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1. Introduction

Japan aims to have 40 million foreign visitors by 2020 and 60 million by 2030 [14]. Today, a majority of foreign visitors to Japan primarily travel to the Tokyo metropolitan region and the Osaka metropolitan region. However, Japan is actively working to encourage foreign visitors to travel to other regions the government hopes that increasing tourism to non-metropolitan regions will revitalize these regions’ economies. Because international low-cost air travel encourages consumers living in neighboring Asian countries to visit Japan, Japan might optimize tourist consumption by encouraging low-cost airlines to increase services to particular regions, in addition to marketing different regions to tourists and determining the efforts that domestic regions may have to undertake to effectively accept foreign visitors.

As I have already suggested, an increase in the number of foreign visitors to a region such as that surrounding an international airport can potentially revitalize that region’s economy. It is, therefore, helpful to leave the decision of whether to service international air routes to each individual airport, as well as to actively involve the communities using the airport, in such decisions. If the number of foreign visitors is increased by the attraction of international air routes, the effect can be felt clearly in areas close to the airport but not in areas away from the airport. To attract international airlines, a region must encourage foreign visitors to travel to it. Therefore, it is important to identify the beneficiary area of any potential international airline route and to jointly implement it while sharing its strategy.

Much scholarly work has gone into how an increase in the number of foreign visitors to a region impacts that region’s economy [1], [15], [12]. Because countries with secondary traffic (e.g., high-speed railways and highways) enable foreign visitors to travel to multiple regions, it is important to understand the details of the consumer behavior of tourists during these trips to get a better sense of the impacts that different kinds of transportation may have on regional economies. As I discuss later, studies in traffic planning introduce a tourist flow model that analyzes the passenger flow of tourists from a macroscopic perspective. In addition, the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism has published an OD table that reports the passenger flow of foreign visitors. These data can be used to develop a tourist consumption model for foreign visitors that makes visible the consumer behavior of tourists during round trips to Japan.

This study presents a tourist consumption model that uses the Ministry’s foreign visitors’ passenger flow table. It seeks to develop a method by which to identify the impact of international airline services on tourist consumption in different areas. Section 2 situates this study in relation to existing research. Section 3 proposes a tourist consumption model using the OD table of foreign visitors. Section 4 describes the results of developing a tourist consumption model for tourists from China. Section 5 describes the results of examining the estimation accuracy of the model, using the statistics made available by “Accommodation Travel Statistics.” Section 6 describes the results of specifying the beneficiary area by the operation of low-cost air routes from China. Last, Section 7 summarizes the results of this research and articulates future areas of study.
II. Related Research

a) Behavioral survey of foreign visitors

Recent years have seen much scholarly work on the behavior of the growing numbers of foreign visitors to Japan. Two examples include the “Survey on foreign consumption trends in Japan,” conducted by the Japan Tourism Agency [4], and the “Flow of Foreigners-Data” (FF-data) in Japan, conducted by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport [5]. FF-data provides a passenger flow database of foreign visitors in Japan that makes clear the passenger flow of foreign visitors from a site of departure to a destination, including flow from entry airport to first destination and flow from final departure site to departure airport. For our purposes, it is important to note that FF-data does not make clear the region that will experience an increase in tourist consumption owing to an increase in the number of foreign visitors entering from a particular airport.

b) Tourist behavior models

Scholars in the field of transportation planning have developed several models to measure tourist behavior at microscopic and macroscopic levels. For example, Mizogami et al. (1991) propose a microscopic model that assumes a by-level nested logit model [7], and Morichi et al. (1992) present a microscopic model that considers a tourist’s choice of destination as well as their choice between returning home and continuing travel [8]. Meanwhile, Sasaki et al. (1968) introduce a macroscopic traffic flow model that analyzes traffic flow in the event hall using an Absorbing Markov Model [11], and Nishii (1993) presents a macroscopic traffic flow model that analyzes domestic travel flow using absorption Markov models [9].

c) Positioning the study

An input–output table was used to report the travel flow of foreign visitors described in 2.1. The author (2017) proposes a passenger IO model that analyzes the passenger flow of foreign visitors by performing the same calculation as the input–output analysis using the travel flow table of foreign visitors [10]. Conversely, in the input–output analysis, an extended input–output table with data on energy input and environmental output is added to analyze the impact of changes in final demand on energy input and environmental output [6]–[13]. This study extends the table reporting the travel flow of foreign visitors by adding travel consumption data. First, we develop a travel consumption model to analyze the consumer behavior of foreign visitors to Japan. Next, we employ our model to determine the relationship between the rate of tourist consumption in a particular region and the rate of foreign visitors arriving at a particular airport. Last, we try to identify the beneficiary area of different international airline invitations.

