 219   | 100.00| 467  | 100.00|

Chi-square= 0.1327  P = 0.7111

| Q-4 sides |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Front     | 48   | 20.51| 47     | 22.82| 95    | 21.59|
| Back      | 67   | 28.63| 77     | 37.38| 144   | 32.73|
| Both      | 119  | 50.85| 82     | 39.81| 201   | 45.68|

Chi-square= 5.7557  P = 0.0565

| Q5        |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Means     | 35   |      | 28     |      | 63    | 31.76|
| Std.Dev.  | 62   |      | 35     |      | 97    | 51.48|

\[ t=1.3978, p=0.1629 \]

| Q6        |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Yes       | 136  | 54.84| 134    | 61.19| 270   | 57.82|
| No        | 112  | 45.16| 85     | 38.81| 197   | 42.18|
| Total     | 248  | 100.00| 219   | 100.00| 467  | 100.00|

Chi-square= 1.9221  P = 0.1662

| Q-7       |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Complete Denture | 68   | 60.71| 55     | 64.71| 123   | 62.44|
| Removable Partial Denture | 51   | 45.54| 48     | 56.47| 99    | 50.25|
| Fixed Partial Denture | 18   | 16.07| 32     | 37.65| 50    | 25.38|
| Implant supported | 0    | 0.00 | 0      | 0.00 | 0     | 0.00 |

Chi-square= 5.3702  P = 0.0681

| Q-8       |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Financial Constraints | 23   | 20.54| 13     | 15.29| 36    | 18.27|
| Inadequate Knowledge | 61   | 54.46| 52     | 61.18| 113   | 57.36|
| Not motivated | 28   | 25.00| 20     | 23.53| 48    | 24.37|

Chi-square= 1.1429  P = 0.5633

| Q-9       |      |      |        |      |       |      |
| Dental Clinic | 64   | 25.81| 58     | 26.48| 122   | 26.12|
| Dental College | 154  | 62.10| 129    | 58.90| 283   | 60.60|
| General Hospital | 27   | 10.89| 26     | 11.87| 53    | 11.35|

Chi-square= 1.1429  P = 0.5633
**Perception and Awareness of Prosthodontic Rehabilitation among Jazan Population in the Southern Region of Saudi Arabia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-square**

- **Q10**: CHI-SQUARE = 40.3122, P = 0.0001*
- **Q-11**: CHI-SQUARE = 0.5527, P = 0.4581

*P < 0.05

**Others**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>1.21</th>
<th>2.74</th>
<th>1.93</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square = 3.3961, P = 0.3352
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Otitis Media with Effusion, Development of Animal Model: Literature Review

By Bibek Gyanwali
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Abstract- Objective: Provide a brief review about the development of animal model of otitis media with effusion.

Methods: We studied different methods of inducing otitis media with effusion in animal model published in different journals and try to point out their strong and weak points.

Result: Although different animal models had been established as model, none of them were able to explain in detail about the disease physiology in animal and further more research is needed to develop a valid animal model of otitis media with effusion.

Conclusion: Otitis media is one of the most common disease in children so further detail study is needed in this disease. Till the date several animal models were established. Before the research detail study on experimental animal and experimental method is necessary for the reliable result.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) is one of the most common childhood disease defined by the inflammation of the middle ear including middle ear ossicles and Eustachian tube and in some condition mastoid air cells. OME is characterized by the collection of non-purulent nearly sterile fluid in the middle ear cavity. By the age of 3 at least four-fifth of children have experienced at least one or more episodes of OME [1]. The pathogenesis of otitis media has been related to malfunctioning of Eustachian tube, which fails to ventilate and drain the middle ear (ciliary dysfunction, mucosal edema, middle ear/nasopharynx pressure gradient, adenoid hypertrophy, chronic rhinitis, sinusitis and tonsillitis, neoplasm and malignant tumors of nose, paranasal sinuses and nasopharynx, cleft palate and palatal paralysis) allergy, unresolved acute otitis media, viral infection and increased secretory activity of middle ear [2].

OME is one of the hot topic in research these days because it effects hearing and balancing causing poor language and development and eventually leading to poor school performance in children [3]. The etiology of OME is multifactorial, it is believed that dysfunction of Eustachian tube (ET) is one of the most important factor in the formation of middle ear effusion [4]. ET has three main functions; pressure regulation, protection and clearance [5]. Any compromise to this function may leads to OME. In recent study in in-vitro and in-vivo it has been found that smoking and air pollution may induce OME. It is very difficult to create a model because of lack of device to control appropriate dosage and time exposure to the smoke and air pollution may be long [6].

OME is creating financial burden in many countries, In United States alone 5 billion USD was estimated annually in OME [7]. This expenditure will may be even high in few years. Studies on human are very difficult because it is very risky to trail newly developed drugs and treatment methods. Further more research on human model require proved hypothesis on animal model, unfortunately such satisfactory animal model of OME has not been developed yet.

Several methods and several experimental animals had been used and still being used to develop a valid animal model of OME. In this review we study different methods used by different researchers and study their strong and weak points. In our study we found following methods.

1. Eustachian tube blockade
2. Use of chemical substances
3. Injury to paratubular muscles
4. Others; creating nasal obstruction and creating cleft palate

II. EUSTACHIAN TUBE BLOCKADE

To create OME one can block Eustachian tube by ligation of cautery, this can be done by two surgical approaches; Trans-cervical approach and Trans-palatal approach. In trans-cervical approach ventral incision or anterior cervical incision could be used to expose Eustachian tube, then bony or cartilaginous ET can be obstructed with the use of a small piece of gelofoam or a bite of muscle or dental material or can be obstructed with electrocautery [8-12]. The strong point of this approach is the clear visualization of ET so result obtained is expected to be more reliable and consistent. The week point is this method is comparatively difficult, a good knowledge of anatomy of neck necessary and it is time consuming. Some time may require 30 minutes [13]. In trans - palatal approach mouth is kept open with the use of mouth retractor and electric cautery needle was inserted at the soft palate and hard palate junction and ET pharyngeal orifice was cauterized [14]. This method is easy and relatively fast. The week point is the side effect
after the surgery due to severe thermal damage around the Eustachian tube pharyngeal orifice can induce severe bleeding and poor oral intake [13]. There is greater chance of animal death after the procedure. In this method ET was not visualized so it is not certain the cauterization was done on the right area. The ET pharyngeal orifice was just estimated, so middle ear effusion may due to the injury and edema of surrounding tissue not due to ET obstruction so the result may not be always consistent.

