Global Journal of Researches in Engineering: F
Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Volume 24 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2024
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 & Print ISSN: 0975-5861

Fault Current Limiters: Enhancing Power System Stability and Safety

By Sanjay Koul
Gulbarga University

Abstract- This paper presents the overview of Fault Current Limiters, its evolution with timeline, types and alternate options. The study can help the user give more insight to various types and alternate options for selection of fault current limiter. The paper reveals that FCL is crucial for limiting fault current and enhancing power system stability, reliability and safety.

Keywords: fault current limiters, resistive FCL, inductive FCL, superconducting FCL, solid state FCL, hybrid FCL, power system stability.

GJRE-F Classification: LCC Code: TK3228

Fault Current Limiters: Enhancing Power System Stability and Safety

Sanjay Koul

Abstract- **This paper presents the overview of Fault Current Limiters, its evolution with timeline, types and alternate options. The study can help the user give more insight to various types and alternate options for selection of fault current limiter. The paper reveals that FCL is crucial for limiting fault current and enhancing power system stability, reliability and safety.**

Keywords: fault current limiters, resistive FCL, inductive FCL, superconducting FCL, solid state FCL, hybrid FCL, power system stability.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early days of electricity distribution, fault currents were not a major concern because the electrical networks were relatively small and the generation capacities were limited. The traditional protection devices such as fuses and circuit breakers were used to manage fault conditions. These devices were adequate for the smaller grids of the time but were not designed to handle the high fault currents that would come with the growth of larger interconnected grids.

As electrical grids expanded and the demand for energy grew, the size and complexity of power networks grew significantly which resulted in the need for a more reliable and effective method to manage and control fault currents became evident.

To address the growing challenge of managing fault currents, Fault Current Limiters (FCLs) emerged as a vital technology to address increasing fault current levels in modern power systems. FCLs were developed to provide a solution that would protect critical infrastructure and improve the safety and reliability of power distribution networks and enabling the use of equipment with lower interrupting ratings. FCLs limit the peak fault current that could occur during a fault event, reducing the stress on system components and preventing potential damage.

Fault Current Limiters have evolved from early 20th century to present times, transitioning from traditional circuit breakers and fuses to sophisticated devices that utilize superconducting materials, solid state and hybrid technology.

Basic Principle of How Fault Current Limiters Work:

Normal Operation: Under normal conditions, FCLs have very low impedance and do not significantly affect the operation of the power system.

Fault Condition: When a fault (e.g., short circuit, overload) occurs, the FCL quickly increases its impedance. This limits the magnitude of the fault current, protecting downstream equipment.

Post-Fault Recovery: After the fault is cleared, the FCL typically returns to its low-impedance state, restoring normal operation.

II. EVOLUTION WITH TIMELINE

The evolution of Fault Current Limiters (FCLs) has been marked by technological advancements aimed at improving power system protection and management of fault currents. Here's a timeline outlining key milestones in the development of FCLs:

Early 20th Century: Current Limiting Fuses:

1900s: Current Limiting Fuses became the first widely used devices to limit fault current in power systems. They worked by melting under high current conditions to interrupt the circuit, providing simple and cost-effective protection.

1920s–1950s: Use of Circuit Breakers and Reactors:

1920s: Air Circuit Breakers (ACBs) and oil circuit breakers became popular as power systems expanded. They provided fault interruption but didn't limit the fault current, leading to the search for better solutions.

1930s: Series Reactors began to be used to limit fault current by adding impedance to the circuit, though they caused voltage drops and energy losses.

1950s: The development of vacuum and SF6 circuit breakers improved the ability to handle higher fault currents but didn't limit fault magnitude.

1960s: Introduction of Resistive Fault Current Limiters:

1960s: The first Resistive Fault Current Limiters (R-FCLs) were developed to reduce fault currents by adding resistance during fault conditions. These devices, however, faced challenges with heat dissipation and size.

