

GLOBAL JOURNAL

OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: J

Dentistry & Otolaryngology



Importance of Primary Dentition

Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo

Highlights

Effectiveness of Epley's Maneuver

Oral Health Status among Eunuchs

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future

VOLUME 22 ISSUE 1 VERSION 1.0

© 2001-2022 by Global Journal of Medical Research, USA



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: J
DENTISTRY & OTOLARYNGOLOGY

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: J
DENTISTRY & OTOLARYNGOLOGY

VOLUME 22 ISSUE 1 (VER. 1.0)

OPEN ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY

© Global Journal of Medical Research. 2022.

All rights reserved.

This is a special issue published in version 1.0 of "Global Journal of Medical Research." By Global Journals Inc.

All articles are open access articles distributed under "Global Journal of Medical Research"

Reading License, which permits restricted use. Entire contents are copyright by of "Global Journal of Medical Research" unless otherwise noted on specific articles.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission.

The opinions and statements made in this book are those of the authors concerned. Ultraculture has not verified and neither confirms nor denies any of the foregoing and no warranty or fitness is implied.

Engage with the contents herein at your own risk.

The use of this journal, and the terms and conditions for our providing information, is governed by our Disclaimer, Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy given on our website <http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/menu-id-1463/>

By referring / using / reading / any type of association / referencing this journal, this signifies and you acknowledge that you have read them and that you accept and will be bound by the terms thereof.

All information, journals, this journal, activities undertaken, materials, services and our website, terms and conditions, privacy policy, and this journal is subject to change anytime without any prior notice.

Incorporation No.: 0423089
License No.: 42125/022010/1186
Registration No.: 430374
Import-Export Code: 1109007027
Employer Identification Number (EIN):
USA Tax ID: 98-0673427

Global Journals Inc.

(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)
Sponsors: [Open Association of Research Society](#)
[Open Scientific Standards](#)

Publisher's Headquarters office

Global Journals® Headquarters
945th Concord Streets,
Framingham Massachusetts Pin: 01701,
United States of America
USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392
USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392

Offset Typesetting

Global Journals Incorporated
2nd, Lansdowne, Lansdowne Rd., Croydon-Surrey,
Pin: CR9 2ER, United Kingdom

Packaging & Continental Dispatching

Global Journals Pvt Ltd
E-3130 Sudama Nagar, Near Gopur Square,
Indore, M.P., Pin:452009, India

Find a correspondence nodal officer near you

To find nodal officer of your country, please email us at local@globaljournals.org

eContacts

Press Inquiries: press@globaljournals.org
Investor Inquiries: investors@globaljournals.org
Technical Support: technology@globaljournals.org
Media & Releases: media@globaljournals.org

Pricing (Excluding Air Parcel Charges):

Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional)
250 USD (B/W) & 350 USD (Color)

EDITORIAL BOARD

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH

Dr. Apostolos Ch. Zarros

DM, Degree (Ptychio) holder in Medicine,
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
MRes, Master of Research in Molecular Functions in
Disease, University of Glasgow FRNS, Fellow, Royal
Numismatic Society Member, European Society for
Neurochemistry Member, Royal Institute of Philosophy
Scotland, United Kingdom

Dr. Alfio Ferlito

Professor Department of Surgical Sciences
University of Udine School of Medicine, Italy

Dr. Jixin Zhong

Department of Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of
Guangdong Medical College, Zhanjiang, China, Davis
Heart and Lung Research Institute, The Ohio State
University, Columbus, OH 43210, US

Rama Rao Ganga

MBBS
MS (University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, India)
MRCS (Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh, UK)
United States

Dr. Izzet Yavuz

MSc, Ph.D., D Ped Dent.
Associate Professor, Pediatric Dentistry Faculty of
Dentistry, University of Dicle Diyarbakir, Turkey

Sanguansak Rerksuppaphol

Department of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine
Srinakharinwirot University
NakornNayok, Thailand

Dr. William Chi-shing Cho

Ph.D.,
Department of Clinical Oncology
Queen Elizabeth Hospital
Hong Kong

Dr. Michael Wink

Ph.D., Technical University Braunschweig, Germany
Head of Department Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular
Biotechnology, Heidelberg University, Germany

Dr. Pejcic Ana

Assistant Medical Faculty Department of Periodontology
and Oral Medicine University of Nis, Serbia

Dr. Ivandro Soares Monteiro

M.Sc., Ph.D. in Psychology Clinic, Professor University of
Minho, Portugal

Dr. Sanjay Dixit, M.D.

Director, EP Laboratories, Philadelphia VA Medical Center
Cardiovascular Medicine - Cardiac Arrhythmia
Univ of Penn School of Medicine
Web: pennmedicine.org/wagform/MainPage.aspx?

Antonio Simone Laganà

M.D. Unit of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Department of Human Pathology in Adulthood and
Childhood “G. Barresi” University of Messina, Italy

Dr. Han-Xiang Deng

MD., Ph.D
Associate Professor and Research Department
Division of Neuromuscular Medicine
Davee Department of Neurology and Clinical
Neurosciences
Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
Web: neurology.northwestern.edu/faculty/deng.html

Dr. Roberto Sanchez

Associate Professor
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Ph.D., The Rockefeller University
Web: mountsinai.org/

Dr. Feng Feng

Boston University
Microbiology
72 East Concord Street R702
Duke University
United States of America

Dr. Hrushikesh Aphale

MDS- Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics.
Fellow- World Federation of Orthodontist, USA.

Gaurav Singhal

Master of Tropical Veterinary Sciences, currently
pursuing Ph.D in Medicine

Dr. Pina C. Sanelli

Associate Professor of Radiology
Associate Professor of Public Health
Weill Cornell Medical College
Associate Attending Radiologist
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital
MRI, MRA, CT, and CTA
Neuroradiology and Diagnostic Radiology
M.D., State University of New York at Buffalo,
School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences
Web: weillcornell.org/pinasanelli/

Dr. Michael R. Rudnick

M.D., FACP
Associate Professor of Medicine
Chief, Renal Electrolyte and Hypertension Division (PMC)
Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
Presbyterian Medical Center, Philadelphia
Nephrology and Internal Medicine
Certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine
Web: uphs.upenn.edu/

Dr. Seung-Yup Ku

M.D., Ph.D., Seoul National University Medical College,
Seoul, Korea Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Santhosh Kumar

Reader, Department of Periodontology,
Manipal University, Manipal

Dr. Aarti Garg

Bachelor of Dental Surgery (B.D.S.) M.D.S. in Pedodontics
and Preventive Dentistry Pursuing Phd in Dentistry

Sabreena Safuan

Ph.D (Pathology) MSc (Molecular Pathology and Toxicology) BSc (Biomedicine)

Getahun Asebe

Veterinary medicine, Infectious diseases, Veterinary Public health, Animal Science

Dr. Suraj Agarwal

Bachelor of dental Surgery Master of dental Surgery in Oromaxillofacial Radiology.
Diploma in Forensic Science & Oodntology

Osama Alali

PhD in Orthodontics, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, University of Damascus. Damascus, Syria. 2013 Masters Degree in Orthodontics.

Prabudh Goel

MCh (Pediatric Surgery, Gold Medalist), FISPU, FICS-IS

Raouf Hajji

MD, Specialty Assistant Professor in Internal Medicine

Surekha Damineni

Ph.D with Post Doctoral in Cancer Genetics

Arundhati Biswas

MBBS, MS (General Surgery), FCPS, MCh, DNB (Neurosurgery)

Rui Pedro Pereira de Almeida

Ph.D Student in Health Sciences program, MSc in Quality Management in Healthcare Facilities

Dr. Sunanda Sharma

B.V.Sc.& AH, M.V.Sc (Animal Reproduction, Obstetrics & gynaecology),
Ph.D.(Animal Reproduction, Obstetrics & gynaecology)

Shahanawaz SD

Master of Physiotherapy in Neurology PhD- Pursuing in Neuro Physiotherapy Master of Physiotherapy in Hospital Management

Dr. Shabana Naz Shah

PhD. in Pharmaceutical Chemistry

Vaishnavi V.K Vedam

Master of dental surgery oral pathology

Tariq Aziz

PhD Biotechnology in Progress

CONTENTS OF THE ISSUE

- i. Copyright Notice
- ii. Editorial Board Members
- iii. Chief Author and Dean
- iv. Contents of the Issue

- 1. A Comparative Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Epley's Maneuver Vs Brandt Daroffs Home Exercises for Management of Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo. **1-7**
- 2. Evaluation of Parents Knowledge and Attitude Regarding the Importance of Primary Dentition based on their Socio Economic Status- An Original Research. **9-14**
- 3. Impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity on the Oral Health Status among Eunuchs in Vishakhapatnam: A Cross Sectional Study. **15-19**

- v. Fellows
- vi. Auxiliary Memberships
- vii. Preferred Author Guidelines
- viii. Index



A Comparative Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Epley's Maneuver Vs Brandt Daroffs Home Exercises for Management of Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo

By Dr. Shrinivas S. Chavan, Dr. Neeta Shinde, Dr. Abhishek Dilip Khond,
Dr. Vitthal Kale & Dr. Snigdha Thakur

Abstract- *Background:* Vertigo is one of the most distressing symptom seen in patients encountered in clinical practice by otolaryngologist and neurologist. It results from dysfunction of vestibular system, among which most common is BPPV(benign paroxysmal positional vertigo). BPPV present with short episodes of vertigo lasting for few seconds, usually precipitated by change in head position. In BPPV, otoconia from utricles are thought to collect in semicircular canal making them abnormally gravity sensitive. BPPV is clinical diagnosis on the basis of typical history and Dix Hallpike testing. Dr. T Brandt and Daroff introduced Brandt daroff home exercises based on cupulolithiasis theory. In 1980, John M Epley introduced canalolith repositioning procedure of Epley in the treatment of BPPV. Because of lack of consensus regarding the optimal treatment maneuver, in our study we have compared the effectiveness of Brandt Daroff and Epleys maneuver.

Keywords: *dix hallpike test, brandt daroff home exercise, epleys maneuver, DHI (dizziness handicap inventory) questionnaire.*

GJMR-J Classification: DDC Code: 612.8 LCC Code: QP356.22



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



A Comparative Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Epley's Maneuver Vs Brandt Daroffs Home Exercises for Management of Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo

Dr. Shrinivas S. Chavan ^a, Dr. Neeta Shinde ^a, Dr. Abhishek Dilip Khond ^b, Dr. Vitthal Kale ^c
& Dr. Snigdha Thakur ^d

Abstract- Background: Vertigo is one of the most distressing symptom seen in patients encountered in clinical practice by otolaryngologist and neurologist. It results from dysfunction of vestibular system, among which most common is BPPV(benign paroxysmal positional vertigo). BPPV present with short episodes of vertigo lasting for few seconds, usually precipitated by change in head position. In BPPV, otoconia from utricles are thought to collect in semicircular canal making them abnormally gravity sensitive. BPPV is clinical diagnosis on the basis of typical history and Dix Hallpike testing. Dr. T Brandt and Daroff introduced Brandt daroff home exercises based on cupulolithiasis theory. In 1980, John M Epley introduced canalolith repositioning procedure of Epley in the treatment of BPPV. Because of lack of consensus regarding the optimal treatment maneuver, in our study we have compared the effectiveness of Brandt Daroff and Epleys maneuver.

Aim: To compare the effectiveness of Epley's maneuver and Brand-Daroff home exercises for treatment of benign positional paroxysmal vertigo at tertiary care hospital.

Materials and methods: A prospective interventional and comparative study was conducted. A total of 240 patients complaining of giddiness were evaluated in this format out of which 68 patients were diagnosed to have BPPV. Due to Covid-19 pandemic there was loss of follow up to some patients. Remaining 54 patients were enrolled in the study and divided into 2 groups group 1 and group 2 by chit allocation.

Group 1 Received Epley's maneuver and Group 2 Brandt-Daroff home exercises was given. The outcome of 2 groups compared with Dixhallpikes test and DHI (dizziness handicap inventory) questionare.

Conclusion: In the treatment of BPPV, Brandt-Daroff vestibular exercises are as effective as Epley canalolith repositioning maneuvers with a similar mean Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) Score between the groups. Hence, according to patient's circumstances both treatments may be utilized.

Keywords: dix hallpike test, brandt daroff home exercise, epleys maneuver, DHI (dizziness handicap inventory) questionnaire.

Corresponding Author ^a: e-mail: shrinivasc77@hotmail.com

Author ^{a p CQ} ^d: e-mails: nitashinde1991@gmail.com, abhishek.khond@yahoo.com, drvdkale14@gmail.com, snigdha.thakur22@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Vertigo is one of the most distressing symptom seen in patients encountered in clinical practice by both otolaryngologist and neurologist alike (1).