Another method to induce OME via trans-palatal approach was transpalatal incision, incision was made on the soft palate of desired side near the pterygoid hamulus and visualized the ET pharyngeal orifice which could be obstructed by the use of poly vinyl acetate material [14]. The strong point of this procedure is the better visualization of ET pharyngeal orifice which could be easily closed or obstructed so the result expected may be consistent and reliable. Huang Q et al. mentioned the use of trichloroacetic acid to create obstruction of Eustachian tube instead of electric cautery [16].

### III. USE OF CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES

This method is quite easy and less time consuming and result obtained may be consistent and reliable. Different types of chemical substances were used to induce OME. Till the date we found the use of β-lactamase-producing nontypable Haemophilus influenzae, peptidoglycan-polysaccharide, lipopolysaccharide, E-coli, endotoxin, Streptococcus pneumoniae, non viable heat killed Hemophilus influenza, histamine solution [8,12,17-23]. The inoculation method may be either directly injection of chemical substances in the middle ear via tympanic membrane or inoculation intrasally. The only drawback of this method is the calculation of the suitable dosage of chemical substance. Inoculation of insufficient amount may not induce OME and inoculation of excessive amount may produce undesirable side effects. In a study conducted by Aynali G. et al. used 0.1 ml of histamine solution to induce OME and half of the rats showed middle ear effusion within 24 hours [22].

Some researchers used both ET obstruction and inoculation of chemical substances [8,12]. In our opinion the result could be consistent in such experiment as two parameters causing Eustachian tube dysfunction was used in the research to induce OME.

### IV. INJURY TO PARATUBULAR MUSCLES

In most of the research tensor veli palatini (TVPM) was used to induce middle ear effusion and compromise Eustachian tube function. Injury to TVPM was created by 3 different methods; (1) Paralyzing TVPM by injecting botulinum toxin injection, (2) Surgical alteration of TVPM (complete excision of muscle, transsection of superficial muscle bundle, and transposition of muscle tendon medial to hamular process, (3) Excision of third branch of Trigeminal nerve [23,24,25]. When TVPM was injured ET function was compromised and was not able to dilate the lumen and increase the luminal cross-sectional area of ET actively and the force required to open ET pharyngeal orifice was not enough so ET pharyngeal orifice remained closed and middle ear negative pressure was created.

In a study conducted by Canteki EI. et al. studied the effect of LVPM on ET. They excised LVPM bilaterally and after the period of five months no middle ear effusion was observed [27]. But it is still debate whether TVPM or lavetor veli palatni muscle (LVPM) is most important contribute in the ET function. The only drawback is LVPM was excluded from the study. Further study must be done on these two muscles TVPM and LVPM to explain their exact function.

### V. CREATING CLEFT PALATE

This method is quite similar to the trans-palatal approach but it is quite simple less time consuming, with little side effect and reversible. To create cleft palate midline incision was made from the uvula to the posterior border of hard palate [28]. The only drawback of this method is difficult in swallowing and poor oral intake, but the swallowing function returns to normal condition after palatal recovery.

### VI. NASAL OBSTRUCTION

Nasal cavity can be obstructed by use of dental impression material in the nasal cavity and nostrils can be obstructed by use of synthetic resin [29,30]. In a study conducted by Buchman CA. et al. created nasal obstruction by using dental material and found no evidence of middle ear fluid in both unilateral and bilateral obstruction group [29]. In another study conducted by Scarano E. et al. obstructed nostrils bilaterally using synthetic resin 28 days after birth and after a period of time found that numbers of TVPM muscles fibers progressively decreased in the obstructed rats [30]. OME can be induced by nasal obstruction is still not clear so further research should be conducted to find out whether or not there exists any relationship between nasal obstruction and OME.

Several animal models are availed for OME. But none of these animal models were able to describe the anatomical and physiological effect of the disease, so it has been difficult which experimental method and model is the best. Each experimental methods has its strong and weak points, so selecting appropriate animal model and experimental method is the most important for the better outcome. Although OME is self-limiting condition but it has been medical problem and financial burden in many countries so further research is needed to develop a reliable animal model of OME.
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Abstract- Objective: This study aimed to correlate chronological and dental ages with skeletal maturity.
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Keywords: chronological age, dental age, cervical vertebrae maturity, demirjian’s method, baccetti method.

1. Introduction

Growth is critical feature of a children life which differentiates them from adults and it is identified as an indicator of wellbeing. The growth factor is a decisive variable in orthodontic treatment. It believe one of the main uncertain dissimilarity in nature and plays an important role in etiology of malocclusion in addition to evaluation of diagnosis, treatment planning, retention and stability of orthodontically treated cases.

Every person have special internal clock in maturity, the facial bones growth time and the periods of accelerated or intense physiologic growth must be individualized for superior exploit bone remodeling in accurate skeletal discrepancies. In orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics, the initiation of treatment is becoming increasingly evident, as the selection of the specific treatment procedures.

Skeletal age estimation is vital in planning of orthodontic treatment, due to variations on timing, duration and velocity of growth.

Estimation of growth potential requires the assessment of the developmental age of the individual patient. A number of developmental indicators can be utilized to assess maturity; increase in body height, skeletal maturation of the hand and wrist, cervical vertebral maturation, dental development and eruption, and menarche or voice changes.

Chronological age is measured from the birth day, which used to recognize the developmental stage of individuals, although, it is the most easily determined parameter of all the developmental ages, it is a weak growth predictor. Physiological age considers more reliable to evaluate the maturation state because each child has his or her own characteristic time clock. The onset of chronological age varies with gender, generation, population and environment, and diverges greatly among individuals.

Dental age is an important matter in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. It is estimate by teeth development either by calcification of crowns and roots or eruption of the crowns on the radiographs. Tooth formation is widely used as a growth indicator for assessment and comparison between individuals and populations in dentistry, pediatrics and anthropology, moreover forensic sciences.

