



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: F
DISEASES

Volume 20 Issue 7 Version 1.0 Year 2020

Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Male Body Mass Index and Seminal Parameters

By De Vicente Montes, Lucía, Motato Moscoso, Yamilet, Ortega García, Ana, Calvo Juan, Agustín, Franco Sansaloni, Ángela & Espejo Catena, María Rita

Abstract- Objective: To evaluate the controversial association between body mass index (BMI) and seminal fluid parameters of male patients who consulted with their partners in our clinic.

Design: retrospective observational study including 1009 males attended in Instituto FIVIR from January 2010 to January 2018.

The following parameters are registered: height (meter) and weight (kg) in order to obtain BMI (kg/m^2), age, fresh spermatic count (m/mL), progressive motility (%) and normal morphology (%).

Patients: Of 1009 selected patients, only 471 met the inclusion criteria for the present study. All of them were European, white males, who obtained the seminal sample at our center (after three days of abstinence and no history of fever the previous four weeks). When the analysis had to be repeated in the same patient, we included only the first analysis in the study.

Conclusions: In the present study, a BMI increase was associated with a decrease in sperm quality, affecting both concentration and motility. Sperm morphology was not affected.

GJMR-F Classification: NLMC Code: WS 141



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Male Body Mass Index and Seminal Parameters

De Vicente Montes, Lucía^α, Motato Moscoso, Yamilet^σ, Ortega García, Ana^ρ, Calvo Juan, Agustín^ω, Franco Sansaloni, Ángela[¥] & Espejo Catena, María Rita[§]

Abstract- Objective: To evaluate the controversial association between body mass index (BMI) and seminal fluid parameters of male patients who consulted with their partners in our clinic. **Design:** retrospective observational study including 1009 males attended in Instituto FIVIR from January 2010 to January 2018.

The following parameters are registered: height (meter) and weight (kg) in order to obtain BMI (kg/m²), age, fresh spermatic count (m/mL), progressive motility (%) and normal morphology (%).

Patients: Of 1009 selected patients, only 471 met the inclusion criteria for the present study. All of them were European, white males, who obtained the seminal sample at our center (after three days of abstinence and no history of fever the previous four weeks). When the analysis had to be repeated in the same patient, we included only the first analysis in the study.

Conclusions: In the present study, a BMI increase was associated with a decrease in sperm quality, affecting both concentration and motility. Sperm morphology was not affected.

SUMMARY


The association between BMI and seminal fluid variables was evaluated in 471 males who consulted looking for pregnancy. We divided the participants in to four groups: males with low BMI (≤ 18.5 kg/m²), males with normal BMI (18.5-24.9 kg/m²), males with overweight (BMI 25-29.9 kg/m²) and obese males (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²). Their medical history, daily toxic habits, and data obtained from semen analysis were taken into account. Males with obesity presented a lower concentration and lowered progressive sperm motility when compared to males with normal weight or overweight ($p < 0,05$). There was a negative correlation between increased BMI and seminal fluid parameters.

I. INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a chronic illness where there is an increase in body fat. Its prevalence has increased considerably both in developed and developing countries. It is usually the result of an unbalance between calorie intake and output. Its excess leads to high morbidity and mortality rates and to socially significant direct and indirect economic burdens. Body mass index (BMI) is a relatively simple method to measure body fat, calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters, expressed in kg/m² (WHO 1997).

Author^α : Hospital Universitario de la Ribera. Alzira. España.

e-mail: luciadevicentemontes@gmail.com

Author^σ : Instituto FIVIR. Valencia. España.

Like every other systemic illness, obesity affects every tissue, including the reproductive system. On the one hand, most published studies up to date have focused on analyzing the impact of BMI on female patients, reporting its negative effect on fertility potential, hormone levels, the increase in the risk of developing polycystic ovary syndrome, anovulation, and poor results after an assisted reproduction treatment (ART) (Pinborg *et al* (2011)).

On the other hand, both male overweight and obesity cause endocrine disorders that can affect reproductive capacity. Some authors inform of a negative impact on natural and assisted conception, while others report similar reproductive results to those observed in males with normal BMI. Regarding the influence of male BMI on seminal quality, studies are more controversial, as this relationship is multifactorial and represented by an altered hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, peripheral aromatization of steroids to estrogen, decrease in testosterone levels, increase in estradiol levels, decrease in binding of sexual hormones to globulin and an increase in scrotal temperature (Crujeiras, A.B., Casanueva, F.F., 2015). Moreover, there is prove that the accumulation of toxic substances and liposoluble endocrine disruptors in the fatty tissue magnifies these disruptions (Katib, A., 2015; Sermondade, N, 2013).