III. Developing a Tour-Type Tourism Consumption Model

a) Passenger IO table (extended table)

The following section presents a passenger IO model for analyzing passenger flow using the visiting foreigner flow table. The passenger IO table, Table 1, treats n area as domestic visiting place (departure place) and domestic visiting place (destination place) and m Airport as entry and departure airports. Furthermore, Table 1 situates passenger flow from origin j to destination i as $x_{ij}$; passenger flow from entry airport l to destination i as $y_{lj}$; passenger flow from origin j to exit airport k as $z_{kj}$; and the number of total visitors to destination i as $X_i$. The number of visitors to the domestic destination i is equal to the number of departures (X) from the domestic destination i.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Domestic Destination $j$</th>
<th>Entry Airport $l$</th>
<th>Visitors $X_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Destination $i$</td>
<td>$x_{ij}$</td>
<td>$y_{lj}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departure Airport $k$</td>
<td>$z_{kj}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors</td>
<td>$X_j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Guests</td>
<td>$H_j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourist Consumption</td>
<td>$E_j$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The passenger IO table also presents the total number of guests \( (H) \) and the tourist consumption \( (E_j) \) that correspond to the domestic destination of the flow of foreigners. The total number of guests is the total number of foreign guests by nationality and by destination presented in “Accommodation Travel Statistics” [3]. Tourist consumption is calculated by multiplying the total number of guests by the travel consumption per guest by nationality and by destination in the “Survey on foreign consumption trends in Japan.”

b) Passenger IO Model

Table 1 sums up the passenger flow \( x_{ij} \) at the origin \( j \) and the passenger flow \( y_{il} \) at the entry airport \( l \), which corresponds to the number \( X_i \) of foreign visitors to the domestic destination \( i \). Written as a formula, this reads as follows:

\[
X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} y_{il}
\]  

(1)

Furthermore, assuming that the ratio of the passenger flow \( X_{ij} \) to the destination \( i \) among the number \( X_j \) from the domestic destination \( j \) is \( a_{ij} \), we obtain the following:

\[
a_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{X_j}
\]  

(2)

The number of immigrants to the airport \( l \) is \( Y_l \). Therefore, we arrive at the following:

\[
Y_l = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{il}
\]  

(3)

Among these, when the ratio of the passenger flow \( y_{il} \) to the destination \( i \) is \( b_{il} \), we get the following:

\[
b_{il} = \frac{y_{il}}{Y_l}
\]  

(4)

From equations (1), (2), and (4), we obtain the following:

\[
X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} X_j + \sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{il} Y_l
\]  

(5)

We can expressing this in a matrix, as follows:

\[
X = AX + BY
\]  

(6)

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \cdots & b_{nm} \end{pmatrix}
\]

If equation (6) is solved for \( X \), then the following holds true:

\[
X = (I - A)^{-1} BY
\]  

(7)

c) Tourist consumption model

Let \( c_j \) be the tourist consumption per visitor in the domestic destination \( j \). In other words, the following is the case:

\[
c_j = \frac{E_j}{X_j}
\]  

(8)

At this time, the tourist consumption vector \( E \) can be obtained by the following equation.

\[
E = CX
\]  

(9)

here,

\[
E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix}
\]

Substituting equation (7) into equation (9), we get the following:

\[
E = C(I - A)^{-1} BY
\]  

(10)
By obtaining foreign visitor vector $Y$ from the flow of foreigner data using equation (3) and substituting it into equation (10), we can determine the tourist consumption vector $E$ at the domestic destination.

In what is similar to tourist consumption, the total number of nights can also be obtained by multiplying the number of visitors by the number of nights per visitor. Chapter 5 verifies the accuracy of the model, using the total number of guests by region as reported by "Accommodation Travel Statistics."

d) Identifying the beneficiary area

Let us consider a case in which the number of foreign visitors from an airport has increased because of the introduction of a new international airline service to that airport. The foreign visitor vector $\Delta Y$ is considered, where the number of visitors from airport $l$ is $\Delta Y_l$, and visitors from other airports is 0. From equation (10), the tourist consumption vector $\Delta E$ in the domestic destination can be obtained by the following equation:

$$\Delta E = C(I - A)^{-1} B \Delta Y$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Figure 1 shows how this model captures the tourist behavior and consumption of foreign visitors. The inverse matrix of equation (11) is given as follows:

$$\left( I - A \right)^{-1} = I + A + A^2 + \cdots$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