Vertigo is defined as an illusion of either oneself or the environment rotating. It indicates involvement of angular motion sensing system, that is semicircular canals and their central projection.(2)

It mostly results from dysfunction in vestibular system such as is Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV), vestibular neuritis, Meniere's disease, labyrinthitis, superior canal dehiscence syndrome, vestibular migraine. Among which most common is Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV). (2)

Dix and Hallpikes (1952) coined the term Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) in view of associated benign origin and momentary (paroxysmal) burst of intense vertigo upon head movements (positional). (2)

BPPV presents with short episodes of vertigo lasting for a few seconds, usually precipitated by change in head positions with respect to gravity and associated with nausea, vomiting and nystagmus.(3)

It accounts for about 17 % to 20% of all vertigo cases. (3-5) The prevalence of disease is 11 -64 / 10000.(5) The mean age of incidence is fourth and fifth decades, however cases have also been reported in children.(6)

Unlike the other causes of giddiness BPPV and cervical spondylitis shows more inclination towards female population. (7,8)

In vestibular system among 3 semicircular canal the most commonly affected canal is the posterior semicircular canal (60-90%), followed by horizontal canal (5-30%) and rarely anterior canal. (3,9,10)

The propensity for accumulation of particles in posterior semicircular canal is postulated to be related to anatomical factors such as size of common crus, its position below the utricle when supine and its dependent position when both erect and supine. (2)



Otoconia are calcium carbonate crystals embedded in macula of utricle and saccule. They have a greater density than surrounding endolymph thus making the macula sensitive to changes in angular acceleration. (2)

In BPPV otoconia from the utricle are thought to collect in semicircular canal, making them abnormally gravity sensitive, this results in abnormal displacement of cupula and stimulation of corresponding vestibular afferents. which cause abnormal eye movements and vertigo.

BPPV is a clinical diagnosis as it lacks any objective test till date. BPPV is diagnosed with clinical history and Dix Hallpike's test. Further Dix Hallpike's test helps in determining the primarily affected canal, as the direction of nystagmus determines the affected canal. Determination of affected canal is the most important facet in the treatment of BPPV. Recent literature by Brandt, Daroff, Epley, Norre, Beckers, and McCabe have proposed several other maneuvers based on cupulolithiasis and canalolithiasis theories for BPPV treatment (11–13).

Dr T. Brandt and R.B. Daroff introduced Brandt Daroff home exercises based on the cupulolithiasis theory of BPPV in 1980. The goal of these exercises was to loosen and disperse otoconia from the cupula of posterior semicircular canal. Brandt Daroff exercises were originally designed to habituate the CNS to the provoking positions, but they may act by dislodging debris from the cupula or by causing debris to move out of the canal. Presently, canalolith repositioning procedure of Epley is the most widely used maneuver for the treatment of posterior canal BPPV, which was developed by John. M. Epley and was first described in 1980. This aims to transport otoconia out of the canal towards the utricle with instant symptom resolution. (14) Although there are many studies on efficacy of Epley's maneuver in the treatment of BPPV but little attention has been given to possible role of Brandt Daroff home exercises and there is lack of consensus regarding the optimal treatment maneuver.

In this study we have compared the effectiveness of Epley's maneuver and Brandt-Daroff home exercises for treatment of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo.

II. AIM

To compare the effectiveness of Epley's maneuver and Brandt-Daroff home exercises for treatment of benign positional paroxysmal vertigo (BPPV) at tertiary care hospital.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Study Design

This was a prospective interventional and comparative study of 240 patients who had complaint of

giddiness, which was conducted in Department of Otorhinolaryngology in Grant Government Medical college and Sir JJ Hospital, Mumbai, India between February 2020 and November 2021. After receiving Institutional Ethical committee Clearance and informed written consents, Patients with symptoms suggestive of giddiness were screened and subjected to detailed clinical history (presentation of vertigo, predisposing factors, duration, recurrence of vertigo, accompanying hearing loss, tinnitus, sense of ear fullness, and sound intolerance). Patients were also questioned about neurologic symptoms, for example, headache, facial paralysis, change in mental status, slurred speech, loss of power, and syncope. All the patients were scored based on Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale (DHI)(15). Further these patients underwent a thorough physical examination which included general otolaryngological, neurological examination, and Dix-Hallpike maneuver.

A total of 240 patients complaining of giddiness were evaluated in this format out of which 68 patients were diagnosed to have BPPV. Due to Covid-19 pandemic there was loss of follow up to some patients. Remaining 54 patients were enrolled in the study.

b) Inclusion Criteria

- Patient who are willing to participate after receiving their written consent.
- Patients of age more than 18 years with either gender attending ENT Out-patient Department.
- Patients whose medical history suggests Benign Positional Paroxysmal Vertigo (BPPV)

c) Exclusion Criteria

- Patients who are less than 18 years of age.
- Middle ear infections, cases with otitis media and inner ear infections
- Patients with life threatening conditions like Myocardial Infarction, unstable Cardiac diseases, uncontrolled HTN, high grade carotid stenosis, severe rheumatoid arthritis.
- Patients with cervical spine dysfunction
- Recent neck trauma/orthopaedic disorder that impairs functional neck and trunk range motion.
- Pregnant women beyond 24 week gestation
- Patients with positive cerebellar signs
- Patient who are not willing to participate and have given negative consent

Further these patients were randomly divided into 2 groups based on chit allocation technique.

Patients in group 1 were subjected to Epley's maneuver and Patients in group 2 received Brandt Daroff home exercises as modality of treatment.

Vestibular suppressant medications if any were withheld 7 days prior to start of these maneuvers.

Proper instructions such as purpose safety measures, comfort, precautions and psychological

support were given to the subjects. Both group 1 and 2 were involved for pre- test assessment.

All patients in group 1 and group 2 were followed up at 1 week, 4 weeks and 3rd month. On each follow up day patients were subjected to a similar DHI (Dizziness Handicap Inventory) questionnaire and Dix Hallpike testing.

d) Data Analysis

All the collected data was entered in Microsoft Excel sheet. It was then transferred to SPSS ver. 20 software for statistical analysis. Quantitative data was presented as mean and standard deviation and comparison of the two study groups was done using unpaired t-Test. Pre-test and post -test quantitative data of each method was compared using paired t-Test. Qualitative data was presented as frequency and percentage and analysed using chi-square test.

IV. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

In our study a total of 54 patients of BPPV were assessed over a total study duration of 21 months.

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to Age

| Age (years) | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p Value |
|-------------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------|
| | N | % | N | % | |
| 18-20 years | 1 | 4% | 3 | 12% | >0.05 |
| 21-30 years | 4 | 16% | 2 | 8% | |
| 31-40 years | 7 | 28% | 4 | 16% | |
| 41-50 years | 9 | 36% | 12 | 48% | |
| 51-60 years | 1 | 4% | 2 | 8% | |
| 61-70 years | 2 | 8% | 1 | 4% | |
| 71-80 years | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | |
| Total | 25 | 100% | 25 | 100% | |
| Mean ± SD | 41.76 ± 14.25 | | 41.48 ± 13.67 | | |

Group 1 constituted of 8 males (32%) and 17 females (68%) patients, whereas Group 2 had 6 males (24%) and 19 females (76%) patients. On comparing the

These 54 patients were then randomly divided into 2 groups of 27 each.

Group 1: Received Epley's maneuver

Group 2: Brandt- Darroff home exercises was given.

a) Demographic distribution

Age wise distribution

Majority of the patients (36%) in Group 1 belonged to 5th decade with maximum age 77 years and minimum age being 19 years. The mean age of the patients in group 1 was 41.76 years. Majority of the patients (48%) in Group 2 also belonged to 5th decade with and minimum age being 19 years and maximum age 75 years. (Table 1)

The mean age of the patients was 41.48 years. On comparing the 2 groups based on mean age distribution, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups as per Student t-test ($p>0.05$). and hence they were comparable.

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to Sex

| Sex | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p Value |
|--------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|
| | N | % | N | % | |
| Male | 8 | 32% | 6 | 24% | >0.05 |
| Female | 17 | 68% | 19 | 76% | |
| Total | 25 | 100% | 25 | 100% | |



b) *Distribution of patients according to Comorbidities*

6 (24%) patients in Group 1 had diabetes mellitus while 5 (20%) and 3 (12%) patients had hypertension and ischemic heart disease respectively. 7 (28%) patients in Group 2 had diabetes mellitus while 4

(16%) and 2 (8%) patients had hypertension and ischemic heart disease respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups as per Chi-Square test ($p>0.05$). (Table 3)

Table 3: Distribution of patients according to Comorbidities

| Comorbidities | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p Value |
|-------------------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| | N | % | N | % | |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 6 | 24% | 7 | 28% | >0.05 |
| Controlled Hypertension | 5 | 20% | 4 | 16% | |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 3 | 12% | 2 | 8% | |

c) *Distribution of patients according to associated Symptoms*

20 (80%) patients in Group 1 had tinnitus while 7 (28%) and 5 (20%) patients had otalgia and impaired hearing respectively. 21 (88%) patients in Group 2 had

tinnitus while 8 (32%) and 2 (8%) patients had otalgia and impaired hearing respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups as per Chi-Square test ($p>0.05$). Hence they were comparable. (Table 4)

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to symptoms

| Symptoms | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p Value |
|------------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| | N | % | N | % | |
| Tinnitus | 20 | 80% | 21 | 88% | >0.05 |
| Otalgia | 7 | 28% | 8 | 32% | |
| Impaired hearing | 5 | 20% | 2 | 8% | |

d) *Comparison of Dix Hallpike Test between 2 groups during follow-up*

During 1st week follow-up, 10 (40%) of Group 1 had positive Dix-Hallpike Test which reduced to 3 (12%) patients during 4th week and 3rd month follow-up. There was significant improvement in patients of Group 1 during follow-up period as per ANOVA test ($p<0.05$). During 1st week follow-up, 13 (52%) of Group 2 had

positive Dix-Hallpike Test which reduced to 5 (20%) patients during 4th week follow-up. 2 had negative Dix-Hallpike Test in 3rd month follow-up. There was significant improvement in patients of Group 2 during follow-up period as per ANOVA test ($p<0.05$). However, there was no significant difference between the groups as per ANOVA test ($p>0.05$). (Table 5)

Table 5: Comparison of Dix Hallpikes Test between groups during follow-up

| Dix Hallpikes Test | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p Value | |
|----------------------|----------|----|---------|----|---------|-------|
| | N | % | N | % | | |
| 1 st Week | Positive | 10 | 40% | 13 | 52% | >0.05 |
| | Negative | 15 | 60% | 12 | 48% | |
| | Total | 25 | 100% | 25 | 100% | |
| 4 th Week | Positive | 3 | 12% | 5 | 20% | >0.05 |
| | Negative | 22 | 88% | 20 | 80% | |
| | Total | 25 | 100% | 25 | 100% | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 3 rd Month | Positive | 3 | 12% | 0 | - | >0.05 |
| | Negative | 22 | 88% | 25 | 100% | |
| | Total | 25 | 100% | 25 | 100% | |
| p value | | <0.05 | | <0.05 | | |

e) Comparison of Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) Score between groups

The mean Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) Score of Group 1 and Group 2 was 34.32 ± 12.12 and

35.28 ± 13.72 respectively. The difference between the groups was statistically not significant as per Student t-test ($p > 0.05$). Hence they were comparable. (Table 6)

Table 6: Comparison of DHI Score between groups

| | Group 1 | | Group 2 | | p value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| DHI Score (Day 0) | 60 | 12.70 | 58.92 | 12.2 | >0.05 |
| DHI Score (1 st week) | 54.2 | 13.7 | 54.88 | 14.47 | >0.05 |
| DHI Score (4 th week) | 42.72 | 14.9 | 43.04 | 15.89 | >0.05 |
| DHI Score (3 rd month) | 34.32 | 12.12 | 35.28 | 13.72 | >0.05 |

V. DISCUSSION

The aim of the study is to compare Epley's and Brandt Daroff home exercise in the treatment of BPPV. We divided the patients into two groups of 27 each based on chit allocation technique. The success was measured in terms of recovery from vertigo which was confirmed by Dix Hallpike test and DHI score on each follow-up.

In our study of 240 patients, 54 patients were diagnosed to have BPPV on the basis of clinical history, physical examination and Dix Hallpike maneuver which accounted for 22.5% as Indian population has nutritional deficiency leads to osteopenia which causes dislodgement of otoconia from utricle. Similar results were seen by Bhattacharyya N et al, Froehling DA et al.(4,5)

The mean age group of patients were 41.48 ± 13.67 years. There was no significant difference between two groups as per student t-test. This is comparable with the study of Gaur S et al.(6)

In our study, Group 1 constituted of 8 male (32%) and 17 female (68%) patients. Whereas Group 2 had 6 male (24%) and 19 female (76%) patients. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups as per Chi-Square test ($p > 0.05$), female: male ratio-2.5:1. This is similar to the studies of Bhattacharyya N et al(4) and Bronstein AM et al(16). They observed females are approximately 2 times more likely to experience BPPV than males as the present study showed majority of the cases were predominantly females in both the groups. This can also be related due

to endocrinological and degenerative changes causing otoconial debris, which float freely and find their way into the semicircular canals, causing BPPV.

It was observed in the present study that 6 (24%) patients in Group 1 had diabetes mellitus while 5 (20%) and 3 (12%) patients had hypertension and ischemic heart disease respectively. 7 (28%) patients in Group 2 had diabetes mellitus while 4 (16%) and 2 (8%) patients had hypertension and ischemic heart disease respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups as per Chi-Square test ($p > 0.05$).

