

GLOBAL JOURNAL

OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F

Electrical and Electronic Engineering

Modern Network Security Threats

Parameters of Nuclear Power Plant

Highlights

Detection and Prevention Systems

AC/DC Transmission Merge Solutions

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

VOLUME 25 ISSUE 1 (VER. 1.0)

OPEN ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY

© Global Journal of
Researches in Engineering.
2025.

All rights reserved.

This is a special issue published in version 1.0
of "Global Journal of Researches in
Engineering." By Global Journals Inc.

All articles are open access articles distributed
under "Global Journal of Researches in
Engineering"

Reading License, which permits restricted use.
Entire contents are copyright by of "Global
Journal of Researches in Engineering" unless
otherwise noted on specific articles.

No part of this publication may be reproduced
or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic or mechanical, including
photocopy, recording, or any information
storage and retrieval system, without written
permission.

The opinions and statements made in this
book are those of the authors concerned.
Ultraculture has not verified and neither
confirms nor denies any of the foregoing and
no warranty or fitness is implied.

Engage with the contents herein at your own
risk.

The use of this journal, and the terms and
conditions for our providing information, is
governed by our Disclaimer, Terms and
Conditions and Privacy Policy given on our
website [http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/
menu-1463/](http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/menu-1463/).

By referring / using / reading / any type of
association / referencing this journal, this
signifies and you acknowledge that you have
read them and that you accept and will be
bound by the terms thereof.

All information, journals, this journal,
activities undertaken, materials, services and
our website, terms and conditions, privacy
policy, and this journal is subject to change
anytime without any prior notice.

Incorporation No.: 0423089
License No.: 42125/022010/1186
Registration No.: 430374
Import-Export Code: 1109007027
Employer Identification Number (EIN):
USA Tax ID: 98-0673427

Global Journals Inc.

(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)

Sponsors: *Open Association of Research Society*

Open Scientific Standards

Publisher's Headquarters office

Global Journals® Headquarters
945th Concord Streets,
Framingham Massachusetts Pin: 01701,
United States of America

USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392

USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392

Offset Typesetting

Global Journals Incorporated
2nd, Lansdowne, Lansdowne Rd., Croydon-Surrey,
Pin: CR9 2ER, United Kingdom

Packaging & Continental Dispatching

Global Journals Pvt Ltd
E-3130 Sudama Nagar, Near Gopur Square,
Indore, M.P., Pin:452009, India

Find a correspondence nodal officer near you

To find nodal officer of your country, please
email us at local@globaljournals.org

eContacts

Press Inquiries: press@globaljournals.org
Investor Inquiries: investors@globaljournals.org
Technical Support: technology@globaljournals.org
Media & Releases: media@globaljournals.org

Pricing (Excluding Air Parcel Charges):

Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional)
250 USD (B/W) & 350 USD (Color)

EDITORIAL BOARD

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING

Dr. Ren-Jye Dzung

Professor Civil Engineering, National Chiao-Tung University, Taiwan Dean of General Affairs, Ph.D., Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of Michigan United States

Dr. Iman Hajirasouliha

Ph.D. in Structural Engineering, Associate Professor, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Dr. Ye Tian

Ph.D. Electrical Engineering The Pennsylvania State University 121 Electrical, Engineering East University Park, PA 16802, United States

Dr. Eric M. Lui

Ph.D., Structural Engineering, Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Syracuse University United States

Dr. Zi Chen

Ph.D. Department of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering, Princeton University, US Assistant Professor, Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, United States

Dr. T.S. Jang

Ph.D. Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, Seoul National University, Korea Director, Arctic Engineering Research Center, The Korea Ship and Offshore Research Institute, Pusan National University, South Korea

Dr. Ephraim Suhir

Ph.D., Dept. of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow University Moscow, Russia Bell Laboratories Physical Sciences and Engineering Research Division United States

Dr. Pangil Choi

Ph.D. Department of Civil, Environmental, and Construction Engineering, Texas Tech University, United States

Dr. Xianbo Zhao

Ph.D. Department of Building, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Senior Lecturer, Central Queensland University, Australia

Dr. Zhou Yufeng

Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering & Materials Science, Duke University, US Assistant Professor College of Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Dr. Pallav Purohit

Ph.D. Energy Policy and Planning, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Delhi Research Scientist, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Austria

Dr. Balasubramani R

Ph.D., (IT) in Faculty of Engg. & Tech. Professor & Head, Dept. of ISE at NMAM Institute of Technology

Dr. Sofoklis S. Makridis

B.Sc(Hons), M.Eng, Ph.D. Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Western Macedonia, Greece

Dr. Steffen Lehmann

Faculty of Creative and Cultural Industries Ph.D., AA Dip University of Portsmouth United Kingdom

Dr. Wenfang Xie

Ph.D., Department of Electrical Engineering, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Department of Automatic Control, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics China

Dr. Hai-Wen Li

Ph.D., Materials Engineering, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Guest Professor at Aarhus University, Japan

Dr. Saeed Chehreh Chelgani

Ph.D. in Mineral Processing University of Western Ontario, Adjunct professor, Mining engineering and Mineral processing, University of Michigan United States

Belen Riveiro

Ph.D., School of Industrial Engineering, University of Vigo Spain

Dr. Adel Al Jumaily

Ph.D. Electrical Engineering (AI), Faculty of Engineering and IT, University of Technology, Sydney

Dr. Maciej Gucma

Assistant Professor, Maritime Univeristy of Szczecin Szczecin, Ph.D.. Eng. Master Mariner, Poland

Dr. M. Meguellati

Department of Electronics, University of Batna, Batna 05000, Algeria

Dr. Haijian Shi

Ph.D. Civil Engineering Structural Engineering Oakland, CA, United States

Dr. Chao Wang

Ph.D. in Computational Mechanics Rosharon, TX, United States

Dr. Joaquim Carneiro

Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto (FEUP), University of Minho, Department of Physics Portugal

Dr. Wei-Hsin Chen

Ph.D., National Cheng Kung University, Department of Aeronautics, and Astronautics, Taiwan

Dr. Bin Chen

B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D., Xian Jiaotong University, China. State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

Dr. Charles-Darwin Annan

Ph.D., Professor Civil and Water Engineering University Laval, Canada

Dr. Jalal Kafashan

Mechanical Engineering Division of Mechatronics KU Leuven, Belgium

Dr. Alex W. Dawotola

Hydraulic Engineering Section, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg, Delft, Netherlands

Dr. Shun-Chung Lee

Department of Resources Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

Dr. Gordana Colovic

B.Sc Textile Technology, M.Sc. Technical Science Ph.D. in Industrial Management. The College of Textile? Design, Technology and Management, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Giacomo Risitano

Ph.D., Industrial Engineering at University of Perugia (Italy) "Automotive Design" at Engineering Department of Messina University (Messina) Italy

Dr. Maurizio Palesi

Ph.D. in Computer Engineering, University of Catania, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture Italy

Dr. Salvatore Brischetto

Ph.D. in Aerospace Engineering, Polytechnic University of Turin and in Mechanics, Paris West University Nanterre La D?fense Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy

Dr. Wesam S. Alaloul

B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D. in Civil and Environmental Engineering, University Technology Petronas, Malaysia

Dr. Ananda Kumar Palaniappan

B.Sc., MBA, MED, Ph.D. in Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ph.D. University of Malaya, Malaysia, University of Malaya, Malaysia

Dr. Hugo Silva

Associate Professor, University of Minho, Department of Civil Engineering, Ph.D., Civil Engineering, University of Minho Portugal

Dr. Fausto Gallucci

Associate Professor, Chemical Process Intensification (SPI), Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry Assistant Editor, International J. Hydrogen Energy, Netherlands

Dr. Philip T Moore

Ph.D., Graduate Master Supervisor School of Information Science and engineering Lanzhou University China

Dr. Cesar M. A. Vasques

Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, School of Engineering, Polytechnic of Porto Porto, Portugal

Dr. Jun Wang

Ph.D. in Architecture, University of Hong Kong, China Urban Studies City University of Hong Kong, China

Dr. Stefano Invernizzi

Ph.D. in Structural Engineering Technical University of Turin, Department of Structural, Geotechnical and Building Engineering, Italy

Dr. Togay Ozbakkaloglu

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, Ph.D. in Structural Engineering, University of Ottawa, Canada Senior Lecturer University of Adelaide, Australia

Dr. Zhen Yuan

B.E., Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering University of Sciences and Technology of China, China Professor, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, China

Dr. Jui-Sheng Chou

Ph.D. University of Texas at Austin, U.S.A. Department of Civil and Construction Engineering National Taiwan University of Science and Technology (Taiwan Tech)

Dr. Houfa Shen

Ph.D. Manufacturing Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, Structural Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tsinghua University, China

Prof. (LU), (UoS) Dr. Miklas Scholz

Cand Ing, BEng (equiv), PgC, MSc, Ph.D., CWEM, CEnv, CSci, CEng, FHEA, FIEMA, FCIWEM, FICE, Fellow of IWA, VINNOVA Fellow, Marie Curie Senior, Fellow, Chair in Civil Engineering (UoS) Wetland Systems, Sustainable Drainage, and Water Quality

Dr. Yudong Zhang

B.S., M.S., Ph.D. Signal and Information Processing, Southeast University Professor School of Information Science and Technology at Nanjing Normal University, China

Dr. Minghua He

Department of Civil Engineering Tsinghua University Beijing, 100084, China

Dr. Philip G. Moscoso

Technology and Operations Management IESE Business School, University of Navarra Ph.D. in Industrial Engineering and Management, ETH Zurich M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, ETH Zurich, Spain

Dr. Stefano Mariani

Associate Professor, Structural Mechanics, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ph.D., in Structural Engineering Polytechnic University of Milan Italy

Dr. Ciprian Lapusan

Ph. D in Mechanical Engineering Technical University of Cluj-Napoca Cluj-Napoca (Romania)

Dr. Francesco Tornabene

Ph.D. in Structural Mechanics, University of Bologna Professor Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering University of Bologna, Italy

Dr. Kitipong Jaojaruek

B. Eng, M. Eng, D. Eng (Energy Technology, Asian Institute of Technology). Kasetsart University Kamphaeng Saen (KPS) Campus Energy Research Laboratory of Mechanical Engineering

Dr. Burcin Becerik-Gerber

University of Southern California Ph.D. in Civil Engineering Ddes, from Harvard University M.S. from University of California, Berkeley M.S. from Istanbul, Technical University

Hiroshi Sekimoto

Professor Emeritus Tokyo Institute of Technology Japan Ph.D., University of California Berkeley

Dr. Shaoping Xiao

BS, MS Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering, Northwestern University The University of Iowa, Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Center for Computer-Aided Design

Dr. A. Stegou-Sagia

Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering, Environmental Engineering School of Mechanical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

Diego Gonzalez-Aguilera

Ph.D. Dep. Cartographic and Land Engineering, University of Salamanca, Avilla, Spain

Dr. Maria Daniela

Ph.D in Aerospace Science and Technologies Second University of Naples, Research Fellow University of Naples Federico II, Italy

Dr. Omid Gohardani

Ph.D. Senior Aerospace/Mechanical/ Aeronautical,
Engineering professional M.Sc. Mechanical Engineering,
M.Sc. Aeronautical Engineering B.Sc. Vehicle
Engineering Orange County, California, US

Dr. Paolo Veronesi

Ph.D., Materials Engineering, Institute of Electronics,
Italy President of the master Degree in Materials
Engineering Dept. of Engineering, Italy

CONTENTS OF THE ISSUE

- i. Copyright Notice
- ii. Editorial Board Members
- iii. Chief Author and Dean
- iv. Contents of the Issue

- 1. Towards Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid: AC/DC Transmission Merge Solutions. *1-71*
- 2. Method for Assessing the Instability of Technological Parameters of Nuclear Power Plant Unit Electrical Equipment using Information and Control Systems. *73-80*
- 3. Modern Network Security Threats and Defense Mechanisms: A Comparative Study of Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems. *81-87*

- v. Fellows
- vi. Auxiliary Memberships
- vii. Preferred Author Guidelines
- viii. Index



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
Volume 25 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2025
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 & Print ISSN: 0975-5861

Towards Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid: AC/DC Transmission Merge Solutions

By Alexandre Pavlovski

Abstract- A major coordinated effort promoted to move clean electricity “freely from coast to coast” is related to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid interconnecting all its provinces through highest-quality power transmission. The proposed Canada's Supergrid would enable and ensure reliability, resilience and energy security of each of the provincial transmission grids and the Transcontinental Supergrid as a whole.

Supergrid presents the infrastructure for Canada's emerging national electricity market. It is backed up by inter-regional scale wholesale experiences of the Australian National Electricity Market, European Internal Electricity Market and wholesale electricity markets administered by Regional Transmission Organizations in the U.S.

For Transcontinental Supergrid planning, adjusted total transfer capability limits for interprovincial and international transmission paths are proposed to establish an interprovincial coast-to-coast transfer capability target.

Keywords: *transcontinental supergrid, power transmission, wholesale electricity market, high voltage direct current, back-to-back power converter station, voltage source converter, provincial inertia, international interconnection, reliability, resilience and energy security.*

GJRE-F Classification: LCC Code: TK1001–1841



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Towards Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid: AC/DC Transmission Merge Solutions

Alexandre Pavlovski

Abstract- A major coordinated effort promoted to move clean electricity “freely from coast to coast” is related to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid interconnecting all its provinces through highest-quality power transmission. The proposed Canada's Supergrid would enable and ensure reliability, resilience and energy security of each of the provincial transmission grids and the Transcontinental Supergrid as a whole.

Supergrid presents the infrastructure for Canada's emerging national electricity market. It is backed up by inter-regional scale wholesale experiences of the Australian National Electricity Market, European Internal Electricity Market and wholesale electricity markets administered by Regional Transmission Organizations in the U.S.

For Transcontinental Supergrid planning, adjusted total transfer capability limits for interprovincial and international transmission paths are proposed to establish an interprovincial coast-to-coast transfer capability target.

In Supergrid transmission planning, High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) is seen as a key Supergrid segment

leading in today's AC/DC Transmission Merge. HVDC has demonstrated globally major improvements in its capabilities, increasingly needed to enhance the existing AC grid upgrade. HVDC multi-value makes it highly competitive technically and economically. High capacity, long-distance, controllable, multi-terminal HVDC technology is particularly valuable for transcontinental transmission across multiple jurisdictions.

HVDC Back-to-Back (B2B) solutions for provincial interties and international interconnections present a compelling case for the Supergrid planning. A set of eight HVDC Voltage Source Converter (VSC)-based B2B stations, 4,860 MW in total, is proposed as a core Supergrid Solution to leverage prompt planning and deployment of Canada's Supergrid.

Keywords: *transcontinental supergrid, power transmission, wholesale electricity market, high voltage direct current, back-to-back power converter station, voltage source converter, provincial intertie, international interconnection, reliability, resilience and energy security.*

Graphic Abstract




Fig. 1

1. INTRODUCTION: SUPERGRIDS ARE TODAY'S SUCCESSFUL REALITY

a) *"Electricity Highways" unite Canada on a New Level*

Year by year, Canada aims to create a more cohesive, prosperous, and equitable society, supporting its provinces' efforts, addressing internal challenges in economic development and contributing to international collaboration globally.

Uniting Canada's efforts to safeguard and strengthen "One Canadian Economy" vision [1] and "build Canada into an economic superpower" [2] requires the country to review and upgrade its strategic economic pathways to remove any barriers to internal trade and grow competitive industrial innovations.

One of these strategic pathways is focused on competitive wholesale markets and related to clean electricity, one of the key high-quality products Canada's economy has been built on. Competitive electricity wholesale is well proven globally with many successful examples in North America, the European Union and Australia, demonstrating high efficiency and reliability of regional markets and power transmission system operations. Many Canadian provincial transmission operators are closely involved in advancing their own wholesale markets or are a part of regional transmission organizations administering these markets [3].

To make a new uniting step into a "coast-to-coast" competitive wholesale electricity market making it truly pan-Canadian, critical power transmission advancements have to be deployed, upgrading transmission grids through "electricity highways" innovations - supergrids.

b) *Indeed, Supergrids Today are a Power Transmission Reality Worldwide*

With the necessity for a robust transmission grid to reach remote renewable power generation, make interconnections between jurisdictions for energy trading, and accommodate the expected growth of power consumption, supergrids already became a preferred regional and inter-regional multi-value solution. Being technologically advanced, supergrids embed High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) technology (e.g., [4,5]), presenting Alternate Current (AC) and Direct Current (DC) merge in action [6].

c) *HVDC Technology in Supergrids for Power Transmission is Transformational*

Large-scale advancements in HVDC deployment and operations shaped the industry for the next decade [7].

This included "unprecedented levels of investments and contract awards, creating a firm project pipeline and enabling the industry to make the required investments in the supply chain to increase much-needed production capacity".

The recently announced multi-purpose supergrid infrastructure projects, embedding multi-terminal HVDC technology, combine offshore wind export and interconnection functionality. Long term strategic grid plans including key roles for multi-terminal HVDC overlaying existing HVAC grids as the bulk electrical energy carrier of choice were also published by several European transmission grid operators.

The volume of HVDC-based supergrid tenders and frameworks announced only in 2023 worldwide, demonstrating at least 46 new HVDC projects based on Voltage Source Converter technology to be installed over the next decade, and equating to a 94.3 GW addition of HVDC transmission capacity, with over USD 140 billion publicly announced [7], confirms an immediate need for Canada to consider a national-scale supergrid investment to upgrade its power transmission coast-to-coast and strengthen its export/import infrastructure.

d) *Canada is Geo-Economically Unique, and so are the Needs of its Power System*

An excerpt from a report prepared for Electricity Canada [8] defines Canadian Electricity System today as follows:

"The Canadian electricity sector is unique in generation mix, geography, and regulatory structure when compared with other North American jurisdictions. Regulation of the sector takes place at the provincial level with limited regulation of transmission lines that cross provincial boundaries.

(...) Most Canadian provinces operate nearly as islands, with limited connectivity amongst Western Provinces (BC, Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba) and similarly limited connectivity between the Eastern Provinces (Ontario, Quebec, and Atlantic Canada)".

The uniqueness of Canada's power transmission system needs calls for addressing an immediate supergrid opportunity in Canada: the country must deploy a coast-to-coast Transcontinental Supergrid that would address all transmission needs of provincial transmission operators, ensure strong provincial interties between the transmission grids and with remote offshore wind generation in the Atlantic, and international interconnections with wholesale electricity markets in the U.S. It would also address remote industrial consumption needs.

e) *Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid is Requested to be Promptly Considered as a "Nation-Building" Infrastructure Project*

To review Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid opportunity, let us look at a glance at the current realities of the provincial transmission grids, consider upgrading the interties between them, and better understand how the ten provincial transmission grids may be transformed into Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid.

We will think about a transcontinental transmission target as well as the export/import targets with wholesale markets in the U.S.

Finally, we will make an initial assessment of potential investments in Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Interconnecting by Supergrid

i. Transforming Power Transmission in Canada: Requirements for Success

a. "One Canadian Economy" Needs Pan-Canadian Wholesale Electricity Market

Canada is already on its way to strengthening "One Canadian Economy" vision through achieving its low carbon practice. As a part of this geo-economic vision, Canadian provinces would trade electricity freely across the country; their provincial competitive electricity markets would have been grown, upgraded and enhanced [3].

The next immediate, critical and inevitable step in advancing Canada's geo-economic efforts is seen in transforming these provincial markets into a pan-Canadian wholesale electricity market (here referred to as Canadian National Electricity Market, further – CNEM).

CNEM is seen as a crucial part of Canada's energy sector, facilitating the wholesale trading of electricity across all the provinces and territories in the country.

CNEM would administer and facilitate the trading of electricity across the Transcontinental Supergrid, integrating, managing and coordinating dispatchable and non-dispatchable clean electricity generation sources and uses, and ensuring a stable and competitive market environment.

The key parts of the CNEM multi-value include the following:

- *Interconnected Transmission Grid:* CNEM would operate on the Transcontinental Supergrid with provincial interties and international interconnections
- *Wholesale Electricity Market:* CNEM would operate as a competitive wholesale market where generators (including Distributed Energy Resources aggregators) sell electricity, and distributors and retailers buy it to resell to consumers.
- *Demand Response:* Demand Response aggregators representing consumers would bid demand response into the wholesale market as a substitute for generation.
- *Regional Pricing:* Canadian NEM would operate in the five regions: Atlantic, Mid-Eastern, Central, Mid-Western and Pacific. Separate prices are determined for each of these Canadian NEM regions.

- *Renewable Energy Integration:* CNEM would play a key role in the integration of renewable energy sources, including wind, solar and geothermal.

CNEM would be governed by federal market rules and regulations, ensuring a fair and efficient trading environment across the country.

b. Transcontinental Supergrid is the Infrastructure for Pan-Canadian Market

The only way to trade electricity coast-to-coast is to promptly and efficiently develop and deploy Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid. This will align the provincial transmission systems operations with the needs identified in [9] to ensure reliability, resilience and energy security of these systems. This will also allow for meeting the future CNEM requirements to the provincial electricity systems.

Deploying Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid can be done by deeply engaging all the provincial power transmission grids to strengthen major "electricity highways" with embedded high voltage direct current (HVDC) multi-terminal, multi-vendor, multi-purpose solutions.

This will transform currently not well connected provincial transmission grids in Canada into a robust transcontinental transmission grid enabled with provincial interties and international interconnections. This will also enable integrating intra- and extra-provincial renewable power generation, making renewable generation fleets dispatchable [10], and accommodating the expected power consumption growth through the proposed CNEM's competitive wholesale electricity market operations.

To better understand emerging opportunities related to the future of Canadian National Electricity Market and the Transcontinental Supergrid as its infrastructure, let us review existing inter-regional transmission practices and achievements, and technological advances in the European Union, United States of America, and Australia.

ii. Learning from the EU: Practices and Achievements

a. Inter-Regional Wholesale Market: Using European Experience

To better define the realities and opportunities related to transmission in the electricity value chain in Canada, it may be important to learn from countries and their continental unions - regional organizations such as the European Union that "facilitate pan-continental integration, ranging from collaborative intergovernmental organizations to supranational politico-economic union" [11], and their common frameworks.

Specifically, a common framework related to the European Energy Market within the EU's Projects of Common Interest (PCI) [12,13], referred by the Canada Electricity Advisory Council [9], maybe adapted to the context of a pan-Canadian Wholesale Electricity Market and Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid as its

infrastructure. "Analogous to Canada in some ways, the EU is a federation of member states, each with jurisdiction over their own electricity systems. The PCI model is designed to facilitate cooperation on inter-regional energy projects between member nations by providing a centralized and agreed-upon methodology for identifying, allocating, and arbitrating costs and benefits, and by providing a mechanism to bridge cost asymmetries".

The today's EU's Projects of Common Interest model is backed by a European energy policy vision presented in the "Green Paper: A European strategy for sustainable, competitive and secure energy" of 2006 [14]. In this Green Paper the European Commission "asks the Member States to do everything in their power to implement a European energy policy built on three core objectives:

- Sustainability - To actively combat climate change by promoting renewable energy sources and energy efficiency;
- Competitiveness - To improve the efficiency of the European energy grid by creating a truly competitive internal energy market;
- Security of supply - To better coordinate the EU's supply of and demand for energy within an international context".

The Green Paper [14] clarified that "The first challenge facing Europe is the need to complete the internal gas and electricity markets." By "internal market" for electricity this document clearly understands a market covering the whole European Union.

Opening up the Member States markets to the internal EU market "will create fair competition between companies at European level and improve the security and competitiveness of the energy supply in Europe. As of July 2007, consumers will have the legal right to purchase gas and electricity from any supplier in the EU. In order to make an internal energy market a reality, the following core areas need particular attention:

- A *European grid* with common rules and standards for cross-border trade is needed to give suppliers harmonised access to national grids. These common rules will be drawn up in cooperation with grid operators and, if necessary, with a European energy regulator;
- A *priority interconnection plan* to stimulate investment in infrastructure linking the various national grids, most of which are still not adequately interconnected;
- *Investment in generation capacity* to meet peaks in demand can be encouraged by opening up markets which are truly competitive;
- A more *clear-cut unbundling of activities* to distinguish clearly between those which generate and those which transmit and distribute gas and electricity. The confusion which is being created in

certain countries is a form of protectionism for which further measures at Community level could be considered;

- *Boosting the competitiveness of European industry* by securing the availability of energy at affordable prices."

According to "Fact Sheets on the European Union. Internal energy market" [15] updated in April 2024, "The European energy market is competitive, customer-centred, flexible and non-discriminatory. Its measures address issues of market access, transparency and regulation, consumer protection, interconnections and security of supply. They strengthen the rights of individual customers, energy communities and vulnerable consumers, clarify the roles and responsibilities of market participants and regulators, and promote the development of trans-European energy networks."

Completing the EU's internal market objectives "requires several steps: removing numerous obstacles and trade barriers, aligning tax and pricing policies with norms and standards, and implementing environmental and safety regulations. The objective is to ensure a functioning market with fair access, high consumer protection and sufficient levels of interconnection and generation capacity."

The EU's internal market completion achievements are defined by the following historical steps in the liberalisation of gas and electricity markets:

"During the 1990s, the European Union and its Member States began a gradual process of opening up their monopolistic national electricity and gas markets to competition. This initiative unfolded through several legislative packages:

- The First Energy Package, adopted between 1996 and 1998, introduced a first liberalisation of national energy markets;
- The Second Energy Package, adopted in 2003, allowed industrial and domestic consumers to choose their own energy suppliers from a wider range of competitors;
- The Third Energy Package, adopted in 2009, introduced rules on the separation of energy supply and generation from transmission networks (unbundling), new requirements for independent regulators, a European agency for the cooperation of national energy regulators (ACER), European networks for transmission system operators for electricity (ENTSO-E) and gas (ENTSO-G) and enhanced consumers' rights in retail markets;
- The Fourth Energy Package, known as '*Clean Energy for all Europeans*' and adopted in 2019, introduced new rules for renewable energy, consumer incentives and limits on subsidies to power plants, such as capacity mechanisms. It required the preparation of risk-mitigation plans for

electricity crises and increased ACER's competences for cross-border cooperation;

- The Fifth Energy Package, known as 'Fit For 55' and adopted in 2024, aligns the Union's energy targets with its new net-zero climate ambitions and extends the gas package to hydrogen. After the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022, the REPowerEU plan amended it to phase out Russian fossil energy imports, diversify energy sources, introduce energy-saving measures, and accelerate the shift to renewables. The reform of the electricity market design introduced new rules for long-term contracts and increased protection of vulnerable consumers”.

b. *Connecting Synchronous Areas in the European Union*

ENTSO-E, the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity, is the association for the cooperation of the European transmission system operators (TSOs) [16] operating within six synchronous areas in the European Union (see Fig. 1.2.1):

- Continental Europe Synchronous Area (CESA),
- Baltic Synchronous Area (BSA),
- Nordic (Scandinavian) Synchronous Area (NSA),
- British Synchronous Area, also called Great Britain Synchronous Area (GBSA) and United Kingdom Synchronous Area,
- Irish Synchronous Area (ISA), and

- Isolated Systems Area, also called Isolated systems of Cyprus and Iceland.




Fig. 1.2.1: Synchronous areas operated by ENTSO-E [17]

Interconnections of the synchronous areas in the EU are done by HVDC power lines (see Fig. 1.2.2):




Fig. 1.2.2: Interconnections of the synchronous areas by HVDC power lines (dark green) [24]

Continental Europe Synchronous Area [18]

The Continental Europe Synchronous Area (CESA) is one of the largest synchronous electrical grids in the world, primarily operating in Europe. It is interconnected as a single phase-locked 50 Hz frequency electricity grid that supplies over 400 million customers in 32 countries, including most of the European Union. The transmission system operators operating this grid formed the Union for the Coordination of Transmission of Electricity (UCTE), now part of the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E).

Baltic Synchronous Area [19]

The three Baltic states (Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia) undertook the synchronization of their electric power transmission infrastructure with the Continental Europe Synchronous Area (CESA), a project known as Baltic Synchro. Managed by ENTSO-E, this initiative aimed to disconnect from the IPS/UPS system, previously governed by the 2001 BRELL Agreement with Belarus and Russia. The project was successfully completed on February 9, 2025.

On December 9, 2015, Poland and Lithuania commissioned LitPol Link, which was the first direct

connection between the Baltic states and the European grid. In 2018, another proposed link with Poland via the Baltic Sea was announced, called Harmony Link. The total investment planned for the Harmony Link project is around €680 million, of which €493 million will come from the Connecting Europe Facility. The Baltic States also have connections with the Nordic electricity grid via NordBalt and Estlink, although Estlink was operating at one-third capacity at the time of the grid switchover.

Nordic (Scandinavian) Synchronous Area [20]

The Nordic regional group (formerly NORDEL) of ENTSO-E is a synchronous electrical grid composed of the electricity grids of Norway, Sweden, Finland and the eastern part of electricity sector in Denmark (Zealand with islands and Bornholm). The grid is not synchronized with the Continental Europe Synchronous Area but has a number of non-synchronous DC connections with that as well as other synchronous grids. Gotland is not synchronized with the Swedish mainland either, as it is connected by HVDC. The grid also has HVDC submarine power cable links with the Baltic States – see Fig. 1.2.3:




Fig. 1.2.3: Selected HVDC links connected to the Nordic and Baltic power systems as of 2023 [25]

Irish Synchronous Area [21,22]

EirGrid and the System Operator Northern Ireland (hereafter referred to as "SONI") operate in the Synchronous Area IE/NI. The transmission systems of Ireland and NI are electrically connected and synchronised. EirGrid and SONI work closely as required by the respective TSO licences to ensure that security standards are maintained on the Synchronous Area IE/NI.

Isolated Systems Area

Within the ENTSO-E network, the "isolated systems area" refers to regions like Cyprus and Iceland, which are not directly connected to the main European synchronous grid and operate as separate power

systems, meaning they are not synchronized with the rest of the continental European grid; essentially, they are considered "islands" within the larger European electricity network [23].

c. Supergrid for Enhancing the Market

According to "A European Supergrid" Memorandum [26] submitted by "Friends of the Supergrid" in 2012, Supergrid was seen as "an electricity transmission system, mainly based on direct current, designed to facilitate large-scale sustainable power generation in remote areas for transmission to centres of consumption, one of whose fundamental attributes will be the enhancement of the market in electricity."

A "Roadmap to the Supergrid Technologies" [27] following this Memorandum and published in 2014 stated that the decarbonisation of Europe's energy sector requires a strong, integrated Supergrid, and the development of such a grid can start today alongside the installation of new renewable power plants. The Roadmap presented the following vision: "A *Transcontinental Supergrid* will allow Europe to confront the threats posed by climate change, secure an independent energy future for the continent and provide ongoing access to affordable and stable supplies of energy that meet all our needs.(...)"

Replacing the constrained, hierarchical one-to-many model of the past, such a grid would become a many-to-many intelligent network that is largely automated and able to operate, monitor and, to some extent, heal itself. As well as providing a safer and cleaner supply of electricity, such a grid will also deliver considerable savings in terms of transmission costs and reductions in lost supply; in short, it will be more flexible, more reliable and better able to meet our needs."

The Roadmap presented Supergrid "as the term for the future electricity system that will enable Europe to undertake a one-off transition to sustainability. This transmission network will make possible the delivery of decarbonised electricity across the continent, enhancing the existing AC networks. It will become the backbone of Europe's future power system. Europe's challenging renewable energy targets will necessitate the development of renewable generation remote from existing population centres, with much of it based offshore... Supergrid will allow future generation to be built where resources are optimal and transported to existing grids for delivery to existing and future load centres."

The Roadmap [27] defined applications for Supergrid including the following "key findings:

- The Supergrid will allow future generation to be built where the required natural resources are optimal rather than where they are convenient for transmission
- The Supergrid will allow the transmission of decarbonised electricity across countries, enhancing existing AC networks
- The Supergrid will incorporate "Smart Grid" technologies that offer considerable savings in maintenance cost and loss of supply during transmission
- The Supergrid will prove integral to meeting carbon reduction targets for 2020 and 2050 including those necessitated by moving an increasing share of transport and heating to the electricity grid
- The Supergrid will expand transmission capacity while, at the same time maintaining (at least) today's security of supply".

The Roadmap [27] reviewed Network Technologies for Supergrid "with a look to the future through emerging technologies that hold out the prospect of revolutionising the transmission of electrical energy". The document showed that using existing technology "it is possible to construct a grid that takes advantage of variable conditions across the continent to ensure reliability of supply".

The Roadmap [27] demonstrated that "no insurmountable hurdles to the creation of a pan-European transmission network have been identified" and that "remaining difficulties relate principally to interoperability between regulatory regimes and manufacturers' equipment." It was shown that so-called "network supernodes" complementing HVDC and HVAC technology can contribute to achieving the required security of supply in larger HVDC networks.

d. *Trans-European Networks for Energy*

Trans-European Networks for Energy (TEN-E) is a policy focused on linking the energy infrastructures of EU countries (see a fact sheet on Trans-European Networks) [28]. As part of the policy, 11 priority corridors have been identified: three for electricity, five for offshore grids and three for hydrogen. Additionally, there are three priority thematic areas: smart electricity grid deployment, smart gas grids and a cross-border carbon dioxide network.

The TEN-E *Regulation (EU) 2022/869* lays down guidelines for trans-European energy networks, identifying projects of common interest (PCIs) among EU countries, projects of mutual interest (PMIs) between the EU and non-EU countries, and priority projects involving trans-European energy networks. This regulation ended support for new natural gas and oil projects and required mandatory sustainability criteria for all projects.



Priority Offshore Grid Corridors

- 1 Northern Seas Offshore Grids (NSOG)
- 2 Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan (BEMIP offshore)
- 3 Atlantic Offshore Grids (AOG)
- 4 South and West Offshore Grids (SW offshore)
- 5 South and East Offshore Grids (SE offshore)

■ ENTSO-E Member
■ ENTSO-E Observer Member

TEN-E Priority Offshore Grid Corridors	Countries involved
1. NSOG	BE, DK, FR, DE, IE, LU, NL, SE
2. BEMIP offshore	DK, EE, FI, DE, LT, LV, PL, SE
3. AOG	FR, IE, PT, ES
4. SW offshore	FR, GR, IT, MT, PT, ES
5. SE offshore	BG, CY, HR, GR, IT, RO, SI



Fig. 1.2.4: TEN-E Priority Offshore Grid Corridors as laid down in Regulation (EU) 2022/869 [29]

New PCIs for energy and cross-border renewable energy projects are funded by the Connecting Europe Facility 2021-2027 for Energy (CEF-E), a funding instrument with a seven-year budget of EUR 5.84 billion allocated in the form of grants managed by the Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency. The Commission draws up the list of PCIs via a delegated act, which enters into force only if Parliament or the Council express no objection within two months following notification.

e. *Multi-Terminal Multi-Vendor HVDC*

One of the current steps of upgrading HVDC deployment and AC/DC transmission merge is defined by multi-terminal DC grids (MTDC), HVDC transmission systems that connect more than two terminals, allowing for multiple power sources and load points to be

integrated into a single DC grid, enhancing reliability, flexibility, and energy trading [30,31,32].

MTDC systems are an evolution of traditional two-terminal HVDC links, enabling the integration of multiple converter stations into a single DC grid. The current level of Multi-Terminal Multi-Vendor deployment globally is demonstrated by the following projects in the EU.

READY4DC: “Getting ready for multi-vendor and multi-terminal DC technology” Project, supported by Horizon Europe, started on 1 April 2022 and was completed on 30 November 2023 [33]. The project “has created and engaged a community of experts that gave recommendations on the major technical and legal aspects of designing and building an interoperable multi-vendor HVDC grid” [34] (see Fig. 1.2.5):



Fig. 1.2.5: The READY4DC Project Partners [35]

A set of key project deliverables [36] included Legal and Regulatory Aspects of a Multi-Vendor Multi-Terminal HVDC Grid [37], Multi-Vendor Interoperability Process and Demonstration Definition [38], Long-Term View for HVDC Technology [39], and Framing the European Energy System [40].

Project Aquila is a world-leading project developing a Multi-Terminal, Multi-Vendor DC-hub in Peterhead, Scotland to establish the foundations for DC-Grids in Great Britain [41]. As part of the project, in

2023-2024 the Great Britain's National HVDC Centre undertook the workstream of "Aquila Interoperability". According to the projections [42], advances in MTDC deployment in Great Britain will bring the development level from radial/multi terminal HVDC (1.4GW max, 320kV) with limited number of terminals today to large offshore HVDC hubs (>3600MW generation) with onshore DC circuit breakers (DCCBs) at scale by 2040 (see Project Aquila, Fig. 1.2.6):




Fig. 1.2.6: MTDC deployment direction in Great Britain [42]

InterOPERA: "Enabling interoperability of multi-vendor HVDC grids" *Project* is a Horizon Europe-funded initiative aiming to make future High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) systems mutually compatible and interoperable by design, paving the way for multi-terminal, multi-vendor, and multi-purpose HVDC grids in Europe. The project was officially launched in Lyon, France in

January 2023 [43, 44] as "a joint initiative involving eight TSOs, three offshore wind developers, four HVDC equipment manufacturers, two wind turbine manufacturers, two sector associations, two universities under the coordination of a research and innovation institute" (Fig. 1.2.7):




Fig. 1.2.7: InterOPERA Project Partners [45]

Functional requirements for multivendor multi-terminal HVDC grids (InterOPERA deliverable D2.1) were presented at CIGRE 2024 in Paris on August 29, 2024 [46]. Grid-forming functional requirements for HVDC converter stations and DC connected power park modules in multi-terminal multi-vendor HVDC systems (InterOPERA deliverable D2.2) were also published in January 2024 [47, 48].

