



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: I
SURGERIES AND CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM
Volume 17 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2017
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Beating Heart Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery in Patients with Previous Sternotomy: The Operative Technique and Early Outcomes

By Robert B. Xu, Mohammad Rahnnavardi, Mart Nadal, Michael Worthington,
Robert G. Stuklis & James Edwards

Summary- Objective: Re-operative mitral valve surgery is increasingly required and can be associated with significant morbidity and mortality. The beating heart minimally invasive mitral valve surgery has a proposed benefit in avoiding the risks of repeat sternotomy, with reducing the need for adhesiolysis and cardioplegia reperfusion injury. We describe our experience with such a technique in patients with previous sternotomy.

Methods: A retrospective study was performed and all patients undergoing surgery of mitral valve through a right limited thoracotomy without application of an aortic cross clamp (beating heart) as a redo cardiac surgery between January 2006 and January 2015 were included (n=25). Perioperative data as well as the operative technique are presented.

Keywords: beating heart mitral, minimal invasive mitral, redo cardiac surgery.

GJMR-I Classification: NLMC Code: WG 169



BEATINGHEARTMINIMALLYINVASIVEMITRALVALVESURGERYINPATIENTSWITHPREVIOUSSTERNOTOMYTHEOPERATIVE TECHNIQUEANDEARLYOUTCOMES

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Beating Heart Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery in Patients with Previous Sternotomy: The Operative Technique and Early Outcomes

Robert B. Xu ^α, Mohammad Rahnavardi ^σ, Mart Nadal ^ρ, Michael Worthington ^ω, Robert G. Stuklis [¥]
& James Edwards [§]

Summary- Objective: Re-operative mitral valve surgery is increasingly required and can be associated with significant morbidity and mortality. The beating heart minimally invasive mitral valve surgery has a proposed benefit in avoiding the risks of repeat sternotomy, with reducing the need for adhesiolysis and cardioplegia reperfusion injury. We describe our experience with such a technique in patients with previous sternotomy.

Methods: A retrospective study was performed and all patients undergoing surgery of mitral valve through a right limited thoracotomy without application of an aortic cross clamp (beating heart) as a redo cardiac surgery between January 2006 and January 2015 were included (n=25). Perioperative data as well as the operative technique are presented.

Results: Six patients (24%) had two previous sternotomies and one (4%) had three previous sternotomies. Mitral valve repair was performed in 11 patients (44%). No patient required conversion to median sternotomy. Inotropic support beyond four hours after operation was required in 7 patients (28%). Ventilation time was less than 12 hours in 14 patients (56%) with another 6 patients (24%) extubated within 24 hours after surgery. Postoperative course was complicated with cerebrovascular accident in 2 patients (8%). In-hospital mortality was 4% (n=1). There was no 30-day mortality after discharge.

Conclusions: Re-operative mitral valve surgery can be safely performed through a right limited thoracotomy approach on a beating heart while on full cardiopulmonary bypass. The technique can be associated with potentially shorter operation, shorter cardiopulmonary bypass, and a less complicated recovery.

Keywords: beating heart mitral, minimal invasive mitral, redo cardiac surgery.

I. INTRODUCTION

Re-operative cardiac surgery is increasingly being performed as the population ages. Seven percent of cardiac surgeries performed in Australia between 2010 and 2011 were redo surgeries.¹ Re-entry median sternotomy is associated with significant potential morbidity and mortality, especially if patent coronary artery grafts are present.²

Author α σ ρ ω ¥ §: D'Arcy Sutherland Cardiothoracic Surgical Unit, Royal Adelaide Hospital, North Terrace, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia. e-mail: robert.b.xu@gmail.com

Hazards during re-operative surgery include sternal re-entry with attendant risks of damage to the right ventricle, aorta, innominate vein and patent coronary grafts. Dissection of adhesions can be time-consuming and technically challenging, especially if the aorta must be exposed for cannulation or cross-clamping. Adequate exposure of the mitral valve is also a concern with adhesions potentially limiting the ability to manipulate the heart into a position to facilitate optimum exposure. In addition, in patients with poor ventricular function, as is often seen in long-standing valvular disease or in those with a history of coronary artery disease, myocardial protection becomes a concern and cardioplegic cardiac arrest will place the patient at risk of ischemia-reperfusion injury and postoperative low cardiac output.³

The beating heart approach to mitral valve surgery was first described by Praeger and colleagues in 1989.⁴ Since then, several groups have reported good outcomes with performing mitral valve surgery on the beating heart, through a right thoracotomy.⁵⁻¹⁰ The proposed benefit of this approach is reduction in the risks of re-do sternotomy, release of adhesions and cardioplegia reperfusion injury.