Some publications have been able to relate the increase in BMI in infertile males with poor spermatic quality (decrease in sperm concentration, abnormal sperm morphology, and decreased motility) in comparison with normal BMI males (Hanafy, S., Halawa, F.A., Mostafa, T., Mikhael, N.W., Khalil, K.T., 2007; Hofny, E.R., et al. 2010). Regarding spermatic DNA integrity, it has not been possible to establish an association between greater DNA damage in overweight and obese males (Smit, M., Romijn, J.C., Wildhagen, M.F., Weber, R.F., Dohle, G.R., 2010). However, some authors have observed an increase in mitochondrial damage of sperm belonging to overweight patients (Bandel, I. et al. 2015).

The objective of the current study was to investigate if the increase in male BMI is associated with seminal parameter quality.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study is a retrospective observational study, where the medical records of 1009



males who consulted in Instituto FIVIR of Valencia were reviewed between January 2011 and December 2018.

The information included was that of patients who met the following criteria:

- ✓ Inclusion criteria: being 18 years old or older, male, weight and height registered previous to obtaining the sperm sample, one spermiogram made at this clinic with the following parameters: spermatic count per ml (million per milliliter (m/mL)), motility (%), normal morphology (%). The conditions for collecting the seminal sample were: three-day abstinence, no history of fever in the previous four weeks, and obtaining the total of the ejaculated fluid.
- ✓ Exclusion criteria: Patients with a medical history of chronic illness that require chronic medical treatment, cigarette, alcohol, and other toxic consumption. Patients with an oncological history who required treatment with chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or testicular surgery.

The seminal fluid parameters and definitions of the spermiogram used were those determined by WHO (2010 5th edition). Hence: sperm concentration $\geq 15 \times 10^6/ml$, progressive motility ($\geq 32\%$), sperm morphology (normal forms $> 4\%$).

The classification of BMI was adapted from the World Health Organization's (WHO) (World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser., 2000).

- Underweight - BMI under 18.5 kg/m²

- Normal weight - BMI greater than or equal to 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m²
- Overweight – BMI greater than or equal to 25 to 29.9 kg/m²
- Obesity – BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m²

III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The normality of the continuous quantitative variables was evaluated using the Anderson-Darling test, resulting in all of them non-normal. The mean values of the abnormal continuous quantitative variables were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test, considering a p value of $p \leq 0.05$ as a statistically significant difference.

IV. RESULTS

During the study period, 1009 white European males between 20 and 46 years old were attended, of which 471 met the criteria (Figure 1), with an average age of 32.8 (SD ± 3.491) (21 – 41 years old). The classification was made according to their BMI, and the distribution was: Low weight or LW (BMI ≤ 18.5) in none of the patients; Normal weight or N (BMI between 18.5-24.9) in 212 patients; Overweight or OW (BMI between 25-29.9) in 193 patients and Obesity or OB (BMI ≥ 30) in 66 patients. Therefore, patients with normal BMI(N) represent 45% of the studied population, whereas patients with excess weight are 55% of the studied population. The characteristics of the population are described in Table 1.




Fig. 1: Flowchart of the study

Table 1: Statistical description of male population with overweight (OW); obese (OB) and normal weight (N)

Variable	BMI (kg/m ²)	Age
	Mean SD (Min-Max)	Mean SD (Min-Max)
N (n=212)	22,333 1,552 (19,487-24,977)	32,590 3,341 (22,00-40,00)
OW (n=193)	26,962 1,275 (25,00-29,752)	32,984 3,609 (21,00-40,00)
OB (n=66)	34,249 4,054 (30,043-46,875)	32,803 3,626 (25,00-40,00)

There were no statistically significant differences found for age (Kruskal-Wallis p=0.308) between the groups.

Males with obesity or over weight had a significantly lower sperm concentration and progressive motility than men of normal BMI (p < 0.05). Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2: Kruskal-Wallis test in spermatic count (m/mL). P=0.000

Variable	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation
N (n=212)	100.0	111.65	70,39
OW (n=193)	85.0	106.17	73,47
OB (n=66)	39.0	43.09	31,76

Table 3: Kruskal-Wallis test in progressive motility (%). P=0.046

Variable	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation
N (n=212)	70.0	62.71	19,43
OW (n=193)	65.0	60.02	20,63
OB (n=66)	60.0	54.97	23,66

Sperm normality (%) had no statistically significant differences according to the classification of BMI (Table 4).

Table 4: Kruskal-Wallis test in sperm morphology (%) p=0,004

Variable	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation
N (n=212)	5	1.3390	1,3390
OW (n=193)	5	1.498	1,498
OB (n=66)	5	1.699	1,699

V. DISCUSSION

The relationship between male obesity and fertility has been widely described. However, there is a debate regarding the magnitude of this relationship and its mechanisms. On the one hand, various groups of researchers have not been able to establish any or little relation between BMI and a worsening of spermatic quality, as registered by MacDonald et al. in a revision of

the most relevant publications (31 studies) between January and February 2009 (MacDonald, A.A., Herbison, G.P., Showell, M., Farquhar, C.M., 2010).

