

Strong Summability With Respect To A Sequence Of Orlicz Functions

GJSFR Classification – F (FOR)
010106,010401,010406

Vakeel A. Khan,

Abstract- In this paper we define the notion of strong summability by a sequence of Orlicz functions and examine its relationship with A-statistical convergence.

AMS subject Classification (2000): 40H05, 40F05

Keywords-Orlicz functions, strong summability, A-statistical convergence.

I. INTRODUCTION

An Orlicz function is a function, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If convexity of M is replaced by subadditivity, then this function is called a modulus function see Maddox [11].

Lindendstrauss and Tzafriri [9] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let s be the space of all real or complex sequences $x = (x_k)$,

$$\ell_M = \{x \in s : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}$$

which is called an Orlicz sequence space. ℓ_M is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\}$$

Also, it was shown [9] that every space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($p \geq 1$). The spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [10]. Parashar and Choudhary [12] defined these spaces by using the idea of Orlicz function as follows:

Let $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and s be the space of all real sequences. Then

$$W_0(M, p) = \{x \in s : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

$$W(M, p) = \{x \in s : x - \ell e \in W_0(M, p), \ell > 0\},$$

$$W_{\infty}(M, p) = \{x \in s : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right)^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

If $M(x) = x$, then the above spaces are deduced to $[C, 1, p]_0$, $[C, 1, p]$ and $[C, 1, p]_{\infty}$ respectively. For $p_k = p > 0$ for each k , we denote these sequence spaces by $Wp_0(M)$, $Wp(M)$, and $Wp_{\infty}(M)$ respectively.

Let X be a Banach space and $s(X)$ denote the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ in X . A scalar matrix $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k}$ is called regular on $s(X)$ if A maps $c(X)$ into $c(X)$ and $\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} x_k = x$ in X . It is known that a matrix A is regular on $s(X)$ if and only if it is regular on s . The necessary and sufficient conditions for A to be regular [5] on s are

$$(i) \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty, (ii) \lim_n a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for each } k,$$

and $\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} = 1$. These are well-known Silverman-Toeplitz conditions see [5]. A matrix A is said to be uniformly regular if it is regular, $a_{nk} \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_n \sum_{k \geq n} |a_{nk}| = 0 \text{ uniformly on } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy $\Delta 2$ -condition for all values u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that

$$M(2u) \leq KM(u), \quad (u \geq 0)$$

We define the following Definition. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy $\Delta \lambda$ -condition for all values u if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$M(\lambda u) \leq K\lambda M(u) \text{ for all } u \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda > 1.$$

We define the following sequence spaces Let $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k}$ be a non-negative regular matrix and $m = (M_k)$ a sequence of Orlicz functions such that each M_k satisfies $\Delta \lambda$ -condition. Then for $p > 0$

$$W_0^p(m, A, X) = \left\{x \in s(X) : \lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} \left(M_k\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right)^p = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\},$$

$$W^p(m, A, X) = \{x \in s(X) : \text{there exists } x_0 \in X, (x_k - x_0) \in W_0^p(m, A, X)\}.$$

For $x \in W^p(m, A, X)$, we write $x_k \rightarrow x_0$ ($W^p(m, A, X)$).

If $M_k(x) = x$ for each k , then these spaces are reduced to $W_0^p(A, X)$ and $W^p(A, X)$ respectively, where

$$W^p(A, X) = \left\{x \in s(X) : \text{there exists } x_0 \in X \text{ such that } \lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k - x_0\|^p = 0\right\}$$

If (M_k) is replaced by (f_k) a sequence of modulus functions, then the above spaces are reduced to the spaces defined by Kolk [7].

II. INCLUSION RELATIONS

In this section, we prove the following results.

Theorem 2.1.-

$$W_0^p(A, X) \subset W_0^p(m, A, X)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_k M_k(t) = 0 \quad (t > 0).$$

(1)

About-Vakeel A. Khan,
Dept. of Mathematics, A.M.U., Aligarh-202002 (INDIA)
e-mail: vakhan@math.com

Proof-Let $W_0^p(A, X) \subset W_0^p(m, A, X)$.