HVDC-WISE: "Reliable and resilient AC & DC grid design to accelerate the integration of renewables across Europe" project [49] "investigates concepts and proposes solutions to encourage the development of large-scale HVDC-based transmission grid infrastructures capable of bringing benefits to the existing power networks in terms of resilience and reliability, as well as integrating the upcoming large amount of renewable energy sources. This project proposes, designs, and validates HVDC-based grid architecture concepts to make the widespread deployment of reliable and resilient AC/DC transmission networks possible, thus enabling the realization of the European energy transition" [51]. The HVDC-WISE project started in October 2022 and is expected to be completed in March 2026; it is a multidisciplinary initiative with 14 collaborators from 11 countries

representing the academic (5), transmission system operators (4), and industry (5) sectors [50]:



Fig. 1.2.8: HVDC-WISE Project Consortium [50]

The European Commission has defined plans for the development of renewable energies to reach climate neutrality by 2050. This change in production of electricity from fossil fuels to wind and solar power generation will lead to dramatic changes in power flows across AC transmission networks. HVDC is increasingly being recognized as the most effective technology to handle the transport of this energy (see Fig. 1.2.9).




Fig. 1.2.9: Widespread Hybrid AC/DC System [51]

Milestones/Objectives of the HVDC-WISE Project are as follows [52]:

- Develop a complete reliability-&-resilience-oriented planning toolset with appropriate representation of different HVDC-based grid architecture concepts in hybrid AC/DC grids
- Identify, propose and compare different HVDC-based grid architecture concepts aiming to address TSOs' reliability and resilience needs for widespread AC/DC systems
- Identify and assess emerging technologies for HVDC-based grid architecture concepts needed for the deployment of widespread AC/DC transmission grids
- Validate the toolset and grid architecture in an industrially relevant environment
- Prepare for the adoption and deployment of these proposed solutions by the industry.

As a follow-up of the HVDC-WISE project, In July 2024 an important joint project for the energy

transition: "Energy future "made in Europe": Multiterminal hubs as an important building block for realising the climate-neutral grid" was launched by Germany for Multi-Terminal, Multi-Vendor (MTMV) HVDC Systems deployment [53]. The four German transmission system operators, 50Hertz, Amprion, TenneT and TransnetBW,

collaborated with industrial partners Siemens Energy, GE Vernova and Hitachi Energy aiming to develop multi-terminal hubs with direct current circuit breakers for the first time in order to link the new extra-high voltage direct current connections (Fig. 1.2.10).




Fig. 1.2.10: The first multiterminal hubs to be built in northern Germany [53]

f. European Transmission Planning

Transmission planning of grid development projects in the European Union, including all HVDC-related projects, is presented in the Ten-Year Network Development Plan (TYNDP) [54]. The TYNDP is the pan-European electricity infrastructure development plan, that provides a European-wide vision of the future power system and investigates how power links can be used to make the energy transition happen in a cost-effective and secure way.

The TYNDP transmission planning process consists of four main processes: the building of scenarios, the project collection, the identification of system needs, and the project cost-benefit analysis. This complies with the TEN-E Regulation, which requires projects to be assessed under different planning scenarios, each of which represents a possible future development of the energy system.

The most recent 4th Guideline for cost-benefit analysis of grid development projects was issued in March 2024 [55]. This Guideline was prepared by the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E) in compliance with the requirements of the EU Regulation (EU) 2022/869 on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure (referred to as 'the TEN-E Regulation').

The Guideline addresses the projects contained in TYNDR, including the Projects of Common Interest (PCI) and Projects of Mutual Interest (PMI). It is also

focused on the cross-border cost allocation process as required by the TEN-E Regulation (Fig. 1.2.11).



Fig. 1.2.11: Cross-border Transmission Grid and Interconnectors expected in 2030 [56]

The Guideline provides general guidance on how to assess projects from a cost-benefit analysis (CBA) perspective. It describes the ENTSO-E's criteria for performing CBA in addition to the common principles and methodologies used in the necessary network studies, market analyses and interlinked modelling methodologies.

iii. *North American Inter-Regional HVDC Advances*
 a. *Cross-Seam Transfer Upgrade*

From an inter-regional power transfer upgrade angle, it may be important to highlight that power transmission in the contiguous U.S. is presented by three non-synchronised power grids: the Eastern Interconnection (EI), Western Interconnection (WI) and Texas interconnection (TI), with a power seam between the Eastern and Western interconnections, and a power seam between the Eastern and Texas interconnections.

Inter-regional cross-seam transmission means connecting non-synchronised grids through the use of high-voltage direct current HVDC technology and facilities; e.g., electricity flow between the Eastern and Western Interconnections is enabled by seven back-to-back (B2B) high-voltage direct-current (HVDC) facilities (1,320 megawatts (MW) in total).

Increasing cross-seam transmission capacity represents a timely and impactful opportunity to modernize and strengthen the U.S. transmission grid.

Clear understanding of this opportunity was presented in 2020 by the Interconnections Seam Study

[57, 58, 59] uniquely capturing “capacity expansion and production cost at an unprecedented geographic scale and detail, all performed with consistent data inputs”.

The Interconnections Seam Study “examined the potential economic value of increasing electricity transfer between the Eastern and Western Interconnections using high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission and cost-optimizing both generation and transmission resources across the United States”. With the U.S. resource portfolio in transition, the ability to share additional resources across the seam was seen economically attractive under a variety of possible futures.

Increasing cross-seam transfer expected to “create a more integrated power system that could drive economic growth and increase efficient development and utilization of the nation's abundant energy resources, including solar, wind, and natural gas”.




Fig. 1.3.1: Electricity sources and uses in the U.S. [61]

The Study's approach to designing a national transmission network under these futures uniquely captured "capacity expansion and production cost at an unprecedented geographic scale and detail, all performed with consistent data inputs".

The Study "co-optimized capacity expansion and systems operations to quantify the potential value of increasing the transmission capacity between the EI and WI using HVDC technology to facilitate more economically efficient exchange of power and adequacy throughout the United States".

Several cross-seam HVDC designs were studied with one of these designs (called "the macrogrid") presenting features similar to those of previously developed transmission overlays. In each cross-seam transmission design, HVDC capacity was co-optimized not only with generation investments but also with AC transmission investments to ensure that AC transmission investment needs were satisfied.




Fig. 1.3.2: Macrogrid - a nationwide HVDC transmission network [61]

The four conceptual transmission designs (D1, D2a, D2b and D3 – see Table 1.3.1) were studied under eight different grid planning scenarios: Base Case, Low Gas Price, High Gas Price, High AC Transmission Cost

(1.5x), High AC Transmission Cost (2x), No Retirements, Low-Cost Renewables, and High Variable Generation (VG).

Table 1.3.1: Future Transmission Designs

Design	Future Transmission Description
Design 1 (D1)	Existing B2B facilities are replaced at their current (2017) capacity level and new AC transmission and generation are co-optimized to minimize system-wide costs.
Design 2a (D2a)	Existing B2B facilities are replaced at a capacity rating that is co-optimized along with other investments in AC transmission and generation.
Design 2b (D2b)	Three HVDC transmission segments are built between the Eastern Interconnection and Western Interconnection and existing B2B facilities are co-optimized with other investments in AC transmission and generation.
Design 3 (D3)	Macrogrid (a nationwide HVDC transmission network) is built and additional AC transmission and generation are co-optimized to minimize system costs.

Transmission Investment Summary
Base Scenario

Design→	D1	D2a	D2b	D3
HVDC-B2B (GW)	0	6.7	6.3	0
HVDC-Line (GW-miles)	0	0	14,487	29,062
AC Line (GW-miles)	18,409	19,357	17,778	16,076

High VG Scenario

Design→	D1	D2a	D2b	D3
HVDC-B2B (GW)	0	25.7	7.5	0
HVDC-Line (GW-miles)	0	0	31,335	63,156
AC Line (GW-miles)	52,737	60,141	50,964	43,190

Note: New transmission investments are identified for B2B in terms of GW increased capacity between B2B terminals, and for lines in terms of GW-miles (which is the GW capacity multiplied by the path distance).

Fig. 1.3.3: Transmission Investment Summary [61]

b. Macrogrid Leading Transmission Futures

While the needs for interregional transmission planning have been well recognized, the next step in the U.S. vision promoting continental macrogrids in the U.S. transmission mainstream was promoted by people deeply understanding the necessity of interconnecting clean/renewable power generation and transmitting it across the country [60-66]. The Macro Grid Initiative as a joint effort of the American Council on Renewable Energy (ACORE) and Americans for a Clean Energy Grid (ACEG) promoted prompt investment in a 21st century transmission infrastructure to enhance reliability, improve efficiency and deliver more low-cost clean energy. The "Macro Grids in the Mainstream" report of 2020 [61] addressed interregional transmission and Macro Grids to illuminate their value in the U.S. Terms were defined for interregional transmission ("transmission between two or more distinct geographical regions that are otherwise planned and operated separately, or between two or more distinct geographical regions that are separated by significant distance") and macrogrid ("a network of interregional

transmission lines, generally expansive in geographical scope"), the term very close to the "supergrid" term used globally. It was highlighted that "macrogrids and high-voltage interregional transmission connections are either already in place, under development or being considered almost everywhere in the world"; e.g., China had recently completed five times more high-voltage interregional transmission than Europe, and over 80 times more than the U.S. Seeing macrogrid development as a natural and unsurprising next stage of electric industry evolution in the U.S. "because of its geographical centrality and size, its high electricity consumption, the benefits likely to accrue from interregional transmission development, and the fact that it has both north-south and east-west opportunities that appear attractive", the report contributed to the U.S. government review of its policies to address current challenges to interregional transmission and macrogrid development.

Defined benefits in [61] associated with interregional transmission and macrogrids include cost reduction via sharing; economic development; improved

reliability; enhanced resilience and adaptability; increased renewable levels; and lowered cost of reducing emissions.

The main costs associated with developing interregional transmission and Macro Grids are transmission line costs (including public outreach, regulatory approval, and permitting) and substation costs (including the cost of converter stations for HVDC).

While historically in the U.S. the amount of time required to plan and build interregional transmission ranged 7.5 years to 13 years creating disincentives for organizations to initiate interregional transmission projects, it was proposed to reduce the risk by simplifying and shortening these processes. To address this based on the experts approach [61-66], "an interregional transmission design should take advantage of the strengths of both AC and DC technologies, combining AC in doing what AC does best with DC in doing what DC does best", using HVDC capabilities to make macrogrids "economically attractive to move energy, ancillary services, and capacity from a region where it is low-priced to other regions where they are high-priced".

Stepping into the second quarter of the 21st century, the experts presented "an HVDC macrogrid spanning the continental US from the Atlantic seaboard to the Pacific coast, and from Florida, the Gulf coast, and Southern California northwards to Canadian border, with the easternmost north-south link in the Atlantic serving the region's offshore wind" with much of the benefit "driven by annual load diversity which allows shared capacity and significantly reduces what individual regions would have to build otherwise."

As the seven RTOs in the U.S. "provide a regional force having no profit motivation, trusted for

their knowledge and experience, providing a familiar and collaborative environment within which entities may engage and negotiate", in the hypothetical scenario presented by the Macrogrid Initiative the macrogrid "was designed by a multiregional collaborative stakeholder group comprised mainly of experts from the RTOs with vendors and consultants hired where appropriate; a sister organization consisted of representatives from each state's regulatory body. Development and construction of this system was funded by merchants, utilities, state governments, and the Federal government".

According to the scenario in [61], "The HVDC national grid operator controls the HVDC network. RTOs retain regional control of the AC network. Power generally flows west-to-east and south-to-north during daytime hours and reverses these directions during nighttime hours. The system is self-contingent, i.e., its operational rules provide flow limits in each link which enable operating within all limits while safely withstanding loss of any one link."

c. On the Path to a National Macrogrid

Addressing possible reasons why some regions have opposed the development of macrogrids, a Reliable Continental Design concept was further proposed (see Fig. 1.3.4) extending the macrogrid to the east coast to include the offshore wind resources [63]. This design provided a third north-south link in the Atlantic Ocean, increasing macrogrid economies of sharing to include those between the U.S. Northeast and Southeast and satisfying the "rule of three" that establishes high-capacity interregional transmission with three or more parallel lines as most economically attractive [63].




Fig. 1.3.4: Advancing the Macrogrid [63]

A unique opportunity for a detailed exploration of an alternative to the conventional transmission expansion process to address identified challenges for the U.S. electric power system is presented in [67].

The advanced hybrid grid was seen part of the key for the massive transmission expansion required to support very high levels of clean electricity for the United States.

The macrogrid concept proposed was seen as more than massive build-out of conventional high-voltage DC (HVDC) lines and converter stations. The macrogrid vision presented "a backbone of long-distance lines composed of networked, multi-terminal HVDC based on voltage source converter (VSC) technology."

The tasks proposed specific steps including:

- Technical studies on reliability, resilience, economics, and operations
- Coordination and oversight of the physical infrastructure.
- Cost comparisons
- Use of rights-of-way.




Fig. 1.3.5: Macrogrid Concept with Overlaid Clean Energy Resource Areas and Locations of Major Electricity Demand [67, FIGURE ES-1]

To expedite the national transmission planning process in the U.S. it was highlighted that “On interregional transmission development, the U.S. is the tortoise; China is the hare” [68]; from 2014 to 2021 China had planned or recently completed over 80 times more high-voltage transmission interconnections than the U.S., which had developed a mere 3 GW over that period.

Successfully developing a macrogrid requires “consensus, an available funding approach, and public support. China has all three and, as a result, has built or is building nearly three times more interregional transmission capacity than the rest of the world combined.”

To address this issue, the National Infrastructure Bank Act of 2023, introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives as HR 4052, provided \$80 billion toward “a new grid overlay to transport renewable energy” [69].

A clear motivation for expediting the Macrogrid deployment was presented in “The MacroGrid: Motivation, Implementation, and Orchestration” [70]: “The ability to move the most economically attractive

renewable energy to load centers is primary. However, the macrogrid also produces substantial economic benefits from diurnal load diversity and the ability to share energy and ancillary services across time zones; and from annual peaking diversity and the ability to enhance reliability and save money by sharing capacity across geographical regions. Additional reliability benefits are obtained through the control capability of voltage source converters. The macrogrid also enhances resilience through the ability to move energy during extreme events. Finally, it “buys” not only long-distance transmission but local transmission as well, by offloading the underlying AC grid. Doing so reduces the number of transmission projects necessary to reach certain renewable objectives, providing a path to transmission expansion that may not exist otherwise.”

According to [70], while most of the Macrogrid components are being proposed by merchant developers, other involved parties include local utility companies, regional transmission organizations (RTOs), load-serving entities, state governments, federal agencies, and equipment manufacturers. “Balancing the interests of these diverse entities is daunting; yet, the

industry has been here before, in terms of developing transmission on a geographically expansive scale to serve a complex group of organizations having only partially aligned and even competing interests.”

d. National Transmission Planning Study

To understand the transformation needed to ensure the U.S. electric transmission system meets a 90% greenhouse gas emissions reduction by 2035 and 100% by 2050, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Grid Deployment Office (GDO) partnered with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) on the multiyear National Transmission Planning Study (NTP Study) [71-76].

Selected statements from the Executive Summary of the NTP Study [72] below are as follows.

The net benefits from large-scale transmission expansion compared to historic rates of transmission deployment found by the Study include:

- Accelerated transmission expansion leads to national electricity system cost savings of \$270–490 billion through 2050.
- Incremental investments in transmission are more than compensated for by reduced electricity system costs for fuel, generation and storage capacity, and other costs. Approximately \$1.60 to \$1.80 is saved for every dollar spent on transmission.
- The benefits of transmission expansion to system costs scale with the level of electricity demand and rate of decarbonization.

A substantial 2.4 to 3.5 times expansion of the size of the transmission system throughout the entire contiguous United States compared to 2020 is expected by 2050. The use of high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission technologies, including advanced multiterminal converters, results in the greatest benefits to consumers across the transmission options studied.

Regardless of future policy, market, and technology conditions grid planning at the national or multiregional scale requires enhanced institutional coordination, accessible data, and new grid modeling approaches, which have advanced under the NTP Study in partnership with technical and planning experts.




Figure 1.3.6: HOT interfaces for the 90% by 2035, Mid-Demand scenario, for the AC (top), MT (middle), and P2P (bottom) frameworks [72, Fig. ES-11]

To meet the growing demand for electricity, improve electric service reliability and resilience, reduce consumer costs, and enable access to low-cost generation during both normal and emergency operations, there is growing recognition that additional interregional transmission capability and connectivity is necessary.

An expanded transmission system will help meet national energy objectives—supporting domestic manufacturing, enabling increasingly energy-intensive computing, and electrifying large parts of the economy—and continue to serve the evolving energy needs of the next century.

High Opportunity Transmission (HOT) interfaces represent transmission capacity expansion results between regions across many scenarios. Transmission projects that align with these HOT interfaces could be strong candidates for further study and serve as a starting point for accelerated transmission expansion – see Fig. 1.3.6.

Because the role and impact of transmission can vary based on many factors, the study team examined a wide range of demand growth and

emissions reduction scenarios across the four transmission frameworks: Referenced Transmission Framework (Limited, or Lim) and three Accelerated

Transmission Frameworks – Alternate Current (AC), Point-to-Point (P2P-HVDC) and Multiterminal Direct Current (MT-HVDC) – see Fig. 1.3.7 below:




Fig. 1.3.7: National Transmission Planning Study Frameworks [72]

Transmission portfolios that deliver broad-scale benefits to consumers were developed using laboratory and industry tools. These transmission portfolios demonstrate new interregional transmission combined with intraregional transmission upgrades can help meet the flexibility requirements of high renewable energy power systems.

The implementation of the AC scenario results in significant expansions of 345- and 500-kilovolt (kV) lines that help collect low-cost renewable energy in concentrated areas and deliver power over long distances. The MT-HVDC scenario uses MT HVDC for long-distance power transfers but still relies heavily on the expansion of the AC network to move power within and between regions. The MT-HVDC scenario also enables connections between the three interconnections, increasing the existing (as of 2023) amount of transfer capacity between the interconnections by greater than 20 times.




Fig. 1.3.8: Transmission portfolios for the 90% by 2035 Mid-Demand scenario for the AC (top) and MT-HVDC (bottom) transmission frameworks [72, Figure ES-12]

Only new transmission (developed between 2020 and 2035) is shown with the colored lines on the map. The gray lines in the background represent the existing network.

The AC and MT-HVDC transmission portfolios from [72, Figure ES-12] are only two specific illustrative implementations of the zonal HOT results. They provide a possible starting point for national or multi-regional transmission planning that could deliver the cost, reliability, and emissions benefits found in the study.

e. HVDC CORE Development

In 2023 the U.S. Department of Energy presented its HVDC COST REDuction (CORE) initiative supporting the research and development to reduce HVDC technology and long-distance transmission costs by 35% by 2035 [77, 78]. The major objective of the CORE initiative is “to develop and domestically manufacture HVDC transmission technologies to meet all U.S. market demands in a cost competitive manner”.

The HVDC system performance targets highlighted by the CORE initiative include the following areas [79]:

- Standardizing the technology to reduce project-specific design tailoring
- Promoting interoperability of multi-vendor systems
- Increasing power density of converters & cables
- Developing modular and standard circuit breakers

This also includes multi-terminal/meshed HVDC and its scalability (offshore/onshore), better ways to handle protection with overhead lines, and intelligent operation of substations, cables, lines, and components within the station.

Industrial advancements in the U.S. may be presented by MISO's current efforts to incorporate HVDC in Energy and Ancillary Services Market Operations, as well as Transmission Planning in MISO [80].

A background for both existing and proposed HVDC, with proposed projects at various development stages, is shown on Fig. 1.3.9 below:




Fig. 1.3.9: HVDC systems deployment in North America as of November 2024 [81]

To unlock and enable the benefits of intra- and inter-regional HVDC transmission, key HVDC Impact Assessment Questions addressing Markets, Reliability Operations and Resource Adequacy issues, were presented in April 2025 [82].

f. Offshore Wind HVDC Planning

In the Atlantic offshore HVDC applications area, standard and modular HVDC designs “supporting the Future Vision of an Open Access HVDC Grid” was presented in May 2023 [83] – see Fig. 1.3.10.



Fig. 1.3.10: Offshore Wind Opportunities in New England and Nova Scotia [83]

According to “The New England Maritimes Offshore Energy Corridor” [84], the proposed designs are consistent with the New England States' vision for an offshore grid, and best suited for future expansion and enabling interconnections with Gulf of Maine offshore wind. “A robust offshore HVDC grid creates opportunities for connecting Nova Scotia wind resources to emerging Gulf of Maine sites to take advantage of resource diversity between the New England and Maritimes wind energy zones... The distances to be covered by an offshore transmission system connecting Nova Scotia wind energy areas to New England make the use of HVDC transmission technology the best choice for those connections.”

Another example of efforts in offshore HVDC transmission is presented by Southern New England OceanGrid Proposal [85] (see Fig. 1.3.11).




Fig. 1.3.11: Southern New England OceanGrid Proposal (Anbaric) [85]

The Southern New England OceanGrid project proposed “developing a southern New England OceanGrid that includes a vision to:

- Connect offshore wind directly to load centers and robust grid connections
- Meet needs identified by ISO-NE for new paths for offshore wind to integrate with existing system
- Avoid more than \$1 billion in onshore transmission upgrades”.

The above reviews suggest that advancements and achievements in the European Union and the U.S. in planning and deploying “electricity highways” with embedded multi-terminal, multi-vendor, multi-purpose HVDC core allow Canada for making an immediate next step in transforming power transmission in Canada coast-to-coast.

iv. National Electricity Market Experiences in Australia

a. National Electricity Market (NEM)

The National Electricity Market (NEM) of Australia is a cross-state wholesale electricity market. The NEM spans Australia's eastern and south-eastern

coasts and comprises five interconnected states that also act as price regions: Queensland, New South Wales (including the Australian Capital Territory), South Australia, Victoria, and Tasmania. Western Australia and the Northern Territory are not connected to the NEM, primarily due to the distance between networks, and have their own electricity systems [86].

The NEM involves wholesale generation that is transported via high voltage transmission lines from generators to wholesale consumers - large industrial energy users and to local electricity distributors in each region. The transport of electricity from generators to wholesale consumers is facilitated through a ‘pool’, or spot market, where the output from all generators to meet demand is aggregated and scheduled at five-minute intervals [87].

The quarterly volume weighted average spot electricity prices in each region of the NEM for the past five years are shown in Fig. 1.4.1 below. The average is weighted against demand for electricity [88].




Fig. 1.4.1: Average spot electricity prices in the price regions of the NEM [88]

The Australian National Electricity Rules for NEM have been developed and maintained by the Australian Energy Market Commission [89] and enforced by the Australian Energy Regulator [90]. The administration and management of NEM is performed by the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) [90].

The National Electricity Market (NEM) consists of both a wholesale market, which allows participants to buy and sell electricity, and a retail market, which allows consumers to choose the retailer from which they purchase their electricity. AEMO is responsible for operating the wholesale and retail markets [91].

AEMO conducts the market through a centrally-coordinated dispatch process that pools generation from producers and delivers required quantities of electricity from the pool to wholesale consumers [92].

To manage forward price risk in physical electricity spot trading markets, financial trading markets operating in coordination with the NEM offer contractual instruments such as financial hedging contracts for electricity prices. In Australia, two distinct electricity financial markets support the wholesale electricity market: over-the-counter (OTC) markets, comprising direct transactions between counterparties, and the exchange traded futures market (e.g., the Sydney Futures Exchange) [93].

Overall, "the NEM, the grid, and the financial market work together" well in Australia [94]. A detailed overview of the Australian physical and financial electricity market, is provided by the Australian Energy Regulator's annual "State of the Energy Market Report" [95].

b. Transmission Network for NEM Operations

➤ Transmission Infrastructure

The National Electricity Market (NEM) operates on one of the world's longest interconnected power systems, stretching from Port Douglas in Queensland to Port Lincoln in South Australia and across the Bass Strait to Tasmania – a distance of around 5,000 kilometres [96].




Fig. 1.4.2: Transmission Infrastructure for NEM [97]

➤ Transmission Network Service Providers

There are five state-based transmission network service providers (TNSPs) servicing each of the regions in the NEM, with cross-border interconnectors linking the state grids at state borders. Four of the networks form a synchronous grid, and interconnect with Tasmania via an HVDC transmission (see table 1.4.1).

Table 1.4.1: Transmission Network Service Providers

Region	TNSP
Queensland	Powerlink Queensland
NSW (and ACT)	TransGrid
Victoria	AusNet Services
South Australia	ElectraNet
Tasmania	TasNetworks

➤ Transmission Network Planning

Transmission network planning in the NEM is led by AESO in coordination with the jurisdictional transmission planning bodies in each of the five regions [98]:

- NSW and ACT - TransGrid
- Queensland – Powerlink
- South Australia – ElectraNet
- Tasmania - Transend
- Victoria - AEMO (in its role as Victorian transmission network service provider).}

As the NEM system planner, AEMO forecasts the overall transmission system requirements over the next 20 years in its Integrated System Plan [99].

In addition to the Integrated System Plan, AEMO publishes the following reports:

Electricity Statement of Opportunities - an assessment of supply adequacy in the NEM over the next 10 years, highlighting opportunities for generation and demand-side investment [100], and NEM constraint reports with details on interconnector capacity and constraints in the transmission network [101].

c. Transmission Network Interconnectors

➤ Operating Interconnectors

There are currently six interconnectors in the NEM operating in two formats:

- By HVDC transmission – Basslink (TAS to VIC), Murraylink (VIC to SA) and Terranora (NSW to QLD),
- By HVAC transmission – Heywood (VIC to SA), VNI (VIC to NSW) and QNI (NSW to QLD).



Fig. 1.4.3: Transmission Network Interconnectors [102]

Table 1.4.2: Interconnector Capacity in Australia

Interconnector	From	To	Nominal Capacity, MW
Terranora (N-Q-MNSP1)	NSW	Queensland	107
	Queensland	NSW	210
Queensland to New South Wales (QNI)	NSW	Queensland	850
	Queensland	NSW	1300
Victoria to New South Wales (VIC1-NSW1)	Victoria	NSW	400 to 1700
	NSW	Victoria	400 to 1450
Basslink (T-V-MNSP1)	Victoria	Tasmania	594
	Tasmania	Victoria	478
Heywood (V-SA)	Victoria	South Australia	600
	South Australia	Victoria	550
Murraylink (V-S-MNSP1)	Victoria	South Australia	220
	South Australia	Victoria	200

Terranora Interconnector (N-Q-MNSP1): The Terranora interconnector (also known as Direct link interconnector) is a 59km, 180 MW HVDC transmission link between Mullumbimby and Terranora in NSW, connecting the NSW and Queensland electricity transmission systems [103].

Queensland to New South Wales Interconnector (QNI) is defined as the flows across the two 330 kV lines between Dumaresq in New South Wales and Bulli Creek in Queensland [104]. In 2022 Transgrid and Powerlink commissioned an upgrade, called QNI minor, increasing QNI maximum transfer capacity from 1300 to 1450 MW (QLD to NSW) and from 850 to 950 MW (NSW to QLD).

Victoria to New South Wales (VIC1-NSW1) is defined as the flow across a set of 330 kV and 220 kV HVAC lines; an interconnector upgrade, known as VNI Minor, was commissioned in March 2023 [105].

Basslink (T-V-MNSP1), commissioned in early 2006 after Tasmania joined the NEM, is defined as the flow across the DC cable between George Town in Tasmania and Loy Yang in Victoria [106]. The commissioning included the undersea DC cable, converter stations and several control schemes in Tasmania.

Heywood Interconnector (V-SA), also called "The Victoria to South Australia" interconnector, is defined as the flow across the 275 kV lines between Heywood substation in Victoria and SouthEast substation in South Australia [107].

Murraylink (V-S-MNSP1), commissioned in 2002, is a 180 km, HVDC 220 MW transmission link across the HVDC cable between Red Cliffs in Victoria and Monash in South Australia [108, 109].

➤ Current Interconnector Capabilities

Information on nominal interconnector capabilities is available from the Interconnector Capabilities report [101] – see Table 1.4.2:

➤ Planned Interconnectors

Major interconnectors currently planned under AEMO supervision include EnergyConnect, Marinus Link, Keronglink and Humelink projects (see Fig. 1.4.10 below).




Fig. 1.4.10: AEMO: Planned Interconnectors [110]

Energy Connect [111, 112] is a new 900km interconnector currently under construction connecting the energy grids of New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia. The project is being built in two stages: Stage 1 has a 150 MW capacity, and Stage 2 will have an 800 MW capacity, with Stage 2 nearing completion in 2026.

Marinus Link *Marinus Link* [113] is a proposed 1500 MW capacity undersea and underground electricity connection to further link Tasmania and Victoria as part of Australia's future electricity grid. The *Marinus Link* interconnector is planned to be built in two stages: Stage 1 – a 750 MW HVDC link with a planned commissioning date of 2028, and Stage 2 – a second 750 MW HVDC link with a notional commissioning date of 2032.

KerangLink (VNI West), (also named "Victoria to NSW Interconnector West" [114, 115, 116], is a proposed new high capacity 500 kV double-circuit overhead transmission line, which will deliver vital new transmission infrastructure for delivering clean, low-cost renewable power from renewable energy zones in New South Wales and Victoria.

HumeLink [117,118] is a planned transmission line project in Australia, specifically in the state of New South Wales (NSW). It's designed to connect the Snowy 2.0 hydro project with the wider grid, with a primary goal of increasing the delivery of renewable energy. The project is expected to add 2,200 MW of on-demand energy to the grid.

Based on the practices and achievements of the HVDC-enabled transcontinental transmission infrastructure for advancing inter-regional competitive wholesale electricity markets in Europe, the United States and Australia, let us now review selected approaches for Canada to choose its own "coast-to-coast" electricity route.

b) Canada Taking its Unique Route

i. Calling for Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid Framework

Enhancing electricity transmission interconnections between Canadian jurisdictions presents a today's must for the country [9]. Using inter-provincial, inter-regional and international interconnections to support energy transition in each of Canadian provinces can "help deliver long-term economic and system-wide benefits", "unlocking beneficial synergies between systems – particularly by enabling the large-scale build-out of variable resources", and connecting them with dispatchable renewable energy sources such as hydroelectric generation stations, linking "regions with complementary demand profiles, leveraging diverse weather patterns and non-coincident peak electricity demand", and enhancing electricity system reliability. "Interconnections further help as a hedge against extreme weather events or periods of under-production from renewable sources, including hydroelectricity, that may impact one jurisdiction but not others."

"The (Canada Electricity Advisory) Council's recommendations fit into 4 critical themes:

1. Align on goals to ensure that the path forward is clear and decision-makers can commit
2. Enable the build so that critical projects can move forward expeditiously and with Indigenous participation
3. Support the transition with additional funding of targeted needs to ensure all Canadians benefit
4. Save energy to lighten the load and thereby minimize investment needs and improve the likelihood of achieving Canada's goals reliably and affordably."

According to the Canada Electricity Advisory Council [9], as "inter-regional transmission build-out has been slow and uneven despite increased federal efforts", "supporting inter-regional transmission development calls for a common framework" in Canada where "...the federal government could play a pivotal role in developing and implementing such a framework for Canada's inter-regional transmission planning".

To power Canadian homes, vehicles, and industries while achieving net-zero emissions by 2050, Canada must become a clean electricity superpower [119]; this means Canada must operate an efficient, stable, secure and competitive, made-in-Canada Supergrid as a net-zero electricity grid by 2035 [120]. This Transcontinental Supergrid will necessitate larger generation capacity and enhanced transmission networks to ensure the reliability of Canada's electricity system. "We will expand Canada's electricity grid, connect it from coast-to-coast-to-coast, and ensure that Canadians and Canadian businesses have access to cleaner and cheaper energy into the next century" [119].

The experienced and expected growth of clean electricity sources and uses in Canada requires a major change in the electricity system in the country, moving it through the merge of AC and DC transmission to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid as the national Wholesale Electricity Market infrastructure. The immediate next step in this change is developing a common framework focused on Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid.

ii. *Transcontinental Supergrid in Canada – What Would it Be?*

A definition of Supergrid generally used in inter-regional/international transmission planning is as follows [121, 122, etc.]:

"A supergrid is a large, wide-area transmission network, often trans-continental or multinational, designed to facilitate the trade of high volumes of electricity across great distances, typically using high-voltage direct current (HVDC) technology."

The definition of "supergrid" is also close to the term "macrogrid", often referred to in integrating existing regional grids and using HVDC to improve power

transfer between them, particularly for integrating renewable energy sources; both terms were used interchangeably in section 1 of this publication.

Because of the geo-economic nature of Canada with most of the country's population living and major electricity uses located along the Canada-U.S. border, the proposed Transcontinental Supergrid in Canada would have its "horizontal" East-West core established within a transmission belt along the U.S. border with key substations connected to "vertical" transmission lines leading to clean generation stations and remote industrial facilities in the North and international wholesale electricity markets in the South.

Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid is defined by:

- *Purpose:* It aims to connect regions with abundant clean energy resources to regions with high demand, enabling the efficient transfer of electricity over long distances and/or connecting asynchronous electricity systems/areas.
- *Technology:* It relies on HVDC transmission solutions including power converter stations and DC lines.
- *Architecture:* It sees HVDC solutions as multi-terminal, multi-vendor, and multi-purpose.
- *Benefits:* By transferring electricity from areas with excess supply to areas with demand, Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid can balance variable renewable energy sources (like solar and wind) and dispatchable clean power sources thus maintaining and improving grid reliability, resilience and energy security.
- *Referenced Examples:* The concept of a European Supergrid envisions interconnecting various European countries and regions, including remote renewable power generation hubs, by using HVDC power grids.

iii. *Transcontinental Supergrid Concept*

a. *Vision*

Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid today is seen in this publication as:

- Canadian Wholesale Electricity Market Infrastructure
- Clean Electricity Dispatch enabler
- National Energy Security defender
- Complete electrification enabler
- Low carbon economy facilitator

HVDC technology as Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid core enables physical and economical linking of provincial wholesale electricity markets into a single, synchronized "megamarket" (following current development and deployment of the internal market in the EU [109]).

Based on the above Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid vision, its proposed description is as follows:

- A. Canada's Supergrid presents a merge of high voltage (HV) alternate current (AC) and direct

current (DC) transmission grids to achieve Transcontinental electricity transmission in Canada

B. Canada's Supergrid must be capable of transmitting electricity:

- From clean power generation sources in the East (e.g., Atlantic Coast) to power uses in the West (e.g., Pacific coast) or vice versa: from clean power generation sources in the West to power uses in the East;
- From remote clean power generation sources in the North to power uses and export/import transmission hubs in the South;
- From transmission hubs in the South to remote industrial power uses in the North.

C. Neighbouring provincial AC transmission grids should be connected with each other and with clean power generation hubs by multi-terminal multi-vendor (MTMV) DC transmission grids.

D. HVDC transmission grids may be inter-provincial, intra-provincial, extra-provincial and international:

- Inter-provincial DC transmission grids ("interties") connect AC transmission grids located in the neighbouring provinces (e.g., between Alberta and Saskatchewan).
- Intra-provincial DC transmission grids connect renewable power generation hubs (e.g., solar PV power hubs) located in a province with related provincial AC transmission grid (e.g., in Ontario or Alberta).
- Extra-provincial DC transmission grids connect renewable power generation hubs (e.g., offshore wind power hubs) with one or more provincial AC transmission grids (e.g., in Nova Scotia) and/or with AC transmission grids in the U.S. (e.g., in New England).
- International DC transmission grids ("interconnections") for export/import operations connect provincial AC transmission grids with AC transmission grids in the U.S. states (e.g., interconnections between Alberta and Montana).

b. Principles

The proposed high level Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid vision, addressing and ensuring reliability, resilience and energy security of each of the provincial transmission grids and the Transcontinental Supergrid as a whole, is built on the following principles:

- 1) Each and every Canadian provincial power transmission grid shall represent a key component of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid.
- 2) Each and every provincial power transmission grid shall include one or more High Voltage Alternate Current (HVAC) power loops and/or trunks that connect transmission hubs linked to clean power

generation sources and/or markets, and/or to power uses.