Skeletal maturation refers to the level of development of ossification in bone. Size and maturation can vary autonomously of each other. Skeletal maturation is well identified and frequently used for measurement of biological maturity, which resolve by radiographic assessment of one or more areas in the body.

Hand-wrist radiographs have been used to assess the skeletal maturity stages, it is recently been inquiry. Recently the cervical vertebra maturation (CVM) method was introduced for growth assessment; allocate skeletal age evaluation and diminish the need for additional radiographic exposure.

Chronological age, dental and skeletal development were regarded as regular maturational indexes of developmental maturation. The divergence between dental, skeletal and chronological age is of enormous attention in compared with ordinary growth.

Worldwide several studies in previous literature correlate the dental age, skeletal maturity with...
chronological age among different populations, to our knowledge no such study are available in Sudan, therefore the present study had been designed to provide reliable age estimation for the Sudanese population and the outcome results will be of great helps for diagnosis and treatment planning for orthodontic and pediatric patients as well as to forensic dentistry.

II. Materials and Methods

The material consisted of the clinical files and panoramic and lateral cephalometric radiographs of 112 Sudanese children (65 males and 46 females), aged from 7 to 16 years, who attending orthodontic treatment at the clinic of Orthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Khartoum, between 2009 and 2015. At the beginning of the study, the chronological ages of the patients were recognized from the child’s birth to the day the panoramic radiograph was taken. The chronological age was recorded in years and months.

To identify the dental age and skeletal maturity stages, all OPGs and lateral cephalometric radiographs were evaluated in dark room on a view box to ensure the maximum contrast. The dental age was assessed on panoramic radiograph by using Demerjian method.\textsuperscript{10} This method based on the developmental stages of the left mandibular permanent teeth, were rated on an 8-stages scale from A to H. (figure 1)

![Figure 1: Different developmental stages for one of the current clinical cases](image1)

The maturity score is converted directly into a dental age using the table suggested.

The skeletal maturity was being assessed on lateral cephalometric radiograph by using Baccetti method.\textsuperscript{4} According to this method, the lower border of the bodies of the second (C2), third (C3), and fourth (C4) cervical vertebrae traced from the lateral cephalometric radiographs were visually analyzed and rated on a 6-level scale from cervical stage CS 1 to CS6. The patient is classified into one of six stages. (Figure 2)

![Figure 2: Different cervical vertebra maturation stages (CVMS) from the current clinical cases.](image2)
Data were entered into computer master-sheet SPSS for window version 21.0 to facilitate data analysis. Pearson’s coefficient was applied to measure the association between chronological and dental ages and Spearman rank correlation coefficient for the CS and dental calcification stages. Kappa test was calculated to evaluate intra- and inter-observer reading, one month after the initial assessment, 20 panoramic radiographs and lateral skull cephalograms was be reassessed in random selection, once by the same investigator and other by second investigator. Good agreement was seen between the two measurement, for dental age 1.000 and 0.89, whereas, for CV stages, 0.864 and 0.859 respectively (intra- and inter-examiner agreement respectively).

### III. Results

The total numbers of the studied radiograph were 112 (47 males and 65 females). It was clear that the mean chronologic age of female was significantly lower than that of male on first four stages. In stage CS3, the mean chronologic age was 12.0533±0.976 years for females and 13.34±1.66 years for males. (Table 1)

**Table 1**: Sample distribution according to cervical vertebrae stages in males and females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cervical vertebra stages CS</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean , SD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mean , SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.84±1.55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.42±2.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.83±2.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.34±0.97</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.05±1.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.73±0.76</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.29±1.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.03±0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.14±0.55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.35±1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the results of Spearman rank order correlation coefficients between chronological and dental ages in each cervical vertebral maturation stage. These correlation coefficients were between 0.922 and 0.177 for gender. The significance level for all coefficients was high except in CS4 in male and CS 5 in female was P> 0.5.

**Table 2**: Correlations between chronological dental ages and skeletal maturity among study sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS4</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value was significant at < 0.05*

**Table 3**: Correlations between chronological ages and skeletal maturity among study sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value was significant at < 0.05*

Table 3 shows the results of Pearson correlation coefficients between chronological and cervical vertebral maturation stages. An overall high statistically significant in genders was noted (P> 0.5).

**Table 4**: Pearson correlation between chronological and dental ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value was significant at < 0.05*
R square equal were 0.86, 0.71 that means the dental age change by 86%, 71% due to the Chronological age for male and female respectively. P value was significant at < 0.05 this reflected the model is significant and the relation between dental age and Chronological age is suitable. The P-value of t.test less than 0.05 so we have to reject the null hypothesis and accepted the alternative hypothesis which point that the Chronological age is highly significance to the dental age, below equation show the relation between them.

\[ Y = -0.288 + 1.06X \text{ (Male)}, \quad Y = 0.073 + 1.02X \text{ (Female)} \]

Where, Y is Dental age, and X is Chronological age.

The equation pointed if the Chronological age change by one unit the Dental age will change by 1.06, 1.02 for male and female respectively.

**Table 5 :** Spearman correlation coefficients between cervical vertebral and dental maturity stages among genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st premolar</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd premolar</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st molar</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd molar</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value was significant at <= 0.05*

Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients between the cervical vertebral maturation stages and developmental stages for the 7 teeth are given in Table 5. All correlations were statistically significant. The level of the correlation was different for individual teeth where the correlation coefficients ranged from 0.276 to 0.772 for females and from 0.453 to 0.774 for males. (Table 5)

**Figure 3 :** linear relationship among chronological and dental age.

There was wide variation in tooth calcification stages for all teeth in boys and girls. The percentage distribution of dental development stages was calculated for the canines, first and second premolars, as well as the second molars. In CS1, the most frequently observed dental development stages were G for the canine and first premolar and E for the second molar (50%) in females and F for the canine and D in males (46.1%). Tooth calcification stages in other teeth had a percentage distribution less than 50%. (Figure 4)

In CS2 a wide distribution of tooth calcification stages can be clearly seen stage F in the first and second premolar (80%) in female and stage F in the second premolar (60%) in male. (Figure 5)

Figure 5: Percentage Distribution of Calcification Stages of Teeth at CVMS2

In CS3 stage G in the second molar and premolar (73.4%) in female and stage H of the canine in male (80%) were showed the highest percentage distribution. (Figure 6)

Figure 6: Percentage Distribution of Calcification Stages of Teeth at CVMS3
In CS4 stage G of the second molar (78.9%) in female and the calcification of the canine was complete in male. (Figure 7).