We describe our experience with redo surgery for mitral valve intervention performed through a right anterolateral thoracotomy incision done on a beating heart.

II. METHODS

A retrospective study was performed and all patients undergoing surgery of mitral valve through a right anterolateral thoracotomy without application of an aortic cross clamp (beating heart) as a redo cardiac surgery between January 2006 and January 2015, in our institute, were included (n=25). Patients with previous sternotomy that merely required mitral valve intervention with no contraindication for a right thoracotomy were considered for this approach. Preoperative patient factors, perioperative outcomes, as well as complication rates were identified through retrospective database and case note review. Data was reported as mean and standard deviation, median and interquartile range (IQR), or frequency, as appropriate. Given the

retrospective nature of the study no specific local ethics committee approval was required.

a) Operative technique

All patients were intubated with a single lumen endotracheal tube. They were placed in a semi-supine position with the right chest slightly raised. External defibrillation pads were placed in all cases. Normothermic cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) was established via femoral artery using a EOPA™ Arterial Cannula (Medtronic, Inc, MN, USA) and femoral vein using a Multi-Stage Femoral Cannula (Medtronic, Inc, MN, USA) with vacuum assist. A limited right anterolateral thoracotomy was then performed through the fourth or fifth intercostal space. A PeriVue soft tissue retractor (Edwards Lifescience LLC, Irvine, CA, USA), a rib spreader (Geister Medizintechnik, Tuttlingen, Germany), and malleable copper blade retractors were used to aid exposure. A 10-mm thoracoscopic camera was placed through a separate port placed in the third intercostal space. The pleural space was insufflated with carbon dioxide at a rate of 5 litres per minute to reduce intra-cardiac air. The aorta was not particularly dissected free, cannulated, or clamped and no cardioplegia was used.

The interatrial groove was dissected to expose the left atrium and the left atrium was directly entered after ensuring full CPB is achieved and the heart is well drained. The mitral valve was then inspected, and then replaced or repaired as appropriate based on the pathology. After the mitral valve repair or replacement has been performed, a pump sucker was kept inside the left ventricle and an agitator kept the mitral valve incompetent. Deairing was achieved by means of directly venting the left ventricle whilst the heart was filled and the atriotomy closed. Air removal was confirmed with trans-oesophageal echocardiography. A pleural drain was then placed. The patient was then weaned off CPB and de cannulated. An intercostal catheter for local anaesthetic infusion was inserted and the wounds were closed in a routine fashion.

III. RESULTS

The commonest prior cardiac surgery was coronary artery bypass grafting (n=12, 48%, Table 1). Three patients (12%) had prior mitral valve replacement. Six patients (24%) had two previous sternotomies and one (4%) had three previous sternotomies. Mitral valve repair was performed in 11 patients (44%, Table 2). Annuloplasty rings were used in all patients that had mitral valve repair. Concomitant atrial fibrillation surgery was performed in 3 (12%) patients.

No patient required conversion to median sternotomy. Weaning from CPB was successful in all patients without requiring intra-aortic balloon pump, with or without inotropic support. Inotropic support beyond four hours after operation was required in 7 patients

(28%). Ventilation time was less than 12 hours in 14 patients (56%) with another 6 patients (24%) extubated within 24 hours after surgery. Postoperative course was complicated with stroke in 1 patient (4%, Table 3) and the patient made near complete recovery with minimal deficit. Early (in-hospital) mortality was 4% (n=1). There was no mortality within the first month after discharge.

