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#### Abstract

The Elzaki transform transform was discussed in [19] as a motivation of the classical Sumudu transform. In this article, we extend the Elzaki transform to a space of tempered distributions (distributions of slow growth) by known kernel method. Further, we establish two spaces of Boehmians so that the Elzaki transform is well defined. Certain theorems are established in some details.


Keywords and phrases : Generalized function; Elzaki Transform; Sumudu Transform; Tempered Distribution; Boehmian Space.

## I. INTRODUCTION

n order to solve differential equations, several integral transforms were extensively used and applied in theory and application such as the Laplace, Fourier, Mellin, Hankel and Sumudu transforms, to name but a few. In the sequence of these transforms, rescently, Elzaki,T. and Elzaki, S. [17,18,19] introduced a motivation of the Sumudu transform [14-16] and applied it to the solution of ordinary and partial differential equations as well.

The Elzaki transform over the set functions is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left\{f(t): \exists M, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}>0,|f(t)|<M e^{t / \tau_{j}}, t \in(-1)^{j} \times(0, \infty)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(z)=E f(z)=: \int^{\infty} z f(t) e^{\frac{-t}{z}} d t, z \in\left(-\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general properties of Elzaki transforms are found in above citations. In fact there is a relationship between Elzaki transform and some other transforms. In particular, the strong relationship between the Elzaki transform and Laplace transform was already proved in [19] which can be decribed as follows. Let $f$ be a function of exponential order and $L f$ and $E f$ be the Laplace and Elzaki transforms of $f$, respectively, then

$$
E f(z)=z L f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) .
$$

and hence

$$
L f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)=z E\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) .
$$

The following are needful in the sequel.
(1) If $a$ and $b$ are non-negative real numbers then

$$
E(a f(t)+b g(t))(z)=a E f(z)+b E g(z) .
$$

(2) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} E f(z)=f(0)$.

## II. ElZaki Transform of Boehmians

The minimal structure necessary for the construction of Boehmians consists of the following: (1) A nonempty set A ; (2) A commutative semigroup $(B, \star)$; (3) An operation $\star: A \times B \rightarrow A$ such that for each $x \in A$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}$, $\in B, x \star\left(s_{1} \star s_{2}\right)=\left(x \star s_{1}\right) \star s_{2}$; (3) A collection $\Delta \subset B^{N}$ such that: (a) If $x, y \in A,\left(s_{n}\right) \in \Delta, x \star s_{n}=y \star s_{n}$ for all then $x=y, ;(b)$ If $\left(s_{n}\right),\left(t_{n}\right) \in \Delta$, then $\left(s_{n} \star t_{n}\right) \in \Delta$.
Elements of $\Delta$ are called delta sequences. Consider

$$
Q=\left\{\left(x_{n}, s_{n}\right): x_{n} \in A,\left(s_{n}\right) \in \Delta, x_{n} \star s_{m}=x_{m} \star s_{n}, \forall m, n \in \mathbf{N}\right\} .
$$

[^0]If $\left(x_{n}, s_{n}\right),\left(y_{n}, t_{n}\right) \in Q, x_{n} \star t_{m}=y_{m} \star s_{n}, \forall m, n \in \mathbf{N}$, then we say $\left(x_{n}, s_{n}\right) \sim\left(y_{n}, t_{n}\right)$. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in $Q$. The space of equivalence clases in $Q$ is denoted by $\beta$. Elements of $\beta$ are called Boehmians. Between $A$ and $\beta$ there is a canonical embedding expressed as $x \rightarrow \frac{x \star s_{n}}{s_{n}}$. The operation $\star$ can be extended to $\beta \times A$ by $\frac{x_{n}}{s_{n}} \star t=\frac{x_{n} \star t}{s_{n}}$. The relationship between the notion of convergence and the product $\star$ is given by:
(1) If $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $A$ and, $\phi \in B$ is any fixed element, then $f_{n} \star \phi \rightarrow f \star \phi$ in $A$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ); (ii) If $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $A$ and $\left(\delta_{n}\right) \in \Delta$, then $f_{n} \star \delta_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $A($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$.

The operation $\star$ is extended to $\beta \times B$ as follows: If $\left[\frac{f_{n}}{s_{n}}\right] \in \beta$ and $\phi \in B$, then $\left[\frac{f_{n}}{s_{n}}\right] \star \phi=\left[\frac{f_{n} \star \phi}{s_{n}}\right]$.
Convergence in $\beta$ is defined as
(1) : A sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ in $\beta$ is said to be $\delta$ convergent to $h$ in $\beta, h_{n} \xrightarrow{\delta} h$, if there exists $\left(s_{n}\right) \in \Delta$ such that $\left(h_{n} \star s_{n}\right),\left(h \star s_{n}\right) \in A, \forall k, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\left(h_{n} \star s_{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(h \star s_{k}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $A$, for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$.
(2) : A sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ in $\beta$ is said to be $\Delta$ convergent to $h$ in $\beta, h_{n} \xrightarrow{\Delta} h$, if there exists a $\left(s_{n}\right) \in \Delta$ such that $\left(h_{n}-h\right) \star s_{n} \in A, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\left(h_{n}-h\right) \star s_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $A$. For further details, we refer to $[1-8,10,11,13]$.

The convolution product between two functions $u$ and $v$ is given by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u * v)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(y-x) v(x) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u * v)(y)=\int u(t) \tau_{y} \tilde{v}(t) d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{v}(t)=v(-t) \text { and } \tau_{y} v(t)=v(t-y)
$$

Lemma 2.1. $E(u * v)(z)=\frac{1}{z}(E u)(z)(E v)(z)$.
Proof See [19, Theo.2-6] :
Denote by $S$ the space of all complex valued functions $s(t)$ that are infinitely smooth and are such that, as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, they and their partial derivatives decrease to zero faster than every power of $\frac{1}{|t|}$. This required behaviour as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ can also be stated in the following alternative way. For $t$ one-dimensional, every function $s(t) \in S$ satisfies the infinite set of inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t^{m} s^{(k)}(t)\right| \leq C_{m k}, t \in(0, \infty) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ and $k$ run through all non negative integers. The elements of $S$ are called testing functions of rapid descents. $S$ is a linear space. The dual space of $S$ is denoted by $\dot{S}$. A distribution $u \in S$ is said to be tempered distribution or distribution of slow growth.

Let $\mathbf{R}_{+}$be the field of positive real numbers and $z$ be arbitrary but fixed in $\mathbf{R}_{+}$then

$$
D_{t}^{k}\left(z e^{\frac{-t}{z}}\right)=(-1)^{k} z^{1-k} e^{\frac{-t}{z}}, k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Hence for arbitrary but fixedz $z \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t^{m} D_{t}^{k}\left(z e^{\frac{-t}{z}}\right)\right|=\left|t^{m} z^{1-k} e^{\frac{-t}{z}}\right|<\infty, 0<t<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By aid of (6) we define the Elzaki transform of $u \in S$ by kernel method as

$$
t, z \in \mathbf{R}_{+}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E f(z)=\left\langle u(t), z e^{\frac{-t}{z}}\right\rangle \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $D$ the space of test functions of compact supports on $\mathbf{R}_{+}$then
Definition 2.2 Let $u \in S$ and $s \in D$ then we define the convolution $u * s$ to be $C^{\infty}$ function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u * v)(y)=\left\langle u, \tau_{y} \tilde{v}\right\rangle, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{v}(t)=v(-t)$ and $\tau_{y} v(t)=v(t-y), t \in R_{+}$. Equ(8) can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u * v)(y)=\langle u(t), v(t-y)\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.3. The convolution of two tempered distributions $u, v \in \dot{S}$ is defined as an element in $\dot{S}$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u * v, s\rangle=\langle u(y),\langle v(t), \phi(t+y)\rangle\rangle, s \in D \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be noted that if $u \in \dot{S}, v \in S$ then $u * v \in O_{m}$, where $O_{m}$ is the space of multipliers for $\dot{S}$. In fact $O_{m} \subset \dot{S}$. This, establishes the following lemma.
Lemma 2.4. If $u \in \dot{S}, s \in D$ then $u * s \in \dot{S}$.
Lemma 2.5. If $u \in \dot{S}, s_{1}, s_{2} \in D$ then

$$
\left(u * s_{1}\right) * s_{2}=u *\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $D \subset S, u * s_{1} \in C^{\infty}$ and hence $\left(u * s_{1}\right) * s_{2} \in C^{\infty}, u *\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right) \in C^{\infty}$. Aso, $u \in \dot{S}, s_{1} \in D \subset S \subset S$, implies

$$
u * s_{1} \in \dot{S}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(u * s_{1}\right) * s_{2}\right)(y) & =\left\langle u * s_{1}, \tau_{y} \tilde{s}_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u(t),\left\langle s_{1}(x), \tau_{y} \tilde{s}_{2}(t+x)\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u(t),\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right)(y-t)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(u *\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right)\right)(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(u * s_{1}\right) * s_{2}=u *\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.6 If $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{S}, s \in D$ and $\alpha \in R$ then we have (1) $\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right) * s=u_{1} * s+u_{2} * s . ;(2) \alpha\left(u_{1} * s\right)=\left(\alpha u_{1}\right)$ $* s=u_{1} *(\alpha s)$. Let $\Delta$ be the collection of all sequences $\left(r_{n}\right)$ from $D$ such that Equ. $(11-13)$ satisfies.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} r_{n}(t) d t=1  \tag{11}\\
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}}\left|r_{n}(t)\right| d t<M, M \in \mathbf{R}_{+}  \tag{12}\\
\operatorname{supp} r_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Sequences from $\Delta$ are called delta sequences.
Lemma 2.7. If $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ is $S$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$
u_{n} * s \rightarrow u * s \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \text { in } \dot{S}, s \in D
$$

Lemma 2.8. If $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\dot{S}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $u_{n} * r_{n} \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\left(r_{n}\right) \in \Delta$.
The described Boehmian space is denoted by $O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$. Next, we describe another Boehmian space as follows. Let $H$ be the set of all Elzaki transforms of tempered distributions from $\dot{S}$. That is, for each $h \in H$, there is $u \in S$ such that $h=E u$. Moreover, $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ in $H$ if there are $u_{n}, u \in \dot{S}$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $\dot{S}$.
Define a mapping • between $h \in H$ and $s \in D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h \bullet s)(z)=h(z) \int e^{\frac{-t}{z}} s(t) d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $h \in H$ such that $h=E u, u \in S$ and $s \in D$ then

$$
E(u * s)(z)=(h \bullet s)(z)
$$

Proof. Using definitions and Leibnitz' rule and change of varibles yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u * s)(z) & =\int s(t) d t \int u(y) z e^{-\frac{t+y}{z}} d y \\
& =\int u(y) z e^{\frac{-y}{z}} d y \int e^{\frac{-t}{z}} s(t) d t \\
& =h(z) \int e^{\frac{-t}{z}} s(t) d t \\
& =(h \bullet s)(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the Lemma.
Following lemmas are straightforward. We avoid same details.
Lemma 2.10 If $h \in H, s \in D$ then $h \bullet s \in H$.
Note that if $h \in H$ then $h=E u$, for some $u \in \dot{S}$. Therefore $h \bullet s=E u \bullet s=E(u * s)$, by Lemma 2.9. Since $u * s \in S$, the lemma follows.
Lemma 2.11. If $h \in H, s \in D$ then $E^{-1}(h \bullet s)=E^{-1} h * \phi$ where $E^{-1}$ is the inverse Elzaki transform
Proof. Let $u \in S$ such that $E u=h$ then

$$
E(u * s)=h \bullet s
$$

Hence, employing $E^{-1}$ on both sides yields $E^{-1}(h \bullet s)=u * s=E^{-1} h * s$.
Lemma 2.12. If $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H, s_{1}, s_{2} \in$ then

$$
\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right) \bullet s=h_{1} \bullet s+h_{2} \bullet s ;(2) h \bullet\left(s_{1} * s_{2}\right)=\left(h \bullet s_{1}\right) \bullet s_{2} .
$$

Lemma 2.13. If $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ and $s \in D$ then $h_{n} \bullet s \rightarrow h \bullet s$.
Lemma 2.14. If $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ in $H$ and $\left(r_{n}\right) \in \Delta$ then

$$
h_{n} \bullet r_{n} \rightarrow h \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The space $O(H, D, \Delta)$ can therefore be regarded as a Boehmian space.

## iII. ElZaki Transform of Boehmians

Let $\beta_{1}=\left[\frac{u_{n}}{s_{n}}\right] \in O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ then we define the extended Elzaki transform of $\beta_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right] \in O(H, D, \Delta) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(r_{n}\right) \in \Delta$.
Theorem 3.1. $\hat{E}: O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(H, D, \Delta)$ is well defined.
Proof: Let $\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]$ in $O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ then

$$
u_{n} * \psi_{m}=v_{m} * r_{n}=v_{n} * r_{m}
$$

Employing $E$ on both sides,

$$
\left(E u_{n}\right)(z) \int \psi_{m}(t) e^{\frac{-t}{z}} d t=\left(E v_{n}\right)(z) \int r_{m}(t) e^{\frac{-t}{z}} d t
$$

Hence,

$$
E u_{n} \bullet \psi_{m}=E v_{n} \bullet r_{m}
$$

That is,
Therefore,

$$
\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}} \sim \frac{E v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}
$$

$$
\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{E v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 3.2. $\hat{E}: O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(H, D, \Delta)$ is linear
Proof. Let $\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right],\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]$. From definitions and Equ. (15) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}\left(\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]+\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]\right) & =\hat{E}\left(\left[\frac{u_{n} * \psi_{n}+v_{n} * r_{n}}{r_{n} * \psi_{n}}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[\frac{E\left(u_{n} * \psi_{n}+v_{n} * r_{n}\right)}{r_{n} * \psi_{n}}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{E\left(u_{n} * \psi_{n}\right)+E\left(v_{n} * r_{n}\right)}{r_{n} * \psi_{n}}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{E u_{n} \bullet \psi_{n}+E v_{n} \bullet r_{n}}{r_{n} * \psi_{n}}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]+\left[\frac{E v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\hat{E}\left(\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]+\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]\right)=\hat{E}\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]+\hat{E}\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right] .
$$

Also, if $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$then

$$
\alpha \hat{E}\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\alpha\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{E\left(\alpha u_{n}\right)}{r_{n}}\right] .
$$

Hence

$$
\alpha \hat{E}\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\hat{E}\left(\alpha\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]\right) .
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3. $\hat{E}$ is one-one.
Proof. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ such $\beta_{1}=\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]$ and $\beta_{2}=\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]$.
Assume $E \beta_{1}=E \beta_{2}$ then $\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{E v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]$. That is,

$$
E u_{n} \bullet \psi_{n}=E v_{m} \bullet r_{n} .
$$

Using Lemma 2.9,

$$
E\left(u_{n} * \psi_{m}\right)=E\left(v_{m} * r_{n}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
u_{n} * \psi_{m}=v_{m} * r_{n}
$$

Hence
and

$$
\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}} \sim \frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\quad\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right] \sim\left[\frac{v_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\right]$.
Theorem 3.4. $\hat{E}: O(\hat{S}, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(H, D, \Delta)$ is onto.
Proof. Let $\left[\frac{h_{n}}{r_{n}}\right] \in O(H, D, \Delta)$ then

$$
h_{n}=E u_{n},
$$

for all $n$. $\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]$ is in $O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ such that

$$
\hat{E}\left[\frac{u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{E u_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{h_{n}}{r_{n}}\right] .
$$

Hence the theorem. Now, we de.ne the inverse $\hat{E}^{-1}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}^{-1}\left[\frac{h_{n}}{r_{n}}\right]=\left[\frac{\hat{E}^{-1} h_{n}}{r_{n}}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $h_{n} \in O(H, D, \Delta)$.
Theorem 3.5. $\hat{E}^{-1}: O(H, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ is well defined.
Theorem 3.6. $\hat{E}^{-1}: O(H, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ is linear.
Theorem 3.7. $\hat{E}^{-1}: O(H, D, \Delta) \rightarrow O(\dot{S}, D, \Delta)$ is an isomorphism.
Proof of Theorem 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, are analogous to that of Theorem 3.1, 3.2,3.3, and 3.4. Detailed proofs are avoided.
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# A Modern Approach to a Unified Field Theory 

Thomas Evans

Abstract - This paper presents strictly the mathematical derivation of the Einstein field equations from a vanishing divergent field given Lie group $S U(1)$ and satisfying cohomology, and a discussion of the implications of these results. Essentially, we obtain the expression $G^{a b}=T^{a b} 8 \pi$ from given postulates of a Quantum Yang-Mills theory- the gravitational terms reducing to $G^{a b}=T^{a b} 8 \pi$ when we have $\boldsymbol{S U}(1)$, satisfying ("good") cohomology (i.e. that of the standard formulation of Hodge's conjecture, [3] for a history), and we integrate with respect to the metric solution of the equations in a standard Lorentzian frame. We then discuss the implications of these results, and consider some misconceptions about past approaches to ubiquitous media.

## I. INTRODUCTION

$t$ is the purpose of this paper to approach Einstein's field equations from a standard mathematical viewpoint. Historically, the Einstein's equations were given

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}-g_{\mu \nu} \Lambda=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}}\left(T_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2}\right) T_{g \mu \nu} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\Lambda$ the cosmological constant. Physically, the interpretation of (1) itself did not cause many issues; rather, it was reconciling it with the also experimentally verified conclusions of quantum mechanics that has presented itself as the challenge. A variety of theories and bases for theories have been proposed ([4],[5],[6],[7]) to attempt such a reconciliation, however none have truly proved satisfying, although undeniably very, very interesting.

Eq. (1) is just that; it is an equation- it is general relativity's expression for the curvature of spacetime. Throwing away all concepts of physical interpretation of results, albeit temporarily, and we can show that eq. (1), and its' simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{a b}=T^{a b} 8 \pi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

May all be given mathematically independent of the physical picture of the results. We assert that eqs. (1) and (2) are, in fact, one of the rare instances in physics where mathematics has left room for physical interpretation, rather than the other way around. What we mean to assert by this is the following:

Theorem 1): Given eqs. (1) and (2) in their above and any equal forms, the physical picture is independent of the correct formulation of the actual theory. We may thus assert that; mathematically, we may develop the physical picture of the theory on a
strictly mathematical basis- and then interpret the physical implications.

The above theorem is exactly what this paper seeks to prove; that the implications of (1), (2), and any other forms, are all the bases for a correct physical picture of phenomena in the universe.

## II. Getting the Field EQUATiOns

Proposition 2.1) Given QYT (Quantum YangMills theory) with curvature $F=d a$, gauge group $G$, $L=\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} \int \mathbf{T r} F \wedge^{*} F$ (eq.(3)) $; F=d a=a A+A \wedge A$ ,then we have mass gap $\Delta>0$; $S U(3)$ invariance remains given transformations under $S U(3)$, under the limit $\mathrm{U}(<10)$, there is no chiral symmetry breaking, but under the limit $U(>10)$; there may be, and; lastly under $U(<10)$; the Einstein equations derived for the QYT with gauge group $G, L$ as in eq. (3) are exactly solvable.
a) To the mass gap $\Delta>0$

Method 2.1.1) Let a frame exist in spacetime such that there are no co-ordinates within the frame lying outside of the region $A\left[d s^{2}\right]$, with $d s^{2}=R^{3} d \tau^{2}+d \tau$. Let a worldline be defined such that a particle with co-ordinates $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ moves along the worldline with metric $d s^{2}$ as defined above. Let $\int A\left[d s^{2}\right]=\int A\left[d s^{2}\right]$ for an observer at $\tau_{1}$. $\tau_{1}$ is stationary on the sphere in the same frame where $\int A\left[d s^{2}\right]=\int A\left[d s^{2}\right]$. Let us now define a function $\bar{g}(t)$
$\bar{g}(t)=\int T^{(v a c)} \bar{F}_{\mu \nu} F_{a b} / 2 \pi \cos ^{3} \theta \sin ^{3} d \theta^{2}\left(d s^{2}\right)^{2}$ frac
$\{m\}$,
Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{m\}_{a b}=\{m\}_{A B}=\sum_{n=1}^{n} g_{n}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)^{n} \prod_{n}^{n}\left\{\Gamma^{a b}\right\} \Gamma^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1.1.1). $[S 2] \times[S 3] R_{\mu a v}^{a}=[6] R_{\mu a v}^{a}$;

Proof): Define a term $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \in S U(n)$. Let $\lambda$ be isomorphic to the group of quaternions of norm 1. Say that the group of quaternions of norm 1 describes $\lambda$ on the two-dimensional disc when the disc is compactified from a 5 -sphere and the point $\lambda$ is thus diffeomorphic to the 3 -sphere. Integrate out the term $\int d \tau$ with $d \tau=A\left[d s^{2}\right]$; and we see that we must perform the following operation:
$\int d \tau=\int \cdots \int\left[T_{a} T_{b}\right] \otimes \delta_{a} \delta_{b} \delta_{a b} d_{a c e} d_{v} \otimes \int \lambda \otimes \int G^{\mu v}$,
With

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{a} T_{b}\right]=\frac{1}{2 n} \delta_{a b} I_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^{n^{2}-1}\left(i f_{a b c}+d_{a b c}\right) T_{c} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generators of the $S U(n)$ Lie group. Given $\lambda$ diffeomorphic to the 3 -sphere, we see that; after calculating (6), $R^{\mu v}=[S 2] \times[S 3] \times R_{\mu a v}^{a}$, and, since we have $\int d \tau$ no longer remaining, we see that $R^{\mu \nu}=[S 6] \times R_{\mu a v}^{a}, \quad$ or $\quad R^{\mu \nu}=[6] \times R_{\mu a v}^{a} . \quad$ Thus, $[S 2] \times[S 3] R_{\mu a v}^{a}=[6] R_{\mu a v}^{a}$.

Now, define $S_{n}$ the symmetry group of the $S(n$ 1) simplex. The $S U(n)$ Lie group is just the traceless antihermitian $n \times n$ matrices with its regular commutator the Lie bracket. Let us now consider the gauge group $U(1)$. Let us now say that; given the conditions of Lemma 2.1.1.1), the following propositions hold true:

Proposition 2.1.1.1): $U(L)=U(1)$;
Proposition 2.1.1.2): $L=U\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & +1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & +1\end{array}\right]\right)=U(L)$,
Proposition 2.1.1.3):

$$
\lambda^{2} \oplus U=\lambda^{2} U\left[((1):(-1,-1,-1,+1)]_{v^{\prime}}^{\mu a}\right.
$$

Proposition 2.1.1.4): $\lambda^{2} U[((1):(-1,-1,-1,+1)]$ describes the following relationship of the $p$-form $P^{* *} F$ -

$$
P^{* *} F=A U(L) F^{*} .
$$

Proposition 2.1.1.5): Proposition 2.1.1.4) is equal to

## cohomology $\uparrow$ class-cohomology $\downarrow$ class $\overline{\text { cohomology } \downarrow \text { class } \times \text { cohomology } \uparrow \text { class }}$

Thus, by the conditions of Lemma 2.1.1.1), and the implications of Propositions 2.1.1.1-5); and the fact the these propositions hold given the conditions of Lemma 2.1.1.1), we see that
$p$-forms on the 3 -sphere $=1$;
$p$-forms on the 5 -sphere $=1$.
Note that $\frac{T^{a b}}{8 \pi}=G^{a b} ; a b=G^{1 / 2[a b] 8 \pi}=T^{1 / 2[a b] 8 \pi}$, and we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}=\lambda^{2}(\bar{g}(t))=\operatorname{div} \frac{A}{E} \omega t(\bar{g}(t)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $\lambda \in S U(n)$ with the term $\int d \tau$ still present,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a b}=G^{a b} / 8 \pi=\left[\lambda^{2}\right]^{a b}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, performing $\int d \tau$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{a b}=G^{a b} / 8 \pi=\left[\lambda^{2}\right]^{a b}=8 \pi G^{a b} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that in (8), the divergence of the terms $\frac{A}{E} \omega t(\bar{g}(t))$ vanishes, and in (9) (7) holds true. This suggests that in the limit of general relativity, we have fluid $\nabla \times A$; that this is equivalent to non-linear integral currents isomorphic to the Hodge group isomorphic to the Lie group $S U(1)$, and that without the limit of general relativity, the same holds. The rectifiable currents in the boundary set of the Lie group $S U(1)$ in $\int d \tau$ give us non-linear integral currents in the boundary set of the Lie group $\operatorname{SU}(1)$ without $\int d \tau$ present; and these are equivalent to non-linear integral currents isomorphic to the Hodge group isomorphic to the Lie group $\operatorname{SU}(1)$.