**Figure 7:** Percentage Distribution of Calcification Stages of Teeth at CVMS4

Most of the studied teeth were well formed by CS5 and CS6 except the second molars still presented mainly in G stage of development for female, 62.5% and 83.3% in CS5 – CS6 respectively. (Figure 8-9)

**Figure 8:** Percentage Distribution of Calcification Stages of Teeth at CVMS5

**Figure 9:** Percentage Distribution of Calcification Stages of Teeth at CVMS 6
IV. Discussion

Skeletal and dental maturity assessment is a common clinical practice in many health professions especially for growth modification.

In the present study, no males were documented in stage 5 of cervical maturity stages and only two in stage 6; subsequently the mean of the chronological age in females was significantly younger than males in the first four stages, which indicated that female maturation ahead of males, which in agreement with previous studies among other population.

In current study the mean chronologic age in stage CS3 was 12.0533 ± 0.976 years for females and 13.34 ± 1.66 years for males, similar results had been recorded among both gender in Turkish and Pakistani populations, Chinese, and South Africa males. In contrast to the results obtained by Irfan et al. Chen et al24 and Al-Hadlaq et al25 in Kashmiri, Chinese and Saudi populations.

High significant correlations were showed between chronological, dental ages and skeletal maturity, the highest correlation between chronological age and dental age was observed in CS 2 and CS 1 in male and female respectively. It was confirm that the cervical vertebrae stages were progressed with increase the chronological age as well as dental age.

Engestrom et al, Sukhia et al and Ingrid et al agreed with the present results, although Engestrom et al in Sewden samples depend on the third molar development and Ingrid et al in Central Poland samples showed the highest correlation in CS1 for both gender.

High statistic significant correlation (p= 0.000) was observed in the current study between chronological and skeletal maturity. Which mean the chronological age can be used for measuring the skeletal maturity; although not all age group were included in this study there for we cannot depend on this result unless further study prove this fact. Baidas et al in Saudi Arabia and Al khal et al25 in Southern China, concluded the same results.

In the current study, the dental maturity assessment stages of Demirjian et al were used due to calcification stages of teeth as an alternative of eruption were chosen because tooth development was proposed as a more reliable criterion for determining dental maturation. A high correlation coefficient was observed between chronological and dental ages (r = 0.925, p = 0.0001 for male and r = 0.845, p=0.0001 for female) in this study, which in accordance with previous results observed by Parabhaiker et al, Ingrid et al and Hedège et al whereas a statistically significant differences between dental and chronological age were described in Belgian children due to overestimation of the chronological age with dental age, and Kuwaiti children due to a tendency for delayed dental maturation.

The ethnic background, racial and environment influences as well as the methods, the results among populations were diverged.

A high significant correlation was observed between the dental developmental stages and cervical vertebral maturation stages of subjects with the Spearman rank order correlation coefficients.

In the present study, the permanent central and lateral incisors as well as the first molars were excluded from analysis owing to the medium correlations with CVM, whereas the canines, first and second premolars, and second molar showed good correlation, which agreed with numerous previous studies in literature, accordingly, the percentage distribution of dental development stages was calculated for the canines, first and second premolars, as well as the second molars.

In this study tooth development stages relative to stages of skeletal maturation was considered separately for male and female subjects. The sequence of each tooth according to dental development stages from the highest to the lowest correlation, the mandibular first premolar had the highest correlation coefficient with CVM stage among male subjects (r = 0.77, p = 0.001), followed by canine (r = 0.759, p = 0.001), second molar (r = 0.758, p = 0.001) and second premolar (r = 0.752, p = 0.001). Whereas for female, the mandibular second premolar had the highest correlation with CVM stage (r = 0.772, p = 0.001) followed by second molar (r = 0.756, p = 0.001), first premolar (r = 0.672, p = 0.001) and canine (r = 0.586, 0.001). This finding was confirmed that the first premolar and second premolar recorded with highest correlation in male and female respectively. Similarly, study among Chinese, the second molar and canine for female and male respectively, while in Saudi male revealed higher correlation values in the first premolar and the second molar with the skeletal maturation.

Moreover Krailassiri et al obtained the same finding among female, while the highest correlation in the second premolar among male.

In this study stage F in the mandibular first premolar was 38.4% in CS1 and 37.5% in CS2, and in stage H was 62.6% in CS3, 88.9% in CS4 and 100% in CS6 in male. Whereas in female the percentages for stage F in mandibular second premolar were 25% in CS1, 80.0% in CS2 and for stage G 73.4% in CS3. For stage H, the percentages were 52.6% in CS4, 93.7% in CS5 and 100% in CS6.

In study carried out by Uysal et al, among Turkish population showed that the development of canine and first premolar was completed in most cases at the pubertal growth spurt. However in Chinese and Indian populations, stage F in second molar was observed in female at beginning of the pubertal growth spurt.

This differences may be partially related to discrepancies in the, age, racial background and
number of the studied subjects as well as methods of selection of the teeth.

V. Conclusion

- A statistically significant relation was observed between chronological and dental ages and dental developmental stages with skeletal maturity stages.
- The stage H of the first premolar in male and stage G of the mandibular second premolar in female suggest the beginning of the pubertal growth spurt in Sudanese subjects. This confirms that the first premolar and second premolar (male and female respectively) may be used as markers for skeletal maturity of a child who’s seeking orthodontic treatment.
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Abstract - Labyrinthine fistula, defined by a destruction of the bony labyrinth, is one of the most feared complications during the evolution of a chronic otitis media with cholesteatoma. Relatively common, it represents 4-12% of cases of chronic otitis media with cholesteatoma and essentially occurs in the lateral semi-circular canal (70-80% of cases).