3) The major transmission hubs shall interface:

- Intra-provincial connections with clean power generation sources,
- Extra-provincial connections with clean power generation sources,
- Intra-provincial connections with power uses,
- Provincial interties via power transfer paths to neighbouring transmission grids,
- International interconnections via power transfer paths to import/export markets in the U.S.

4) The transmission interfaces shall represent the following structure merging AC and DC transmissions:

- Provincial interties between the neighbouring provincial grids shall present a transmission set including one or more HVDC solutions (e.g., HVDC lines and power converters, and/or back-to-back converter stations).
- International interconnections connecting the provincial grids with one or more export/import markets shall present a transmission set including one or more HVDC solutions (e.g., HVDC lines and power converters, and/or back-to-back converter stations).
- Intra-provincial connections connecting the provincial grids with one or more clean energy generation sources (including remote sources) shall present a transmission set including one or more HVDC solutions (e.g., HVDC lines and power converters, or converters only).
- Extra-provincial connections connecting the provincial grids with one or more clean energy generation sources (including remote sources) shall present a transmission set including one or more HVDC solutions (e.g., HVDC lines and power converters).

5) Provincial power transmission grids as Transcontinental Supergrid components shall represent the following capacity (in MW):

- Capacity of power loops/trunks in provincial transmission grids and provincial interties between these grids shall meet or exceed a target level for power flow in both east-to-west and west-to-east directions.
- Capacity of international interconnections in the provincial transmission grids shall meet the import/export targets of these grids.

6) HVDC solutions within each Supergrid interface shall optimize their connections with the provincial AC transmission grids and with each other to maximize their technological and economic values.

7) Coordination between power flows in the provincial interties and international interconnections shall

ensure reliability, resilience and energy security requirements of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid.

c. Interconnection Capacity

Interconnection capacity within Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid is seen as follows:

- Transmission capacity of any provincial intertie should be equal or higher than transmission loop capacity in any province (e.g., BC Hydro, Alberta Electric System Operator (AESO) or Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie loops capacity)
- Transmission capacity of any international interconnection should be equal or higher than expected export/import capability of this interconnection
- Transmission capacity of any intra-provincial DC transmission from a clean power generation hub should be equal or higher than the power generation hub capacity expected
- Transmission capacity of any extra-provincial DC transmission from a clean power generation hub should be equal or higher than the power generation hub capacity expected

d. Transmission Verticals and Horizontals

To better define the paths to clean power generation sources and uses in Canada and their efficient connections via the Transcontinental Supergrid, the Supergrid's concept may be seen through its horizontal and vertical transmission axes.

The horizontal (or H-) Supergrid axis defines power transmission coast-to-coast connecting the loops/trunks of the ten provincial transmission grids in the country.

This horizontal axis is positioned relatively closely to the south border of Canada. Following the H-axis, the Supergrid power interties connect major AC transmission substations on the ten provincial transmission loops/trunks relatively close to the south border.

The H-axis also allows for connecting with extra-provincial power generation (e.g., offshore wind power stations) on the Scotian Shelf, a submerged continental shelf located in the Atlantic Ocean, off the coast of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick [132].

The vertical (or V-) Supergrid axes define power transmission regions enabling remote clean power generation in the North to be transferred to major power use hubs in the South, and power from transmission hubs in the South – to remote industrial power uses in the North. The V-axes also define transmission regions for power export to/import from the wholesale power markets in the U.S.

Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid proposes five vertical transmission regions (further – “verticals”): Atlantic, MidEastern, Central, MidWestern and Pacific, and involves international interconnections (via

international transmission lines). The Atlantic, MidEastern and MidWestern verticals show access to large hydro resources; the Atlantic vertical also includes access to a nuclear power resource in New Brunswick. The Central vertical shows access to nuclear power resources in Ontario. The Pacific vertical shows access to seasonal hydro and to geothermal resources. The electricity export/import interconnections are described in section 5 “Transmission Grids in Canada at a Glance”.

Specifically, the *Atlantic vertical* includes access to large-scale hydropower generation in Newfoundland & Labrador (e.g., Muskrat Falls), nuclear power generation in New Brunswick (e.g., the Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station) and offshore wind power opportunities in Nova Scotia (e.g., the Sable project).

The Muskrat Falls Generating Station [133] is located on the lower Churchill River about 25 kilometres west of Happy Valley-Goose Bay, Labrador. The Muskrat Falls station has a capacity of over 824 MW and provides 4.5 TWh of electricity per year. Power transmission is provided via Labrador-Island and Maritime links to Nova Scotia and further to the New Brunswick and New England intertie and interconnections.

The Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station (PLNGS) is located on the northern shore of the Bay of Fundy 2 km northeast of Point Lepreau, New Brunswick. Installed gross capacity of 705 MW_e and design net capacity of 705 MW_e [134, 135]. Electricity supplied in 2023: 4.76 TWh.

The Sable offshore wind generation opportunity refers to the potential for developing offshore wind farms in the waters around Sable Island, specifically the Sable Island Bank, a shallow area with high wind speeds, making it a prime location for renewable energy generation at 15 GW capacity [136].

The *MidEastern vertical* includes access to large-scale hydropower generation in Quebec and Labrador. Today's hydro generating fleet in Quebec comprises 61 hydroelectric generating stations with a total installed capacity of 36.7 GW, and Churchill Falls generating station in Labrador with its capacity of 5.43 GW. Its hydropower facilities in Quebec also include 28 large reservoirs with a combined storage capacity of over 176 TWh [137, 138].

The *Central vertical* includes access to three major nuclear power stations in the province of Ontario: Bruce Nuclear Generating Station, located in the municipality of Kincardine on the eastern shore of Lake Huron, Ontario with installed capacity of 6,232 MW, operated by Bruce Power [139], and two nuclear generating stations in Pickering and Clarington (Darlington) on the north shore of Lake Ontario with 4,698 MW in-service generating capacity (as of Dec. 31, 2024), operated by Ontario Power Generation [140];

The *MidWestern vertical* includes access to large-scale hydropower generation in Manitoba: 15 hydropower generating stations with 5.25 GW capacity. The most important hydroelectric development in Manitoba is Nelson River Hydroelectric Project with 4.78 GW capacity and 29.25 TWh of average annual generation. Planned hydroelectric stations are also considered by doubling the Midwestern axis in Manitoba by additional 5.28 GW capacity [141, 142].

The *Pacific vertical* includes seasonal access to large-scale hydropower generation in British Columbia, and major geothermal opportunities in the Canadian part of the Pacific Ring of Fire (British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta and Northwest Territories) [143, 144].

e. *Transcontinental Supergrid Ownership*

As provincial and territorial governments have jurisdiction over the management of electricity systems in the country, they are expected to take a leadership role in establishing the ownership of transformed Canadian electricity systems in such way that each component of the Supergrid within each province or territory is owned by this province/territory.

As indicated by the Federal Government, "...Canada has the potential to become a clean electricity superpower with a cross-Canada electricity grid that is more sustainable, more secure, and more affordable..." [119], and pan-Canadian Supergrid with inter-provincial (from coast to coast) and intra-provincial transmissions is seen as a major national federal-provincial undertaking.

Negotiated agreements between provincial/territorial and federal governments will address electricity systems transformation in a coordinated fashion [124]. These negotiated agreements are seen as an accelerator offering a practical path forward in the Canadian federation; they ensure systemic change, while respecting provincial authority over electricity.

f. *Investment in Transcontinental Supergrid*

Achieving the Supergrid development and deployment within 2025-2035 time frame requires prompt and efficient investment decisions at federal and provincial levels.

The federal government can complement its policy efforts related to pan-Canadian Supergrid — including support for integration in the electricity sector [123] —with financial supports for the Supergrid deployment that incentivize provincial and territorial governments to exercise their policy tools. In return for coordinated provincial and territorial policy action focused on investments in the Supergrid, the federal government could offer more stable long-term funding for provincial and territorial electricity transformations [124].

As indicated in Canada's 2023 Budget, "...Given the long lead times and high upfront costs for electricity generation and transmission projects—and

with our allies and partners set to invest heavily in preparing their own electrical grids for the future—Canada needs to move quickly to avoid the consequences of underinvestment" [119].

The 2023 Budget's made-in-Canada plan followed the federal tiered structure to incent the development of Canada's electricity sector and provide additional support for projects that need it. This plan includes:

- A clear and predictable investment tax credit as the anchor that offers foundational support for investments in clean electricity;
- Beyond this investment tax credit, as needed, low-cost and abundant financing through a targeted focus on clean electricity from the Canada Infrastructure Bank; and,
- Targeted electricity programs, where needed, to ensure critical projects get built.

To support and accelerate clean electricity investment in Canada, Budget 2023 proposed to introduce a 15 per cent refundable tax credit for eligible investments, including Equipment for the transmission of electricity between provinces and territories.

With respect to intra-provincial transmission, the government will consult on the best means, whether through the tax system or in other ways, of supporting and accelerating investments in projects that could be considered critical to meeting the 2035 net zero objective.

The Canada Infrastructure Bank is an active partner in supporting these efforts by making investments in renewable energy, energy storage, and transmission projects. These investments will position the Canada Infrastructure Bank as the government's primary financing tool for supporting clean electricity generation, transmission, and storage projects, including for major projects in pan-Canadian Supergrid.

Overall, based on the electric federalism [125] rooted in negotiated agreements of the federal government with provinces, as well as historical and current experiences of the provinces in merging HVDC and HVAC transmissions, provincial interties and international interconnections, pan-Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid provides a unique solution for the country to achieve its net zero goals and commitments "in a way that makes sense in the Canadian federation" [124].

The Transcontinental Supergrid Concept built on the provincial AC power transmission grids as its key components, and provincial interties and international interconnections connecting these grids based on HVDC solutions allows for looking into the Transcontinental Supergrid's architecture in more detail.

c) *Transcontinental Supergrid Architecture Facets*

A high-level architecture of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid interconnecting the transmission grids of the country is discussed in the section. The architecture facets include selected definitions, description of components, and inter- and extra-provincial transmission path naming.

i. *Selected Definitions*

➤ *Power Transmission Grid*

"Transmission Grid", sometimes also referred to as "Transmission System", means a network for transmitting electricity from power generation stations via transmission substations to distribution substations or to neighbouring grids, and includes any structures, equipment or other facilities used for that purpose.

➤ *Power Transmission Types*

- *Interprovincial Transmission:* Connects AC transmission grids located in the neighbouring provinces.
- *Intra-Provincial Transmission:* Connects renewable power generation sources (e.g., solar PV or onshore wind power stations) located in a province with related provincial AC transmission grids.
- *Extra-provincial Transmission:* Connects renewable power generation sources (e.g., offshore wind power stations) with one or more provincial AC transmission grids.
- *International Transmission:* Connects provincial AC transmission grids with AC transmission grids in the U.S. states.

➤ *Transmission Nodes and Hubs*

- Transmission node means a power substation of any transmission voltage (generally ranging from 110 kV and above) collecting generated power, and/or transferring it to distribution/consumption and/or market areas.
- Transmission hub means a power substation of (usually higher) transmission voltage connected with a higher number of transmission nodes, with a total power exceeding the node average when collecting it and/or transferring it to distribution/consumption and/or market areas.

➤ *HVAC Transmission Loops and Trunks*

- "HVAC power transmission loop" definition refers to a transmission grid where multiple power lines of the same voltage connect major power transmission substations, enabling power flow between them within a closed loop.
- "HVAC power transmission trunk" definition refers to a transmission grid where multiple power lines of the same voltage connect major power transmission substations, enabling power flow between them within in a "tree-like" trunk with major "branches".

➤ *Interface, Interconnection and Intertie*

- "Interface" means a general term defining a boundary, or point of interaction, or a group of connecting power lines between power grids or zones/regions/parts of a power grid.
- "Interconnection", sometimes also referred to as "Intertie", means a connection between individual Transmission Systems, or Grids that involves a transmission line or set of lines.

The terms "Interface", "Interconnection" and "Intertie" are used interchangeably in this publication when reviewing provincial transmission grids in Canada.

➤ *Transmission Path*

Transmission Path, also referred to as "transfer path", is defined by interfaces between neighbouring power control areas and represents a significant flow of power between these areas.

➤ *Clean Power Generation Sources*

- Clean power generation sources are intra-provincial power generation stations (like hydro, onshore, solar or geothermal) or extra-provincial power generation stations (like offshore wind). Most of clean power generation sources are located remotely; however, there may be generation sources (e.g., onshore wind or solar PV power generation) located close to urban areas.

➤ *Power Uses*

- Power uses are substations connected to industrial, commercial or urban residential users and characterised by power consumption/demand.

➤ *HVDC Solutions*

HVDC solutions include:

- HVDC transmission systems involving a long-distance DC transmission line and converter stations at both sides of the line. DC-line based HVDC solutions connect AC transmission grids located far apart, or connect AC transmission grids with remotely located power sources (such as offshore wind power),
- HVDC back-to-back converter stations. "Back-to-back" HVDC solutions involve two converters in the same converter station to connect two AC transmission grids, either asynchronous or at different frequencies, without a DC transmission line.

➤ *HVDC Grid Topology*

HVDC grid topology refers to the way in which the AC and DC grids are interconnected [112].

HVAC grids may have the following topologies:

- Two-terminal (point-to-point) HVDC transmission: point-to-point HVDC transmission involves two converter stations on a power grid connected by a DC transmission line.

- Multi-terminal (linear, radial or meshed) HVDC transmission involving multiple converter stations and multiple DC transmission lines connecting these stations including:
 - Radial HVDC grids where power converters are connected by a DC line to a central DC switching station ("central node") which does not have any converters connected at its point;
 - Linear HVDC grids where power converters at "rectifier" side (e.g., offshore wind power converters located close to each other) have their DC nodes connected which allows them to transmit power not only via their own DC line, but also to the DC line of a neighboring converter station;
 - Meshed HVDC grids where power converters at "rectifier" and "inverter" sides have their DC nodes connected, and converters at "rectifier" side have DC lines to more than one converter on inverter side.

ii. Description of Components

Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid consists of the following key components:

- Provincial AC power transmission grids with embedded core loops and/or trunks;
- Connections of the provincial transmission grids with intra-provincial and extra-provincial clean power generation sources (including remotely located power generation);


- Connections of the provincial transmission grids with power uses (including remotely located industrial power consumption);
- Interties of the provincial transmission grids with neighbouring provincial transmission grids;
- Interconnections of the provincial transmission grids with international export/import power markets.

Based on the Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid concept in section 2.3 above, the structure of provincial transmission grid as a typical component of the Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid can be shown on Fig. 3.1 below.

Each HVDC solution used in a Supergrid component:

- Can connect one or more HVDC systems
- Can be connected to one or more HVAC transmission grids
- Can be of long-distance (such as very long HVDC lines) or "zero"-distance (such as HVDC "back-to-back" converter stations) nature
- Can be two-terminal or multi-terminal
- Can be modular multi-level
- Can connect clean power generation sources located intra-provincially (within a province) or extra-provincially (e.g., an offshore wind power hub in the Atlantic Ocean or a geothermal power hub in the Northwest territories).

The Supergrid Component structure approach presented in Fig. 3.1 can be applied to each of the ten provincial transmission grids in Canada.



where:




Fig. 3.1: Typical structure of a provincial Supergrid component connecting neighbouring AC transmission grids and clean power generation through DC transmission

iii. Inter- and Extra-Provincial Transmission Path Naming

Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid concept relies on the methodologies and practices for inter- and extra-provincial transmission paths and their transfer limits well defined by the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC), the Midwest Reliability Organization (MRO), and the Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC) ensuring the stability of transmission grids in the Western and Eastern interconnections in North America.

The Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) coordinates a number of high voltage power links, known as WECC Intertie Paths, in western North America. These Paths present interties between various areas [127].

The NERC Glossary of Terms [128] defines an Available Transfer Capability (ATC) Path as "any combination of Point of Receipt (POR) and Point of Delivery (POD) for which ATC is calculated; and any Posted Path". In WECC, a Path, as defined in the context of the Path Rating Process, is a facility (or several facilities) between systems or internal to a system, for which schedules and/or actual flows can be monitored for reliability purposes.

In the WECC 2023 Path Rating Catalog [129], Transfer Limit of any Path is defined by the rating of the path. This can be a single maximum rating or a range of operations, dependent on system conditions. If the path is rated "bidirectional," information for each direction is given.

As an example, British Columbia's high voltage transmission paths have been linked to Alberta and the United States for over thirty years [130]. Inter-provincial Path 1: Alberta–British Columbia in WECC 2023 Path Rating Catalogue [129] includes a set of AC lines (Bennett–Cranbrook 500 kV, Pocaterra–Fording Coal

Tap 138 kV and Russell–Natal 138 kV) with the total Transfer Limit of 1,000 MW East to West, and 1,200 MW West to East.

The Northeast Power Coordinating Council defines transfer path requirements in the NPCC Methodology and Guidelines for Forecasting Available Transfer Capability (ATC) and Total Transfer Capability (TTC) as follows [131]: "All Control Areas within NPCC that are offering Open Access Transmission Services, must define the TRANSMISSION PATHS for which they allow energy transfers INTO, OUT OF and THROUGH their systems. A transmission Path is defined by its Point Of Delivery (POD) where the energy is delivered to an adjacent system and its Point Of Reception (POR) where the energy is received from an adjacent system. All electrical paths, interfaces and interconnections for which open access is offered and where congestion could occur should be identified and associated to a given PATH determined by its POR and POD. The POR and POD can be physically existing points of the network or they can represent a virtual area of the network. The TTC of a PATH is the Total Transfer Capability from the POR to the POD of that PATH. For a PATH consisting in the aggregation of segments connected in series resulting TTC will be the minimum of the series segments. And similarly, resulting ATC will be the minimum of the series segments ATCs. Connectivity of the Paths through the overall network should be established by using identical POR/POD naming when applied to the same physical interconnection or interface."

To align the naming of transmission paths and their transfer capabilities within the Transcontinental Supergrid, the intertie and interconnection paths for all Canadian provinces in the Supergrid are proposed to be named in a "XY" format, where X means number, and Y – geographic direction (see Table 3.1):

Table 3.1: Transmission Path Naming

Province POD/POR, transmission system	Province/State POR/POD, transmission system	Geographic Direction	Supergrid Path Name
British Columbia	Washington, Bonneville Power Administration	South	1S ¹
British Columbia	Alberta, AESO	East	1E ²
Alberta, AESO	Montana	South	2S ³
Alberta, AESO	Saskatchewan	East	2E ⁴
Saskatchewan	North Dakota	South	3S
Saskatchewan	Manitoba	East	3E
Manitoba	North Dakota	South	4SW
Manitoba	Minnesota	South	4SE
Manitoba	Ontario, IESO	East	4E
Ontario, IESO	New York, NYISO	South	5S
Ontario, IESO	Minnesota, MISO	Southwest	5SWW
Ontario, IESO	Michigan, MISO	Southwest	5SWE
Ontario, IESO	Quebec, TransÉnergie	East	5E
Quebec, TransÉnergie	New York, NYISO	South	6SW
Quebec, TransÉnergie	Vermont and Massachusetts, ISO NE	Southeast	6SE
Quebec, TransÉnergie	New Brunswick, NBSO	East	6E
New Brunswick, NBSO	Maine, ISO NE	Southeast	7SE
New Brunswick, NBSO	Northern Maine, NMISA	Southwest	7SW
New Brunswick, NBSO	Prince Edward Island, Maritime Electric	Northeast	7NE
New Brunswick, NBSO	Nova Scotia, NSPI	East	7E
Nova Scotia, NSPI	Newfoundland and Labrador, NL Hydro	NorthEast	8NE
Labrador, CF(L)Co	Quebec, TransÉnergie	Southwest	9SW

¹Existing WECC Interconnection #3²Existing WECC Interconnection #1³Existing WECC Interconnection #83⁴Existing WECC Interconnection #2

d) Planning for HVDC in Transcontinental Supergrid

i. Supergrid Means AC-DC Merge

HVDC transmission technology deployment has evolved dramatically over the last 15 years making it ready for large-scale AC-DC merge. HVDC offers higher-capacity, longer-distance, lower-loss transmission on a smaller footprint than AC. The development of voltage-sourced converter technology demonstrated dramatic improvements in HVDC capabilities, and these capabilities are increasingly needed to enhance the existing AC grid upgrade [145]. This allows for promptly making the next steps in Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid based on multi-value transmission planning through recognizing the increasingly broad set of HVDC use cases and

capabilities, and preparing for the Transcontinental AC-DC merge in action.

ii. Key Technological Components

The key HVDC technologies highly relevant for the Transcontinental Supergrid planning and deployment [146] include the following:

- AC/DC voltage source converters
- DC circuit breakers
- Cables and overhead lines

Also, new technological opportunities are considered for further development:

- DC/DC converters
- DC fault current limiting devices
- Energy dissipation systems
- Energy storage

- Power flow controllers (meshed HVDC grid current flow controllers)

The key technological HVDC components mentioned are briefly reviewed in the section below.

- a. AC/DC Voltage Source Converters
AC/DC converters are the interfaces between the AC and DC grids (see Fig. 4.1):




Fig. 4.1: Evolution of Power Converters in 21st century [145]

While line commutated converters (LCCs) have been operational since mid-20th century, their drawbacks do not make these converters a promising technological solution. Today all power converters for HVDC solutions planned globally are voltage source converter (VSC) technology based.

Further advancements in VSC technology moved it from two-level converters with series connected Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBTs) to modular multi-level converters combining a large number of smaller converters connected in series known as cells or submodules (see Fig.4.2):




Fig. 4.2: Structure of modular multi-level converters (MMC) [147]

The advantages of multi-level converters are as follows [148, 149, 150]:

- Effective handling of high voltage and power levels, making them well-suited for applications demanding substantial power conversion, such as HVDC transmission systems.
- Producing nearly sinusoidal output waveforms, which significantly reduces harmonic content and ensures the delivery of high-quality power.
- Fault tolerance and redundancy:* Because of their modular design, MMC can continue operating even if a sub-module experiences a failure, ensuring system reliability.

- High scalability and adaptability to varying voltage and power requirements via adding or removing sub-modules as needed.
- High efficiency due to their reduced switching losses and improved waveform quality.

Because the MMC design ensures reduced stress on the converter's components and allows independent control of its active and reactive power,

multi-level converters are able to provide auxiliary services to the HVAC grid like a static synchronous compensator.

With technology growth driven and led by modular multi-level converters, power converter-based HVDC capabilities are seen today as follows [145,151] (see Fig. 4.3):

	Transmission functions	Grid operations support	Autonomous line dispatch	Power quality support	Contingency operations	Reliability & Market optimization
Both LCC & VSC	Real power control Reactive power control (static)	Synthetic inertia* Frequency response Regulation, ramping, spinning reserves	External Power (Tracking) Control AC Line Emulation	Power oscillation damping	Run-back / run-up schemes Emergency energy imports	AC grid power flow optimization Resource adequacy, capacity imports Inertia optimization
VSC only	AC voltage and frequency control* Weak and islanded grid connections*	Voltage support* / Reactive power control (dynamic)		AC phase balancing AC harmonics filtering	Black-start and system restoration*	Frequent and rapid power flow reversal Weak grid connections*

* Requires VSC converters capable of operating in grid-forming mode (but precise capabilities and requirements are evolving and specifications-dependent).

Fig. 4.3: Power Converter-based HVDC capabilities [145]

b. Direct Current Circuit Breakers

Recent advancements in HVDC technology brought to industrial deployment a major HVDC component Direct Current Circuit Breaker (DCCB).

As a fault separation device (FSD), Direct Current Circuit Breaker presents a key equipment for HVDC transmission operations. An FSD is defined as a device able to separate a faulty protection zone and a healthy protection zone, allowing the healthy protection zone not to be de-energized [146].

DCCB provides the fast interruption of a fault DC current which is more challenging compared to AC circuit breaker operations.

The most important requirements for DC circuit breakers in HVDC grids include [152]:

- Creating a current zero crossing
- Very fast breaking action
- Minimal conduction losses
- Repetition of switching operation
- Prevention of excessive overvoltage
- Minimal arcing after contact separation
- Long lifetime.

Current DCCB solutions using different techniques, can be classified as mechanical, solid state and hybrid circuit breakers (see a review in [146, 153]).

A mechanical circuit breaker consists of only mechanical parts, an AC breaker, an auxiliary circuit to create a current zero crossing and an energy absorption branch.

A solid-state circuit breaker (pure semiconductor circuit breaker) consists of several solid-state

switches, which have the ability to turn off current even though it does not have current zero crossings. In order to achieve the breaking capability that is required, a number of semiconductor switches are connected in parallel and in series.

Hybrid circuit breakers combine the advantages of mechanical and solid-state breakers. They make use of a smaller number of power electronic devices and a mechanical switch in the main conduction branch.

Industrial deployment of DCCBs have been increasing in the recent years. As an example, a hybrid HVDC circuit breaker of Hitachi Energy has been performed successfully in the Zhangbei high-voltage direct current (HVDC) power transmission project in China. Commissioned in 2020, it was the world's first HVDC power transmission system to utilise multi-level voltage sourced converter (VSC) technology at a rated voltage of $\pm 500\text{kV}$ [154].

c. DC Cables and Overhead Lines

DC cables are the basic block that allows the power transmission in DC systems. They are made of a conductor (copper or aluminum) that transmits the power at a certain voltage level. The conductor is insulated from the ambient by means of an insulating material and additional layers depending on the application.

Two main general applications can be identified: underground and undersea power transmission. The type of cable is slightly different depending on the aforementioned applications and

different technologies are commercially available, which are covered in detail in the following subsections.

Underground HVDC power transmission: The cables enable the power transmission with a reduced footprint (narrow trench) compared to transmitting power by means of overhead lines.

Undersea/submarine HVDC power transmission: The cables serve two main functions: Connecting remote offshore platforms to mainland transmission grids and interconnecting countries or islands separated by sea. If the installation is done in shallow water (up to 500m depth), burial in the seabed or coverage by rocks or protective layers is mandatory to protect the cable against the risk of damage from fishing gear or anchors. On the contrary, for deep waters (from 500 m onwards), cables are simply laid on the seabed.

There are three technologies of HVDC cables (with the mass-impregnated and the extruded cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) the main technologies used today): HVDC low pressure oil filled cables, HVDC paper-insulated cables, and HVDC Extruded XLPE cables. Mass-impregnated (MI) and cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE) cables are both used for HVDC transmission, but MI cables are a more established technology, particularly for subsea applications, while XLPE cables offer advantages like higher operating temperatures and polarity reversal capabilities.

DC overhead lines (OHLs) are the basic building block along with DC cables in a DC grid. They

are composed of conductors that transmit the power, which are installed in metallic towers using insulators.

The corridor through which an OHL passes is called right-of-way (ROW), and nowadays, the acquisition of this ROW is one of the main difficulties to build new OHLs. Safety clearances to earth and surrounding objects are prescribed by international standards, so it is normal to see trees and grass cut down to avoid any flashovers from conductors to the elements nearby.

In DC OHLs, the same bare conductors without insulation as in AC OHLs are used. The most used conductor in power transmission is the aluminum conductor steel reinforced (ACSR). Conventional conductors such as ACSRs are operated at a temperature lower than 100°C. New technologies, such as high temperature low sag (HTLS) conductors allow to increase the current capability of the lines with minor modifications in the existing assets and using the same ROW (tower do not need to be replaced, sometimes reinforced). They operate at a higher temperature, typically more than 150°C see [146].

d. HVDC Technology Readiness Levels

Technology Readiness Levels for modular multi-level voltage sourced converters (MMV-VSC), DC circuit breakers (DCCB), and HVDC overhead lines and cables are presented in Fig. 4.4 below:




Fig. 4.4: HVDC Technology Readiness Levels [145]

iii. Operational Impacts on Reliability and Resilience

a. HVDC Control Impacts

Grid services and associated control that HVDC grids can provide to HVAC grids may include [126]:

• AC frequency control (active power):

HVDC systems connected to energy sources outside an AC grid can provide frequency regulation services to the AC grid.

• AC voltage support (reactive power):

HVDC system at the point of AC grids connection allows for the adjustment of the reactive power setpoint of the converter to respond to AC voltage disturbances.

- *Black start:*

HVDC links can re-energize AC networks after a partial or system-wide blackout.

- *Power oscillation damping:*

HVDC systems can be designed to damp undesirable power oscillation in connected AC grids through the modulation of active or/and reactive power signals.

- *AC line emulation:*

HVDC systems can be designed to emulate the response of AC circuits or grids to provide real-time adaptation to power disturbances in the AC grids without manual intervention from system operators.

- *Grid-forming capabilities:*

Grid-forming converters are capable of providing both an inertial and primary response to the AC grid they are connected, being driven by an energy resource, such as a battery or the reserves of another AC grid connected by HVDC. As such, Grid-forming converter controllers can set the frequency of their power injections to the target AC grid. Other grid-forming converter capabilities include contributing to fault levels, acting as a sink to counter harmonics, inter-harmonics, and imbalances in system voltage, and aiding in preventing adverse control interactions.

b. *Protection Impacts*

Protection devices and strategies are key to ensuring the secure operation of HVDC systems/grids

and AC grids they are connected to. The ability to contain faults and cascading events in these grids is necessary to ensure the protection of AC and DC grid assets, so that large-scale AC/DC electricity systems like Canada Transcontinental Supergrid can be operated in a reliable and resilient fashion.

Protective functions for connecting/merging AC and DC grids have two major areas: (1) protection for DC systems/grids and (2) protection for AC grids they are connected to.

DC grid protection functions include AC Circuit Breakers, DC Circuit Breakers, Fault-blocking converters, energy dissipation/dynamic breaking systems, and DC/DC converters.

AC grid protection functions include VSC HVDC grids use for “firewall” protection of AC grids. Specifically, the controllability performances of HVDC systems, addressing the rapidity of active power variation, can use firewall actions to prevent disturbance propagation in AC grids. Related HVDC control capabilities can modulate the active and reactive powers to alleviate system stresses.

iv. *Multi-Value Transmission Planning*

HVDC solutions multi-value transmission planning for Transcontinental Supergrid can offer additional benefits (and avoided AC facilities cost) that lower their net cost [145]. The major HVDC benefits in transmission planning may be defined as follows:

HVDC-VSC Capability	Planning Benefits / Options for Quantification
1. Flow control/market optimization	• Estimated <u>value</u> of congestion relief and loss reduction on AC grid with nodal production cost models that can optimize HVDC
2. Dynamic reactive power and voltage control	• <u>Avoided cost</u> of STATCOMs, SVCs, synchronous condensers
3. Lower long-distance transfer losses	• <u>Market value</u> of avoided losses on transmitted energy
4. Smaller footprint/right-of-way (ROW), including for undergrounding option	• <u>Lower cost</u> for right-of-way (e.g., 50ft less than for 765kV AC); lower cost of undergrounding; <u>lower risks</u> (permitting etc)
5. Reliability benefits (fault ride-through, lower N-1 contingency for bipoles, voltage support)	• Increased <u>transfer capacity</u> ; reduced cost of contingency reserves; <u>avoided cost</u> of AC equipment (e.g., additional lines, STATCOMs)
6. AC dynamic stability; power oscillation dampening; mitigate stability constraints on AC grid	• <u>Avoided cost</u> of power system stabilizers/supplemental power oscillation damping (POD) controllers with synchronous condensers, batteries, SVCs, STATCOMs, switched shunt equipment, etc. • <u>Value</u> of congestion relief on proxy constraints
7. Grid forming, grid services, synthetic inertia, blackstart/restoration, etc.	• <u>Market value</u> or <u>avoided cost</u> of providing the grid services through conventional means

Fig. 4.5: Quantifying HVDC Benefits in Transmission Planning [145]

As an example, strengthening transmission capacity of provincial interties in the Transcontinental Supergrid may require increasing the capacity of existing transmission corridors. When the existing right-of-way (ROW) is restricted, conversion from HVAC to HVDC may be assessed as the least-cost strategy to increase the capacity of the corridor. In some cases, HVDC conversion may be an option for distances of >200 km or for increases of >50% capacity, and the lower-cost option at >350 km and >50% capacity increases [155].

To leverage HVDC capabilities in the Transcontinental Supergrid, multi-value HVDC planning is seen as critical [145]. HVDC systems/projects should be analysed “sequentially adding more detail, scope, system performance, model fidelity, and temporal granularity as analyses move from planning to design and integration”.

Advanced AC grid capabilities brought by HVDC in the EU and recently in Canada present existing significant experience for the Supergrid planning:




Fig. 4.6: Advanced AC grid capabilities brought by HVDC [145]

v. *HVDC Use Cases*

Important use cases documenting the reasons for the HVDC-enabled Transcontinental Supergrid are summarised in the following areas [145]:

- Long-distance bulk transport,
- Asynchronous connection,

- Optimal use of right-of-way,
- Controllability.

Existing use cases for HVDC Transmission, their descriptions and features are presented in a summary table below [156] (see Table 4.5.1):

Table 4.5.1: HVDC Use Cases and Descriptions [156]

Use Case	Description/Features
1. Integration of remote renewables and offshore wind	More cost effective and stable for long-distance access to remote renewables Offers relatively high availability and capacity, low maintenance, and low losses for long distance transmission of renewables Superior controllability for integrating volatile renewable generation and stabilizing AC networks Allows for large export capacity from weak (but renewable rich) portions of the AC grid
2. Long-distance bulk-transmission	Overhead HVDC lines: Offers lower-cost, high-capacity transmission over longer distances, with lower losses, and less right of way than AC transmission lines Underground and submarine HVDC cables: offers lower-cost, high-capacity transmission over long distances; using underground HVDC minimizes environmental impact and reduces outage risks
3. Corridor transfer capability increase	Conversions of AC transmission to HVDC (and upgrades of aging HVDC lines) allow for substantial increases in the transfer capacity of existing transmission lines and corridors without the need for additional right of way and new greenfield transmission lines
4. Interconnections between asynchronous grids	Allows power transmission between AC grids that are not synchronized Asynchronous HVDC interconnection also allows for precise control of power transfer (for both reliability and trading) and the blocking of cascading failures without an increase of the grids' short-circuit current Two asynchronous systems can use HVDC to provide each other frequency support, balancing power, and operating reserve when needed
5a. Interconnections between BAs within a synchronous region	An HVDC link connecting neighboring balancing areas within a single synchronous AC network allows the BAs to exchange energy (for reliability and trading), provide balancing power, and share operating reserves (similar to HVAC transmission links) HVDC can additionally provide AC grid support services, such as power flow control (avoiding the need for phase shifters), dynamic voltage control (avoiding the need for STATCOMs), and system stability and dynamic support
5b. Transmission Embedded within a single Balancing Authority	HVDC transmission connected to different points of the AC grid within a single balancing area provides large transfer capability without imposing stability issues or loop flows on the AC grid It also provides power flow control functions within the AC network (such as for congestion management and loss reduction), dynamic voltage control (at each interconnection point), system stability improvement (including mitigation of stability-based AC transmission constraints), and the mitigation of AC-grid contingency impacts and system cascading failure risks
6. Infeed to load centers/urban areas	Allows for more cost-effective, high-capacity transmission feeds into urban areas and other large load centers where overhead lines are not an option or rights-of-way are very limited

Use Case	Description/Features
	VSC-based underground DC transmission can be added to existing transmission rights-of-way to reliably deliver more power to load centers without increasing short-circuit levels Provides additional reliability services, such as dynamic voltage support within the load center
7. Providing power to remote locations (including small islanded grids and offshore platforms)	VSC HVDC transmission can support weak or even passive islanded or remote grids, stabilize the islanded AC networks, and improve grid performance in the event of power disturbances

Summarizing required planning for HVDC in Transcontinental Supergrid section based on transmission planning experts recommendations [145]:

- Canada's Supergrid would enable transcontinental AC-DC transmission merge.
- High capacity, long-distance, controllable, multi-terminal HVDC technology is particularly valuable for transcontinental transmission across multiple balancing areas.
- Voltage Source Converters (VSC) as the dominant HVDC technology offer substantial advantages in addressing many of today's transmission needs and grid reliability challenges at lower cost.
- Gaining hands-on experience with VSC technology by learning from existing and current deployments in the European Union is critical for the Transcontinental Supergrid deployment and integration.
- Multi-value planning is necessary to the Supergrid deployment leveraging multiple benefits (and avoided AC grid upgrade costs) offered by modular multi-level VSC-based HVDC transmission interties and interconnections.

e) Transmission Grids in Canada at a Glance

Keeping the concept of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid in mind, the features of the country's ten provincial transmission grids were reviewed. These features include:

- Provincial transmission systems – High voltage power grids transporting electricity over large distances from power generation plants to electric stations/substations in consumption areas, and enabling electricity wholesale operations with other provinces/territories and internationally with the U.S.
- Transmission paths – The routes of power connecting provincial transmission systems. These paths are defined by transmission interfaces - interprovincial interties and international cross-border interconnections representing physical boundaries within the grid, often defined by specific transmission lines and substations. These interfaces have defined transfer limits to the maximum amount of power that can be moved from one side of the interface to the other. Transfer limits can be constrained by various factors, including capacity of the lines, stability of the system, or other technical

limitations. While transmission interfaces define the boundaries between the provincial grids, the largest transmission grids such as in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec also indicate zonal transmission interfaces within the grids which are also well defined.