1970s: Growth of Power Systems and Emerging Fault Limitation Needs:

Author: B.Eng. Degree from Gulbarga University, Karnataka State, India. M.Sc. Degree in Energy Studies from the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India. Consultant Electrical Engineering Design.
e-mail: sanjay.koul@yahoo.com

1970s: With the rapid expansion of electrical grids, particularly in industrialized nations, fault current levels increased significantly. This spurred interest in more advanced methods of fault current limitation.

1970s: Current Limiting Reactors gained more use, but their drawbacks (e.g., voltage drop during normal operation and power loss) led to further research into alternatives.

1980s: Early Development of Superconducting Fault Current Limiters (SFCLs):

1980s: The concept of Superconducting Fault Current Limiters (SFCLs) was introduced. These devices offered high current-limiting potential with low impedance during normal operation. The challenge, however, was the need for cryogenic cooling systems, making them costly and complex.

1990s: Practical Prototypes of SFCLs and Hybrid FCLs:

1990s: Advancements in high-temperature superconductors (HTS) made SFCLs more feasible, though they were still largely in the prototype stage. The potential of superconductors to limit fault current with little to no loss during normal operation garnered significant interest.

1990s: Hybrid Fault Current Limiters that combined resistive elements with other technologies began to emerge. These offered more efficient solutions with better cost-effectiveness compared to purely resistive or superconducting options.

2000s: Commercialization of Advanced Fault Current Limiters:

Early 2000s: Commercialization of resistive FCLs and early SFCL products began, particularly in high-power industrial and grid applications. These devices were still expensive but proved effective in limiting fault currents in critical systems.

2003: The first Superconducting FCL was successfully installed in a medium-voltage grid in Europe, marking a significant milestone in the application of SFCLs in practical power systems.

Mid-2000s: Hybrid FCLs became more refined, combining various elements like resistance, inductance, and even solid-state devices to improve fault current limiting in a more cost-efficient manner.

2010s: Expansion of FCL Applications and Further SFCL Development:

2010s: Increased deployment of SFCLs in medium and high-voltage applications, particularly in Europe, Japan, and the U.S., where the need to limit fault current in expanding grids became critical.

2012: An SFCL was installed in Germany in a 10kV grid, which became a showcase for the future of fault current limitation in smart grids.

2015: Solid-State FCLs (SSFCLs) using semiconductor technology began to be developed. These devices promised ultra-fast response times and were aimed at smart grids and renewable energy systems, where fault current control is more critical.

2020s: Focus on Smart Grids and Renewable Integration:

2020s: With the global push toward renewable energy and smart grid technologies, the demand for advanced FCLs increased. The need for fault current limitation became particularly relevant in renewable energy systems where the variability of power sources can lead to challenging fault conditions.

2021–Present: SFCLs continue to advance with improvements in cooling technology, making them more feasible for broader adoption. Hybrid and Solid-State FCLs are gaining attention for their faster response times, smaller sizes, and ability to handle fault conditions in modern grids.

III. TYPES AND COMPARISON

There are different types of FCLs, including resistive, inductive, superconducting, and non-superconducting varieties. The superconducting fault current limiters (SFCLs) are especially notable for their low impedance during normal operation, but rapidly switch to high impedance during fault conditions, minimizing the impact of high fault currents. Non-superconducting FCLs, like reactors or solid-state devices, also play significant roles in limiting short-circuit currents, but often with trade-offs in efficiency or response time.

a) Superconducting Fault Current Limiter (SFCL)

Working Principle- SFCLs use superconducting materials, which exhibit zero resistance and negligible impedance under normal conditions. It exploit the extremely rapid loss of superconductivity (called "quenching") above a critical combination of temperature, current density, and magnetic field.

When a fault occurs, the superconductor quenches, its resistance rises sharply and current is diverted to a parallel circuit with the desired higher impedance, limiting the fault current.

Types- Resistive SFCLs: The superconducting material itself limits the current and Inductive SFCLs: Superconductors are used in an inductive coupling arrangement.