IV. DISCUSSION

Re-operative mitral valve surgery is increasingly required and carries a high burden of associated potential morbidity and mortality.⁸ Alternatives for repeat mitral surgery include redo median sternotomy and cardioplegic arrest, or hypothermic ventricular fibrillatory arrest. The technique used in the current series is similar to what has been described before by other groups.⁴⁻¹⁰ A number of advantages are described: it avoids the need for a repeat sternotomy and its associated risk of injury to cardiac structures, potential catastrophic cardiac injury, and sternal wound infection;¹¹ by limiting the degree of adhesiolysis required, through entry via a preserved right pleural space and avoiding the need for dissection around the aorta for cross-clamping, there is a potential reduction in the operative and CPB times as well as the risk of perioperative bleeding.¹² In addition, maintaining normothermia can potentially decrease the risk of coagulopathy after a complex repeat mitral surgery. The transfusion rate in this series was 40% and 2 patients (8%) required return to theatre for bleeding, both managed through reopening the right thoracotomy wound.

The alternative to the beating heart technique is a ventricular fibrillation arrest. However, ventricular fibrillation arrest is known to reduce oxygen delivery to the subendocardium, and thus provides suboptimal myocardial protection.⁸ Continuous myocardial perfusion in a beating heart technique is ideal as it provides optimal myocardial protection through maintenance of coronary circulation throughout the operation.^{13, 14}

One potential disadvantage to this technique is its perceived technical difficulty. In our experience, physiological assessment of valve repair was more easily performed with the heart beating. Indeed, the current series comprised 11 (44%) mitral valve repairs, including more complex valvuloplasties with concomitant quadrangular resection and cleft closure in addition to an annuloplasty ring. Comparable repair success rates have been previously reported.^{8, 9}

A particular concern in mitral valve surgery is air embolism,⁵ especially in this case where the aorta is not clamped and no aortic vent is placed. In our experience as well as other groups experience,⁶ with full CPB flow and vacuum assisted venous drainage, the aortic valve hardly opens even in the systolic phase, as confirmed by the intraoperative trans-oesophageal echocardiogram. Furthermore, the blood will be

preferentially expelled across the mitral valve, since atmospheric pressure is much less than the aortic root pressure.⁹After the mitral valve repair or replacement has been performed, a pump sucker is kept inside the left ventricle and an agitator keeps the mitral valve incompetent. The left atrium is allowed to fill completely with backflow of blood prior to closing the atriotomy line. Carbon dioxide insufflation is also used to displace intra-cardiac air. By avoiding aortic manipulation by a cross-clamp, the risk of systemic embolisation is potentially avoided. In this series, 2 patients had postoperative adverse neurologic events; both were felt to be embolic in aetiology.

Another potential disadvantage is a higher rate of pulmonary complications with right thoracotomy as compared with repeat median sternotomy. Indeed, our series did present a relatively high rate of pneumonia (16%). Comparable to other reports,⁸80% of patients of this series were extubated within 24 hours of surgery with a median mechanical ventilation time of 11 hours.

Although the data for all patients were collected prospectively as part of a national database, this study was limited by its retrospective design and its relative small sample size.

Re-operative mitral valve surgery can be safely performed through a right limited thoracotomy approach on a beating heart while on full CPB. The technique can potentially be associated with a shorter operation, a shorter CPB, and a less complicated recovery.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. SB CGUQa. Cardiac surgery: Surgical performance improvement - Victorian Government Health Information, Australia.
2. Murzi M, Kallushi E, Tiwari KK, et al. Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery through right thoracotomy in patients with patent coronary artery bypass grafts. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2009; 9: 29-32.
3. Turer AT and Hill JA. Pathogenesis of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury and rationale for therapy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010; 106: 360-8.
4. Praeger PI, Pooley RW, Moggio RA, Somberg ED, Sarabu MR and Reed GE. Simplified method for

reoperation on the mitral valve. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1989; 48: 835-7.