Similarly no statistically significant association was observed by Saxena A et al (17) in each comorbid illness i.e. hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular stroke (cardiovascular diseases) with either group (BPPV vs. non-BPPV)

It was observed in our study that 20 (80%) patients in Group 1 had intermittent non-pulsatile tinnitus while 7 (28%) and 5 (20%) patients had otalgia and impaired hearing respectively. 21 (88%) patients in Group 2 had intermittent non-pulsatile tinnitus while 8 (32%) and 2 (8%) patients had otalgia and impaired hearing respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups as per Chi-Square test ($p > 0.05$). This is comparable to the studies of Saxena A et al(17) which stated that the association of intermittent non-pulsatile tinnitus with the BPPV group was found to be statistically significant but impaired hearing, otalgia and ear discharge were not significantly associated with either group i.e. BPPV vs. non-BPPV.



In our study, according to Dix-Hallpike Test during 1st week follow-up, recuperation rate was 60% in Group 1 which increased to 88% in 4th week. And in and 3rd month follow-up recovery rate was 100% in group 1. This was found to be significant as per ANOVA test ($p<0.05$). Similarly, in Group 2 recuperation rate was 48%, 80% and 100% in 1st week, 4th week and 3rd month respectively. when compared between recuperation rate of both groups there was no statistically significant difference on each follow up. Success rates between 80 and 100% have been reported for reposition maneuvers in BPPV. (18)These observations were similar as noted in the studies of Saxena A et al(17), Cohen HS et al(19), Mani P et al(20), Mohamad Hanapi NH et al(21), Choi SY et al(22) and Pavithralochani V et al(23).

The mean dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) score of Group-1 at day 0 was 60 ± 12.70 and at the end of 1st week, 4th week and 3rd month was 54.2 ± 13.7 , 42.72 ± 14.9 and 34.32 ± 12.12 respectively. For Group- 2, DHI Score at day 0 was 58.92 ± 12.2 and at the end of 1st week, 4th week and 3rd month was 54.88 ± 14.47 , 43.04 ± 15.89 and 35.28 ± 13.72 respectively. This was found to be statistically non-significant as per Student t- test (p -value >0.05) and hence they are were comparable. Similar observations were noted in the studies of Saxena A et al (17), and Cohen HS et al(19).

VI. CONCLUSION

- In our study we found female preponderance in BPPV, this could be attributed to endocrinial changes and nutritional deficiency in females.
- In the treatment of BPPV, Brandt-Daroff vestibular exercises are as effective as Epley canalolith repositioning maneuvers with a similar mean Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) Score between the groups. Hence, according to patient's circumstances both treatments may be utilized. In this Covid era patients hesitate to visit OPD for follow up, Hence Brandt Darrof home exercises can also be used as a modality of treatment with equal efficacy and more effective to build up confidence of patients.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Vertigo: An Explanation—Labyrinthine Arrhythmia | JAMA Otolaryngology—Head & Neck Surgery | JAMA Network [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 11]. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaotolaryngology/article-abstract/601818>
2. Watkinson, J.C., Clarke, R.W., Aldren, C.P., Bamiou, D.-E., Clarke, R.W., Irving, R.M., Kubba, H., & Saeed, S.R. (Eds.). (2018). Scott-Brown's Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery: Volume 2: Paediatrics, The Ear, and Skull Base Surgery (8th ed. Vol.2 page no. 775, 833, 831, 832.). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203731017>.
3. Parnes LS, Agrawal SK, Atlas J. Diagnosis and management of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV). *CMAJ Can Med Assoc J*. 2003 Sep 30; 169(7): 681–93\.
4. Bhattacharyya N, Baugh RF, Orvidas L, Barrs D, Bronston LJ, Cass S, et al. Clinicalpractice guideline: benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. *Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg Off J Am Acad Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg*. 2008 Nov; 139 (5 Suppl 4): S47-81.
5. Froehling DA, Bowen JM, Mohr DN, Brey RH, Beatty CW, Wollan PC, et al. The Canalith Repositioning Procedure for the Treatment of Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2000 Jul 1; 75(7): 695–700.
6. Gaur S, Awasthi SK, Bhadouriya SKS, Saxena R, Pathak VK, Bisht M. Efficacy of Epley's Maneuver in Treating BPPV Patients: A Prospective Observational Study[Internet]. *International Journal of Otolaryngology*. 2015 [cited 2018 Mar 17]. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijoto/2015/487160>.
7. von Brevern M, Radtke A, Lezius F, Feldmann M, Ziese T, Lempert T, et al. Epidemiology of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a population based study. *JNeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007 Jul; 78(7): 710–5.
8. Baloh RW, Honrubia V, Jacobson K. Benign positional vertigo: clinical and oculographic features in 240 cases. *Neurology*. 1987 Mar; 37(3): 371–8.
9. Moon SY, Kim JS, Kim B-K, Kim JL, Lee H, Son S-I, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo in Korea: A Multicenter Study. *J KoreanMed Sci*. 2006 Jun; 21(3): 539–43.
10. Nuti D, Mandalà M, Salerni L. Lateral canal paroxysmal positional vertigo revisited. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2009 May; 1164: 316–23.
11. Cawthorne TE. The physiological basis for head exercises. *J Chiropr SocPhysiother*. 1944; 3: 106–107.
12. Brandt T, Daroff RBG. Physical therapy for benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. *ArchOtolaryngol*. 1980; 106: 484–505.
13. Norré ME, Beckers A. Vestibular habituation training exercise treatment for vertigo base upon the habituation effect. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 1989; 101: 14–19.
14. Semont A, Freeys G, Vittle E. Curing the benign paroxysmal positional vertigo with a liberatory maneuver. *AdvOtolaryngol*. 1988; 42: 290–293.
15. Cetin, YS., Ozmen, OA., Demir, UL et al. "Comparison of the effectiveness of Brandt-Daroff Vestibular training and EpleyCanalith repositioning maneuver in benign Paroxysmal positional vertigo long term result: A randomized prospective clinical

trial." *Pakistan journal of medical sciences* vol. 34,3 (2018): 558-563.

16. Bronstein AM, Lempert T. *Dizziness: a practical approach to diagnosis and management.* Cambridge University Press, London, 2007. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2007.116434.
17. Saxena SK, Ng T-P, Koh G, Yong D, Fong NP. Is improvement in impaired cognition and depressive symptoms in post-stroke patients associated with recovery in activities of daily living? *ActaNeurol Scand.* 2007 May; 115(5): 339-46.
18. Nishino LK, Granato L, de Campos CA. Quality of life questionnaire application in patients before and after vestibular rehabilitation. *Int. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2008; 12(4): 517-22.
19. Cohen AB. Nascher's Geriatrics at 100. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2014 Dec; 62(12):2428-9.
20. Mani P, Sethupathy K, Kumar VK, Aleid YJ. Comparison of Effectiveness of Epley's Maneuver and Half-Somersault Exercise with Brandt-Daroff exercise in Patients with Posterior Canal Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (pc-BPPV): A Randomized Clinical Trial. *International Journal of Health Sciences and Research.* 2019 Jan; 9: 89-94.
21. Mohamad Hanapi NH, Mazlan M, Abdul Rahman AR et al. Comparison of home-based modified self-Epleymanoeuvre and Brandt-Daroff exercise on the posterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo symptoms: A randomized single-blind controlled trial. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine.* 2018, Volume 61, Supplement: e391.
22. Choi SY, Cho JW, Choi JH, Oh EH and Choi K-D (2020) Effect of the Epley Maneuver and Brandt-Daroff Exercise on Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo Involving the Posterior Semicircular Canal Cupulolithiasis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Front. Neurol.* 11: 603541.
23. Pavithralochani V, Thangavignesh R, Saranya P, Ramanathan K, Shobana V. Efficacy of Epley's maneuver versus Brandt and Daroff exercise in population with vertigo. *Drug Invention Today.* 2019 Dec 15; 12(12).



This page is intentionally left blank





Evaluation of Parents Knowledge and Attitude Regarding the Importance of Primary Dentition based on their Socio Economic Status- An Original Research

By Sheetal Akula, Aditi Ramesh, Mohammed Tariq, Mohammed Hafeez Ur Rahman, Yamini Chandra & Durga Bai Yendluri

Abstract- *Aim:* To assess parents' attitudes towards the dental health of their children, considering the role of the family's socioeconomic background.

Materials and Methods: A questionnaire was formulated based on the literature review and the objective of the study and was self-administered to 400 parents, oral examination of the child was performed using WHO dentition status and treatment needs. Chi-square test was used for analysis.

Results: The knowledge of parents regarding primary teeth was based on their socioeconomic status. A majority of parents from group II reported that primary teeth stay for 3 to 6 yrs of age in contrast to parents from group V who said that primary teeth would remain till 12 yrs of age. A statistically significant difference was found between the various socioeconomic groups ($p=0.000$).

Keywords: socioeconomic status, parents, oral health, behaviour.

GJMR-J Classification: DDC Code: 330.973 LCC Code: HC106.5



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

Evaluation of Parents Knowledge and Attitude Regarding the Importance of Primary Dentition based on their Socio Economic Status- An Original Research

Sheetal Akula ^a, Aditi Ramesh ^a, Mohammed Tariq ^b, Mohammed Hafeez Ur Rahman ^c,
Yamini Chandra ^d & Durga Bai Yendluri ^e

Abstract- Aim: To assess parents' attitudes towards the dental health of their children, considering the role of the family's socioeconomic background.

Materials and Methods: A questionnaire was formulated based on the literature review and the objective of the study and was self-administered to 400 parents, oral examination of the child was performed using WHO dentition status and treatment needs. Chi-square test was used for analysis.

Results: The knowledge of parents regarding primary teeth was based on their socioeconomic status. A majority of parents from group II reported that primary teeth stay for 3 to 6 yrs of age in contrast to parents from group V who said that primary teeth would remain till 12 yrs of age. A statistically significant difference was found between the various socioeconomic groups ($p=0.000$). Parents from group II said that the child would have difficulty in chewing food. Group I parents agreed that permanent teeth would be affected if there was an early loss of primary teeth, whereas parents from Group V told that there would be no effect of early loss of primary teeth on permanent teeth.

Conclusion: This study highlights the importance of prevention to combat dental caries. Prevention programs should target specific groups. However, the best strategy depends on several factors, including cultural, economic, and geographic factors and it is noted that the most suitable method depends on local factors.

Clinical Significance: During a child's early period of primary socialization, the routine dietary and health behaviour is usually established. This behaviour is both directly and

Author ^a: MDS., Associate Professor, Department Of Public Health Dentistry Sri Sai College of Dental Surgery Vikarabad, Telangana, India. e-mail: Sheetalakula277@gmail.com

Corresponding Author ^a: MDS., Associate Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Sri Sai College of Dental Surgery, Vikarabad, Telangana, India. e-mail: aditiramesh2804@gmail.com

Author ^b: BDS., Dental Administration and Administrative Assistant – Dental Office, Taunton Village Dental, Ontario, Canada. e-mail: Mohammed.tariq85@gmail.com

Author ^c: BDS., Dental Administration and Administrative Assistant – Dental Office, Pickering Square Dental, Ontario, Canada. e-mail: hafeezurrahmannmohammed@gmail.com

Author ^d: B, MDS., Assistant Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Care Dental College and Hospital, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India. e-mail: dr.b.yaminichandra@gmail.com

Author ^e: MDS., Professor and head, Periodontics, Government Dental College and Hospital, Afzalgunj Hyderabad, Telangana, India. e-mail: durga0535@gmail.com

indirectly influenced by the knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and practices regarding oral health of their parents and caregivers. Hence, behavioral and social factors such as attendance patterns, parents' perceptions of their children's dental anxiety, and the socioeconomic status of the family may influence the parental attitude to dental care.

Keywords: socioeconomic status, parents, oral health, behaviour.

I. INTRODUCTION

During a child's early period of primary socialization, their routine dietary and health behaviors are usually established. These behaviors are both directly and indirectly influenced by the knowledge, attitude, belief and practices towards oral health of their parents and caregivers. Dental health education begins in the footsteps of awareness. Growing children need proper guidance for healthy growth, upkeep and hygiene of their teeth. Poor oral health in early childhood is one among the most grave and expensive health conditions in young children. The major concern is that of decay in the deciduous dentition. Untreated dental caries can affect body weight, growth and quality of life in preschool children¹. In various studies, Caries experience in early childhood has been linked to caries experience in permanent dentition². Socioeconomic Status (SES) is an essential determinant of the standard of living and health status in the population. It primarily influences the incidence and prevalence of various health conditions. Socioeconomic status also affects social security as it plays a critical role in accessibility, affordability, acceptability and utilization of health facilities. In dental health, the socioeconomic status has been recognized for years as the primary factor for inequality³. In different areas in the western world, oral diseases has been shown to be more frequent in the lower socioeconomic groups, with the more affluent having lower experience of oral diseases⁴. There have been several attempts to develop different scales to measure socioeconomic status but Prasad's classification⁵ (1961) based on the per capita monthly income and later modified in 1968, 1970, 2013

has been extensively used in the Indian scenario and has been quite effective in performing the task under discussion^{6,7,8}

The dental attitudes may be influenced by the behavioral and social factors of the population such as attendance patterns, parents' perceptions of their children's dental anxiety and the socioeconomic status of the family. The concept of socioeconomic inequalities in oral health can be defined as differences in the prevalence or incidence of oral health problems between individual people of higher and lower socioeconomic status. In the recent studies, health inequalities have been given a new impetus by the development and increasing use of measures of socioeconomic status. Inequalities in health are evident in all countries for which data are available⁹. Within less developed countries, there is a clear relationship between average per capita income and health status measures such as life expectancy¹⁰. A substantial body of scientific literature from many countries has shown that the oral health of lower socioeconomic status groups is worse than their higher socioeconomic status counterparts. Unfortunately, very little information has been found in the literature to show that a family's socio economic background is related to attitude for the dental care of their children. So this study was conducted to assess parents' attitudes to the dental care of their children, taking into account the family's socioeconomic background.