The abnormal opening of the bony labyrinth in the middle ear runs the risk of recurrent labyrinthitis with dizziness, sensorineural hearing loss, purulent labyrinthitis and meningitis. Its treatment is surgical, however, an alteration of cochleovestibular function during surgery may occur.

We propose in this development to review signs that suggest this complication and to establish a practical management of labyrinthine fistula in chronic otitis media with cholesteatoma.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The labyrinthine fistula, defined by a destruction of the bony labyrinth, is a common condition in the evolution of chronic otitis cholesteatoma (4-12% of cases) [1]. In terms of nosology, we conventionally distinguish bony labyrinth lysis (LOL) from perilymphatic fistula (PF).

II. ANATOMICAL PRESENTATIONS OF LABYRINTHINE FISTULAS

a) Sites
Fistulas are located:
- Essentially on the lateral semi-circular canal (70-80% of cases), mostly in the middle of it or slightly behind;
- More rarely on the promontory, the superior or the posterior semi-circular canal, and the stapes footplate. The combination of several fistulas may occur. [2-3]

b) Lesions
Labyrinthine fistulas are classified by the size and depth of invasion [4]:

The size is defined by the diameter of bone erosion (<2 mm and ≥ 2 mm). Narrow fistula of less than 2 mm on the largest diameter, where the matrix of the cholesteatoma is less likely to pass, present a low risk of invasion of the membranous labyrinth.

The prognosis of labyrinthine fistula is correlated with depth. Dornhoffer et al. classified labyrinthine fistulas according to depth in three types (5):
- Type I - erosion of the bony labyrinth with an intact endosteum;
- Type II - Exposure of membranous labyrinth and thus opening of the perilymphatic area;
- Type III - Invasion of the membranous labyrinth

In large fistulas, palpation of the stapes footplate by a protected absorbable sponge fragment, using a blunt instrument, can sometimes bring interesting feedback:
- Perilymph leaking in case of a permeable canal.
- Bulge of the membranous canal in case of perilymph blocking.

III. WHEN TO SUSPECT A LABYRINTHINE FISTULA?

Some circumstances may evoke this complication, especially if a chronic otitis is evolving for many years. However, it can also be observed in children.

Recall in case of:
- History of dizziness, frequently found at the anamnesis and described as “Little dizziness” or brief episodes of imbalance,
- Sign of the fistula, by finger pressure on the tragus, systematic measure to seek in all chronic otitis examination,
- Facial paralysis,
- Facial canal Lysis, during surgery.

However, absence of dizziness and fistula sign does not totally eliminate labyrinthine fistula. [6-1]

That’s why imaging is usually decisive in the preoperative. CT scan with millimeter cuts allows in general positive and topographic diagnosis of the fistula. However, it isn’t sensitive enough to affirm or eliminate extension to endosteum, which will define surgical procedure and functional prognosis. In theory, MRI is the best way to diagnose a labyrinthine fistula by highlighting:

- A reduction of the space between cholesteatoma and the membranous labyrinth.
- A labyrinthine inflammation
or a partitioning of the perilymphatic fluid in CISS sequence. Only this sign has been studied in the literature and shows excellent sensitivity.[7]

Consequences: [8]

1st notion:
Labyrinthine fistula has to be feared in any chronic otitis media surgery. The operative strategy must take this into account and consider that there is a possible fistula until proven otherwise.

2nd notion:
When inflammatory tissue is discovered in a "risk area", such as in the lateral semi-circular canal, avoid any dissection that would expose the membranous labyrinth. First, search for a fistula by gentle pressure on the stapes.

3rd notion:
Once the fistula is found, or even merely suspected, the site must be protected by an absorbable sponge or paper piece, until its treatment.

4th notion:
To treat labyrinthine fistula in best conditions, bloodless surgical field is imperative for a lesser use of suction which is a very important bone conduction impairment factor.

5th notion:
Accordingly, labyrinthine fistula must be proceed at the end of surgery, when there is no milling and therefore no heavy suction. Also, cholesteatoma dissection should be conclude by areas likely to be the site of alabyrinthine fistula, mainly lateral semi-circular canaland promontory.

Management of Labyrinthine Fistula: [9-10]
Management of labyrinthine fistula still raises discussions because:
- Leaving the cholesteatoma matrix theoretically expose to a further erosion bone and recurrent cholesteatoma.
- Removing the matrix may cause bone conduction impairment or even deafness in cases of membranous labyrinth trauma.

Fistula of the lateral semi-circular canal:
Towards cholesteatoma, two perspectives are opposed.

   The matrix dissection. It can be performed under reserve of:
   - Using a very fine suction, through an absorbable sponge ball if necessary and non-traumatic instruments, like button-hook
   - stopping at every grip.
   - Lining the area with a large fascia fragmentat the end of the matrix dissection, eventually with the use of a biological glue.

- Leaving an epidermal layer over all or part of the fistula, which is a wise solution :
- In case of One-off ear or better ear.
- If the cholesteatoma matrix adheres to the membranous labyrinth or Granulomatous area, or if the ear is infected.

Towards the bony fistula, one can:
- Simply cover the area with a temporal fascia fragment and possibly with the use of biological glue, if the lumen of the canal is not exposed.
- Closing with bone powder if the canal is open, before covering with the fascia.

   In case of labyrinthine leaking, especially during palpation of the stapes, simply covering the site with a fragment of fascia may not be enough to stop the fluid flow, which is a bone conduction impairment factor. Filling the lumen of the canal with the bony powder (optionally with the biological glue) can effectively plug the fistula.

In case of labyrinthine fistula on a deaf ear the procedure must initially seal the canal lumen with bone powder before covering it with temporal fascia to avoid a possible spread of infection to the subarachnoid spaces.

Fistula of the promontory:
Cochlear function prognosis are worse in promontory fistulas than lateral semi-circular canal ones. Therefore, Caution is to leave a thin matrix layer and line it by temporal fascia fragment.

Canal Wall up or Canal Wall Down Tympanoplasty? ;[9-10-11-12]

   The presence of a labyrinthine fistula is not a deciding factor for the type of procedure.
   Technique choice depend on some general factors but especially on characteristics of cholesteatoma. In addition, it appears that the choice of the technique does not influence bone curve quality in postoperative. Apart from some particular circumstances (multi-operated ear, multiple fistulas, one-off ear) or when letting up the matrix (large fistula of the posterior canal, promontory and cochlear fistula), It’s suitable to recommend a canal wall up to facilitate à further monitoring.

