Strengthening of the Permeability of Sandy Soil by Different Grouting Materials for Seepage Reduction

By Mohamed A. Abd El-Latief, Mohamed B. Ashour & Ayman C. El-Tahrany

Abstract- Grouting is an effective way to improve the engineering properties of the soil to reduce soil permeability. In this research, an attempt has been made to study on effectiveness of grouting materials on seepage reduction. The purpose of this paper is to focus on the efficiency of current available grouting materials and techniques from construction, environmental and economical point of view. The seepage reduction usually accomplished by either chemical grouting or cementious grouting using ultrafine cement. In addition, the study shows a comparison between using grouting materials according to their degree of permeability reduction and cost. The comparison is made based on achieving a permeability reduction up to 10-7 m/Sec. The application of seepage reduction is based on the permeation grouting using grout curtain installation. The computer program (SEEP/W) is employed to model of dam rested on the sandy soil, using grout curtain to reduce seepage quantity and hydraulic gradient by different grouting materials. This analysis focuses on defining the best material for seepage control from the economical, installation, environmental effect safety interest.

Keywords: seepage, grouting materials, grout curtain, dam, seep/w.

GJRE-E Classification : FOR Code: 090503

STRENGTHENING OFTHEPERMEABILITY OFSANDYSOIL BYDIFFERENTGROUTING MATERIALSFORSEEPAGE REDUCTION

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :

Strengthening of the Permeability of Sandy Soil by Different Grouting Materials for Seepage Reduction

Mohamed A. Abd El-Latief [α], Mohamed B. Ashour [σ] & Ayman C. El-Tahrany [ρ]

Abstract- Grouting is an effective way to improve the engineering properties of the soil to reduce soil permeability. In this research, an attempt has been made to study on effectiveness of grouting materials on seepage reduction. The purpose of this paper is to focus on the efficiency of current available grouting materials and techniques from construction, environmental and economical point of view. The seepage reduction usually accomplished by either chemical grouting or cementious grouting using ultrafine cement. In addition, the study shows a comparison between using grouting materials according to their degree of permeability reduction and cost. The comparison is made based on achieving a permeability reduction up to 10-7 m/Sec. The application of seepage reduction is based on the permeation grouting using grout curtain installation. The computer program (SEEP/W) is employed to model of dam rested on the sandy soil, using grout curtain to reduce seepage quantity and hydraulic gradient by different grouting materials. This analysis focuses on defining the best material for seepage control from the economical, installation, environmental effect safety interest.

Keywords: seepage, grouting materials, grout curtain, dam, SEEP/W

I. Introduction

Grouting is defined as the procedure of filling or injecting fluid with pressure into the soil, generally via boreholes [18]. The purpose of injecting a grout is to decrease permeability of the soil and to increase the shear strength of the foundation soil. Grouting materials used for filling the voids existing in the soil to reduce permeability of soil [4]. Two classes of grouting materials are classified for seepage reduction: i) suspension-type grouts, ii) solutions-type grouts. The suspension-type grouts include clay and cement, while solutions-type grouts include a wide variety of chemicals such as acrylamide, N-Methaloacrylamide, acrylate and colloidal silica [9].

Grouting is a technique to inject various types of grout into the ground at a deliberately controlled pressure and flow rate [10]. The grout is based on cement, silicate, or other materials, selected to suit particular ground conditions and improvement objectives. The grout fills in voids and cracks of the ground and permeates into soil pores to produce a solidified soil-grout mass [2]. The grouting is often applied to reduce permeability of soil underneath existing hydraulic structures, such as dams, regulators and others [4].

Permeation grouting for stabilization of fine sand is the longest-established and the most widely used grouting technique to seepage reduction. It involves the filling of the pore space of soils. The objective is to fill a void space without displacement of the formation or any change in the void configuration or volume [17]. In this paper, a comparison between the grouting materials for seepage reduction is presented and defining the grouting material that is environmentally friendly and more cost-effective.