Therefore, equation (11) is more specifically given as follows:

$$\Delta E = C B \Delta Y + C A B \Delta Y + C A^2 B \Delta Y + \cdots$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

The first term on the right-hand side of equation (13) represents the tourist consumption vector at the first destination because of the increase in the number of foreign visitors by the international airline service. The second term represents the tourist consumption vector at the second destination, and the third term represents the tourist consumption vector at the third destination. We can determine the tourist consumption vectors ($\Delta E$) at all domestic destinations by summing up these more specific tourist consumption vectors. $\Delta E$ can also be determined without performing this iterative calculation by calculating the inverse matrix of equation (11).
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**Fig. 1:** Tourist behavior and consumption of foreign visitors to Japan

We can obtain the choice ratio of the first destination (matrix $B$) by using the data in FF-data. Because passenger flow data is aggregated by destination, we employ the choice ratio (matrix $A$) as the averaged value. However, as described in section (3), matrix $A$ also corresponds to $\Delta E$ at the domestic destination by equation (10). FF-data shows the passenger flow among 47 prefectures and 29 airports by nationality. The tourist consumption model makes it possible to clarify the characteristics of visitors by nationality, due to comparing their entry airports and domestic destinations.

IV. Developing a Model for Chinese Visitors to Japan

a) Parameters of the passenger IO model

As I detail in section 5, the number of Chinese visitors entering Japan has increased significantly in recent years. To analyze the consumer behavior of the growing numbers of Chinese tourists in each Japanese region, we developed a passenger IO model for Chinese visitors to Japan. The following section processes data from the Chinese flow table presented by FF-data, using the passenger IO model described in...
section 3 to estimate the parameters of a passenger IO model for Chinese visitors to Japan. First, parameter $b_{ij}$ was determined using equation (4). The parameter $b_{ij}$ represents the rate at which Chinese tourists entering Japan from airport $l$ choose destination $i$. Meanwhile, parameter $a_{ij}$ was obtained using equation (2). The parameter $a_{ij}$ represents the rate at which Chinese tourists departing from place $j$ chose destination $i$. For instance, below I compare the parameters of visitors from Narita Airport and Chubu Airport and explore the differences in their behaviors.

Figure 2 shows the first destination of Chinese tourists entering from Narita Airport. Most passengers entering from Narita Airport depart first for Tokyo Prefecture, whereas others depart for other Tokyo metropolitan regions such as Chiba and Kanagawa Prefecture. Meanwhile, Figure 3 details the second destination of Chinese tourists entering from Narita Airport by surveying the destinations of Chinese tourists departing from Tokyo Prefecture. It is worth noting that Chinese tourists departing from Tokyo Prefecture chose the same destination more frequently than did tourists departing from other areas and that this ratio remains high for tourists departing from other Tokyo metropolitan regions (Chiba, Kanagawa Prefecture, etc.); the Tokai region (Shizuoka, Aichi Prefecture); and the Kinki region (Kyoto, Osaka Prefecture).

Figure 4 shows the first destination of Chinese tourists entering from Chubu Airport. Figure 4 shows that tourists entering from Chubu Airport primarily chose Aichi Prefecture as their next destination, followed by Osaka and Tokyo Prefectures. Figure 5 reports the second destination of Chinese tourists, i.e., for which Chinese tourists depart from Aichi Prefecture. Chinese tourists departing from one destination in Aichi Prefecture rarely choose next destination in Aichi Prefecture. The rate of passengers departing from Aichi Prefecture and proceeding to the Tokyo metropolitan region (e.g., Tokyo, Kanagawa Prefecture, etc.) and the Osaka metropolitan region (e.g., Osaka, Kyoto Prefecture, etc.) is high. The deeper point is that many Chinese tourists arriving in Japan at Chubu Airport travel to other metropolitan regions without traveling around Aichi Prefecture.
b) Parameters of tourist consumption model

This study uses the total number of Chinese guests listed in 2014 statistics regarding travel in Japan as the total number of guests per region. In addition, we calculated the rate of tourist consumption per region by multiplying the total number of nights that Chinese guests remained in any particular region by the rate of travel consumption per night of Chinese guests as listed on the Consumption Survey on Foreign Visitors to Japan in 2014. The parameter \( c_j \) was calculated from equation (8).