Similar findings were found by Chavarro et al. in the study carried out on 483 males where BMI had no association with sperm concentration, motility, or morphology. Moreover, males with BMI ≥ 35 kg/m² had lower total sperm count than males with normal BMI,

showing that only extreme obesity impacts negatively on male reproductive potential (Chavarro, J.E., Toth, T.L., Wright, D.L., Meeker, J.D., Hauser, R., 2010) mainly affecting maturation in the epididymis and therefore it is considered a poor prognostic factor. Furthermore, males with obesity present an increased risk of oligo and teratozoospermia (Luque EM et al. 2017) azoospermia and alterations in hormonal serum parameters, which show a slight but significant association with BMI, possibly contributing to sub fertility in this population (Bieniek JM et al. 2016).

On the other hand, researcher groups such as Belloc et al. (2014) found a clear association between BMI increase and seminal quality decrease, where volume, concentration, and sperm motility were mostly affected. However, the percentage of normal forms was not diminished (Belloc, S et al, 2014) as opposed to the findings of Oliveira et al. even though they did not find an association with an increase in DNA fragmentation (Oliveira, J. et al., 2018).

In the present study, more than half of the males included (55%) suffered from obesity or overweight (14% and 41%, respectively). This data is similar to that found in a recently published article where 60.9% of the general Spanish population suffers from these conditions and, in comparison to preceding data, shows an increase mostly in males (Aranceta-Bartrina J., Pérez-Rodrigo, C., Alberdi-Aresti, G., Ramos-Carrera, N., Lázaro-Masedo, S., 2016). Such data is even more significant as amongst these men, there is a 50% higher probability of presenting infertility when compared with healthy weight males (Phillips KP, Nongnuj T., 2010).

The average sperm count was lower in patients with overweight and obesity, this is in accordance with previous studies where there is up to 20% fewer spermatozooids when compared to males with a healthy weight (Du Plessis, S. S., Cabler, S., McAlister, D. A., Sabanegh, E., & Agarwal, A., 2010; Nicopoulou SC et al. 2009). Motility was similar to that found in other studies, with a decrease in patients with obesity, hence showing an association.

Therefore, some of the characteristics in the spermogram could vary depending on body weight, which in turn has an impact on male fertility.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

Our study presents the following limitations: small sample and its retrospective character. Broadening the sample in a prospective study could help define more precisely the relationship between body weight and seminogram alterations.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, there is an association between overweight and obese patients and a decrease in seminal quality, which mostly affects sperm count and

motility with a higher frequency than in patients with a healthy weight. However, these statistically significant differences found in fresh samples were not found when comparing sperm morphology.

Therefore, given the adverse consequences of obesity and the possible detrimental effect of male BMI in assisted reproduction treatment outcomes, the benefits of reducing body weight should be discussed when counseling interested couples in fertility treatments.

Conflict of Interests

Authors declare no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. WHO Consultation on Obesity (1997: Geneva, Switzerland), World Health Organization. Division of Noncommunicable Diseases & World Health Organization. Programme of Nutrition, Family and Reproductive Health. (1998). Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic: report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity, Geneva, 3-5 June 1997. World Health Organization.
2. Pinborg A, Gaarslev C, Hougaard CO, Nyboe Andersen A, Andersen PK, Boivin J, Schmidt L (2011). Influence of female bodyweight on IVF outcome: a longitudinal multicentre cohort study of 487 infertile couples. *Reprod Biomed Online*; 23: 490–9. Doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2011.06.010
3. Crujeiras, A.B., Casanueva, F.F., 2015. Obesity and the reproductive system disorders: epigenetics as a potential bridge. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 21, 249–261. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmu060
4. Katib, A., (2015). Mechanisms linking obesity to male infertility. *Cent. European J. Urol.* 68, 79–85. doi: 10.5173/ceju.2015.01.435
5. Sermondade, N., Faure, C., Fezeu, L., Shayeb, A.G., Bonde, J.P., Jensen, T.K., Van Wely, M., Cao, J., Martini, A.C., Eskandar, M., Chavarro, J.E., Koloszar, S., Twigt, J.M., Ramlau-Hansen, C.H., Borges, E., Jr., Lotti, F., Steegers-Theunissen, R.P., Zorn, B., Polotsky, A.J., La Vignera, S., Eskenazi, B., Tremellen, K., Magnusdottir, E.V., Fejes, I., Herberg, S., Lévy, R., Czernichow, S., (2013). BMI in relation to sperm count: an updated systematic review and collaborative meta-analysis. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 19, 221–231. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dms050
6. Hanafy, S., Halawa, F.A., Mostafa, T., Mikhael, N.W., Khalil, K.T., (2007). Serum leptin correlates in infertile oligozoospermic males. *Andrologia* 39, 177–180 doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0272.2007.00779.x
7. Hofny, E.R., Ali, M.E., Abdel-Hafez, H.Z., Kamal, E.-D., Mohamed, E.E., Abd El-Azeem, H.G., Mostafa, T., (2010). Semen parameters and hormonal profile in obese fertile and infertile males. *Fertil. Steril.* 94, 581–584. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.03.085