Hearing Results of Labyrinthine Fistula Surgery: [11]
Average postoperative deafness risk is 10% and most of the time unpredictable. However, if the cochlear function is usually spared, the risk seems correlated for some authors to the size of the fistula and its site, which lead to a greater caution in case of large fistula or a dicey site.

IV. Conclusion

Labyrinthine fistula is a common complication of chronic otitis media with cholesteatoma.
Diagnosis is based on clinical features and imaging (MRI, CT +/-). However, Surgery remains the only way to diagnose for sure a labyrinthine fistula.

Surgeon must remain cautious whenever operating a large cholesteatoma, because CT scan can be falsely reassuring.

The choice of surgical technique (canal wall up or canal wall down tympanoplasty) is more likely defined by the characteristics of cholesteatoma than by the presence or the nature of the fistula.

References Références Referencias

FELLOWS

FELLOW OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN MEDICAL (FARSM)

Global Journals Incorporate (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society (OARS), U.S.A and in turn, awards “FARSM” title to individuals. The ‘FARSM’ title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief/Editorial Board Members/Dean.

The “FARSM” is a dignified title which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall Ph.D., FARSS or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSM.

FARSM accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. After recognition as FARSM, you can add 'FARSM’ title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, and Visiting Card etc.

*The following benefits can be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification:*

FARSM designated members are entitled to avail a 40% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) with Global Journals Incorporation (USA), if the same is accepted by Editorial Board/Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author in case of multiple authors, you will be entitled to avail discount of 10%.

Once FARSM title is accorded, the Fellow is authorized to organize a symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). The Fellow can also participate in conference/seminar/symposium organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent.

You may join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) after successful completion of three years as Fellow and as Peer Reviewer. In addition, it is also desirable that you should organize seminar/symposium/conference at least once.

We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.
The FARSM can go through standards of OARS. You can also play vital role if you have any suggestions so that proper amendment can take place to improve the same for the benefit of entire research community.

As FARSM, you will be given a renowned, secure and free professional email address with 100 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.

The FARSM will be eligible for a free application of standardization of their researches. Standardization of research will be subject to acceptability within stipulated norms as the next step after publishing in a journal. We shall depute a team of specialized research professionals who will render their services for elevating your researches to next higher level, which is worldwide open standardization.

The FARSM member can apply for grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A. Once you are designated as FARSM, you may send us a scanned copy of all of you credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria. After certification of all your credentials by OARS, they will be published on your Fellow Profile link on website https://associationofresearch.org which will be helpful to upgrade the dignity.

The FARSM members can avail the benefits of free research podcasting in Global Research Radio with their research documents. After publishing the work, (including published elsewhere worldwide with proper authorization) you can upload your research paper with your recorded voice or you can utilize chargeable services of our professional RJs to record your paper in their voice on request.

The FARSM member also entitled to get the benefits of free research podcasting of their research documents through video clips. We can also streamline your conference videos and display your slides/ online slides and online research video clips at reasonable charges, on request.
The FARSM is eligible to earn from sales proceeds of his/her researches/reference/review Books or literature, while publishing with Global Journals. The FARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in a closed manner. In this case, whenever readers purchase that individual research paper for reading, maximum 60% of its profit earned as royalty by Global Journals, will be credited to his/her bank account. The entire entitled amount will be credited to his/her bank account exceeding limit of minimum fixed balance. There is no minimum time limit for collection. The FARSM member can decide its price and we can help in making the right decision.

The FARSM member is eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account.

MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN MEDICAL (MARSM)

The 'MARSM' title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief / Editorial Board Members/Dean.

The “MARSM” is a dignified ornament which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., MARSM or William Walldroff, M.S., MARSM.

MARSM accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming MARSM, you can add 'MARSM' title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, Visiting Card and Name Plate etc.

The following benefits can be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification.

MARSM designated members are entitled to avail a 25% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) in Global Journals Inc., if the same is accepted by our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author of a group of authors, you will get discount of 10%.

As MARSM, you will be given a renowned, secure and free professional email address with 30 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.
We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.

The MARSM member can apply for approval, grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A.

Once you are designated as MARSM, you may send us a scanned copy of all of your credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria.

It is mandatory to read all terms and conditions carefully.
Auxiliary Memberships

Institutional Fellow of Open Association of Research Society (USA) - OARS (USA)

Global Journals Incorporation (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) and in turn, affiliates research institutions as “Institutional Fellow of Open Association of Research Society” (IFOARS).

The “FARSC” is a dignified title which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSC or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSC.

The IFOARS institution is entitled to form a Board comprised of one Chairperson and three to five board members preferably from different streams. The Board will be recognized as “Institutional Board of Open Association of Research Society”-(IBOARS).

The Institute will be entitled to following benefits:

The IBOARS can initially review research papers of their institute and recommend them to publish with respective journal of Global Journals. It can also review the papers of other institutions after obtaining our consent. The second review will be done by peer reviewer of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)

The Board is at liberty to appoint a peer reviewer with the approval of chairperson after consulting us.

The author fees of such paper may be waived off up to 40%.

The Global Journals Incorporation (USA) at its discretion can also refer double blind peer reviewed paper at their end to the board for the verification and to get recommendation for final stage of acceptance of publication.

The IBOARS can organize symposium/seminar/conference in their country on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)-OARS (USA). The terms and conditions can be discussed separately.

The Board can also play vital role by exploring and giving valuable suggestions regarding the Standards of “Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS)” so that proper amendment can take place for the benefit of entire research community.

We shall provide details of particular standard only on receipt of request from the Board.

The board members can also join us as Individual Fellow with 40% discount on total fees applicable to Individual Fellow. They will be entitled to avail all the benefits as declared. Please visit Individual Fellow-sub menu of GlobalJournals.org to have more relevant details.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US) | Guidelines Handbook
We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.

After nomination of your institution as “Institutional Fellow” and constantly functioning successfully for one year, we can consider giving recognition to your institute to function as Regional/Zonal office on our behalf. The board can also take up the additional allied activities for betterment after our consultation.