Figure 6 shows tourist consumption per visitor. Tourist consumption is high per visitor in southern Tokyo metropolitan regions (e.g., Tokyo, Chiba Prefecture), prefectures near Mt. Fuji (e.g., Shizuoka, Yamanashi Prefecture), and southern areas of the Osaka metropolitan region (e.g., Osaka, Wakayama Prefecture); Toyama, Kanagawa Prefecture; and Aichi Prefecture. These regions may have high tourist consumption rates per visitor because they have relatively high rates of tourist consumption per night. Meanwhile, tourist consumption per visitor is low in Kyoto Prefecture. This is because the ratio of guests to visitors is low in Kyoto Prefecture and many Chinese visitors to Japan travel to other areas without spending much time in Kyoto Prefecture.

V. Testing the Accuracy of the Model

a) Testing method

Figure 7 reports changes in the number of Chinese visitors by airport since 2014. In 2015, the number of Chinese visitors in Japan doubled at Kansai, Chubu, Haneda, Naha, Fukuoka, and Chitose airports. Notably, the number of foreign visitors from Kansai Airport exceeded the number of foreign visitors from Narita Airport, and there were more foreign visitors of Chinese nationality from Kansai Airport than any other airport. In 2016, the number of Chinese visitors to Japan increased again at all airports and doubled at Haneda Airport. While the number of Chinese visitors to Japan increased during these periods, the deeper point for our purposes is that these statistics make evident that the Chinese model that we develop in section 4 may predict the total number of guests in each prefecture.
Figure 8 depicts the procedure for testing the accuracy of the Chinese model developed in section 4. In particular, our model uses 2014 data from FF-data and "Accommodation Travel Statistics" to determine the parameters. Here we inputted the number of the Chinese visitors by airport from the 2016 Statistical Survey on Legal Migrants in the Chinese model [2] and calculated the total number of guests in 2016 by prefecture. Then, we compared this value with the total number of guests by prefecture in the 2016 Accommodation Travel Statistics and confirmed the degree of accuracy of the Chinese model.

b) Test result

Figure 9 compares the actual values for the total number of guests in 2016 with the estimated values obtained from the Chinese model. This figure shows that there are large numbers of guests in the Tokyo metropolitan region (Tokyo, Chiba, and Kanagawa); the Osaka metropolitan region (Osaka and Kyoto); and the central region (Aichi and Shizuoka). There is a high correlation between the actual value of the total number of guests and the estimated value obtained from the Chinese model. As the number of Chinese visitors to Japan has increased rapidly since 2014, each region has implemented an initiative to increase the number of Chinese overnight guests. Therefore, it is thought that the pattern of Chinese passenger flow is also changing. Slight variations exist between the actual values and the estimated values in Figure 9 because the results of these efforts are not reflected. However, the correlation coefficient between them shows a high value of 0.994 (0.986 excluding Tokyo and Osaka). This suggests that if the number of Chinese visitors to Japan could be appropriately determined, relatively good results could be obtained (unless the travel behavior changes significantly).
VI. IDENTIFYING THE BENEFICIARY AREA

a) Changes in tourism consumption

Using the Chinese model developed in section 4, we calculated how much the tourist consumption of Chinese visitors might change if service by a Chinese LCC route was increased. We then sought to identify the beneficiary area of such increased service. In particular, using equation (11), we analyzed how much tourist consumption might increase in each prefecture relative to increases in the number of Chinese visitors from a particular airport as a result of increased service by a Chinese LCC route to that airport. For this study, we assumed that the number of Chinese LCC routes would increase by one flight, with 100 passengers per flight (number of seats: 160 to 170 seats × occupancy rate 60%). Therefore, adding one Chinese LCC route to a particular airport would theoretically increase the annual number of Chinese visitors to that airport by 36,500. Considering the number of users, we selected the three main international airports and Haneda Airport as the target airports for our study.

Figures 10 and 11 show the beneficiary areas of new LCC routes from China in service at Narita Airport and Haneda Airport, respectively. When Chinese LCC routes service Narita Airport, tourist consumption in Chiba Prefecture, where Narita Airport is located, is somewhat large. The results for Narita Airport and Haneda Airport are similar. The largest increase in tourist consumption is in Tokyo Prefecture, followed by increases in other metropolitan areas such as Kanagawa and Chiba Prefecture. In addition, rates of tourist consumption are also increasing in the Tokai region (e.g., Shizuoka, Aichi Prefecture) and the Osaka metropolitan region (e.g., Osaka, Kyoto Prefecture).