- Existing and planned HVDC solutions - Hvdc lines and back-to-back converter stations currently operating in the provincial transmission grids and/or being considered in transmission planning.
- Electricity Interchange - Interprovincial power transmission-based in-flows and out-flows, and/or international export and import; this may be applied to the province in total and/or to each of the provincial Transmission Paths in operation.

i. Electricity Transmission Systems

British Columbia (BC): The BC Hydro electricity transmission system presents a 500 kV Circular (Loop) Core architecture with key electricity transmission hubs (see "Proposed Definitions" in section 3.1) and West/East and North/South transmission paths.




Fig. 5.1.1: BC Hydro transmission map [157]

Alberta (AB): The AESO electricity transmission system presents a 500 kV/240 kV "Vertical Trunk" architecture with three Transmission Paths.

The 500 kV transmission includes two intra-provincial HVDC transmission lines: Western Alberta Transmission Line (WATL) and Eastern Alberta Transmission Line (EATL), and an Alberta-BC HVAC Intertie.




Fig. 5.1.2: AESO Electricity System Transmission map [158]

Saskatchewan (SK): The Saskatchewan Electricity System presents a “Vertical Trunk” architecture with 230 kV lines shaping the “trunk” and 138 kV/115 kV/110 kV transmission lines making transmission loops as well as radial lines [159]:




Fig. 5.1.3: SaskPower Transmission System Map [158] Fig. 5.1.4: Manitoba Hydro Transmission System Map [160]

Manitoba (MB): Manitoba Hydro (MH)'s transmission system presents a “Vertical Trunk” architecture with three transmission paths to Alberta, Saskatchewan and

Minnesota. The “Vertical Trunk” transmission is based on a combination of long-distance HVDC and 230 kV HVAC lines shaping the “trunk” and 138 kV/115 kV/66


kV transmission making transmission loops as well as radial lines ("the branches").

Ontario (ON):


The Ontario's transmission system has three major connected components (see Fig. 5.1.5):

- A 500 kV Loop transmission in the area surrounded by the lakes Ontario, Erie and Huron, and covered by five electrical zones: West, Southwest, Niagara, Bruce, and Toronto;

- A 500 kV "Trunk" transmission with several 230 kV and 115 kV transmission loops and radial lines covering the East and Ottawa electrical zones;
- A 500 kV "Trunk" transmission with several 230 kV and 115 kV transmission loops and radial lines covering the Essa, Northeast and Northwest electrical zones.



a) Transmission System Map [161]



b) Ontario Zonal Map [163]

Fig. 5.1.5: IESO-Controlled Transmission System

Ontario's IESO transmission system is divided into ten electrical zones: West, Southwest, Niagara, Bruce, Toronto, East, Essa, Ottawa, Northeast and Northwest. It is administered/directed by the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO), the Crown corporation responsible for operating the electricity market in the province [162].

Quebec (QC)

The Québec's electricity transmission system (also known as the Quebec interconnection) is a pioneer

in high-voltage power transmission strongly built on the 735 kV HVAC core. The South Shore region of Montreal and the Saint Lawrence River between Montreal and Quebec City contain 735 kV power line loops in the system architecture.



Fig. 5.1.6: Hydro Quebec Transenergie Transmission System [164]

Hydro-Québec is also a global leader in High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) transmission and has many HVDC interconnections with neighboring systems. The Hydro-Québec's electricity transmission system is managed by Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie (HQT), a division of the crown corporation Hydro-Québec.

New Brunswick (NB)

New Brunswick Power's transmission system is built on 138 kV and 345 kV transmission loops with 230 kV links between them (see Fig. 5.1.7):





Fig. 5.1.7: New Brunswick Power System Map [165]

Nova Scotia (NS)

Nova Scotia Power INC. (NSPI)'s transmission system presents a "Horizontal Trunk" architecture with two transmission paths to New Brunswick and Newfoundland and Labrador. The "Horizontal Trunk" architecture includes 345 kV transmission core with 230 kV/138 kV/69 kV radial lines.

The NSPI system is interconnected to New Brunswick Power via one 345kV and two 138kV transmission lines.

Nova Scotia is also interconnected with Newfoundland via a 500MW, +/-200kV DC Maritime Link intertie that was placed into service on January 15, 2018 to receive power capacity and energy from the Muskrat Falls Hydro project and the Labrador Island Link DC tie between Labrador and Newfoundland [166].



a) Transmission system map [166]



b) Maritime Link between Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador [167]

Fig. 5.1.8: NSPI transmission system

Prince Edward Island

Prince Edward Island's high voltage transmission system consists of 69 kV and 138 kV transmission lines as well as the interconnections between Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick. Prince Edward Island is connected to the NB Power system through its HVAC 560 MW, 138 kV submarine cable interconnection.



Fig. 5.1.9: PEI-NB interconnection [168]

Newfoundland and Labrador

There are two primary zones of electrical infrastructure in the Newfoundland and Labrador Interconnected System—the Island Interconnected System and the Labrador Interconnected System. A system map of the provincial transmission system is shown in Fig. 5.1.10.



Fig. 5.1.10: Provincial Transmission system with the Island and the Labrador Interconnected Systems [169]

The Island Interconnected System is the interconnected portion of the Island electrical system. In 2018, the system became connected to The Eastern Interconnection in North America for the first time via the Labrador-Island Link, which connects it to the Labrador Interconnected System, and the Maritime Link, which connects it to Nova Scotia [169].

The Labrador Interconnected System is the interconnected portion of the Labrador electrical system. Central to the system is large, hydroelectric generation capability from Churchill Falls and transmission to the two major customer centres in Labrador East and Labrador West. It is connected to the Island Interconnected System via the Labrador-Island Link (LIL). The system is also connected to the Eastern Interconnection via the HVAC 735 kV transmission lines from Churchill Falls to Quebec.

ii. Transmission Paths

British Columbia

British Columbia's high voltage transmission system has been linked to Alberta and the United States for over thirty years [157].

Specifically, Path 1E: Alberta–British Columbia (Path 1 in the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) 2023 Path Rating Catalogue [129]) includes a set of AC lines with the total Transfer Limit of 1,000 MW East To West, and 1,200 MW West to East.

Path 1E: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)–British Columbia (Path 3: Northwest in WECC [129]) includes a set of AC lines with the total Transfer Limit of 3,150 MW North to South, and 3,000 MW South to North [170].

Alberta

The Alberta's Electricity System managed by AESO is connected to British Columbia via Path 1E (Path 1 in WECC [129]); to Saskatchewan via Path 2E (Path 2 in WECC [129]); and to Montana via Path 2S (Path 83 in WECC [129]).

Path 2E to Saskatchewan presents McNeill HVDC Back-to-Back Intertie with the total Transfer Limit of 150 MW East To West and 150 MW West to East.

Path 2S to Montana presents Montana-Alberta Tie Line (MATL) at an AC voltage of 230 kV with the total Transfer Limit of 325 MW North to South and 300 MW South to North.

Saskatchewan

The Saskatchewan's Electricity System managed by SaskPower is connected to Alberta via Path 2E (Path 2 in WECC [129]); to Manitoba via Path 3E (Manitoba Hydro); to North Dakota via Path 3S (Southwest Power Pool (SPP), a Regional Transmission Organization).

Saskatchewan-Manitoba Path 3E Total Transfer Limit is 290 MW [171].

Saskatchewan-North Dakota Path's Total Transfer Limit is currently 150 MW to be expanded to 650 MW by 2027 [172].

Manitoba

Manitoba Hydro manages four Paths: Path 4E to the east with Ontario (IESO), Path 3E to the west with Saskatchewan (SaskPower), and two to the south: Path

4SE to Minnesota and Path 4SW to North Dakota (MISO) [173]¹.

Table 5.2.1: Manitoba Paths [174]

Path	Out-Flow/Export (MW)	In-Flow/Import (MW)
Manitoba – North Dakota Path 4SW and Manitoba – Minnesota Path 4SE (MISO):	3058	1475
Manitoba -Ontario (IESO) Path 4E:	125	25
Manitoba -Saskatchewan Path 3E:	400, including:	145, including:
South Interface: Path 3ES	365	75
North Interface: Path 3EN	35	70

Ontario

The Ontario's Electricity System managed by IESO is connected to the wholesale transmission systems administered by Manitoba Hydro (Manitoba),

MISO (via Minnesota and Michigan), NYISO (New York), and Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie (Quebec) – see Fig. 5.2.1 below:



Fig. 5.2.1: Ontario-Transmission-Interfaces-and-Interties-Overview [175]

Table 5.2.2: IESO Transmission Intertie/Interconnection Capabilities (all Transmission Elements In-service) [176]

Path/Interlink	Direction	Total Transfer Capability (MW)
ON-MB Path 4E	West	250
MB-ON Path 4E	East	260
ON-MN Path 5SWW	South	150
MN-ON Path 5SWW	North	145
ON-MI Path 5SWE	South	1,500
MI-ON Path 5SWE	North	1,650
ON-NY @ Niagara Path 5SW	South	2,005
NY-ON @ Niagara Path 5SW	North	1,810
ON-NY @ St. Lawrence Path 5SE	South	295
NY-ON @ St. Lawrence Path 5SE	North	295
ON-QC Path 5E	East	2,135
QC-ON Path 5E	West	2,730

¹ Manitoba Hydro in its documentation considers two interfaces with Saskatchewan (south and north) to be separate, while this paper suggests using "path to a market" approach, keeping all the interfaces (and lines) related to a market included in the same Path to this market. Saskatchewan in this approach presents a single market for Manitoba with one "Saskatchewan to Manitoba" Path.

Table 5.2.3: Interconnections with Neighbouring Transmission Systems

- a) The CORN and DEN service points are served by the same interconnection.
- b) Transit at CORN and DEN service points cannot exceed 325 MW in simultaneous delivery.

© 2025 Global Journals

Table 5.2.4: Total transfer capability (TTC) as of 2022 [179]

Interface	Interface Name	Direction	TTC (MW)
<i>Path 6E (HQT-NBSO):</i>			
Path 6ES	Madawaska – Edmundston*/Saint Andre	In-flow	594
		Out-flow	435
Path 6EN	Matapedia – Eel River*	In-flow	435
		Out-flow	350
<i>Path 7SE (NE-NBSO):</i>			
	New England - New Brunswick	Import	550
		Export	1,000
<i>Path 7SW (NMISA-NBSO)</i>			
Path 7SWW (MPD-NB)	Maine Public District – New Brunswick	Import	129
		Export	110
Path 7SWE (EMEC-NB)	Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative – New Brunswick	Import	32
		Export	32
<i>Path 7E (NBSO-NSSO)</i>			
	New Brunswick – Nova Scotia	In-flow	350
		Out-flow	150
Path 7NE (NBSO-PEIEC)	New Brunswick – Prince Edward Island	In-flow	300
		Out-flow	300

*With radial lines (load islands)

Nova Scotia

NB-NS Interface: From the perspective of the NS side of the NS-NB Intertie, the export Total Transfer Capability (TTC) is up to 500 MW. Import Total Transfer Capability is up to 300 MW or 27% of gross load in Nova Scotia, whichever is less.

NS-NL Interface (via Maritime Link):

Maritime Link is an HVDC interconnection between Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador with a nominal bi-directional rating of 500 MW [180].

As of 2023, Total Transfer Capability of the NSSO interconnections is as follows (see Table 5.2.5):

Table 5.2.5: Total transfer capability (TTC) as of 2023 [180]

Interface	Interface Name	Direction	TTC (MW)
<i>Path 7E (NBSO-NSSO):</i>			
(NSX)	Nova Scotia Import/Export	Import	300
		Export	500
<i>Path 8NE (NSSO-NLH):</i>			
(MLI)	Maritime Link	Import	475
		Export	325

Prince Edward Island: see Table 5.2.5 above.

Newfoundland and Labrador: see Table 5.2.6 below.

Table 5.2.6: Total transfer capability (TTC) as of 2023

Interface	Interface Name	Direction	TTC (MW)
<i>Path 8NE (NSSO-NLH):</i>			
(MLI)	Maritime Link	Import	325
		Export	475
<i>Path 9SW (QHT-CF(L)Co):</i>			
(LAB)	Churchill Falls Labrador	Import	0
		Export	1000

iii. Existing and Planned HVDC Solutions

British Columbia: None

Alberta:

Intra-provincial: The C\$1.65 billion Western Alberta HVDC Transmission Line, owned by AltaLink LP, and the C\$1.8 billion Eastern Alberta HVDC Transmission Line, owned by ATCO Ltd., are both 500-kV, 1,000-MW systems. Eastern Alberta Transmission Line is a 485 km long, 500 kV, bipolar overhead transmission line. Western Alberta Transmission Line is a 350-kilometer, 500 kV, bipolar overhead transmission line. Each of the HVDC transmission lines has its capacity of 1,000 MW and can be expandable to a minimum capacity of 2,000 MW [181].

Inter-provincial: The McNeill back-to-back converter station enables a 150 MW transfer at a DC voltage of 42 kV interconnecting the asynchronous Alberta and Saskatchewan systems. Built and commissioned in 1989 by GEC-Alstom, owned and operated by ATCO, the facility is an the only intertie between the Eastern and Western Interconnections in North America [156,157], providing standby voltage support capacity in both AC systems as well as energy exchange using thyristor-based converters [182,183].

Saskatchewan

The McNeill is a 150 MW back-to-back converter station at a DC voltage of 42 kV interconnecting the asynchronous Alberta and Saskatchewan transmission systems (see section 5.2 Alberta).

Manitoba:

Intra-provincial:

Manitoba Hydro's transmission facilities have been developed and are operated as an integrated system, with the backbone being the three Nelson River HVDC transmission lines called Bipole I, Bipole II, and Bipole III [184].

The Bipole I (± 450 kV, 1620 MW) and Bipole II (± 500 kV, 1800 MW) transmission lines [177] run alongside each other for much of their 895-km route, starting at the northern Radisson and Henday converter

stations near Gillam. Both lines end in the south at the Dorsey converter station just northwest of Winnipeg.

The Bipole III HVDC transmission line is built on a different route through western Manitoba and two new converter stations. It begins at the Keewatinohk converter station approximately 80 km northwest of Gillam, and ends at the Riel converter station just east of Winnipeg. Bipole III (± 500 kV, 2,000 MW) is an independent and physically separate HVDC system.

Ontario: None

Quebec:

Hydro Quebec Transenergie (HQT) is a global HVDC leader using HVDC technology for all of its asynchronous electricity systems within the Eastern Interconnection. This includes HVDC back-to-back converter stations on the borders with the provinces of Ontario and New Brunswick:

- Quebec's electricity system is connected to the Ontario system through the Outaouais 1,250 MW HVDC back-to-back converter station, located near Ottawa/Gatineau on the Québec side of the border between the Canadian provinces of Ontario and Québec. The Outaouais 1,250 MW back-to-back station consists of two independent 625 MW blocks. The station is fully owned by the provincial utility, Hydro-Québec (HQ).
- Quebec's electricity system is connected to the New Brunswick system through the Madawaska 350 MW HVDC back-to-back converter station is located in Quebec near the village of Degelis with a connection into New Brunswick near the city of Edmundston [185]. It connects the 315 kV Quebec transmission system with the 345 kV system in New Brunswick.

This also includes long-distance HVDC transmission lines such as Québec - New England Phase I/II HVDC transmission project (representing also the first large-scale multi-terminal HVDC transmission in the world [186, 187], relatively short HVDC interconnection lines, e.g. Hertel-New York interconnection line [188] and back-to-back HVDC stations, e.g., Châteauguay - one of the largest back-to-back HVDC converter stations in North America

enabling power exchanges between Hydro-Québec and New York Power Authority (NYPA) [189].

New HVDC interconnection developments in progress [190] include:

- Appalaches–Maine (NECEC), 1243 MW commissioning in 2025,
- Hertel–New-York (CHPE), 1283 MW commissioning in 2026,
- Montérégie–Vermont (New England Clean Power Link - NECPL), 1000 MW commissioning after 2030,
- Quebec–New Brunswick, 2179 MW commissioning after 2030 (use of existing interconnections up to 1029 MW, new 1150-MW interconnection).

New Brunswick

The Eel River HVDC back-to-back converter station is located in Eel River Crossing, New Brunswick [191]; it is the first operative HVDC station in the world equipped with thyristors. The Eel River Converter Station, commissioned in 1972 and upgraded in 2014, consists of two separate bidirectional solid-state non-synchronous back-to back converter units (each nominally rated 160 MW) connecting 230-kV transmission systems of Hydro-Québec and NB Power. The converter station has a nominal throughput rating of 320 MW and an overload capability of up to 350 MW.

Nova Scotia

The Maritime Link Project [192] is a 500 MW, ± 200 kV HVDC connection that enables to transmit to/via Nova Scotia clean, renewable electricity generated in Newfoundland and Labrador. The stabilizing features of Hitachi Energy's HVDC Light® solution also allow Nova Scotia to integrate additional renewables and contribute to Canada's emission-reduction efforts. The HVDC Light® Maritime Link is the first of its kind in the world with a full Voltage Source Converter (VSC) bipolar configuration, to enhance system availability.

Also, currently several HVDC opportunities are being reviewed that include anew HVDC transmission intertie was reviewed in 2023 to connect offshore wind in the Gulf of Maine (New England) and in Nova Scotia with load centers in the two regions to achieve their decarbonization goals [193, 194].

Prince Edward Island: None

Newfoundland and Labrador:

Maritime Link: see Nova Scotia sub-section above.

Labrador-Island Link (LIL):

The Labrador-Island Link HVDC system is configured as a 900 MW, ± 320 kV Line Commutated Converter HVDC bipolar transmission system with two sections of overhead transmission line, the Strait of Belle Isle marine crossing, shoreline pond return electrodes, and converter stations at Muskrat Falls and Soldiers Pond. The HVDC bipolar scheme includes two 450 MW converters and 350 kV switchyard at both Muskrat Falls and Soldiers Pond for total transfer capacity of 900 MW. The overland transmission line is a bipole line with a single conductor per pole, duel electrode conductors for a portion of the line, and an optical ground wire communication cable. The lines are supported by galvanized steel lattice towers. Construction of the 1,100 km LIL HVDC system was completed in late 2017; power commenced flowing in 2018 and the asset was commissioned on April 14, 2023 [195].

iv. Electricity Interchange

According to Canada Energy Regulator (CER)'s data, provincial electricity interchange recorded in 2021 and projected for 2025, 2030 and 2035 is presented in tables 5.4.1-5.4.10 below. The projections selected from CER's "Canada's Energy Future 2023: Supply and Demand Projections to 2050" [196] include "Current Measures" and "Canada Net-zero" scenarios.

Table 5.4.1: BC Hydro Electricity Interchange

British Columbia Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	1,409.30	5,433.98	7,407.52	7,809.40	5,577.14	7,103.88	11,388.99
Interprovincial Out-Flows	4,659.64	911.14	51.14	17.51	871.68	165.19	3,293.76
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	3,250.34	-4,522.84	-7,356.37	-7,791.88	-4,705.45	-6,938.69	-8,095.24
Imports	7,527.55	4,841.88	4,841.88	4,244.01	4,841.88	4,841.88	4,244.01
Exports	11,430.41	8,440.35	6,317.29	5,625.01	3,598.47	1,475.41	1,381.00
Net Exports	3,902.86	3,598.47	1,475.41	1,381.00	8,440.35	6,317.29	5,625.01

Table 5.4.2: AESO Electricity Interchange

Alberta Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	4,867.46	1,586.33	87.03	169.08	1,659.40	853.58	7,758.42
Interprovincial Out-Flows	1,495.53	5,862.92	8,576.99	8,859.36	5,974.56	7,550.57	13,579.42
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-3,371.93	4,276.59	8,489.96	8,690.28	4,315.16	6,696.98	5,821.00
Imports	1,583.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exports	121.49	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00
Net Exports	-1,462.44	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00	410.00

Table 5.4.3: SaskPower Electricity Interchange

Saskatchewan Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	655.72	3,050.77	3,540.57	3,500.31	3,015.49	3,061.31	10,928.27
Interprovincial Out-Flows	274.67	676.78	74.89	185.15	789.23	693.54	5,400.64
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-381.05	-2,374.00	-3,465.68	-3,315.16	-2,226.26	-2,367.77	-5,527.63
Imports	17.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exports	187.20	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00
Net Exports	170.17	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00	130.00

Table 5.4.4: Manitoba Hydro Electricity Interchange

Manitoba Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	8.26	623.28	513.78	499.91	634.67	150.65	4,298.14
Interprovincial Out-Flows	1,326.70	3,090.01	2,967.38	3,078.30	3,081.87	3,679.73	11,614.65
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	1,318.44	2,466.73	2,453.60	2,578.40	2,447.20	3,529.08	7,316.51
Imports	3,072.80	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00
Exports	5,442.08	8,815.72	15,084.37	14,340.83	8,815.72	15,084.37	14,340.83
Net Exports	2,369.28	7,815.72	14,084.37	13,340.83	7,815.72	14,084.37	13,340.83

Table 5.4.5: IESO Electricity Interchange

Ontario Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	9,120.35	7,144.31	12,569.64	15,048.25	8,229.88	16,283.12	26,373.77
Interprovincial Out-Flows	2,922.56	6,971.09	3,577.21	2,123.80	6,116.48	3,006.93	9,907.73
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-6,197.79	-173.22	-8,992.43	-12,924.46	-2,113.40	-13,276.19	-16,466.05
Imports	521.73	6,846.89	6,846.89	6,846.89	6,846.89	6,846.89	6,846.89
Exports	15,628.25	6,565.33	10,988.71	10,584.53	6,565.33	10,988.71	10,584.53
Net Exports	15,106.52	-281.56	4,141.82	3,737.64	-281.56	4,141.82	3,737.64

Table 5.4.6: Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie Electricity Interchange

Quebec Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	33,845.61	33,355.55	29,835.99	28,409.07	32,191.85	29,304.48	36,622.04
Interprovincial Out-Flows	13,275.06	12,427.55	20,171.17	18,273.91	13,705.21	20,976.97	25,581.96
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-20,570.55	-20,928.00	-9,664.82	-10,135.16	-18,486.63	-8,327.51	-11,040.08
Imports	6.49	600.00	600.00	600.00	600.00	600.00	600.00
Exports	24,283.56	25,152.69	26,779.29	26,592.14	25,152.69	26,779.29	26,592.14
Net Exports	24,277.07	24,552.69	26,179.29	25,992.14	24,552.69	26,179.29	25,992.14

Table 5.4.7: NB Power Electricity Interchange

New Brunswick Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	5,102.33	6,384.60	10,017.02	5,771.93	6,530.20	9,471.74	6,531.83
Interprovincial Out-Flows	2,062.86	1,669.23	2,204.63	2,533.25	1,710.48	815.41	679.77
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-3,039.47	-4,715.38	-7,812.39	3,238.68	-4,819.73	-8,656.32	-5,852.06
Imports	131.24	414.74	414.74	414.74	414.74	414.74	414.74
Exports	2,154.52	807.52	1,083.17	672.72	807.52	1,083.17	672.72
Net Exports	2,023.28	392.78	668.43	257.98	392.78	668.43	257.98

Table 5.4.8: NS Power Electricity Interchange

Nova Scotia Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	1,006.64	5,196.88	5,794.65	5,916.74	5,301.31	4,099.09	1,865.60
Interprovincial Out-Flows	0.08	519.65	1,595.75	1,286.86	438.25	3,531.98	6,030.41
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-1,006.57	-4,677.23	-4,198.90	-4,629.87	-4,863.06	-567.11	4,164.81
Imports	138.24	110.00	110.00	110.00	110.00	110.00	110.00
Exports	2.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Exports	-135.60	-110.00	-110.00	-110.00	-110.00	-110.00	-110.00

Table 5.4.9: Maritime Electric Electricity Interchange

Prince Edward Island Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	848.18	848.08	751.11	860.87	785.11	813.92	675.82
Interprovincial Out-Flows	0	114.1	223.72	645.3	153.21	184.81	227.31
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	-848.18	-733.98	-527.39	-215.57	-631.9	-629.11	-448.51
Imports	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exports	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Exports	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5.4.10: NL Hydro Electricity Interchange

Newfoundland and Labrador Interchange, GWh:	Recorded	Current Measures Scenario			Canada Net Zero Scenario		
	2021	2025	2030	2035	2025	2030	2035
Interprovincial In-Flows	36.26	0.57	0.26	13.84	0.40	4.01	1,810.90
Interprovincial Out-Flows	31,146.16	31,381.89	31,074.69	30,995.95	31,084.47	30,540.65	31,938.13
Net Interprovincial Out-Flows	31,109.90	31,381.33	31,074.42	30,982.11	31,084.07	30,536.63	30,127.23
Imports	14.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exports	1,152.64	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00
Net Exports	1,137.94	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00

III. EXPECTED RESULTS AND OUTCOMES

a) Estimation Framework and Planning Implications for Transcontinental Supergrid

Possible next steps in the Transcontinental Supergrid planning proposed in this section include the following:

- Review and potential upgrade of total transfer capability limits for interprovincial and international transmission paths, and existing and currently planned HVDC solutions
- Selection of an interprovincial coast-to-coast transfer capability target
- Review of international coast-to-coast transfer practices
- Review of through-province transmission capabilities
- Review of HVDC back-to-back stations planning for Supergrid development

i. Upgrading Total Transfer Limits

a. Interregional Transfer Capability Studies

Canadian systems represent a crucial part in the interconnected North American bulk power system (BPS). Interregional energy transfers play an increasingly pivotal role across provincial transmission grids in Canada addressing the changing resource mix and extreme weather. A strong, flexible, and resilient transmission system in Canada is essential today to support energy adequacy to reliably meet customer demand.

To better define opportunities with upgrading Total Transfer Capabilities coast to coast, a comprehensive analysis of current opportunities was completed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) through its Interregional Transfer Capability Studies (ITCS) [198] of transmission planning regions "to inform the potential need for more electric transmission capacity between regions for reliability" (the U.S. Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023, section 322 [197]) with an objective to maintaining and upgrading a highly reliable, resilient, and secure North American bulk power system.

The Interregional Transfer Capability Studies conducted contained the following:

- 1) "Current total transfer capability, between each pair of neighboring transmission planning regions.
- 2) A recommendation of prudent additions to total transfer capability between each pair of neighboring transmission planning regions that would demonstrably strengthen reliability within and among such neighboring transmission planning regions.
- 3) Recommendations to meet and maintain total transfer capability together with such recommended prudent additions to total transfer capability between each pair of neighboring transmission planning regions" [198].

According to [198], Transmission Planning Regions (TPRs) in North America are defined in Fig. 6.1.1 below:




Fig. 6.1.1: Transmission Planning Regions [198]

In Canada, these Transmission Planning Regions (TPR) are well defined by the provincial boundaries; the only TPR adjustment may be made to Atlantic Canada (AC) region including the Maritimes and the province of Newfoundland and Labrador.

Significance of Transfer Capability for Canada's Supergrid is well defined in [198]: "Adequate transfer capability is fundamental to the reliable operation of the BPS. Balancing Authorities may rely on their neighbors to supply energy for various purposes, including economic or policy reasons. Transfer capability is also essential under stressed operating conditions, allowing Balancing Authorities to maintain reliability by importing needed energy from their neighbors. As the resource mix becomes increasingly dependent on just-in-time and weather-dependent fuels, such as wind and solar, the ability to transfer electrical energy from areas of fuel adequacy to areas experiencing fuel constraints has become essential to maintaining reliable delivery of electricity to end-use customers."

"...A holistic view of the interconnected system and a thorough understanding of its behavior are essential when calculating or increasing transfer capability. When neighboring TPRs transfer energy over a highly interconnected system, the energy flows over many different lines based on the difficulty, or resistance, of traveling each route, unless there is specific equipment used to control flows. As a result, energy typically flows not only across the tie lines that

directly connect the exporting (source) TPR to the importing (sink) TPR, but over many routes, some of which may be running through third-party systems. The way electrical energy flows has broad implications for calculating and using transfer capability in an interconnected system, especially when traveling over long distances."

Implications of increasing Transfer Capability and its related limitations must include the following: "...Increased transfers of energy between TPRs can benefit reliability in some situations, but large transfers also have reliability implications that must be considered. When a large amount of energy is transferred, certain aspects of reliable system operations, such as system stability, voltage control, and minimizing the potential for cascading outages, must also be considered, including the ability to withstand unplanned facility outages. This evaluation is crucial as an increased transfer capability may benefit neighboring TPRs under stressed conditions, but it can also potentially create some reliability issues that must be carefully considered in the planning process."

b. Transfer Capability Analysis for Canada

In April 2025 NERC completed Interregional Transfer Capability Study (ITCS) for Canada with an analysis of transfer capability - the measure of the ability of interconnected electric systems to reliably move or transfer electric power from one area to another area by

way of all transmission lines (or paths) between those areas under specific system conditions. Transfer capability and energy margins were analysed to evaluate the reliability benefits of enhancing cross-border and cross-provincial transmission. This analysis complements NERC's Interregional Transfer Capability Study (ITCS) for the U.S. issued in 2024 [198].

The Canadian Analysis focused on energy adequacy - the ability of the bulk power system (BPS) to always meet customer demand. The conclusions of this Canadian Analysis align with those of the Canada Electricity Advisory Council, which "identified the reinforcement and expansion of inter-regional transmission as a critical measure to support the reliability of Canada's electricity system" [9], a finding that was incorporated into Canada's Clean Electricity Strategy [199].

The scope of the NERC's Interregional Transfer Capability Study included the following:


- A common modeling approach to study the North American grid independently and transparently
- Evaluation of the impact of extreme weather events on hourly energy adequacy using the calculated current transfer capability and 10-year resource and load futures
- Identifying additional transfer capability that could address energy deficits when surplus is available in neighboring regions
- Extensive consultation and collaboration with industry
- Reliability improvement as the sole consideration in evaluating additional transfer capability


According to [200], "NERC assessments identified the need for more transmission throughout North America and a strategically planned resource mix to address these changes and support the ongoing electrification of the economy, including the growing transportation sector, industrial loads, and data centers. More frequent extreme weather events may further compound the challenge. In the interest of public health, safety, and security, the need for a reliable energy supply becomes most pronounced under these extreme conditions. These factors emphasize the criticality of adequate and informed planning at a broad interregional level to support future grid reliability and resilience".

The Canadian Analysis highlighted an opportunity to optimize reserve use across multiple Transmission Planning Regions (TPRs) in Canada, showing how transmission can maximize the use of resources, including energy-limited storage and demand response. The analysis "highlights the ongoing importance of holistic transmission and resource planning, as increasing transfer capability without surplus energy would be inefficient".

Being focused solely on reliability, specifically in terms of energy adequacy and reserve optimization, the Canadian Analysis indicates that transfer capability additions reduce energy deficits by transferring available excess energy from neighboring TPRs.

The transfer capability across the provincial transmission grids in Canada in summer and in winter is shown on Fig. 6.1.2 below:





(b) Transfer Capability (Winter)

Fig. 6.1.2: Transfer Capability - NERC Canadian Analysis [200]

As indicated by NERC in [200], “These transfer capabilities represent the ability of the entire network to move energy from one TPR to another TPR, but are not synonymous with path ratings, which calculate the maximum flow that can be reliably attained over a selected set of transmission facilities. This study did not follow a path-based calculation method used in many

TPRs, so the results generally do not match individual facility ratings. Normally open ties, such as those between interconnections, were not considered in this evaluation.”

The NERC's key findings of the transfer analysis for Canada are summarized in Fig. 6.1.3 below:

- Transfer capability varies seasonally and under different system conditions that limit transmission loading; it cannot be represented by a single number.
- Transfer capability is highly dependent on coordinated phase angle regulator settings, particularly in Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and Ontario.
- Prince Edward Island load impacts the transfer capability from New Brunswick to Nova Scotia.
- Transfer capability differs across Canada, with total import capability varying between 5% and 80% of peak load.
- Observed transfer capabilities are generally higher between Canada and the United States but relatively lower between provinces.
- The magnitude of transfer capability is not itself a measure of energy adequacy.
- Interregional transfer capability, as studied in this analysis, is not synonymous with path ratings.

Fig. 6.1.3: Transfer analysis findings for Canada, 2025 [200]

Based on the NERC's ITCS methodology, the following summary can be proposed for Total Transfer Capabilities of provincial interties in Canada (see Table 6.1.1):

Table 6.1.1: Total Transfer Capabilities of provincial interties based on the ITCS analysis for Canada [200]

a) Summer 2024

Transfer, MW:	Province:	BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	NB	NS	Total
In-flow	AB	846		150						996
Out-flow		1,000		150						1,150
In-flow	SK		150		306					456
Out-flow			150		106					256
In-flow	MB			106		1,961				2,067
Out-flow				306		1,306				1,612
In-flow	ON				1,306		1,250			2,556
Out-flow					1,961		1,250			3,211
In-flow	QC					1,250		742		1,992
Out-flow						1,250		742		1,992
In-flow	NB						742		350	1,092
Out-flow							742		170	912

b) Winter 2024-25

Transfer, MW:	Province:	BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	NB	NS	Total
In-flow	AB	855		150						1,005
Out-flow		1,000		150						1,150
In-flow	SK		150		499					649
Out-flow			150		473					623
In-flow	MB			473		2,336				2,809
Out-flow				499		2,203				2,702
In-flow	ON				2,203		1,250			3,453
Out-flow					2,336		1,250			3,586
In-flow	QC					1,250		773		2,023
Out-flow						1,250		773		2,023
In-flow	NB						773		350	1,123
Out-flow							773		100	873

Also, the following summary can be proposed for Total Transfer Capabilities of international interconnections in Canada (see table 6.1.2):

Table 6.1.2: Total Transfer Capabilities of international interconnections based on the ITCS analysis for Canada [200]

a) Summer 2024

Transfer, MW	Province/ U.S. Region:	Washington	Wasatch Front	SPP North	MISO West	MISO East	New York	New England	Total
Import	BC	2,897							2,897
Export		2,358							2,358
Import	AB		525						525
Export			957						957
Import	SK			370					370
Export				165					165
Import	MB				0				0
Export					3,772				3,772
Import	ON				1,176	2,160	1,390		4,726
Export					2,424	2,348	2,286		7,058
Import	QC						1,000	2,225	3,225
Export							1,000	2,225	3,225
Import	NB							550	550
Export								1,127	1,127
Import	Total	2,897	525	370	1,176	2,160	2,390	2,775	12,293
Export		2,358	957	165	6,196	2,348	3,286	3,352	18,662

b) Winter 2024-25

Transfer, MW	Province/ U.S. Region:	Washington	Wasatch Front	SPP North	MISO West	MISO East	New York	New England	Total
Import	BC	2,795							2,795
Export		2,170							2,170
Import	AB		477						477
Export			1,280						1,280
Import	SK			286					286
Export				663					663
Import	MB				801				801
Export					3,633				3,633
Import	ON				2,163	2,081	1,780		6,024
Export					1,862	1,649	2,719		6,230
Import	QC						1,000	2,225	3,225
Export							1,000	2,225	3,225
Import	NB							550	550
Export								1,265	1,265
Import	Total	2,795	477	286	2,964	2,081	2,780	2,775	14,158
Export		2,170	1,280	663	5,495	1,649	3,719	3,490	18,466

ii. Coast-to-Coast Transfer Capability Target

To select a coast-to-coast Transcontinental Supergrid TTC target, the opportunities resulting from the ITCS analysis for Canada provided by NERC [200] were used as the next step.

The maximum total transfer capabilities for provincial interties based on “summer/winter” and “in-flow/out-flow” limits based on [200] are defined in Table 6.2.1:

Table 6.2.1: Maximum Total Transfer Capability for provincial interties, MW (winter/summer, in-flow/out-flow)

Province:	BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	AC
AB	1,000		150				
SK		150		499			
MB			499		2,336		
ON				2,336		1,250	
QC					1,250		773
NB						773	

Opportunities provided by NERC [200] are defined by cross-seam interconnections limitations (Alberta-Saskatchewan path between the Western and Eastern interconnections, Ontario-Quebec and Quebec-New Brunswick (Atlantic Canada) paths between the Quebec and Eastern interconnections) highlighted in Table 6.2.1. In the Transcontinental Supergrid concept

the existing HVDC cross-seams should be upgraded and aligned to allow power flow coast-to-coast.

To maintain the Transcontinental Supergrid economically attractive at the initial stage of its deployment it is proposed to use the 1,250 MW coast-to-coast TTC level of the Supergrid, upgrading only the interties below this “threshold” level (see Table 6.2.2):

Table 6.2.2: Additional Total Transfer Capabilities, MW

Province:	BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	AC
AB	250		1,100				
SK		1,100		750			
MB			750		1,250		
ON				1,250		0	
QC					0		500
NB						500	

A potential upgrade of the Manitoba-Ontario intertie's TTC to the 2,350 MW level indicated in [200] is proposed to be made at later stages of the Supergrid deployment.

iii. International Transfer Practices

Within the Supergrid's Total Transfer Capability upgrade for import/export operations with the wholesale

markets in the U.S. it is important to enable full control of the international interconnections.