This equates to a group action with quartic potential $\left(A \wedge A^{2}\right)$, and this gives us a mass gap $\Delta>0$ (see [2]). It is elementary to see that $\Delta>0$, as if $\Delta=0$ it implies that all of spacetime is flat.

It is easy to see the next part of our problem, that $S U(3)$ invariance remains given transformations under $S U(3)$, as $S U(3)$ invariance with $\int d \tau$; in some suitable setting, remains by the $a b$ terms in $\left[\lambda^{2}\right]^{a b}$, and that certain transformations at a negligible level allow transformations under $S U(3)$.

Similarly, we see that chiral symmetry breaking holds only under the subgroup of non-linear integral
currents isomorphic to the Hodge group isomorphic to the Lie group $S U(1)$, as the limit in which quark bare masses vanish is similar to the limit in which $\int d \tau$ remains; thus, the potential vacuum invariance holds only under the subgroup of non-linear integral currents isomorphic in the above way.

In the limit $U(<10)$, the following demonstrate exact solutions to the Einstein equations: [8],[9],[10], [11],[12]. In the limit $U(>10)$, it is impossible to have an exact solution to the Einstein equations, or a solution in general, as the lack of a satisfying boundary state prevents us from even getting to the Einstein equations in the first place.

## iII. Results

We have established an effective QYT, in which the properties of [2] as listed are satisfied. This QYT is completely compatible with developments and standard praxis in gauge theory, QFT, relativity, and quantum mechanics, being formulated there from. Now, we wish to discuss these results in the context stated at the beginning of the paper- that of an interpretational one.

We have seen the mathematical relationship between excitations in a vacuum and Einstein's equations. What this allows us to assert is nothing new, it is merely a re-phrasing of old ideas- mathematically, the curvature of spacetime is equivalent to a vanishing divergent field in space. Around the turn of the 20th century, it was, in large part the contributions of the special and general theories of relativity that led to the abandonment of the concept of a ubiquitous 'ether', a medium constant throughout all space. It was not, however, the intention of these theories (See []), in fact, it was a necessary misinterpretation- there is nothing that constitutes cause for denying the concept, it is simply unnecessary. In this way, quantum mechanics and relativity progressed forward independently of the ether.

In our arguments, the concept of an ubiquitous ether is neither necessary nor desirable; yet, we choose to overlook it, vaguely keeping it in mind for utilization in situations such as this- we have approached an issue of reconciliation between general relativity and quantum mechanics. Interpretationally, the vanishing divergent field seen in this paper is equivalent to the ether, and we may interpret it as such- but we do not, seeing as the divergent field itself vanishes with $\int d \tau$, and only holds true without $\int d \tau$ - and is negligible (that is to say, essentially irrelevant) in both.

Thus, we wish to conclude this paper, and state that we have hopefully presented a way to reconcile issues between QM (Quantum Mechanics) and GR (General Relativity).

Clarification: Roughly stated, we are integrating $\int d \tau$ out, thus we are integrating the frame out. Since our arguments are contained in the space of the frame, we find a limit in which our arguments apply only to the contents of the frame, and a limit in which it (our arguments) applies to all space. Our mathematical formulations and the conclusions of other theories as accepted allow for all other conclusions made.
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Abstract - The main aim of the present paper is to compute a summation formula linked with recurrence relation and contiguous relation.
Keywords : Gauss second summation theorem, Recurrence relation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Generalized Gaussian Hypergeometric function of one variable is defined by

$$
{ }_{A} F_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{A} & ; &  \tag{1}\\
b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B} & ; & z
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{k}\left(a_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(a_{A}\right)_{k} z^{k}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{k}\left(b_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(b_{B}\right)_{k} k!}
$$

Where the parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{A}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B}$ are neither zero nor negative integers and $A, B$ are non negative integers.
If $A \leq B$, then series ${ }_{A} F_{B}$ is always convergent for all finite values of $z$ (real or complex).
If $A=B+1$, then series ${ }_{A} F_{B}$ is convergent when $|z|<1$.
Contiguous Relation is defined by [Andrews p.363(9.16), E. D. p.51(10)]

$$
(a-b){ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a, b ; & z  \tag{2}\\
c & ;
\end{array}\right]=a_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a+1, & b ; \\
c & ;
\end{array}\right]-b{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a, b+1 ; & z \\
c & ;
\end{array}\right]
$$

Gauss Second Summation Theorem is defined by [Prudnikov, 491 (7.3.7.5)]

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a, b ; & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+1}{2} ; & = \\
& \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2^{(b-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}
\end{array},=\frac{1}{2}\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In a monograph of Prudnikov et al., a summation theorem is given in the form [Prudnikov, p.491(7.3.7.3)]

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b & ;  \tag{5}\\
\frac{a+b-1}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\sqrt{\pi}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}+\frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}\right]
$$

Now using Legendre's duplication formula and Recurrence relation for Gamma function, the above theorem can be written in the form

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a, b & ; & 1  \tag{6}\\
\frac{a+b-1}{2} ; & \frac{2}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{2^{(b-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(b)}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right)}+\frac{2^{(a-b+1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}{\{\Gamma(a)\}^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}\right]
$$

[^1]Recurrence Relation is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\zeta+1)=\zeta \Gamma(\zeta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. Main Summation Formula

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b
\end{array} ; \quad \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+44}{2} ;
\end{array}\right]=\frac{2^{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+44}{2}\right)}{(a-b) \Gamma(b)} \times
$$

$$
\times\left[\frac { \Gamma ( \frac { b } { 2 } ) } { \Gamma ( \frac { a } { 2 } ) } \left\{\frac{2097152\left(2551082656125828464640000 a-4589065620297665740800000 a^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+\right.\right.
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(3618572796858388709376000 a^{3}-1687018700164430403993600 a^{4}\right)}{[20}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(526766035608866113191936 a^{5}-117964128844107192729600 a^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(19769717617137637130240 a^{7}-2550445204778112122880 a^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(258174206358711894016 a^{9}-20771430124567449600 a^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1338915850793364480 a^{11}-69419613644559360 a^{12}+2895430910817536 a^{13}\right)}{\lceil 20}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-96782231616000 a^{14}+2570993384320 a^{15}-53512986240 a^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(853247136 a^{17}-10054800 a^{18}+82460 a^{19}-420 a^{20}+a^{21}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(2551082656125828464640000 b+18034285618697563275264000 a^{2} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-5302160797104273044275200 a^{3} b+5607018417653412916101120 a^{4} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-932256615762419968376832 a^{5} b+363791583564959961055232 a^{6} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-37315288811906944204800 a^{7} b+7489957442085282054144 a^{8} b\right)}{\Gamma 20}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-498166507892515897344 a^{9} b+58633762795613451264 a^{10} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-2576442421949061120 a^{11} b+188756045605906688 a^{12} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-5435341998729216 a^{13} b+252735800846976 a^{14} b-4577441890560 a^{15} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(133017777312 a^{16} b-1369444608 a^{17} b+23317028 a^{18} b-103320 a^{19} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(861 a^{20} b+4589065620297665740800000 b^{2}+18034285618697563275264000 a b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(15762845986109545324216320 a^{3} b^{2}-1715582741848198927613952 a^{4} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1948319481506497921024000 a^{5} b^{2}-158335044742339255074816 a^{6} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(66037165498677645279232 a^{7} b^{2}-3734163901229061500928 a^{8} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(793246448982601729024 a^{9} b^{2}-30755148197026885632 a^{10} b^{2}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(3789344928747961856 a^{11} b^{2}-98535301715655168 a^{12} b^{2}\right)}{}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(7467613794096512 a^{13} b^{2}-124108229323008 a^{14} b^{2}+5886041837312 a^{15} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-56283597552 a^{16} b^{2}+1635834564 a^{17} b^{2}-6805344 a^{18} b^{2}+111930 a^{19} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$+\frac{2097152\left(3618572796858388709376000 b^{3}+5302160797104273044275200 a b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(15762845986109545324216320 a^{2} b^{3}+4279477751493666085797888 a^{4} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-223917316178353821843456 a^{5} b^{3}+253642950373348367663104 a^{6} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-11470785823581796368384 a^{7} b^{3}+4808408644935979539456 a^{8} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-161326575795225544704 a^{9} b^{3}+34409466474637782528 a^{10} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-806178380777742336 a^{11} b^{3}+99219476929326208 a^{12} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-1523034274347264 a^{13} b^{3}+114316013559552 a^{14} b^{3}-1027334730240 a^{15} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(47621245308 a^{16} b^{3}-188848296 a^{17} b^{3}+5245786 a^{18} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1687018700164430403993600 b^{4}+5607018417653412916101120 a b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1715582741848198927613952 a^{2} b^{4}+4279477751493666085797888 a^{3} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(484737428561631998771200 a^{5} b^{4}-13825835586638769168384 a^{6} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(15120650790214317537280 a^{7} b^{4}-404425418626749391872 a^{8} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(165274807848601394944 a^{9} b^{4}-3363994992766087680 a^{10} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(700557366559616384 a^{11} b^{4}-9761204824320768 a^{12} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(1169020433721728 a^{13} b^{4}-9795900072000 a^{14} b^{4}+709859761520 a^{15} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-2675350860 a^{16} b^{4}+118030185 a^{17} b^{4}+526766035608866113191936 b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(932256615762419968376832 a b^{5}+1948319481506497921024000 a^{2} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(223917316178353821843456 a^{3} b^{5}+484737428561631998771200 a^{4} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(26429876561704912930816 a^{6} b^{5}-437825752904390602752 a^{7} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(454688276882496873216 a^{8} b^{5}-7331248218468894720 a^{9} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(2870787673351678080 a^{10} b^{5}-34723823234929920 a^{11} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(6941613146622336 a^{12} b^{5}-52920909565440 a^{13} b^{5}+6064403010960 a^{14} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-21402806880 a^{15} b^{5}+1471442973 a^{16} b^{5}+117964128844107192729600 b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(363791583564959961055232 a b^{6}+158335044742339255074816 a^{2} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(253642950373348367663104 a^{3} b^{6}+13825835586638769168384 a^{4} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(26429876561704912930816 a^{5} b^{6}+747218528298866111488 a^{7} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(-7351101916744954368 a^{8} b^{6}+7182019754496915328 a^{9} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-68357994717492480 a^{10} b^{6}+25373492966791424 a^{11} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-167526567988416 a^{12} b^{6}+31784430433616 a^{13} b^{6}-102074925120 a^{14} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(11058116888 a^{15} b^{6}+19769717617137637130240 b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(37315288811906944204800 a b^{7}+66037165498677645279232 a^{2} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(11470785823581796368384 a^{3} b^{7}+15120650790214317537280 a^{4} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(437825752904390602752 a^{5} b^{7}+747218528298866111488 a^{6} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(11286363877994105472 a^{8} b^{7}-64752253797014784 a^{9} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(59178785407822080 a^{10} b^{7}-305570484570624 a^{11} b^{7}+106731643248048 a^{12} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-296017282848 a^{13} b^{7}+52860229080 a^{14} b^{7}+2550445204778112122880 b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(7489957442085282054144 a b^{8}+3734163901229061500928 a^{2} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(4808408644935979539456 a^{3} b^{8}+404425418626749391872 a^{4} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(454688276882496873216 a^{5} b^{8}+7351101916744954368 a^{6} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(11286363877994105472 a^{7} b^{8}+89937442713134016 a^{9} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-277179402820320 a^{10} b^{8}+236085009732936 a^{11} b^{8}-509323854312 a^{12} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(166509721602 a^{1} 3 b^{8}+258174206358711894016 b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(498166507892515897344 a b^{9}+793246448982601729024 a^{2} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(161326575795225544704 a^{3} b^{9}+165274807848601394944 a^{4} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(7331248218468894720 a^{5} b^{9}+7182019754496915328 a^{6} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(64752253797014784 a^{7} b^{9}+89937442713134016 a^{8} b^{9}+349750605804600 a^{10} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-446775310800 a^{11} b^{9}+353697121050 a^{12} b^{9}+20771430124567449600 b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(58633762795613451264 a b^{10}+30755148197026885632 a^{2} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(34409466474637782528 a^{3} b^{10}+3363994992766087680 a^{4} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(2870787673351678080 a^{5} b^{10}+68357994717492480 a^{6} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(59178785407822080 a^{7} b^{10}+277179402820320 a^{8} b^{10}+349750605804600 a^{9} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(513791607420 a^{11} b^{10}+1338915850793364480 b^{11}+2576442421949061120 a b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(52860229080 a^{7} b^{14}+2570993384320 b^{15}+4577441890560 a b^{15}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(5886041837312 a^{2} b^{15}+1027334730240 a^{3} b^{15}+709859761520 a^{4} b^{15}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(21402806880 a^{5} b^{15}+11058116888 a^{6} b^{15}+53512986240 b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(133017777312 a b^{16}+56283597552 a^{2} b^{16}+47621245308 a^{3} b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(2675350860 a^{4} b^{16}+1471442973 a^{5} b^{16}+853247136 b^{17}+1369444608 a b^{17}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1635834564 a^{2} b^{17}+188848296 a^{3} b^{17}+118030185 a^{4} b^{17}+10054800 b^{18}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(23317028 a b^{18}+6805344 a^{2} b^{18}+5245786 a^{3} b^{18}+82460 b^{19}+103320 a b^{19}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(111930 a^{2} b^{19}+420 b^{20}+861 a b^{20}+b^{21}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b(2551082656125828464640000+853045563776884015104000 a)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(5046844677567391413043200 a^{2}+908113612506970403635200 a^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(943293793948861653319680 a^{4}+104874749671411408699392 a^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(43804563947434794549248 a^{6}+3206657157123149004800 a^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(695881739005324099584 a^{8}+34502173340884414464 a^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{2}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$


$+\frac{4194304 b\left(113820211057919015936 a^{8} b^{3}+40163467045632939008 a^{9} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{Q}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\}}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\}}\}\right]}+$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(739982522866768896 a^{10} b^{3}+139896504005293568 a^{11} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \mathbf{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{0}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \mathbf{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(310775437793794541568 a^{7} b^{5}+3542770042520636928 a^{8} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(2406924367316781312 a^{9} b^{5}+22967896652821120 a^{10} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(7015062932620288 a^{11} b^{5}+44474696966336 a^{12} b^{5}+7339626148064 a^{13} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(22225991760 a^{14} b^{5}+2140280688 a^{15} b^{5}+43804563947434794549248 b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-29739154860751054831616 a b^{6}+70203458129013818785792 a^{2} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-5685631363901324279808 a^{3} b^{6}+10753422354294917699584 a^{4} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-110718760473363474432 a^{5} b^{6}+398392850354233326592 a^{6} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\phi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\sim}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(1882596948467243008 a^{7} b^{6}+4769925051865733760 a^{8} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(32753273458249344 a^{9} b^{6}+20451801353215232 a^{10} b^{6}+108494163290240 a^{11} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(30698633436016 a^{12} b^{6}+83361188848 a^{13} b^{6}+12759365640 a^{14} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod^{21}\{a\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\substack{0 \\
0}}^{21}\{a-b-2\}\right\}\left[\prod_{\substack{0}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \infty\}\right.} \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-3206657157123149004800 b^{7}+11878368163696261726208 a b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-2770353357030304694272 a^{2} b^{7}+4579807235019583217664 a^{3} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-197602360101547608064 a^{4} b^{7}+310775437793794541568 a^{5} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{2}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(16738902516445824 a^{8} b^{7}+38470291913923584 a^{9} b^{7}+145457559854464 a^{10} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(83501312754496 a^{11} b^{7}+188638464560 a^{12} b^{7}+49336213808 a^{13} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(695881739005324099584 b^{8}-540003762528448172032 a b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(1044011438827617827840 a^{2} b^{8}-113820211057919015936 a^{3} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(146137881502128465664 a^{4} b^{8}-3542770042520636928 a^{5} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\infty=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(4769925051865733760 a^{6} b^{8}-16738902516445824 a^{7} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(47411663226003648 a^{8} b^{8}+72173360207520 a^{9} b^{8}+150988354535304 a^{10} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(241258667832 a^{11} b^{8}+127330963578 a^{12} b^{8}-34502173340884414464 b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(113511250003086886912 a b^{9}-30238110324164627456 a^{2} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(40163467045632939008 a^{3} b^{9}-2287582316724390400 a^{4} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(2406924367316781312 a^{5} b^{9}-32753273458249344 a^{6} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\infty=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{4194304 b\left(38470291913923584 a^{7} b^{9}-72173360207520 a^{8} b^{9}+183709752798000 a^{9} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(117012581400 a^{10} b^{9}+223387655400 a^{11} b^{9}+4370328041608129536 b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-3598980835570312192 a b^{10}+6058651455359726080 a^{2} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-739982522866768896 a^{3} b^{10}+752245508455199616 a^{4} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-22967896652821120 a^{5} b^{10}+20451801353215232 a^{6} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod^{21}\{a\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-145457559854464 a^{7} b^{10}+150988354535304 a^{8} b^{10}-117012581400 a^{9} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(269128937220 a^{10} b^{10}-147413395681244160 b^{11}+442655007120794624 a b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-122806313632564224 a^{2} b^{11}+139896504005293568 a^{3} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-8519417326446208 a^{4} b^{11}+7015062932620288 a^{5} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-108494163290240 a^{6} b^{11}+83501312754496 a^{7} b^{11}-241258667832 a^{8} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\sim}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(223387655400 a^{9} b^{11}+11519973780293888 b^{12}-9538778579925504 a b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(14420734130391680 a^{2} b^{12}-1751777944705152 a^{3} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(1508934143912832 a^{4} b^{12}-44474696966336 a^{5} b^{12}+30698633436016 a^{6} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-188638464560 a^{7} b^{12}+127330963578 a^{8} b^{12}-259529058500096 b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\sim}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(720991701034752 a b^{13}-192246021387392 a^{2} b^{13}+194139922099200 a^{3} b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-10625670431040 a^{4} b^{13}+7339626148064 a^{5} b^{13}-83361188848 a^{6} b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(49336213808 a^{7} b^{13}+12727480051584 b^{14}-10015737262208 a b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(13807642532608 a^{2} b^{14}-1458526810240 a^{3} b^{14}+1101695764400 a^{4} b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-22225991760 a^{5} b^{14}+12759365640 a^{6} b^{14}-182186263680 b^{15}\right)}{\left[{ }^{21}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(470276092928 a b^{15}-108000161152 a^{2} b^{15}+98249075392 a^{3} b^{15}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(-3567134480 a^{4} b^{15}+2140280688 a^{5} b^{15}+5499857952 b^{16}-3770546960 a b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(4760540668 a^{2} b^{16}-331233916 a^{3} b^{16}+222945905 a^{4} b^{16}-44760048 b^{17}\right)}{\left[{ }^{21}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 b\left(106810248 a b^{17}-16405740 a^{2} b^{17}+13489164 a^{3} b^{17}+771932 b^{18}\right)}{\left[{ }^{21}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\xi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]} \\
& \left.+\frac{4194304 b\left(-370804 a b^{18}+425334 a^{2} b^{18}-2660 b^{19}+5740 a b^{19}+21 b^{20}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}\right\}- \\
& -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{4194304 a(2551082656125828464640000-853045563776884015104000 a)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+\right. \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(5046844677567391413043200 a^{2}-908113612506970403635200 a^{3}\right)}{\left[^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(943293793948861653319680 a^{4}-104874749671411408699392 a^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(43804563947434794549248 a^{6}-3206657157123149004800 a^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(695881739005324099584 a^{8}-34502173340884414464 a^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \odot\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(4370328041608129536 a^{10}-147413395681244160 a^{11}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(11519973780293888 a^{12}-259529058500096 a^{13}+12727480051584 a^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-182186263680 a^{15}+5499857952 a^{16}-44760048 a^{17}+771932 a^{18}-2660 a^{19}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(21 a^{20}+853045563776884015104000 b+11559169060421169158553600 a b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-1142031698036946716590080 a^{2} b+5423952157165206739353600 a^{3} b\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-483340488494855570325504 a^{4} b+462098774179625173516288 a^{5} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-29739154860751054831616 a^{6} b+11878368163696261726208 a^{7} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-540003762528448172032 a^{8} b+113511250003086886912 a^{9} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-3598980835570312192 a^{10} b+442655007120794624 a^{11} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-9538778579925504 a^{12} b+720991701034752 a^{13} b-10015737262208 a^{14} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(470276092928 a^{15} b-3770546960 a^{16} b+106810248 a^{17} b-370804 a^{18} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(5740 a^{19} b+5046844677567391413043200 b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(1142031698036946716590080 a b^{2}+9162138537143687568162816 a^{2} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-397807783079586941632512 a^{3} b^{2}+1606236897992772302405632 a^{4} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-79985901310925941112832 a^{5} b^{2}+70203458129013818785792 a^{6} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-2770353357030304694272 a^{7} b^{2}+1044011438827617827840 a^{8} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-30238110324164627456 a^{9} b^{2}+6058651455359726080 a^{10} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-122806313632564224 a^{11} b^{2}+14420734130391680 a^{12} b^{2}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-192246021387392 a^{13} b^{2}+13807642532608 a^{14} b^{2}-108000161152 a^{15} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(4760540668 a^{16} b^{2}-16405740 a^{17} b^{2}+425334 a^{18} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(908113612506970403635200 b^{3}+5423952157165206739353600 a b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod^{20}\{a-b]\left[{ }^{21}\{a-b+2)\right]\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowright\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(397807783079586941632512 a^{2} b^{3}+2387078061942597064065024 a^{3} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-54165858339340169117696 a^{4} b^{3}+191084514358987959304192 a^{5} b^{3}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-5685631363901324279808 a^{6} b^{3}+4579807235019583217664 a^{7} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-113820211057919015936 a^{8} b^{3}+40163467045632939008 a^{9} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-739982522866768896 a^{10} b^{3}+139896504005293568 a^{11} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-1751777944705152 a^{12} b^{3}+194139922099200 a^{13} b^{3}-1458526810240 a^{14} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(98249075392 a^{15} b^{3}-331233916 a^{16} b^{3}+13489164 a^{17} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(943293793948861653319680 b^{4}+483340488494855570325504 a b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(1606236897992772302405632 a^{2} b^{4}+54165858339340169117696 a^{3} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(264441231300695277830144 a^{4} b^{4}-3434524974756074594304 a^{5} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(10753422354294917699584 a^{6} b^{4}-197602360101547608064 a^{7} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(146137881502128465664 a^{8} b^{4}-2287582316724390400 a^{9} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(752245508455199616 a^{10} b^{4}-8519417326446208 a^{11} b^{4}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(1508934143912832 a^{12} b^{4}-10625670431040 a^{13} b^{4}+1101695764400 a^{14} b^{4}\right)}{[20}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-3567134480 a^{15} b^{4}+222945905 a^{16} b^{4}+104874749671411408699392 b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(462098774179625173516288 a b^{5}+79985901310925941112832 a^{2} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \odot\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(191084514358987959304192 a^{3} b^{5}+3434524974756074594304 a^{4} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(14221909912110591029248 a^{5} b^{5}-110718760473363474432 a^{6} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(310775437793794541568 a^{7} b^{5}-3542770042520636928 a^{8} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(2406924367316781312 a^{9} b^{5}-22967896652821120 a^{10} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(7015062932620288 a^{11} b^{5}-44474696966336 a^{12} b^{5}+7339626148064 a^{13} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-22225991760 a^{14} b^{5}+2140280688 a^{15} b^{5}+43804563947434794549248 b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(29739154860751054831616 a b^{6}+70203458129013818785792 a^{2} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(5685631363901324279808 a^{3} b^{6}+10753422354294917699584 a^{4} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(110718760473363474432 a^{5} b^{6}+398392850354233326592 a^{6} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-1882596948467243008 a^{7} b^{6}+4769925051865733760 a^{8} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-32753273458249344 a^{9} b^{6}+20451801353215232 a^{10} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-108494163290240 a^{11} b^{6}+30698633436016 a^{12} b^{6}-83361188848 a^{13} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(12759365640 a^{14} b^{6}+3206657157123149004800 b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(11878368163696261726208 a b^{7}+2770353357030304694272 a^{2} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(4579807235019583217664 a^{3} b^{7}+197602360101547608064 a^{4} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(310775437793794541568 a^{5} b^{7}+1882596948467243008 a^{6} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(5978379300010996736 a^{7} b^{7}-16738902516445824 a^{8} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(38470291913923584 a^{9} b^{7}-145457559854464 a^{10} b^{7}+83501312754496 a^{11} b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(-188638464560 a^{12} b^{7}+49336213808 a^{13} b^{7}+695881739005324099584 b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(540003762528448172032 a b^{8}+1044011438827617827840 a^{2} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(113820211057919015936 a^{3} b^{8}+146137881502128465664 a^{4} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(3542770042520636928 a^{5} b^{8}+4769925051865733760 a^{6} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(16738902516445824 a^{7} b^{8}+47411663226003648 a^{8} b^{8}-72173360207520 a^{9} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(150988354535304 a^{10} b^{8}-241258667832 a^{11} b^{8}+127330963578 a^{12} b^{8}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(34502173340884414464 b^{9}+113511250003086886912 a b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(30238110324164627456 a^{2} b^{9}+40163467045632939008 a^{3} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(2287582316724390400 a^{4} b^{9}+2406924367316781312 a^{5} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(32753273458249344 a^{6} b^{9}+38470291913923584 a^{7} b^{9}+72173360207520 a^{8} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(183709752798000 a^{9} b^{9}-117012581400 a^{10} b^{9}+223387655400 a^{11} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(4370328041608129536 b^{10}+3598980835570312192 a b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(6058651455359726080 a^{2} b^{10}+739982522866768896 a^{3} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(752245508455199616 a^{4} b^{10}+22967896652821120 a^{5} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(20451801353215232 a^{6} b^{10}+145457559854464 a^{7} b^{10}+150988354535304 a^{8} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(117012581400 a^{9} b^{10}+269128937220 a^{10} b^{10}+147413395681244160 b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(442655007120794624 a b^{11}+122806313632564224 a^{2} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(139896504005293568 a^{3} b^{11}+8519417326446208 a^{4} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(7015062932620288 a^{5} b^{11}+108494163290240 a^{6} b^{11}+83501312754496 a^{7} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(241258667832 a^{8} b^{11}+223387655400 a^{9} b^{11}+11519973780293888 b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(9538778579925504 a b^{12}+14420734130391680 a^{2} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(1751777944705152 a^{3} b^{12}+1508934143912832 a^{4} b^{12}+44474696966336 a^{5} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(30698633436016 a^{6} b^{12}+188638464560 a^{7} b^{12}+127330963578 a^{8} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\circlearrowleft=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \oslash\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(259529058500096 b^{13}+720991701034752 a b^{13}+192246021387392 a^{2} b^{13}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{0=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \bigvee\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(194139922099200 a^{3} b^{13}+10625670431040 a^{4} b^{13}+7339626148064 a^{5} b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(83361188848 a^{6} b^{13}+49336213808 a^{7} b^{13}+12727480051584 b^{14}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\wp=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(10015737262208 a b^{14}+13807642532608 a^{2} b^{14}+1458526810240 a^{3} b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(1101695764400 a^{4} b^{14}+22225991760 a^{5} b^{14}+12759365640 a^{6} b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod^{20}\{a 1\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(182186263680 b^{15}+470276092928 a b^{15}+108000161152 a^{2} b^{15}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(98249075392 a^{3} b^{15}+3567134480 a^{4} b^{15}+2140280688 a^{5} b^{15}+5499857952 b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(3770546960 a b^{16}+4760540668 a^{2} b^{16}+331233916 a^{3} b^{16}+222945905 a^{4} b^{16}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(44760048 b^{17}+106810248 a b^{17}+16405740 a^{2} b^{17}+13489164 a^{3} b^{17}\right)}{\left[{ }^{20}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\aleph=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{4194304 a\left(771932 b^{18}+370804 a b^{18}+425334 a^{2} b^{18}+2660 b^{19}+5740 a b^{19}+21 b^{20}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\varrho=0}^{20}\{a-b-2 \circlearrowleft\}\right]\left[\prod_{\diamond=1}^{21}\{a-b+2 \diamond\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(2551082656125828464640000 a+4589065620297665740800000 a^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{d}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(1338915850793364480 a^{11}+69419613644559360 a^{12}+2895430910817536 a^{13}\right)}{[21}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\substack{0 \\
0}}^{21}\{a-b-2\}\right]\left[\prod_{\substack{0}}^{20}\{a-b+2\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(96782231616000 a^{14}+2570993384320 a^{15}+53512986240 a^{16}+853247136 a^{17}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(10054800 a^{18}+82460 a^{19}+420 a^{20}+a^{2} 1+2551082656125828464640000 b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(18034285618697563275264000 a^{2} b+5302160797104273044275200 a^{3} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(5607018417653412916101120 a^{4} b+932256615762419968376832 a^{5} b\right)}{\left[{ }^{21}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\substack{0 \\
21} a-b-2 c\}\left[\prod_{\substack{0}}^{20}\{a-b+2\}\right]}^{\substack{2\\
\}}}\{a]\right.} \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(363791583564959961055232 a^{6} b+37315288811906944204800 a^{7} b\right)}{\left[{ }^{21}\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(7489957442085282054144 a^{8} b+498166507892515897344 a^{9} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(58633762795613451264 a^{10} b+2576442421949061120 a^{11} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(188756045605906688 a^{12} b+5435341998729216 a^{13} b+252735800846976 a^{14} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(4577441890560 a^{15} b+133017777312 a^{16} b+1369444608 a^{17} b+23317028 a^{18} b\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(103320 a^{19} b+861 a^{20} b-4589065620297665740800000 b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(18034285618697563275264000 a b^{2}+15762845986109545324216320 a^{3} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod^{21}\{a-b-20]\left[\prod^{20}\{a-b+2\}\right]\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\omega}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(1715582741848198927613952 a^{4} b^{2}+1948319481506497921024000 a^{5} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod^{21}\{a-b-20\right.}+ \\
& {\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]} \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(158335044742339255074816 a^{6} b^{2}+66037165498677645279232 a^{7} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(3734163901229061500928 a^{8} b^{2}+793246448982601729024 a^{9} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(30755148197026885632 a^{10} b^{2}+3789344928747961856 a^{11} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(98535301715655168 a^{12} b^{2}+7467613794096512 a^{13} b^{2}+124108229323008 a^{14} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\beta}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(5886041837312 a^{15} b^{2}+56283597552 a^{16} b^{2}+1635834564 a^{17} b^{2}+6805344 a^{18} b^{2}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\sim}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(111930 a^{19} b^{2}+3618572796858388709376000 b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(-5302160797104273044275200 a b^{3}+15762845986109545324216320 a^{2} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(4279477751493666085797888 a^{4} b^{3}+223917316178353821843456 a^{5} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(253642950373348367663104 a^{6} b^{3}+11470785823581796368384 a^{7} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(99219476929326208 a^{12} b^{3}+1523034274347264 a^{13} b^{3}+114316013559552 a^{14} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1027334730240 a^{15} b^{3}+47621245308 a^{16} b^{3}+188848296 a^{17} b^{3}+5245786 a^{18} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{2097152\left(-1687018700164430403993600 b^{4}+5607018417653412916101120 a b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{*=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]}+ \\
&+\frac{2097152\left(-1715582741848198927613952 a^{2} b^{4}+4279477751493666085797888 a^{3} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{N}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(484737428561631998771200 a^{5} b^{4}+13825835586638769168384 a^{6} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(15120650790214317537280 a^{7} b^{4}+404425418626749391872 a^{8} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(165274807848601394944 a^{9} b^{4}+3363994992766087680 a^{10} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\infty=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\substack{1}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(700557366559616384 a^{11} b^{4}+9761204824320768 a^{12} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\phi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1169020433721728 a^{13} b^{4}+9795900072000 a^{14} b^{4}+709859761520 a^{15} b^{4}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\substack{2}}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(2675350860 a^{16} b^{4}+118030185 a^{17} b 526766035608866113191936 b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-932256615762419968376832 a b^{5}+1948319481506497921024000 a^{2} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(4808408644935979539456 a^{8} b^{3}+161326575795225544704 a^{9} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(34409466474637782528 a^{10} b^{3}+806178380777742336 a^{11} b^{3}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\}}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
+\frac{2097152\left(26429876561704912930816 a^{6} b^{5}+437825752904390602752 a^{7} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(454688276882496873216 a^{8} b^{5}+7331248218468894720 a^{9} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\infty=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(2870787673351678080 a^{10} b^{5}+34723823234929920 a^{11} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(6941613146622336 a^{12} b^{5}+52920909565440 a^{13} b^{5}+6064403010960 a^{14} b^{5}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(21402806880 a^{15} b^{5}+1471442973 a^{16} b^{5}-117964128844107192729600 b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(363791583564959961055232 a b^{6}-158335044742339255074816 a^{2} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(253642950373348367663104 a^{3} b^{6}-13825835586638769168384 a^{4} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(26429876561704912930816 a^{5} b^{6}+747218528298866111488 a^{7} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(7351101916744954368 a^{8} b^{6}+7182019754496915328 a^{9} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{6}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(68357994717492480 a^{10} b^{6}+25373492966791424 a^{11} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{6}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(167526567988416 a^{12} b^{6}+31784430433616 a^{13} b^{6}+102074925120 a^{14} b^{6}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{6}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(11058116888 a^{15} b^{6}+19769717617137637130240 b^{7}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$