The following entitlements are applicable to individual Fellows:

Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) By-laws states that an individual Fellow may use the designations as applicable, or the corresponding initials. The Credentials of individual Fellow and Associate designations signify that the individual has gained knowledge of the fundamental concepts. One is magnanimous and proficient in an expertise course covering the professional code of conduct, and follows recognized standards of practice.

Open Association of Research Society (US)/ Global Journals Incorporation (USA), as described in Corporate Statements, are educational, research publishing and professional membership organizations. Achieving our individual Fellow or Associate status is based mainly on meeting stated educational research requirements.

Disbursement of 40% Royalty earned through Global Journals: Researcher = 50%, Peer Reviewer = 37.50%, Institution = 12.50% E.g. Out of 40%, the 20% benefit should be passed on to researcher, 15 % benefit towards remuneration should be given to a reviewer and remaining 5% is to be retained by the institution.

We shall provide print version of 12 issues of any three journals [as per your requirement] out of our 38 journals worth $ 2376 USD.

Other:

The individual Fellow and Associate designations accredited by Open Association of Research Society (US) credentials signify guarantees following achievements:

- The professional accredited with Fellow honor, is entitled to various benefits viz. name, fame, honor, regular flow of income, secured bright future, social status etc.
In addition to above, if one is single author, then entitled to 40% discount on publishing research paper and can get 10% discount if one is co-author or main author among group of authors.

➢ The Fellow can organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and he/she can also attend the same organized by other institutes on behalf of Global Journals.

➢ The Fellow can become member of Editorial Board Member after completing 3 yrs.

➢ The Fellow can earn 60% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper.

➢ Fellow can also join as paid peer reviewer and earn 15% remuneration of author charges and can also get an opportunity to join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)

➢ This individual has learned the basic methods of applying those concepts and techniques to common challenging situations. This individual has further demonstrated an in–depth understanding of the application of suitable techniques to a particular area of research practice.

Note:

➢ In future, if the board feels the necessity to change any board member, the same can be done with the consent of the chairperson along with anyone board member without our approval.

➢ In case, the chairperson needs to be replaced then consent of 2/3rd board members are required and they are also required to jointly pass the resolution copy of which should be sent to us. In such case, it will be compulsory to obtain our approval before replacement.

➢ In case of “Difference of Opinion [if any]” among the Board members, our decision will be final and binding to everyone.
The Area or field of specialization may or may not be of any category as mentioned in ‘Scope of Journal’ menu of the GlobalJournals.org website. There are 37 Research Journal categorized with Six parental Journals GJCST, GJMR, GJRE, GJMBR, GJSFR, GJHSS. For Authors should prefer the mentioned categories. There are three widely used systems UDC, DDC and LCC. The details are available as ‘Knowledge Abstract’ at Home page. The major advantage of this coding is that, the research work will be exposed to and shared with all over the world as we are being abstracted and indexed worldwide.

The paper should be in proper format. The format can be downloaded from first page of ‘Author Guideline’ Menu. The Author is expected to follow the general rules as mentioned in this menu. The paper should be written in MS-Word Format (*.DOC,*.DOCX).

The Author can submit the paper either online or offline. The authors should prefer online submission. **Online Submission**: There are three ways to submit your paper:

(A) (I) First, register yourself using top right corner of Home page then Login. If you are already registered, then login using your username and password.

(II) Choose corresponding Journal.

(III) Click ‘Submit Manuscript’. Fill required information and Upload the paper.

(B) If you are using Internet Explorer, then Direct Submission through Homepage is also available.

(C) If these two are not conveninet, and then email the paper directly to dean@globaljournals.org.

Offline Submission: Author can send the typed form of paper by Post. However, online submission should be preferred.
MANUSCRIPT STYLE INSTRUCTION (Must be strictly followed)

Page Size: 8.27” X 11”

- Left Margin: 0.65
- Right Margin: 0.65
- Top Margin: 0.75
- Bottom Margin: 0.75
- Font type of all text should be Swis 721 Lt BT.
- Paper Title should be of Font Size 24 with one Column section.
- Author Name in Font Size of 11 with one column as of Title.
- Abstract Font size of 9 Bold, “Abstract” word in Italic Bold.
- Main Text: Font size 10 with justified two columns section
- Two Column with Equal Column with of 3.38 and Gaping of .2
- First Character must be three lines Drop capped.
- Paragraph before Spacing of 1 pt and After of 0 pt.
- Line Spacing of 1 pt
- Large Images must be in One Column
- Numbering of First Main Headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman Letters, Capital Letter, and Font Size of 10.
- Numbering of Second Main Headings (Heading 2) must be in Alphabets, Italic, and Font Size of 10.

You can use your own standard format also.

Author Guidelines:

1. General,
2. Ethical Guidelines,
3. Submission of Manuscripts,
4. Manuscript’s Category,
5. Structure and Format of Manuscript,
6. After Acceptance.

1. GENERAL

Before submitting your research paper, one is advised to go through the details as mentioned in following heads. It will be beneficial, while peer reviewer justify your paper for publication.

Scope

The Global Journals Inc. (US) welcome the submission of original paper, review paper, survey article relevant to the all the streams of Philosophy and knowledge. The Global Journals Inc. (US) is parental platform for Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology, Researches in Engineering, Medical Research, Science Frontier Research, Human Social Science, Management, and Business organization. The choice of specific field can be done otherwise as following in Abstracting and Indexing Page on this Website. As the all Global
Journals Inc. (US) are being abstracted and indexed (in process) by most of the reputed organizations. Topics of only narrow interest will not be accepted unless they have wider potential or consequences.

2. ETHICAL GUIDELINES

Authors should follow the ethical guidelines as mentioned below for publication of research paper and research activities.

Papers are accepted on strict understanding that the material in whole or in part has not been, nor is being, considered for publication elsewhere. If the paper once accepted by Global Journals Inc. (US) and Editorial Board, will become the copyright of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

Authorship: The authors and coauthors should have active contribution to conception design, analysis and interpretation of findings. They should critically review the contents and drafting of the paper. All should approve the final version of the paper before submission.