5. Botta L, Cannata A, Fratto P, et al. The role of the minimally invasive beating heart technique in reoperative valve surgery. *J Card Surg.* 2012; 27: 24-8.
6. Kitamura T, Stuklis RG and Edwards J. Redo mitral valve operation via right minithoracotomy--"no touch" technique. *Int Heart J.* 2011; 52: 107-9.
7. Nakamura T, Izutani H, Sekiya N, Nakazato T and Sawa Y. Beating heart mitral valve repair for a patient with previous coronary bypass: a case report and review of the literature. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013; 8: 187.
8. Romano MA, Haft JW, Pagani FD and Bolling SF. Beating heart surgery via right thoracotomy for reoperative mitral valve surgery: a safe and effective operative alternative. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012; 144: 334-9.
9. Thompson MJ, Behranwala A, Campanella C, Walker WS and Cameron EW. Immediate and long-term results of mitral prosthetic replacement using a right thoracotomy beating heart technique. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2003; 24: 47-51; discussion
10. Wani ML, Ahangar AG, Singh S, et al. Efficacy and safety of beating heart mitral valve replacement. *Int Cardiovasc Res J.* 2014; 8: 61-5.
11. Macmanus Q, Okies JE, Phillips SJ and Starr A. Surgical considerations in patients undergoing repeat median sternotomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1975; 69: 138-43.
12. Braxton JH, Higgins RS, Schwann TA, et al. Reoperative mitral valve surgery via right thoracotomy: decreased blood loss and improved hemodynamics. *J Heart Valve Dis.* 1996; 5: 169-73.
13. Kaplon RJ, Pham SM and Salerno TA. Beating-heart valvular surgery: a possible alternative for patients with severely compromised ventricular function. *J Card Surg.* 2002; 17: 170-2.
14. Matsumoto Y, Watanabe G, Endo M, Sasaki H, Kasashima F and Kosugi I. Efficacy and safety of on-pump beating heart surgery for valvular disease. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002; 74: 678-83.

Table 1: Patient demographics and preoperative data

Characteristics	Values
Gender (male), n (%)	15 (60)
Age, years (SD)	67.8 (10.4)
Hypertension, n (%)	14 (56)
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	17 (68)
Pulmonary hypertension, n (%)	11 (44)
Baseline creatinine, $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (SD)	96 (44)
Chronic pulmonary disease, n (%)	4 (16)
Cerebrovascular accident, n (%)	2 (8)
Previous cardiac surgery, n (%)	

CABG	12 (48)
MV replacement	3 (12)
Open mitral commissurotomy	3 (12)
CABG and MV surgery	2 (8)
Congenital repair	2 (8)
MV repair	1 (4)
AVR	1 (4)
Aortic root replacement	1 (4)
Number of previous sternotomies, n (%)	
1	18 (72)
2	6 (24)
3	1 (4)
Mitral valve disease, n (%)	
Regurgitation	22 (88)
Stenosis	1 (4)
Mixed	2 (8)
Mitral valve pathology, n (%)	
Rheumatic	5 (20)
Myxomatous	10 (40)
Ischemic/functional	4 (16)
Annuloplasty ring/valve dehiscence	4 (16)
Failed mitral prosthesis	1 (4)
Failed previous repair	1 (4)
NYHA class, n (%)	
NYHA 1	3 (12)
NYHA 2	6 (24)
NYHA 3	9 (36)
NYHA 4	7 (28)
Left ventricular systolic function	
Preserved	17 (68)
Moderate dysfunction	8 (32)
Severe dysfunction	0 (0)

AVR, aortic valve replacement; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; MV, mitral valve; NYHA, New York heart association; SD, standard deviation;

Table 2: Operative and postoperative data

	Values
Type of mitral valve surgery	
Mitral valve repair	11 (44)
Mitral valve replacement	14 (56)
Cardiopulmonary bypass time, minutes (SD)	105 (41)
Ventilation time, hours, median (IQR)	11 (14)
Intensive care unit stay, days (SD), median (IQR)	2.0 (3.8)
Length of stay, median (IQR)	10 (9)
Drain output in the first 4h, mLs (SD)	494 (488)
Conversion to median sternotomy, n (%)	0 (0)

IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation

Table 3: Postoperative morbidity and mortality

	n (%)
Stroke	1 (4)
Transient ischemic attack	1 (4)
Myocardial infarction	0 (0)
Return to theatre for bleeding	2 (8)
Pleural effusion requiring drainage	3 (12)
Pneumothorax	3 (12)
Pneumonia	4 (16)
Permanent pacemaker insertion	3 (12)
Postoperative transfusion	10 (40)
Wound infections	0 (0)
Intra-aortic balloon pump insertion	0 (0)
Readmission within 30 days of discharge	1 (4)
In-hospital mortality	1 (4)
Death within 30 days of discharge	0 (0)