$$
+\frac{2097152\left(89937442713134016 a^{8} b^{9}+349750605804600 a^{10} b^{9}+446775310800 a^{11} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(353697121050 a^{12} b^{9}-20771430124567449600 b^{10}+58633762795613451264 a b^{10}\right)}{\Gamma^{21}}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\phi}\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-30755148197026885632 a^{2} b^{10}+34409466474637782528 a^{3} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-3363994992766087680 a^{4} b^{10}+2870787673351678080 a^{5} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-68357994717492480 a^{6} b^{10}+59178785407822080 a^{7} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-277179402820320 a^{8} b^{10}+349750605804600 a^{9} b^{10}+513791607420 a^{11} b^{10}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1338915850793364480 b^{11}-2576442421949061120 a b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\substack{11}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(3789344928747961856 a^{2} b^{11}-806178380777742336 a^{3} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\infty=0}^{21}\{a-b-2\}\right]\left[\prod_{\infty=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(700557366559616384 a^{4} b^{11}-34723823234929920 a^{5} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(25373492966791424 a^{6} b^{11}-305570484570624 a^{7} b^{11}+236085009732936 a^{8} b^{11}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-446775310800 a^{9} b^{11}+513791607420 a^{1} 0 b^{11}-69419613644559360 b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\substack{10}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(165274807848601394944 a^{4} b^{9}-7331248218468894720 a^{5} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(7182019754496915328 a^{6} b^{9}-64752253797014784 a^{7} b^{9}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(6941613146622336 a^{5} b^{12}-167526567988416 a^{6} b^{12}+106731643248048 a^{7} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-509323854312 a^{8} b^{12}+353697121050 a^{9} b^{12}+2895430910817536 b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-5435341998729216 a b^{13}+7467613794096512 a^{2} b^{13}-1523034274347264 a^{3} b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(1169020433721728 a^{4} b^{13}-52920909565440 a^{5} b^{13}+31784430433616 a^{6} b^{13}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-296017282848 a^{7} b^{13}+166509721602 a^{8} b^{13}-96782231616000 b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(252735800846976 a b^{14}-124108229323008 a^{2} b^{14}+114316013559552 a^{3} b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{*=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\substack{14}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-9795900072000 a^{4} b^{14}+6064403010960 a^{5} b^{14}-102074925120 a^{6} b^{14}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{n=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(52860229080 a^{7} b^{14}+2570993384320 b^{15}-4577441890560 a b^{15}\right)}{[\stackrel{21}{\square}}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(5886041837312 a^{2} b^{15}-1027334730240 a^{3} b^{15}+709859761520 a^{4} b^{15}\right)}{\Gamma 21}+
$$

$$
\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(-21402806880 a^{5} b^{15}+11058116888 a^{6} b^{15}-53512986240 b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{q}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
+\frac{2097152\left(133017777312 a b^{16}-56283597552 a^{2} b^{16}+47621245308 a^{3} b^{16}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{k}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{}\}\right]}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{2097152\left(188756045605906688 a b^{12}-98535301715655168 a^{2} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(99219476929326208 a^{3} b^{12}-9761204824320768 a^{4} b^{12}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{*}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{*}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}\right]}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2097152\left(-2675350860 a^{4} b^{16}+1471442973 a^{5} b^{16}+853247136 b^{17}-1369444608 a b^{17}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(1635834564 a^{2} b^{17}-188848296 a^{3} b^{17}+118030185 a^{4} b^{17}-10054800 b^{18}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{k}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{N}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{p}\}\right]}+ \\
& +\frac{2097152\left(23317028 a b^{18}-6805344 a^{2} b^{18}+5245786 a^{3} b^{18}+82460 b^{19}-103320 a b^{19}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{d}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\infty}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{m}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\phi}\}\right]}+ \\
& \left.+\frac{2097152\left(111930 a^{2} b^{19}-420 b^{20}+861 a b^{20}+b^{21}\right)}{\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{\infty}=0}^{21}\{a-b-2 \boldsymbol{\phi}\}\right]\left[\prod_{\boldsymbol{n}=1}^{20}\{a-b+2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}\}\right]}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. Derivation of the Summation Formula

Using the same parallel method of Ref.[5],we obtain the main result.

## IV. Open Problem

The result established in this paper having number of terms. It is open problem to all researchers working in mathematics as well as computer science to suggest an technique to reduce the number of terms or provide its general result which is yet to be discovered.
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## I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

n 1996, Kada et.al.[10] introduced the notion of w-distance on a metric space and proved a nonconvex minimization theorem which generalizes Caristi's fixed point theorem and the $\epsilon$-variational principle. Afterwards, Huang and Zhang [8] initiated the notion of cone metric spaces by replacing the set of real numbers with an ordered Banach space. They also proved some fixedpoint theorems of contractive mappings on complete cone metric spaces with the assumption of normality of a cone. After that series of articles about cone metric spaces started to appear. In this work we extend the idea of $w$-distance on metric spaces to cone metric spaces and prove some fixed point theorems by considering w-distance on cone metric spaces. Our results generalize some recent results in fixed point theory.

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $P$ be a subset of $E$ Then $P$ is called a cone if and only if
(i) $P$ is closed; nonempty and $P \neq\{\theta\}$;
(ii) $a, b \in R, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow a x+$ by $\in P$;
(iii) $P \cap(-P)=\{\theta\}$.

For a given cone $P \subseteq E$, we can define a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to $P$ by $x \leq y$ (equivalently, $y \geq x$ ) if and only if $y-x \in P . x<y$ (equivalently, $y>x$ ) will stand for $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$ while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y-x \in \operatorname{int} P$, where int $P$ denotes the interior of $P$. For a finite subset $A$ of $E$, if there exists an element $x \in A$ such that $x \leq a$ for all $a \in A$, we write $x=\min A$. If there is an element $y \in A$ such that $a \leq y$ for all $a \in A$, we write $y=\max A$.It is to be noted that $\min A, \max A$ are exist if the ordering $\leq$ on $E$ is complete. The cone $P$ is called normal if there is a number $M>0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\theta \leq x \leq y \text { implies }\|x\| \leq M\|y\| .
$$

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of $P$.
The cone $P$ is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is sequence such that

$$
x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n} \leq \cdots \leq y
$$

for some $y \in E$, then there is $x \in E$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Equivalently the cone $P$ is regular if andonly if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent. It is well known that a regular cone is a normal cone. Razapour and Hamlbarani [13] proved that there are no normal cones with normal constants $M<1$ and for each $k>1$ there are cones with normal constants $M>k$.
Definition 1.1. [8] Let $X$ be a non empty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

[^2](i) $\theta \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y)=\theta$ if and only if $x=y$;
(ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $d$ is called a cone metric on $X$ and $(X, d)$ is called a cone metric space.
Definition 1.2. [8] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there is a natural number $n_{0}$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \ll c$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is said to be convergent and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to $x$, and $x$ is the limit of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ We denote this by $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ or $x_{n} \rightarrow x(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Definition 1.3. [8] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space, $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $X$. If for any $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there is a natural number $n_{0}$ such that for all $n, m>n_{0}, d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \ll c$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is called a Cauchy sequence in $X$.

Definition 1.4. [8] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space, if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $X$, then $X$ is called a complete cone metric space.
We also note that the relations $\operatorname{int} P+\operatorname{int} P \subseteq \operatorname{int} P$ and $\lambda \operatorname{int} P \subseteq \operatorname{int} P(\lambda>0)$ hold.
Lemma 1.1. [14] Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $a, b, c \in X$. Then
(i) If $a \ll b$ and $b \ll c$ then $a \ll c$.
(ii) If $a \leq b$ and $b \ll c$ then $a \ll c$.

Here we present some elementary results of [8].
Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone with normal constant $M, x \in X$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ a sequence in $X$.Then
(i) $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to $x$ if and only if $d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow \theta$ (Lemma 1).
(ii) Limit point of every sequence is unique (Lemma 2).
(iii) Every convergent sequen ce is Cauchy (Lemma 3).
(iv) $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ (Lemma 4).
(v) If $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ then $d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Lemma 5).

Proposition 1.1. [9] If $E$ is a real Banach space with cone $P$ and if $a \leq \lambda a$ where $a \in P$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$ then $a=\theta$.

In the following definition we extend the idea of $w$-distance on metric spaces to cone metric spaces.
Definition 1.5. Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space. Then a function $p: X \times X \rightarrow P$ is called a w-distance on $X$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y)+p(y, z)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$;
(ii) for any $x \in X, p(x,):. X \rightarrow P$ is lower semicontinuous $i . e$., if $x \in X$,

$$
y_{n} \rightarrow y \in X \text { then } p(x, y) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf p\left(x, y_{n}\right)
$$

(iii) for any $\theta \ll \alpha$, there exists $\theta \ll \beta$ such that $p(z, x) \ll \beta$ and $p(z, y) \ll \beta$ imply $d(x, y) \ll \alpha$.

Example 1.1. Let $E=R^{2}, P=\{(x, y) \in E: x, y \geq 0\}, X=R$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ defined by $d(x, y)$ $(|x-y|, a|x-y|)$ where $a \geq 0$ is a constant. Then $(X, d)$ is a cone metric space. We define $p: X \times X$ $\rightarrow P$ by $p(x, y)=(c, c)$ for every $x, y \in X$, where $c$ is a positive real number. Then $p$ is a $w$-distance on $X$.
Proof. (i) and (ii) are obvious. To show (iii), for any $\theta \ll \alpha$, put $\beta=\left(\frac{c}{2}, \frac{c}{2}\right)$. Then $p(z, x) \ll \beta$ and $p(z, y) \ll \beta$ imply $d(x, y) \ll \alpha$.

Example 1.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone. Then $d$ is a w-distance on $X$. Proof. (i) and (ii) are obvious. To show (iii), let $0 \ll \alpha$ be given and put $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then if $d(z, x) \ll \beta$ and $d(z, y) \ll \beta$, we have

$$
d(x, y) \leq d(z, x)+d(z, y) \ll \beta+\beta=\alpha
$$

Definition 1.6. Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be expansive if there exists a real constant $c>1$ satisfying $d(T(x), T(y)) \geq c d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

## 2. Main Results

In this section we always suppose that $E$ is a real Banach space, $P$ is a non normal cone in $E$ with int $P \neq \emptyset$ and $\leq$ is the partial ordering on $E$ with respect to $P$. Throughout the paper we denote by $N$ the set of all natural numbers.
We start with the following lemma that will be needed in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and let $p$ be a w-distance on $X$. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ be sequences in $X$. Let $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ be sequences in $P$ converging to $\theta$ and let $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following hold:
(i) If $p\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq \alpha_{n}$ and $p\left(x_{n}, z\right) \leq \beta_{n}$ for any $n \in N$, then $\left(y_{n}\right)$ converges to $z$;
(ii) If $p\left(x_{n}, y\right) \leq \alpha_{n}$ and $p\left(x_{n}, z\right) \leq \beta_{n}$ for any $n \in N$, then $y=z$. In particular, if $p(x, y)=\theta$ and $p(x, z)=\theta$, then $y=z$;
(iii) If $p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq \alpha_{n}$ for any $n, m \in N$ with $m>n$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. (i) Let $\theta \ll \alpha$ be given. Then there exists $\theta \ll \beta$ such that $p(u, v) \ll \beta$ and $p(u, z) \ll \beta$ imply $d(v, z) \ll \alpha$.Choose $n_{0} \in N$ such that $\alpha_{n} \ll \beta$ and $\beta_{n} \ll \beta$ for every $n \geq n_{0}$. Now, for any $n \geq$ $n_{0}, p\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \leq \alpha_{n} \ll \beta$ and $p\left(x_{n}, z\right) \leq \beta_{n} \ll \beta$ and hence $d\left(y_{n}, z\right) \ll \alpha$.This implies that $\left(y_{n}\right)$ converges to $z$.
It follows from (i) that (ii) holds.
To prove (iii), let $\theta \ll \alpha$ be given. As in the proof of (i), choose $\theta \ll \beta$ and then $n_{0} \in N$. Now for any $n, m \geq n_{0}+1, p\left(x_{n_{0}}, x_{n}\right) \leq \alpha_{n_{0}} \ll \beta$ and $p\left(x_{n_{0}}, x_{m}\right) \leq \alpha_{n_{0}} \ll \beta$ and hence $d\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \ll \alpha$. This implies that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space with $w$-distance $p$ and $\leq$ be a complete ordering on $E$ with respect to $P$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be mappings from $X$ into itself. Suppose that there exists $r \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{p\left(T_{1}(x), T_{2} T_{1}(x)\right), p\left(T_{2}(x), T_{1} T_{2}(x)\right)\right\} \leq r \min \left\{p\left(x, T_{1}(x)\right), p\left(x, T_{2}(x)\right)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{p(x, y)+\min \left\{p\left(x, T_{1}(x)\right), p\left(x, T_{2}(x)\right)\right\}: x \in X\right\}>\theta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $y \in X$ with $y$ is not a common fixed point of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z=T_{1}(z)=T_{2}(z)$.Moreover, if $v=T_{1}(v)=T_{2}(v)$, then $p(v, v)=\theta$.
Proof. Let $u_{0}$ be an arbitrary element of $X$.A sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $X$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n} & =T_{1}\left(u_{n-1}\right), \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
& =T_{2}\left(u_{n-1}\right), \text { if } n \text { is even. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then applying condition (2.1), we have for any positive integer $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \leq r p\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeated use of (2.3), we obtain

$$
p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \leq r^{n} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)
$$

If $m>n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(u_{n}, u_{m}\right) & \leq p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right)+p\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+p\left(u_{m-1}, u_{m}\right) \\
& \leq\left[r^{n}+r^{n+1}+\cdots+r^{m-1}\right] p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{r^{n}}{1-r} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, ( $\left.\frac{r^{n}}{1-r} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)$ is a sequence in $P$ converging to $\theta$. So, by Lemma 2.1 (iii), $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$. Since $X$ is complete, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges to some point $z \in X$. Let $n \in N$ be fixed. Then since $\left(u_{m}\right)$ converges to $z$ and $p\left(u_{n},.\right)$ is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$
p\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf p\left(u_{n}, u_{m}\right) \leq \frac{r^{n}}{1-r} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)
$$

Assume that $z$ is not a common fixed point of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then by hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & <\inf \left\{p(x, z)+\min \left\{p\left(x, T_{1}(x)\right), p\left(x, T_{2}(x)\right)\right\}: x \in X\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p\left(u_{n}, z\right)+\min \left\{p\left(u_{n}, T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right), p\left(u_{n}, T_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\right\}: n \in N\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\frac{r^{n}}{1-r} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right): n \in N\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\frac{r^{n}}{1-r} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+r^{n} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right): n \in N\right\} \\
& =\theta
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $z=T_{1}(z)=T_{2}(z)$.
Suppose that $v=T_{1}(v)=T_{2}(v)$ for some $v \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(v, v) & =\max \left\{p\left(T_{1}(v), T_{2} T_{1}(v)\right), p\left(T_{2}(v), T_{1} T_{2}(v)\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \operatorname{rmin}\left\{p\left(v, T_{1}(v)\right), p\left(v, T_{2}(v)\right)\right\} \\
& =r \min \{p(v, v), p(v, v)\} \\
& =r p(v, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 1.1, it follows that $p(v, v)=\theta$.
The following Corollary is the generalization of the result [10; Theorem 4] to cone metric spaces.
Corollary 2.1. Let ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d}$ ) be a complete cone metric space, let $p$ be a w-distance on $X$ and let $T$ be a mapping from $X$ into itself. Suppose that there exists $r \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
p\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \leq r p(x, T(x))
$$

for every $x \in X$ and that

$$
\inf \{p(x, y)+p(x, T(x)): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

for every $y \in X$ with $y \neq T(y)$.Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z=T(z)$.Moreover, if $v=T(v)$, then $p(v, v)=\theta$.