The TTC opportunities for international power transmission import/export with the U.S. regional wholesale markets resulting from the ITCS analysis for

Canada provided by NERC [200] are indicated in Table 6.3.1 below and are based on the maximum total transfer capabilities for provincial interties based on "summer/winter" and "in-flow/out-flow" limits.

Table 6.3.1: Maximum Total Transfer Capability for international interconnections, MW (winter/summer, in-flow/out-flow)

Province/ U.S. Region:	Washington	Wasatch Front	SPP North	MISO West	MISO East	New York	New England
BC	2,897						
AB		1,280					
SK*			663				
MB**				3,772			
ON				2,424	2,348	2,719	
QC						1,000	2,225
NB							1,265

*With expected 650 MW limit upgrade by 2027 (SaskPower contract with SPP)

** Based on MHEB Total Transfer Capability data [Manitoba Hydro – Transmission Interface Capability Report. 2022-05-19 [174], the figures include Manitoba import/export to North Dakota)

Import/Export capacity is over 2,500 MW in BC, MB, ON and QC. While it is fully controlled in QC, international interconnections in other provincial transmission grids may require HVDC solutions in the first phase of the Supergrid planning/deployment to keep interprovincial transmission anytime at required levels.

iv. Provincial Grid Wheeling

To understand existing opportunities with provincial grid wheeling enabling Transcontinental coast-to-coast Supergrid capabilities, intra-provincial transmission hub connections were reviewed.

BC Hydro, British Columbia: Well established transmission hubs connections using major 500 kV lines to connect a dedicated AESO substation via Path 1E for interprovincial trade flow out/in.

Also well connected to 500 kV and 230 kV substations in Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) for international export/import.

AESO, Alberta: While major substation hubs facing North for power generation and South for export/import market are well connected by 230 kV transmission lines, connection between the major substation hubs facing Path 1E West to BC Hydro and Path 2E East to SaskPower are weak.

Also, connection to McNeill HVDC station also demonstrates the weakness of Path 2E (the path between the Western and Eastern asynchronous interconnections in North America).

SaskPower, Saskatchewan: The key transmission hubs facing Path 2E to the West and Path 3E to the East are connected by single or double 230 kV transmission lines.

The major hub substations facing electricity generation in the North, and facing export market to the

South (Southwest Power Pool/North Dakota) are also connected by single or double 230 kV transmission lines.

Manitoba Hydro, Manitoba: The key transmission hubs facing Path 3E to the West and Path 4E to the East are connected by single or double 230 kV transmission lines.

The major transmission hub substations facing power generation in the North and export market to the South (MISO, North Dakota/Minnesota) are also connected by single or double 230 kV and 500 kV transmission lines.

IESO, Ontario: The Ontario's internal power zones allowing for power flow between the path 4E with Manitoba and path 5E with Quebec are connected by major 500 kV transmission lines. Specifically, IESO is connected to path 4E within the Northwest Zone by a 230 kV line. The Northwest Zone facing West is connected to Ottawa Zone facing East via the East, Toronto, Essa, and Northeast zones. The Ottawa Zone is connected to the path 5E via the 230 kV lines to the Outaouais back-to-back HVDC station in Quebec.

The power generation flow comes from the Bruce and Niagara zones to/via the West and Southwest zones. Power export/import flow is provided via the Northwest zone to Minnesota, via the West zone to Michigan, and via the Niagara and East zones to New York state.

TransÉnergie, Quebec: The 735 kV power line loops in the South Shore region of Montreal and the Saint Lawrence River between Montreal and Quebec City allow for power flow between the path 5E with IESO, Ontario and 6E with NB Power, New Brunswick. These 735 kV loops also provide connection with dedicated intra- and extra-provincial power generation stations and

with export/import paths. Specifically, the transmission hubs are connected:

- With path 5E to IESO by a 315 kV line;
- With path 6E to NB Power;
- With dedicated intra- and extra-provincial power generation stations.
- With export/import markets of ISO New England and New York ISO.

NB Power, New Brunswick: The 345 kV power line loop in New Brunswick allows for power flow between the substations in the West connected to TransÉnergie, Quebec, and a substation in the East connected to Nova Scotia Power, Nova Scotia. It also allows for power flow to Prince Edward Island.



This 345 kW loop also allows for power flow from power generation stations, and to ISO New England and Northern Maine for power export/import.

NS Power, Nova Scotia: The 345 kV power line in Nova Scotia allows for power flow between the substation facing +/-200kV DC Maritime Link to Newfoundland and

Labrador Hydro in the East, and the substation facing New Brunswick. The 345 kV power line also includes the substations connected to power generation in the province.

v. *HVDC Back-to-Back Stations Set as a Core Supergrid Solution*

a. *Choosing Back-to-Backs for Canada's Supergrid*

A review of opportunities for using HVDC solutions merging HVAC and HVDC transmission capabilities for reliability, resilience and energy security of Canada's Supergrid, provided for this publication, highlights several important technical and economical reasons for using a set of HVDC back-to-back (B2B) stations as a Core Supergrid Solution to leverage prompt planning and deployment of Canada's Supergrid (see Fig. 6.5.1 where symbol  represents B2B HVDC in provincial interties, and symbol  - B2B HVDC in international interconnections).




Fig. 6.5.1: Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid based on a Back-to-Back HVDC solution

These reasons include:

- *No need for HVDC Transmission Lines:* Two VSC converters in a back-to-back converter station representing neighbouring transmission grids are located at the same site, eliminating the need for a DC transmission line between them.
- *Independent Active and Reactive Power Control:* VSC technology allows for independent control of both real and reactive power, making it beneficial for grid stability and power flow management in the neighbouring AC transmission grids.
- *Voltage Control:* VSC systems can provide voltage support and control, enhancing grid stability.

- *Fast Response:* The fast response of VSC technology allows for quicker adaptation to changes in grid conditions.
- *Bidirectional Power Flow:* The back-to-back HVDC stations configuration can facilitate bidirectional power flow between the neighbouring AC transmission grids.

b. *Back-to-Back Stations Selection*

Based on the above list of AC substations merging/adding proposed new back-to-back stations in Canada's Supergrid, the following proposition for the number of back-to-back stations for provincial interties is made in Table 6.5.1 below:

Table 6.5.1: Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for Provincial Interties

Provincial Operator	Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for Provincial Interties, # of stations			
	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	345 kV	500 kV
BC Hydro– AESO	1	1		
AESO– SaskPower	1			
SaskPower– MHEB		4		
MHEB – IESO		1		
IESO– TransEnergie	N/A	established	N/A	N/A
TransEnergie–NB Power	N/A	established	established	N/A

Decision on investment and operations of each of the new back-to-back stations for each intertie is expected to be made by the neighbouring provincial transmission operators in coordination with Canada Energy Regulator.

Upgrade of the provincial interties at the Alternate Current (AC) sides to bring them to the proposed Supergrid coast-to-coast power level addressing the existing Right of Way and/or necessary adjustments is expected to be done by the neighbouring provincial transmission operators in coordination with Canada Energy Regulator.

In the initial phases of the proposed Canada's Supergrid planning and deployment it is proposed to start with two interties: upgrade of the AB-SK intertie (transmission path 2E) strengthening North American Western and Eastern Interconnections, and MB-ON intertie (transmission path 4E) strengthening Western and Eastern Canada's connections.

Another proposition to add new back-to-back stations for international interconnections in Canada's Supergrid is made in Table 6.5.2 below:

Table 6.5.2: Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for International Interconnections

Provincial Operator	Proposed back-to-back HVDC station for International Interconnections			
	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	345 kV	500 kV+
BC Hydro– BPA		1		1
AESO–Berkshire Hathaway Energy		1		
SaskPower– SPP		1		
MHEB – MISO		3		Established ¹
IESO– MISO IESO – NYISO ⁴		1	1	
TransEnergie – NYISO TransEnergie – ISO-NE	N/A	N/A	N/A	Established ² Established ³
NB Power – ISO-NE			2	

¹Established intra-provincial HVDC lines to Dorsey and Riel converter stations

²Established international HVDC line to New York via Chateaugay back-to-back converter station.

³Established international HVDC line to New England

⁴Phase angle regulators used by IESO for interconnections with NYISO

Decision on investment and operations of each of the new back-to-back stations for each international interconnection is expected to be made by the provincial transmission operators owning this interconnection in coordination with Canada Energy Regulator.

c. HVDC Converter Ratings

Based on the Canada's Supergrid total transfer capability target of 1,250 MW proposed in section 6.2

and applicable to every provincial intertie in the Supergrid, and according to the international interconnection practices described in section 6.3 within a 300 MW to 3000 MW export/import range, the indicated 300 MW – 3,000 MW range is proposed for Voltage Source Converter (VSC)-based HVDC back-to-back converter stations. A recommended converter rating of up to 1200 MW @ ± 320 kV, up to 1600 MW @

± 400 kV, and up to 2000 MW @ ± 525 kV is presented in [201]. Other examples of selected converter ratings can be seen based on the references in [202].

Converter ratings considered for possible use in back-to-back station planning for the Supergrid interties and interconnections are shown in table 6.5.3 below.

Table 6.5.3: Converter ratings for back-to-back HVDC stations

Power, MW	Voltage DC, kV	Rated Current, kA
150	± 140	0.54
325	± 140	1.16
325	± 200	0.81
325	± 350	0.46
500	± 200	1.25
500	± 350	0.71
1000	± 200	2.50
1000	± 350	1.43
1000	± 500	1.00
2000	± 500	2.00

vi. *Exploratory Cost Estimations of HVDC Back-to-Back (B2B) Transmission Converter Stations*

An important step in reviewing opportunities in Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid transmission planning is related to an initial cost assessment of the necessary upgrade of the provincial interties and interprovincial interconnections to ensure the Supergrid reliability, resilience and energy security.

The section below provides exploratory cost estimations for:

- HVDC VSC-based back-to-back (B2B) Transmission Converter Stations for Supergrid applications,
- HVDC B2B components of the proposed provincial interties in the Supergrid, and
- HVDC B2B components of the proposed international interconnections in the Supergrid

a. *Cost Estimation References*

Exploratory cost estimates of HVDC VSC-based back-to-back (B2B) Transmission Converter Station in this section are based on the MISO Transmission Cost Estimation Guide of May 1, 2024 for the annual MISO Transmission Expansion Plan (MTEP) 2024 [203].

With relatively limited public data on current HVDC converter cost references for existing and planned HVDC projects globally, the data presented in the MISO Transmission Cost Estimation Guide presents a comprehensive approach matching other credible findings such as the "Unit Investment Cost Indicators – Project Support to ACER" report prepared by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) for the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators in

June 2023 [204], which involved collecting data on energy infrastructure projects.

With its transmission planning process focused on "making the benefits of an economically efficient electricity market available to customers by identifying transmission projects that provide access to electricity at the lowest total electric system cost" MISO "identifies essential transmission projects that will improve the reliability and efficiency of energy delivery in its region".

b. *Cost Estimation Assumptions*

In coordination with [203], all cost estimate data in this publication was presented in 2024 U.S. dollars. All applicable taxes were included within the cost subcategories.

Cost estimates that MISO provided was intended to be inclusive of all costs required to implement the project – the capital cost for a potential project. The capital cost estimate included the project cost, contingency, and Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC).

Contingency is a cost adder to account for all the uncertainties/unpredictability and level of scope definition at the time of estimation; exploratory cost estimate contingency applied presents 30% of project cost.

AFUDC is a cost adder to account for the cost of debt and/or the cost of equity required to develop and place the project in service. AFUDC is assumed to be the same value for all the cost estimates MISO provides and is assumed to be 7.5% of the sum of the project cost and contingency.

HVDC substation unit costs also include:

- *Project management* includes project implementation scheduling, project management activities, and resources for the project. Project management costs are estimated to be 5.5% of the project cost.
- *Administrative and general overhead costs (A&G)* are allocated to the project for the period prior to placing the project in service. A&G is estimated to be 1.5% of the project cost.
- *Engineering (including route and site evaluation), environmental studies, and testing and commissioning* for the project comprise a cost category. Engineering, environmental studies, and testing and commissioning costs are estimated to be 3% of the project cost.

According to [203], converter stations, in addition to HVDC converter equipment, include necessary AC substation equipment. E.g., a new converter station cost estimate includes a new four-position, breaker-and-a-half substation for the AC substation costs.

Typical interconnection voltages used are 230 kV AC for a ± 250 kV HVDC transmission line; 345 kV AC for a ± 400 kV HVDC transmission line; 500 kV AC for a

± 500 kV and ± 600 kV HVDC transmission line; and 765 kV AC for a ± 640 kV HVDC transmission line, with converter station power transfer of 500MW, 1,500MW, 2,000MW, 2,400MW and 3,000MW accordingly.

specific cost) are presented in Table 6.6.1. This includes information on VSC converter station for referenced one-end and calculated back-to-back converter station options.

c. *Exploratory Costs*

Exploratory cost estimates (referenced in \$M for power transfer levels, and calculated in \$K/MW for

Table 6.6.1: Exploratory cost estimate – HVDC back-to-back (B2B) Transmission Converter Station

Voltage class, kV	± 250 kV	± 400 kV	± 500 kV	± 600 kV	± 640 kV
Power Transfer, MW	500	1,500	2,000	2,400	3,000
<i>VSC converter station cost (one-end)</i>					
VSC converter station cost (one-end), \$M	159	461	620	750	914
VSC converter station specific cost (one-end), \$K/MW	318	307	310	313	305
VSC converter station specific voltage ratio (one-end), \$K/MW/kV	1.27	0.77	0.62	0.52	0.48
<i>Back-to-back station converter station</i>					
Back-to-back station cost*, \$M	318	922	1240	1500	1828
Back-to-back station cost per MW, \$K/MW	636	615	620	625	609
Back-to-back station specific voltage ratio, \$K/MW/kV	2.54	1.54	1.24	1.04	0.95

*does not include smoothing reactor cost estimates

The VSC station specific cost (one-end) within 318 \$K/MW (at 500 MW) to 305 \$K/MW (at 3,000 MW) range is close to the current 323 \$K/MW level that, according to the USDOE CORE Initiative [205], is expected to be reduced by 35% to 210 \$K/MW level in 2035.

Based on the back-to-back station cost range within 636\$K/MW (at 500 MW) to 609\$K/MW (at 3,000 MW), and CAD/USD exchange rate of 0.73 in 2024, specific B2B cost for provincial interties and international interconnections was averaged to 850 CAD(K)/MW level.

d. *HVDC B2B Estimates for Provincial Interties*

Exploratory cost estimates for the provincial interties in CAD (thousands) are summarized in Table 6.6.2:

Table 6.6.2: Exploratory costs for the Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for Provincial Interties

Provincial Operator	Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for Provincial Interties				
	Power, MW		B2B stations cost assessment, CAD thousand		
	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	Total
BC Hydro – AESO	315	935	267,750	794,750	1,062,500
AESO – SaskPower	1100		935,000		935,000
SaskPower – MHEB		4 x 315		1,071,000	1,071,000
MHEB – IESO		1250		1,062,500	1,062,500
Total	1,415	3,445	1,202,750	2,928,250	4,131,000

The HVDC back-to-back converter stations exploratory cost estimation total for the provincial interties of Canada' Transcontinental Supergrid is CAD 4.13 billion in 2024 dollars.

e. *HVDC B2B Estimates for International Interconnections*

Exploratory cost estimates for the international interconnections in CAD (thousands) are summarized in Table 6.6.3:

Table 6.6.3: Exploratory costs for the Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for international interconnections

Provincial Operator	Proposed back-to-back HVDC stations for International Interconnections								
	Power, MW				B2B stations cost assessment, CAD thousand				
	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	345 kV	500 + kV	138/144 kV	230/240 kV	345 kV	500 + kV	Total
BC Hydro – BPA		640		2,560		544,000		2,176,000	2,720,000
AESO – Berkshire Hathaway Energy		325				276,250			276,250
SaskPower – SPP		650				552,500			552,500
MHEB – MISO		600				510,000			510,000
IESO – MISO IESO – NYISO		300	2,000			255,000	1,700,000		1,955,000
TransEnergie – NYISO TransEnergie – ISO-NE									
NB Power – ISO-NE			1,000				850,000		850,000
Total		2,515	3,000			2,137,750	2,550,000		6,863,750

The HVDC back-to-back converter stations exploratory cost estimation total for the international interconnections of Canada' Transcontinental Supergrid based on the current international import/export

practices varies from CAD 276 Million for Alberta to CAD 2,720 Million for British Columbia in 2024 dollars.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON CANADA'S TRANSCONTINENTAL SUPERGRID

1. *Interconnecting Canadian provinces through Supergrid is a Must:*

Uniting Canada's efforts to safeguard "One Canadian Economy" vision and supporting "a plan to build the strongest economy in the G7" [1] requires national-scale coordinated efforts and bold commitments. One of these critical efforts – to move Canada-made clean electricity "freely from coast to coast" – is related to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid interconnecting all Canada's provinces and markets through highest-quality power transmission.

The proposed Canada's Supergrid would enable and ensure reliability, resilience and energy security of each of the provincial transmission grids and the Transcontinental Supergrid as a whole, strengthening Canada's leadership as the "energy superpower" in North America.

2. *Supergrid is the infrastructure for Canada's emerging national electricity market:*

A competitive wholesale electricity market nationwide in the country is approaching.

Inter-regional scale wholesale experiences of the Australian National Electricity Market, European Internal Electricity Market and wholesale electricity markets administered by Regional Transmission Organizations in the U.S. have been clearly pointing out the multi-value of the national electricity market for Canada.

A Canadian National Electricity Market (CNEM) is seen as a crucial part of the country's energy sector, facilitating the wholesale trading of electricity across all the provinces and territories in the country.

The CNEM would administer and facilitate the trading of electricity across the Transcontinental Supergrid, integrating and managing dispatchable and non-dispatchable clean electricity generation, and ensuring a stable and competitive market environment.

The key parts of the CNEM multi-value include interconnected Transcontinental transmission, wholesale markets including also demand response and distributed energy resource-based electricity generation, and regional pricing.

CNEM would be governed by national market rules and regulations, ensuring a fair and efficient trading environment across the country.

3. *HVDC is a key Supergrid segment leading in AC/DC Transmission Merge:*

Advancements in power transmission leading to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid and emerging CNEM are driven by HVDC transmission technology deployment that has evolved dramatically over the last 15 years making it ready for large-scale AC-DC merge. With the development of voltage-sourced converter

technology, HVDC has demonstrated globally major improvements in its capabilities, increasingly needed to enhance the existing AC grid upgrade [145]. Today, HVDC provides flexibility in system operation, ensuring improved grid stability and control. For remote clean electricity generation and/or industrial consumption HVDC transmission offers higher-capacity, longer-distance, lower-loss transmission on a smaller right-of-way footprint than AC.

4. *HVDC Multi-Value makes it highly competitive technically and economically:*

High capacity, long-distance, controllable, multi-terminal HVDC technology is particularly valuable for Transcontinental transmission across multiple balancing areas.

To leverage HVDC capabilities within the Transcontinental Supergrid's AC-DC merge, multi-value HVDC planning is seen as critical [145].

5. *In the Transcontinental Supergrid HVDC would focus on the coast-to-coast transfer capability target:*

Total transfer capability limits for interprovincial and international transmission paths, and related existing and currently planned HVDC transmission solutions have to be adjusted to establish an interprovincial coast-to-coast transfer capability target.

The major opportunities in the necessary upgrade of the provincial interties and interprovincial interconnections ensuring the Supergrid reliability, resilience and energy security can be addressed by selected HVDC solutions. Based on an initial cost assessment of the necessary upgrade of the provincial interties and interprovincial interconnections, HVDC back-to-back (B2B) converter stations are proposed as a trusted solution for Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid transmission planning.

6. *HVDC Back-to-Back solution costs present a compelling case for the Supergrid planning:*

As a starting point, a set of eight HVDC B2B stations, 4,860 MW in total, is proposed as a core Supergrid Solution to leverage prompt planning and deployment of Canada's Supergrid. Based on the exploratory cost estimation of HVDC back-to-back (B2B) converter stations at the specific rate of CAD850K/MW (USD623K/MW at 1.365 USD/CAD exchange rate) highlighted in this publication, a high-level exploratory cost estimation total for the provincial interties of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid is CAD 4.13 billion as of 2024. Also, a set of eleven B2B converter stations, 5,515 MW in total, based on the current international import/export practices is proposed for international interconnections to address the Supergrid reliability, resilience and energy security. An exploratory cost estimation varies from CAD 276 Million for Alberta to CAD 2,720 Million for British Columbia as of 2024.

7. *Timing is of Essence: Transcontinental Supergrid must be built by 2035:*

Clean Grid readiness is a major objective of and commitment to Canada's Clean Grid 2035 efforts to make all electricity generation in the country climate-neutral. It means that the grids will soon be able to reach all existing and planned clean electricity generation in the country and will bring clean electricity to competitive wholesale markets to make it available to any client.

Deployment of Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid is seen as the most efficient and productive path to make Canada's Clean Grid 2035 successful "coast-to-coast", achieving a tremendous goal in Canada's energy transition and low carbon economy growth.

8. *Knowledge is already at hand:*

Knowledge, experience and expertise for Supergrids and large-scale regional/inter-regional wholesale electricity markets in Canada and internationally is strongly required and absolutely available.

Learning from the comprehensive National Electricity Market experiences in Australia, practices and achievements strengthening a single European 'internal market' with HVDC multi-national and offshore wind interconnectors in the European Union, and advances in using HVDC transmission solutions in North-American regional and Inter-regional wholesale markets with established contacts already at hand would allow Canada to very promptly start the Transcontinental Supergrid "nation-building" infrastructure project.

9. *Political and economical commitments must be aligned:*

To make Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid completed and operational by the end of 2035, it is suggested that all political and economical considerations related to Canada's Transcontinental Supergrid are defined, agreed on and approved within successful "electric federalism" practices in Canada by the end of 2025, to ensure the Transcontinental Supergrid "nation-building" project's successful start in early 2026.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Acknowledgments: The author is extremely grateful to Marlene Moore for the concept discussions and detailed review supporting the vision expressed in the manuscript.

The author is also much obliged to John Harker for his strategic review of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Liberal Party of Canada & Federal Liberal Agency of Canada. (2025). *Canada Strong – Unite. Secure. Protect. Build.* <https://liberal.ca/wp-content/uploads/sites/292/2025/04/Canada-Strong.pdf>
2. *Build | Liberal Party of Canada.* (n.d.). <https://liberal.ca/cstrong/build/>
3. Pavlovski, A. (2024, December). Uncovering the Atlantic wholesale electricity market. *Global Journal of Researches in Engineering*, 24–24(1). https://globaljournals.org/GJRE_Volume24/2-Uncovering-the-Atlantic.pdf
4. HVDC links in system operations. (2019). In *Technical Paper*. https://www.entsoe.eu/Documents/SOC%20documents/20191203_HVDC%20links%20in%20system%20operations.pdf
5. *High voltage direct current connections.* (2016, August 26). https://www.entsoe.eu/network_codes/hvdc/
6. Pavlovski, A. (2023). The future grid in a dynamic spiral. *Global Journal of Researches in Engineering*, 23–23(4). https://globaljournals.org/GJRE_Volume23/3-The-Future-Grid-in-a-Dynamic-Spiral.pdf
7. *What can we expect next for HVDC?* (2024, March 15). DNV. <https://www.dnv.com/article/2023-was-a-pivotal-year-for-HVDC/>
8. Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. (E3). (2022). *Research Review: The role of Transmission in the context of Deep Decarbonization.* <https://www.electricity.ca/files/reports/english/Final-Electricity-Canada-Net-Zero-Report-7.pdf>
9. Canada, N. R. (2025, January 8). *Powering Canada: A blueprint for success.* Natural Resources Canada. https://natural-resources.canada.ca/our-natural-resources/energy-sources-distribution/electricity-infrastructure/the-canada-electricity-advisory-council/powering-canada-blueprint-for-success/25863?mc_cid=a34724daa9&mc_eid=edcb2e2966#a12a
10. Pavlovski, A. (2024, June). Dispatchable renewables: selecting a right pathway. *Global Journal of Researches in Engineering*, 24–24(1). https://globaljournals.org/GJRE_Volume24/1-Dispatchable-Renewables.pdf
11. Wikipedia contributors. (2024, October 28). *Continental union.* Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continental_union
12. Projects of common interest | www.acer.europa.eu. (n.d.). <https://www.acer.europa.eu/electricity/infrastructure/projects-common-interest>
13. PCI examples and their benefits. (n.d.). Energy. https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/infrastructure/projects-common-interest-and-projects-mutual-interest/pci-examples-and-their-benefits_en
14. *Green Paper: A European strategy for sustainable, competitive and secure energy | EUR-Lex.* (2006). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/EN/legal-content/summary>

- /green-paper-a-european-strategy-for-sustainable-competitive-and-secure-energy.html
15. *Internal energy market | Fact Sheets on the European Union | European Parliament.* (n.d.). <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/factsheets/en/sheet/45/internal-energy-market>
 16. *Mission Statement.* (n.d.). <https://www.entsoe.eu/about/inside-entsoe/objectives/>
 17. Advanced Tools for Stability Improvement of Interconnected Electric Power Systems. (2014, September). Conference: International Scientific Symposium Electrical Power Engineering At: Varna, Bulgaria Volume: ISBN 978-954-20-0497-4, pp 5-9. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280546326_Advanced_Tools_for_Stability_Improvement_of_Interconnected_Electric_Power_Systems?_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6Ii9kaXJlY3QlLCJwYXNpdjoiChVibGljYXRpb24ifX0
 18. Wikipedia contributors. (2025, May 9). *Continental Europe Synchronous area*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continental_Europe_Synchronous_Area
 19. Wikipedia contributors. (2025a, April 6). *Baltic states synchronization with CESA*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baltic_states_synchronization_with_CESA
 20. Wikipedia contributors. (2025a, March 30). *Synchronous grid of Northern Europe*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synchronous_grid_of_Northern_Europe
 21. Wikipedia contributors. (2025a, March 28). *Electricity sector in Ireland*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electricity_sector_in_Ireland
 22. EirGrid & SONI. (2018). Synchronous Area Operational Agreement for Synchronous Area IE/NL. In *Notice*. https://consultations.entsoe.eu/system-operations/ire_ni_saoa/supporting_documents/SAO_A_Ireland_and_Northern_Ireland.pdf
 23. *Member companies.* (n.d.). <https://www.entsoe.eu/about-entso-e/inside-entso-e/member-companies/Pages/default.asp>
 24. Hofmann, F., Schlott, M., Kies, A., & Stöcker, H. (2020). Flow allocation in meshed AC-DC electricity grids. *Energies*, 13(5), 1233. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13051233>
 25. HVDC Utilisation and Unavailability Statistics. (2023). https://eepublicdownloads.entsoe.eu/clean-documents/SOC%20documents/Nordic/2024/HVDC_Utilisation_and_Unavailability_Statistics_2023.pdf
 26. A European Supergrid: Memorandum submitted by Friends of the Supergrid. (2012). <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm/cm201012/cmselect/cmenergy/writev/1040/esg20.htm>
 27. Callavik, M., Parisot, A., Sonerud, B., Schettler, F., Corbett, J., Debry, M.-S., Boden, M., Kuljaca, N., MacLeod, N., Jacobson, B., Lemaître, C., Wiot, D., Laurie, H., Jacobson, L., Whitehouse, R., El Oukaili, S., & Larsen, V. S. (2014). *Roadmap to the Supergrid Technologies Update Report* (pp. 1–184). https://supergrid.brussels/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/WG2_Supergrid-Technological-Roadmap_2014_0622_final.pdf
 28. *Trans-European Networks – guidelines | Fact Sheets on the European Union | European Parliament.* (n.d.). <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/factsheets/en/sheet/135/trans-european-networks-guidelines>
 29. ENTSO-E. (2024). Offshore network development plans. In *ENTSO-E TYNDP 2024*. https://eepublicdownloads.blob.core.windows.net/public-cdn-container/tyndp-documents/ONDP2024/web_entso-e_ONDP_PanEU_240226.pdf
 30. Rodríguez, P., & Rouzbehi, K. (2017). Multi-terminal DC grids: challenges and prospects. *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy*, 5(4), 515–523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40565-017-0305-0>
 31. Buigues, N. G., Valverde, N. V., Etxegarai, N. A., Eguía, N. P., & Torres, N. E. (2017). Present and future multiterminal HVDC systems: current status and forthcoming. *Renewable Energy and Power Quality Journal*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.24084/repqj15.223>
 32. *Multi-terminal | Hitachi Energy.* (n.d.). <https://www.hitachienergy.com/ca/en/products-and-solutions/hvdc/multi-terminal>
 33. READY4DC. (2023). <https://ready4dc.eu>
 34. Cordis, C. (2022, August 5). *Getting ready for multi-vendor and multi-terminal DC technology*. CORDIS | European Commission. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101069656>
 35. READY4DC. (2022, November 16). *Partners - READY4DC*. <https://ready4dc.eu/partners/>
 36. READY4DC. (2023e, December 12). *Publications - READY4DC*. <https://ready4dc.eu/publications/>
 37. READY4DC. (2023, November 22). *MVMT HVDC Grid - Legal and Regulatory Aspects - READY4DC*. <https://ready4dc.eu/whitepaper-legal-and-regulatory-aspects-of-a-mvmt-hvdc-grid/>
 38. READY4DC. (2023a, November 20). *MV Process & Demonstration Definition - Transmission grid*. <https://ready4dc.eu/multi-vendor-interoperability-process-and-transmission-grid/>
 39. READY4DC. (2023c, December 7). *Long-Term view for HVDC technology - READY4DC*. <https://ready4dc.eu/long-term-view-for-hvdc-technology/>
 40. READY4DC. (2023c, December 7). *Framing the European energy system - READY4DC*. <https://ready4dc.eu/framing-the-european-energy-system/>
 41. *Aquila Interoperability Package – the National HVDC Centre.* (n.d.). <https://www.hvdccentre.com/innovation-projects/aquila-interoperability-package/>
 42. Marshall, B. & Alberto Bertinato. (2023). *HVDC Centre-Operators forum 2023 How Innovation Projects are Paving the Way: Project Aquila*

- InterOPERA, HVDC-WISE and Ready4DC*. <https://www.hvdccentre.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/3.3.-HVDC-Centre-Marshall.pdf>
43. *Successful kick-off of InterOPERA Horizon Europe offshore electricity grids project*. (2023, January 20). European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency. https://cinea.ec.europa.eu/news-events/news/successful-kick-interopera-horizon-europe-offshore-electricity-grids-project-2023-01-20_en
 44. INTEROPERA. (2023). <https://interopera.eu/>
 45. *Partners* -. (2024, October 15). <https://interopera.eu/partners/>
 46. Torwelle, P., Verrax, P., Ndreko, M., & Cigré. (2024). Functional requirements for multi-vendor multi-terminal HVDC grids. In *InterOPERA Deliverable D2.1*. interopera.eu. <https://interopera.eu/wp-content/uploads/Docs/news/20240829-Cigre-presentation-slides.pdf>
 47. Abdalrahman, A., Pagnani, D., Mirtaheri, H., Soløst, I., Ramos, J. C., Dall, L., Zou, L., Lu, L., Ndreko, M., Baranski, M., Bogner, R., Karrari, S., Qoria, T., Tveit, T. S., & Costan, V. (n.d.). *Grid-forming functional requirements for HVDC converter stations and DC-connected power park modules in multi-terminal multi-vendor HVDC systems*. <https://interopera.eu/wp-content/uploads/files/deliverables/InterOPERA-D2.2-GFM-functional-requirements-202401.pdf>
 48. Vaishnavi, J. (2024, August 14). *EU's InterOpera: GFM requirements for multi-terminal multi-vendor HVDC systems released - Global Transmission Report*. Global Transmission Report. <https://globaltransmission.info/eus-interopera-gfm-requirements-for-multi-terminal-multi-vendor-hvdc-systems-released/>
 49. HVDC-WISE. (2023, November 28). *Home - HVDC-WISE*. <https://hvdc-wise.eu/>
 50. HVDC-WISE. (2023a, March 31). *Partners - HVDC-WISE*. <https://hvdc-wise.eu/partners/>
 51. HVDC-WISE. (n.d.). TU Delft. <https://www.tudelft.nl/ewi/over-de-faculteit/afdelingen/electrical-sustainable-energy/intelligent-electrical-power-grids-iepg-group/projects/current-projects/hvdc-wise>
 52. HVDC-WISE. (2023a, March 28). *Project Description - HVDC-WISE*. <https://hvdc-wise.eu/project-description/>
 53. TENNET. (2024, December 20). Energy future "made in Europe": Multiterminal hubs as an important building block for realising the climate-neutral grid. <https://www.tennet.eu/de-en/news/energy-future-made-europe-multiterminal-hubs-important-building-block-realising-climate>
 54. Entso-e | *Planning the future grid - TYNDP*. (n.d.). <https://tyndp.entsoe.eu/>
 55. *ENTSO-E publishes the final Guideline for Cost Benefit Analysis of Grid Development Projects*. (2024, April 9). <https://www.entsoe.eu/news/2024/04/09/entso-e-publishes-the-final-guideline-for-cost-benefit-analysis-of-grid-development-projects/>
 56. Entso-e. (2025, April 9). Opportunities for a more efficient European power system by 2050. Infrastructure Gaps Report. https://eepublicdownloads.blob.core.windows.net/public-cdn-container/tyndp-documents/TYNDP2024/foropinoin/Infrastructure_Gaps_Report.pdf
 57. *Interconnections SEAM Study | Energy Analysis | NREL*. (n.d.). <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/seams>
 58. Brinkman, G., Novacheck, J., Bloom, A., McCalley, J., National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, U.S. Department of Energy, Bureau of Reclamation, Bonneville Power Administration, Western Area Power Administration, Chicago Tribune, U.S. Department of Energy, Iowa State University, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, & PNNL. (2020). *Interconnections SEAM Study*. <https://docs.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/78161.pdf>
 59. NextEra Analytics, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Iowa State University, Black and Veatch, NYISO, Grid Strategies, LLC, & Retired, formerly with the Midcontinent Independent System Operator. (2020). The value of increased HVDC capacity between Eastern and Western U.S. grids: The Interconnections Seam Study. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. <https://docs.nrel.gov/docs/fy21osti/76850.pdf>
 60. Hartman, D., R Street Institute, James McCalley and Qian Zhang, National Renewable Energy Lab, Judy Chang, & Marc Chupka, Pearl Donohoo-Vallett. (n.d.). The benefits of High-Voltage transmission in a competitive global economy. In *Macro Grid Initiative* (p. 4). <https://acore.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Macro-Grid-Initiative-Competitiveness-Fact-Sheet.pdf>
 61. McCalley, J., Zhang, Q., Americans for a Clean Energy Grid, & Iowa State University. (2020). Macro Grids in the Mainstream: An international survey of plans and progress. In *Americans for a Clean Energy Grid*. <https://cleanenergygrid.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Macro-Grids-in-the-Mainstream-1.pdf>
 62. American Council on Renewable Energy. (2025, May 20). *Macro Grid Initiative - ACORE*. ACORE. <https://acore.org/initiatives/macro-grid-initiative/>
 63. Willis, R. (2020, November 6). *A Macrogrid Design for the 21st century - ESIG*. ESIG. <https://www.esig.energy/a-macrogrid-design-for-the-21st-century/>
 64. Acevedo, A. L. F. et al. (2021, July 1). *Design and valuation of High-Capacity HVDC Macrogrid transmission for the Continental US*. IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8977392>
 65. McCalley, J., Annual Transmission Summit, Midwest Governor's Association, & Organization of MISO States. (2021). *HVDC Macro Grid Design – a Strategic US infrastructure investment* [Conference-