8. Smit, M., Romijn, J.C., Wildhagen, M.F., Weber, R.F., Dohle, G.R., (2010). Sperm chromatin structure is associated with the quality of spermatogenesis in infertile patients. *Fertil. Steril.* 94, 1748–1752. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.10.030
9. Bandel, I., Bungum, M., Richtoff, J., Malm, J., Axelsson, J., Pedersen, H.S., Ludwicki, J.K., Czaja, K., Hernik, A., Toft, G., Bonde, J.P., Spanò, M., Malm, G., Haugen, T.B., Giwercman, A., (2015). No association between body mass index and sperm DNA integrity. *Hum. Reprod.* 30, 1704–1713. doi:10.1093/humrep/dev111
10. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. (2000) World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.; 894:i-xii, 1-253.
11. MacDonald, A.A., Herbison, G.P., Showell, M., Farquhar, C.M., (2010). The impact of body mass index on semen parameters and reproductive hormones in human males: a systematic review with metaanalysis. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 16, 293–311. doi:10.1093/humupd/dmp047
12. Chavarro, J.E., Toth, T.L., Wright, D.L., Meeker, J.D., Hauser, R., (2010). Body mass index in relation to semen quality, sperm DNA integrity, and serum reproductive hormone levels among men attending an infertility clinic. *Fertil. Steril.* 93, 2222–2231 doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.01.100
13. Luque EM, Tissera A Gaggino MP, Molina RI, Mangeaud A, Vincenti LM, Beltramone F, Larcher JS, Estofán D, Fiol de Cuneo M, Martini AC), Body mass index and human sperm quality: neither one extreme nor the other. (2017) *ReprodFertil Dev.* 29(4): 731-739. doi: 10.1071/RD15351.
14. Bieniek JM, Kashanian JA, Deibert CM, Grober ED, Lo KC, Brannigan RE, Sandlow JI, Jarvi KA. (2016) Influence of increasing body mass index on semen and reproductive hormonal parameters in a multi-institutional cohort of subfertile men. *FertilSteril.* 106(5):1070-1075. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.06.041
15. Belloc, S., Cohen-Bacrie, M., Amar, E., Izard, V., Benkhalifa, M., Dalléac, A., de Mouzon, J., (2014). High body mass index has a deleterious effect on semen parameters except morphology: results from a large cohort study. *Fertil. Steril.* 102, 1268–1273. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.07.1212
16. Oliveira, J., Petersen, C. G., Mauri, A. L., Vagnini, L. D., Renzi, A., Petersen, B., Mattila, M., Dieamant, F., Baruffi, R., & Franco, J. G., Jr (2018). Association between body mass index and sperm quality and sperm DNA integrity. A large population study. *Andrologia*, 50(3), doi: 10.1111/and.12889
17. Aranceta-Bartrina J., Pérez-Rodrigo, C., Alberdi-Aresti, G., Ramos-Carrera, N., Lázaro-Masedo, S. (2016). Prevalencia de obesidad general y obesidad abdominal en la población adulta española (25–64 años) 2014–2015: estudio ENPE. *Revista Española de Cardiología.* Vol 69. Núm 06.: 579-587 Doi: 10.1016/j.recesp.2016.02.010
18. Phillips, K. P., & Tanphaichitr, N. (2010). Mechanisms of obesity-induced male infertility. *Expert review of endocrinology & metabolism*, 5(2), 229–251. Doi: 10.1586/eem.09.65
19. Du Plessis, S. S., Cabler, S., McAlister, D. A., Sabanegh, E., & Agarwal, A. (2010). The effect of obesity on sperm disorders and male infertility. *Nature reviews. Urology*, 7(3), 153–161. Doi: 10.1038/nruro.2010.6
20. Nicopoulou, S. C., Alexiou, M., Michalakis, K., Ilias, I., Venaki, E., Koukkou, E., Mitios, G., Billa, E., & Adamopoulos, D. A. (2009). Body mass index vis-à-vis total sperm count in attendees of a single andrology clinic. *Fertility and sterility*, 92(3), 1016–1017. Doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.12.093