II. Grouting Material For Seepage Reduction

In order to choose a grout type, several properties of grout should be concerned, such as rheology, setting time, toxicity, strength of grout and grouted soil, stability or permanence of the grout and grouted soil and the penetrability of the grouted soil [12]. Moreover, spreading of the grout plays an important role in the development of grouting technology. In the actual filed, the grouting method requires an extensive consideration on the grout hole equipment, distance between boreholes, length of injection passes, number of grouting phases, grouting pressure and pumping rate [14].

a) Suspension -Based Fine Grouts

i. Cement grout

In this type of grouting materials, the micro fine cement is used to permeate between the soil particles. It has an average particle size of 3 to 4 microns [3]. The cement grout decreases the permeability about 5 orders of magnitude as the cement - water mix between (0.5 – 5). Cement grouts are the least expensive type, it costs about $1 to $2 per liter of mixed grout [5]. The typical published values of permeability are listed in Table (1). The cement grout would cost about $100 to $ 200 per cubic meter of treated soil [1].

ii. Cement- bentonite grout

In this type of grouting materials, the bentonite is used with the micro fine cement to reduce the cost of

Author α σ ρ: Faculty of Engineering/Civil Engineering, Mansoura, Egypt.
e-mails: besttime703@yahoo.con, btime133@yahoo.com

the grout materials [5]. The permeability of the soil decreases by increasing the percentage of the bentonite [7]. It is a highly porous solid with a low permeability that lies somewhere in the cement and bentonite range, from 1x10-7 to 1x10-11 m/Sec. Typical published values of permeability are listed in Table (1). The cement-bentonite grout decreases the permeability about 3-4 orders of magnitude. The cement- bentonite grout would cost about $65 to $ 75 per cubic meter of treated soil [1].

iii. Clay (bentonite) grout

This grout is used to reduce the cost and also is used to reduce the permeability by increasing the percentage of clay [14]. The permeability of the soil is reduced about 3-4 order of the magnitude based on the clay concentration. Typical published values of permeability are listed in Table (1). High – clay grout is mixed into two stages. The first stage includes making a clay water slurry. The second stage of grout includes adding a binder material such as cement. Clay grout would cost about $35 to $ 45 per cubic meter of treated soil [1].

Table 1 : k of grouted soil (suspension grout) [1&4]

Grout Type	Characteristics	k (m/sec)
Neat cement	w/c ratio = 0.5 to 5.0	10^{-7} to 10^{-9}
Cement-bentonite	w : c : b = 4 : 1 : 1	10^{-8} to 10^{-10}
Bentonite slurry	20 % solids	10^{-7} to 10^{-10}

b) Chemical (Solution Grouts)

i. Acrylamide grout

Grouters inject acrylamide to reduce the permeability. It has a viscosity and density similar to water. Acrylamide is considered to be permeant. The acrylamide grout decreases the permeability about 6-8 orders of magnitude [2]. A minimum of 10 % acrylamide solution is needed to assume a good gel. The World Health Organization considers acrylamide to be a neurotoxin and a potential carcinogen [9]. The cost of acrylamide grout is about $500 per cubic meter of treated grout [1].

ii. NMA grout

used to reduce the permeability. N-Methaloacrylamide is not a toxin. So, NMA is better in use than acrylamide grout where drinking water is found. The reduction of permeability is similar to acrylamide about 6-8 orders of magnitude [13]. The cost of N-Methaloarylamide grout is about $550 per cubic meter of treated grout [1].

iii. Acrylate grout

In this type of grouting materials, the acrylate grout is used to reduce the permeability of soil. Acrylate gel is used as a less toxic material. It has a high viscosity. Turner 1998 reported the acrylate grout reduce the permeability about 1-3 orders of magnitude [14]. The acrylate grout would cost about $325 per cubic meter of treated soil [1].

c) Colloidal silica grout (CSG)

comprises a mixture of sodium silicate and reagent solution, which change in viscosity overtime to produce a gel. Reagent solution is organic or inorganic materials [15]. CSG has a low viscosity. Yone-kura and Miwa reported the permeability of the soil is reduced about 4-5 order of the magnitude based on the concentration of colloidal silica [19]. Perself 1997 made tests to determine the hydraulic conductivity of sand grouted by silica gel, it was found the hydraulic conductivity is decreased by increasing concentration of colloidal silica in the grout [11]. Colloidal silica grout would cost about $60 to $ 180 per cubic meter of treated soil [1].

Table 2 : k of grouted soil (chemical grout) [4 &18]

Grout Type	Characteristics	k (m/sec)
Acrylamide grout	Toxic grout	10^{-12}
NMA grout	Non toxic	10^{-12}
Acrylate grout	Less toxic	10^{-5}
Colloidal silica grout	Non toxic	10^{-9} to 10^{-11}

Finally, figure (1) explain the maximum permeability of the soil after injecting by the grouting materials [6].

i. Grouting Techniques

The soil improvement techniques are effective for each of the allowed or required disturbance of existing structures. The following methods, which imply a low level of vibration, are useful to improve soil strengthening and reduce the permeability [16]:

- Compacting grouting
- Permeation grouting
- Jet grouting
- Hydro fracture grouting.