- proceeding]. https://www.misostates.org/images/stories/Other_Projects/MGA_Transmission_Summit_2021/Dr_McCalley_Slides_111621.pdf
66. *Macrogrid study: Big value in connecting America's eastern and western power grids - News Service.* (2021, November 18). <https://www.news.iastate.edu/news/macrogrid-study-big-value-connecting-america-eastern-and-western-power-grids>
 67. Energy Systems Integration Group. (2022). *Design Study Requirements for a U.S. Macrogrid: A path to achieving the nation's energy system transformation goals* [Report]. Reston, VA: Energy Systems Integration Group. <https://www.esig.energy/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ESIG-Design-Studies-for-US-Macrogrid-2022.pdf>
 68. Interregional transmission: The US is the tortoise, China is the hare. (2023, August 1). *Utility Dive*. <https://www.utilitydive.com/news/interregional-transmission-reliability-renewable-energy-china-europe/689562/>
 69. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. (2023). H.R.4052 - National Infrastructure Bank Act of 2023 118th Congress. 2023-2024. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/4052/text>
 70. Willis, R. (2024, May 8). *The MacroGrid: Motivation, Implementation, and Orchestration - ESIG*. ESIG. <https://www.esig.energy/the-macrogrid-motivation-implementation-and-orchestration/>
 71. *National Transmission Planning Study*. (n.d.). Energy.gov. <https://www.energy.gov/gdo/national-transmission-planning-study>
 72. U.S. Department of Energy, Grid Deployment Office. 2024. *The National Transmission Planning Study*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy. <https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2024-10/NationalTransmissionPlanningStudy-ExecutiveSummary.pdf>
 73. Dennis, J., DOE's Grid Deployment Office, Hamody Hindi, & Carl Mas. (2023). *National Transmission Planning Study*. https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-09/ICF%20DOE%20GDO%20National%20Transmission%20Planning%20Study%20Updates%20Webinar%20Presentation%20Slides%20080123_v2_508%20Compliant.pdf
 74. *National Transmission Planning Study | Grid Modernization | NREL*. (n.d.). <https://www.nrel.gov/grid/national-transmission-planning-study>
 75. Simeone, C. E., Rose, A., & National Renewable Energy Laboratory. (2024). *Barriers and opportunities to realize the system value of interregional transmission* (NREL/TP-6A40-89363). National Renewable Energy Laboratory. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/89363.pdf>
 76. Huang, H., Argonne National Laboratory, & Boldt, J. (2024). *ARPA-E MACRO ELECTRONIC MULTI-TERMINAL GRID WORKSHOP*. <https://arpa-e.energy.gov/sites/default/files/migrated/Henry%20Huang.pdf>
 77. *House of Representatives. (2022). Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2023. H. Rept. 117-394* <https://www.congress.gov/congressional-report/117th-congress/house-report/394/1>
 78. *HVDC COSt REduction (CORE) initiative*. (n.d.). Energy.gov. <https://www.energy.gov/oe/hvdc-cost-reduction-core-initiative>
 79. *High-Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) COSt REduction (CORE) initiative*. (2023). [Report]. https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-08/HVDC%20CORE%20Initiative_FINAL.pdf
 80. *Incorporating HVDC in Energy and Ancillary Services Market Operations, as well as Transmission Planning*. (n.d.). <https://www.misoenergy.org/engage/MISO-Dashboard/incorporating-hvdc-in-energy-and-ancillary-services-market-operations-as-well-as-transmission-planning/>
 81. Pfeifenberger, J., Bai, L., Siemens, & Jim McCalley, Iowa State University. (2023). *HVDC Transmission: Multi-Value planning considerations and experience*. In *MISO PAC MEETING* (pp. 1–7). <https://cdn.misoenergy.org/20230531%20PAC%20Item%2011a%20BRattle%20Presentation629029.pdf>
 82. Dr. McCalley. (2024). *HVDC Assessment Workshop*. In *MISO HVDC Analysis Process for MTEP and LRTP*. Iowa State University. <https://cdn.misoenergy.org/20250429%20HVDC%20Workshop%20Item%2003a%20Key%20HVDC%20Impact%20Assessment%20Questions693731.pdf>
 83. Power Advisory LLC, NEMOEC Coalition, Power Advisory LLC, NEMOEC Coalition, Power Advisory, DNV, Watson, A., & Mike. (2023). *A new England - Maritimes offshore energy corridor builds regional resilience for a clean energy future*. https://nemoec.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Power-Advisory-NEMOEC-Shared-Tx-White-Paper_final.pdf
 84. *The New England Maritimes Offshore Energy Corridor – Supporting a shared subsea electricity transmission backbone linking Atlantic Canada and New England*. (n.d.). <https://nemoec.com/>
 85. Brown, K. (2023, November 7). *Offshore transmission in New England: The benefits of a better planned grid*. Brattle. <https://www.brattle.com/insights-events/publications/offshore-transmission-in-new-england-the-benefits-of-a-better-planned-grid/>
 86. Unknown. (n.d.). *The National Electricity Market*. <https://www.powerlink.com.au/sites/default/files/2018-01/National%20Electricity%20Market%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>
 87. AEMO. (2024). About us: AEMO is the independent energy market and system operator and system planner for the National Electricity Market (NEM) and Western Australia's Wholesale Electricity Market

- (WEM). In *NEM*. <https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/national-electricity-market-fact-sheet.pdf>
88. *Quarterly volume weighted average spot prices - regions* | Australian Energy Regulator (AER). (n.d.). Australian Energy Regulator (AER). <https://www.aer.gov.au/industry/registers/charts/quarterly-volume-weighted-average-spot-prices-regions>
 89. AEMC. (n.d.). AEMC. <https://www.aemc.gov.au/>
 90. Australian Energy Regulator (AER). (2025, May 26). Australian Energy Regulator (AER). <https://www.aer.gov.au/>
 91. *Market operations in the NEM*. (n.d.). <https://aemo.com.au/energy-systems/electricity/national-electricity-market-nem/market-operations/>
 92. *Dispatch information*. (n.d.). <https://aemo.com.au/energy-systems/electricity/national-electricity-market-nem/market-operations/dispatch-information>
 93. Lohnes, T. (n.d.). Electricity financial markets. In *State of the Energy Market 2009* (pp. 90–91). <https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/Chapter%203%20%20Electricity%20financial%20markets%202009.pdf>
 94. Unknown. (n.d.-b). *The National Electricity Market*. <https://www.powerlink.com.au/sites/default/files/2018-01/National%20Electricity%20Market%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf>
 95. *State of the energy market 2024 - Full report* | Australian Energy Regulator (AER). (2024, November 7). Australian Energy Regulator (AER). <https://www.aer.gov.au/documents/state-energy-market-2024-full-report>
 96. *Networks and Regions - OpenElectricity Documentation*. (n.d.). OpenElectricity Documentation. <https://docs.openelectricity.org.au/guides/networks>
 97. *National Electricity Market*. (n.d.). AEMC. <https://www.aemc.gov.au/energy-system/electricity/electricity-system/NEM>
 98. *Network planning*. (n.d.). AEMC. <https://www.aemc.gov.au/energy-system/electricity/network-planning>
 99. *2024 Integrated System Plan ISP*. (n.d.). <https://www.aemo.com.au/energy-systems/major-publications/integrated-system-plan-isp/2024-integrated-system-plan-isp>
 100. *NEM Electricity Statement of Opportunities ESoo*. (n.d.). <https://www.aemo.com.au/energy-systems/electricity/national-electricity-market-nem/nem-forecasting-and-planning/forecasting-and-reliability/nem-electricity-statement-of-opportunities-esoo>
 101. Australian Energy Market Operator Limited. (2024). *Interconnector capabilities*. https://www.aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/security_and_reliability/congestion-information/2024/interconnector-capabilities.pdf?la=en&hash=69B37DABC710E0F8EFD53B4F01724FFC
 102. Lee, D. (2025, February 25). *How common are islandings and transmission tower failures in the NEM?* - WattClarity. <https://wattclarity.com.au/articles/2022/12/how-common-are-islandings-and-transmission-tower-failures-in-the-nem/>
 103. APA Group. (2014). *DirectLink Interconnector Revenue Proposal*. https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/Directlink%20presentation%20-%20AER%20public%20forum%20-%202010%20July%202014_0.pdf
 104. *Queensland-NSW Interconnector (QNI)*. (n.d.). Transgrid. <https://www.transgrid.com.au/projects-innovation/queensland-nsw-interconnector/>
 105. *Victoria to New South Wales Interconnector Upgrade Project Assessment Conclusions Report*. (2020). https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/planning_and_forecasting/victorian_transmission/2020/vni-rit-t/victoria-to-new-south-wales-interconnector-upgrade-rit-t-pacr.pdf?la=en&hash=0564037FF5BFD025B8A8E7EA3CBD9743
 106. Watson, A. & APA Group. (2023). *Basslink Transmission Revenue Proposal*. <https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/2023-09/Basslink%20-%20Conversion%20and%20transmission%20determination%20-%20overview%20-%202015%20September%2023.pdf>
 107. Australian Energy Market Operator Limited. (2020). *Preliminary Report – Victoria and South Australia Separation Event, 31 January 2020* [Report]. https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/%E2%80%8Cmarket_notices_and_events/power_system_incident_reports/2020/preliminary-report-31-jan-2020.pdf
 108. APA Group. (2012). *MurrayLink Interconnector Revenue Proposal*. In *APA Group Presentation*. <https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/Murraylink%202013%20-%20multiple%20issues%20addressed%20-%20-%20presentation%20-%20-%20Murraylink%20public%20forum%20presentation.pdf>
 109. *MurrayLink Electricity Interconnector Asset Management Plan CY2022 to CY2026*. (n.d.). In *Asset Management Plan* (p. i). <https://www.aer.gov.au/system/files/Murraylink%20-%20Attachment%2012%20-%20Asset%20management%20plan%20-%20-%202031%20January%202022.pdf>
 110. Journalist, U. (2021, October 8). *\$3.5B Marinus Link Interconnector reaches major milestone*. Utility Magazine. <https://utilitymagazine.com.au/3-5b-marinus-link-interconnector-reaches-major-milestone/>
 111. *EnergyConnect - Australia's largest transmission*. (n.d.). Transgrid. <https://www.transgrid.com.au/projects-innovation/energyconnect/>
 112. *EnergyConnect - Australia's largest transmission*. (n.d.-b). Transgrid. <https://www.transgrid.com.au/projects-innovation/energyconnect/>
 113. *About Marinus Link*. (n.d.). <https://www.marinuslink.com.au/about-marinus-link/>
 114. *VNI West*. (n.d.). <https://aemo.com.au/initiatives/major-programs/vni-west>

115. AEMO and Transgrid. (2023). VNI West Project Assessment Conclusions Report. In *Volume 1: Identifying the Preferred Option for VNI West* [Report]. https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/planning_and_forecasting/victorian_transmission/vni-west-rit-t/reports-and-updates/vni-west-pacr-volume-1.pdf?la=en
116. VNI West Project Team. (2024). VNI West Fact Sheet. In *VNI West* (p. 1). https://www.transgrid.com.au/media/vguitpww/vni_west_fact_sheet_october2024.pdf
117. *EIS fact sheets About the HumeLink EIS*. (n.d.). Transgrid. <https://www.transgrid.com.au/submissions-report-and-amendment-report/>
118. Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure. (2024, November 14). Humelink transmission project receives tick of approval. *NSW Government*. <https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases/humelink-transmission-project-receives-tick-of-approval>
119. Department of Finance Canada. (2023, March 28). *Chapter 3: A Made-In-Canada Plan: Affordable energy, good jobs, and a growing clean Economy | Budget 2023*. <https://www.budget.canada.ca/2023/report-rapport/chap3-en.html#a5>
120. *Project of the Century - Public Policy Forum*. (2024, August 22). Public Policy Forum. <https://ppforum.ca/publications/net-zero-electricity-canada-capacity/>
121. Friends of the Supergrid. (2012) A European Supergrid: Memorandum. <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmenergy/writew/1040/esg20.htm>
122. Anisie, A., Boshell, F., Kanani, H., Mehrotra, S., KPMG India, Zhanghua Zheng, Han Jiang, GEIDCO, Norela Constantinescu, ENTSO-E, Mario Ndreko, Wilhelm Winter, TenneT, Mircea Ardelean, JRC European Commission, Martina Lyons, Nina Litman-Roventa, & Paul Komor. (2019). Innovation landscape brief: Supergrids. In *International Renewable Energy Agency*. https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2019/Sep/IRENA_Supergrids_2019.pdf
123. *Electricity sector urges federal government to adopt national plan to meet rising power demand - Electricity Alliance Canada*. (2025, June 10). Electricity Alliance Canada. <https://electricityalliance.ca/news/electricity-sector-urges-federal-government-to-adopt-national-plan-to-meet-rising-power-demand/>
124. Canadian Climate Institute & L'institut Climatique Du Canada. (2022). *Electric Federalism*. <https://climateinstitute.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Electric-Federalism-May-4-2022.pdf>
125. Institut climatique du Canada. (2024, October 9). *Electric federalism: An idea whose time has come*. Canadian Climate Institute. <https://climateinstitute.ca/electric-federalism-time-has-come/>
126. Van Der Straeten, M., Sigrist, L., Randewijk, P., Papangelis, L., Tielens, P., Graham, B., McNamara, P., Ciapessoni, E., Cirio, D., Pitto, A., Düllmann, P., Dimitrovski, R., Klötzl, N., Foote, C., Khan, A., Marshall, B., Damghani, M. J., Torres, J. R., Sharma, M., . . . MacIver, C. (2024). HVDC-WISE Deliverable 2.1 Resilience Needs and Objectives. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10379919>
127. Wikipedia contributors. (2024a, January 30). *WECC Intertie Paths*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WECC_Intertie_Paths
128. NERC Board of Trustees. (2025). Glossary of terms used in NERC Reliability Standards. In *NERC Reliability Standards*. https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Glossary%20of%20Terms/Glossary_of_Terms.pdf
129. Studies Subcommittee. (2024). *2024 Path Rating Catalog—Public Version*. https://www.wecc.org/sites/default/files/documents/meeting/2024/2024%20Path%20Rating%20Catalog%20Public_v2.pdf
130. *Transmission system*. (n.d.). <https://www.bchydro.com/energy-in-bc/operations/transmission/transmission-system.html>
131. NPCC ATCWG. (2001, March 2). NPCC Methodology and Guidelines for Forecasting TTC and ATC. https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1391917/npccc_metho_guidelines_forecasting_ttc_atc.pdf/785efaa6-765e-2734-7a0e-5138020af057
132. Government of Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Communications Branch. (2018, August 31). *Scotian Shelf, Atlantic Coast and Bay of Fundy*. <https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/oceans/management-gestion/scotian-ecossais-eng.html>
133. Wikipedia contributors. (2025d, April 7). *Muskrat Falls Generating Station*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muskrat_Falls_Generating_Station
134. Wikipedia contributors. (2025f, May 26). *Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Point_Lepreau_Nuclear_Generating_Station#cite_note-PRIS-1
135. *PRIS - Reactor details*. (n.d.). <https://pris.iaea.org/PRIS/CountryStatistics/ReactorDetails.aspx?current=37>
136. Nicholson, P. & Public Policy Forum. (2023). Catching the wind. In *PUBLIC POLICY FORUM*. Public Policy Forum. <https://ppforum.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/CatchingTheWind-AtlanticCanadaEnergySuperpower-PPF-Oct2023-EN.pdf>
137. *Generating stations - Hydro-Québec Production | Hydro-Québec*. (n.d.). <https://www.hydroquebec.com/generation/generating-stations.html>
138. *Hydro-Québec Production*. (n.d.). <https://www.hydroquebec.com/generation/>
139. Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission. (2025, April 2). *Bruce A and B nuclear generating stations*. <https://www.cnsccn.gc.ca/eng/reactors/power->

- plants/nuclear-facilities/bruce-nuclear-generating-station/
140. Our power generation | Nuclear power – OPG. (2025, May 13). OPG. <https://www.opg.com/power-generation/our-power/nuclear/>
 141. Wikipedia contributors. (2025a, March 18). *List of generating stations in Manitoba*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_generating_stations_in_Manitoba
 142. Wikipedia contributors. (2025g, May 17). *Nelson River Hydroelectric Project*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nelson_River_Hydroelectric_Project
 143. Grasby, S.E., Allen, D.M., Bell, S., Chen, Z., Ferguson, G., Jessop, A., Kelman, M., Ko, M., Majorowicz, J., Moore, M., Raymond, J., and Therrien, R. (2012). Geothermal Energy Resource Potential of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 6914 (revised), 322 p. doi:10.4095/291488 https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2013/rncan-nrcan/M183-2-6914-eng.pdf
 144. Miranda, M. et al. (2022, December 15). Geothermal resources for energy transition: A review of research undertaken for remote northern Canadian communities. *European Geologist – The Journal of the European Federation of Geologists* <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7882811>
 145. Siemens, Pfeifenberger, J., The Brattle Group, DNV, American Council on Renewable Energy (ACORE), GridLab, Clean Grid Alliance, Grid United, Pattern Energy, & Allete. (n.d.). Market and operational benefits of HVDC transmission. In Western Clean Energy Advocates Transmission Working Group, *The Operational and Market Benefits of HVDC to System Operators* (pp. 1–9). <https://www.brattle.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Market-and-Operational-Benefits-of-HVDC-Transmission.pdf>
 146. Anhaus, S., Bösterling, T., Cirio, D., Egea-Alvarez, A., Errigo, F., Henderson, C., Lanzarotto, D., Love, G., Morel, F., Osterkamp, L., Sau-Bassols, J., & Vitulano, L. C. (2024). HVDC-WISE Deliverable 4.1: Identification of key technologies, potential benefits and restrictions. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10380614>
 147. Junyent-Ferre, A., Rodriguez-Bernuz, J. M., & Global Power System Transformation Consortium. (n.d.). *Modular Multilevel Converter-High Voltage Direct Current (MMC-HVDC) Course: Session 1*. <https://globalpst.org/wp-content/uploads/Modular-Multilevel-Converter-High-Voltage-Direct-Current-MMC-HVDC-Course-Session-1.pdf>
 148. Tian, Y., Wickramasinghe, H. R., Li, Z., Pou, J., & Konstantinou, G. (2022). Review, Classification and loss comparison of modular multilevel converter submodules for HVDC applications. *Energies*, 15(6), 1985. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15061985>
 149. Perez, M. A., Ceballos, S., Konstantinou, G., Pou, J., & Aguilera, R. P. (2021). Modular Multilevel Converters: recent achievements and challenges. *IEEE Open Journal of the Industrial Electronics Society*, 2, 224–239. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ojies.2021.3060791>
 150. Mughees, N. (2023, September 29). The significance of modular multilevel converters. *Electronics360*. <https://electronics360.globalspec.com/article/20139/the-significance-of-modular-multi-level-converters>
 151. Plet, C. & DNV. (2023). *VSC-HVDC technology: European use cases, maturity, experiences and future plans*. https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/06/27/3_VSC-HVDC%20technology-Europe_DNV_Plet_20230626.pdf
 152. Mokhberdoran, A., Carvalho, A., Leite, H. & Silva, N. (2014). A review on HVDC circuit breakers. *IET Renewable Power Generation Conference, Naples, Italy*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268154602_A_review_on_HVDC_circuit_breakers
 153. *HVDC Circuit Breakers*. (n.d.). <https://www.entsoe.eu/technopedia/techsheets/hvdc-circuit-breakers/>
 154. *Zhangbei VSC-HVDC Power Transmission Project, China*. (2020, September 21). NS Energy. <https://www.nsenergybusiness.com/projects/zhangbei-vsc-hvdc-power-transmission-project/?cf-view>
 155. Reed, L., Morgan, M. G., Vaishnav, P., & Armanios, D. E. (2019). Converting existing transmission corridors to HVDC is an overlooked option for increasing transmission capacity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(28), 13879–13884. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905656116>
 156. Pfeifenberger, J. P., Bai, L., Levitt, A., DNV, Plet, C. A., & Sonnathi, C. M. (2023). The Operational And Market Benefits of HvdC to System Operators. In *The Operational and Market Benefits of HvdC to System Operators*. <https://www.brattle.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/The-Operational-and-Market-Benefits-of-HVDC-to-System-Operators-Full-Report.pdf>
 157. *Maps*. (n.d.). <https://www.bchydro.com/energy-in-bc/operations/transmission/transmission-system/maps.html>
 158. *Transmission system*. (n.d.-b). <https://www.bchydro.com/energy-in-bc/operations/transmission/transmission-system.html>
 159. *Transmission Capability Map*. (n.d.). AESO. <https://www.aeso.ca/grid/connecting-to-the-grid/transmission-capability-map/>
 160. *System map*. (n.d.). <https://www.saskpower.com/Our-Power-Future/Our-Electricity/Electrical-System/System-Map>
 161. Manitoba Hydro. (2023 July). Integrated resource Plan 2023. <https://www.hydro.mb.ca/docs/corporate/irp/irp-2023-integrated-resource-plan.pdf>
 162. *Ontario's electricity system*. (n.d.). <https://www.ieso.ca/localcontent/ontarioenergymap/index.html>

163. Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO). (n.d.). <http://www.ieso.ca/>
164. IESO Zonal Map. (n.d.). <https://www.ieso.ca/localContent/zonal.map/index.html>
165. Wikipedia contributors. (2009, March 1). *File:Quebec Map with Hydro-Québec infrastructures-en.png* - Wikipedia. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Quebec_Map_with_Hydro-Qu%C3%A9bec_infrastuctures-en.png
166. Cronkhite, K. & New Brunswick Power Corporation. (2017). *Submission to the Standing Committee on Natural Resources Strategic Electricity Inter-ties*. <https://www.ourcommons.ca/Content/Committee/421/RNNR/Brief/BR9126267/br-external/NewBrunswickPower-e.pdf>
167. Electricity. (n.d.). Default. <https://www.nspower.ca/about-us/producing>
168. Overview. (n.d.). Emera Newfoundland and Labrador. <https://www.emeranl.com/maritime-link/maritime-link-infrastructure>
169. Atla Adm. (2022b, November 29). *Prince Edward Island's Energy Resources - Atlantica Centre for Energy*. Atlantica Centre for Energy -. <https://www.atlanticaenergy.org/energy-knowledge-centre/energy-maps/prince-edward-islands-energy-resources/>
170. NLHydro. (2019, November15). Reliability and Resource Adequacy Study 2019 Update. <http://www.pub.nf.ca/applications/NLH2018ReliabilityAdequacy/application/From%20NLH%20%20-%20Reliability%20and%20Resource%20Adequacy%20Study%20-%20November%202019%20Update%20-%202019-11-15.PDF>
171. International power lines. (n.d.). <https://www.bchydro.com/energy-in-bc/operations/international-power-lines.html>
172. SaskPower Seeking to Expand Transmission Capacity with the Southwest Power Pool. (n.d.). <https://www.saskpower.com/about-us/media-information/news-releases/2022/SaskPower-seeking-to-expand-transmission-capacity-with-the-Southwest-Power-Pool>
173. SaskPower Seeking to Expand Transmission Capacity with the Southwest Power Pool. (n.d.-b). <https://www.saskpower.com/about-us/media-information/news-releases/2022/SaskPower-seeking-to-expand-transmission-capacity-with-the-Southwest-Power-Pool>
174. Oati Oasis. (n.d.). <http://www.oasis.oati.com/MHEB/index.html>
175. Manitoba Hydro. (2022, May 19). Transmission Interface Capability Report. [https://www.oasis.oati.com/woa/docs/MHEB/MHEBdocs/Transmission_Interface_Capability_Report\(05.19.2022\).pdf](https://www.oasis.oati.com/woa/docs/MHEB/MHEBdocs/Transmission_Interface_Capability_Report(05.19.2022).pdf)
176. Independent Electricity System Operator. (2022). Annual Planning Outlook: Overview of Ontario's Transmission Interfaces and Interties and Transmission Data. In *Independent Electricity System Operator*. <https://www.ieso.ca/-/media/Files/IESO/Document-Library/planning-forecasts/apo/Dec2022/Ontario-Transmission-Interfaces-and-Interties-Overview.pdf>
177. Independent Electricity System Operator. (2024c). Annual Planning Outlook: Overview of Ontario's Transmission Interfaces and Interties and Transmission Data. In *Independent Electricity System Operator*. <https://www.ieso.ca/-/media/Files/IESO/Document-Library/planning-forecasts/apo/Mar2024/Ontario-Transmission-Interfaces-and-Interties-Overview.pdf>
178. Hydro-Québec: the power of clean and renewable energy. (n.d.). <https://www.hydroquebec.com/clean-energy-provider/markets/>
179. Labelle, G., Beaudin, J., Coudé, J.-F., Boucher, M., Veci, L., Boucher, A., Rousseau, M.-A., Verret, S., Truong, P., Brassard, F., & Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie. (2020). Plan directeur In *Hydro-Québec TransÉnergie*. <https://www.hydroquebec.com/data/transenergie/pdf/hqt-plan-directeur-2020.pdf>
180. NPCC, New Brunswick Power Corp., Nova Scotia Power Incorporated, Maritime Electric Company, Limited, Northern Maine ISA, INC., & NPCC. (2022). *2022 Maritimes Area Comprehensive Review of Resource Adequacy*. <https://storage.googleapis.com/npcc-test/library/resource-adequacy/2022/2022-maritimes-comprehensive-review-of-resource-adequacy.pdf>
181. Nova Scotia Power. (2023). *Nova Scotia Transmission System Operating Limits 2023*. https://www.nspower.ca/docs/default-source/monthly-reports/transmission-system-operating-limits.pdf?sfvrsn=4cc09224_5
182. Alberta Utilities Commission. (2011, May 31). ATCO Electric Ltd. Eastern Alberta Transmission Line. *Process Meetings*. https://www.ackroydlaw.com/app/uploads/IAR_213097_1.pdf
183. ATCO | McNeill HVDC Back-to-Back Converter Station refurbishment. (n.d.). <https://www.atco.com/en-ca/projects/mcneill-hvdc-link.html>
184. Wikipedia contributors. (2024b, July 31). *McNeill HVDC Back-to-back station*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/McNeill_HVDC_Back-to-back_station
185. Manitoba Hydro Transmission - Moving power to market | Energy | Environment and Climate Change | Province of Manitoba. (n.d.). https://www.gov.mb.ca/sd/environment_and_biodiversity/energy/initiatives/transmission.html
186. Wikipedia contributors. (2024b, February 26). *Madawaska HVDC*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Madawaska_HVDC
187. Québec - New England | Hitachi Energy. (n.d.-b). <https://www.hitachienergy.com/ca/en/about-us/customer-success-stories/quebec-new-england>

188. Wikipedia contributors. (2025j, May 2). *Quebec – New England transmission*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quebec_%E2%80%93_New_England_Transmission
189. *Interconnexion Hertel-New York - Hydro-Québec*. (n.d.). <https://www.hydroquebec.com/projects/hertel-new-york-interconnection/>
190. *ChâteauGuay | Hitachi Energy*. (n.d.). <https://www.hitachienergy.com/ca/en/about-us/customer-success-stories/chateauquay>
191. Hydro-Québec, Paquette, S., Hydro-Québec, Vincent Fihey, & Monette, P. (2022). *Information and Discussion Process Transmission System Planning*. http://www.oasis.oati.com/woa/docs/HQT/HQTdocs/2022-10-28_HQ_Information_and_Discussion_Process_on_Transmission_System_Planning.pdf
192. Wikipedia contributors. (2025e, February 20). *Eel River Converter Station*. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eel_River_Converter_Station
193. Overview. (n.d.-b). Emera Newfoundland and Labrador. <https://www.emeranl.com/maritime-link/maritime-link-infrastructure>
194. Power Advisory LLC, NEMOEC Coalition, Power Advisory LLC, NEMOEC Coalition, Power Advisory, DNV, Watson, A., & Mike. (2023c). *A new England - Maritimes offshore energy corridor builds regional resilience for a clean energy future*. https://nemoec.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Power-Advisory-NEMOEC-Shared-Tx-White-Paper_final.pdf
195. Pfeifenberger, J., Newell, S., Graf, W., & The Brattle Group, Inc. (2020). *Offshore Transmission in New England: The Benefits of a Better-Planned Grid*. In *brattle.com* [Report]. https://www.brattle.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/18939_offshore_transmission_in_new_england_the_benefits_of_a_better-planned_grid_brattle.pdf
196. mpikem5.ca. (2024, April 15). *Labrador Island Link Commissioned - Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro*. Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro. <https://nlhydro.com/labrador-island-link-commissioned/>
197. Government of Canada, Canada Energy Regulator. (2024, November 8). *CER – Canada's energy future*. <https://www.cer-rec.gc.ca/en/data-analysis/canada-energy-future/>
198. *United States Congress*. (2023, June 03).) Fiscal Responsibility Act. <https://www.congress.gov/bills/118th-congress/house-bill/3746>
199. NERC. (2024). *Interregional Transfer Capability Study (ITCS): Strengthening Reliability through the Energy Transformation Transfer Capability Analysis (Part 1)*. https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/Documents/ITCS_Part_1_Results.pdf
200. Canada, N. R. (2025a, January 3). *Powering Canada's Future: A clean electricity strategy*. Natural Resources Canada. <https://natural-resources.canada.ca/energy-sources/powering-canada-s-future-clean-electricity-strategy>
201. NERC. (2025). *Interregional Transfer Capability Study Canadian Analysis: Strengthening Reliability through the Energy Transformation*. In *Canadian Analysis Final Report*. https://www.nerc.com/pa/RAPA/Documents/NERC_ITCS_Canadian_Analysis_2025.pdf
202. Haeusler, M. (n.d.). *Selection Criteria for VSC HVDC System Solutions*. In *CIGRE Colloquium ATHENS 2018*. <https://cigre.gr/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Marcus-Haeusler-CIGRE-SC-B4-Expert-Selection-Criteria-for-VSC-HVDC-System-Solutions.pdf>
203. *HVDC Light®*. (n.d.). <https://www.hitachienergy.com/products-and-solutions/hvdc/hvdc-light>
204. MISO. (2024). *Transmission Cost Estimation Guide for MTEP24*. <https://cdn.misoenergy.org/MISO%20Transmission%20Cost%20Estimation%20Guide%20for%20MTEP24337433.pdf>
205. PWC. (2023, June 14) *Unit Investment Cost Indicators – Project Support to ACER*. Final report. https://acer.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Publications/UIC_report_2023.pdf
206. *High-Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) COst REduction (CORE) initiative*. (2023c). [Report]. https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-08/HVDC%20CORE%20Initiative_FINAL.pdf





This page is intentionally left blank



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
Volume 25 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2025
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 & Print ISSN: 0975-5861

Method for Assessing the Instability of Technological Parameters of Nuclear Power Plant Unit Electrical Equipment using Information and Control Systems

By Kostiantyn Brovko, Pavlo Budanov, Yuliia Oliinyk & Nataliia Vynokurova

V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University

Abstract- A method has been proposed to determine the level of chaotic changes in technological characteristics, enabling more accurate failure prediction. Key indicators such as fractal dimension, fractal time, and fractal time dimension have been identified, allowing for quantitative assessment of techno-logical process instability levels.

The application of fractal analysis in monitoring technological parameters helps uncover hidden patterns in equipment behavior that remain inaccessible with traditional analysis methods. This differentiation between normal parameter fluctuations and potentially hazardous deviations significantly enhances diagnostic accuracy.

It has been found that the fractal dimension of a signal can serve as an indicator of the stability of a technological process. The research results are explained by the ability of fractal analysis methods to reflect the nonlinear structure of processes and detect deviations in parameter dynamics.

Keywords: *fractal analysis, time series, equipment monitoring, fractal dimension, failure prediction, process instability, information and control systems.*

GJRE-F Classification: LCC Code: TK9202



METHOD FOR ASSESSING THE INSTABILITY OF TECHNOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNIT ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT USING INFORMATION AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

Method for Assessing the Instability of Technological Parameters of Nuclear Power Plant Unit Electrical Equipment using Information and Control Systems

Kostiantyn Brovko ^α, Pavlo Budanov ^σ, Yuliia Oliinyk ^ρ & Nataliia Vynokurova ^ω

Abstract- A method has been proposed to determine the level of chaotic changes in technological characteristics, enabling more accurate failure prediction. Key indicators such as fractal dimension, fractal time, and fractal time dimension have been identified, allowing for quantitative assessment of technological process instability levels.

The application of fractal analysis in monitoring technological parameters helps uncover hidden patterns in equipment behavior that remain inaccessible with traditional analysis methods. This differentiation between normal parameter fluctuations and potentially hazardous deviations significantly enhances diagnostic accuracy.

It has been found that the fractal dimension of a signal can serve as an indicator of the stability of a technological process. The research results are explained by the ability of fractal analysis methods to reflect the nonlinear structure of processes and detect deviations in parameter dynamics. This enables effective prediction of technological system behavior even under complex operating conditions.

Practical application of the obtained results is feasible within nuclear power plant information and control systems, particularly in automated monitoring and predictive diagnostics systems for equipment. Implementing fractal analysis will improve equipment condition assessment efficiency, optimize maintenance processes, and enhance the overall reliability and safety of power units.

Keywords: fractal analysis, time series, equipment monitoring, fractal dimension, failure prediction, process instability, information and control systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear energy is one of the key areas for ensuring global energy security, as it allows the production of large amounts of electricity with minimal carbon dioxide emissions into the atmosphere. However, the safety and efficiency of nuclear power

plant (NPP) operations remain significant challenges for modern science and engineering.

In the context of the global increase in energy demand and the need to transition to environmentally friendly energy sources, optimizing the operation of NPP units, enhancing their reliability, preventing emergency situations, and improving methods for predicting potential deviations in their operation are critical issues. To address these challenges, it is essential to apply modern mathematical methods for analyzing complex dynamic systems, such as fractal methods. These methods enable the study of multifactorial processes in NPP units, the identification of hidden patterns in system state changes, and the prediction of potential disturbances in their operation.

The use of such approaches is necessary for the development of information and control systems of software-technical complexes (STC) within the automated control systems of technological processes (ACS TP) of NPP units, which are crucial elements for ensuring the safe operation of nuclear power plants.

Research in this field has significant practical implications. First, it contributes to improving methods for monitoring and diagnosing NPP unit conditions, enabling the timely detection of potential threats and preventing emergency situations. Second, the obtained scientific data can be used to create intelligent control systems that enhance automation levels at NPPs and reduce the influence of the human factor on the operation of critical systems. Furthermore, fractal analysis methods can be integrated into modern machine learning and artificial intelligence algorithms, increasing the accuracy of energy system state predictions.

Thus, research dedicated to applying fractal methods for analyzing and forecasting changes in NPP unit states is highly relevant, as it enhances the efficiency and safety of nuclear energy under current conditions.

II. ANALYSIS OF LITERATURE DATA AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

Monitoring the condition of technological equipment at nuclear power plants is one of the key research areas in technical diagnostics, failure prediction, and improving operational efficiency. Modern

Author ^α: Dept. of Electrical and Power Engineering V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University Kharkiv, Ukraine.

e-mail: brovkokonstantin@gmail.com

Orcid Id: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9669-9316>

Author ^σ: Dept. of Electrical and Power Engineering V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University Kharkiv, Ukraine.

Orcid Id: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4519-3879>

Author ^ρ: Dept. of Electrical and Power Engineering V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University Kharkiv, Ukraine.

Orcid Id: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5893-352X>

Author ^ω: Dept. of Electrical and Power Engineering V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University Kharkiv, Ukraine.

Orcid Id: <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6210-838X>

studies focus on analyzing time series of technological parameters, developing mathematical models to assess technical condition, and integrating these models into automated monitoring systems.

Common methods for analyzing equipment condition include spectral analysis, statistical models, and correlation analysis. For instance, [1] discusses the use of Fourier and wavelet transforms to assess changes in technological parameter behavior. However, despite their effectiveness, these methods have limitations, such as low accuracy in chaotic processes and reliance on prior knowledge of signal spectral distribution.

Regression and correlation analyses [2] are applied to establish relationships between equipment parameters but fail to account for nonlinear dynamic effects that might precede failures. Traditional statistical methods [3] often overlook subtle changes in parameter behavior, which could be early signs of equipment degradation.

Machine learning methods, including neural networks [4], are attracting significant attention for their high diagnostic accuracy, adaptability to new data, and ability to analyze complex nonlinear processes. However, as noted in [5], their application requires large amounts of training data and computational resources, complicating integration into industrial systems.

Fractal analysis is increasingly recognized as an effective method for assessing technological process dynamics. Studies [6–8] justify the use of fractal dimensions as indicators of equipment performance changes, allowing for instability detection before critical states occur. Research [9] demonstrates the use of fractal analysis to evaluate temperature fluctuations and mechanical vibrations in turbomachinery, highlighting its efficiency in detecting hidden signs of equipment degradation.

Attention is also given to detrended fluctuation analysis, considered a promising tool for predicting the technical condition of complex systems operating in unstable modes [10, 11]. However, researchers [12, 13] note challenges in automating this approach and adapting it to real-time applications. Research [14] focuses on developing algorithms for automatic processing of fractal characteristics, crucial for integrating these methods into information and control systems. Combining fractal analysis with machine learning methods [15] is a promising direction, significantly enhancing failure prediction accuracy. However, standardized approaches for interpreting fractal characteristics within automated process control systems are needed.

A review of scientific literature highlights that, despite the potential of fractal methods in diagnosing equipment condition, several open issues remain. These include improving real-time processing algorithms, adapting them to changing operational conditions, and

ensuring effective integration into NPP information and control systems.

The approach proposed in this work aims to address these challenges by developing new analysis algorithms and adapting them to real-world equipment operating conditions.

III. PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The purpose of the research is to develop and improve methods for analyzing the fractal characteristics of time series data on technological parameters to assess the condition of the electrical equipment in NPP units. This will enhance the efficiency of automated monitoring systems, enable early detection of faults in the operation of electrical equipment, and reduce the risks of emergency situations.

The study focuses on identifying patterns in changes to the fractal characteristics of technological parameters that reflect equipment degradation processes. The proposed methods aim to expand understanding of the interrelationship between fractal dimension, fractal time, and the fractal dimension of time with the dynamics of technological systems.

The practical significance of the work lies in integrating the developed methods into the information and control systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units. This will contribute to improving monitoring, failure prediction, optimizing maintenance schedules, and reducing operational costs.