Meanwhile, Figures 12 and 13 show the beneficiary areas of new LCC routes from China in service at Kansai Airport and Chubu Airport, respectively. For Kansai Airport, tourist consumption increases the most in Osaka Prefecture, which is followed by an increase in other Osaka metropolitan regions (e.g., Kyoto, Hyogo Prefecture). Flights servicing Kansai Airport also correlate with a significant increase in tourist consumption in Tokyo Prefecture, as well as increases in consumption in other areas of the Tokyo metropolitan region (e.g., Kanagawa, Chiba Prefecture) and the Tokai region (e.g., Aichi, Shizuoka Prefecture). In addition, flights that service Chubu Airport most strongly correlate with twin increases in tourist consumption in Aichi and Tokyo while also demonstrating a link with smaller increases in consumption in Osaka and Kyoto Prefectures. As section 4 details, such a tendency may be the result of Chinese visitors starting their tour in Aichi Prefecture before departing for the metropolitan regions around Tokyo and Osaka.
b) Comparison of tourist consumption

Figure 14 sums up the changes in tourist consumption by region for each airport to compare the results of the four airports. The increase in tourist consumption clarifies that service to Narita Airport correlates with the largest increase in tourist consumption in Japan. Haneda Airport is the runner up, its flights demonstrating the second largest impact on tourist consumption. Services to Narita Airport and Haneda Airport mainly increase tourist consumption in the Tokyo metropolitan region. Meanwhile, the data also makes clear that service to the Tokyo metropolitan region increases tourist consumption far more than does service to Kansai Airport or Chubu Airport, whereas service to Kansai Airport greatly increases tourist consumption in the Osaka and Tokyo metropolitan regions. Moreover, although service to Chubu Airport increases tourist consumption in the Chubu region, it more intensely increases tourist consumption in the Tokyo metropolitan region. The increase in tourist consumption in the Osaka metropolitan region is of about the same order as the increase in tourist consumption in the central region, the reason being that, as section 4 details, many Chinese tourists departing from Kansai Airport and Chubu Airport travel to Kinki and Chubu, as well as Tokyo. It is conceivable that a large amount of tourist consumption is being conducted in Tokyo.

![Fig. 14: Beneficiary area of international air routes](image)

VII. Conclusion

a) Results

This study developed a tourist consumption model to analyze the tourist consumption behaviors of foreign visitors to Japan. Our data reports the
passenger flow of foreign visitors to Japan’s 47 prefectures and 29 airports by nationality. Our analytical tourist consumption model uses this data. Moreover, we utilized data regarding Chinese visitors and estimated its parameters. We verified the accuracy of our model using the number of guests in the Accommodation Travel Statistics report in Japan. We evidence that if the number of foreign visitors by airport could be given appropriately, tourist consumption in each prefecture could be estimated with relatively good accuracy (barring significant changes in travel behavior). Furthermore, we employed the Chinese model to identify beneficiary areas of LCC services to different airports from China. The analysis revealed that tourist consumption mainly increased in the Tokyo metropolitan region when service began to be provided at the Tokyo metropolitan airports of Narita Airport and Haneda Airport. Meanwhile, increased service to Kansai Airport and Chubu Airport increased tourist consumption in the Osaka metropolitan region, the Chubu region, and the Tokyo metropolitan region. Moreover, our results indicate that increasing service to airports in the Tokyo metropolitan region increases tourist consumption in the region more than increasing service to other airports does. It is also interesting to note that increasing service to Kansai Airport and Chubu Airport may increase tourist consumption in the Tokyo metropolitan region as well as in other regions.

b) Future issues
Moving forward, it will be important to verify our model’s assumptions, e.g., its assumption that matrix A averages destination choice. We must also evaluate the impact the model has on our results. To this end, we must use the questionnaire data that was used to prepare the data from FF-data. To dispel even a shred of doubt, we must also criticize the method by which we verified our model. We may also consider the other kinds of analysis that our model might perform. For example, while this study’s use of the model assumes that matrices A and B are constants, these parameters are functions of destination characteristics and traffic access conditions. Estimating such functions makes it possible to analyze the effects of changes in destination characteristics, changes in traffic access conditions on passenger traffic, and changes in consumer behavior of foreign visitors. Setting aside these thoughts for a moment, it is important to note that further data preparation is required to use this model for other forms of policy evaluation. Currently, the data in FF-data is organized by prefecture. Gathering data organized by smaller regions and regarding the specific costs of items that tourists purchase would enable a more detailed analysis of our object of study by providing us with more specific destinations and patterns of consumption.
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