Proof. Taking $T_{1}=T_{2}=T$ in Theorem 2.1, the conclusion of the Corollary follows.
Note: It is worth mentioning that for the cases $T_{1}=T_{2}$ it is suficient to assume that $\leq$ is a partial ordering on
$E$ with respect to $P$ instead of a complete ordering.
Using Corollary 2.1, we obtain the following theorem:
Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, let $p$ be a w-distance on $X$ and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous. Suppose that there exists $r \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
p\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \leq r p(x, T(x))
$$

for every $x \in X$.Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z=T(z)$.Moreover, if $v=T(v)$, then $p(v, v)=\theta$. Proof. Assume that there exists $y \in X$ with $y \neq T(y)$ and

$$
\inf \{p(x, y)+p(x, T(x)): x \in X\}=\theta
$$

Then, there is a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $X$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{p\left(x_{n}, y\right)+p\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\theta
$$

So, it must be the case that $p\left(x_{n}, y\right) \rightarrow \theta$ and $p\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \theta$. By Lemma 2.1(i), ( $T\left(x_{n}\right)$ )converges to $y$.
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{n}, T^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) & \leq p\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+p\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), T^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq p\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\operatorname{rp}\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, by Lemma 2.1 (i), ( $\left.T^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ converges to $y$. Using continuity of $T$, we obtain

$$
T(y)=T\left(\lim _{n} T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n} T^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)=y
$$

which is a contradiction.
Hence, if $y \neq T(y)$, then

$$
\inf \{p(x, y)+p(x, T(x)): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

Now Corollary 2.1 applies to obtain the desired conclusion.
As an application of Corollary 2.1, we obtain the following results [8; Theorem 1; Theorem 3; Theorem 4].
Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, $P$ be a normal cone with normal constant $M$. Suppose the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T(x), T(y)) \leq k d(x, y), \text { for all } x, y \in X \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in[0,1)$ is a constant. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.
Proof. Since $P$ is normal, we treat $d$ as a w- distance on $X$.From (2.4), it follows that

$$
d\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \leq k d(x, T(x)) \text { for every } x \in X
$$

Assume that there exists $y \in X$ with $y \neq T(y)$ and

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(x, T(x)): x \in X\}=\theta
$$

Then, there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $X$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{d\left(x_{n}, y\right)+d\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\theta
$$

So, we have $d\left(x_{n}, y\right) \rightarrow \theta$ and $d\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \theta$.Then by Lemma 2.1(i), ( $\left.T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ converges to $y$. Since $P$ is normal, $d\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), T(y)\right) \rightarrow d(y, T(y))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
By using (2.4), we have

$$
d\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), T(y)\right) \leq k d\left(x_{n}, y\right) \text { for any } n \in N
$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $d(y, T(y)) \leq \theta$ which implies that $-d(y, T(y)) \in P$. Also, $d(y, T(y)) \in P$ and hence $d(y, T(y))=\theta$. So it must be the case that $y=T(y)$.
This is a contradiction.
Hence, if $y \neq T(y)$,then

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(x, T(x)): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

Now Corollary 2.1 applies to obtain a fixed point of $T$. Clearly a fixed point of $T$ is unique.
Theorem 2.4. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone with normal constant $M$. Suppose the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T(x), T(y)) \leq k(d(T(x), x)+d(T(y), y)), \text { for all } x, y \in X \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a constant. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.
Proof. Replacing $y$ by $T(x)$ in (2.5), we have

$$
d\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \leq k\left(d(x, T(x))+d\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \text { for every } x \in X\right.
$$

So, it must be the case that

$$
d\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \leq r d(x, T(x)) \text { for every } x \in X
$$

where $0 \leq r=\frac{k}{1-k}<1$.
By an argument similar to that used above, we have if $y \neq T(y)$, then

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(x, T(x)): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

Applying Corollary 2.1 we have the desired conclusion.
Theorem 2.5. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone with normal constant $M$. Suppose the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition

$$
d(T(x), T(y)) \leq k(d(T(x), y)+d(T(y), x)), \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

where $k \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is a constant. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.
Proof. The proof obtained by the same techniques as used above.
Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space with a w-distance $p$ and $\leq$ be a complete ordering on $E$ with respect to $P$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be mappings from $X$ onto itself. Suppose that there exists $r>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{p\left(T_{2} T_{1}(x), T_{1}(x)\right), p\left(T_{1} T_{2}(x), T_{2}(x)\right)\right\} \geq r \max \left\{p\left(T_{1}(x), x\right), p\left(T_{2}(x), x\right)\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{p(x, y)+\min \left\{p\left(T_{1}(x), x\right), p\left(T_{2}(x), x\right)\right\}: x \in X\right\}>\theta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $y \in X$ with $y$ is not a common fixed point of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z=T_{1}$ $(z)=T_{2}(z)$.Moreover, if $v=T_{1}(v)=T_{2}(v)$, then $p(v, v)=\theta$.

Proof. Let $u_{0}$ be an arbitrary element of $X$. $T_{1}$ being onto, there exists an element $u_{1}$ satisfying $u_{1} \in T_{1}^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Since $T_{2}$ is also onto, there is an element $u_{2}$ such that $u_{2} \in T_{2}^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right)$. Proceeding in a similar way, we can find
$u_{2 n+1} \in T_{1}^{-1}\left(u_{2 n}\right)$ and $u_{2 n+2} \in T_{2}^{-1}\left(u_{2 n+1}\right)$ for $n=1,2,3, \cdots$.
Therefore, $u_{2 n}=T_{1}\left(u_{2 n+1}\right)$ and $u_{2 n+1}=T_{2}\left(u_{2 n+2}\right)$ for $n=0,1,2, \cdots$.
Using condition (2.6), we have for any positive integer $n$,

$$
p\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right) \geq r p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right)
$$

which implies that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{r} p\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right) \leq \cdots \leq\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{1}{r}$, then $0<\alpha<1$ since $r>1$.
Now, (2.8) becomes

$$
p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \leq \alpha^{n} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)
$$

If $m>n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(u_{n}, u_{m}\right) & \leq p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right)+p\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+p\left(u_{m-1}, u_{m}\right) \\
& \leq\left[\alpha^{n}+\alpha^{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha^{m-1}\right] p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\left(\frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)$ is a sequence in $P$ converging to $\theta$. So, by Lemma 2.1 (iii), $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$. Since $X$ is complete, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges to some point $z \in X$. Let $n \in N$ be fixed. Then since $\left(u_{m}\right)$ converges to $z$ and $p\left(u_{n},.\right)$ is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$
p\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf p\left(u_{n}, u_{m}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)
$$

Assume that $z$ is not a common fixed point of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then by hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & \leq \inf \left\{p(x, z)+\min \left\{p\left(T_{1}(x), x\right), p\left(T_{2}(x), x\right)\right\}: x \in X\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{p\left(u_{n}, z\right)+\min \left\{p\left(T_{1}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right), p\left(T_{2}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)\right\}: n \in N\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+p\left(u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right): n \in N\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} p\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right): n \in N\right\} \\
& =\theta
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $z=T_{1}(z)=T_{2}(z)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Suppose that } v=T_{1}(v)=T_{2}(v) \text { for some } v \in X \text {.Then } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
p(v, v) & =\min \left\{p\left(T_{2} T_{1}(v), T_{1}(v)\right), p\left(T_{1} T_{2}(v), T_{2}(v)\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \operatorname{rmax}\left\{p\left(T_{1}(v), v\right), p\left(T_{2}(v), v\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{rmax}\{p(v, v), p(v, v)\} \\
& =r p(v, v)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 1.1, we have $p(v, v)=\theta$.
Corollary 2.2. Let p be a w-distance on a complete cone metric space $(X, d)$ and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an onto mapping. Suppose that there exists $r>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right) \geq r p(T(x), x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \{p(x, y)+p(T(x), x): x \in X\}>\theta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $y \in X$ and that $y \neq T(y)$. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$. Moreover, if $v=T(v)$, then $p(v, v)=\theta$.
Proof. Taking $T_{1}=T_{2}=T$ in Theorem 2.6, we have the desired result.
The following theorem is the generalization of the result [15; Theorem 3] to cone metric spaces.
Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone and $T$ be a mapping of $X$ into itself. If there is a real number $r$ with $r>1$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T(x), T(y)) \geq r \min \{d(T(x), x), d(T(y), y), d(x, y)\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in X$, and $T$ is onto continuous, then $T$ has a fixed point.
Proof. Since $P$ is normal, $d$ is a w-distance on $X$. Replacing $y$ by $T(x)$ in (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(T(x), T^{2}(x)\right) \geq r \min \left\{d(T(x), x), d\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right), d(x, T(x))\right\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
We assume that $T(x) \neq T^{2}(x)$. Otherwise, $T$ has a fixed point.
So, it follows from (2.12) that

$$
d\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right) \geq r d(T(x), x)
$$

for every $x \in X$.
Assume that there exists $y \in X$ with $y \neq T(y)$ and

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(T(x), x): x \in X\}=\theta
$$

Then, there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right) \ln X$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{d\left(x_{n}, y\right)+d\left(T\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}\right)\right\}=\theta
$$

which gives that $d\left(x_{n}, y\right) \rightarrow \theta$ and $d\left(x_{n}, T\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \theta$. By Lemma 2.1(i), $\left.T\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ converges to $y$. Using continuity of $T$, we have

$$
T(y)=T\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(x_{n}\right)=y
$$

which is a contradiction.
Hence, if $y \neq T(y)$, then

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(T(x), x): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

Thus, condition (2.10) is satisfied and Corollary 2.2 applies to obtain a fixed point of $T$.
Remark 2.1. For an expansive mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$, there exists $r>1$ such that

$$
d(T(x), T(y)) \geq r d(x, y) \geq r \min \{d(T(x), x), d(T(y), y), d(x, y)\}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. However, the identity mapping satisfies condition (2.11) but it is not expansive. Thus, the class of mappings that considered in Theorem 2.7 is strictly larger than that of expansive mappings.

Theorem 2.8. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete cone metric space, $P$ a normal cone and the mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, onto and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T(x), T(y)) \geq k[d(T(x), x)+d(T(y), y)] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\frac{1}{2}<k<1$ is a constant. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$.
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $T(x)$ and $y$ by $x$ in (2.13), we have

$$
d\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right) \geq k\left[d\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right)+d(T(x), x)\right]
$$

which implies that

$$
d\left(T^{2}(x), T(x)\right) \geq r d(T(x), x) \text { for all } x \in X
$$

where $r=\frac{k}{1-k}>1$. By the same methods used above, if $y \neq T(y)$, then

$$
\inf \{d(x, y)+d(T(x), x): x \in X\}>\theta
$$

which is condition (2.10) of Corollary 2.2.
Applying Corollary 2.2 we obtain the desired conclusion.
The following is the generalization of Caristi's theorem[2] to cone metric spaces.
Theorem 2.9. Let $p$ be a w-distance in a complete cone metric space $(X, d), P$ a regular cone. Let $T$ be a continuous mapping from $X$ into itself. Suppose that there exists a mapping $Q: X \rightarrow P$ such that

$$
p(x, T(x)) \leq Q(x)-Q(T(x))
$$

for all $x \in X$. Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$. Moreover, if $v=T(v)$ then $p(v, v)=\theta$.
Proof. Let $u_{0} \in X$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be defined as follows:

$$
u_{n}=T\left(u_{n-1}\right)=T^{n}\left(u_{0}\right) \text { for } n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

For any positive integer $r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(u_{r}, u_{r+1}\right) & =p\left(u_{r}, T\left(u_{r}\right)\right) \\
& \leq Q\left(u_{r}\right)-Q\left(T\left(u_{r}\right)\right) \\
& =Q\left(u_{r}\right)-Q\left(u_{r+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} p\left(u_{r}, u_{r+1}\right) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\left[Q\left(u_{r}\right)-Q\left(u_{r+1}\right)\right]=Q\left(u_{0}\right)-Q\left(u_{n}\right) \leq Q\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

Since $P$ is regular, the series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p\left(u_{r}, u_{r+1}\right)$ is convergent.
If $m, n \in N, m>n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(u_{n}, u_{m}\right) & \leq p\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right)+p\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\right)+\cdots+p\left(u_{m-1}, u_{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{r=n}^{m-1} p\left(u_{r}, u_{r+1}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p\left(u_{r}, u_{r+1}\right)$ is convergent, by applying Lemma 2.1 (iii), it follows from (2.14) that ( $u_{n}$ )
is Cauchy. By completeness of $(X, d)$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $\lim _{n} u_{n}=v$.
Using continuity of $T$ we have

$$
T(v)=\lim _{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} u_{n+1}=v .
$$

So, $v$ is a fixed point of $T$.
Now,

$$
p(v, v)=p(v, T(v)) \leq Q(v)-Q(T(v))=\theta
$$

implies that $-p(v, v) \in P$.
Also, $p(v, v) \in P$. Since $P \cap(-P)=\theta$, we have $p(v, v)=\theta$.
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#### Abstract

In this article, we define and study the concepts of strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistical convergence of sequence of fuzzy numbers for several relations among them. Keywords : Sequence of fuzzy numbers; Difference sequence; Statistical convergence; Summability.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of the statistical convergence of sequence was introduced by Fast [4] and Schoenberg [12] independently in order to extend the notion of convergence of sequences. It is also found in Zygmund [16]. Later on it was linked with summability by Fridy and Orhan [5], Maddox [9] and many others. In [11] Nuray and Savaş extended the idea to sequences of fuzzy numbers and discussed the concept of statistically Cauchy sequences of fuzzy numbers. On strongly $\lambda$-summability and $\lambda$-statistical convergence can be found in [14]. In this article we extend these notions to difference sequences of fuzzy numbers.

Let $C\left(R^{n}\right)=\left\{A \subset R^{n}: A\right.$ compact and convex\}. The space $C\left(R^{n}\right)$ has a liner structure induced by the operations $A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\lambda A=\{\lambda a: a \in A\}$ for $A, B \in C\left(R^{n}\right)$ and $\lambda \in R$. The Hausdroff distance between $A$ and $B$ of $C\left(R^{n}\right)$ is defined as:

$$
\delta_{\infty}(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in A} \inf _{b \in B}\|a-b\|, \sup _{b \in B} \inf _{a \in A}\|a-b\|\right\} .
$$

Let $L\left(R^{n}\right)$ denote the set of all fuzzy numbers. The linear structure of $L\left(R^{n}\right)$ induces addition $X+Y$ and scalar multiplication $\lambda X, \lambda \in R$, in terms of $\alpha$-level sets, by
$[X+Y]^{\alpha}=[X]^{\alpha}+[Y]^{\alpha}$ and $[\lambda X]^{\alpha}=\lambda[X]^{\alpha}$ for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, where the $\alpha$-level set $[X]^{\alpha}=$ $\left\{x \in R^{n}: X(x) \geq \alpha\right\}$ is a nonempty compact and convex subset of $R^{n}$ and $X$ is a fuzzy number i.e., a function from $R^{n}$ to $[0,1]$ which is normal, fuzzy convex, upper semi-continuous and the closure $X^{0}=\left\{x \in R^{n}: X(x)>0\right\}$ is compact.

Define for each $1 \leq q<\infty$

$$
d_{q}(X, Y)=\left(\int_{0}^{1} \delta_{\infty}\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\alpha}\right)^{q} d_{\infty}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

And $d_{\infty}=\sup _{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \delta_{\infty}\left(X^{\alpha}, Y^{\alpha}\right)$. Clearly $d_{\infty}(X, Y)=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} d_{q}(X, Y)$ with $d_{\mathrm{q}} \leq d_{\mathrm{r}}$ if $q \leq r$. Moreover $d_{\mathrm{q}}$ is a complete, separable and locally compact metric space (see [1]).

Throughout the paper, $d$ will denote $d_{q}$ with $1 \leq q<\infty$.
We now state the following definitions which can be found in $[8,11,13]$.

A sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of fuzzy numbers is a function $X$ from the set $N$ of all positive integers into $L(R)$. The fuzzy number $X_{\mathrm{k}}$ denotes the value of the function at $k \in N$ and is called the $k$-th term or general term of the sequence.

A sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be convergent to the fuzzy number $X_{0}$, written as $\lim _{\mathrm{k}} X_{\mathrm{k}}=$ $X_{0}$, if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in N$ such that

$$
d\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}, X_{0}\right)<\varepsilon \text { for } k>n_{0}
$$

Again $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in N$ such that

$$
d\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}, X_{l}\right)<\varepsilon \text { for } k, l>n_{0}
$$

$\varepsilon>0, \lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \leq n: d\left(X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}=0$ and we write st-lim $X_{\mathrm{k}}=X_{0}$.
Let $Z$ be a real sequence space, then Kizmaz [7] introduced the following difference sequence spaces:

$$
Z(\Delta)=\left\{\left(x_{k}\right) \in w:\left(\Delta^{x_{k}}\right) \in Z\right\}
$$

for $Z=\ell_{\infty}, c, c_{0}$, where $\Delta x_{k}=x_{k_{-}} x_{k+1}$, for all $k \in N$.

## iI. New Definitions and Main Results

In this section we define some new definitions and investigate the main results of this article.
Let $r$ be a non-negative integer. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to $\infty$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{n}}+1, \lambda_{1}=1$. Then the sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable to a fuzzy number $X_{0}$ if
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)=0$, where $I_{\mathrm{n}}=\left[n-\lambda_{n}+1, n\right]$ and $\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}\right)=\left(X_{k}-X_{k-r}\right)$ and $\Delta_{(0)} X_{k}=X_{k}$ for all $k \in N$. For details about the operator, one can refer to Dutta [2, 3] In this expansion it is important to note that we take $X_{k}=\overline{0}$ for non-positive values of $k$.

If we take $r=0$, then strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$-summability reduces to strongly $\lambda$-summability. It is clear that strongly $\lambda$-summability implies strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$-summability.

In particular if we take $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}=n$, for all $n \in N$ then we say $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\Delta(r)$ - Cesàro summable to $X_{0}$.
A sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent to a fuzzy number $X_{0}$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}=0
$$

In particular if we take $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}=n$, for all $n \in N$, then we say that $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - statistically convergent to $X_{0}$.

Again if we take $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}=n$, for all $n \in N, r=0$, then $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergence reduces to statistically convergence. Our next aim is to present some relationship between strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summability and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ statistically convergent.

Theorem 2.1. If a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable then it is $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent.

Proof. Suppose $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable to $X_{0}$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)=0
$$

Now the result follows from the following inequality:

$$
\sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.2. If a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - bounded and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent then it is strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable.

Proof. Suppose $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$-bounded and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent to $X_{0}$. Since $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ bounded, we can find a fuzzy number $M$ such that $d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \leq M$ for all $k \in N$ Again since $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent to $X_{0}$, for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}=0
$$

Now the result follows from the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{\substack{\left.k \in I_{n}, X_{n}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon \\
d\left(\Delta_{r r} X_{n}, X_{0}\right)}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_{n} \\
d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)<\varepsilon}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_{n}} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.3. If a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - bounded and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent then it is strongly $\Delta_{(r)}$ Cesàro summable.

Proof. Proof follows by combining the above Theorem and the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.4. If a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - statistically convergent and $\lim \inf _{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{n}\right)>0$ then it is $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ statistically convergent.

Proof. Assume the given conditions. For a given $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} \subset\left\{k \leq n: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

Hence the proof follows from the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \leq n: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right)\right. & \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{n}}{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{n}: d\left(\Delta_{(r)} X_{k}, X_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. It is easy to see that if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is bounded then it is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - bounded. If $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\lambda$-statistically convergent then it is $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$-statistically convergent. Again if $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\lambda$-summable then it is strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable. Therefore we can replace the phrases 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - summable' by 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is strongly $\lambda$ - summable', 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - bounded and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ statistically convergent' by 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is bounded and $\lambda$ - statistically convergent', 'if a sequence $X=$ $\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - bounded and $\left(\Delta_{(r)}\right)^{\lambda}$ - statistically convergent' by 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is bounded and $\lambda$ statistically convergent' and 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is $\Delta_{(r)}$ - statistically convergent' by 'if a sequence $X=\left(X_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is statistically convergent' respectively in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

## References Références Referencias

1. P. Diamond, P. Kloeden, Metric spaces of fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 35, p. 241-249, 1990.
2. H. Dutta, On some isometric spaces of $c_{0}^{F}, c^{F}$ and $\ell_{\infty}^{F}$, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Vol. 19, 2009, pp. 107-112.
3. H. Dutta, On some complete metric spaces of strongly summable sequences of fuzzy numbers, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico UNIPOLITO, Vol. 68, No. 1, 2010, pp. 29-36.
4. H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math., p. 241-244, 1951.
5. J.A. Fridy, C. Orhan, Statistical limit superior and limit inferior, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 125, p. 3625-3631, 1997.
6. Iqbal H. Jebril, A generalization of strongly Cesaro and strongly lacunary summable spaces, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Vol. 23, 2010, 49-61.
7. H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., Vol. 24, p.168-176, 1981.
8. M. Matloka, Sequences of fuzzy numbers, BUSEFAL, Vol. 28, p. 28-37, 1986.
9. I.J. Maddox, A Tauberian condition for statistical convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., Vol. 106, p. 277280, 1989.
10. S. Nanda, On sequence of fuzzy numbers, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 33, p. 28-37, 1989.
11. F. Nuray, E. Savaş, Statistical convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers, Math. Slovaca, Vol. 45, p. 269-273, 1995.
12. I.J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 66, p. 3621-4375, 1959.
13. E. Savaş, A note on sequence of fuzzy numbers, Inform. Sciences, Vol. 124, p. 297-300, 2000.
14. E. Savaş, On strongly $\lambda$-summable sequences of fuzzy numbers, Inform. Sciences, Vol. 125, p. 181-186, 2000.
15. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control, Vol. 8, p. 338-353, 1965.
16. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Cambridge, Vol. 2, 1993.

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics \& Decision Sciences
Volume 12 Issue 1 Version 1.0 January 2012
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 \& Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# Some Characterizations of Space-Like Rectifying Curves in the Minkowski Space-Time 

By Ahmad T. Ali, Mehmet Ä Onder<br>Celal Bayar University Muradiye Campus, Manisa, TURKEY

Abstract - In this work, a space-like rectifying curve with space-like principal normal in the Minkowski space-time $E_{1}^{4}$ is defined as a curve whose position vector always lies in orthogonal complement $N^{\perp}$ of its principal normal vector field $N$. Also, we characterized such curves in terms of their curvature functions and we obtained the necessary and sficient conditions for such curve to be a rectifying curve.

Keywords : Space-like rectifying curve; Frenet equations; Minkowski space-time.
GJSFR Classification MSC: 14H45; 14H50; 53C40; 53C50

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:


# Some Characterizations of Space-Like Rectifying Curves in the Minkowski Space-Time 

Ahmad T. Ali ${ }^{\alpha}$, Mehmet Ä Onder ${ }^{\Omega}$


#### Abstract

In this work, a space-like rectifying curve with space-like principal normal in the Minkowski space-time $E_{1}^{4}$ is defined as a curve whose position vector always lies in orthogonal complement $N^{\perp}$ of its principal normal vector field $N$. Also, we characterized such curves in terms of their curvature functions and we obtained the necessary and sficient conditions for such curve to be a rectifying curve.