Figure 1 : Maximum Hydraulic conductivity can be achieved after injecting a sand soil by grout materials [6]

ii. Permeation grouting for seepage reduction

Permeation grouting includes the injection of a low-viscosity fluid in the soil pores without changes in the soil physical structure. The main goal of permeation grouting is both to strengthen soil and to waterproof ground by filling its pores with injected fluid [17]. This method improves the soil physical and mechanical characteristics, stabilizes the excavation walls in soft soils and controls the groundwater migration [12]. As a results can be implemented the underpinnings beneath the existing foundations. Cementious grouts are generally used for medium to coarse grained sand. Chemical grouts are used in formations with smaller pore spaces, but are limited to soils coarser than fine grained sands. The process of permeation grouting is schematically shown in Figure (2) [18]. The quality control during permeation grouting is very important to ascertain the effectiveness of the technique.


Figure 2 : The process of permeation grouting

iii. Effect of repeated cyclic loading

To understand the performance of grouted sand under cyclic loads, a complete record of the changes in the stress strain characteristics is required. The major properties of concern are the variation of cyclic strain and damping ratio with the number of cycles at different stress levels [4]. The grouted area is affected by the repeated cyclic loading based on the grouting material used. The suspension grouting is fragile, on the other hand, the chemical grouting is soft and flexible material. It is here known as the impact of the repeated load on fragile material. The effect of cyclic loading can damage a fragile material, but the soft material can withstand against these load [4].

iv. Depth of Grout Curtain and Hole Pattern

The purpose of curtain grouting is water seepage control, the grout holes are arranged in a series of rows to form a curtain approximately perpendicular to the direction of seepage [18]. The depth of the holes is dependent on design considerations as well as the depth of the soil and the head at upstream. For permeation grouting 38mm probe diameter is the most common in use. Curtain grouting, which can be single-row or multiple row of curtain. Single-row of curtain grouting is drilled as a widely spaced system of primary holes, subsequently followed by secondary and tertiary holes at a progressively smaller spacing. The initial spacing (of primary holes) usually varies between 6 m to 12 m based on the geological conditions and an experience [8]. The standard positions for grouting curtains are at the upstream of the dam to reduce the seepage and uplift pressure [8].

v. Grout estimation

The depth of a curtain is determined by considerations of the seepage characteristics of the foundation. The depth of the curtain is established by empirical procedures. So the depth equal to 0.5 H to 1.5 H or to reach the impervious layer. The hole spacing relates to the grouting rate to be used, the permeability of ground to be treated, and the allowable grouting pressure. There are mainly three different types of grout hole patterns used for grouting works [13]. These types are called the random spacing, the fixed spacing and split spacing. Houlsby (1990) proposed another way to construct the grout curtain figure (3) [8]. It is based on three stages of holes (primary, secondary and tertiary) each of them has a different depth, and if necessary, quaternary and quandary holes can also be drilled. The primary spacing used is 12.0 m in most of cases, but can also be less (6.0 m minimum) to reduce the permeability to satisfactory level [16].


Figure 3 : Design of the grout curtain

vi. *Grout estimation*

The quantity of grout which is used for a particular application depends on the thoroughness required of the work and the volume of the pore void system of that particular soil to be improved. The volume of the voids can vary greatly at a given density, depending on both the shape of the grains and their moisture content [18]. Understanding the soil porosity is a fundamental to determine the amount of grout that will be required to treat a given volume of soil. To make a serious estimation of grouting materials, it requires a geological study to evaluate the void content and the design of the grout curtain [18]. The volume of grouting materials is given by the following formula (Henn 1996) [6].

$$Vg = Vz \,(\eta F) \,(1+L) \qquad (1)$$

Where, Vg = Volume of grout intake, VZ = Volume of grouted soil, η = Porosity of soil. F = Factor of filling (0.85 to 1.0), L = Loss Factor (0.05 to 0.15). Where, each of grout loss and Void filling factor depend on the properties of the grouted materials. Another method for estimating the quantity of grouting materials depend on the porosity of soil. The expected volume of required material depends on Casagrande formula:

$$K= 1.40 \, e2 \, K0.85 \qquad (2)$$

$$Vg = \eta \text{ net } Vz \qquad (3)$$

Where, K = the permeability of the soil, VZ = Volume of grouted soil, e = Void ratio, ηnet = the net porosity of soil.

III. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE GROUTING MATERIALS FOR SEEPAGE REDUCTION

In order to choose the best grout type, several properties of grout should be concerned, such as rheology, setting time, toxicity, strength of grout and grouted soil, stability or permanence of the grout, the penetrability , water tightness of the grouted soil and the cost of each material [12]. Now, the comparison between the grouting materials are used to seepage reduction will be explained based on references and pervious experiments according to Gel time, PH, viscosity, and grouting techniques for seepage reduction Figure (4:7).


*Figure 4 :* PH for grouting mix [9]

Figure 5 : gel time for grouting mix

Figure 6 : viscosity of grouting mix

Permeation Grout	Jet Grout
Used for seepage control(cementious & Chemical grout)	Used for strength of soil and seepage control(cementious grout) not used for seepage control
Compaction Grout	Fracture Grout
Used for strength of soil but not seepage control (cementious & Chemical grout)	Used for strength of soil but not seepage control (cementious & Chemical grout)

Figure 7 : grouting techniques for seepage control

IV. Estimation of Grouting Materials (volume & cost)

a) Case of Study (Seepage Control under a Dam)

This case of study as shown in Figure (8), the dam rests on the sandy soil with depth 14.0 m and followed by impervious layer. The dam is 18.0 m long, 18.0 m wide and 1.5m buried from the foundation. 6.0 m the head at upstream of the dam.


Figure 8 : Geometry Model for 2D SEEP/W Analysis

b) Grout curtain used in the case of study

The curtain effectiveness may be increased by using multiple grout lines. In curtain grouting the purpose is impermeance, the grout holes are arranged in a series of lines to form a grout curtain approximately perpendicular to the direction of seepage with length 24.0m at upstream of the dam. In this type of curtains, it is usual to drill a widely spaced system of primary holes, subsequently followed by secondary and tertiary holes at a progressively smaller spacing. The initial spacing of primary holes starting with 6.0 m based on the grouting materials to achieve the best design for seepage reduction. In our case of study, two rows of the grout curtain are used to define the cost of each material based on the quantity of grout injection and installation as shown in figure (9).


Figure 9 : Layout of holes

c) Grout quantities

An initial estimate of the volume of required grout depends on the treated zone and permeability of the soil. The required grout quantities can be twenty percent or more of the total treated zone. This is represented by the mean net grout intake. The expected total grout quantities should be predicted. The target grout volumes should be established and assigned to the primary, secondary, tertiary and quandary grout holes. Larger target quantities are usually specified for the primary and secondary holes, and reduced quantities anticipated for the tertiary and quaternary holes.

d) Criteria of Grout injection

The pressure, which is measured at the entry of a grout hole, is always higher than the overburden pressure at the level of injection. For good grouting result, it is important to terminate grouting according to grouting pressure, not grouting volume [9]. In the following, a typical grouting termination criterion commonly put in the particular specification is quoted for reference. Grouting shall be stopped if one of the following criteria is met:

- Grouting pressure exceeds 5 kg / cm2 or twice the effective overburden pressure, whichever is greater.

- Intake of grout reaches 100 liters per meter of the grouting section.

The grout intake criteria are usually depends on the maximum pressure. Injection pressure criteria have generally been set relative to the vertical overburden pressure. Available injection pressure equals five times of overburden pressure (European code). In permeation grout, the injection rate for suspension grout is 6 L/min, while the chemical grouting is 8 L/min [1].

Case (1) estimating the Total quantity of each material

The cost of the grouting process depends on the true estimation of grouting intake and the grouting technique. According to the case of study, the expected volume of grouted soil and grouting materials depends on the permeation technique for grout curtain

installation. For our case study, the grout curtain is install at the upstream of the dam. The split hole is the best choice for seepage control and the two rows of the hole can achieve the seepage control to a satisfactory level. In addition to the injection pressure, which was mentioned previously. The expected volume of grouted soil equal 108.06 m3 as shown in the figure (10).


Figure 10 : the grout volume for hole of grout curtain

Based on the volume of grouted soil, the volume of grouting materials can be calculated according to (Henn 1996) equation (1) and presented in table (3) [6]. Figure (11) shows the comparison between the grouting materials by the total cost of grouting materials with permeation grout installation. Where the cost of permeation grout for suspension grouts is about $ 130 per meter of grouted soil, while the chemical grouts is about $ 200 per meter of grouted soil [1].