II. METHODOLOGY

A descriptive cross-sectional epidemiological survey was conducted among parents and their children between the age groups of 4 to 6 years who were enrolled in primary schools of Vikarabad mandal.

A questionnaire was formulated based on the literature review and the study's objective and pilot was conducted on a sample of 30 parents. Necessary modifications were made to design the final proforma and validated using Cronbach's alpha which was found to be 0.72. Prasad's classification (2013) of socioeconomic status for rural and urban populations was used to classify the socioeconomic status of the parents. With a relative precision of 15%, the final sample size of 400 was calculated. Out of 66 primary schools using simple random lottery method, 16 schools were selected, and the survey was spread over a period of 4 months from January 2021 to April 2021. Clinical examination of the child was performed using WHO dentition status. On the day of the survey, information from parents who have come to the school and who were willing to participate was obtained by a self-administered questionnaire given to them on the school premises after explaining the purpose of the study in detail.

Dentition status and treatment needs of the child were recorded using a plane mouth mirror and CPI probe in the school premises in front of the parents.

III. RESULTS

A total of 400 children received the oral examination, and 400 parents filled the questionnaire during the survey with a response rate of 100%.

About 15.8% of parents belonged to socioeconomic group I with an income of INR. 5156 - above, 21.5% belonged to group II with an income of INR 2578-5155, 19.8% belonged to group III with an income of INR 1547-2577, 23.5% in group IV with an income of INR 773-1546 and 19.5% in group V who had an income of less than INR 773. Many a parents belonged to the socioeconomic group IV and lowest number of parents belonged socioeconomic group I. The self-reported dental questionnaire of the parents and the children based on financial status of the family revealed that a majority of the parents (59) were from SES group IV who had never been to a dentist, whereas only 29 parents from the SES group I had never been to a dentist before. There was a significant difference of $p=0.002$ between all the socioeconomic groups in relation to their past dental visit. A maximum of SES group III parents had satisfactory dental experience while very few (10) parents from group V had satisfactory past dental experience which was statistically significant 0.0027 between all the socioeconomic groups. Many of parents from group II reported that their past dental experience would affect them in taking their child to a dentist. When the effect of subjective experience of the child with a dentist was considered between all socioeconomic groups, there was no statistically significant difference ($p=0.083$). The majority of (38) parents from group III, said that milk teeth don't need any treatment in comparison to a very few of 19 parents from group I agreed with the same and values were statistically significant where $p=0.002$ between all the socioeconomic groups, and it is both the parents who collectively decide about the dental care of their child. There was a significant difference regarding the decision-maker between all socioeconomic groups ($P=0.021$). A Maximum of 14 and 12 children from group III, IV respectively had previously missed the school because of dental problems. However, the values were not statistically significant between all the socioeconomic groups i.e., $p=0.445$ concerning to child's absence from the school because of dental problems.

The parents' knowledge regarding primary teeth based on their socioeconomic status in which majority of parents from group II reported that primary teeth stay for 3 to 6 yrs of age in contrast to parents from group V said that primary teeth would stay till 12 yrs of age. A statistically significant difference between the various

socioeconomic groups ($p=0.000$) was observed. Parents from group II said that the child would have difficulty in chewing food, group I parents agreed that permanent teeth will be affected were as parents from group V told that there would be no effects of early loss of primary teeth. The values were statistically significant 0.000 in between all the socioeconomic groups regarding parents' knowledge on primary teeth.

Parental care towards child's oral health based on their socioeconomic status was observed in which it was noted that, a majority of the parents from group III preferred to take their child for a regular dental check-up followed by group II parents, whereas group IV and group V parents preferred to have a dental check-up only when the child had any dental problem. A Majority of the parents from group I initiated tooth brushing six months after the tooth started erupting, in contrast, a majority of parents from group IV initiated tooth brushing 1yr after the tooth started erupting. The values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.000$ between all the socioeconomic groups when the initiation of tooth brushing of the child was considered. The majority of the parents from groups II and III said that a regular dental check-up, proper brushing and rinsing the mouth, and avoiding sweets in between meals would prevent their child from dental caries. In contrast, only very few of (9) parents from group V agreed with this statement. The values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.000$ between all the socioeconomic groups regarding the preventive methods considered to prevent dental caries.

In the 1st scenario, where a black spot is seen on the tooth, but the tooth is not painful, majority of the parents from group IV and V preferred to ignore it. At the same time, parents from group I and II preferred to start supervised brushing and also leave the treatment decision to the dentist in treating the asymptomatic carious teeth. There was a significant difference between all the socioeconomic groups regarding attitude towards asymptomatic carious tooth ($P=0.000$). In the 2nd scenario, where the teeth are symptomatic majority of parents from group IV preferred to either ignore or relieve the symptoms and monitor the tooth. In contrast, a majority of the parents from group II chose to leave the treatment decision to the dentist. The values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.000$ between all the socioeconomic groups regarding the attitude towards treating any symptomatic carious tooth. About 29 parents from group II said that they would ask for other alternative treatments if the dentist suggests for extraction of a symptomatic carious tooth. The values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.000$ between all the socioeconomic groups regarding the importance given to the primary teeth by the parents. Parents from group II agreed that early loss of milk teeth is always harmful to permanent teeth and only very few that is 4 parents from group V agreed with it, and the values were statistically

significant $p=0.000$ between all the socioeconomic groups.

Parents from group IV agreed that sweets and chocolates are highly responsible for dental caries. The values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.019$ between all socioeconomic groups regarding the knowledge on cariogenic food. A maximum of 28 parents from group V said that caries always transfer from tooth to tooth, while only 17 parents agreed with the same. There was a significant difference between all the socioeconomic groups $p=0.0024$ regarding knowledge on transfer of caries from tooth to tooth.

The DMFT and carious status of the child based on SES of the parent was assessed, in which maximum DMFT score of 12 was found in children belonging to SES group V with a mean score of 1.46 (SD 2.42) and a least score of 8 was found in SES group III children with a mean of 1.22 (SD 2.01).

The number of carious teeth in children based on their family's socioeconomic status was noted, where children belonging to SES group IV had maximum carious teeth of 46 followed by 33 carious teeth in group V children and a least score of 14 was found in children belonging to SES group I followed by group II where only 21 children had carious teeth. When the number of carious teeth was compared to the parents' socioeconomic status, a significant difference was found between all the SES group children ($P=0.001$).

On assessing, the parental care towards child's oral health based on the carious status of the child, it was observed that parents who preferred taking their child to a dentist as soon as the teeth erupt had the least i.e., 3 carious teeth, in comparison to parents who chose to take their child to a dentist only when the child had any dental problem were a maximum i.e., 88 carious teeth were present. However, the values were not statistically significant 0.393 between the opinions of the parents for their child's 1st dental check-up. Children whose parents had initiated tooth brushing immediately after the 1st tooth erupted had the least carious teeth when compared to children whose parents had initiated tooth brushing 1 yr after the teeth had erupted. There was a significant difference between opinions of the parents ($p=0.009$) regarding the initiation of the tooth brushing. Parents who preferred regular dental checks for their child to prevent dental caries had the least carious teeth than parents who chose proper tooth brushing and rinsing the mouth. Still, there was no significant difference 0.212 between the preventive measures followed by the parents to prevent dental caries.

When parental attitude towards the carious teeth to that of the carious status of the child where a maximum of 67 carious teeth were found among children whose parents chose to ignore asymptomatic carious tooth but the parents who preferred to leave the treatment decision to the dentist had less score, and



there was a significant difference $p=0.037$ between the attitude of the parents in treating an asymptomatic carious tooth. In the second scenario, where the tooth is painful maximum of 147 carious free teeth were found among the children whose parents left the treatment decision to the dentist than the parents who preferred either ignoring the tooth or tried relieving the symptoms and monitoring the tooth and the values were statistically significant i.e., $p=0.041$ regarding the attitude of the parents towards the care of a symptomatic carious tooth.

IV. DISCUSSION

Oral health habits established during early childhood are maintained and are important for oral health conditions later in life. Young children acquire their health behavior from the environment, and their oral health relies on the parents and support from the dental services. Possible characteristics of parents associated with caries risk in children could be demographic factors of the family¹¹. Children are dependent on their environment to establish favorable health behaviors, and young children's dental health relies on the parents' involvement and support from the dental services¹².

The distribution of young children according to caries experience in the deciduous dentition is skewed in the most developed countries. In young children, principal risk factors, such as, diet, the transmission of pathological microorganisms, and oral hygiene, are determined by family values, daily practices, and lifestyles. For young children, who do not make independent decisions, these factors are determined by family values, traditions and lifestyle, which in turn are related to their culture and social class. Factors related to parental norms, knowledge, attitudes, and behavior have been associated with parental abilities, especially the mother's ability to promote adequate dental health behavior in their children^{13,14}.

Social class may affect caries risk in many ways. Low income affects education, health, values, lifestyles, and access to health care information, thereby increasing susceptibility to caries. Understanding the socioeconomic status (SES) of the community is extremely imperative in order to correlate its impact on health and quality of living standards of that community. The critical determinant of the standard of living and health status is socioeconomic status of the individual/community. The incidence and prevalence of various health-related conditions is directly influenced by socioeconomic status. It not only influences the social security in terms of the accessibility, affordability, acceptability but also has an impact over actual utilization of various health facilities. Numerous different scales to measure the socioeconomic status in both rural and urban areas have been recommended in the literature by several experts. However, Prasad's

classification (2013)¹⁵ based on the per capita monthly income has been widely in use in India. The advantage with Prasad's classification is that it takes into consideration only the income as a variable and it is simple to calculate.

In the present study the parents' perception regarding primary dentition and their preference regarding dental care of children taking into consideration the family's socioeconomic background has been examined. Despite the variation in the prevailing dental health practice of the parents for their children, almost 65% of the children in this study did not have dental caries, which is similar to the survey done by Shamata Sufia et al,¹⁶ where 60% of the children had caries free mouth though a number of maternal factors such as level of education, families income effect the dentally related behaviour of the mother.

The link between socioeconomic status and health, including oral health, is well established. It has been already demonstrated in numerous studies that the health of individuals from the lower end of the socioeconomic scale is significantly worse than that of individuals from the upper end of the socioeconomic scale¹⁷. Oral health services utilization is a multifactorial phenomenon, and this utilization depends on various factors like dental conditions, socioeconomic conditions, attitude and financial conditions. Social factors are important, as shown in various models. Still, here more emphasis was given to subjective reasons which act as barriers in regular dental care, because the individual himself is mainly responsible for his/her regular dental care, and dental attendance¹⁸.

Income is dependent on the employment status of the family members. This in turn dictates the health-related practices as well as the priorities on dental health matters.

The present study did not consider the employment status of the family, but considered the income level of the family to determine the socioeconomic standing of the family. Parental income affects the caries prevalence in children in the present study. Children belonging to low middle followed by lower class had high caries experience than other income groups. This finding is similar to the study conducted by Joyson et al., (2011)¹⁹, Nuhu Amin et al., (2005)²⁰, unlike Shamata Sufia et al.,¹⁶ Rahul Naidu et al.,²¹ where more number of children from below the poverty line were observed with a less caries experience. A statistically significant correlation was found between caries prevalence and low socioeconomic status, measured in terms of income. In the low socioeconomic status groups, the prevalence of dental caries was high considering their poor oral hygiene practice, lack of awareness, improper food intake, and family status.

Most of the lower-class parents in the present study stated that milk teeth don't need any treatment,

followed by lower middle-class parents. The possible reason for this finding could be pertaining to the fact that the individuals from the lower socioeconomic status experience disadvantages financially, socially, and materially which may compromise their ability to care for themselves, and makes it difficult to afford professional health care services, and to live a healthy life in a healthy environment.

In the present study, parents from the upper class preferred to initiate tooth brushing six months after the tooth started erupting, which was not significant to that of carious experience of the child. This is in accordance to the study done by C.H Law et al.,²² where there was a reverse association between initiation and frequency of tooth brushing to that of the proportion of caries-free mouth.

The socioeconomic status of the parents was significantly associated in both the scenarios i.e., in treating an asymptomatic tooth and a symptomatic carious tooth. Parents from the upper-middle class showed interest in asking for other alternative treatment when advised for extraction, while other income group parents agreed to extract the teeth. The reason may be because the low socioeconomic status individuals have more fatalistic beliefs about their health, and they have a lower perceived need for care, thus leading to less self-care and lower utilization of preventive health services.

Parents from all the five income groups preferred to start supervised brushing for asymptomatic carious teeth, similar to the study done in Davangere by Tyagi R⁶ where 71% of the mothers started supervised brushing when they noticed decay in their children. Previous Studies in the literature have shown that the preschool child lacks the understanding and also the manual dexterity to maintain a good oral hygiene. So, parents must think that if they start supervised brushing black spot noticed on the tooth might disappear. In the present study, knowledge on dental caries was better among high-income group parents. This may be associated with the level of education of the parents. Parents with higher education have a better knowledge regarding the oral hygiene practice and the importance of deciduous teeth. This is similar to a study by Suresh BS²³, which reports that mothers who have had a lower level of education also tend to have low levels of knowledge regarding oral health. Hence, it has been suggested that the parents who have had a good or higher level of education may be able to assess the appropriate sources of information in a better form and understand it thoroughly.