If we take $A = I$ (unit matrix), then this inclusion is reduced to

$$c_0(X) \subset c_0(m, X)$$

where

$$c_0(m, X) = \left\{ x \in s(X) : \lim_n \sum_k \left(M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right) = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

Suppose that (2.1.1) fails to hold. Then there exists a number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and an index sequence (k_i) such that

$$M_{k_i}(t_i) \geq \epsilon_0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{2}$$

for a positive sequence $(t_i) \in c_0$. Define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_i y, & \text{for } k = k_i \text{ and for a fixed } y \in X \text{ with } \|y\| = 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq k_i. \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in c_0(X)$ since $t_i \in c_0$, and hence $x \in c_0(m, X)$. On the other hand, by (2.1.2) and $\Delta \lambda$ -condition we have

$$M_{k_i} \left(\frac{\|x_{k_i}\|}{\rho} \right) = M_{k_i} \left(\frac{t_i}{\rho} \right) \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{K\rho}, \quad K > 0, \rho > 1, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

i.e., $x \notin c_0(m, X)$, a contradiction. Therefore (2.1.1) must hold.

Conversely, suppose that (2.1.1) holds. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number δ such that $0 < \delta < 1$ and

$$M_k(t) < \frac{\epsilon}{\|A\|}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \text{ for } t \leq \delta \tag{3}$$

$$(x_k) \in W_0^p(A, X) \text{ let}$$

For a sequence $x =$ so that $\lim_n T_n = 0$. Now

$$\sum_k a_{nk} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 \tag{4}$$

where Σ_1 is the sum over k such that $\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \leq \delta$; and Σ_2 is the sum over k such that $\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} > \delta$. Since A is regular and by (2.1.3), we have

$$\Sigma_1 < \epsilon \tag{5}$$

By (2.1.1), we have

$$\sup_k M_k(\delta) = H < \infty \text{ for } \frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} > \delta > 0 \tag{6}$$

Since each M_k is non-decreasing and convex, we have by (2.1.6) and $\Delta \lambda$ -condition that for $K > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) &= M_k \left(\delta \delta^{-1} \frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right), \\ &\leq K \delta^{-1} \frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} M_k(\delta) \\ &< K \delta^{-1} H \frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\Sigma_2 < (K \delta^{-1} H)^p T_n. \tag{7}$$

Hence $\Sigma_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $x \in W_0^p(m, A, X)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.2.(a) If $W_0^p(m, A, X) \subset W_0^p(A, X)$ and (M_k) is pointwise convergent for $t > 0$ (it is not necessary that every M_k satisfies the Δ_λ condition) then

$$\inf_k M_k(t) > 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{a}$$

(b) If

$$\inf_k M_k(t) > 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{a}$$

and every M_k satisfies the $\Delta \lambda$ condition then

$$W_0^p(m, A, X) \subset W_0^p(A, X).$$

Proof.-(a) Let $W_0^p(m, A, X) \subset W_0^p(A, X)$. Suppose that (2.2.1) does not hold. Then

$$\inf_k M_k(t) = 0 \quad (t > 0) \tag{b}$$

and thus we can choose an index sequence (k_i) such that

$$M_{k_i}(t_0) < \frac{1}{i} \text{ for certain } t_0 > 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{c}$$

Now, define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} t_0 y, & \text{for } k = k_i \text{ where } y \in X \text{ with } \|y\| = 1 \text{ and } t_0 > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\|x_k\| = \|x_{k_i}\| = t_0,$$

Then and so by (2.2.2) and (2.2.3) we get

$$\lim_k M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_k \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = 0$$

Further by regularity of A , we have

$$\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = 0$$

i.e. $x = (x_k) \in W_0^p(m, A, X)$. But on the other hand

$$\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} \|x_k\|^p = t_0^p \lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} = t_0^p,$$

$$x \notin W_0^p(A, X).$$

Hence Which contradicts that $W_0^p(m, A, X) \subset W_0^p(A, X)$. Hence (2.2.1) must hold.

(b) Conversely, let (2.2.1) hold and $x \in W_0^p(m, A, X)$. Suppose

that $x \notin W_0^p(A, X)$. Then for some number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and index k_0 we have $\|x_{k_i}\| > \epsilon_0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$ for some subsequence of indices (k_i) , since A is regular. Thus

$$M_k \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{\rho} \right) < M_k \left(\frac{\|x_{k_i}\|}{\rho} \right) \text{ for some } \rho > 0,$$

and further by regularity of A, we have $\lim_k M_k \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{\rho} \right) = 0$ which contradicts (2.2.1). Hence $x \in W_0^p(A, X)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

III. A-STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

In this section we find relation of A-statistical convergence with strong A-summability defined by a sequence $m = (M_k)$ of Orlicz functions.