The Global Journals Inc. (US) follows the definition of authorship set up by the Global Academy of Research and Development. According to the Global Academy of R&D authorship, criteria must be based on:

1) Substantial contributions to conception and acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the findings.

2) Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.

3) Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

All authors should have been credited according to their appropriate contribution in research activity and preparing paper. Contributors who do not match the criteria as authors may be mentioned under Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements: Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned under acknowledgement. The specifications of the source of funding for the research if appropriate can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with address.

Appeal of Decision: The Editorial Board’s decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed elsewhere.

Permissions: It is the author's responsibility to have prior permission if all or parts of earlier published illustrations are used in this paper.

Please mention proper reference and appropriate acknowledgements wherever expected.

If all or parts of previously published illustrations are used, permission must be taken from the copyright holder concerned. It is the author's responsibility to take these in writing.

Approval for reproduction/modification of any information (including figures and tables) published elsewhere must be obtained by the authors/copyright holders before submission of the manuscript. Contributors (Authors) are responsible for any copyright fee involved.

3. SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts should be uploaded via this online submission page. The online submission is most efficient method for submission of papers, as it enables rapid distribution of manuscripts and consequently speeds up the review procedure. It also enables authors to know the status of their own manuscripts by emailing us. Complete instructions for submitting a paper is available below.

Manuscript submission is a systematic procedure and little preparation is required beyond having all parts of your manuscript in a given format and a computer with an Internet connection and a Web browser. Full help and instructions are provided on-screen. As an author, you will be prompted for login and manuscript details as Field of Paper and then to upload your manuscript file(s) according to the instructions.
To avoid postal delays, all transaction is preferred by e-mail. A finished manuscript submission is confirmed by e-mail immediately and your paper enters the editorial process with no postal delays. When a conclusion is made about the publication of your paper by our Editorial Board, revisions can be submitted online with the same procedure, with an occasion to view and respond to all comments.

Complete support for both authors and co-author is provided.

4. MANUSCRIPT'S CATEGORY

Based on potential and nature, the manuscript can be categorized under the following heads:

Original research paper: Such papers are reports of high-level significant original research work.

Review papers: These are concise, significant but helpful and decisive topics for young researchers.

Research articles: These are handled with small investigation and applications

Research letters: The letters are small and concise comments on previously published matters.

5. STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

The recommended size of original research paper is less than seven thousand words, review papers fewer than seven thousands words also.Preparation of research paper or how to write research paper, are major hurdle, while writing manuscript. The research articles and research letters should be fewer than three thousand words, the structure original research paper; sometime review paper should be as follows:

Papers: These are reports of significant research (typically less than 7000 words equivalent, including tables, figures, references), and comprise:

(a) Title should be relevant and commensurate with the theme of the paper.

(b) A brief Summary, “Abstract” (less than 150 words) containing the major results and conclusions.

(c) Up to ten keywords, that precisely identifies the paper’s subject, purpose, and focus.

(d) An Introduction, giving necessary background excluding subheadings; objectives must be clearly declared.

(e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition; sources of information must be given and numerical methods must be specified by reference, unless non-standard.

(f) Results should be presented concisely, by well-designed tables and/or figures; the same data may not be used in both; suitable statistical data should be given. All data must be obtained with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage. As reproduced design has been recognized to be important to experiments for a considerable time, the Editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned un-refereed;

(g) Discussion should cover the implications and consequences, not just recapitulating the results; conclusions should be summarizing.

(h) Brief Acknowledgements.

(i) References in the proper form.

Authors should very cautiously consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate efficiently. Papers are much more likely to be accepted, if they are cautiously designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and be conventional to the approach and instructions. They will in addition, be published with much less delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US) | Guidelines Handbook
The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and to make suggestions to improve briefness.

It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

Format

Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min, except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 l rather than 1.4 × 10⁻³ m³, or 4 mm somewhat than 4 × 10⁻³ m. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the e-mail address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art. A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:
• One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?” Then consider synonyms for the important words.
• It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
• One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.

References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author’s name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication

Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs): >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.
Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading ‘Legends to Figures’. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.

6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

6.1 Proof Corrections

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded

(Free of charge) from the following website:

www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.

As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing’s Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors’ final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

6.3 Author Services

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article - once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

6.4 Author Material Archive Policy

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy & electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher’s terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org.
Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

1. **Choosing the topic:** In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be “Yes” then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.

2. **Evaluators are human:** First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.

3. **Think Like Evaluators:** If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.

4. **Make blueprints of paper:** The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

5. **Ask your Guides:** If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can’t clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.

6. **Use of computer is recommended:** As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.

7. **Use right software:** Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.

8. **Use the Internet for help:** An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.

9. **Use and get big pictures:** Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.

10. **Bookmarks are useful:** When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.

11. **Revise what you wrote:** When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.
12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.

13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.

14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating “hotchpotch.” So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.

15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.

16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.

17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.

18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.

19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.

20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straightforward. Put together a neat summary.

21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.

24. Never copy others’ work: Never copy others’ work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.

25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.

26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.
27. **Refresh your mind after intervals**: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.

28. **Make colleagues**: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.

29. **Think technically**: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.

30. **Think and then print**: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

31. **Adding unnecessary information**: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.

32. **Never oversimplify everything**: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren’t essential and shouldn’t be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

33. **Report concluded results**: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.

34. **After conclusion**: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

**INFORMAL GUIDELINES OF RESEARCH PAPER WRITING**

**Key points to remember:**

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.

**Final Points:**

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.
Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

**General style:**

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

- Adhere to recommended page limits

**Mistakes to evade**

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

- Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)
- Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper
- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)
- Align the primary line of each section
- Present your points in sound order
- Use present tense to report well accepted
- Use past tense to describe specific results
- Shun familiar wording, don’t address the reviewer directly, and don’t use slang, slang language, or superlatives
- Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

**Title Page:**

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address(es) of all authors.
Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript—must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else

Introduction:

The Introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.
Present surroundings information only as desirable in order to hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.

Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.

As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replace your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently. You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.
Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form.

What to stay away from

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report.
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts.
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle.
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading.
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text.

Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as “uncertain.”
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work.
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information.
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
  - Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.
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