Keywords : Space-like rectifying curve; Frenet equations; Minkowski space-time.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Lorentzian geometry helps to bridge the gab between modern differential geometry and the mathematical physics of general relativity by giving an invariant treatment of Lorentzian geometry. The fact that relativity theory is expressed in terms of Lorentzian geometry is attractive for geometers, who can penetrate surprising quickly into cosmology (redshift, expanding universe and big bang) and a topic no less interesting geometrically, the gravitation of a single star (perihelion procession, bending of light and black holes) [18]. Despite its long history, the theory of curve is still one of the most important interesting topics in a differential geometry and its is being study by many mathematicians until now, see for example $[1,2,3,4,5,16,19,21,24]$.

In the Euclidean space $E^{3}$, rectifying curves are introduced by Chen in [7] as space curves whose position vector always lies in its rectifying plane, spanned by the tangent and the binormal vector fields $\vec{T}$ and $\vec{B}$ of the curve. Therefore, the position vector $\vec{\alpha}$ of a rectifying curve satisfies the equation

$$
\vec{\alpha}(s)=\lambda(s) \vec{T}(s)+\mu(s) \vec{B}(s),
$$

for some differentiable functions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in arclength function $s$. The Euclidean rectifying curves are studied in [7, 8]. In particular, it is shown in [8] that there exists a simple relationship between the rectifying curves and the centrodes, which play some important roles in mechanics, kinematics as well as in differential geometry in defining the curves of constant precession. The rectifying curves are also studied in [8] as the extremal curves. In the Minkowski 3 -space $E_{1}^{3}$, the rectifying curves are investigated in [10]. The rectifying curves are also studied in [11] as the centrodes and extremal curves. In the Euclidean 4 -space $E^{4}$, the rectifying curves are investigated in [9].

In analogy with the rectifying curve, the curve whose position vector always lies in its normal plane spanned by the principal normal and the binormal vector fields $\vec{N}$ and $\vec{B}$ of the curve is called normal curve in Euclidean 3space $E^{3}$ and it is well known that normal curves are spherical curves in $E^{3}$ [8]. Similar definition and characterizations of space-like, time-like (and also null) and dual time-like normal curves are given in references [10, 11, 17]. The space-like normal curve in Minkowski 4 -space $E_{1}^{4}$ is defined in [14] as a curve whose position vector always lies in the orthogonal complement $\vec{T}^{\perp}$ of its tangent vector field $\vec{T}$ which is given by

$$
\vec{T}^{\perp}=\left\{\vec{W} \in E_{1}^{4} \mid g(\vec{W}, \vec{T})=0\right\}
$$

In [6], Camci and others have shown that a space - like curve lies in pseudohyperbolic space $H_{0}^{3}$ iff the following equation holds

$$
\vec{\alpha}-m=-\left(1 / k_{1}\right) \vec{N}-\left(1 / k_{2}\right)\left(1 / k_{1}\right)^{\prime} \overrightarrow{B_{1}}+\left(1 / k_{3}\right)\left[k_{2} / k_{1}+\left(\left(1 / k_{2}\right)\left(1 / k_{1}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right] \overrightarrow{B_{2}},
$$

Where $m$ is constant, $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are the first, the second and the third curvatures of the curve $\alpha$, respectively. By using the definition of space-like normal curves in Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$ and the last equality, it follows that every space-like curve lying in pseudohy-perbolic space $H_{0}^{3}$ is a normal curve in Minkowski 4-space.

In this paper, in analogy with the Minkowski 3-dimensional case, we define the rectifying curve in the Minkowski 4 -space $E_{1}^{4}$ as a curve whose position vector always lies in the orthogonal complement $\vec{N} \perp$ of its principal normal vector field $\vec{N}$ Consequently, $\vec{N}^{\perp}$ is given by

$$
\vec{N}^{\perp}=\left\{\vec{W} \in E_{1}^{4} \mid g(\vec{W}, \vec{N})=0\right\}
$$

[^3]Where $g(.,$.$) denotes the standard pseudo scalar product in E_{1}^{4}$. Hence $\vec{N}^{\perp}$ is a 3 -dimensional subspace of $E_{1}^{4}$, spanned by the tangent, the first binormal and the second binormal vector fields $\vec{T}, \vec{B}_{1}$ and $\vec{B}_{2}$ respectively. Therefore, the position vector with respect to some chosen origin, of a space-like rectifying curve $\vec{\alpha}$ in Minkowski space-time $E_{1}^{4}$, satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\alpha}(s)=\lambda(s) \vec{T}(s)+\mu(s) \vec{B}_{1}(s)+\nu(s) \vec{B}_{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some differentiable functions $\lambda(s), \mu(s)$ and $\nu(s)$ in arclength function $s$. Next, characterize space-like rectifying curves in terms of their curvature functions $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ and give the necessary and the sufficient conditions for arbitrary curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ to be a rectifying. Moreover, we obtain an explicit equation of a space-like rectifying curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ and give the relation between rectifying and normal space-like curves in $E_{1}^{4}$.

## iI. Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare basic notations on Minkowski space-time $E_{1}^{4}$. Let $\vec{\alpha}: I \subset R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be arbitrary curve in the Minkowski space - time $E_{1}^{4}$. Recall that the curve $\vec{\alpha}$ is said to be unit speed (or parameterized by arclength function $s$ ) if $g\left(\vec{\alpha}^{\prime}, \vec{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)= \pm 1$, where $g(.,$.$) denotes the standard pseudo scalar product in E_{1}^{4}$ given by

$$
g(\vec{v}, \vec{w})=-v_{1} w_{1}+v_{2} w_{2}+v_{3} w_{3}+v_{4} w_{4},
$$

for each $\vec{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right) \in E_{1}^{4}$ and $\vec{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \in E_{1}^{4}$. An arbitrary vector $\vec{v} \in E_{1}^{4}$ can have one of three Lorentzian causal characters; it can be space - like if $g(\vec{v}, \vec{v})>0$ or $\vec{v}=0$, time - like if and null (light-like) if $g(\vec{v}, \vec{v})=0$ and $\vec{v} \neq 0$. Similarly, an arbitrary curve $\vec{\alpha}=\vec{\alpha}(s)$ can locally be space-like, timelike or null (light-like), if all of its velocity vectors $\vec{\alpha}^{\prime}(s)$ are respectively space-like, time-like or null (light-like). Also recall that the pseudo-norm of an arbitrary vector $\vec{v} \in E_{1}^{4}$ is given by $\|\vec{v}\|=\sqrt{|g(\vec{v}, \vec{v})|}$. Therefore $\vec{v}$ is a unit is a unit vector if $g(\vec{v}, \vec{v})= \pm 1$. The velocity of the curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is given by $\left\|\vec{\alpha}^{\prime}(s)\right\|$. Next, vectors $\vec{v}, \vec{w}$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ are said to be orthogonal if $g(\vec{v}, \vec{w})=0$.
Denote by $\left\{\vec{T}(s), \vec{N}(s), \vec{B}_{1}(s), \vec{B}_{2}(s)\right\}$ the moving Frenet frame along the curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ in the space $E_{1}^{4}$, where $\vec{T}(s)$, $\vec{N}(s), \vec{B}_{1}(s)$ and $\vec{B}_{2}(s)$ are the tangent, principal normal, the first binormal and second binormal fields, respectively. For an arbitrary space - like curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ with space - like principal normal $\vec{N}$ in the space $E_{1}^{4}$, the following Frenet formula are given in [23, 20, 6, 15, 22, 25]:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{T}^{\prime}  \tag{2}\\
\vec{N}^{\prime} \\
\vec{B}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vec{B}_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \kappa_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\kappa_{1} & 0 & \kappa_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\varepsilon \kappa_{2} & 0 & \kappa_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \kappa_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{T} \\
\vec{N} \\
\vec{B}_{1} \\
\vec{B}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\vec{B}_{1}, \vec{B}_{1}\right)=-g\left(\vec{B}_{2}, \vec{B}_{2}\right)=\varepsilon= \pm 1, \quad g(\vec{T}, \vec{T})=g(\vec{N}, \vec{N})=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the functions $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ are called respectively, the first, the second and the third curvatures of space-like curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$. Here, $\varepsilon$ determines the kind of space - like curve $\alpha(s)$. If $\varepsilon=1$, then $\alpha(s)$ is a sp ace like curve with space - like first binormal $\vec{B}_{1}$ and time - like second binormal $B_{2}$. If $\varepsilon=-1$, then $\alpha(s)$ is a space-like curve with time - like first binormal $\vec{B}_{1}$ and space - like second binormal $B_{2}$. If $\kappa_{3}(s) \neq 0$ for each $s \in I \subset R$, the curve $\vec{\alpha}$ lies fully in $E_{1}^{4}$. Recall that the pseudohyperbolic space $H_{0}^{3}(1)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$, centered at the origin, is the hyperquadric defined by

$$
H_{0}^{3}(1)=\left\{\vec{X} \in E_{1}^{4} \mid g(\vec{X}, \vec{X})=-1\right\} .
$$

Recently, Yilmaz et al. [27, 26] defined a vector product in Minkowski spacetime $\mathbf{E}_{1}^{4}$ as follows:
Definition 2.1. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$ and $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right)$ be vectors in $\boldsymbol{E}_{1}^{4}$. The vector product in Minkowski space -time $E_{1}^{4}$ is defined by the determinant

$$
a \wedge b \wedge c=-\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} & e_{4}  \tag{4}\\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & b_{4} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & c_{3} & c_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ are mutually orthogonal vectors (coordinate direction vectors) satistying equations

$$
e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3}=e_{4}, e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{4}=e_{1}, e_{3} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{1}=e_{2}, e_{4} \wedge e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=-e_{3}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$ and $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right)$ be vectors in $\boldsymbol{E}_{1}^{4}$. From the definition of vector product, there is a property in Minkowski space - time $E_{1}^{4}$ as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(a \wedge b \wedge c, a)=g(a \wedge b \wedge c, b)=g(a \wedge b \wedge c, c)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of above lemma is elementary.

## ili. Some Characterizations of Rectifying Curves In $E_{1}^{4}$

In this section, we firstly characterize the space-like rectifying curves with space-like principal normal in Minkowski space - time in terms of their curvatures. Let $\vec{\alpha}=\vec{\alpha}(s)$ be a unit speed space - like rectifying curve in $E_{1}^{4}$, with non-zero curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ By definition, the position vector of the curve $\vec{\alpha}$ sacrifies the equation (1), for some differentiable functions $\lambda(s), \mu(s)$ and $\nu(s)$. Differentiating the equation (1) with respect to $s$ and using the Frenet equations (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{T}=\lambda^{\prime} \vec{T}+\left(\lambda \kappa_{1}-\varepsilon \mu \kappa_{2}\right) \vec{N}+\left(\mu^{\prime}+\nu \kappa_{3}\right) \vec{B}_{1}+\left(\nu^{\prime}+\mu \kappa_{3}\right) \vec{B}_{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{\prime}=1, \\
& \lambda \kappa_{1}-\varepsilon \mu \kappa_{2}=0, \\
& \mu^{\prime}+\nu \kappa_{3}=0,  \tag{7}\\
& \nu^{\prime}+\mu \kappa_{3}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=s+c \\
& \mu=\varepsilon \frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}},  \tag{8}\\
& \nu=-\varepsilon \frac{\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{2}(s)+(s+c)\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(s) \kappa_{2}(s)-\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)}{\kappa_{2}^{2}(s) \kappa_{3}(s)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $c \in R$. In this way the functions $\lambda(s), \mu(s)$ and $\nu(s)$ are expressed in terms of the curvature functions $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ of the curve $\alpha(s)$. Moreover, by using the last equation in (7) and relation (8) we easily find that the curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{3}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}-\left[\frac{\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{2}(s)+(s+c)\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(s) \kappa_{2}(s)-\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)}{\kappa_{2}^{2}(s) \kappa_{3}(s)}\right]^{\prime}=0, \quad c \in R . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (9) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}-\frac{1}{\kappa_{3}(s)} \frac{d}{d s}\left[\frac{1}{\kappa_{3}(s)} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we change the variable $s$ by the variable $t$ as the following

$$
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{3}(s)} \frac{d}{d s} \text { or } t=\int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s
$$

the equation (10) takes the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}-\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left[\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}\right]=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

General solution of this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}=\varepsilon\left(A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary constants. Then from (8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(s)=s+c \\
& \mu(s)=A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s  \tag{13}\\
& \nu(s)=-\left(A \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, assume that the curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ of an arbitrary unit speed space - like curve in $E_{1}^{4}$, satisfy the equation (12). Let us consider the vector $\vec{X} \in E_{1}^{4}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{X}(s) & =\vec{\alpha}(s)-(s+c) \vec{T}(s)-\left(A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \vec{B}_{1}(s)  \tag{14}\\
& +\left(A \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \vec{B}_{2}(s)
\end{align*}
$$

By using the relations (2) and (12), we easily find $\vec{X}^{\prime}(s)=0$, which means that $\vec{X}$ is a constant vector. This implies that $\alpha(s)$ is congruent to a rectifying curve. In this way, the following theorem is proved.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ be unit speed space - like curve with space-like principal normal in $E_{1}^{4}$ and with non -zero curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$.Then $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is congruent to a space -like rectifying curve if and only if

$$
\frac{\kappa_{1}(s)(s+c)}{\kappa_{2}(s)}=\varepsilon\left(A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) .
$$

In particular, assume that all curvature functions $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$, and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ of space - like rectifying curve, $\vec{\alpha}$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ are constant and different from zero. Then equation (9) easily implies a contradiction. Hence we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. There are no space-like rectifying curves with space - like principal normal Iying in $E_{1}^{4}$, with non-zero constant curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$.
In the next theorem, we give the necessary and the suficient conditions for the space - like curve $\alpha(s)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ to be a rectifying curve.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha(s)$ be unit speed space-like rectifying curve with space-like prini-pal normal in $E_{1}^{4}$, with nonzero curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$. Then the following statements hold:
(i) The distance function $\rho(s)=\|\vec{\alpha}(s)\|$ satisfies $\rho^{2}(s)=s^{2}+c_{1} s+c_{2}, c_{1} \in R$ and $c_{2} \in R_{0}$.
(ii) The tangential component of the position vector of the space-like rectitying curve is given by $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s))=$ $s+c, c \in R$.
(iii) The normal component $\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)$ of the position vector of the space - like rectifying curve has constant length and the distance function $\rho(s)$ is non-constant.
(iv) The first binormal component and the second binormal component of the position vector of the space-like rectifying curve are respectively given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{B}_{1}(s)\right)=\varepsilon\left(A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \\
& g\left(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{B}_{2}(s)\right)=\varepsilon\left(A \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s-B \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is a unit speed curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ with non - zero curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ and one of the statements (i), (ii), (iii) or (iv) holds, then $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is a space - like rectifying curve.
Proof. Let us first suppose that $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is a unit speed space - like rectifying curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ with non - zero curvatures $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$. The position vector of the curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ satisfies the equation (1), where the functions $\lambda(s), \mu(s)$ and $\nu(s)$ satisfy relation (13). From relation (1) and (13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha}) & =\lambda^{2}+\varepsilon\left(\mu^{2}(s)-\nu^{2}(s)\right),  \tag{16}\\
& =(s+c)^{2}+\varepsilon\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\rho^{2}(s)=s^{2}+c_{1} s+c_{2}, c_{1} \in R$ and $c_{2} \in R_{0}$, which proves statement (i).
But using the relations (1) and (8) we easily get $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s))=s+c, c \in R$, so the statement (ii) is proved.

Note that the position vector of an arbitrary curve $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ can be decomposed as $\vec{\alpha}(s)=$ $m(s) \vec{T}(s)+\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)$, where $m(s)$ is arbitrary differentiable function and $\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)$ is the normal component of the position vector. If $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ is a space - like rectifying curve, relation (1) implies $\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)=\mu(s) \vec{B}_{1}(s)+\nu(s) \vec{B}_{2}(s)$ and therefore $g\left(\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s), \vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mu^{2}(s)-\nu^{2}(s)\right)$.Moreover, by using (13), we find $\left\|\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)\right\|=\varepsilon\left(A^{2}-B^{2}\right)=a$, $a \in R$. By statement (i), $\rho(s)$ is non -constant function, which proves statement (iii).
Finally, using (1), (3) and (13) we easily obtain (15), which proves statement (iv).
Conversely, assume that statement (i) holds. Then $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s))=s^{2}+c_{1} s+c_{2}, c_{1} \in R, c_{2} \in R$
By differentiating the previous equation two times with respect to $s$ and using (2), we obtain $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{N}(s))=0$, which implies that $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space - like rectifying curve.
If statement (ii) holds, in a similar way it follows that $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space - like rectifying curve.
If statement (iii) holds, let us put $\vec{\alpha}(s)=m(s) \vec{T}(s)+\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)$, where $m(s)$ is arbitrary differentiable function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s), \vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)\right)=g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s))-2 g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s)) m(s)+m^{2}(s) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s))=m(s)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\vec{\alpha}^{N}(s), \vec{\alpha}^{N}(s)\right)=g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s))-g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s))^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s))=\rho^{2}(s) \neq$ constant. Defferentiating the previous equation with respect to $s$ and using (2), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{1}(s) g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{T}(s)) g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{N}(s))=0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{N}(s))=0$ and hence the space - like curve $\vec{\alpha}$ is a rectifying.
If the statement (iv) holds, by taking the derivative of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{B}_{1}(s)\right)=\varepsilon\left(A \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to $s$ and using (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varepsilon \kappa_{2}(s) g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{N}(s))+\kappa_{3} g\left(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{B}_{2}(s)\right)=\varepsilon \kappa_{3}\left(A \sinh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s+B \cosh \int_{0}^{s} \kappa_{3}(s) d s\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (15), the last equation becomes $g(\vec{\alpha}(s), \vec{N}(s))=0$, which means that $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space - like rectifying curve. This proves the theorem.
In the next theorem, we find the parametric equation of a rectifying curve.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\alpha: I \subset R \rightarrow E_{1}^{4}$ be a space - like curve with space -like principal normal in $E_{1}^{4}$ given by $\vec{\alpha}$ $(t)=\rho(t) \vec{y}(t)$ where $\rho(t)$ is an arbitrary positive function and $\vec{y}(t)$ is a unit speed space - like curve lying in pseudohyperbolic space $H_{0}^{3}(1)$. Then $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space -like rectifying curve if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t)=\frac{a}{\cosh \left(t+t_{0}\right)}, \quad a \in R_{0}, \quad t_{0} \in R \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\vec{\alpha}$ be a curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\alpha}(t)=\rho(t) \vec{y}(t) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(t)$ is arbitrary positive function and $\vec{y}(t)$ is a unit speed space - like curve in the pseudohyperbolic space $H_{0}^{3}(1)$.. By taking the derivative of the previous equation with respect to $t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)=\rho^{\prime}(t) \vec{y}(t)+\rho(t) \vec{y}^{\prime}(t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the unit tangent vector of $\vec{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{T}=\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{v(t)} \vec{y}(t)+\frac{\rho(t)}{v(t)} \vec{y}^{\prime}(t) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(t)=\left\|\vec{\alpha}^{\prime}(t)\right\|$ is the speed of $\vec{\alpha}$. Differentiating the equation (25) with respect to $t$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{T}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{v}\right)^{\prime} \vec{y}+\left(\frac{2 \rho^{\prime}}{v}-\frac{\rho \rho^{\prime}\left(\rho+\rho^{\prime \prime}\right)}{v^{3}}\right) \vec{y}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\rho}{v}\right) \vec{y}^{\prime \prime} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\vec{Y}$ be the unit vector field in $E_{1}^{4}$ satisfying the equations $g(\vec{Y}, \vec{y})=g\left(\vec{Y}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then $\left\{\vec{y}, \vec{y}^{\prime}, \vec{Y}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime}\right.$ $\wedge \vec{Y}\}$ is orthonormal frame in $E_{1}^{4}$. Therefore decomposition of $\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}$ with respect the frame $\left\{\vec{y}, \vec{y}^{\prime}, \vec{Y}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}\right\}$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}= & g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y}\right) g(\vec{y}, \vec{y}) \vec{y}+g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right) g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right) \vec{y}^{\prime}+g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{Y}\right) g(\vec{Y}, \vec{Y}) \vec{Y} \\
& +g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}\right) g\left(\vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}\right) \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g(\vec{y}, \vec{y})=-1$ and $g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right)=1$, it follows that $g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y}\right)=-1$ and $g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right)=0$, so the equation (27) the equation (27) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}=\vec{y}+g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{Y}\right) \vec{Y}+g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}\right) \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (28) into (26) and applying Frenet formulas for arbitrary speed curves in $E_{1}^{4}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{1} v \vec{N} & =\left[\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{v}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\rho}{v}\right] \vec{y}+\left(\frac{2 \rho^{\prime}}{v}-\frac{\rho \rho^{\prime}\left(\rho+\rho^{\prime \prime}\right)}{v^{3}}\right) \vec{y}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{\rho}{v}\right) g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{Y}\right) \vec{Y}  \tag{29}\\
& +\frac{g\left(\vec{y}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{y} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y}\right)}{v} \vec{\alpha} \wedge \vec{y}^{\prime} \wedge \vec{Y} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g(\vec{y}, \vec{y})=-1$, we have $g\left(\vec{y}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and thus $g\left(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{y}^{\prime}\right)=0$. We also have $g(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{Y})=0$. By definition, $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space - like rectifying curve in $E_{1}^{4}$ if and only if $g(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{N})=0$. Therefore, after taking the scalar product of (29) with $\vec{\alpha}$, we have $g(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{N})=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{v}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\rho}{v}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solutions are $\rho(t)=\frac{a}{\cosh \left(t+t_{0}\right)}$ or $\rho(t)=\frac{a}{\sinh \left(t+t_{0}\right)}, a \in R_{0}, t \in R$. Since, $g(\vec{T}, \vec{T})=1$, it follows that $\rho(t)=\frac{a}{\cosh \left(t+t_{0}\right)}$ is the only solution. This proves the theorem.

In Theorem 3.4, since $\vec{y}(s)$ is a unit speed space - like curve in the pseudohyperbolic space $H_{0}^{3}(1), \vec{y}(s)$ is a space - like normal curve in Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$. So, Theorem 3.4 gives the relation between space-like rectifying and space-like normal curves in Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$. Then we can give the following corollary.
Corollary 3.5. In Minkowski 4-space $E_{1}^{4}$, the construction of the space - like rectifying curve with space-like principal normal can be made by using the space-like normal curves.
Example: Let us consider the curve

$$
\vec{\alpha}(s)=\frac{a}{\cosh \left(s+s_{0}\right)}(\sqrt{2} \cosh (s / \sqrt{3}), \sqrt{2} \sinh (s / \sqrt{3}), \sin (s / \sqrt{3}), \cos (s / \sqrt{3})),
$$

where $a \in R_{0}, s_{0} \in R$ in $E_{1}^{4}$ This curve has a form $\vec{\alpha}(s)=\rho(s) \vec{y}(s)$ where $\rho(s)=\frac{a}{\cosh \left(s+s_{0}\right)}$ and $\vec{y}(s)=(\sqrt{2} \cosh (s / \sqrt{3}), \sqrt{2} \sinh (s / \sqrt{3}), \sin (s / \sqrt{3}), \cos (s / \sqrt{3}))$ Since $g(\vec{y}(s), \vec{y}(s))=-1$ and $\left\|\vec{y}^{\prime}(s)\right\|=1$, $\vec{y}(s)$ is a unit speed space-like curve in pseudohyper-bolic space $H_{0}^{3}(1)$. According to theorem 3.4, $\vec{\alpha}$ is a space like rectifying curve lying fully in $E_{1}^{4}$.
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Abstract-In this article, we consider a continuous review perishable inventory system with instantaneous replenishment policy. The status of perishable item in inventory is assumed to be in any one of the three stages good, average and damaged. The demand process is assumed to be Poisson, replenishment is instantaneous and the deterioration process is prescribed by certain transition probability matrix. Various stationary system performance measures are obtained. The total system maintenance cost rate is calculated and an optimal value of the S is obtained.. The results are illustrated numerically.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The classical inventory theory did not take in to accounts items that have finite lifetime (deteriorating items). However, items stocked in real life situations are subject to perishability due to excessive storage time or because of technology/style of change (obsolescence) occur. Examples for perishable items include certain foods, chemical, medicines, seasonal products and so on. Analysis of inventory systems stocking perishable items has been the theme of many researchers in the last three decades. The often quoted review articles of Nahmias (1982) and Rafat (1991) provide excellent summaries of many of the perishable inventory models. A recent comprehensive review paper focusing on the management of items with finite shelf life is published by Karesman et al. (2009). According to their classification three different kinds of perishable inventory problems have been studied earlier. Continuous review models ; (i) without fixed ordering cost, zero lead time, (ii) without fixed ordering cost, positive lead time, (iii) with fixed ordering cost, zero lead time.
Category (i) problem was studied by Graves (1982), who assumed that items are continuously produced, perish after a deterministic time and that demand follows a compound Poisson process.