Let $K = \{k_i\}$ be an index set, i.e. precisely the sequence (k_i) of indices. Let ϕ_k be the characteristic sequence of K, i.e. $\phi_k = (\phi_{kj})$, where

$$\phi_j^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{If } j = k, j = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If ϕ_k is (C,1)-summable then the limit

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j^k$$

is called the asymptotic density of K and is denoted by $\delta_A(K)$. An index set $K = \{k_i\}$ is said to have A-density if

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A(K) &= \lim_n A_n \phi^k \\ &= \lim_n \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk} \end{aligned}$$

exists, where $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$ is a non-negative regular matrix [cf,6].

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [2] and studied by various authors, e.g. by Sal'at [13], Freedman and Sember [3], Fridy [4] Connor [1], and Kolk[6].

sequence $x = (x_k) \in s(X)$ is said to be A-statistically convergent to x_0 , [6] i.e. $x_k \rightarrow x_0(S_A(X))$ if for every $Q > 0$, $\delta_A(L_Q) = 0$, where $L_Q = \{k : \|x_k - x_0\| \geq Q\}$. We denote by $S_A(X)$ the set of all A-statistically convergent sequences in X. If A is C1-matrix, then A-statistical convergence is reduced to the statistical convergence.

Example. Define x_k if k is a square and $x_k = 0$ otherwise.

then $|\{k \leq n : x_k \neq 0\}| \leq (n)^{1/2}$, so $x = (x_k)$

Theorem 3.1.- Let A be uniformly regular matrix and the orlicz functions sequence $m = (M_k)$ be a pointwise convergent. Then

$$x_k \rightarrow x_0(W_0^p(m, A, X)) \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow x_0(S_A(X)),$$

if and only if

$$\lim_k M_k(t) > 0 \quad (t > 0). \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let $Q > 0$. Then as in [8, Theorem 3.8] we can find numbers $s > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in L_\epsilon \\ k \geq r}} a_{nk} \leq s^{-p} \sum_{k \geq r} a_{nk} \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|x_k - x\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p. \tag{2}$$

Where

$$L_\epsilon = \{k : \|x_k - x_0\| \geq \epsilon\} \dots \text{Since } x_k \rightarrow x_0(W_0^p(m, A, X)) \delta_A(L_\epsilon) = \lim_n \sum_{k \in L_\epsilon} a_{nk} = 0. \text{ Therefore } x_k \rightarrow x_0(S_A(X))$$

implies that

Conversely, suppose that $x_k \rightarrow x_0(W_0^p(m, A, X)) \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow x_0(S_A(X))$.

have

$$\lim_k M_k(t_0) = 0 \text{ for some } t_0 > 0.$$

Since A is uniformly regular, by Lemma 2.4. of Kolk [8], there exists an infinite index set $K = (k_i)$ with $\delta_A(K) = 0$. Define a sequence $y = (y_k)$ by

$$y_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k \in K, \\ t_0 z, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

where $z \in X$ with $\|z\| = 1$. Then

$$\lim_k \left[M_k \left(\frac{\|y_k\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = 0,$$

and by the regularity of A we have

$$y_k \rightarrow 0(W^p(m, AX)).$$

But for $0 < \epsilon \leq t_0$,

$$\delta_A(k : \|y_k\| \geq \epsilon) = \lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} - \delta_A(K) = 1 - 0 = 1.$$

Thus y_k does not $\rightarrow 0(S_A(X))$, i.e. contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence (3.1.1) must hold. This completes the proof of the theorem.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Prof. Mursaleen for his valuable suggestions.

V. REFERENCES

- 1) J.S. Connor, The statistical and strong p- Ces'aro convergence of sequences, Analysis, 8 (1988) 47-63.
- 2) H.Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 241-244.
- 3) A.R. Freedman, and J.J. Sember, Densities and summability, Pacific J. math. 95 (1981) 293-305.
- 4) J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5 (1985) 301-303.
- 5) G.H. Hardy, Divergent series, Oxford Univ. Press, 1949.
- 6) D.Kolk, The statistical convergence in Banach space, Acta et. Comment. Univ. Tartu, 928 (1991) 41-52.
- 7) D.Kolk, On strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli, Acta et comment. Univ. Tartu., 960 (1993) 41-50.

- 8) D.Kolk, Inclusion relations between the statistical convergence and strong summability, *Acta et Comment. Univ. Tartu, de Math.*, 2 (1998) 39-54.
- 9) J. Lindenstrauss, and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971) 379-390.
- 10) I.J. Maddox, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 18 (1967) 161-166.
- 11) I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Soc.*, 100 (1986) 161-166.
- 12) S.D. Parashar, and B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J.Pure Appl. Math.*, 4 (1994) 419-428.
- 13) T. Şal'at, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, 30 (1980) 139-150.