The findings of the present study illustrated that parents' dental-related behaviour, along with socioeconomic background, are essential in their children's dental health. Parents in the present study have relatively better perceptions regarding primary teeth, and their attitude towards treating asymptomatic teeth was affected by the socioeconomic status of the family.

The limitation of the present study is that, as it was a questionnaire-based study there was an inability to probe responses and there may be a memory-based distortion in the participant's responses. Further, there is no way to tell how much thought a respondent has put in.

V. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the importance of prevention to combat dental caries. Prevention programs should target specific groups; however, the best method depends on several factors, including cultural, economic, and geographic factors. The most suitable strategy depends on local factors. The early identification of high-risk groups and the approaching of behavioral aspects in preventive and educational programs on oral health should be encouraged.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Sheiham A. Dental caries affects body weight, growth and quality of life in pre-school children. *Brit Dent J* 2006; 201: 625-626.
2. Li Y, Wang W. Predicting Caries in Permanent Teeth from Caries in Primary Teeth: An Eight-year Cohort Study. *J Dent Res* 2001; 81(8): 561-566.
3. Denis B. The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2005; 83 (9) 661-669.
4. Poul E P, Loan D. Oral health behavior, knowledge, and attitudes of children, mothers, and schoolteachers in Romania in 1993. *Acta odontologica Scandinavica* 1995; 53: 364-368.
5. Shankar D, Arlappa N. An Updated Prasad's Socioeconomic status Classification for 2013. *Int J Res Dev Health* 2013; 1(2) 26-28.
6. Tyagi R. The prevalence of nursing caries in Davangere preschool children and its relationship with feeding practices and socioeconomic status of the family. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent* 2008; 153-157.
7. Ridhi N, Sabyasachi S, Jagannath GV, Minti K, Shafaat Md, Sonali S. Maternal Socioeconomic Status and the Caries Experience Among 2-6 Years Old Preschool Children of Lucknow City. *India J Clinical Diagnostic Research* 2013; 7(7): 1511-1513.
8. Shubhada S, Avachat. Epidemiological study of malnutrition (under nutrition) among under five children in a section of rural area. *Pravara Med Rev* 2009; 1(2)20-22.
9. Andrew L. Inequality and Health: Long-Run Evidence from a Panel of Countries. *WHO* 2005; 2-35.
10. Daria K. World life expectancy and future longevity scenarios. *Scor in form* 2011.
11. Wigen TI, Espelid I, Skaare AB. Family characteristics and caries experience in preschool children. A longitudinal study from pregnancy to 5



years of age. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2010; 39: 311–317.

12. Wigen TI, Wang NJ. Caries and background factors in Norwegian and immigrant 5-year-old children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2010; 38: 19–28.
13. Al-Omri MK, Al-Wahadni AM. Oral health attitudes, knowledge, and behavior among school children in North Jordan. *J Dent Educ* 2006; 70(2): 179–187.
14. Skeie MS, Espelid I, Skaare AB, Gimmestad A. Caries patterns in an urban, preschool population in Norway. *Eur J Paediatr Dentistry* 2005; 6 (1): 16-22.
15. Dudala SR, Reddy KAK, Prabhu GR. Prasad's socioeconomic status classification- An update for 2014. *Int J Res Health Sci* 2014; 2(3): 875-878.
16. Shamta S, Ayyaz A K, Saima C. Maternal Factors and Child's Dental Health. *Oral Health Comm Dent* 2009; 3(3): 45-48.
17. Locker D Deprivation and oral health: a review. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2000; 28(3) 161–169.
18. Jain V K, Sequeira P, Jain J, Chancy U, Maliyil MJ, Baganwdas SC. Barriers in Utilization of Oral Health Care Services Among Patients Attending Primary and Community Health Centres in Virajpet, South Karnataka. *Nat J Med Dent Res* 2013; 1(3): 39-47.
19. Joyson M, Rangeeth BN, Deepa G. Prevalence Of Dental Caries, SocioEconomic Status And Treatment Needs Among 5 To 15 Year Old School Going Children Of Chidambaram. *J Clin Diagno Res* 2011; 5(1): 146-151.
20. Nuhu A, Alif MD. Evaluation of Dental caries awareness among the parents of school going children in Dhaka city. *Bangladesh medical J* 2006-89; 5(1): 67.
21. Rahul N, June Nunn, Kelly A. Socioeconomic behavioural factors and early childhood caries: a cross-sectional study of preschool children in central Trinidad. *BMC Oral Health* 2013; 13(3)2 -7.
22. Law CH, Razak IA, Saub R. Parental perception of the importance of the primary dentition and children's caries experience. *Annal Dent Univ Malaya* 2000; 7: 11-15.
23. Suresh BS, Shankar R, Chaitra TR, Mohapatra AK, Gupta V. Mothers knowledge about preschool childs oral health. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2010; 4(28) 282-287.





GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: J
DENTISTRY & OTOLARYNGOLOGY
Volume 22 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2022
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity on the Oral Health Status among Eunuchs in Vishakhapatnam: A Cross Sectional Study

By Dr. RVSSK Kinneresh

Abstract- *Background:* Transgenderism in India is a widely known culture yet mainstream population has no proper awareness about the community. They are maltreated and oppressed socially and mostly, they are disowned by their own family due to the fact of being a transgender. Social adversity, an umbrella term refers to issues such as violent crime, segregation, exposure to delinquent peers, poverty, and poor parenting, is one of the strongest risk factors for developing an antisocial behavior.

Aim: Aim was to assess the impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity on the Oral Health status among Eunuchs.

Keywords: eunuchs, social adversity, oral health status, cross cultural adaptation.

GJMR-J Classification: DDC Code: 305.309495 LCC Code: HQ449



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

Impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity on the Oral Health Status among Eunuchs in Vishakhapatnam: A Cross Sectional Study

Dr. RVSSK Kinneresh

Abstract- Background: Transgenderism in India is a widely known culture yet mainstream population has no proper awareness about the community. They are maltreated and oppressed socially and mostly, they are disowned by their own family due to the fact of being a transgender. Social adversity, an umbrella term refers to issues such as violent crime, segregation, exposure to delinquent peers, poverty, and poor parenting, is one of the strongest risk factors for developing an antisocial behavior.

Aim: Aim was to assess the impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity on the Oral Health status among Eunuchs.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study included 60 study participants. Perceived Chronic Social Adversity (PCSA) scale was used to assess the level of chronic social adversity. Clinical examination was done using WHO oral health assessment form 2013. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 20, ($P \leq 0.05^*$) was considered as statistically significant. Chi square test was used.

Results: A total of sixty Eunuchs had taken part in answering the questionnaire and completing the examination. Mean age is 35.5 years. The impact of chronic social adversity on the oral health status comprised a total of 20 questions altogether. The highest correct response rate recorded of all questions was 39% where 23 participants agreed that they always feel abandoned ($P \leq 0.005^*$). In the age group 27-36 years showed the highest prevalence of poor oral health status.

Conclusion: In summary, we observed that there is indeed an impact of social adversity on the oral health status on eunuchs. This present study showed the view of oral health as an outcome which shares an array of psychosocial, biological and social factors interplay.

Keywords: eunuchs, social adversity, oral health status, cross cultural adaptation.

I. AIM

To assess the impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity and Oral Health among Eunuchs.

II. OBJECTIVES

- To assess the level of Perceived social Adversity using Perceived Chronic Social Adversity (PCSA) scale.
- To assess the Oral Health Status using WHO oral health assessment form 2013.

Author: e-mail: rk10121964@gmail.com

III. INTRODUCTION

The word 'EUNUCH' is derived from a Greek word meaning 'keeper of the bed'. They are considered as one of the most vulnerable sections in the Indian Population who go by the name of "Hijras" meaning Eunuchs. There are so many social stigmas associated with this community that these people do not come out in open and even if they come out in open, they often resort to various debilitating lifestyle habits that affect their general well-being and oral health in a negative sense. They are also called as transgenders, transsexuals, and transvestites in English and colloquially hijras, alis, kothis, double deckers, and panthis in India which is a widely known culture yet mainstream population that has no proper awareness about this community.¹ Most of the time, they are maltreated and oppressed socially and disowned by their own family due to the fact of being a transgender. In Indian context, Hijras are seen as a "third gender" which is neither male nor female but contains elements of both.² In addition, India has no proper data on their socio-economic status. Since the beginning of time and the existence of mankind, transgender have been very much a part of the society. It is just that they have been given a name and a status in the society in recent times. They are denied general, oral health and psychological assistance and the accessibility to medical and dental facilities for the eunuchs is nearly nonexistent.³ The prevalence of dental caries and periodontal diseases are considerably higher in the developing countries like India which is one of the major emerging market economies with a population of over 1 billion and is very diverse in geography, culture, tradition, habits and even race. This diversity also extends to literacy rates, health indicator rates Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) and hygiene practices.⁴ They constitute the marginalized section of the society in India and thus face legal, social as well as economic difficulties as they are "tolerated but not accepted". Due to these reasons, they might be at a high risk of developing severe dental problems like tooth loss.⁵

Indian Census has never recognized third gender i.e. Transgender while collecting census data for years. But in 2011, data of Transgender were collected with details related to their employment, Literacy and

Caste. In India, total population of transgender is around 4.88 Lakh as per 2011 census. The 2011 census also reported 55,000 children as transgender identified by their parents.⁶

In India, total transgender population stands with 4, 87,053 with highest cases in Uttar Pradesh stands with 1, 37,465 and least in Kerala; 3,902. Children transgender population stands with 58,854.

Though they constitute a little percentage of the total population, it is essential to extend our knowledge and facilities in order to improve the oral health status of this population.⁷

A Report on Fifth Annual Employment - Unemployment Survey 2015-16 shows the involvement of transgender in different categories of employment. Transgender are leading in a Self-employed category that is 44.9% in the UPS (Usual Principal Status) as well as UPSS (Usual Principal and Subsidiary Status) approach followed by casual labor 31.5%, wage/salary earners 19.6% and contract workers 4.9% in the UPS and 4.7% in the UPSS approach.⁸

Social adversity, an umbrella term that refers to issues such as violent crime, segregation, exposure to delinquent peers, poverty, and poor parenting, is one of the strongest risk factors for developing antisocial behavior.⁹ Early and continued exposure to social adversity has detrimental effects for developing youth, and can exacerbate preexisting behavioral issues.¹⁰

Research shows that the progression of antisocial behavior and subsequent delinquency is shaped by antisocial attitudes, poor schooling and a lack of collective efficacy in the community, delinquent peer affiliations during adolescence, and malnutrition.¹¹ Behavioral genetic studies have also demonstrated that shared and non-shared environmental influences play significant roles in predisposing individuals to antisocial behavior. In addition, the social environment influences biological risk factors that have been linked to antisocial and criminal behavior. There is no data regarding the impact of this on the oral health.

Therefore this study was done to assess the impact of perceived social adversity on the oral health status of eunuchs.

IV. ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

This study was approved by Anil Neerukonda Institute of Dental Science Visakhapatnam, AP Institutional Ethical Committee [Ref No. ANIDS\IEC\2121014]. Throughout the study, confidentiality of data was preserved.

V. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Study Setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted to investigate the Impact of Perceived Chronic Social

Adversity on the Oral Health Status among Eunuchs in Vishakhapatnam city, Andhra Pradesh.

b) Informed consent

The study protocol was explained and written informed consent which explained the study objectives was obtained from each study participant.

c) Source of data

The data is primary in nature and study subjects comprises of self-identified eunuchs residing in the Vishakhapatnam city.

d) Sampling design and sample selection

The study took place in Vishakhapatnam and all the self-identified eunuchs residing in the city of Vishakhapatnam who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled in this study. Information about the residence of the eunuchs is being based on interviews with local informants and prominent localities in Vishakhapatnam are identified. All the identified areas were visited and eunuchs residing in these areas were contacted.

The lists of identified areas are:

- Kancherapalem
- Seethamadhra
- Railway New Colony
- Burma camp

As the study participants are a hard to reach population, Snow ball or chain referral sampling technique was applied; i.e. Study subjects in their respective residing areas are contacted and existing study participants recruited future subjects from among their acquaintances were contacted. Finally a total of 60 eunuchs were identified.

e) Inclusion criteria

- Participants who were willing to participate and who gave informed consent were included in this study.
- Participants belonging to age groups between 17-60 years.

f) Exclusion criteria

- Participants who are not willing to participate were excluded.
- Participants who did not give informed consent were excluded.
- Participants who have systemic diseases.

g) Structure of the questionnaire

To assess the social adversity; perceived chronic social adversity (PCSA-28) scale was used. The scale consists of a pretested, validated questionnaire containing 28 close ended questions with a five point Likert scale; with options ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The questionnaire is modified with final of 20 close ended questions. Prior permission was obtained by the main researcher of the article and the approval was granted. The questionnaire initially in

English was translated into Telugu language and the re-translated back to English to check for cross cultural adaptation that encloses both the language and cultural adaptation into the study participants. The interview was conducted in regional language (Telugu).

h) Data collection

The data which is primary in nature was obtained using WHO oral health assessment form 2013. Clinical examination (ADA Type 3) was conducted by a single examiner under natural light, by using mouth mirror, CPI probe with the study population seated on upright chair.

i) Statistical analysis

All the obtained data was entered into Microsoft excel sheet and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, IBM, USA) version 21. The statistically significant level was set at less than 0.05 with confidence interval of 95% and chi square test was used.