[^4]Category (ii) problem was studied first by Pal (1989) who investigated the system with (S-1, S) policy. Nahimias et al. (2004), analyse the same type of problems with emphasise on the performance measures rather than cost optimization.

Category (iii) problem originated by Weiss (1980) is most relevant for our paper. Lian et al. (2005) considered discrete demand for items and time to perish is either fixed or that follows a phase type distribution.

Our model is almost close to this model in terms of deterioration process. We consider an inventory system in which each item has an exponential life time and deterioration process passes through three different stages (good, average and damaged). We indicate the states with numerical indices 1, 2 and 3 respectively. It is also assumed that damaged (completely unusable) items were removed from stock at review time (demand epoch). Demand process is assumed to be Poisson and the replenishment is instantaneous (zero lead time). Fixed ordering cost K and holding cost h are assumed.

## II. Problem Formulation

Consider an inventory system which stocks perishable items whose life time is exponentially distributed having three states (sojourn time of each state is exponentially distributed). Demand process follows a Poisson process with unit demand at a time, Identify the three states as good, average and damaged with numerical indicators 1,2 and 3 respectively. The damaged items have to be removed immediately after the current demand is satisfied at time points (review epochs). The following assumptions are made:

Demand process is assumed to be Poisson with parameter $\boldsymbol{\lambda}>\mathbf{0}$.

The replenishment is assumed to be instantaneous with ( $0, S$ ) policy, where $S$ is the maximum inventory level. Transition from one state of the inventory item to another in the process of deterioration during demand processing time is a random- phenomena with transition probabilities are given by the matrix $P=\left(p_{i j}\right), i, j=1,2,3$.

## iII. MODEL DESCRIPTION AND <br> ANALYSIS

Let I ( t ) and $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t})$ denote the number of items in stock and the status of the perished items in inventory
respectively at time ' t '. Then $\{(1(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t}))$; $\mathrm{t}>0\}$ is a two dimensional stochastic process with state space.

$$
E=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i, j): i=S, S-1, S-2, \ldots . ., 1 \\
j=1,2,3
\end{array}\right.
$$

The embedded Markov chain $\left\{\left(I_{n}, S_{n}\right) ; n \geq 0\right\}$, where $I_{n}$ denote the inventory level when the $n$th demand occurs and $\mathrm{S}_{n}$, the status (stages) of the perishable item at that time.

The one step transition between stages of perishing is given by the tpm
$\boldsymbol{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\boldsymbol{P}_{11} & \boldsymbol{P}_{12} & \boldsymbol{P}_{13} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{P}_{22} & \boldsymbol{P}_{23} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}\end{array}\right]$,
where $P_{11}=1-\left(P_{12}+P_{13}\right) ; P_{22}=1-P_{23} ; P_{33}=1$.
Thus the two dimensional Markov process $\{(1(t), S(t)) ; \mathrm{t}>0\}$ has transitions from one state to another as business cycle advances. From the assumptions we made on the input and output processes (replenishment and demand), it can be shown that the transition probabilities $\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{(i, k)}^{(j, l)}(\boldsymbol{t})\right)$, of the Markov process has the derivative at time $t=0$. The intensity of transitions from state ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}$ ) to ( $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{I}$ ) is defined as $\quad \boldsymbol{q}_{(i, k)}^{(j, l)}=\frac{\boldsymbol{d}}{\boldsymbol{d} t} \boldsymbol{p}_{(i, k)}^{(j . l)}(\boldsymbol{t}) / \boldsymbol{t}=\mathbf{0}$.

Now the infinitesimal generator, $\boldsymbol{Q}=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{(i, k)}^{(j, l)}\right)$ of the Markov process be defined with intensity of transition defined as follows: System transition takes place from state:

- (i, k) to ( $\mathrm{i}-1, \mathrm{k}$ ) with rate $\boldsymbol{\lambda}>\mathbf{0}$ for $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$.
- (i, k) to (i-1, k+1) with rate $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}(\boldsymbol{k}+1)}$ for $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$.
- (i, 3) to (S-1, 1) with rate $\boldsymbol{\lambda}>\mathbf{0}$.

Infinitesimal generator (rate matrix) $Q$ can be conveniently expressed as a block partitioned matrix $\boldsymbol{Q}=\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{i j}\right)$ where, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \ldots \mathrm{~S}$.

$$
Q_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & j=i=S \\
A & j=i, i=S-1, S-2, . .1 \\
\Lambda_{P} & j=i-1, i=S, S-1, \ldots 2 \\
\Lambda_{0} & j=S, i=S-1, \ldots 2 \\
\Lambda_{1} & i=1, j=S . \\
0, \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\boldsymbol{B} & \wedge_{P} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \ldots & \mathbf{0} \\
\wedge_{0} & \boldsymbol{A} & \wedge_{P} & \mathbf{0} & \ldots & \mathbf{0} \\
\wedge_{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{A} & \wedge_{P} \ldots & \mathbf{0} \\
\wedge_{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{A} & \ldots . & \Lambda_{p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\Lambda_{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \cdots & \boldsymbol{A}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & -\lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right] \\
& \wedge_{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda \boldsymbol{P}_{11} & \lambda P_{12} & \lambda P_{13} \\
\mathbf{0} & \lambda P_{22} & \lambda P_{23} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right] \\
& A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & -\lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right]=-\lambda I \\
& \wedge_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] . \\
& \wedge_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the state space of the Markov chain is finite, the states of the system are recurrent non-null and aperiodic. So by limiting probability arguments the Markov chain embedded in the process is ergodic. Let the steady state probability distribution of the states of the system ( $\pi_{\mathrm{j}}$ ), exists and can be obtained by solving the matrix equation

$$
\pi Q=0 .
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\left(\pi_{s}, \pi_{s-1}, \ldots, \pi_{1}\right)$, where each $\pi_{j}=\left(\pi_{j}{ }^{(1)}, \pi_{j}{ }^{(2)}, \pi_{j}{ }^{(3)}\right)$, with reference to the perished state of the system. Here the inventory means the on hand inventory, (not the position inventory). Now we get a system of matrix equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{s} B+\sum_{i=1}^{S-2} \pi_{S-i} \Lambda_{0}+\pi_{1} \Lambda_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \\
\pi_{j} \Lambda_{p}+\pi_{j-1} A=0, j=S, S-1, \ldots, 2
\end{gathered}
$$

Assuming the initial probability vector $\pi_{s}=\left(\pi_{s}^{(\mathbf{1})}, \pi_{s}^{(2)}, \pi_{s}^{(3)}\right)$, we are able to get the solution for the above system of equations using recurrence method together with normalizing equation

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \pi_{i}^{(j)}\right)=1
$$

The solution in terms of $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\pi_{k}=(-1)^{S+k} \pi_{S}\left(\Lambda_{P}\right)^{S-k} A^{-S+k}, 1 \leq k \leq S-1 \\
\text { And } \pi_{S}=1^{\prime}\left(I+\sum_{j=1}^{S-1} M_{j}\right)^{-1} \text {, where } \mathbf{1}^{\prime}=(\mathbf{1}, 1,1) \text { and } \\
M_{K}=(-\mathbf{1})^{S+k}\left(\Lambda_{p}\right)^{S-k} A^{-S+k}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## IV. System Performance Measures

The system performance measures of the perishable inventory system we considered can be obtained using the steady state probability vector $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{i}}{ }^{(\boldsymbol{j})}, \boldsymbol{i}=1,2,3, \ldots S$ and $j=1,2,3$.

## a) Mean inventory level

Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{L}}$ denote the mean inventory level of the system in steady state. Then

$$
\bar{L}=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} i \pi_{i}^{(j)}\right)
$$

## b) Mean Reordering rate

The inventory maintained in the system is of perishable nature with three different states $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3$, and the last state $\mathrm{j}=3$ represent the 'damaged' state of the items in inventory. All items with this condition can be removed from the system immediately after the supply of the demanded item to the customer. Simultaneously the instantaneous replenishment takes place for $S$ items with zero lead time ( $(0, S)$ policy assumed). By the above arguments we can establish that the reorder rate ' $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ' for the system is given by

$$
\beta=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \pi_{1}^{(j)}+\sum_{i=2}^{s} \pi_{i}^{(3)}\right) \lambda
$$

## V. Cost Optimization

The total cost incurred for the proposed system can be obtained with the assumption of proper cost structure as follows.

1. The reorder cost be ' $K$ ' per order per unit time.
2. The holding cost is ' $h$ ' per item per unit time.

Thus the total cost per unit time [total cost rate] is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{TCU}(S) & =h \bar{L}+K \beta \\
= & h \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} i \pi_{i}^{j}\right)+K\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \pi_{1}^{(j)}+\sum_{i=2}^{s} \pi_{i}^{(3)}\right) \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

The convexity of the total cost rate function $T C U(S)$ cannot be proved analytically, due to its complex form. Hence a detailed computational study of the cost function is carried out and try to get the optimal solution S*(optimum ordering quantity) by implementing proper searching algorithms. The criterion used here is the minimization of total expected cost rate. Consider the numerical example with following parameters and transition probability matrix for the deterioration process:

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.5 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
0 & 0.7 & 0.3 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The system parameters $\mathrm{S}, \lambda$, and the cost parameters K and h are varied and the corresponding expected total cost rates are obtained using the system performance measures we derived in the previous section IV.

All cost rates together with its optimal values are given in tables-1, 2 and 3 . Table- 1 shows the expected total costs for fixed parameters $K=100$ and $h=3, S$ varied from 4 to 10 and $\lambda$ varied from 2 to 5 . The local convex nature of the total expected cost rate function yields the following optimal pair of system parameters

$$
\left(\lambda, S^{*}\right):\{(2,8),(3,6),(4,5),(5,5)\}
$$

Table-2 shows the expected total costs for fixed parameters $\quad \lambda=2, \mathrm{~h}=3, \mathrm{~S}$ varied from 4 to 10 and K varied from 25 to 100 with step 25 . The local convex nature of the expected cost rate function yields the following optimal pair of system parameters:

$$
\left(K, S^{*}\right):\{(25,5),(50,6),(75,7),(100,8)\}
$$

Table-1 $(\mathrm{K}=100$, and $\mathrm{h}=3)$

| Total expected cost rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 |
| 4 | 283.5396106 | 218.2797080 | 153.0198053 | 87.75990265 |
| 5 | 267.0650956 | 207.0488217 | 147.0325478 | 87.01627391 |
| 6 | 258.4846614 | 201.7384961 | 144.9923307 | 88.24616535 |
| 7 | 254.4437136 | 199.8327852 | 145.2218568 | 90.61092840 |
| 8 | 253.2166870 | 200.0375153 | 146.8583435 | 93.67917178 |
| 9 | 253.8151260 | 201.6113445 | 149.4075630 | 97.20378150 |
| 1 | 255.6312430 | 204.0984323 | 152.5656215 | 101.0328108 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |

Table-3 ( $\mathrm{K}=100$, and $\lambda=2$ )

| Sh | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 283.5396106 | 291.0396106 | 298.5396106 | 306.0396106 |
| 5 | 267.0650956 | 276.0650956 | 285.0650956 | 294.0650956 |
| 6 | 258.4846614 | 268.9846614 | 279.4846614 | 289.9846614 |
| 7 | 254.4437136 | 266.4437136 | 278.4437136 | 290.4437136 |
| 8 | 253.2166870 | 266.7166870 | 280.2166871 | 293.7166871 |
| 9 | 253.8151260 | 268.8151260 | 283.8151260 | 298.8151260 |
| 10 | 255.6312430 | 272.1312430 | 288.6312431 | 305.1312431 |

Table-3 shows the expected total costs for fixed parameters, $\mathrm{K}=100$ and $\lambda=2$, S varied from 4 to 10 and $h$ varied from 3 to 6 . The local convex nature of the total expected cost rate function yields the following optimal pair of system parameters:

$$
\left(h, S^{\star}\right): \quad\{(3,8),(4,7),(5,7),(6,6)\} .
$$

The above sensitivity analysis shows that the expected total cost rate is sensitive to both the system parameters $\mathrm{S}, \lambda$ and the cost parameters K and h .

## VII. CONCLUSION

Here we formulated and analyzed a perishable inventory system with ( $0, \mathrm{~S}$ ) policy. The different stages of deterioration are considered (good, average, damaged). This model dealing with perishable inventory control system is tractable because of the assumed instantaneous replenishment ( $(0, \mathrm{~S})$ policy). But in reality there exist a positive lead time. So further work in direction is possible by generalizing the policy to ( $s, S$ ) type with ordering quantities $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{s}$. This batch ordering policy may increase the complexity of the problem and hence the tractability of the system becomes a question.
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Abstract - This article considers a two-commodity continuous review inventory system at a service facility, wherein an item demanded by a customer is issued after performing service on the item. The service facility is assumed to have a finite waiting hall. The arrival time points of customers form a Poisson process. A customer with probability $p$ and a negative customer with probability $q=(1-p),(0 \leq p \leq 1)$. An ordinary customer, on arrival, joins the queue and the negative customer does not join the queue and takes away one waiting customer if any. The life time of each item and service time are assumed to have independent exponential distribution. The joint probability distribution of the number of customers in the system and the inventory level is obtained in the steady state. Various system performance measures in the steady state are derived. The results are illustrated numerically.
Keywords : Inventory system; base stock policy; service facility; negative customer; two-commodity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

- he $(S-1, S)$ or one-to-one policies are usually implemented for inventory systems stocking expensive, slow moving items. Analysis of continuous review perishable inventory systems with positive lead times under $(S-1, S)$ policy have been carried out by Schmidt and Nahmias (1985), Pal (1989) and Kalpakam and Sapna (1995) and (1996). In all these models, whenever the inventory level drops by one unit, either due to a demand or a failure, an order for one item is placed. Kalpakam and Arivarignan (1998) dealt with a $(S-1, S)$ system with renewal demands for non-perishable items. Kalpakam and Shanthi (2000) have considered modified base stock policy and random supply quantity. Sren Gled Johansen (2005) has considered base-stock policies for the lost sales inventory system with Poisson demand and Erlangian lead times.

Krishnamoorthy et al. (1994) considered a twocommodity continuous review inventory system without lead time. In their model, each demand is for one unit of first commodity or one unit of second commodity or one unit of each commodity with prefixed probabilities. Krishnamoorthy and Varghese (1994) considered a twocommodity inventory problem without leadtime and with

[^5]Markov shift in demand for the type of commodity namely "commodity -1 ", "commodity -2 " or "both commodity". Yadavalli et al. (2006) have considered a two commodity inventory system with Poisson demands. It is further assumed that the demand for the first commodity require the one unit of second commodity in addition to the first commodity with probability $p_{1}$. Similarly, the demand for the second commodity require the one unit of first commodity in addition to the second commodity with probability $p_{2}$. Yadavalli et al. (2004) have considered a two commodity inventory system with individual and joint ordering policies.

In most of the inventory models considered in the literature, the demanded items are directly issued from the stock, if available. The demands that occur during stock-out period are either not satisfied (lost sales case) or satisfied only on receipt of the ordered items (backlog case). In the later case, it is assumed that either all (full backlogging) or any prefixed number of demands (partial backlogging) that occurred during stock-out period are satisfied. For review of these works see Nahmias (1982), Raafat (1981), Kalpakam and Arivarignan (1990), Elango and Arivarignan (2003) and Liu and Yang (1999).

But in the case of inventories maintained at service facilities, the demanded items are issued to the customers only after some service is performed on it. In this situation the items are issued not at the time of demand but after a random time of service from the epoch of demand. This forces the formation of queues in these models, which in turn necessitates the study of both inventory level and queue length joint distribution. Berman, Kaplan and Shimshank (1993) have considered an inventory management system at a service facility which uses one item of inventory for each service provided. They assumed that both demand and service times are deterministic and constant, as such queues can from only during stock out periods. They determined optimal order quantity that minimizes the total cost rate.

Berman and Kim (1999) analyzed a problem in stochastic environment where customers arrive at service facilities according to a Poisson process and the service times are exponentially distributed with mean inter-arrival time (assumed to be greater than the mean service time) and each service requires one item from
inventory. The main result of their work is that under both the discounted cost case and the average cost case, the optimal policy of both the finite and infinite time horizon problem is a threshold ordering policy. The optimal policy in Berman and Kim (1999) is derived given that the order quantity is known. A logically related model was studied by He, Jewkes and Buzacott [9], who analyzed a Markovian inventory-production system, where customer demands arrive at a workshop and are processed by a single machine in batch sizes of one. Berman and Sapna (2000) studied extensively an inventory control problem at a service facility that uses one item of inventory distributed service times and zero lead times. They analyzed the system with the restriction that the waiting space is finite. Under a specified cost structure, they derived the optimal ordering quantity that minimizes the long-run expected cost rate.

Elango (2001) has considered a Markovian inventory system with instantaneous supply of orders at a service facility. The service time is assumed to have exponential distribution with parameter depending on the number of waiting customers. Arivarignan et al. (2002) have extended this model to include exponential inventory system in which the size of the space for the waiting customers is infinite. Arivarignan and Sivakumar (2003) have considered an inventory system with arbitrarily distributed demand, exponential service time and exponential lead time. Finally, Sivakumar et al. (2005) considered a two-commodity perishable inventory system under continuous review at a service facility with a finite waiting room.

In this paper we have considered a $(S-1, S)$ policy for two-commodity stochastic inventory system under continuous review at a service facility with a finite waiting room for customers. The customers arriving to the service station are classified as ordinary (positive or regular) and negative customers. The arrival of ordinary customers to the service station increase the queue length by one and the arrival of negative customer to the service station causes one ordinary customer to be removed if anyone is present.

In the real life situation, the sale agencies deal with two different items with high cost like email server and data server, refrigerator and washing machine etc.,. Keeping them in stock for sales purpose is high risk but yield high profit, wherein the waiting customers may be wooed or taken away by new arriving customers from a large population, many companies look for the prospective customers at others' sales centres. This motivates the researcher to consider the negative customer at a service facility for two commodities with ( $S-1, S$ ) policy.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the mathematical model and the notations. Analysis of the model and the steady state solution are given in Section 3. In Section 4 , we derive various measures of system performance
in steady state. The total expected cost rate is calculated in Section 5. Our numerical study is presented in Section 6. Section 7 has concluding remarks.

## II. Problem Formulation

Consider a two commodity stochastic inventory system with service facility in which the items are delivered to the demanding customers. The demand is for single item per customer. The maximum capacity of the $i$-th commodity is $S_{i}(i=1,2)$ units and the waiting hall space is $M$.
The following assumptions are made:

- The arrival times of customers form a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. The probability that an ordinary customer is $p$ and a negative is $q(=1-p)$.
- The removal rule adopted in this paper is RCE (Removal of a customer at the end), i.e., arrival of a negative customer removes only a customer at the end including the one who is receiving the service at the time of arrival of a negative customer. The arrival of a negative customer has no effect to the empty service station.
- The demands occur either one unit of first commodity or one unit of second commodity or one unit of each commodity and the service time for each demand follows a negative exponential distribution with parameters $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{12}$ respectively.
- A one-to-one ordering policy is adopted. According to this policy, orders are placed for one unit of $i$-th commodity, as and when the inventory level of $i$ -th commodity drops due to a demand ( $i=1,2$ ).
- The lead times of the reorders for the $i$-th commodity are assumed to be distributed as a negative exponential with parameter $\mu_{i}, i=1,2$.
- The demands that occur during stock-out periods are lost.


## A. Notations

$[A]_{i j}$ : The element/submatirx at $(i, j)$ th position of $A$.

$$
0 \text { : Zero matrix. }
$$

1 : An identity matrix.
$e$ : A column vector of 1 s appropriate dimension.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
& \bar{\delta}_{i j}=\left(1-\delta_{i j}\right) \\
& E_{1}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, S_{1}\right\} \\
& E_{2}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, S_{2}\right\} \\
& E_{3}=\{0,1, \ldots, M\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1} \text { and } k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}
$$

$$
\left[M_{S_{1}-i}\right]_{m n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(S_{1}-i\right) \mu_{1}, & n=m, \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array} \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M\right.
$$

$$
\left[U_{S_{2}-k}\right]_{m n}= \begin{cases}\left(S_{2}-k\right) \mu_{2}, & n=m, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

## III. ANALYSIS

Let $L_{i}(t)$ denote the inventory level of $i$-th commodity and $X(t)$ denote the number of customers (waiting and being served) in the system, at time $t$. From the assumptions made on the input and output processes, it may be shown that the triplet

$$
\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, X\right)=\left\{\left(L_{1}(t), L_{2}(t), X(t),\right), t \geq 0\right\},
$$

on the state space $E$, is a Markov process. The infinitesimal generator of this process,

$$
A=(a((i, k, m),(j, l, n))), \quad(i, k, m),(j, l, n) \in E
$$

can be obtained by using the following arguments:

- The arrival of an ordinary customer makes a transition from $(i, k, m)$ to $(i, k, m+1), i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}$, $k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M-1$ with intensity of transition $p \lambda$, and the arrival of a negative customer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=E_{1} \times E_{2} \times E_{3} \\
& {\left[G_{1}\right]_{m n}= \begin{cases}\gamma_{1}+\delta_{k 0} \gamma_{12}, & n=m-1, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M \\
0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} } \\
& {\left[G_{2}\right]_{m n}= \begin{cases}\gamma_{2}+\delta_{i 0} \gamma_{12}, & n=m-1, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M \\
0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases} } \\
& {\left[G_{12}\right]_{m n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{12}, & n=m, \\
0, & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array} \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M\right.} \\
& {\left[P_{i k}\right]_{m n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
-\left(p \lambda+\left(S_{1}-i\right) \mu_{1}+\left(S_{2}-k\right) \mu_{2}\right), & n=m, & m=0 \\
-\left(\lambda+\bar{\delta}_{0 i} \gamma_{1}+\bar{\delta}_{0 k} \gamma_{2}+\right. & \\
\left(1-\delta_{0 i} \delta_{0 k}\right) \gamma_{12}+ & \\
\left.\left(S_{1}-i\right) \mu_{1}+\left(S_{2}-k\right) \mu_{2}\right), & n=m, & m=1,2, \ldots, M-1 \\
-\left(q \lambda+\bar{\delta}_{i i} \gamma_{1}+\bar{\delta}_{0 k} \gamma_{2}+\right. & \\
\left(1-\delta_{0 i j} \delta_{0 k}\right) \gamma_{12}+ & \\
\left.\left(S_{1}-i\right) \mu_{1}+\left(S_{2}-k\right) \mu_{2}\right), & n=m, \quad m=M \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

makes a transition from $(i, k, m)$ to $(i, k, m-1)$, $i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}, k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M$ with intensity of transition $q \lambda, q=1-p$. The arrival of a negative customer has no effect on empty service station.