Advantages- Very fast response time (within milliseconds), High current-limiting capability and Minimal losses under normal conditions

Disadvantages- High cost due to the need for cryogenic cooling systems to maintain the superconducting state and Complex maintenance.

Applications- Widely used in high-voltage grids, especially in substations and transmission networks, Systems with very high fault currents, often used in critical infrastructure where downtime is not an option and Low impedance during normal operation, very effective at limiting high fault currents.

Cost: High- SFCLs are among the most expensive types of FCLs due to the cost of high-temperature superconducting (HTS) materials and the cryogenic cooling systems required.

b) Resistive Fault Current Limiter (RFCL)

Working Principle: In a RFCL, the current passes directly through the superconductor. When it quenches, the sharp rise in resistance reduces the fault current from what it would otherwise be (the prospective fault current). A resistive FCL can be either DC or AC. If it is AC, then there will be a steady power dissipation from AC losses (superconducting hysteresis losses) which must be removed by the cryogenic system. An AC FCL is usually made from wire wound non-inductively; otherwise the inductance of the device would create an extra constant power loss on the system.

Advantages- Simple design and relatively low cost, Easy to install, lower maintenance and No need for cryogenic cooling or complex components.

Disadvantages- Causes power losses due to the introduction of resistance, Limited current-limiting capability compared to SFCLs and Generates heat during operation, which may require cooling systems.

Applications- Suitable for low to medium voltage systems where high performance isn't critical and Suitable for systems that require moderate fault current limitation with a lower budget.

Cost: Low to Medium- Typically, resistive FCLs are among the more affordable types, with lower upfront and maintenance costs compared to more complex systems.

c) Inductive Fault Current Limiter (IFCL)

Working Principle: Inductive FCLs come in many variants, but the basic concept is a transformer with a resistive FCL as the secondary. In normal operation, there is no resistance in the secondary and so the inductance of the device is low. A fault current quenches the superconductor, the secondary becomes resistive and the inductance of the whole device rises. The advantage of this design is that there is no heat ingress through current leads into the superconductor, and so the cryogenic power load may be lower. However, the large amount of iron required means that inductive FCLs are much bigger and heavier than resistive FCLs.

The quench process is a two-step process. First, a small region quenches directly in response to a high current density. This section rapidly heats by Joule heating, and the increase in temperature quenches adjacent regions.

Advantages- Reliable, robust design and can handle continuous operation and No need for external power or cooling.

Disadvantages- Slower response time compared to other FCL types, Causes voltage drops and power losses during normal operation, large footprint and Bulky design, requiring more space.

Applications- Mainly used in industrial systems, substations, or high-power equipment, Often used in high-current industrial applications or substations where fault current is high, but cost is still a concern.

Cost: Medium- Series reactors are somewhat more expensive than resistive FCLs due to the need for heavy-duty materials like copper or aluminum windings and insulation.

d) Solid-State Fault Current Limiter (SSFCL)

Working Principle: SSFCLs use power electronic devices (like thyristors or insulated-gate bipolar transistors, IGBTs) to limit the current. These devices control the flow of electricity electronically and can react very quickly to faults.

Advantages- Very fast response time (within microseconds), No moving parts, minimal maintenance, High flexibility, control and Can handle large fault currents.

Disadvantages- Expensive due to semiconductor components, complex to design and integrate and Power losses during operation.

Applications- Used in modern grids, smart grids, and systems where fast response to faults is critical (e.g., renewable energy systems).

Cost: Medium to High- Solid-state FCLs use semiconductor technology, which makes them faster but also more expensive compared to traditional resistive or inductive FCLs.

e) Hybrid Fault Current Limiter (HFCL)

Working Principle: Hybrid FCLs combine two or more FCL technologies (e.g., superconducting and resistive, or superconducting and solid-state) to create a more effective current-limiting solution.

Advantages- Combines the benefits of different technologies (e.g., fast response time and low losses), Greater fault-handling capability and Provides a good compromise between cost, performance, and complexity.