VI. RESULTS

Data of the study population showed a total of sixty Eunuchs had taken part in answering the

questionnaire and completing the examination. Mean age is 35.5 years. Table 1 shows the impact of chronic social adversity on the trauma comprising a total of 20 questions altogether. The highest correct response rate recorded of all questions was 39% where 23 participants agreed that they always feel abandoned. 42.4% respondents answered that they are subjected to physical violence.

Table 2 showed the prevalence of oral health status of the study participants with respect to their age group. Dental caries, gingival bleeding, pockets, loss of attachment, trauma, oral mucosal lesions and interventional urgency showed the highest in the age group of 27-36 years with a prevalence of 51.7%, 61.7%, 51.6%, 51.6%, 78.3% and 45% respectively and least is seen in the age group of 58-70 years.

Table 3 showed the impact of perceived chronic social adversity scale on the oral health status. The questions were grouped into three domains i.e., obvious social exclusion domain which showed a significant association, over control social exclusion domain and weakness in social competition domain in which showed statistical significance.

Table 1: Impact of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity

| S. NO. | QUESTION | STRONGLY AGREE | AGREE | NEUTRAL | DISAGREE | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|--------|--|----------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| 1 | ^(0.005*) Do You Always Feel Abandoned? | 43 (71.6%) | 17(28.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Are You Always Being Ill-Treated? | 54 (89.4%) | 4 (10.6%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Do You Always Feel Ignored? | 49 (81.6%) | 21(35.1%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Do You Always Feel Deceived? | 23 (38.3%) | 47(78.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Do You Always Feel Isolated? | 16 (26.6%) | 44 (73.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Do You Always Feel Rejected? | 51 (84.9%) | 2 (15.1%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | ^(0.005*) Do You Always Feel Humiliated? | 59 (98.4%) | 1 (1.6%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Do You Always Feel Derogated? | 18 (30.2%) | 42 (69.8%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Do You Always Feel Slandered? | 20 (33.3%) | 40 (66.6%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Do You Always Feel Doubted? | 20 (33.3%) | 3 (66.6%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Do You Always Feel Your Feelings Are Being Changed By Someone? | 1 (1.6%) | 59 (98.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Do You Feel Your Freedom To Speak Is Restricted? | 0 | 3 (5.0%) | 7 (11.6%) | 40 (66.6%) | 10 (16.6%) |
| 13 | Are Your Decisions Being Made By Someone Else? | 7 (11.6%) | 53 (88.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Do You Feel Someone Your Actions Are Being Changed By Someone Else All The Time? | 0 | 0 | 11(18.3%) | 19 (31.6%) | 30 (50%) |
| 15 | Do You Always Be Blamed For Other's Actions? | 0 | 0 | 4 (6.6%) | 33 (55%) | 23 (38.3%) |
| 16 | Is Your Past Being Bought Up Every Time When You Argue With Someone? | 4 (6.6%) | 55 (91.1%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | ^(0.005*) Are You Being Subjected To Any Physical Abuse? | 60 (100%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|--|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|
| 18 | Do You Always Feel Threatened? | 3 (5.0%) | 5 (8.3%) | 2 (3.3%) | 47 (78.3%) | 3 (5.1%) |
| 19 | Is There Someone Who Always Cares About You? | 3 (5.0%) | 2 (3.3%) | 13 (21.6%) | 32 (53.3%) | 0 |
| 20 | Do You Always Fail In Applying A Job? | 39 (65.0%) | 8 (13.3%) | 3 (5.0%) | 0 | 0 |

$P \leq 0.005^*$ statistically significant, $P \leq 0.001^{**}$ highly statistically significant

Table 2: Prevalence of oral health status according to age group

| Age (In years) | Dental caries ^(0.136) | Gingival bleeding ^(0.806) | Pockets ^(0.379) | Loss of attachment ^(0.543) | Trauma ^(0.844) | Oral mucosal lesions ^(0.274) | Interventional urgency ^(0.155) |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| 16-26 | 12 (18.3%) | 5 (8.3%) | 5 (8.3%) | 5 (8.3%) | 5 (8.3%) | 2 (3.3%) | 15 (25.0%) |
| 27-36 | 30 (51.7%) | 37 (61.7%) | 37 (61.7%) | 37 (51.7%) | 31 (51.6%) | 47 (78.3%) | 27 (45.0%) |
| 37-47 | 10 (16.7%) | 10 (16.7%) | 10 (16.7%) | 10 (16.7%) | 16 (26.6%) | 7 (11.6%) | 10 (16.7%) |
| 48-56 | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 8 (13.3%) | 5 (8.3%) | 4 (6.7%) |
| 58-70 | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (6.7%) | 4 (6.7%) |

$P \leq 0.005^*$ statistically significant, $P \leq 0.001^{**}$ highly statistically significant

Table 3: Impact of the PSA scale on oral health status

| Domains | Oral health status | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Obvious Social Exclusion | Dental caries | 0.004* |
| | Gingival bleeding | |
| | Pockets | |
| | Loss of attachment | |
| | Trauma | |
| | Oral mucosal lesions | |
| Over control Social Exclusion | Dental caries | 0.114 |
| | Gingival bleeding | |
| | Pockets | |
| | Loss of attachment | |
| | Trauma | |
| | Oral mucosal lesions | |
| Weakness in Social Competition | Dental caries | 0.001* |
| | Gingival bleeding | |
| | Pockets | |
| | Loss of attachment | |
| | Trauma | |
| | Oral mucosal lesions | |

$P \leq 0.005^*$ statistically significant, $P \leq 0.001^{**}$ highly statistically significant

VII. DISCUSSION

A number of studies have examined the oral health, but there is no reference to the connection between the social adversity and its oral health. This study was conducted among eunuchs to assess the impact of chronic social adversity on their oral health status. A pretested, validated questionnaire was used to assess the exposure to three types of stressful/negative events: obvious or obscure social exclusion, over control, and weakness in social competition¹². A total of 60 participants enrolled in this study. 40% of study participants strongly agreed that they felt abandoned which showed an impact on the trauma. Majority of the participants strongly agreed to physical abuse which

showed an urgent need for interventional urgency as eunuchs who most of them working as sex workers are subjected to abuse. All the study participants showed dental caries, periodontal pockets and loss of attachment which indicates the poor oral health status requiring interventional urgency. 39% of the study participants who strongly agreed to having episodes of humiliation, slandering and who felt abandoned showed a positive impact on the oral mucosal lesions as the issues such as violent crime, segregation, exposure to delinquent peers, poverty become embedded into their minds which pave way for these habits to happen. Participants are aware of their oral health, there are multiple socioeconomic disadvantages that members of particular group experience which limits their access to

health particularly oral health. Also the age group 27-36 years showed the highest prevalence of oral conditions which may be due to the fact that they were subjected to humiliation and as a outcast. Eunuchs are one of these neglected special vulnerable groups in India projected as an outcast, where special attention is required to improve the overall oral health. This study showed a marked rise in consumption of tobacco among eunuchs with a frequency of consuming these products many times a day. This higher usage of tobacco can be due to psychosocial stress in their unfavorable social position, the lack of awareness on the ill effects of these habits. Table 3 showed the association between the PCSA scale and oral health status, the questions were grouped into three domains. The obvious social exclusion domain comprised of questions in which they were asked if they were subjected to humiliation, derogation, etc and over control social exclusion domain deals with one's impact on their mental health and the last domain weakness in social competition deals in challenges they face in social participation like as in securing a job. Hence, there shows an immediate need of developing strategies which are more promotive and preventive which will direct eunuchs in improving their oral health. This in turn drives this socially stigmatized community to have a positive dental health.

Limitation

Small sample size limits true representation of the study.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In summary, we observed that there is indeed an impact of perceived social adversity on the oral health status on eunuchs. This present study showed the view of oral health as an outcome which shares an array of psychosocial, biological and social factors interplay. Efforts are to be done that increases patient awareness of the oral effects of tobacco use and to eliminate the habit are needed to improve the oral and general health of eunuchs. This allows in reduction in oral disease risk prediction with an ultimate goal of improving the oral health.

Public Health Significance

Oral health education should be given to their community explaining about the ill effects of pernicious habits like smoking, tobacco usage and development of specific dental care programs involving both health education and preventive and curative dental care and implementing behavioral interventional programs that aim at providing alternative jobs. This should be done in collaboration with government and non-government organizations and further studies are to be conducted to explore the relationship between perceived chronic social adversity and oral health.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Kalyan P, Dave B, Deshpande N, Panchal D. A study to assess the periodontal status of eunuchs residing in Central Gujarat, India: A cross-sectional study. *Adv Hum Biol* 2020; 10(3): 162-5.
2. Peeran W, Thiruneervannan M, Kumar N, Almarshoudi S and Ahmed F. "Oral hygiene and Periodontal Status among Eunuchs in Chennai, India". *EC Dental Science* 2017; 15(4): 123-126.
3. Hongal S, Torwane N, Goel P, Byarakele C, Mishra P and Jain S. Oral health-related knowledge, attitude and practices among eunuchs (hijras) residing in Bhopal City, Madhya Pradesh, India: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *J. Indian Soc. Periodontol.* 2014; 18(5); 624-631.
4. Arjun N, Sudhir H, Eshani S, Rana T, Shubham J, Abhishek G. Assessment of Periodontal Status among Eunuchs Residing in Bhopal City, Madhya Pradesh, India: A Cross Sectional Study. *Oral Heal Dent Mang* 2014; 13(3); 628-633.
5. Hongal S, Torwane NA, Chandrashekhar BR, Saxena V, Chavan KR. An evaluation of dental prosthetic status and prosthetic needs among eunuchs (transgender) residing in Bhopal City, Madhya Pradesh, India: A cross-sectional study. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 2014; 4: 943-8.
6. Transgender in India; [Internet source] available from <https://www.census2011.co.in/transgender.php> accessed on 18 November 2022
7. Saravanan N, Reddy CVK, Veeresh DJ. A Study to Assess the Oral Health Status and Treatment Needs of Eunuchs in Chennai City. *J. Indian Assoc. Public Health Dent* 2006; 4(8); 22-30.
8. Naik N. Transgenderism in India: Insights from current census. [Internet source] available from <http://paa2019.populationassociation.org/uploads/191100> accessed on 18 November 2022.
9. Beaver KM, Eagle Schutt J, Boutwell BB, Ratchford M, Roberts K, Barnes JC. Genetic and environmental influences on levels of self-control and delinquent peer affiliation: results from a longitudinal sample of adolescent twins. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*. 2008; 36:41-60.
10. Liu J. Childhood externalizing behavior: theory and implications. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*. 2004; 17:93-103.
11. Raine A, Mellingen K, Liu JH, Venables P, Mednick SA. Effects of environmental enrichment at ages 3-5 years on schizotypal personality and antisocial behavior at ages 17 and 23 years. *American Journal of Psychiatry*.
12. Zhang, J., Ding, C., Tang, Y., Zhang, C., Yang, D. A Measure of Perceived Chronic Social Adversity: Development and Validation. *Frontiers in psychology* (2017) 8, 2168.




GLOBAL JOURNALS GUIDELINES HANDBOOK 2022

WWW.GLOBALJOURNALS.ORG

MEMBERSHIPS

FELLOWS/ASSOCIATES OF MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

FMRC/AMRC MEMBERSHIPS



INTRODUCTION

FMRC/AMRC is the most prestigious membership of Global Journals accredited by Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS). The credentials of Fellow and Associate designations signify that the researcher has gained the knowledge of the fundamental and high-level concepts, and is a subject matter expert, proficient in an expertise course covering the professional code of conduct, and follows recognized standards of practice. The credentials are designated only to the researchers, scientists, and professionals that have been selected by a rigorous process by our Editorial Board and Management Board.

Associates of FMRC/AMRC are scientists and researchers from around the world are working on projects/researches that have huge potentials. Members support Global Journals' mission to advance technology for humanity and the profession.

FMRC

FELLOW OF MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

FELLOW OF MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL is the most prestigious membership of Global Journals. It is an award and membership granted to individuals that the Open Association of Research Society judges to have made a 'substantial contribution to the improvement of computer science, technology, and electronics engineering.

The primary objective is to recognize the leaders in research and scientific fields of the current era with a global perspective and to create a channel between them and other researchers for better exposure and knowledge sharing. Members are most eminent scientists, engineers, and technologists from all across the world. Fellows are elected for life through a peer review process on the basis of excellence in the respective domain. There is no limit on the number of new nominations made in any year. Each year, the Open Association of Research Society elect up to 12 new Fellow Members.



BENEFIT

TO THE INSTITUTION

GET LETTER OF APPRECIATION

Global Journals sends a letter of appreciation of author to the Dean or CEO of the University or Company of which author is a part, signed by editor in chief or chief author.