- The service completion involving the first commodity forces one customer to leave the system and a decrease of one item in the inventory level of the first commodity. Thus a transition takes place from $(i, k, m) \quad$ to $\quad(i-1, k, m-1), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, S_{1}$, $k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}, m=1,2, \ldots, M$ with intensity $\gamma_{1}$.
- Similarly, a service completion involving the second commodity forces one customer to leave the system and a decrease of one item in the inventory level of the second commodity. Thus a transition takes place from state $(i, k, m)$ to $(i, k-1, m-1), i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, S_{2}, m=1,2, \ldots, M$ with intensity $\gamma_{2}$.
- A transition from state $(i, k, m)$ to ( $i-1, k-1, m-1$ ) takes place when a service completion involving both commodity forces one customer to leave the system and a decrease of one item in the inventory level of first and second commodities. The intensity of the transition is $\gamma_{12}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, S_{1}, k=1,2, \ldots, S_{2}, m=1,2, \ldots, M$.
- A transition from state $(i, k, m)$ to $(i+1, k, m)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}-1, k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}, m=0,1, \ldots, M$ takes place when a replenishment occurs for the first commodity with intensity $\mu_{1}$. Similarly, a transition from state $(i, k, m)$ to $(i, k+1, m)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}$, $k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}-1, m=0,1, \ldots, M$ takes place when a replenishment occurs for the second commodity with intensity $\mu_{2}$
- For other transition from $(i, k, m)$ to $(j, l, n)$ except $(i, k, m) \neq(j, l, n)$, the rate is zero.
- Finally, note that

$$
a((i, k, m),(i, k, m))=-\underset{\substack{j \\(j, l, n) \neq(l, k, m)}}{ } \sum_{n}^{n} a((i, k, m),(j, l, n)) .
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[A_{i}\right]_{k l}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
G_{2}, & l=k-1, & k=1,2, \ldots, S_{2} \\
U_{S_{2}-k}, & l=k+1, & k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}-1 \\
P_{i k}, & l=k, & k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2} \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { otherwise } &
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \text { It can be seen from the structure of } A \text { that the } \\
& \text { homogeneous Markov process } \\
& \left\{\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{t}), \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{t}), \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{t})\right) \boldsymbol{t} \geq \mathbf{0 \}}\right. \text { on the finite state space } \\
& E \text { is irreducible, aperiodic and persistent non-null. } \\
& \text { Hence the limiting distribution of the Markov process } \\
& \text { exists. } \\
& \text { Let } \quad \Pi \text {, partitioned as } \\
& \Pi=\left(\Pi^{\left(s_{1}\right)}, \Pi^{\left(s_{1}-1\right)}, \ldots, \Pi^{(\mathbf{1})}, \Pi^{(\mathbf{0})}\right), \text { denote the } \\
& \text { steady state probability vector of } A \text {. That is, } \Pi \text { satisfies } \\
& \text { 0, otherwise. } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma_{2}+\delta_{i 0} \gamma_{12}, & n=m-1, & m=1,2, \ldots, M \\
& l=k-1, & k=1,2, \ldots, S_{2} \\
& j=i, & i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1} \\
\gamma_{12}, & n=m-1, & m=1,2, \ldots, M \\
& l=k-1, & k=1,2, \ldots, S_{2} \\
& j=i-1, & i=1,2, \ldots, S_{1}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\left(S_{1}-i\right) \mu_{1}, \quad n=m, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M\right. \\
& I=k, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2} \\
& j=i+1, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}-1 \\
& \left(S_{2}-i\right) \mu_{2}, \quad n=m, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M \\
& I=k+1, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, S_{2}-1 \\
& j=i, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi A=0 \text { and } \Pi e=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of the vector $\Pi^{(q)} \quad\left(0 \leq q \leq \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ are $\Pi^{(q)}=\left(\pi^{\left(q, s_{2}\right)}, \ldots, \pi^{(q, 1)}, \pi^{(q, 0)}\right)$, where for $0 \leq l \leq S_{2}, \pi^{(q, l)}=\left(\pi^{(q, l, 0)}, \pi^{(q, l, l)}, \ldots, \pi^{(q, l, M)}\right)$.

From the structure of $A$, it is seen that the Markov process under study falls into the class of birth and death process in a Markovian environment as discussed by Gaver et al. (1984). Hence using the same argument, we can calculate the limiting probability vectors. For the sake of completeness, we provide the algorithm here.

## Algorithm :

1. Determine recursively the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}=A_{0} \\
& F_{i}=A_{i}+B\left(-F_{i-1}^{-1}\right) C_{S_{1}-i+1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots S_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Compute recursively the vectors $\Pi^{(i)}$ using

$$
\Pi^{(i)}=\Pi^{(i+1)} B\left(-F_{i}^{-1}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}-1
$$

3. Solve the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi^{\left(s_{1}\right)} F_{s_{1}}=0 \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \Pi^{(i)} e=1
\end{aligned}
$$

From the system of equations $\Pi^{\left(s_{1}\right)} \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{S}_{1}}=\mathbf{0}$, vector $\Pi^{\left(s_{1}\right)}$ could be determined uniquely, upto a multiplicative constant. This constant is decided by
and $\quad \sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \Pi^{(i)} \boldsymbol{e}=\mathbf{1}$.

$$
\Pi^{(i)}=\Pi^{(i+1)} B\left(-F_{i}^{-1}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, S_{1}-1
$$

## IV. System Performance Measures

In this section, some performance measures of the system are derived under consideration.

## a) Mean Inventory Levels

Let $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ be the average inventory level for the first commodity and the second commodity respectively in the steady state. Then we have,

$$
\eta_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{s_{1}} \boldsymbol{i}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{s_{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)
$$

and

$$
\eta_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{s_{2}} k\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)
$$

## b) Mean Reorder Rates

Let $\eta_{3}$ and $\eta_{4}$ denote the mean reorder rate for the first and second commodities respectively. Then we have,

$$
\eta_{3}=\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{12}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{s_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{s_{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}
$$

and
$\eta_{4}=\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{12}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{s_{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}$
c) Mean Rate of Arrivals of Negative Customers

Let $\eta_{N C}$ denote the mean rate of arrivals of negative customers for the system. Then we have,

$$
\eta_{N C}=\sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{s_{2}} q \lambda\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)
$$

## d) Mean Balking Rate

Let $\eta_{B}$ denote the mean balking rate. Then we have,

$$
\eta_{B}=p \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{s_{2}} \pi^{(i, k, M)}
$$

e) Mean Waiting time

Let $\bar{W}$ denote the mean waiting time of the customers. Then, by Little's formula

$$
\bar{W}=\frac{\Gamma}{\lambda_{a}}
$$

where, $\Gamma=\sum_{m=1}^{M} m\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{s_{2}} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)$.
where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ denotes the expected arrival rate which is given by

$$
\lambda_{a}=p \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{S_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{S_{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \pi^{(i, k, m)}
$$

## V. Cost Optimization

In order to compute the total expected cost per unit time, we introduce the following notations:
$c_{h_{1}}$ : The inventory holding cost per unit item per unit time for l-commodity.
$c_{h_{2}}$ : The inventory holding cost per unit item per unit time for II-commodity.
$c_{s_{1}}$ : The setup cost per order for l-commodity.
$c_{s_{2}}$ : The setup cost per order for II-commodity.
$c_{N}$ : Cost of loss per unit time due to arrival of a negative customer.
$c_{w}$ : Waiting time cost of a customer per unit time.
$c_{B}$ : Balking cost per customer per unit time.
Then the long-run expected cost rate is given by

$$
T C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, M\right)=c_{h_{1}} \eta_{1}+c_{h_{2}} \eta_{2}+c_{s_{1}} \eta_{3}+c_{s_{2}} \eta_{4}+c_{N} \eta_{N C}+c_{w} \bar{W}+c_{B} \eta_{B} .
$$

Substituting $\eta^{\prime} s$ and $\bar{W}$ into the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +c_{s_{1}}\left(\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{12}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{S_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{S_{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)+c_{s_{2}}\left(\left(\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{12}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{S_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{S_{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right) \\
& +c_{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{S_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{S_{2}} q \lambda\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)\right)+c_{w}\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\lambda_{a}}\right)+c_{B}\left(p \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{S_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{S_{2}} \pi^{(i, k, M)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the complex form of the limiting distribution, it is difficult to discuss the properties of the cost fuction analytically. Hence, a detailed computational study of the cost function is carried out in the next section.

## Vi Numerical Examples

Since we have not shown analytically the convexity of the function $T C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, M\right)$ we have explored the behavior of this function by considering it as functions of any two variable by fixing the other one at a constant value.

The table 1 gives the total expected cost rate for various combinations of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ when fixed values for other parameters and costs are assumed. They are $M=3, \lambda=22, \gamma_{1}=3, \gamma_{2}=5, \gamma_{12}=9, p=0.7$, $q=0.3, \quad \mu_{1}=1, \quad \mu_{2}=2.1, \quad c_{h_{1}}=6.7, \quad c_{h_{2}}=7$, $c_{s_{1}}=0.2, \quad c_{s_{2}}=0.5, \quad c_{N}=5, \quad c_{w}=5, \quad c_{B}=0.5$.
Moreover, Figure 1. refers the changes of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are how to affect the total expected cost rate.
$T C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, M\right)=c_{h_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{S_{1}} i\left(\sum_{k=0}^{S_{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)\right)+c_{h_{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{S_{2}} k\left(\sum_{i=0}^{S_{1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \pi^{(i, k, m)}\right)\right)$


Fig. 1. Convexity of the total cost for various combinations of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

| $s_{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 24.3900 | $\underline{24.3208}$ | 24.9074 | 26.1902 | 28.1159 | 30.5480 |
| 6 | 24.2305 | 23.5581 | $\underline{\underline{23.5474}}$ | 24.2324 | 25.5464 | 27.3442 |
| 7 | 25.4291 | 24.0843 | $\underline{\underline{23.4229}}$ | 23.4859 | 24.1975 | 25.3994 |
| 8 | 27.9424 | 25.9299 | 24.6207 | $\underline{24.0764}$ | 24.2217 | 24.8854 |
| 9 | 31.6487 | 29.0385 | 27.1422 | 26.0501 | $\underline{25.6960}$ | 25.8998 |

Table.1. Total expected cost rate as a function of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

Let $\mathrm{TC}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{TC}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, 3\right)$. The values of $\mathrm{TC}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ are given in the above table. The optimal cost for each $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is shown in bold and the optimal cost for each $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is underlined. The numerical values shows that $\mathrm{TC}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ is a convex function in $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ and the (possibly local) optimum occurs at $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)=(7,5)$.

The table 2 gives the total expected cost rate for various combinations of $S_{1}$ and $M$. We have assumed
constant values for other parameters and costs. Namely, $\quad S_{2}=12, \lambda=11.7, \quad \gamma_{1}=3.5, \quad \gamma_{2}=2.5$, $\gamma_{12}=4.5, p=0.7, q=0.3, \mu_{1}=0.5, \mu_{2}=1.05$, $c_{h_{1}}=4, \quad c_{h_{2}}=3, \quad c_{s_{1}}=1, \quad c_{s_{2}}=2, \quad c_{N}=0.2$, $c_{w}=15, c_{B}=15.5$.

| $S_{1}$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 23.52099 | 21.82993 | 20.95269 | $\underline{20.94535}$ | 21.81381 |
| 5 | 23.13975 | 21.64211 | $\underline{20.87712}$ | 20.90479 | 21.74334 |
| 6 | 22.92739 | 21.55864 | $\underline{20.87555}$ | 20.94015 | 21.77652 |
| 7 | 22.77410 | 21.53674 | $\underline{20.91023}$ | 21.00445 | 21.84678 |
| 8 | 22.82032 | 21.54629 | $\underline{20.95764}$ | 21.07334 | 21.92291 |

Table.2. Total expected cost rate as a function of $S_{1}$ and $M$

Let $\mathrm{TC}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{M}\right)=\mathrm{TC}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, 12, \mathrm{M}\right)$. The values of $\mathrm{TC}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{M}\right)$ are given in the above table. The optimal cost for each $S_{1}$ is shown in bold and the optimal cost for each $M$ is underlined. The numerical values shows that $\mathrm{TC}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{M}\right)$ is a convex function in $\left(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and the (possibly local) optimum occurs at $\left(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)=(6,10)$.

The table 3 gives the total expected cost rate for various combinations of $S_{2}$ and $M$. We have assumed
constant values for other parameters and costs. Namely, $\quad S_{1}=6, \quad \lambda=6, \quad \gamma_{1}=3.5, \quad \gamma_{2}=2.5$, $\gamma_{12}=4.5, p=0.7, q=0.3, \mu_{1}=0.5, \mu_{2}=1.05$, $c_{h_{1}}=4, \quad c_{h_{2}}=5, \quad c_{s_{1}}=1, \quad c_{s_{2}}=1.5, \quad c_{N}=0.6$, $c_{w}=15, c_{B}=16.5$.

| M <br> $S_{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 17.97380 | 17.43625 | $\underline{17.25528}$ | 17.26311 | 17.36521 |
| 3 | 16.99332 | 16.66086 | $\underline{16.57168}$ | 16.59243 | 16.65523 |
| 4 | 16.72198 | 16.52336 | $\underline{16.48977}$ | 16.51536 | 16.55491 |
| 5 | 17.05421 | 16.91763 | $\underline{16.90427}$ | 16.92590 | 16.95070 |
| 6 | 17.81789 | 17.70835 | $\underline{17.69908}$ | 17.71507 | 17.73156 |

Table.3. Total expected cost rate as a function of $S_{2}$ and $M$

Let $\mathrm{TC}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{M}\right)=\mathrm{TC}\left(6, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{M}\right)$. The values of $\mathrm{TC}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{M}\right)$ are given in the above table. The optimal cost for each M is shown in bold and the optimal cost for each $S_{2}$ is underlined. The numerical values shows that $\mathrm{TC}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{M}\right)$ is a convex function in ( $\mathrm{S}_{2}, \mathrm{M}$ ) and the (possibly local) optimum occurs at $\left(S_{2}, M\right)=(4,5)$.

In table 4 the effect of service rates $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ on the optimal values ( $S_{1}, S_{2}$ ) and the corresponding total expected cost rate are studied by fixing the parameters and costs $M=3, \lambda=22, \gamma_{12}=8$, $p=0.7, \quad q=0.3, \quad \mu_{1}=1, \quad \mu_{2}=2.1, \quad c_{h_{1}}=6.7$,
$c_{h_{2}}=7, \quad c_{s_{1}}=1.2, \quad c_{s_{2}}=1.5, \quad c_{N}=5, \quad c_{w}=5$,
$c_{B}=0.5$. We observed that the total expected cost rate increase when $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ increases. $\lambda=20, \quad \gamma_{2}=4.5$


Table.4. Effect of service rates $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ on optimal values
Table 5 illustrates the impact of service rates $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{12}$ on the optimal values $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ and the corresponding total expected cost rate when $M=3$, $20, \quad \gamma_{2}=4.5, \quad p=0.7, \quad q=0.3, \quad \mu_{1}=1$,

| $\gamma_{\gamma_{1}}{ }^{\gamma_{12}}$ | 4.5 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.5 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 26.2447 |  | 26.2717 |  | 26.2962 |  | 26.3564 |  | 26.4714 |  |
| 3.0 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 26.2100 |  | 26.2579 |  | 26.3601 |  | 26.3747 |  | 26.3912 |  |
| 3.5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 26.2636 |  | 26.2850 |  | 26.2896 |  | 26.3265 |  | 26.3884 |  |
| 4.0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
|  | 26.1900 |  | 26.2173 |  | 26.2733 |  | 26.3512 |  | 26.4093 |  |
| 4.5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
|  | 26.1592 |  | 26.2329 |  | 26.3255 |  | 26.4041 |  | 26.4237 |  |

## Table.5. Effect of service rates $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{12}$ on optimal values

Table 6 illustrates the impact of service rates $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{12}$ on the optimal values $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ and the corresponding total expected cost rate when $M=3$, $\lambda=19, \quad \gamma_{1}=1.5, \quad p=0.7, \quad q=0.3, \quad \mu_{1}=1$, $\mu_{2}=2.1, c_{h_{1}}=6.7, c_{h_{2}}=7, c_{s_{1}}=1.2, c_{s_{2}}=1.5$, $c_{N}=5, c_{w}=5, c_{B}=0.5$.

We observed that the total expected cost rate increase when $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{12}$ increases.


Table.6. Effect of service rates $\gamma_{12}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ on optimal values
Table 7 illustrates the impact of , $p=0.7, q=0.3, c_{h_{1}}=6.7, c_{h_{2}}=7, c_{s_{1}}=1.2$, replenishments rates $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ on the optimal values $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ and the corresponding total expected cost rate when $M=3, \lambda=19, \gamma_{1}=3.5, \gamma_{2}=2.5, \gamma_{12}=3.5 \quad \mu_{2}$ increases.

| $\mu_{2}$ | 1.7 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.9 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.6 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
|  | 27.6291 |  | 27.6314 |  | 27.4658 |  | 27.3444 |  | 27.2590 |  |
| 0.7 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
|  | 26.8882 |  | 26.7375 |  | 26.6289 |  | 26.5547 |  | 26.5083 |  |
| 0.8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
|  | 26.1883 |  | 26.1155 |  | 26.0735 |  | 26.0563 |  | 26.0587 |  |
| 0.9 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
|  | 25.8493 |  | 25.7166 |  | 25.6196 |  | 25.5519 |  | 25.5079 |  |
| 1.0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 25.4296 |  | 25.3894 |  | 25.3737 |  | 25.3516 |  | 25.2388 |  |

Table.7. Effect of service rates $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ on optimal values
In table 8 the impact of holding costs $c_{s_{1}}$ and $c_{s_{2}}$ on the optimal values $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ and the corresponding total expected cost rate are studied by fixing the parameters and costs $M=3, \lambda=22$,
$\gamma_{1}=1.5, \quad \gamma_{2}=2.5, \quad \gamma_{12}=8, \quad p=0.7, \quad q=0.3$,
$\mu_{1}=1, \quad \mu_{2}=2.1, \quad c_{h_{1}}=6.7, \quad c_{h_{2}}=7, \quad c_{N}=5$,
$c_{w}=5, c_{B}=0.5$. We observed that the total expected cost rate increase when $c_{s_{1}}$ and $c_{s_{2}}$ increases.


Table.8. Effect of setup costs $c_{s_{1}}$ and $c_{s_{2}}$ on optimal values

In table 9 the impact of holding costs $c_{h_{1}}$ and $c_{h_{2}}$ on the optimal values $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ and the corresponding total expected cost rate are studied by fixing the parameters and costs $M=3, \lambda=22$,
$\gamma_{1}=1.5, \quad \gamma_{2}=2.5, \quad \gamma_{12}=8, \quad p=0.7, \quad q=0.3$, $\mu_{1}=1, \quad \mu_{2}=2.1, \quad c_{s_{1}}=1.2, \quad c_{s_{2}}=1.5, \quad c_{N}=5$, $c_{w}=5, c_{B}=0.5$. We observed that the total expected cost rate increase when $c_{h_{1}}$ and $c_{h_{2}}$ increases.


Table.9. Effect of holding costs $c_{h_{1}}$ and $c_{h_{2}}$ on optimal values

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we discussed $(S-1, S)$ policy for two-commodity stochastic inventory system under continuous review at a service facility with finite waiting hall. The customers arriving to the service station are classifed as ordinary (positive or regular) and negative customers. Demands occuring during stock out periods are lost. The limiting distribution is obtained by using the algorithm of Gaver (1984). Various system performance measures are derived in the steady state. The results are illustrated with numerically. The model discussed here is useful in studying a service facility for two commodity inventory system which are slow moving items and the high holding cost.
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# Some Contraction on G- Banach Space 

Ramakant Bhardwaj

## Abstract - In this paper we prove some results of fixed point theorems in G-Banach space.Our result are version of some known results in ordinary Banach Spaces.

Keywords: Fixed point, Common Fixed point, G - Banach space ,Continuous Mapping, Weakly Compatible Mappings.

## I. InTRODUCTION \& PRILIMNARIES

This is well known that, Banach contraction principle is the fundamental contraction principle for proving fixed point results. The concept of G- Banach space is introduce by [11], which is a probable modification of the ordinary Banach Space. In this section some properties about G- Banach space are recall. In section 2, fixed point and common fixed point theorems for four weakly compatible maps in G- Banach space are proved
In what follows, N be the set of natural numbers and $\mathrm{R}^{+}$be the set of all positive real numbers. Let binary operation $\nabla: R^{+} \times R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$satisfies the following conditions:
i. $\nabla$ is associative and commutative,
ii. $\quad \nabla$ is continuous.

Five typical examples are as follows: for each $a, b \in R^{+}$
I. $a \nabla b=\max \{a, b\}$
II. $a \nabla b=a+b$
III. $a \nabla b=a . b$
IV. $a \nabla b=a . b+a+b$
V. $a \nabla b=\frac{a b}{\max \{a, b, 1\}}$

## Definition: 1.1 [11]

The binary operation $\nabla$ is said to satisfy $\alpha$-property if there exists a positive real number $\alpha$, such that $a \nabla b \leq \max \{a, b\}$ for every $a, b \in R^{+}$

Example: 1.2
If we define $a \nabla b=a+b$, for each $a, b \in R^{+}$, then for $\alpha \geq 2$, we have

$$
a \nabla b \leq \alpha \max \{a, b\}
$$

If we define $a \nabla b=\frac{a b}{\max \{a, b, 1\}}$, for each $a, b \in R^{+}$, then for $\alpha \geq 1$, we have

$$
a \nabla b \leq \alpha \max \{a, b\}
$$

## Definition:- 1.3[11]

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, A Generalized Normed Space on $X$, is a function $\|.\|_{g}: X \times X \rightarrow R^{+}$, that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$.
(1) $\|x-y\|_{g}>0$
(2) $\|x-y\|_{g}=0$ if and only if $x=y$
(3) $\|x-y\|_{g}=\|y-x\|_{g}$

[^6](4) $\|\alpha x\|_{g}=|\alpha|\|x\|_{g}$ for any scalar $\alpha$.
(5) $\|x-y\|_{g} \leq\|x-z\|_{g} \nabla\|z-x\|_{g}$

The pair $\left(X,\|.\|_{g}\right)$ is called Generalized Normed Space, or simply $G$ - Normed Space.

## Definition: - 1.4[11]

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said converges to $x$, if $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{g} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in N$ such that, for every $n \geq n_{0}$ implies that, $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{g}<\epsilon$.

## Definition:- 1.5 [11]

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists $n_{0} \in N$ such that $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|_{g}<\epsilon$ for each $m, n \geq n_{0}$. G-Normed space is said to be $G$ - Banach space if every Cauchy sequence is converges in it.

## Definition: 1.6[11]

Let $\left(X,\|.\|_{g}\right)$ be a $G$ - normed space . for $r>0$ we define

$$
B_{g}(x, r)=\left\{y \in X:\|x-y\|_{g}<r\right\}
$$

Let $X$ be a $G$ - Normed space and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then for every $x \in A$, there exists $r>0$, such that $B_{g}(x, r) \subset A$, then the subset $A$ is called open subset of $X$. a subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be closed if the complement of $A$ is open in $X$.

## Definition: 1.7[11]

A subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be $G$-bounded if there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\|x-y\|_{g}<r \text { for all } x, y \in A .
$$

Some example of $\|\cdot\|_{g}$ are as follows:
a) let $X$ be a nonempty set then we define $\|x-y\|_{g}=\|x-y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$, Where $a \nabla b=a+b$ for $a, b \in R^{+}$and $\|$.$\| is ordinary normed space on X.$
b) let $X$ be a non empty set. We define,

$$
\|x-y\|_{g}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad x=y \\
1, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For each $x, y \in X$, where $a \nabla b=\max \{a, b\}$ for $a, b \in R^{+}$.

## Lemma: 1.9[11]

Let $\left(X,\|.\|_{g}\right)$ be a $G$ - Normed space such that, $\nabla$, setisfy $\alpha-$ property with $\alpha>0$. if sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is converges to $x$, then $x$, is unique.

## Lemma: 1.10[11]

Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{g}\right)$ be aG-Normed space such that, $\nabla$, setisty $\alpha-$ property with $\alpha>0$.
if sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is converges to $x$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

## Definition: 1.11[11]

Let $A$ and $S$ be mappings from a $G$ - Banach space $X$ into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weakly compatible if they are commute at their coincidence point, that is $A x=S x$ implies that, $A S x=$ SAx.