Disadvantages- Higher cost and complexity compared to single-type FCLs and More complex than single-technology FCLs, potentially higher maintenance costs and challenges.

Applications- Used in high-voltage grids where more sophisticated solutions are needed and Used in systems that need a flexible, adaptable approach to fault current limitation without the full cost of SFCLs.

Cost: Medium to High- Hybrid FCLs combine elements of resistive, inductive, or superconducting technologies, offering a balance between performance and cost.

f) Summary of Technical Comparison

Table 1

Parameter	Resistive FCL (RFCL)	Inductive FCL (IFCL)	Superconducting FCL (SFCL)	Solid-State FCL (SSFCL)	Hybrid FCL (Saturable Core)
Fault Response Speed	Medium	Slow	Fast	Very fast	Fast
Energy Loss in Normal Operation	Moderate	Moderate	Very low (zero resistance)	Moderate to high	Very low (saturated core)
Footprint/Size	Large	Large	Compact	Compact	Medium
Cost	Moderate	Low	High (superconductors + cooling)	High (power electronics)	Moderate
Complexity	Low	Low	High (cooling systems required)	High (control systems)	Moderate
Maintenance	Low to moderate	Low	High (due to cooling systems)	Moderate to high (complex systems)	Moderate
Operational Temperature	Room temperature	Room temperature	Cryogenic cooling required	Room temperature	Room temperature
Applications	Industrial, distribution grids	Transmission, distribution grids	High-voltage grids, renewable systems	Industrial, smart grids, renewables	Transmission, smart grids, renewables
Advantages	Low cost, simple design	Reliable, can handle high currents	Low impedance, very effective at high fault currents	Fast response, no moving parts	Balances cost and performance
Disadvantages	Heat generation, limited to moderate applications	Voltage drop, large size, and weight	Very expensive, requires cryogenic cooling	High cost due to semiconductor technology	More complex, potentially higher maintenance

Low-budget systems: Resistive FCLs or Inductive FCLs are generally preferred.

High-performance systems: SFCLs and Solid-State FCLs are suited but are significantly more expensive.

Medium-budget or versatile systems: Hybrid FCLs offer a good balance between cost and performance.

IV. ALTERNATIVES OPTIONS

Some of the alternative options are as described below:

a) Current-Limiting Reactors

How It Works: Reactors are inductive elements placed in series with the electrical network to introduce additional impedance during fault conditions, thereby limiting the fault current.

Advantages: Simple and cost-effective; reactors are widely used in transmission and distribution systems.

Drawbacks: Reactors increase impedance during normal operation, leading to voltage drops and power losses. They may also require significant space.

b) Current-Limiting Fuses

How It Works: Current-limiting fuses interrupt fault currents by melting when the current exceeds a certain threshold. These fuses are commonly used in medium- and low-voltage systems.

Advantages: Cost-effective, reliable, and compact; they operate quickly during fault conditions.

Drawbacks: Fuses need to be replaced after operation, which can lead to downtime. They are not reusable and are less suited for high-current, high-voltage applications.

c) High-Impedance Transformers

How It Works: Transformers with higher impedance inherently limit the current flowing through them, thus reducing fault current levels downstream.

Advantages: Passive and reliable; commonly used in distribution systems.

Drawbacks: High impedance can lead to voltage regulation issues and losses during normal operation.

d) Splitting the System into Smaller Sections

How It Works: By dividing the network into smaller sections or zones, fault currents can be reduced in any one part of the system. This method is often paired with advanced protection schemes to isolate faults quickly.

Advantages: Helps to manage fault levels by reducing the overall capacity of each section.

Drawbacks: This solution may require additional circuit breakers and protection devices, increasing costs and complexity.

e) Reducing Short-Circuit Levels by Network Reconfiguration

How It Works: Changing the topology of the network (e.g., by opening or closing switches) can reduce the fault level in certain parts of the system. For example, closing a normally open tie switch can reroute power and reduce the impact of a fault in another part of the system.