EXCLUSIVE NETWORK

GET ACCESS TO A CLOSED NETWORK

A FMRC member gets access to a closed network of Tier 1 researchers and scientists with direct communication channel through our website. Fellows can reach out to other members or researchers directly. They should also be open to reaching out by other.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE, LOR AND LASER-MOMENTO

Fellows receive a printed copy of a certificate signed by our Chief Author that may be used for academic purposes and a personal recommendation letter to the dean of member's university.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



DESIGNATION

GET HONORED TITLE OF MEMBERSHIP

Fellows can use the honored title of membership. The "FMRC" is an honored title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FMRC or William Walldroff, M.S., FMRC.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation

RECOGNITION ON THE PLATFORM

BETTER VISIBILITY AND CITATION

All the Fellow members of FMRC get a badge of "Leading Member of Global Journals" on the Research Community that distinguishes them from others. Additionally, the profile is also partially maintained by our team for better visibility and citation. All fellows get a dedicated page on the website with their biography.

Career

Credibility

Reputation



FUTURE WORK

GET DISCOUNTS ON THE FUTURE PUBLICATIONS

Fellows receive discounts on the future publications with Global Journals up to 60%. Through our recommendation programs, members also receive discounts on publications made with OARS affiliated organizations.

Career

Financial



GJ INTERNAL ACCOUNT UNLIMITED FORWARD OF EMAILS

Fellows get secure and fast GJ work emails with unlimited storage of emails that they may use them as their primary email. For example, john [AT] globaljournals [DOT] org.

Career

Credibility

Reputation



PREMIUM TOOLS

ACCESS TO ALL THE PREMIUM TOOLS

To take future researches to the zenith, fellows receive access to all the premium tools that Global Journals have to offer along with the partnership with some of the best marketing leading tools out there.

Financial

CONFERENCES & EVENTS

ORGANIZE SEMINAR/CONFERENCE

Fellows are authorized to organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). They can also participate in the same organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent. Additionally, they get free research conferences (and others) alerts.

Career

Credibility

Financial

EARLY INVITATIONS

EARLY INVITATIONS TO ALL THE SYMPOSIUMS, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES

All fellows receive the early invitations to all the symposiums, seminars, conferences and webinars hosted by Global Journals in their subject.

Exclusive





PUBLISHING ARTICLES & BOOKS

EARN 60% OF SALES PROCEEDS

Fellows can publish articles (limited) without any fees. Also, they can earn up to 70% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper. The FMRC member can decide its price and we can help in making the right decision.

Exclusive

Financial

REVIEWERS

GET A REMUNERATION OF 15% OF AUTHOR FEES

Fellow members are eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get a remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper.

Financial

ACCESS TO EDITORIAL BOARD

BECOME A MEMBER OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD

Fellows and Associates may join as a member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) after successful completion of three years as Fellow and as Peer Reviewer.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation

AND MUCH MORE

GET ACCESS TO SCIENTIFIC MUSEUMS AND OBSERVATORIES ACROSS THE GLOBE


All members get access to 5 selected scientific museums and observatories across the globe. All researches published with Global Journals will be kept under deep archival facilities across regions for future protections and disaster recovery. They get 10 GB free secure cloud access for storing research files.



ASSOCIATE OF MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

ASSOCIATE OF MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL is the membership of Global Journals awarded to individuals that the Open Association of Research Society judges to have made a 'substantial contribution to the improvement of computer science, technology, and electronics engineering.

The primary objective is to recognize the leaders in research and scientific fields of the current era with a global perspective and to create a channel between them and other researchers for better exposure and knowledge sharing. Members are most eminent scientists, engineers, and technologists from all across the world. Associate membership can later be promoted to Fellow Membership. Associates are elected for life through a peer review process on the basis of excellence in the respective domain. There is no limit on the number of new nominations made in any year. Each year, the Open Association of Research Society elect up to 12 new Associate Members.



BENEFIT

TO THE INSTITUTION

GET LETTER OF APPRECIATION

Global Journals sends a letter of appreciation of author to the Dean or CEO of the University or Company of which author is a part, signed by editor in chief or chief author.



EXCLUSIVE NETWORK

GET ACCESS TO A CLOSED NETWORK

A AMRC member gets access to a closed network of Tier 2 researchers and scientists with direct communication channel through our website. Associates can reach out to other members or researchers directly. They should also be open to reaching out by other.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE, LOR AND LASER-MOMENTO

Associates receive a printed copy of a certificate signed by our Chief Author that may be used for academic purposes and a personal recommendation letter to the dean of member's university.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



DESIGNATION

GET HONORED TITLE OF MEMBERSHIP

Associates can use the honored title of membership. The "AMRC" is an honored title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., AMRC or William Walldroff, M.S., AMRC.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation

RECOGNITION ON THE PLATFORM

BETTER VISIBILITY AND CITATION

All the Associate members of AMRC get a badge of "Leading Member of Global Journals" on the Research Community that distinguishes them from others. Additionally, the profile is also partially maintained by our team for better visibility and citation.

Career

Credibility

Reputation



FUTURE WORK

GET DISCOUNTS ON THE FUTURE PUBLICATIONS

Associates receive discounts on future publications with Global Journals up to 30%. Through our recommendation programs, members also receive discounts on publications made with OARS affiliated organizations.

Career

Financial



GJ ACCOUNT

UNLIMITED FORWARD OF EMAILS

Associates get secure and fast GJ work emails with 5GB forward of emails that they may use them as their primary email. For example, john [AT] globaljournals [DOT] org.

Career

Credibility

Reputation



PREMIUM TOOLS

ACCESS TO ALL THE PREMIUM TOOLS

To take future researches to the zenith, fellows receive access to almost all the premium tools that Global Journals have to offer along with the partnership with some of the best marketing leading tools out there.

Financial

CONFERENCES & EVENTS

ORGANIZE SEMINAR/CONFERENCE

Associates are authorized to organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). They can also participate in the same organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent. Additionally, they get free research conferences (and others) alerts.

Career

Credibility

Financial

EARLY INVITATIONS

EARLY INVITATIONS TO ALL THE SYMPOSIUMS, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES

All associates receive the early invitations to all the symposiums, seminars, conferences and webinars hosted by Global Journals in their subject.

Exclusive





PUBLISHING ARTICLES & BOOKS

EARN 60% OF SALES PROCEEDS

Associates can publish articles (limited) without any fees. Also, they can earn up to 30-40% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper

Exclusive

Financial

REVIEWERS

GET A REMUNERATION OF 15% OF AUTHOR FEES

Associate members are eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get a remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper.

Financial

AND MUCH MORE

GET ACCESS TO SCIENTIFIC MUSEUMS AND OBSERVATORIES ACROSS THE GLOBE

All members get access to 2 selected scientific museums and observatories across the globe. All researches published with Global Journals will be kept under deep archival facilities across regions for future protections and disaster recovery. They get 5 GB free secure cloud access for storing research files.



| ASSOCIATE | FELLOW | RESEARCH GROUP | BASIC |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>\$4800 lifetime designation</p> <p>Certificate, LoR and Momento 2 discounted publishing/year</p> <p>Gradation of Research 10 research contacts/day</p> <p>1 GB Cloud Storage</p> <p>GJ Community Access</p> | <p>\$6800 lifetime designation</p> <p>Certificate, LoR and Momento</p> <p>Unlimited discounted publishing/year</p> <p>Gradation of Research Unlimited research contacts/day</p> <p>5 GB Cloud Storage</p> <p>Online Presense Assistance</p> <p>GJ Community Access</p> | <p>\$12500.00 organizational</p> <p>Certificates, LoRs and Momentos</p> <p>Unlimited free publishing/year</p> <p>Gradation of Research Unlimited research contacts/day</p> <p>Unlimited Cloud Storage</p> <p>Online Presense Assistance</p> <p>GJ Community Access</p> | <p>APC per article</p> <p>GJ Community Access</p> |
| | | | |

PREFERRED AUTHOR GUIDELINES

We accept the manuscript submissions in any standard (generic) format.

We typeset manuscripts using advanced typesetting tools like Adobe In Design, CorelDraw, TeXnicCenter, and TeXStudio. We usually recommend authors submit their research using any standard format they are comfortable with, and let Global Journals do the rest.

Alternatively, you can download our basic template from <https://globaljournals.org/Template>

Authors should submit their complete paper/article, including text illustrations, graphics, conclusions, artwork, and tables. Authors who are not able to submit manuscript using the form above can email the manuscript department at submit@globaljournals.org or get in touch with chiefeditor@globaljournals.org if they wish to send the abstract before submission.

BEFORE AND DURING SUBMISSION

Authors must ensure the information provided during the submission of a paper is authentic. Please go through the following checklist before submitting:

1. Authors must go through the complete author guideline and understand and *agree to Global Journals' ethics and code of conduct*, along with author responsibilities.
2. Authors must accept the privacy policy, terms, and conditions of Global Journals.
3. Ensure corresponding author's email address and postal address are accurate and reachable.
4. Manuscript to be submitted must include keywords, an abstract, a paper title, co-author(s') names and details (email address, name, phone number, and institution), figures and illustrations in vector format including appropriate captions, tables, including titles and footnotes, a conclusion, results, acknowledgments and references.
5. Authors should submit paper in a ZIP archive if any supplementary files are required along with the paper.
6. Proper permissions must be acquired for the use of any copyrighted material.
7. Manuscript submitted *must not have been submitted or published elsewhere* and all authors must be aware of the submission.

Declaration of Conflicts of Interest

It is required for authors to declare all financial, institutional, and personal relationships with other individuals and organizations that could influence (bias) their research.

POLICY ON PLAGIARISM

Plagiarism is not acceptable in Global Journals submissions at all.

Plagiarized content will not be considered for publication. We reserve the right to inform authors' institutions about plagiarism detected either before or after publication. If plagiarism is identified, we will follow COPE guidelines:

Authors are solely responsible for all the plagiarism that is found. The author must not fabricate, falsify or plagiarize existing research data. The following, if copied, will be considered plagiarism:

- Words (language)
- Ideas
- Findings
- Writings
- Diagrams
- Graphs
- Illustrations
- Lectures



- Printed material
- Graphic representations
- Computer programs
- Electronic material
- Any other original work

AUTHORSHIP POLICIES

Global Journals follows the definition of authorship set up by the Open Association of Research Society, USA. According to its guidelines, authorship criteria must be based on:

1. Substantial contributions to the conception and acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of findings.
2. Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.
3. Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

Changes in Authorship

The corresponding author should mention the name and complete details of all co-authors during submission and in manuscript. We support addition, rearrangement, manipulation, and deletions in authors list till the early view publication of the journal. We expect that corresponding author will notify all co-authors of submission. We follow COPE guidelines for changes in authorship.

Copyright

During submission of the manuscript, the author is confirming an exclusive license agreement with Global Journals which gives Global Journals the authority to reproduce, reuse, and republish authors' research. We also believe in flexible copyright terms where copyright may remain with authors/employers/institutions as well. Contact your editor after acceptance to choose your copyright policy. You may follow this form for copyright transfers.

Appealing Decisions

Unless specified in the notification, the Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed before making the major change in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned in Acknowledgments. The source of funding for the research can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with their addresses.

Declaration of funding sources

Global Journals is in partnership with various universities, laboratories, and other institutions worldwide in the research domain. Authors are requested to disclose their source of funding during every stage of their research, such as making analysis, performing laboratory operations, computing data, and using institutional resources, from writing an article to its submission. This will also help authors to get reimbursements by requesting an open access publication letter from Global Journals and submitting to the respective funding source.

PREPARING YOUR MANUSCRIPT

Authors can submit papers and articles in an acceptable file format: MS Word (doc, docx), LaTeX (.tex, .zip or .rar including all of your files), Adobe PDF (.pdf), rich text format (.rtf), simple text document (.txt), Open Document Text (.odt), and Apple Pages (.pages). Our professional layout editors will format the entire paper according to our official guidelines. This is one of the highlights of publishing with Global Journals—authors should not be concerned about the formatting of their paper. Global Journals accepts articles and manuscripts in every major language, be it Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Portuguese, Russian, French, German, Dutch, Italian, Greek, or any other national language, but the title, subtitle, and abstract should be in English. This will facilitate indexing and the pre-peer review process.

The following is the official style and template developed for publication of a research paper. Authors are not required to follow this style during the submission of the paper. It is just for reference purposes.



Manuscript Style Instruction (Optional)

- Microsoft Word Document Setting Instructions.
- Font type of all text should be Swis721 Lt BT.
- Page size: 8.27" x 11", left margin: 0.65, right margin: 0.65, bottom margin: 0.75.
- Paper title should be in one column of font size 24.
- Author name in font size of 11 in one column.
- Abstract: font size 9 with the word "Abstract" in bold italics.
- Main text: font size 10 with two justified columns.
- Two columns with equal column width of 3.38 and spacing of 0.2.
- First character must be three lines drop-capped.
- The paragraph before spacing of 1 pt and after of 0 pt.
- Line spacing of 1 pt.
- Large images must be in one column.
- The names of first main headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman font, capital letters, and font size of 10.
- The names of second main headings (Heading 2) must not include numbers and must be in italics with a font size of 10.