Table 3 : the expected volume of grouting materials (Henn1996)

Material type	Void filling factor %	Grout loss factor %	Total quantity
Water-cement	90	5	35.74
Cement- bentonite	85	5	33.75
Clay grout	85	10	35.36
Acrylamide Grout	95	15	41.32
NMA Grout	95	15	41.32
Acrylate Grout	85	10	35.36
Colloidal silica	90	10	37.44

Figure 11 : Total cost for each grouting material

Case (2) estimation the grouting materials to achieve the permeability 10-7 m/Sec

Also, Based on the data of the model and figure (1), the required volume of each grouting material can be calculated to reduce the permeability of soil from 10-4 m/sec to 10-7 m/sec as shown in table (4). To achieve this permeability of soil, it depends on the porosity of the soil before and after grouting process. The porosity of the model for the case study is 35 % at the permeability of soil 10-4 m/sec. From Casagrande formula can calculate the new porosity at the permeability 10-7 m/sec. Finally, the new porosity is 1.65 %. In addition to calculating time of injection for each grout material as presented in figure (12).

V. SOIL MODELING OF GROUTING MATERIALS

The most important soil property used in seepage analysis is the hydraulic conductivity. In a saturated soil, all the voids are filled with water, and the volumetric water content is equal to the porosity of the soil. All data used in the model mentioned in the table (5) and table (6) summarizes the permeabilities used in the seepage analysis.

Table 4 : Calculation of grouting materials based on Casagrande formula [17]

Material type	The permeability reduced	The volume of required materials to achieve 1 % of porosity m³	Total quantity required
Water-cement	1×10^{-09}	1.02	34.21
Cement-bentonite	4×10^{-08}	0.994	33.15
Clay grout	3.4×10^{-07}	1.03	34.68
Acrylamide	1×10^{-12}	1.18	39.38
NMA Grout	1×10^{-12}	1.18	39.38
Acrylate	1×10^{-5}	Cannot reach	——
Colloidal silica	2×10^{-09}	1.07	35.92

Figure 12 : Time of injection for each grout material

Table 5 : Property of Soils

Parameter	Name	Unit	Soil
Material model	Model	-	Sandy soil
Type of material behavior	Type	-	Drained
Soil unit weight	γsat	KN/m³	15
Permeability	K	M/s	.0001
Young's Modulus	E	KN/m²	20000
Void ratio	e	-	.53
Poisson's ratio	ν	-	0.3
Porosity	n	%	35
Cohesion	c	-	0
Friction angle	Φ	-	35

Table 6: Permeability of Materials Used in Seepage Analysis

Material	Permeability (m/s)	Description
Sand	1×10^{-4}	Sandy Soil
Neat cement	1×10^{-9}	Grout curtain
Cement/bentonite	4×10^{-8}	Grout curtain
Bentonite slurry	3.4×10^{-7}	Grout curtain
Acrylamide	1×10^{-12}	Grout curtain
NMA grout	1×10^{-12}	Grout curtain
Acrylate grout	1×10^{-5}	Grout curtain
Colloidal silica	2×10^{-9}	Grout curtain

Case (3) changes in the depth of curtain grout

This case shows the seepage analysis to assign the seepage quantity under the dam based on the change of curtain depth and different grouting materials where the curtain grout equals (50 cm).

Case (4) changes in the width of curtain grout

The width of curtain grout depends on the number of lines. So based on seepage analysis and different grouting materials, the effect of the number of the row of the grout curtain can be defined. In the case of study, the depth of grout curtain is 9.0 m and the width of the of grout curtain changes.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Case (3): change in the depth of curtain grout

The result of the seepage analysis of the modeled dam (Cement - Bentonite and acrylamide grout), shows a seepage quantity under the dam based on the change of curtain depth and different grouting materials for the seepage reduction as shown in Figures (13).

In addition to the uplift pressure under the dam that can be extracted from the seepage analysis, there is only one type of the suspension grout (cement bentonite grout) as shown in figure (14).