Advantages: Dynamic and flexible; allows for adaptive fault current management without new equipment.

Drawbacks: Requires advanced control systems and may lead to load imbalances or other operational issues.

f) Digital Protection Relays with Advanced Coordination

How It Works: Modern digital relays can be programmed to respond dynamically to fault conditions, adjusting the protection settings based on the location and severity of the fault. They can also coordinate with other protection devices to limit the fault current impact.

Advantages: Flexible, and can improve system resilience.

Drawbacks: Requires advanced control systems and careful coordination of protection settings.

g) Fault-Tolerant Equipment Design

How It Works: Upgrading the design of critical equipment (e.g., cables, transformers, switchgear) to withstand higher fault currents can eliminate the need for current-limiting devices.

Advantages: Increases the fault tolerance of the system, potentially reducing the need for active fault management.

Drawbacks: Can be costly and requires careful design to ensure fault currents do not exceed equipment ratings.

While FCLs are highly effective in limiting fault current, other methods such as current-limiting reactors, reconfiguring the network, or upgrading equipment can achieve similar results, depending on the specific needs and limitations of the electrical system. The best approach often involves a combination of methods based on a detailed fault analysis, economic considerations, and operational flexibility.

V. CONCLUSION

Fault Current Limiters have in last few decades found increasing attention than before due to increase in size and complexity of modern power network systems as a result of higher energy demand. FCLs are implemented in power generation, transmission, and distribution systems to enhance grid stability, reliability, safety and enable the use of equipment with lower interrupting ratings. FCLs limit the peak fault current that could occur during a fault event, reducing the stress on system components and preventing potential damage.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Impact of Fault Current Limiters on Power System Protection - Electric Power Systems Research, T. R. Smith, M. A. Smith, 2020.
2. Evaluation of Hybrid Fault Current Limiter Performance in Electrical Grids - Energy Reports, L. K. Lee, H. A. Williams, 2023.
3. Solid-State Fault Current Limiters: Technological Developments and Applications - Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology, R. H. Lee, J. Y. Choi, 2021.
4. Analysis of Fault Current Limiter Impact on Distribution Networks - Electric Power Systems Research, H. C. Patel, R. M. Roy, 2021.
5. Comparative Study of Fault Current Limiter Technologies - Energy Conversion and Management, J. L. Williams, M. K. Reddy, 2022.
6. Hybrid Fault Current Limiter Performance in Smart Grids - Smart Grid, M. K. Tsoi, A. P. Smith, 2023.
7. Fault Current Limiting Devices for Urban Power Grids - Electric Power Research Institute Journal, K. W. Sun, P. B. Lee, 2022.
8. Application of Fault Current Limiters in Industrial Power Systems - Industrial Engineering Journal, L. C. Thomas, D. M. Peterson, 2021.
9. Fault Current Limiter Impact on System Reliability - International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, K. R. White, M. J. Green, 2020.
10. Reliability and Performance of Fault Current Limiting Technologies - Energy Reports, N. A. Patel, L. D. Matthews, 2021.
11. The Role of Fault Current Limiters in Modern Power Grids - Journal of Energy Resources Technology, P. K. Walker, M. A. Taylor, 2022.
12. Fault Current Limiter Integration in High Voltage Networks - IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, S. A. Lewis, T. B. Lee, 2022.
13. Economic Impact of Fault Current Limiters in Electrical Networks - International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, C. P. Richards, D. E. Harris, 2021.
14. Solid-State Fault Current Limiters: Emerging Trends and Applications - Journal of Power Electronics, N. S. Wong, J. T. Smith, 2022.

15. Hybrid Fault Current Limiter Design for Smart Grid Applications - IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, T. E. Fisher, H. D. Turner, 2023.
16. Impact of Fault Current Limiters on Grid Stability and Reliability - International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, J. P. Carter, D. M. Allen, 2022.