Structure and Format of Manuscript

The recommended size of an original research paper is under 15,000 words and review papers under 7,000 words. Research articles should be less than 10,000 words. Research papers are usually longer than review papers. Review papers are reports of significant research (typically less than 7,000 words, including tables, figures, and references)

A research paper must include:

- a) A title which should be relevant to the theme of the paper.
- b) A summary, known as an abstract (less than 150 words), containing the major results and conclusions.
- c) Up to 10 keywords that precisely identify the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.
- d) An introduction, giving fundamental background objectives.
- e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition, sources of information must be given, and numerical methods must be specified by reference.
- f) Results which should be presented concisely by well-designed tables and figures.
- g) Suitable statistical data should also be given.
- h) All data must have been gathered with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage.

Design has been recognized to be essential to experiments for a considerable time, and the editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned unrefereed.

- i) Discussion should cover implications and consequences and not just recapitulate the results; conclusions should also be summarized.
- j) There should be brief acknowledgments.
- k) There ought to be references in the conventional format. Global Journals recommends APA format.

Authors should carefully consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate effectively. Papers are much more likely to be accepted if they are carefully designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and follow instructions. They will also be published with much fewer delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and suggestions to improve brevity.



FORMAT STRUCTURE

It is necessary that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals should include:

Title

The title page must carry an informative title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) where the work was carried out.

Author details

The full postal address of any related author(s) must be specified.

Abstract

The abstract is the foundation of the research paper. It should be clear and concise and must contain the objective of the paper and inferences drawn. It is advised to not include big mathematical equations or complicated jargon.

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or others. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. In turn, this will make it more likely to be viewed and cited in further works. Global Journals has compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Keywords

A major lynchpin of research work for the writing of research papers is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and internet resources. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining, and indexing.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy: planning of a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Choice of the main keywords is the first tool of writing a research paper. Research paper writing is an art. Keyword search should be as strategic as possible.

One should start brainstorming lists of potential keywords before even beginning searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in a research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.

It may take the discovery of only one important paper to steer in the right keyword direction because, in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.

Numerical Methods

Numerical methods used should be transparent and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Abbreviations

Authors must list all the abbreviations used in the paper at the end of the paper or in a separate table before using them.

Formulas and equations

Authors are advised to submit any mathematical equation using either MathJax, KaTeX, or LaTeX, or in a very high-quality image.

Tables, Figures, and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g., Table 4, a self-explanatory caption, and be on a separate sheet. Authors must submit tables in an editable format and not as images. References to these tables (if any) must be mentioned accurately.



Figures

Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always include a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g., Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in vector electronic form or by emailing it.

PREPARATION OF ELECTRONIC FIGURES FOR PUBLICATION

Although low-quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high-quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (possibly by e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/ photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Avoid using pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings). Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution at final image size ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs): >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.

Color charges: Authors are advised to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a Color Work Agreement form before your paper can be published. Also, you can email your editor to remove the color fee after acceptance of the paper.

TIPS FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY MEDICAL RESEARCH PAPER

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is selected by the interests of the author, but it can also be suggested by the guides. You can have several topics, and then judge which you are most comfortable with. This may be done by asking several questions of yourself, like "Will I be able to carry out a search in this area? Will I find all necessary resources to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area?" If the answer to this type of question is "yes," then you ought to choose that topic. In most cases, you may have to conduct surveys and visit several places. Also, you might have to do a lot of work to find all the rises and falls of the various data on that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information. Evaluators are human: The first thing to remember is that evaluators are also human beings. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So present your best aspect.

2. Think like evaluators: If you are in confusion or getting demotivated because your paper may not be accepted by the evaluators, then think, and try to evaluate your paper like an evaluator. Try to understand what an evaluator wants in your research paper, and you will automatically have your answer. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

3. Ask your guides: If you are having any difficulty with your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty with your guide (if you have one). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work, then ask your supervisor to help you with an alternative. He or she might also provide you with a list of essential readings.

4. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of medical research then this point is quite obvious. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable of judging good software, then you can lose the quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various programs available to help you which you can get through the internet.

5. Use the internet for help: An excellent start for your paper is using Google. It is a wondrous search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question of how to write your research paper or find a model research paper. You can download books from the internet. If you have all the required books, place importance on reading, selecting, and analyzing the specified information. Then sketch out your research paper. Use big pictures: You may use encyclopedias like Wikipedia to get pictures with the best resolution. At Global Journals, you should strictly follow here.



6. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right? It is a good habit which helps to not lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on the internet also, which will make your search easier.

7. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it, and then finalize it.

8. Make every effort: Make every effort to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in the introduction—what is the need for a particular research paper. Polish your work with good writing skills and always give an evaluator what he wants. Make backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making a research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either on your computer or on paper. This protects you from losing any portion of your important data.

9. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating a hodgepodge. So always try to include diagrams which were made by you to improve the readability of your paper. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history, or current affairs, then use of quotes becomes essential, but if the study is relevant to science, use of quotes is not preferable.

10. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense to present those events that have happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate events that will happen in the future. Use of wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid sentences that are incomplete.

11. Pick a good study spot: Always try to pick a spot for your research which is quiet. Not every spot is good for studying.

12. Know what you know: Always try to know what you know by making objectives, otherwise you will be confused and unable to achieve your target.

13. Use good grammar: Always use good grammar and words that will have a positive impact on the evaluator; use of good vocabulary does not mean using tough words which the evaluator has to find in a dictionary. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Do not ever use a big word when a smaller one would suffice.

Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. In a research paper, do not start sentences with conjunctions or finish them with prepositions. When writing formally, it is advisable to never split an infinitive because someone will (wrongly) complain. Avoid clichés like a disease. Always shun irritating alliteration. Use language which is simple and straightforward. Put together a neat summary.

14. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence, and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments for your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.


15. Never start at the last minute: Always allow enough time for research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

16. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time is a bad habit in the case of research activity. Research is an area where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work into parts, and do a particular part in a particular time slot.

17. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if the evaluator has seen it anywhere, you will be in trouble. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend on your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health, then all your efforts will have been in vain. For quality research, take proper rest and food.

18. Go to seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.

19. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give your mind a rest by listening to soft music or sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory. Acquire colleagues: Always try to acquire colleagues. No matter how sharp you are, if you acquire colleagues, they can give you ideas which will be helpful to your research.



20. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, search for its reasons, benefits, and demerits. Think and then print: When you go to print your paper, check that tables are not split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

21. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information like "I have used MS Excel to draw graphs." Irrelevant and inappropriate material is superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should never take a broad view. Analogy is like feathers on a snake. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Never oversimplify: When adding material to your research paper, never go for oversimplification; this will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be specific. Never use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions shouldn't be used in a research paper. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands, abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas that are not necessary. Parenthetical words should be between brackets or commas. Understatement is always the best way to put forward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

22. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results, and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. An appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibited here. Proofread carefully at the final stage. At the end, give an outline to your arguments. Spot perspectives of further study of the subject. Justify your conclusion at the bottom sufficiently, which will probably include examples.

23. Upon conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium through which your research is going to be in print for the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects of your research.

INFORMAL GUIDELINES OF RESEARCH PAPER WRITING

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criteria peer reviewers will use for grading the final paper.

Final points:

One purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people interpret your efforts selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, with each section starting on a new page:

The introduction: This will be compiled from reference material and reflect the design processes or outline of basis that directed you to make a study. As you carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed like that. The results segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and direct reviewers to similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you gathered to carry out your study.

The discussion section:

This will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implications of the results. The use of good quality references throughout the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness to prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job, no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record-keeping are the only means to make straightforward progression.

General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear: Adhere to recommended page limits.



Mistakes to avoid:

- Insertion of a title at the foot of a page with subsequent text on the next page.
- Separating a table, chart, or figure—confine each to a single page.
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence.
- In every section of your document, use standard writing style, including articles ("a" and "the").
- Keep paying attention to the topic of the paper.
- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding the abstract).
- Align the primary line of each section.
- Present your points in sound order.
- Use present tense to report well-accepted matters.
- Use past tense to describe specific results.
- Do not use familiar wording; don't address the reviewer directly. Don't use slang or superlatives.
- Avoid use of extra pictures—include only those figures essential to presenting results.

Title page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short and include the name(s) and address(es) of all authors. It should not have acronyms or abbreviations or exceed two printed lines.

Abstract: This summary should be two hundred words or less. It should clearly and briefly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript and must have precise statistics. It should not have acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Do not cite references at this point.

An abstract is a brief, distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less, a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approaches to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Use comprehensive sentences, and do not sacrifice readability for brevity; you can maintain it succinctly by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than a lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to limit the initial two items to no more than one line each.

Reason for writing the article—theory, overall issue, purpose.

- Fundamental goal.
- To-the-point depiction of the research.
- Consequences, including definite statistics—if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account for this; results of any numerical analysis should be reported. Significant conclusions or questions that emerge from the research.

Approach:

- Single section and succinct.
- An outline of the job done is always written in past tense.
- Concentrate on shortening results—limit background information to a verdict or two.
- Exact spelling, clarity of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else.

Introduction:

The introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable of comprehending and calculating the purpose of your study without having to refer to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give the most important references, but avoid making a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. Describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will give no attention to your results. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here.



The following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study.
- Defend the model—why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? Remark upon its appropriateness from an abstract point of view as well as pointing out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. State your particular theory(-ies) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Briefly explain the study's tentative purpose and how it meets the declared objectives.

Approach:

Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done. Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point for every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need at least four paragraphs. Present surrounding information only when it is necessary to support a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read everything you know about a topic. Shape the theory specifically—do not take a broad view.

As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity, and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (methods and materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A soundly written procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replicate your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order, but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt to give the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to replicate your outcome, but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section.

When a technique is used that has been well-described in another section, mention the specific item describing the way, but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to show all particular resources and broad procedures so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step-by-step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

Materials may be reported in part of a section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method and not the particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology.
- Describe the method entirely.
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures.
- Simplify—detail how procedures were completed, not how they were performed on a particular day.
- If well-known procedures were used, account for the procedure by name, possibly with a reference, and that's all.

Approach:

It is embarrassing to use vigorous voice when documenting methods without using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result, when writing up the methods, most authors use third person passive voice.

Use standard style in this and every other part of the paper—avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from:

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings—save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.



Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part as entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Use statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.

You must clearly differentiate material which would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matters should not be submitted at all except if requested by the instructor.

Content:

- Sum up your conclusions in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In the manuscript, explain each of your consequences, and point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation of an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and give remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or manuscript.

What to stay away from:

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surrounding information, or try to explain anything.
- Do not include raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present similar data more than once.
- A manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate information.
- Never confuse figures with tables—there is a difference.

Approach:

As always, use past tense when you submit your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.

Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report.

If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results section.

Figures and tables:

If you put figures and tables at the end of some details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attached appendix materials, such as raw facts. Whatever the position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other, and include a heading. All figures and tables must be divided from the text.

Discussion:

The discussion is expected to be the trickiest segment to write. A lot of papers submitted to the journal are discarded based on problems with the discussion. There is no rule for how long an argument should be.

Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implications of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and support all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of results should be fully described.

Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact, you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved the prospect, and let it drop at that. Make a decision as to whether each premise is supported or discarded or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."



Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work.

- You may propose future guidelines, such as how an experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details of all of your remarks as much as possible, focusing on mechanisms.
- Make a decision as to whether the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory and whether or not it was correctly restricted. Try to present substitute explanations if they are sensible alternatives.
- One piece of research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind. Where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from other available information. Present work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.

Describe generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.

THE ADMINISTRATION RULES

Administration Rules to Be Strictly Followed before Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc.

Please read the following rules and regulations carefully before submitting your research paper to Global Journals Inc. to avoid rejection.

Segment draft and final research paper: You have to strictly follow the template of a research paper, failing which your paper may get rejected. You are expected to write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The peer reviewers need to identify your own perspective of the concepts in your own terms. Please do not extract straight from any other source, and do not rephrase someone else's analysis. Do not allow anyone else to proofread your manuscript.

Written material: You may discuss this with your guides and key sources. Do not copy anyone else's paper, even if this is only imitation, otherwise it will be rejected on the grounds of plagiarism, which is illegal. Various methods to avoid plagiarism are strictly applied by us to every paper, and, if found guilty, you may be blacklisted, which could affect your career adversely. To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use, please do not permit anyone to use or even read your paper and file.



CRITERION FOR GRADING A RESEARCH PAPER (COMPILED)
BY GLOBAL JOURNALS

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals.

| Topics | Grades | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | A-B | C-D | E-F |
| Abstract | Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below | Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form Above 200 words | No specific data with ambiguous information Above 250 words |
| Introduction | Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited | Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter | Out of place depth and content, hazy format |
| Methods and Procedures | Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads | Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed | Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning |
| Result | Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake | Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend | Irregular format with wrong facts and figures |
| Discussion | Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited | Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious | Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend |
| References | Complete and correct format, well organized | Beside the point, Incomplete | Wrong format and structuring |

INDEX

A

Afferents · 3

V

Vestibular · 1, 3, 7, 8

C

Camouflage · 28

D

Deciduous · 10, 14, 16
Delinquent · 19, 20, 23, 25
Dexterity · 16

E

Enumeration · 28
Erupting · 13, 16

F

Fatalistic · 16

I

Inclinations · 28

M

Mandible · 28, 29, 30

P

Panoramic · 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34
Precipitated · 1

S

Segregation · 19, 20, 23



save our planet



Global Journal of Medical Research

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.MedicalResearchJournal.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org

ISSN 9755896



© Global Journals