To achieve this purpose, the following objectives must be addressed:

- Develop a method for fractal analysis of time series within the monitoring system for the condition of NPP unit technological equipment;
- Design an algorithm for processing fractal characteristics using the information and control systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units;
- Enhance the model for assessing the instability of technological parameters of electrical equipment within the information and control systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units.

IV. RESULTS OF RESEARCH ON THE FRACTAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CONDITION OF NPP UNITS AND EVALUATION OF EMERGENCY PROCESSES

The condition of NPP unit can be described through various categories reflecting its operational modes and changes in system parameters. The nominal (normal) mode represents the most stable and efficient state, where all indicators are within standard values, ensuring optimal electricity production with minimal fuel consumption and equipment wear. Any deviation from this mode may signal the onset of transitional processes, which include startups, shutdowns, and

power changes. These processes are accompanied by significant thermal and mechanical loads, potentially affecting the durability of key system elements. Therefore, analyzing these processes is vital for identifying potential issues related to thermal shocks and uneven load distribution in reactor and turbine structures.

If the normal operational mode is disrupted, the unit may transition into an emergency mode, which can result from equipment failures, human factors, or external influences. This state poses a threat to the safe operation of the NPP, making it essential to understand the fractal structure of emergency processes. Such an understanding can help develop strategies to mitigate risks and enhance system reliability. Another operational mode involves maintenance, during which the unit is shut down for scheduled or unscheduled repair work. Fractal analysis allows for more effective planning of preventive measures, reducing the risk of unplanned shutdowns and extending the lifespan of equipment.

The fractal structure of emergency processes within an NPP unit reflects the complex, multi-level organization of changes occurring during the development of emergency situations. These processes are neither linear nor uniform – they follow specific patterns that can be described using the concept of fractal analysis. One of the key features of emergency processes is their irregularity in time and space, which complicates their prediction and control. Their dynamics exhibit self-similar properties: similar patterns are observed at the level of individual system components and across the entire unit. This indicates that even minor disruptions in the operation of specific elements can lead to large-scale failures of the entire unit through a cascading effect.

Fractal dimension is used to assess the complexity of the dynamics of emergency processes. High values of this parameter indicate a complex failure development structure, which cannot be described by simple deterministic models. For instance, an emergency may start with microscopic material defects that gradually accumulate under local thermal and mechanical loads, forming cracks that eventually result in the sudden failure of critical components. Another important indicator is the informational fractal dimension, employed to analyze data streams from sensors and monitoring systems. Prior to an emergency, these streams often show local informational irregularities – sharp fluctuations, irregular spikes in parameters, or even chaotic changes. If these changes have a fractal nature, they can be detected and identified at early stages of failure development. Additionally, fractal time aids in assessing the pace of emergency progression. In some cases, parameter changes occur gradually, and fractal analysis helps uncover hidden processes signaling growing threats. In other cases, the system transitions abruptly into an

unstable state, accompanied by a sudden increase in the fractal dimension of time series. Such a spike may indicate a shift to a critical state, where the emergency unfolds rapidly and requires immediate intervention.

Another significant tool is the fractal dimension of time, which assesses the irregularity of parameter changes and reveals hidden patterns in the development of emergency processes. For example, by analyzing time series of temperature changes in the reactor or pressure in the cooling circuit, deviations from the normal mode can be detected before they exceed critical thresholds. The application of fractal analysis for evaluating emergency processes significantly enhances predictive safety systems, reduces response times to deviations, and helps minimize the risk of severe accidents. This allows not only effective responses to ongoing emergency processes but also prevention of their development at early stages, which is a crucial aspect of improving the safety of nuclear power plants.

a) A. Fractal Analysis of Time Series in the Monitoring System of Technological Equipment in NPP Units

Monitoring the condition of NPP equipment is a critically important task for ensuring reliability and operational safety. Changes in technological parameters such as temperature, pressure, and mechanical loads often exhibit complex, nonlinear behavior. To analyze these effectively, methods of fractal geometry are well-suited, as they allow the assessment of signal complexity, temporal irregularities, and instabilities.

Real-time fractal analysis can facilitate early detection of deviations through the determination of fractal dimension D_f , fractal time t_f , and fractal time dimension τ_f . Utilizing these characteristics enhances the efficiency of monitoring systems and improves the prediction of emergency situations.

The analysis of time series of technological parameters in NPP units can be conducted using detrended fluctuation analysis, a method that evaluates fractal properties of a signal and its instability. For this purpose, a generalized formula (1) is applied, considering fractal dimension D_f , fractal time t_f , and fractal time dimension τ_f :

$$F(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - X_T(i))^2} \cdot D_f^{\tau_f} \cdot t_f^{\tau_f} \quad (1)$$

where $F(s)$ is the average fluctuation deviation from the local trend at scale s ; N represents the number of points in the time series; x_i is the value of the parameter at the i -th step; and $X_T(i)$ is the smoothed value of the parameter obtained through approximation (e.g., using polynomial regression).

Fluctuation deviation $F(s)$ is defined relative to the local trend $X_T(i)$, which is obtained by fitting data within a time window. This approach avoids relying on

the global average parameter value, which may overlook local signal features.

Time series analysis using this model allows the identification of critical changes in equipment condition, enabling timely responses to potential issues and enhancing system reliability. If the fractal dimension D_f has high values ($D_f > 2$), it indicates a high level of chaos in the signal, which may suggest instability in the technological process. A decrease in D_f to values close to 1 signifies regularity and predictability in the process. High values of fractal time t_f reflect slow degradation processes in equipment. A sharp decline in t_f may indicate a rapid transition into a critical state.

An increase in the fractal time dimension τ_f suggests irregularity in the time series, potentially indicating the development of an emergency situation. Stability of D_f within certain limits implies controlled dynamics in parameter changes.

The proposed approach enables efficient real-time analysis of time series for technological parameters, leveraging fractal characteristics. Using fractal dimension, fractal time, and fractal time dimension in a formalized model allows for a more accurate assessment of equipment instability and enhances failure prediction. Integrating these methods into the information and control systems of the STC within the ACS TP of NPP units can significantly improve automated anomaly detection and enhance the operational safety of power units.

b) Method for Processing Fractal Characteristics Using Information and Control Systems of STC ACS TP in NPP Units

Integration of fractal analysis into equipment condition monitoring systems requires efficient algorithms for time series processing. The main methods for determining fractal parameters include:

- The Box-Counting Method for evaluating fractal dimension D_f ;
- The detrended fluctuation analysis method is used to determine fractal time t_f ;
- The wavelet transform method for analyzing irregularities in time series and calculating the fractal time dimension τ_f .

For each of the mentioned methods, numerical algorithms have been developed to enable automated signal processing in real-time. The formalization of the monitoring process involves the sequential execution of several key stages. First, data collection and preliminary processing are carried out, including signal filtering to remove noise and parameter normalization to unify measurement scales. Next, the calculation of fractal characteristics is performed, including determining local fractal dimension, evaluating changes in fractal time at different time scales, and calculating the fractal time dimension to assess irregularities in parameter changes. Local fractal dimension is an indicator that characterizes the complexity and fractality of a signal or object in a specific local area. Unlike informational fractal dimension, which evaluates fractality across the entire object or signal, local fractal dimension allows for the analysis of fractal structure at different scales and in various parts of the object.

The next stage involves comparing the obtained characteristics with reference models, which includes using a database of benchmark values for normal and emergency states, as well as applying machine learning methods to classify the current condition of the equipment. The final stage is forecasting possible failures by analyzing trends in changes to fractal parameters and identifying critical instability points.




Fig. 1: Monitoring of Changes in Fractal Characteristics in the Information and Control System of the ACS TP of NPP Units

Table I: Numerical Values of Fractal Characteristics

Time (a.u.)	Fractal dimension	Fractal time	Fractal dimension of time
0.0	3	0.5	0.8
2.5	2.85	0.6	1
5.0	2.7	0.75	1.3
7.5	2.55	0.9	1.6
10.0	2.4	1.1	1.8

The fractal dimension D_f is approximately ≈ 3.0 under normal conditions but decreases when signs of an emergency appear. The fractal time t_f reflects the temporal variability of processes and increases as the system approaches an emergency state. The fractal dimension of time τ_f grows with increasing instability in the system. To assess the complexity of the behavior of time series during the monitoring of technological parameters, a generalized instability metric has been introduced, represented by formula (2):

$$S_{inst} = D_f \cdot \left(\frac{t_f}{\tau_f} \right)^\gamma \quad (2)$$

where S_{inst} represents the indicator of instantaneous system instability, providing a quantitative assessment of the level of parameter deviations over time; D_f – is the fractal dimension of the signal; t_f – is the fractal time that defines the characteristic time scales of parameter changes; τ_f – reflects the temporal unevenness of changes, i.e., how predictable the signal oscillations are; γ – is a correction coefficient that accounts for the unique features of the specific system and may depend on the physical operating conditions. The fractal dynamics of parameter changes over time are described by formula (3):

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \alpha D_f \cdot \left(\frac{t_f}{\tau_f} \right)^\gamma - \beta S \quad (3)$$

where S – is the current state of the system, which changes due to the influence of fractal characteristics; α – is the parameter reflecting the impact of fractal characteristics, determining the rate of changes in the instability indicator; β – is the damping coefficient responsible for stabilizing the system, preventing uncontrolled growth of instability.

The presence of S on both the right and left sides of the equation indicates a balance between the processes of growth and decay of instability in the system. The left-hand term dS/dt represents the rate of change of instability over time – essentially, how the system evolves or stabilizes. The first term on the right-

hand side $\alpha D_f \cdot \left(\frac{t_f}{\tau_f} \right)^\gamma$ signifies the source of instability,

illustrating how the fractal characteristics of the system influence its state:

- The higher the value of D_f , the more complex the signal structure and the greater the chaotic changes;
- The greater the value of t_f , the slower the system characteristics change, which may indicate gradual degradation;

- The smaller the value of τ_f , the stronger the unevenness of changes, indicating instability.
- γ defines the sensitivity of the system to these parameters.

The second term, βS , is the damping (stabilizing) component. It describes how instability either dissipates on its own or accumulates.

- If βS is large, the system attempts to return to a stable state;
- If the effect of the stabilizing component is weak (low βS), the system may remain in an excited state for a longer time or even become uncontrollable.

Thus, the equation balances two processes: the growth of instability due to the system's internal dynamic characteristics (the first term) and the attenuation of instability through stabilizing mechanisms (the second term). If the instability exceeds the critical level S_{cr} , the system transitions into an instability mode, which may indicate an emergency state.

c) Improved Model for Assessing the Instability of Technological Parameters in Information-Control Systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units

In information-control systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units, technological parameters of electrical equipment are monitored, which influence its performance and stability. The key parameters include: voltage and current of electrical machines and transformers, network frequency and harmonic distortion levels, temperature of windings, bearings, and cooling systems, vibration of generators, motors, and pump equipment, partial discharge levels in high-voltage equipment, among others. The instability indicator S , which characterizes the degree of deviation of the technological parameters of electrical equipment from nominal values, is calculated using formula (4):

$$S = \frac{|X - X_{norm}|}{X_{norm}}, \quad (4)$$

where X – the current value of the monitored parameter (voltage, current, temperature, vibration, etc.); X_{norm} – the nominal value of a technological parameter, determined for normal operating conditions.

Range: $S \approx 0$ – The technological parameter is within permissible limits, and the system is stable; $S \rightarrow 1$ – the technological parameter deviates significantly from the norm, indicating potential transient processes or emergencies.; $S > 1$ – a critical level of instability requiring intervention (e.g., if the generator winding temperature increases by 10% above the nominal value, this may indicate cooling degradation, or if the partial discharge levels in the transformer exceed the norm by a factor of 2, there is a risk of insulation breakdown).

The influence coefficient α , which characterizes the speed of the technological parameter's response to changes in operating mode or external impacts, can be expressed by equation (5):

$$\alpha = 1 / T_{\text{reaction}}, \quad (5)$$

where T_{reaction} – the average time required for a technological parameter to change in response to variations in input conditions (such as load, temperature, frequency, etc.).

Range: 10-3...10 s-1 (for example: generator voltage changes within milliseconds, so α will be high; the temperature of a turbo generator stator changes over minutes or hours, so α will be low). The damping coefficient β , which characterizes the ability of electrical equipment to return to its normal state after disturbance, is described by formula (6):

$$\beta = 1 / T_{\text{atten}} \quad (6)$$

where T_{atten} – the time it takes for the deviation of a technological parameter to return to normal after a disturbance or overload. *Range:* 10-3...102s-1 (if the system has efficient stabilization mechanisms (such as the automatic excitation control system of a generator), β is high; if the system is inertial (such as the cooling of a transformer with an oil circuit), β is low. For example: if, after a voltage spike, the generator stabilizes within 0.5 seconds, $\beta \approx 2$; if, after overheating, the transformer winding cools down in 10 minutes, $\beta \approx 0.0016$).

The sensitivity coefficient of instability γ , which evaluates how the change in a technological parameter affects the overall instability of the system, is determined by formula (7).

$$\gamma = \frac{\ln(S_{\text{emer}} / S_{\text{norm}})}{\ln(P_{\text{emer}} / P_{\text{norm}})} \quad (7)$$

where S_{emer} , S_{norm} – the level of instability in critical and normal modes.; P_{emer} , P_{norm} – the value of a technological parameter that changes (e.g., temperature, vibration, load level).

Range: $\gamma \approx 0.5...2$, depending on the sensitivity of the equipment (if a small change in voltage causes sharp fluctuations in current., $\gamma > 1$; if the temperature rises slowly and does not significantly affect processes., $\gamma < 1$).

By substituting expressions (7)–(4) into formula (3), we obtain the model of fractal dynamics for changes in technological parameters over time (8):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|X - X_{\text{norm}}|}{X_{\text{norm}}} \right) = \frac{1}{T_{\text{reaction}}} D_f \left(\frac{t_f}{\tau_f} \right)^{\frac{\ln(S_{\text{emer}} / S_{\text{norm}})}{\ln(P_{\text{emer}} / P_{\text{norm}})}} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{atten}}} \frac{|X - X_{\text{norm}}|}{X_{\text{norm}}} \quad (8)$$

The left-hand side describes the rate of change of instability of the technological parameter X , expressed through its relative deviation from the norm. The first term on the right-hand side accounts for the growth of instability and considers: the fractal characteristics of the process D_f , t_f , τ_f ; the sensitivity of instability to parameter changes (via coefficient γ); and the system's response speed to parameter changes T_{reaction} . The second term on the right-hand side accounts for the attenuation of instability through the stabilizing mechanisms of the system (damping), which is determined by the parameter T_{atten} .

This model makes it possible to predict the behavior of technological parameters of electrical equipment (such as voltage, current, temperature, and vibration) and assess the risk of these parameters exceeding safe limits, which is critically important for the reliable operation of a NPP power unit.

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS

The research results confirm that fractal analysis is an effective tool for assessing the condition of power equipment in a NPP power unit. The use of fractal characteristics such as fractal dimension, fractal time, and time fractal dimension enables the identification of patterns in the dynamics of technological parameters and early recognition of failure signs or unstable operating modes.

Time series analysis showed that changes in technological parameters occur before deviations from standard operating conditions, which are not always detectable by traditional methods. The proposed method of processing fractal characteristics, integrated into the process control system of a NPP, allows not only data analysis but also the prompt detection of critical changes in equipment parameters, significantly enhancing diagnostic speed and accuracy. Traditional methods, like spectral or correlation analysis, though effective under certain conditions, proved less sensitive to chaotic changes typical of pre-emergency situations. In addition, the proposed instability assessment model not only monitors the equipment's current state but also forecasts potential failures. A sharp rise in the instability metric is a clear signal of the system transitioning to a hazardous state. Nonetheless, the study has limitations. The proposed methods require customization for specific equipment types as processes differ between NPP. Fractal analysis is sensitive to the quality of input data, necessitating prior noise filtering. Furthermore, the

study was conducted on a limited data set, meaning broader testing is required for conclusive validation. Despite these limitations, the research highlights the potential of fractal analysis in automated monitoring systems for power equipment. Future efforts could focus on improving algorithms for faster data processing, combining fractal analysis with machine learning technologies to enhance predictive accuracy, and adapting the method for other energy sector facilities. Overall, the study proves fractal analysis to be an effective tool for early detection of instability in power equipment, enabling timely response and failure prevention- an essential step in improving NPP safety and reliability.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

1. A methodology for fractal analysis of time series in the monitoring system of NPP equipment has been developed. It was established that changes in fractal dimensionality, fractal time, and fractal dimensionality of time enable early identification of equipment instability long before its critical manifestation. An increase in the fractal dimensionality of signals indicates a complication in process dynamics, while a sharp reduction in fractal time precedes pre-emergency and emergency modes. The proposed approach provides higher prediction accuracy compared to traditional spectral and correlation analysis methods, as it accounts for nonlinear and chaotic processes in equipment operation.
2. A method for processing fractal characteristics using the information-control system of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units has been developed. The proposed approach ensures automated identification of parameter deviations in real-time. It was established that the use of detrended fluctuation analysis and the box-counting method increases the sensitivity of the monitoring system to early signs of instability. The analysis revealed that integrating fractal analysis into the information-control systems of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units allows for a 20–30% reduction in the average time for detecting potentially dangerous changes in equipment parameters compared to traditional time series analysis methods
3. A model for evaluating the instability of technological parameters of electrical energy equipment within the information-control system of the STC of the ACS TP of NPP units has been developed. The model enables a quantitative assessment of equipment instability, identifying risks of accident development based on changes in fractal characteristics. Research demonstrated that a sharp increase in the instability index due to a 40% rise in fractal dimensionality of time is a reliable

marker of the system approaching a critical state. Comparing the proposed model with traditional failure prediction methods showed its higher accuracy and adaptability to changing equipment operating conditions.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. C. H. Chin, S. Abdullah, A. K. Ariffin, S. S. K. Singh, A. Arifin, "A review of the wavelet transform for durability and structural health monitoring in automotive applications," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 99, pp. 204-216, 2024. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2024.04.069>
2. J. Choi, J. Yun, "Optimization of water chemistry to mitigate corrosion products in nuclear power plants using big data and multiple linear regression in machine learning," *Progress in Nuclear Energy*, vol. 183, p. 105685, 2025. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2025.105685>
3. S. Shi, Y. Liu, I. Yilgor, P. Sabharwall, "A two-phase three-field modeling framework for heat pipe application in nuclear reactors," *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, vol. 165, p. 108770, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2021.108770>
4. A. Koranian, G. R. Ansarifard, "Neutronic design and optimizing the mixed core of VVER-1000 nuclear reactor via ANN-GA approach as machine learning method," *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, vol. 432, p. 113827, 2025. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2025.113827>
5. M. El-Sefy, A. Yosri, W. El-Dakhakhni, S. Nagasaki, L. Wiebe, "Artificial neural network for predicting nuclear power plant dynamic behaviors," *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*, vol. 53 (10), pp. 3275-3285, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2021.05.003>
6. P. Budanov, Yu. Oliynyk, A. Cherniuk, K. Brovko, "Fractal approach for the researching of information emergency features of technological parameters," In *AIP Conference. Proceedings*, Iraq, Al-Samawa: Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, 2024, p. 040015. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0191648>
7. P. Budanov, E. Khomiak, I. Kyrsov, K. Brovko, S. Kalnoy, O. Karpenko, "Building a model of damage to the fractal structure of the shell of the fuel element of a nuclear reactor," *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, vol. 8, issue 118, pp. 60-70, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2022.263374>
8. P. Budanov, Yu. Oliynyk, A. Cherniuk, K. Brovko, "Dynamic Fractal Cluster Model of Informational Space Technological Process of Power Station," *Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies*, vol. 221, pp. 141-155, 2024. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71801-4_11

9. F. Li, L. Xu, S. Dong, D. Wang, X. Dong, B. Pan, Q. Liu, "Multi-scale contact characteristics and leakage prediction of flange seal based on fractal geometry," *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, vol. 432, p. 113835, 2025. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2025.113835>
10. J. Bae, G. Kim, S. J. Lee, "Real-time prediction of nuclear power plant parameter trends following operator actions," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 186, p. 115848, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115848>
11. H. Kim, J. Kim, "Long-term prediction of safety parameters with uncertainty estimation in emergency situations at nuclear power plants," *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*, vol. 55, issue 5, pp. 1630-1643, 2025. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2023.01.026>
12. J. K. Park, T. K. Kim, S. H. Seong, S. R. Koo, "Control automation in the heat-up mode of a nuclear power plant using reinforcement learning," *Progress in Nuclear Energy*, vol. 145, p. 104107, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2021.104107>
13. T. K. Kim, J. K. Park, B. H. Lee, S. H. Seo, "Deep-learning-based alarm system for accident diagnosis and reactor state classification with probability value," *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, vol. 133, pp. 723-731, 2019. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2019.07.022>
14. Z. Zhou, C. Zhao, X. Cai, Y. Huang, "Three-dimensional modeling and analysis of fractal characteristics of rupture source combined acoustic emission and fractal theory," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 160, p. 112308, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.112308>
15. J. Chen, Z. Zhao, Y. Yang, C. Li, Y. Yin, X. Zhao, N. Zhao, J. Tian, H. Li, "Metallogenic prediction based on fractal theory and machine learning in Duobaoshan Area, Heilongjiang Province," *Ore Geology Reviews*, vol. 168, p. 106030, 2024. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2024.106030>



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: F
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
Volume 25 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2025
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 & Print ISSN: 0975-5861

Modern Network Security Threats and Defense Mechanisms: A Comparative Study of Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems

By Dr. Osama Amin Marie

Al Quds Open University

Abstract- In today's fast-changing digital world, network security has become a critical issue due to the growing frequency and sophistication of cyberattacks [1], [2]. This study provides a detailed analysis of modern network threats and evaluates how defense mechanisms—especially Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) and Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS)—can help mitigate these risks. The paper explores current attack vectors, including Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS), Man-in-the-Middle (MitM), phishing, and threats that specifically target Internet of Things (IoT) environments [3].

A comparative overview of signature-based and anomaly-based IDS/IPS techniques is presented, with special emphasis on the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in improving detection accuracy and accelerating response times [4]. Real-world case studies involving widely adopted tools such as Snort and Suricata are examined to illustrate their effectiveness.

Keywords: network security, intrusion detection systems, cyber threats, zero trust architecture, ransomware, advanced persistent threats, machine learning, data encryption, phishing, firewalls.

GJRE-F Classification: ACM: B.8.1, UDC: 004.415



MODERN NETWORK SECURITY THREATS AND DEFENSE MECHANISMS A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INTRUSION DETECTION AND PREVENTION SYSTEMS

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



RESEARCH | DIVERSITY | ETHICS

Modern Network Security Threats and Defense Mechanisms: A Comparative Study of Intrusion Detection and Prevention Systems

Dr. Osama Amin Marie

Abstract- In today's fast-changing digital world, network security has become a critical issue due to the growing frequency and sophistication of cyberattacks [1], [2]. This study provides a detailed analysis of modern network threats and evaluates how defense mechanisms—especially Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) and Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS)—can help mitigate these risks. The paper explores current attack vectors, including Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS), Man-in-the-Middle (MitM), phishing, and threats that specifically target Internet of Things (IoT) environments [3].

A comparative overview of signature-based and anomaly-based IDS/IPS techniques is presented, with special emphasis on the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in improving detection accuracy and accelerating response times [4]. Real-world case studies involving widely adopted tools such as Snort and Suricata are examined to illustrate their effectiveness. The findings suggest that hybrid detection systems, when aligned with Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA), offer a proactive and resilient framework for defending modern networks.

Keywords: network security, intrusion detection systems, cyber threats, zero trust architecture, ransomware, advanced persistent threats, machine learning, data encryption, phishing, firewalls.

I. INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of interconnected systems, cloud computing platforms, and Internet of Things (IoT) devices has significantly expanded the digital attack surface, making network security a critical priority. As organizations increasingly rely on complex network infrastructures, protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data has become central to cybersecurity strategies [5], [6].

Despite significant advancements in encryption, authentication, and access control mechanisms, networks remain vulnerable to a wide range of cyberattacks. These include Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS), Man-in-the-Middle (MitM), spoofing, and insider threats, which continue to challenge both public and private institutions [5], [6].

To address these evolving risks, cybersecurity professionals employ various defense mechanisms. Among the most essential are Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) and Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS).

IDS solutions monitor and analyze network traffic to detect malicious behavior, whereas IPS technologies go a step further by actively blocking threats in real time [7].

These systems have evolved beyond traditional signature-based detection models, incorporating behavior-based techniques and artificial intelligence (AI) to identify advanced threats such as zero-day exploits and polymorphic malware [8]. However, no single approach is sufficient on its own. The complexity of today's network environments necessitates hybrid security frameworks that integrate multiple technologies and align with principles such as Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA) [9].

This paper presents a structured comparison of IDS and IPS technologies, explores their respective roles in modern network security, and analyzes real-world implementations involving tools like Snort, Suricata, and Zeek.

II. OVERVIEW OF NETWORK SECURITY

Network security encompasses a collection of technologies, strategies, and administrative controls aimed at safeguarding the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information transmitted across digital networks. As the backbone of modern infrastructure, networks are exposed to an array of threats originating both internally and externally, ranging from phishing and malware to highly sophisticated nation-state cyberattacks [10].

Traditional network defenses relied heavily on perimeter-based models that assumed internal systems were inherently trustworthy. However, with the rise of cloud computing, mobile devices, and bring-your-own-device (BYOD) practices, this assumption has become obsolete [12]. Modern organizations must now adopt adaptive, multi-layered security frameworks capable of addressing complex and distributed threat landscapes.

Fundamental security components include firewalls, which act as a primary control by filtering traffic based on defined rules. IDS and IPS technologies provide additional layers of protection by detecting and responding to suspicious activity. Virtual Private Networks (VPNs) ensure the confidentiality of data in transit, especially in remote work scenarios and cloud environments [11]. Other technologies—such as

Author: Assistant Professor, Computer Information System Department, Al Quds Open University. e-mail: omarie@qou.edu

antivirus software, network access control (NAC), data loss prevention (DLP), and multi-factor authentication (MFA)—further reinforce organizational security.

To meet evolving threats, many organizations are shifting toward Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA), which rejects the assumption of implicit trust and requires continuous verification of every user and device, regardless of their location within the network [13].

In recent years, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have been increasingly integrated into network security systems. These tools enable automated detection of anomalies by learning normal network behavior and identifying deviations that may indicate potential threats [14]. For instance, anomaly-based IDS can recognize zero-day exploits that traditional signature-based methods might miss.

Moreover, Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems now play a central role by aggregating data from multiple sources, enabling centralized monitoring and real-time threat correlation. As workloads migrate to public and hybrid clouds, traditional perimeter tools lose effectiveness, prompting cloud providers to offer integrated solutions such as AWS Shield, Microsoft Defender for Cloud, and Google Chronicle [15].

Despite technological advancements, several challenges persist. Encrypted traffic limits the visibility of deep packet inspection tools. Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs) can evade detection for extended periods, and the ongoing shortage of skilled cybersecurity professionals continues to hinder the maintenance of effective defenses.

In summary, network security has evolved from static, perimeter-based models to intelligent, adaptive architectures that require continuous innovation to keep pace with emerging threats and technologies.

III. MODERN NETWORK THREATS

The contemporary digital environment is fraught with a wide range of evolving threats that challenge the integrity, confidentiality, and availability of computer networks. These threats have grown not only in volume but also in sophistication, exploiting both technical vulnerabilities and human error. This section outlines the most prevalent network security threats, their mechanisms, and their impact on organizational systems.

a) *Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) Attacks*

Today's digital environment faces an escalating array of sophisticated cyber threats that undermine the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of networked systems. These threats exploit both technological weaknesses and human vulnerabilities, evolving constantly in form and scale. This section highlights the most common modern network threats, their operational

mechanisms, and their potential impact on organizations.

b) *Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) Attacks*

DDoS attacks aim to disrupt normal operations by overwhelming a network or server with excessive traffic. Typically executed using botnets—networks of compromised devices—these attacks generate massive data floods that exceed the system's capacity to respond to legitimate requests. Advanced variations, such as amplification and application-layer attacks, are designed to inflict maximum disruption with minimal effort [16].

c) *Man-in-the-Middle (MitM) Attacks*

MitM attacks involve an unauthorized entity intercepting or manipulating communication between two legitimate parties. These attacks are especially dangerous on unsecured or poorly configured networks. Techniques such as SSL stripping and ARP spoofing allow attackers to impersonate endpoints, potentially accessing sensitive information without detection [17].

d) *Phishing and Social Engineering*

Phishing attacks deceive users into providing confidential information by impersonating trusted sources through fake emails, websites, or messages. These attacks are becoming increasingly targeted, employing tactics like spear-phishing and Business Email Compromise (BEC) to infiltrate organizations through personalized deception [18].

e) *Insider Threats*

Insider threats originate from individuals within the organization—such as employees, contractors, or vendors—who intentionally or unintentionally misuse their access privileges. Because these actors are already trusted, detecting anomalous behavior is challenging without continuous monitoring and behavior analytics [19].

f) *IoT-based Attacks*

The rapid expansion of Internet of Things (IoT) devices has created new vulnerabilities stemming from poor security practices, outdated firmware, and weak authentication. Compromised IoT devices can be harnessed into large-scale botnets or used as entry points into more secure areas of the network [20].

Table 1: Summary of Major Modern Network Threats

Threat Type	Target	Technique	Impact	Detection Difficulty
DDoS	Servers & Networks	Botnets, Amplification	Service disruption	Medium
Man-in-the-Middle	Communication Channels	ARP spoofing, SSL stripping	Data theft, session hijack	High
Phishing	End Users	Fake emails, malicious links	Credential compromise	Low (if trained)
Insider Threat	Internal Systems	Privilege misuse, sabotage	Data leakage, system damage	High
IoT Attacks	Connected Devices	Firmware flaws, open ports	Lateral movement, botnets	Medium-High

g) Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs)

APTs are coordinated and prolonged cyberattacks typically executed by well-funded adversaries such as nation-state actors. They use stealth, multi-stage infiltration, and persistence mechanisms to gain long-term access and exfiltrate sensitive data while evading conventional detection methods [21].

h) Ransomware in Networked Environments

Ransomware attacks encrypt critical data and demand payment for decryption keys. In networked environments, such malware can spread laterally across file shares and backup systems. Increasingly, attackers adopt double-extortion tactics—encrypting data and threatening to publish it—to pressure victims into compliance [22].

IV. INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEMS (IDS) VS. INTRUSION PREVENTION SYSTEMS (IPS)

With the growing sophistication of cyberattacks, organizations increasingly depend on proactive tools to defend their digital assets. Among the most critical are Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) and Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS), which serve complementary but distinct functions.

a) Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)

IDS are passive security solutions that monitor network traffic and alert administrators upon detecting unusual or potentially malicious activity. These systems fall into two main categories:

- Signature-Based IDS rely on predefined patterns or known attack signatures to identify threats. While efficient at detecting previously identified attacks, they struggle to recognize novel or zero-day exploits.
- Anomaly-Based IDS, on the other hand, use statistical modeling or machine learning algorithms to establish a baseline of normal behavior. Any significant deviation from this baseline is flagged as suspicious [23].

IDS tools are frequently integrated with Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) platforms to enable contextual threat analysis and post-incident

investigation. However, their passive nature means they cannot actively block attacks in real time.

b) Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS)

In contrast, IPS technologies operate inline with network traffic, allowing them to intercept and neutralize threats as they occur. Like IDS, IPS solutions can use either signature-based or anomaly-based detection models [24].

Advanced IPS capabilities include:

- Dropping malicious packets.
- Resetting compromised connections.
- Dynamically updating firewall rules in response to detected threats [24].

These systems are often deployed at network gateways to enforce policy controls before malicious traffic reaches critical systems.

c) Deployment Architecture

IDS can be implemented in two forms:

- Network-Based IDS (NIDS), which inspect traffic across entire network segments.
- Host-Based IDS (HIDS), which reside on individual machines and provide localized monitoring.

In contrast, IPS solutions are typically deployed as Network-Based IPS (NIPS), positioned inline to analyze and block traffic in real-time [25].

Table 2: Comparison between IDS and IPS

Feature	IDS	IPS
Primary Function	Monitor and alert	Monitor, alert, and block
Placement	Out-of-band (passive)	Inline (active)
Response Time	After-the-fact	Real-time
Blocking Capability	✗ No	✓ Yes
False Positives	Logged for review	May block legitimate traffic
Complexity	Moderate	High (requires tuning and maintenance)
Resource Usage	Lower	Higher (due to inline inspection)
Use Case	Forensic analysis, alerting	Automated response and prevention

d) *Emerging Trends in IDS/IPS Technologies*

Modern IDS and IPS tools are increasingly adopting machine learning to enhance detection accuracy and reduce false positives. Algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), decision trees, and neural networks are used to dynamically classify threats [26], [27].

Open-source solutions like Snort, Suricata, and Zeek have gained popularity due to their flexibility, extensibility, and strong community support [28]. These platforms support modular rule-based detection, real-time alerting, and protocol-aware inspection.

Moreover, with the adoption of Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and cloud-native infrastructure, IDS/IPS components are being embedded into programmable firewalls and orchestration layers (e.g., AWS WAF, Azure NSGs) [29].

V. CASE STUDIES AND INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS

To assess the practical effectiveness of IDS and IPS technologies, this section presents a set of real-world case studies from diverse industries. Each scenario illustrates how organizations have leveraged detection and prevention systems to address specific cybersecurity challenges.

a) *Telecommunications: Real-Time IPS against DDoS Attacks*

A major European telecom provider experienced repeated volumetric and application-layer DDoS attacks that disrupted its VoIP infrastructure. Conventional firewalls failed to distinguish between legitimate and malicious traffic. To resolve this, the company implemented a hybrid IPS with deep packet inspection (DPI) and anomaly detection capabilities. Within one month, the IPS identified and blocked several attack campaigns, resulting in a significant reduction in downtime. Moreover, firewall policies were dynamically updated to protect backend services in real time [30].

b) *Banking Sector: Enhancing Internal Monitoring with HIDS*

A global financial institution deployed host-based IDS (HIDS) across its internal systems to detect unauthorized access, monitor file integrity, and observe privileged user activities. Tools like OSSEC and Wazuh enabled fine-grained visibility into endpoint behavior. In one notable incident, the HIDS detected a privilege escalation attempt triggered by a misconfigured script. The security team responded immediately, revised access policies, and prevented what could have been a major breach [31].

c) *Healthcare: AI-Powered IDS Mitigates Ransomware Threat*

A hospital network in North America faced a ransomware infection that targeted its electronic health

records via a phishing email. Despite failing to detect the payload at the endpoint level, the organization's AI-enhanced IDS flagged anomalous encryption behavior across the network. This early warning allowed security personnel to isolate affected systems and restore data from backups within 24 hours, minimizing operational impact and safeguarding patient care [32].

d) *Academic Institutions: Layered IDS Deployment for Open Networks*

University networks are particularly vulnerable due to open-access policies and large user bases. A large public university deployed both Suricata and Zeek across its data centers and student access points. This layered architecture enabled detection of port scanning, brute-force login attempts, and DNS anomalies. Zeek's scripting engine allowed custom monitoring of certificate usage and suspicious domain queries. Weekly threat reports generated from IDS logs were also used to train IT staff and raise cybersecurity awareness among students [33].

e) *Cloud Environments: IPS Integration in Microservices*

A SaaS provider operating on Kubernetes adopted container-aware IPS (e.g., Aqua Security and Trend Micro Deep Security) as part of its DevSecOps pipeline. These IPS tools monitored east-west traffic between microservices and enforced runtime policies. The system detected unusual activity patterns like cryptocurrency mining in compromised containers. By integrating IPS into CI/CD workflows, the company ensured that container images were scanned before deployment and that runtime protections were active post-deployment [34].

VI. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE TRENDS

The comparative evaluation of intrusion detection and prevention technologies reveals both the capabilities and limitations of current solutions. Signature-based systems continue to provide reliable protection against known threats, offering high accuracy and low false positive rates. However, their effectiveness diminishes when dealing with sophisticated or previously unseen attacks such as zero-day exploits and polymorphic malware [35].

Anomaly-based systems have emerged as a promising alternative, capable of identifying unknown threats through behavioral analysis and statistical modeling. Nevertheless, they are prone to generating a high volume of false alerts, which can overwhelm security teams and delay incident response [35].

Performance optimization also remains a significant concern. Inline IPS systems, although highly effective in real-time mitigation, may introduce latency or block legitimate traffic if not properly tuned. This makes policy configuration and system calibration essential,

particularly in time-sensitive sectors like finance and healthcare [36].

From an architectural standpoint, the traditional centralized monitoring approach is gradually being replaced by distributed, intelligence-driven models. As networks become more dynamic—due to mobile users, cloud services, and remote work—the perimeter becomes increasingly irrelevant. This shift supports the adoption of Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA), which applies continuous verification and least-privilege access controls throughout the network [37].