UPLIFT PRESSURE

A) depth = 3.0m

UPLIFT PRESSURE

B) Depth = 6.0 m

UPLIFT PRESSURE

C) Depth = 9.0 m

**UPLIFT PRESSURE**

D) Depth = 14.0 m

Figure 14 : A, B, C, D Uplift pressure under the base of the dam (cement bentonite grout)

Case (4): Change in the width of curtain grout: The width of curtain grout depends on the number of lines either single or multiple row. In this case of study, the depth is constant (1.5H = 9.0m) and width of the grout curtain equal (0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5m). Figure (15) shows the effect of changing width for the cementious grouting on seepage control. Also, figure (16) shows the effect of changing width for the chemical grouting on seepage control.

a)

**Cement grout**

b)

Cement bentonite grout

c)

Clay grout

Figure 15 : a, b, c the Result of width effect of suspension grout where (D = 1.5H & width = 1, 2, 3 rows

d)

Acrylamide grout

e)

Acrylate grout


f)

Colloidal silica grout

Figure 16 : d, e, f the Result of width effect of chemical grout where (D = 1.5H & width = 1, 2, 3 rows

VII. Conclusion

The efficiency of grouting depends mainly upon the penetration of grouting material through the pores of sand and the percent of fine particles in the sand. Based on the case of study and references can extract the following:

1) In acrylamide grout, creep can occur nearly 20 % so, the use of acrylamide grouts should be limited to seepage reduction.

2) NMA grout will be stable, but it absorbs water up to 200 % of its original volume, so the use of these grout should be limited to seepage reduction because of swelling.

3) Under repeated cyclic loading, chemical grouting is better in use than the cementious grouting because of its fragile behavior. The destruction of bond for chemical grouting would be partial, while the destruction of bond for cementious would be full.

4) In our case of study, the acrylamide grout can reduce the permeability up to 40 % at one row of curtain grout and the exit gradient up to zero. But this grout is more expensive and toxic.

Can be recommended, the best type of grouting materials in Egypt is a cement- bentonite grout for seepage reduction. Cement - bentonite grout can be excellent grout, available alternative material and it also lee expensive than the other materials.

References Références Referencias

1. "AV-100 Chemical Grout (Powder Blend)." Avantigrout.com, 2014 <http://www.avantigrout.com/100PWsum.html>.

2. Bell, F. G., (1975), "Methods of Treatment of Unstable Ground", London, Newness-Butterworths.

3. Donald A. Bruce, Member, G. Sturat Little John, 1999, "grouting materials for ground treatment", a practitioner's Guide.

4. Dr. A.V. Shroff, 2009 "Development in Design & Execution in Grouting Practice", 31st Annual Lecture, M. S. University, Baroda, India.

5. Dr. Raymond Henn, 2008 "Ultrafine cement primer (Part A)", principle with Lyman Henn, Inc. in Denver, Colorado.

6. Henn, R., 1996 "Practical guide to grouting of underground structures", Thomas Telford.

7. H. G. Ozgurel, and C. Vipulanandan, 2010," Effect of Fines Content on the Mechanical Behavior and Groutability of Acrylamide Grouted Sands "Center for Innovative Grouting Materials and Technology (CIGMAT), University of Houston, Houston.

8. Houlsby A.C, 1990, "Construction and design of cement grouting", John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

9. Karol, R.H., 1982, "Seepage Control with Chemical Grout. Conference Proceedings on Grouting in Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, pp 564-575.

10. Nonveiller E, 1989, "Grouting Theory and Practice", Elsevier Science Publication Company, Inc., New York, US.
11. Persoff, P. Moridis, G.J. Apps, J.A., and Whang, J.M. Effect of dilution and contaminants on strength and hydraulic conductivity of sand and soil grouted with colloidal silica gel, manuscript in preparation, 1997.
12. Rawlings CG, Hellawell EE, Kilkenny WM (2000). "Grouting for ground engineering", CIRIA C 514, ISBN 0 86017 514 6.
13. Shroff AV, Shah DL, 1999, "Grouting Technology in Tunnelling and Dam Construction", (2nd edition), A.A Balkema Publishers.
14. Turner, 1998, "Review of grout material properties and methods for micropiles", DEW/MJT/dj/ P3335/A000.
15. US Army Corps of Engineers (1995). "Chemical Grouting", Manual No. 1110-1-3500, Washington, DC, USA.
16. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, 1970 & 2004 " GROUTING METHODS AND EQUIPMENT ", UFC 3-220-06, Washington.
17. US Army Corps of Engineers (1993). "SEEPAGE ANALYSIS AND CONTROL FOR DAMS", Manual No. 1110-1-3500, Washington, DC, USA.
18. Warner, J, (2004). "Practical handbook of grouting – Soil, rock and structures. "John Wiley and Sons Inc., New Jersey.
19. Yonekura R. and Miwa, M. Fundamental properties of Sodium Silicate Based Grout. Eleventh South East Asian Geotechnical Conference , Singapore, May 4-8,1993.