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are reshaping the field of intrusion detection. Advanced models can analyze large volumes of network traffic to uncover hidden patterns associated with malicious activity. Deep learning techniques, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), have demonstrated potential in identifying sequence-based attack behaviors [38]. However, issues such as explainability, class imbalance, and vulnerability to adversarial inputs continue to challenge their widespread deployment.

Encrypted traffic also presents a double-edged sword. While it improves privacy, it restricts the effectiveness of traditional deep packet inspection (DPI) tools. Emerging methods like TLS fingerprinting, encrypted traffic analytics (ETA), and metadata analysis aim to bridge this gap without compromising confidentiality [39].

In cloud-native environments, micro-segmentation and container-aware security practices are becoming standard. Integrating security measures into development pipelines—known as “security-as-code”—enables earlier threat detection and minimizes exposure in production environments [40].

The emergence of AI-driven offensive techniques, such as automated exploit generation, deepfake phishing, and autonomous malware, necessitates a shift in defensive strategies. Collaborative threat intelligence sharing, behavior baselining, and continuous adaptation will be vital for building resilient, self-healing security systems.

In conclusion, the future of network security lies in adopting intelligent, adaptable, and context-aware systems. IDS and IPS will remain integral components, but their continued relevance depends on integration with automated analytics, distributed architecture, and Zero Trust principles.

VII. CONCLUSION

In light of increasingly complex cyber threats, securing digital infrastructure has become an essential objective for both public and private organizations. This study offered an in-depth analysis of modern network threats and assessed the capabilities of Intrusion

Detection Systems (IDS) and Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS) in responding to these challenges.

While signature-based approaches remain reliable for identifying known attack vectors, they are inherently limited in detecting sophisticated or novel threats, such as zero-day exploits [35]. In contrast, anomaly-based systems extend the detection range but often suffer from false positives that can hinder operational efficiency [35]. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning within IDS/IPS frameworks improves their adaptability by enabling faster, context-aware threat recognition and response [36].

Case studies across various sectors—including telecommunications, healthcare, finance, and academia—demonstrated that organizations deploying hybrid detection models benefit from enhanced threat visibility and reduced response time. When combined with the principles of Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA), these models contribute to a more proactive and resilient cybersecurity posture [37].

Moving forward, the next generation of defense mechanisms must incorporate intelligent automation, distributed enforcement, and context-aware access control. However, challenges such as the inspection of encrypted traffic, adversarial machine learning, and workforce shortages must also be addressed [38], [39].

Ultimately, IDS and IPS will remain essential components of modern cybersecurity strategies. Their ongoing relevance will depend not only on technical sophistication but also on their integration into dynamic, self-adaptive, and policy-driven security architectures [40].

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. S. Axelsson, "Intrusion detection systems: A survey and taxonomy," Technical Report, Chalmers University of Technology, 2000.
2. T. A. El-Darymli, P. G. Sant, and D. N. Serpanos, "A survey of intrusion detection systems in cloud computing," **Computer Communications**, vol. 95, pp. 85–105, Dec. 2016.
3. R. Roesch, "Snort—lightweight intrusion detection for networks," in **Proc. 13th USENIX Conf. Syst. Admin.**, 1999, pp. 229–238.
4. J. Kindervag, "Build security into your network's DNA: The Zero Trust Network Architecture," Forrester Research, Tech. Rep., 2010.
5. R. Sommer and V. Paxson, "Outside the closed world: On using machine learning for network intrusion detection," in **Proc. IEEE Symp. Security and Privacy**, 2010, pp. 305–316.
6. S. Al-Qahtani, A. Mahmood, and T. A. Alghamdi, "A survey on cyber security threats and detection techniques in network intrusion detection system," **IEEE Access**, vol. 9, pp. 56610–56636, 2021.



7. R. Roesch, "Snort—lightweight intrusion detection for networks," in **Proc. 13th USENIX Conf. Syst. Admin.**, 1999, pp. 238–242.
8. L. Buczak and E. Guven, "A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection," **IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials**, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1153–1176, 2016.
9. J. Kindervag, "No more chewy centers: Introducing the Zero Trust Model of information security," Forrester Research, 2010.
10. P. Kumar and S. Agarwal, "Network security threats and solutions for organizations," **International Journal of Computer Applications**, vol. 165, no. 9, pp. 1–6, May 2017.
11. W. Stallings, **Network Security Essentials: Applications and Standards**, 6th ed., Pearson, 2017.
12. D. Shackleford, "The future of network security: Perimeterless architectures," **SANS Institute White Paper**, 2018.
13. J. Kindervag, "No more chewy centers: Introducing the Zero Trust Model of information security," Forrester Research, 2010.
14. L. Buczak and E. Guven, "A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection," **IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials**, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1153–1176, 2016.
15. M. H. Sqalli and M. Alenezi, "Cloud security: A comprehensive guide to secure cloud computing," **Future Internet**, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 35, 2021.
16. Douligieris and D. N. Serpanos, "Network security: Current status and future directions," **Computers & Electrical Engineering**, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2004.
17. Y. Liu, Y. Xia, and M. Zhang, "A survey on man-in-the-middle attacks," **Security and Communication Networks**, vol. 2021, Article ID 6637049, 2021.
18. Jain and B. Gupta, "A survey of phishing attack techniques, defenses and their implications," **Computers & Security**, vol. 86, pp. 70–90, 2019.
19. Greitzer and D. Frincke, "Combining traditional cyber security audit data with psychosocial data: Towards predictive modeling for insider threat mitigation," **Insider Threats in Cyber Security**, pp. 85–113, Springer, 2010.
20. J. Chen, S. Park, and J. Kim, "IoT security issues and challenges," **Journal of Information Processing Systems**, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 353–362, 2018.
21. B. K. Sahu and S. Mohapatra, "Advanced persistent threat detection and mitigation techniques: A review," **IEEE Access**, vol. 9, pp. 123345–123364, 2021.
22. Kharraz et al., "Cutting the Gordian knot: A look under the hood of ransomware attacks," in **Proc. DIMVA**, Springer, 2015, pp. 3–24.
23. Patcha and J. M. Park, "An overview of anomaly detection techniques: Existing solutions and latest technological trends," **Computer Networks**, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 3448–3470, 2007.
24. R. Bace and P. Mell, "Intrusion Detection Systems," NIST Special Publication 800-31, 2001.
25. S. Kumar and E. H. Spafford, "A pattern matching model for misuse intrusion detection," in **Proc. IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy**, 1994, pp. 11–21.
26. L. Buczak and E. Guven, "A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection," **IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials**, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1153–1176, 2016.
27. Sharafaldin, A. H. Lashkari, and A. A. Ghorbani, "Toward generating a new intrusion detection dataset and intrusion traffic characterization," in **Proc. ICISSP**, 2018, pp. 108–116.
28. M. Roesch, "Snort – Lightweight intrusion detection for networks," in **Proc. 13th USENIX Conf. Syst. Admin.**, 1999, pp. 229–238.
29. M. Alshamrani et al., "A survey on advanced persistent threats: Techniques, solutions, challenges, and research opportunities," **IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials**, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1851–1877, 2019.
30. L. Chen, S. Sharma, and K. Ramakrishnan, "Real-time detection of DDoS attacks using adaptive filters," **IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security**, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 83–97, 2019.
31. OSSEC, "Open Source Host-based Intrusion Detection System," [Online]. Available: <https://www.ossec.net>
32. H. Lashkari, M. Saad, and A. A. Ghorbani, "Towards a robust ransomware detection system based on machine learning," in **Proc. ICISSP**, 2020, pp. 47–58.
33. T. Dreibholz and S. Rathgeb, "Network intrusion detection in campus environments: Combining Suricata and Zeek," **Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy**, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 456–470, 2022.
34. Modi, D. Patel, B. Borisaniya, H. Patel, and A. Rajarajan, "A survey on security issues and solutions at different layers of Cloud computing," **Journal of Supercomputing**, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 561–592, 2013.
35. L. Buczak and E. Guven, "A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection," **IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials**, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1153–1176, 2016.
36. R. Bace and P. Mell, "Intrusion Detection Systems," NIST Special Publication 800-31, 2001.
37. J. Kindervag, "No more chewy centers: Introducing the Zero Trust Model of information security," Forrester Research, 2010.

38. Sharafaldin, A. H. Lashkari, and A. A. Ghorbani, "Toward generating a new intrusion detection dataset and intrusion traffic characterization," in *Proc. ICISSP*, 2018, pp. 108–116.
39. Cisco Systems, "Encrypted Traffic Analytics: Threat Visibility in the Age of Encryption," White Paper, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cisco.com>
40. Modi et al., "A survey on security issues and solutions at different layers of cloud computing," *Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 561–592, 2013.



GLOBAL JOURNALS GUIDELINES HANDBOOK 2025

WWW.GLOBALJOURNALS.ORG

MEMBERSHIPS

FELLOWS/ASSOCIATES OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL

FERC/AERC MEMBERSHIPS

INTRODUCTION



FERC/AERC is the most prestigious membership of Global Journals accredited by Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS). The credentials of Fellow and Associate designations signify that the researcher has gained the knowledge of the fundamental and high-level concepts, and is a subject matter expert, proficient in an expertise course covering the professional code of conduct, and follows recognized standards of practice. The credentials are designated only to the researchers, scientists, and professionals that have been selected by a rigorous process by our Editorial Board and Management Board.

Associates of FERC/AERC are scientists and researchers from around the world are working on projects/researches that have huge potentials. Members support Global Journals' mission to advance technology for humanity and the profession.

FERC

FELLOW OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL

FELLOW OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL is the most prestigious membership of Global Journals. It is an award and membership granted to individuals that the Open Association of Research Society judges to have made a 'substantial contribution to the improvement of computer science, technology, and electronics engineering.

The primary objective is to recognize the leaders in research and scientific fields of the current era with a global perspective and to create a channel between them and other researchers for better exposure and knowledge sharing. Members are most eminent scientists, engineers, and technologists from all across the world. Fellows are elected for life through a peer review process on the basis of excellence in the respective domain. There is no limit on the number of new nominations made in any year. Each year, the Open Association of Research Society elect up to 12 new Fellow Members.



BENEFITS

TO THE INSTITUTION

GET LETTER OF APPRECIATION

Global Journals sends a letter of appreciation of author to the Dean or CEO of the University or Company of which author is a part, signed by editor in chief or chief author.



EXCLUSIVE NETWORK

GET ACCESS TO A CLOSED NETWORK

A FERC member gets access to a closed network of Tier 1 researchers and scientists with direct communication channel through our website. Fellows can reach out to other members or researchers directly. They should also be open to reaching out by other.

[Career](#)[Credibility](#)[Exclusive](#)[Reputation](#)

CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE, LOR AND LASER-MOMENTO

Fellows receive a printed copy of a certificate signed by our Chief Author that may be used for academic purposes and a personal recommendation letter to the dean of member's university.

[Career](#)[Credibility](#)[Exclusive](#)[Reputation](#)

DESIGNATION

GET HONORED TITLE OF MEMBERSHIP

Fellows can use the honored title of membership. The "FERC" is an honored title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FERC or William Walldroff, M.S., FERC.

[Career](#)[Credibility](#)[Exclusive](#)[Reputation](#)

RECOGNITION ON THE PLATFORM

BETTER VISIBILITY AND CITATION

All the Fellow members of FERC get a badge of "Leading Member of Global Journals" on the Research Community that distinguishes them from others. Additionally, the profile is also partially maintained by our team for better visibility and citation. All fellows get a dedicated page on the website with their biography.

[Career](#)[Credibility](#)[Reputation](#)

FUTURE WORK

GET DISCOUNTS ON THE FUTURE PUBLICATIONS

Fellows receive discounts on the future publications with Global Journals up to 60%. Through our recommendation programs, members also receive discounts on publications made with OARS affiliated organizations.

Career

Financial



GJ ACCOUNT

UNLIMITED FORWARD OF EMAILS

Fellows get secure and fast GJ work emails with unlimited storage of emails that they may use them as their primary email. For example, john [AT] globaljournals [DOT] org.

Career

Credibility

Reputation



PREMIUM TOOLS

ACCESS TO ALL THE PREMIUM TOOLS

To take future researches to the zenith, fellows receive access to all the premium tools that Global Journals have to offer along with the partnership with some of the best marketing leading tools out there.

Financial

CONFERENCES & EVENTS

ORGANIZE SEMINAR/CONFERENCE

Fellows are authorized to organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). They can also participate in the same organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent. Additionally, they get free research conferences (and others) alerts.

Career

Credibility

Financial

EARLY INVITATIONS

EARLY INVITATIONS TO ALL THE SYMPOSIUMS, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES

All fellows receive the early invitations to all the symposiums, seminars, conferences and webinars hosted by Global Journals in their subject.

Exclusive





PUBLISHING ARTICLES & BOOKS

EARN 60% OF SALES PROCEEDS

Fellows can publish articles (limited) without any fees. Also, they can earn up to 70% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper. The FERC member can decide its price and we can help in making the right decision.

Exclusive

Financial

REVIEWERS

GET A REMUNERATION OF 15% OF AUTHOR FEES

Fellow members are eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get a remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper.

Financial

ACCESS TO EDITORIAL BOARD

BECOME A MEMBER OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD

Fellows may join as a member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) after successful completion of three years as Fellow and as Peer Reviewer. Additionally, Fellows get a chance to nominate other members for Editorial Board.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation

AND MUCH MORE

GET ACCESS TO SCIENTIFIC MUSEUMS AND OBSERVATORIES ACROSS THE GLOBE

All members get access to 5 selected scientific museums and observatories across the globe. All researches published with Global Journals will be kept under deep archival facilities across regions for future protections and disaster recovery. They get 10 GB free secure cloud access for storing research files.

ASSOCIATE OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL

ASSOCIATE OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH COUNCIL is the membership of Global Journals awarded to individuals that the Open Association of Research Society judges to have made a 'substantial contribution to the improvement of computer science, technology, and electronics engineering.

The primary objective is to recognize the leaders in research and scientific fields of the current era with a global perspective and to create a channel between them and other researchers for better exposure and knowledge sharing. Members are most eminent scientists, engineers, and technologists from all across the world. Associate membership can later be promoted to Fellow Membership. Associates are elected for life through a peer review process on the basis of excellence in the respective domain. There is no limit on the number of new nominations made in any year. Each year, the Open Association of Research Society elect up to 12 new Associate Members.



BENEFITS

TO THE INSTITUTION

GET LETTER OF APPRECIATION

Global Journals sends a letter of appreciation of author to the Dean or CEO of the University or Company of which author is a part, signed by editor in chief or chief author.



EXCLUSIVE NETWORK

GET ACCESS TO A CLOSED NETWORK

A AERC member gets access to a closed network of Tier 1 researchers and scientists with direct communication channel through our website. Associates can reach out to other members or researchers directly. They should also be open to reaching out by other.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE, LOR AND LASER-MOMENTO

Associates receive a printed copy of a certificate signed by our Chief Author that may be used for academic purposes and a personal recommendation letter to the dean of member's university.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation



DESIGNATION

GET HONORED TITLE OF MEMBERSHIP

Associates can use the honored title of membership. The "AERC" is an honored title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., AERC or William Walldroff, M.S., AERC.

Career

Credibility

Exclusive

Reputation

RECOGNITION ON THE PLATFORM

BETTER VISIBILITY AND CITATION

All the Associate members of AERC get a badge of "Leading Member of Global Journals" on the Research Community that distinguishes them from others. Additionally, the profile is also partially maintained by our team for better visibility and citation. All associates get a dedicated page on the website with their biography.

Career

Credibility

Reputation


FUTURE WORK

GET DISCOUNTS ON THE FUTURE PUBLICATIONS

Associates receive discounts on the future publications with Global Journals up to 60%. Through our recommendation programs, members also receive discounts on publications made with OARS affiliated organizations.

Career

Financial



GJ ACCOUNT

UNLIMITED FORWARD OF EMAILS

Associates get secure and fast GJ work emails with unlimited storage of emails that they may use them as their primary email. For example, john [AT] globaljournals [DOT] org..

Career

Credibility

Reputation



PREMIUM TOOLS

ACCESS TO ALL THE PREMIUM TOOLS

To take future researches to the zenith, associates receive access to all the premium tools that Global Journals have to offer along with the partnership with some of the best marketing leading tools out there.

Financial

CONFERENCES & EVENTS

ORGANIZE SEMINAR/CONFERENCE

Associates are authorized to organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). They can also participate in the same organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent. Additionally, they get free research conferences (and others) alerts.

Career

Credibility

Financial

EARLY INVITATIONS

EARLY INVITATIONS TO ALL THE SYMPOSIUMS, SEMINARS, CONFERENCES

All associates receive the early invitations to all the symposiums, seminars, conferences and webinars hosted by Global Journals in their subject.

Exclusive



PUBLISHING ARTICLES & BOOKS

EARN 30-40% OF SALES PROCEEDS

Associates can publish articles (limited) without any fees. Also, they can earn up to 30-40% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper.

Exclusive

Financial

REVIEWERS

GET A REMUNERATION OF 15% OF AUTHOR FEES


Associate members are eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get a remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper.

Financial

AND MUCH MORE

GET ACCESS TO SCIENTIFIC MUSEUMS AND OBSERVATORIES ACROSS THE GLOBE

All members get access to 2 selected scientific museums and observatories across the globe. All researches published with Global Journals will be kept under deep archival facilities across regions for future protections and disaster recovery. They get 5 GB free secure cloud access for storing research files.



ASSOCIATE	FELLOW	RESEARCH GROUP	BASIC
\$4800 lifetime designation	\$6800 lifetime designation	\$12500.00 organizational	APC per article
Certificate , LoR and Momento 2 discounted publishing/year Gradation of Research 10 research contacts/day 1 GB Cloud Storage GJ Community Access	Certificate , LoR and Momento Unlimited discounted publishing/year Gradation of Research Unlimited research contacts/day 5 GB Cloud Storage Online Presense Assistance GJ Community Access	Certificates , LoRs and Momentos Unlimited free publishing/year Gradation of Research Unlimited research contacts/day Unlimited Cloud Storage Online Presense Assistance GJ Community Access	GJ Community Access



PREFERRED AUTHOR GUIDELINES

We accept the manuscript submissions in any standard (generic) format.

We typeset manuscripts using advanced typesetting tools like Adobe In Design, CorelDraw, TeXnicCenter, and TeXStudio. We usually recommend authors submit their research using any standard format they are comfortable with, and let Global Journals do the rest.

Alternatively, you can download our basic template from <https://globaljournals.org/Template.zip>

Authors should submit their complete paper/article, including text illustrations, graphics, conclusions, artwork, and tables. Authors who are not able to submit manuscript using the form above can email the manuscript department at submit@globaljournals.org or get in touch with chiefeditor@globaljournals.org if they wish to send the abstract before submission.

BEFORE AND DURING SUBMISSION

Authors must ensure the information provided during the submission of a paper is authentic. Please go through the following checklist before submitting:

1. Authors must go through the complete author guideline and understand and *agree to Global Journals' ethics and code of conduct*, along with author responsibilities.
2. Authors must accept the privacy policy, terms, and conditions of Global Journals.
3. Ensure corresponding author's email address and postal address are accurate and reachable.
4. Manuscript to be submitted must include keywords, an abstract, a paper title, co-author(s) names and details (email address, name, phone number, and institution), figures and illustrations in vector format including appropriate captions, tables, including titles and footnotes, a conclusion, results, acknowledgments and references.
5. Authors should submit paper in a ZIP archive if any supplementary files are required along with the paper.
6. Proper permissions must be acquired for the use of any copyrighted material.
7. Manuscript submitted *must not have been submitted or published elsewhere* and all authors must be aware of the submission.

Declaration of Conflicts of Interest

It is required for authors to declare all financial, institutional, and personal relationships with other individuals and organizations that could influence (bias) their research.

POLICY ON PLAGIARISM

Plagiarism is not acceptable in Global Journals submissions at all.

Plagiarized content will not be considered for publication. We reserve the right to inform authors' institutions about plagiarism detected either before or after publication. If plagiarism is identified, we will follow COPE guidelines:

Authors are solely responsible for all the plagiarism that is found. The author must not fabricate, falsify or plagiarize existing research data. The following, if copied, will be considered plagiarism:

- Words (language)
- Ideas
- Findings
- Writings
- Diagrams
- Graphs
- Illustrations
- Lectures



- Printed material
- Graphic representations
- Computer programs
- Electronic material
- Any other original work

AUTHORSHIP POLICIES

Global Journals follows the definition of authorship set up by the Open Association of Research Society, USA. According to its guidelines, authorship criteria must be based on:

1. Substantial contributions to the conception and acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of findings.
2. Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.
3. Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

Changes in Authorship

The corresponding author should mention the name and complete details of all co-authors during submission and in manuscript. We support addition, rearrangement, manipulation, and deletions in authors list till the early view publication of the journal. We expect that corresponding author will notify all co-authors of submission. We follow COPE guidelines for changes in authorship.

Copyright

During submission of the manuscript, the author is confirming an exclusive license agreement with Global Journals which gives Global Journals the authority to reproduce, reuse, and republish authors' research. We also believe in flexible copyright terms where copyright may remain with authors/employers/institutions as well. Contact your editor after acceptance to choose your copyright policy. You may follow this form for copyright transfers.

Appealing Decisions

Unless specified in the notification, the Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed before making the major change in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned in Acknowledgments. The source of funding for the research can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with their addresses.

Declaration of funding sources

Global Journals is in partnership with various universities, laboratories, and other institutions worldwide in the research domain. Authors are requested to disclose their source of funding during every stage of their research, such as making analysis, performing laboratory operations, computing data, and using institutional resources, from writing an article to its submission. This will also help authors to get reimbursements by requesting an open access publication letter from Global Journals and submitting to the respective funding source.

PREPARING YOUR MANUSCRIPT

Authors can submit papers and articles in an acceptable file format: MS Word (doc, docx), LaTeX (.tex, .zip or .rar including all of your files), Adobe PDF (.pdf), rich text format (.rtf), simple text document (.txt), Open Document Text (.odt), and Apple Pages (.pages). Our professional layout editors will format the entire paper according to our official guidelines. This is one of the highlights of publishing with Global Journals—authors should not be concerned about the formatting of their paper. Global Journals accepts articles and manuscripts in every major language, be it Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Portuguese, Russian, French, German, Dutch, Italian, Greek, or any other national language, but the title, subtitle, and abstract should be in English. This will facilitate indexing and the pre-peer review process.

The following is the official style and template developed for publication of a research paper. Authors are not required to follow this style during the submission of the paper. It is just for reference purposes.



Manuscript Style Instruction (Optional)

- Microsoft Word Document Setting Instructions.
- Font type of all text should be Swis721 Lt BT.
- Page size: 8.27" x 11", left margin: 0.65, right margin: 0.65, bottom margin: 0.75.
- Paper title should be in one column of font size 24.
- Author name in font size of 11 in one column.
- Abstract: font size 9 with the word "Abstract" in bold italics.
- Main text: font size 10 with two justified columns.
- Two columns with equal column width of 3.38 and spacing of 0.2.
- First character must be three lines drop-capped.
- The paragraph before spacing of 1 pt and after of 0 pt.
- Line spacing of 1 pt.
- Large images must be in one column.
- The names of first main headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman font, capital letters, and font size of 10.
- The names of second main headings (Heading 2) must not include numbers and must be in italics with a font size of 10.

Structure and Format of Manuscript

The recommended size of an original research paper is under 15,000 words and review papers under 7,000 words. Research articles should be less than 10,000 words. Research papers are usually longer than review papers. Review papers are reports of significant research (typically less than 7,000 words, including tables, figures, and references)

A research paper must include:

- a) A title which should be relevant to the theme of the paper.
- b) A summary, known as an abstract (less than 150 words), containing the major results and conclusions.
- c) Up to 10 keywords that precisely identify the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.
- d) An introduction, giving fundamental background objectives.
- e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition, sources of information must be given, and numerical methods must be specified by reference.
- f) Results which should be presented concisely by well-designed tables and figures.
- g) Suitable statistical data should also be given.
- h) All data must have been gathered with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage.

Design has been recognized to be essential to experiments for a considerable time, and the editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned unrefereed.

- i) Discussion should cover implications and consequences and not just recapitulate the results; conclusions should also be summarized.
- j) There should be brief acknowledgments.
- k) There ought to be references in the conventional format. Global Journals recommends APA format.

Authors should carefully consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate effectively. Papers are much more likely to be accepted if they are carefully designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and follow instructions. They will also be published with much fewer delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and suggestions to improve brevity.



FORMAT STRUCTURE

It is necessary that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals should include:

Title

The title page must carry an informative title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) where the work was carried out.

Author details

The full postal address of any related author(s) must be specified.

Abstract

The abstract is the foundation of the research paper. It should be clear and concise and must contain the objective of the paper and inferences drawn. It is advised to not include big mathematical equations or complicated jargon.

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or others. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. In turn, this will make it more likely to be viewed and cited in further works. Global Journals has compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Keywords

A major lynchpin of research work for the writing of research papers is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and internet resources. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining, and indexing.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy: planning of a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Choice of the main keywords is the first tool of writing a research paper. Research paper writing is an art. Keyword search should be as strategic as possible.

One should start brainstorming lists of potential keywords before even beginning searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in a research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.

It may take the discovery of only one important paper to steer in the right keyword direction because, in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.

Numerical Methods

Numerical methods used should be transparent and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Abbreviations

Authors must list all the abbreviations used in the paper at the end of the paper or in a separate table before using them.

Formulas and equations

Authors are advised to submit any mathematical equation using either MathJax, KaTeX, or LaTeX, or in a very high-quality image.

Tables, Figures, and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g., Table 4, a self-explanatory caption, and be on a separate sheet. Authors must submit tables in an editable format and not as images. References to these tables (if any) must be mentioned accurately.



Figures

Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always include a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g., Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in vector electronic form or by emailing it.

PREPARATION OF ELETRONIC FIGURES FOR PUBLICATION

Although low-quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high-quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (possibly by e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/ photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Avoid using pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings). Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution at final image size ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs): >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.

Color charges: Authors are advised to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a Color Work Agreement form before your paper can be published. Also, you can email your editor to remove the color fee after acceptance of the paper.

TIPS FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY ENGINEERING RESEARCH PAPER

Techniques for writing a good quality engineering research paper:

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is selected by the interests of the author, but it can also be suggested by the guides. You can have several topics, and then judge which you are most comfortable with. This may be done by asking several questions of yourself, like "Will I be able to carry out a search in this area? Will I find all necessary resources to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area?" If the answer to this type of question is "yes," then you ought to choose that topic. In most cases, you may have to conduct surveys and visit several places. Also, you might have to do a lot of work to find all the rises and falls of the various data on that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information. Evaluators are human: The first thing to remember is that evaluators are also human beings. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So present your best aspect.

2. Think like evaluators: If you are in confusion or getting demotivated because your paper may not be accepted by the evaluators, then think, and try to evaluate your paper like an evaluator. Try to understand what an evaluator wants in your research paper, and you will automatically have your answer. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

3. Ask your guides: If you are having any difficulty with your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty with your guide (if you have one). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work, then ask your supervisor to help you with an alternative. He or she might also provide you with a list of essential readings.

4. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of research engineering then this point is quite obvious. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable of judging good software, then you can lose the quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various programs available to help you which you can get through the internet.

5. Use the internet for help: An excellent start for your paper is using Google. It is a wondrous search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question of how to write your research paper or find a model research paper. You can download books from the internet. If you have all the required books, place importance on reading, selecting, and analyzing the specified information. Then sketch out your research paper. Use big pictures: You may use encyclopedias like Wikipedia to get pictures with the best resolution. At Global Journals, you should strictly follow [here](#).



6. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right? It is a good habit which helps to not lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on the internet also, which will make your search easier.

7. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it, and then finalize it.

8. Make every effort: Make every effort to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in the introduction—what is the need for a particular research paper. Polish your work with good writing skills and always give an evaluator what he wants. Make backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making a research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either on your computer or on paper. This protects you from losing any portion of your important data.

9. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating a hodgepodge. So always try to include diagrams which were made by you to improve the readability of your paper. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history, or current affairs, then use of quotes becomes essential, but if the study is relevant to science, use of quotes is not preferable.

10. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense to present those events that have happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate events that will happen in the future. Use of wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid sentences that are incomplete.

11. Pick a good study spot: Always try to pick a spot for your research which is quiet. Not every spot is good for studying.

12. Know what you know: Always try to know what you know by making objectives, otherwise you will be confused and unable to achieve your target.

13. Use good grammar: Always use good grammar and words that will have a positive impact on the evaluator; use of good vocabulary does not mean using tough words which the evaluator has to find in a dictionary. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Do not ever use a big word when a smaller one would suffice.

Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. In a research paper, do not start sentences with conjunctions or finish them with prepositions. When writing formally, it is advisable to never split an infinitive because someone will (wrongly) complain. Avoid clichés like a disease. Always shun irritating alliteration. Use language which is simple and straightforward. Put together a neat summary.

14. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence, and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments for your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

15. Never start at the last minute: Always allow enough time for research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

16. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time is a bad habit in the case of research activity. Research is an area where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work into parts, and do a particular part in a particular time slot.

17. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if the evaluator has seen it anywhere, you will be in trouble. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend on your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health, then all your efforts will have been in vain. For quality research, take proper rest and food.

18. Go to seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.

19. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give your mind a rest by listening to soft music or sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory. Acquire colleagues: Always try to acquire colleagues. No matter how sharp you are, if you acquire colleagues, they can give you ideas which will be helpful to your research.

20. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, search for its reasons, benefits, and demerits. Think and then print: When you go to print your paper, check that tables are not split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.



21. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information like "I have used MS Excel to draw graphs." Irrelevant and inappropriate material is superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should never take a broad view. Analogy is like feathers on a snake. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Never oversimplify: When adding material to your research paper, never go for oversimplification; this will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be specific. Never use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions shouldn't be used in a research paper. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands, abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas that are not necessary. Parenthetical words should be between brackets or commas. Understatement is always the best way to put forward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

22. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results, and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. An appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibited here. Proofread carefully at the final stage. At the end, give an outline to your arguments. Spot perspectives of further study of the subject. Justify your conclusion at the bottom sufficiently, which will probably include examples.

23. Upon conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium through which your research is going to be in print for the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects of your research.

INFORMAL GUIDELINES OF RESEARCH PAPER WRITING

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criteria peer reviewers will use for grading the final paper.

Final points:

One purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people interpret your efforts selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, with each section starting on a new page:

The introduction: This will be compiled from reference matter and reflect the design processes or outline of basis that directed you to make a study. As you carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed like that. The results segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and direct reviewers to similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you gathered to carry out your study.

The discussion section:

This will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implications of the results. The use of good quality references throughout the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness to prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job, no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record-keeping are the only means to make straightforward progression.

General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear: Adhere to recommended page limits.

Mistakes to avoid:

- Insertion of a title at the foot of a page with subsequent text on the next page.
- Separating a table, chart, or figure—confine each to a single page.
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence.
- In every section of your document, use standard writing style, including articles ("a" and "the").
- Keep paying attention to the topic of the paper.



- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding the abstract).
- Align the primary line of each section.
- Present your points in sound order.
- Use present tense to report well-accepted matters.
- Use past tense to describe specific results.
- Do not use familiar wording; don't address the reviewer directly. Don't use slang or superlatives.
- Avoid use of extra pictures—include only those figures essential to presenting results.

Title page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short and include the name(s) and address(es) of all authors. It should not have acronyms or abbreviations or exceed two printed lines.

Abstract: This summary should be two hundred words or less. It should clearly and briefly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript and must have precise statistics. It should not have acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Do not cite references at this point.

An abstract is a brief, distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less, a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approaches to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Use comprehensive sentences, and do not sacrifice readability for brevity; you can maintain it succinctly by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than a lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to limit the initial two items to no more than one line each.

Reason for writing the article—theory, overall issue, purpose.

- Fundamental goal.
- To-the-point depiction of the research.
- Consequences, including definite statistics—if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account for this; results of any numerical analysis should be reported. Significant conclusions or questions that emerge from the research.

Approach:

- Single section and succinct.
- An outline of the job done is always written in past tense.
- Concentrate on shortening results—limit background information to a verdict or two.
- Exact spelling, clarity of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else.

Introduction:

The introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable of comprehending and calculating the purpose of your study without having to refer to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give the most important references, but avoid making a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. Describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will give no attention to your results. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here.

The following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study.
- Defend the model—why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? Remark upon its appropriateness from an abstract point of view as well as pointing out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. State your particular theory(-ies) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Briefly explain the study's tentative purpose and how it meets the declared objectives.



Approach:

Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done. Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point for every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need at least four paragraphs. Present surrounding information only when it is necessary to support a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read everything you know about a topic. Shape the theory specifically—do not take a broad view.

As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity, and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (methods and materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A soundly written procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replicate your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order, but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt to give the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to replicate your outcome, but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section.

When a technique is used that has been well-described in another section, mention the specific item describing the way, but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to show all particular resources and broad procedures so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step-by-step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

Materials may be reported in part of a section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method and not the particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology.
- Describe the method entirely.
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures.
- Simplify—detail how procedures were completed, not how they were performed on a particular day.
- If well-known procedures were used, account for the procedure by name, possibly with a reference, and that's all.

Approach:

It is embarrassing to use vigorous voice when documenting methods without using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result, when writing up the methods, most authors use third person passive voice.

Use standard style in this and every other part of the paper—avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from:

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings—save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part as entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Use statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.

You must clearly differentiate material which would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matters should not be submitted at all except if requested by the instructor.



Content:

- Sum up your conclusions in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In the manuscript, explain each of your consequences, and point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation of an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and give remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or manuscript.

What to stay away from:

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surrounding information, or try to explain anything.
- Do not include raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present similar data more than once.
- A manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate information.
- Never confuse figures with tables—there is a difference.

Approach:

As always, use past tense when you submit your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.

Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report.

If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results section.

Figures and tables:

If you put figures and tables at the end of some details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attached appendix materials, such as raw facts. Whatever the position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other, and include a heading. All figures and tables must be divided from the text.

Discussion:

The discussion is expected to be the trickiest segment to write. A lot of papers submitted to the journal are discarded based on problems with the discussion. There is no rule for how long an argument should be.

Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implications of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and support all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of results should be fully described.

Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact, you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved the prospect, and let it drop at that. Make a decision as to whether each premise is supported or discarded or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."

Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work.

- You may propose future guidelines, such as how an experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details of all of your remarks as much as possible, focusing on mechanisms.
- Make a decision as to whether the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory and whether or not it was correctly restricted. Try to present substitute explanations if they are sensible alternatives.
- One piece of research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind. Where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.



Approach:

When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from other available information. Present work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.

Describe generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.

THE ADMINISTRATION RULES

Administration Rules to Be Strictly Followed before Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc.

Please read the following rules and regulations carefully before submitting your research paper to Global Journals Inc. to avoid rejection.

Segment draft and final research paper: You have to strictly follow the template of a research paper, failing which your paper may get rejected. You are expected to write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The peer reviewers need to identify your own perspective of the concepts in your own terms. Please do not extract straight from any other source, and do not rephrase someone else's analysis. Do not allow anyone else to proofread your manuscript.

Written material: You may discuss this with your guides and key sources. Do not copy anyone else's paper, even if this is only imitation, otherwise it will be rejected on the grounds of plagiarism, which is illegal. Various methods to avoid plagiarism are strictly applied by us to every paper, and, if found guilty, you may be blacklisted, which could affect your career adversely. To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use, please do not permit anyone to use or even read your paper and file.



CRITERION FOR GRADING A RESEARCH PAPER (COMPILATION)
BY GLOBAL JOURNALS

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals.

Topics	Grades		
	A-B	C-D	E-F
<i>Abstract</i>	Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below	Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form Above 200 words	No specific data with ambiguous information Above 250 words
<i>Introduction</i>	Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited	Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter	Out of place depth and content, hazy format
<i>Methods and Procedures</i>	Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads	Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed	Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning
<i>Result</i>	Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake	Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend	Irregular format with wrong facts and figures
<i>Discussion</i>	Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited	Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious	Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend
<i>References</i>	Complete and correct format, well organized	Beside the point, Incomplete	Wrong format and structuring



INDEX

A

Abruptly · 74
Adversarial · 84
Alleviate · 36
Ambient · 34
Anchors · 35
Attenuation · 76, 77

C

Cascading · 36, 37, 51, 74
Chaotic · 72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78
Commutated · 47
Convolutional · 84

D

Dissipation · 32, 36
Distortion · 76

E

Emulate · 36

F

Fidelity · 36
Flashovers · 35
Fraught · 81

G

Galvanized · 47
Granularity · 36

I

Incentivize · 28
Inevitable · 3

L

Latency · 84
Lattice · 47
Leveraging · 24, 38, 75

O

Obsolete · 80

P

Polymorphic · 80, 83
Proliferation · 80

S

Sinusoidal · 33
Spear · 81
Spoofing · 80, 81, 82




save our planet



Global Journal of Researches in Engineering

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.EngineeringResearch.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org



ISSN 9755861

© Global Journals