## II. Main Results

Theorem 2.1:- Let $X$ be a complete $G$ - Banach space such that $\nabla$ satisfy $\alpha$ - property with $\alpha \leq 1$. If $T$ be $a$ mapping from $X$ into it, satisfying the following condition;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|T x-T y\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|x-T x\|_{g}\|x-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|y-T x\|_{g}\|y-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\|x-T x\|_{g}\|y-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|x-T y\|_{g}\|y-T x\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\|x-T x\|_{g} \nabla\|y-T y\|_{g} \nabla\|x-T y\|_{g} \nabla\|y-T x\|_{g} \nabla\|x-y\|_{g}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For non negative $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ such that $0<k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}<1$. Then $T$ has unique fixed point in $X$.
Proof :- let $x_{0}$ be arbitrary point in $X$, then we choose a point $x_{1}$ in $X$ such that $x_{1}=T x_{0}$. In general we have a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that, $x_{n+1}=T x_{n}$

$$
\text { Now }\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}=\left\|T x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
$$

From 2.1.1 we have,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\|T x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\left\|T x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla x_{n}-x_{n+2}\left\|_{g}\right\| x_{n+1}-x_{n} \|_{g}\right. \\
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right)\right] \begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1} \max \left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g},\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{2} \max \left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g},\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{3} \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g},\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take max, $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}$, then we have,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}
$$

Which contradiction the hypothesis, so we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
$$

Similarly we can find,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g}
$$

In this way, we can write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)^{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.. Which converges to' $u$ ' in $X$.
Now on taking, $x=x_{n}$ and $y=u$ in [2.1.1], then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T x_{n}-T u\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n}-T u\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|u-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n}-T u\right\|_{g}\left\|u-T x_{n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|_{g}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\|u-T u\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-T u\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|u-T x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get, $T u=u$.
i.e. $u$, is a fixed point of $T$ in $X$.

## Uniqueness

Let us assume that, ' $w$ ' is another fixed point of $T$, different from ' $u$ ' in X. then, $u \neq w$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|T w-T u\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|w-T w\|_{g}\|w-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|u-T w\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\|w-T w\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|w-T u\|_{g}\|u-T w\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\|w-T w\|_{g} \nabla\|u-T u\|_{g} \nabla\|w-T u\|_{g} \\
\nabla\|u-T w\|_{g} \nabla\|w-u\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\|u-w\| \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|u-w\|_{g}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is a contradiction .so ' $u$ ' is unique fixed point of $T$, in $X$.
Theorem 2.2:-
Let $X$ be a complete $G$ - Banach space such that $\nabla$ satisfy $\alpha$ - property with $\alpha \leq 1$. If $S$, $T$ be compatible mapping from $X$ into itself, satisfying the following condition;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|S x-T y\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|x-S x\|_{g}\|x-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|y-S x\|_{g}\|y-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\|x-S x\|_{g}\|y-T y\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|x-T y\|_{g}\|y-S x\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\|x-S x\|_{g} \nabla\|y-T y\|_{g} \nabla\|x-T y\|_{g} \nabla\|y-S x\|_{g} \nabla\|x-y\|_{g}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

for non negative $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ such that $0<k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}<1$. Then $S$, $T$ have unique common fixed point in $X$.
Proof:-
Let $x_{0}$ be arbitrary point in $X$, then we choose a point $x_{1}$ in $X$ such that $x_{1}=T x_{0}$. In general we have a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that, $x_{n+1}=S x_{n}, x_{n+2}=T x_{n+1}$

Now

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}=\left\|S x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
$$

From 2.2.1 we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} & \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
+ & k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-S x_{n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\| x_{n+1}- & x_{n+2} \|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|_{n}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{x_{n}-x_{n+2}\left\|_{g}\right\| x_{n+1}-x_{n} \|_{g}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1} \max \left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}, 0\right) \\
& +k_{2} \max \left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g},\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}\right) \\
& +k_{3} \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g},\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take max, $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}$, then we have,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g}
$$

Which contradiction the hypothesis, so we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n+2}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|_{g}
$$

Similarly we can find,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g}
$$

In this way, we can write,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)^{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|_{g} \rightarrow 0$
Which implies, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence,. Which converges to' $u^{\prime}$ in $X$.
Since $S$ and $T$ are compatible mapping, which implies,
$u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S T x_{n}=S \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n}=S u$, also
$u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S T x_{n}=\mathrm{T} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=T u$.
i.e, ' $u$ ' is common fixed point of $S$ and $T$, in $X$.

## Uniqueness,

Let us assume that, ' $w$ ' is another fixed point of $T$, different from ' $u$ ' in $X$. then, $u \neq w$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|S w-T u\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|w-S w\|_{g}\|w-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|u-S w\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\|w-S w\|_{g}\|u-T u\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|w-T u\|_{g}\|u-S w\|_{g}}{\|w-u\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\|w-S w\|_{g} \nabla\|u-T u\|_{g} \nabla\|w-T u\|_{g} \\
\nabla\|u-S w\|_{g} \nabla\|w-u\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \|u-w\| \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|u-w\|_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. So ' $u$ ' is unique common fixed point of $S$, and $T$, in $X$.
Theorem: 2.3 Let $X$ be a $G$ - Banach space, such that $\nabla$ satisfy property with $\alpha-\alpha \leq 1$. If $A, B, S$ and $T$ be mapping from $X$ into itself satisfying the following condition:
i. $\quad A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, and $T(X)$ or $S(X)$ is a closed subset of $X$.
ii. The pair $(A, S)$ and $(B, T)$ are weakly compatible,
iii. For all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A x-B y\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|S x-A x\|_{g}\|S x-B y\|_{g}}{\|S x-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|T y-A x\|\left\|_{g}\right\| T y-B y \|_{g}}{\|S x-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\|S x-A x\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S x-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|S x-B y\|_{g}\|T y-A x\|_{g}}{\|S x-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\|S x-A x\|_{g} \nabla\|T y-B y\|_{g} \nabla\|S x-B y\|_{g} \nabla\|T y-A x\|_{g} \nabla\|S x-T y\|_{g}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}>0$ and $0<k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}<1$. Then $A, B, S$, and $T$ have a unique Common fixed point in X.

## Proof:-

Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. then by (i), we choose a point $x_{1}$ in $X$ such that, $y_{0}=A x_{0}=T x_{1}$ and $y_{1}=B x_{1}=S x_{2}$. In general, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that, $y_{2 n}=A x_{2 n}=T x_{2 n+1}$ and $y_{2 n+1}=B x_{2 n+1}=S x_{2 n+2}$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$

We claim that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence.
By (iii), we have,

$$
\left\|A x_{2 n}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|S x_{2 n}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-B x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|T x_{2 n+1}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-T x_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1} \max \left(\frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g}\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}\right)
$$

$$
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\left\|y_{2 n-1}-y_{2 n}\right\|_{g}$
That is by induction we can show that

$$
\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)^{n}\left\|y_{0}-y_{1}\right\|_{g}
$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty,\left\|y_{2 n}-y_{2 n+1}\right\|_{g} \rightarrow 0$
For any integer $m \geq n$
It follows that, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to $y \in X$.
This implies that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_{2 n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_{2 n+1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{2 n+2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{2 n+1}=y$
Now let us assume that, $T(X)$ is closed subset of $X$, then there exists $v \in X$ such that
$T v=y$. We now prove that $B v=y$. by (iii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A x_{2 n}-B v\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|S x_{2 n}-B v\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T v\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|T v-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\|T v-B v\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T v\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\|T v-B v\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T v\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-B v\right\|_{g}\left\|T v-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T v\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\|T v-B v\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-B v\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|T v-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-T v\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,\|y-B v\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|y-B v\|_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which contradiction, it follows that $B v=y=T v$. Since $B$ and $T$ are weakly compatible mappings, then we have $B T v=T B v$ which implies $B y=T y$.
Now we prove that, $B y=y$, for this by using (iii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A x_{2 n}-B y\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\left\|S x_{2 n}-B y\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T y\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|T y-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T y\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T y\right\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|S x_{2 n}-B y\right\|_{g}\left\|T y-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g}}{\left\|S x_{2 n}-T y\right\|_{g}}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\|S x_{2 n}-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\|T y-B y\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-B y\right\|_{g} \\
\nabla\left\|T y-A x_{2 n}\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|S x_{2 n}-T y\right\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,\|y-B y\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|y-B y\|_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Which contradiction. Thus By }=y=T y
$$

Since $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exists $w \in X$. such that $S w=y$. we show that, $A w=y$. from (iii)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A w-B y\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|S w-A w\|_{g}\|S w-B y\|_{g}}{\|S w-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|T y-A w\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S w-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\|S w-A w\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S w-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|S w-B y\|_{g}\|T y-A w\|_{g}}{\|S w-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\|S w-A w\|_{g} \nabla\|T y-B y\|_{g} \nabla\|S w-B y\|_{g} \\
\nabla\|T y-A w\|_{g} \nabla\|S w-T y\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\|A w-B y\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|A w-B y\|_{g} \\
\|A w-y\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|A w-y\|_{g}
\end{gathered}
$$

Which contradiction, so that $A w=y=S w$ Since $A$ and $S$ are weakly compatible, then $A S w=$ SAw and so $A y=S y$.
Now we show that, $A y=y$, from (iii),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A y-B y\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\|S y-A y\|_{g}\|S y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S y-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|T y-A y\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S y-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{2}\left(\frac{\|S y-A y\|_{g}\|T y-B y\|_{g}}{\|S y-T y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\|S y-B y\|_{g}\|T y-A y\|_{g}}{\|S y-T y\|_{g}}\right) \\
+k_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\|S y-A y\|_{g} \nabla\|T y-B y\|_{g} \nabla\|S y-B y\|_{g} \\
\nabla\|T y-A y\|_{g} \nabla\|S y-T y\|_{g}
\end{array}\right\} \\
\|A y-y\|_{g} \leq\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\|A y-y\|_{g}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Which contradiction,

Thus $A y=y$ and therefore $A y=S y=B y=T y=y$.

$$
y \text { is a common fixed point of } A, B, S, T \text {, in } X \text {. }
$$

The proof is similar when we assume that, $S(X)$ is a closed subset of $X$

## Uniqueness:-

Let us assume that $x$ is another fixed point of $A, B, S, T$ different from $y$ in $X$.
Then from (iii), we have

This is contradiction. Thus $x=y$.This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark:-

1. If we take $S=T$ in theorem- 2.2 then we get theorem 2.1
2. If we take $S=T=I$ in theorem- 2.3 then we get theorem 2.2
3. If we take $A=B$ and $S=T=I$ in theorem-2.3 then we get theorem 2.1

Corollary 2.4 : Let $X$ be a complete $G$ - Banach space such that $\nabla$ satisfy $\alpha-$ property with $\alpha \leq 1$. If $T$ be a mapping from $X$ into it, satisfying the following condition;

$$
\begin{align*}
\| T^{r} x- & T^{s} y \|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x-T^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|x-T^{s} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y-T^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|y-T^{s} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x-T^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|y-T^{s} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x-T^{s} y\right\|_{g}\left\|y-T^{r} x\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\left\|x-T^{r} x\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y-T^{s} y\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x-T^{s} y\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y-T^{r} x\right\|_{g} \nabla\|x-y\|_{g}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For non negative $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ such that $0<k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}<1$, and $r, s \in N$ (set of natural number). Then $T$ has unique fixed point in $X$.

Proof: This can be proved easily by theorem-2.1, on takingr $=s=1$.
Corollary 2.5: Let $X$ be a complete $G$ - Banach space such that $\nabla$ satisty $\alpha-$ property with $\alpha \leq 1$. IfS, $T$ be compatible mapping from $X$ into itself, satisfying the following condition;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|S^{r} x-T^{u} y\right\|_{g} \leq k_{1}\left(\frac{\left\|x-S^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|x-T^{u} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|y-S^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|y-T^{u} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{2}\left(\frac{\left\|x-S^{r} x\right\|_{g}\left\|y-T^{u} y\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}} \nabla \frac{\left\|x-T^{u} y\right\|_{g}\left\|y-S^{r} x\right\|_{g}}{\|x-y\|_{g}}\right) \\
& +k_{3}\left\{\left\|x-S^{r} x\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y-T^{u} y\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|x-T^{u} y\right\|_{g} \nabla\left\|y-S^{r} x\right\|_{g} \nabla\|x-y\|_{g}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For non negative $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ such that $0<k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}<1$. and $r, u \in N$ (set of natural number) Then $S$, $T$ have unique common fixed point in $X$.

Proof: This can be proved easily by theorem-2.2, on takingr $=u=1$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Let $H(U)$ be the class of functions analytic in $U=\{z: z \in C$ and $|z|<1\}$ and $H[a, n]$ be the subclass of $H(U)$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots$, With $H_{0} \equiv H[0,1]$ and $H \equiv H \quad[1,1]$. Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad(p \in \mathrm{~N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\} ; z \in U), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $A(1)=A$. Let $f$ and $F$ be members of $H(U)$. The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$, or $F(z)$ is said to be superordinate to $f(z)$, if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ $(z \in U)$, such that $f(z)=F(w(z))$. In such a case we write $f(z) \prec F(z)$. In particular, if $F$ is univalent, then $f(z) \prec F(z)$ if and only if $f(0)=F(0)$ and $f(U) \subset F(U)$ (see [1] and [2]).

For two functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hadmard product (or convolution) of $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}=(g * f)(z)
$$

Saitoh [8] introduce a linear operator:

$$
L_{p}(a, c): A_{p} \rightarrow A_{p}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(a, c)=\phi_{p}(a, c ; z) * f(z) \quad(z \in U), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{p}(a, c ; z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} z^{p+k},
$$

and $(a)_{k}$ is the Pochammer symbol. In 2004, Cho, Kwon and Srivastava [4] introduced the linear operator $L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c): A_{p} \rightarrow A_{p}$ analogous to $L_{p}(a, c)$
defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)=\phi_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c ; z) * f(z) \quad\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c ; z)$ is the function defined in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution) by the following condition :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}(a, c ; z) * \phi_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c ; z)=\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\lambda+p}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily find from (1.4) and (1.5) and for the function $f(z) \in A_{p}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k}(c)_{k}}{k!(a)_{k}} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified from (1.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(L_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f\right)^{\prime}(z)=a L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)-(a-p) L_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f\right)^{\prime}(z)=(\lambda+p) L_{p}^{\lambda+1}(a, c) f(z)-\lambda L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove our results, we need the following definitions and lemmas.
Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $q(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} / E(q)$
where

$$
E(q)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $q^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq$ for $\zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$. Further let the subclass of $Q$ for which $q(0)=a$ be denoted by $Q(a), Q(0) \equiv Q_{0}$ and $Q(1) \equiv Q_{1}$.
Definition 1 ([6]). let $\Omega$ be a set in $C, q \in Q$ and $n$ be a positive integer. The class of admissible functions $\Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ consist of those functions $\psi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:

$$
\psi(r, s, t ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
r=q(\zeta), s=k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta), R\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\} \geq k R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$ and $k \geq n$ We write ${ }_{1}[\Omega, q]$ as $\Psi[\Omega, q]$.
Definition 2 ([7]). let $\Omega$ be a set in $C, q(z) \in H[a, n]$ with $q^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ The class of admissible functions $\Psi_{n}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ consist of those functions $\psi: C^{3} \times \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition

$$
\psi(r, s, t ; \zeta) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
r=q(z), s=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{m}, R\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\} \geq \frac{1}{m} R\left\{\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+1\right\}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $m \geq n \geq 1$. In particular , we write $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ as $\Psi^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$.
Lemma 1 ([6]). Let $\psi \in \Psi_{n}[\Omega, q]$ with $q(0)=a$. If the analytic function $p(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\ldots$ satisfies

$$
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec q(z) .
$$

Lemma 2 ([7]). Let $\psi \in \Psi_{n}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ with $q(0)=a$. If $p(z) \in Q(a)$ and $\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ is univalent in $U$ then
implies

$$
\Omega \subset\left\{\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\}
$$

$$
q(z) \prec p(z) .
$$

In the present investigation, the differential subordination result of Miller and Mocanu [ 6,7 ] is extended for analytic functions in the open unit disk, which are associated with Cho - Kwon - Srivastava operator $L_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c)$ $\left(a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p\right)$, and we obtain certain other related results. A simililar problem for analytic functions was Srivastava [5], Aouf and Seoudy [3], Aghalary et al. [1], Ali et al. [2]. Additionally, the corresponding differential superordination problem is investigated, and several sandwichtype result are obtained.

## II. Subordination Results Involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator

$$
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z) .
$$

Definition 3. Let $\Omega$ be a set in C and $q(z) \in Q_{0} \cap H[0, p]$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I}[\Omega, q]$ consist of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ : that satisfy the admissibility condition

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=q(\zeta), v=\frac{k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)+(a-p) q(\zeta)}{a} \\
R\left\{\frac{a(a-1) w-(a-p)(a-p-1) u}{a v-(a-p) u}-2(a-p)+1\right\} \geq k R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q), p \in N, a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}$and $k \geq p$.
Theorem 1. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}[\Omega, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right) .
$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \quad\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the relation (1.7) from (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)+(a-p) p(z)}{a} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further computation show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)=\frac{\left[z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+2(a-p) z p^{\prime}(z)+(a-p)(a-p-1) p(z)\right]}{a(a-1)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the transformation from $C^{3}$ to $C$ by

$$
u=r, v=\frac{s+(a-p) r}{a}, \quad w=\frac{t+2(a-p) s+(a-p)(a-p-1) r}{a(a-1)}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r, s, t ; z)=\phi(u, v, w ; z)=\phi\left(r, \frac{s+(a-p) r}{a}, \frac{t+2(a-p) s+(a-p)(a-p-1) r}{a(a-1)} ; z\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof shall make use of Lemma 1. Using equation (2.2) , (2.3) and (2.4), then from (2.5) , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)=\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.1) becomes

$$
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for is equivalent to the admissibility condition for as given in Definition 1.
Note that

$$
\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\}=\left\{\frac{a(a-1) w-(a-p)(a-p-1) u}{a v-(a-p) u}-2(\lambda+p)+1\right\},
$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi_{p}[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1,

$$
p(z) \prec q(z) \text { or } I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \prec q(z) .
$$

If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain , then $\Omega=h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$. In this case the class $\Phi_{I}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 .
Theorem 2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}[h, q]$, If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \prec q(z),
$$

where $\left(p \in N ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; z \in U\right)$.
Our next result is an extension of Theorem1 to the case where the behavior of $q(z)$, on $\partial U$ is not known.
Corollary 1. Let $\Omega \subset C$ and let $q(z)$, be univalent in $U, q(0)=0$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}\left[\Omega, q_{\rho}\right]$ for some $\rho \in(0,1)$ where $q_{\rho}(z)=q(\rho z)$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \prec q(z)
$$

$$
\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

Proof. Theorem 1 yields $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \prec q_{\rho}(z)$. The result is now deduced from $q_{\rho}(z) \prec q(z)$.
If $q(z)=M z, M>0$, and in view of Definition 1 , The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_{I}[\Omega, M]$ is described below .
Definition 4. let $\Omega$ be a set in $C$ and $M>0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I}[\Omega, M]$ consist of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(M e^{i \theta}, \frac{k+(a-p)}{a} M e^{i \theta}, \frac{L+[2(a-p-1) k+(a-p-1)(a-p-2)] M e^{i \theta}}{a(a-1)} ; z\right) \notin \Omega \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever , $z \in U, \theta \in R, R\left\{L e^{-i \theta}\right\} \geq(k-1) k \mathrm{M}$ for all real $\theta, p \in \mathrm{~N}, a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}$and $k \geq p$.
Corollary 2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}[\Omega, M]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega,
$$

then

$$
\left|I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)\right|<M . \quad\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

In the special case $\Omega=q(U)=\{w:|w|<M\}$, the class $\Phi_{I}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{I}[M]$, then the corollary (2.2) takes the following form .

Corollary 3. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}[M]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right)\right|<M,
$$

then

$$
\left|I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)\right|<M . \quad\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

Now, we introduce a new class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q]$.
Definition 5 . Let $\Omega$ be a set in $C, q \in Q_{0} \cap H_{0}$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=q(\zeta), v=\frac{k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)+(a-1) q(\zeta)}{a} \\
R\left\{\frac{(a-1)[a w-(a-2) u]}{a v-(a-1) u}-2(a-p)+3\right\} \geq k R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q), p \in N, a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}$and $k \geq p$.
Theorem 3. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right): z \in U\right\} \subset \Omega \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \prec q(z) .
$$

$$
\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the view of relation (1.7) and from (2.11) we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}=\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)+(a-1) p(z)}{a} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further computation show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}=\frac{\left[z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)+2(a-1) z p^{\prime}(z)+(a-1)(a-2) p(z)\right]}{a(a-1)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the transformation from $C^{3}$ to $C$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r, v=\frac{s+(a-1) r}{a}, w=\frac{t+2(a-1) s+(a-1)(a-2) r}{a(a-1)} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(r, s, t ; z) & =\phi(u, v, w ; z) \\
& =\phi\left(r, \frac{s+(a-1) r}{a}, \frac{t+2(a-1) s+(a-1)(a-2) r}{a(a-1)} ; z\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof shall make use of Lemma 1. Using equation (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), from (2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)=\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.10) becomes

$$
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi$ as given in Definition 1 .
Note that

$$
\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\}=\left\{\frac{(a-1)[a w-(a-2) u]}{a v-(a-1) u}-2(a-p)+3\right\},
$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi_{p}[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1, $p(z) \prec q(z)$ or

$$
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \prec q(z) .
$$

If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega=h(U)$, for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$ In this case the class $\Phi_{I, 1}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I, 1}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.
Theorem 4. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q]$, If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \prec q(z) . \\
\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $q(z)=M z, M>0$, The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, M]$, is described below .
Definition 6. let $\Omega$ be a set in $C$ and $M>0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, l}[\Omega, q]$, consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(M e^{i \theta}, \frac{k+a-1}{a} M e^{i \theta}, \frac{L+(a-1)\{2 k+(a-2)\} M e^{i \theta}}{a(a-1)} ; z\right) \notin \Omega \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever

$$
z \in U, \theta \in R, R\left\{L e^{-i \theta}\right\} \geq(k-1) k \mathrm{M} \text { for all real } \theta, p \in \mathrm{~N} \text { and } a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}, k \geq p
$$

Corollary 4. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, M]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right) \in \Omega,
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|<M .\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

In the special case $\Omega=q(U)=\{w:|w|<M\}$, the class $\Phi_{I, 1}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{I, 1}[M]$, then the previous Corollary 4 takes the following form .
Corollary 5. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}[M]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right)\right|<M
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|<M .\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

Next, we introduce a new class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, q]$.
Definition7. Let $\Omega$ be a set in $C, q(z) \in Q_{1} \cap H$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=q(\zeta), v=\frac{1}{a-1}\left\{-1+a q(\zeta)+\frac{k \zeta q^{\prime}(\zeta)}{q(\zeta)}\right\} \\
R\left\{\frac{\{(a-2) w-(a-1) v+1\}}{(a-1) v-a u+1}-2 a u+(a-1) v-1\right\} \geq k R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q), p \in N, a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}$and $k \geq p$.
Theorem 5. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, q]$ and $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \neq 0$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right): z \in U\right\} \subset \Omega \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} \prec q(z) . \\
\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.20) , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{z\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)\right)}-\frac{z\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)\right)} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of the relation (1.7) in (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}=\frac{1}{(a-1)}\left\{-1++a p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further computation show that

$$
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}=\frac{1}{(a-1)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-2+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+a p(z)+\frac{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+\frac{z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z)}{p(z)}-\left(\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right)^{2}+a z p^{\prime}(z)}{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+a p(z)-1}\right] \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r, v=\frac{1}{(a-1)}\left\{-1+a r+\frac{s}{r}\right\}, w=\frac{1}{(a-1)}\left\{-2+\frac{s}{r}+a r+\frac{\frac{t}{r}+\frac{s}{r}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}+a s}{\frac{s}{r}+a r-1}\right\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(r, s, t ; z) & =\phi(u, v, w ; z) \\
& =\phi\left(r, \frac{1}{(a-1)}\left\{-1+a r+\frac{s}{r}\right\}, \frac{1}{(a-1)}\left\{-2+\frac{s}{r}+a r+\frac{\frac{t}{r}+\frac{s}{r}-\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2}+a s}{\frac{s}{r}+a r-1}\right\} ; z\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof shall make use of Lemma 1. Using equation (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), then (2.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)=\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.19) becomes

$$
\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi$ as given in Definition1.
Note that

$$
\left\{\frac{t}{s}+1\right\}=\left\{\frac{v\{(a-2) w-(a-1) v+1\}}{(a-1) v-a u+1}-2 a u+(a-1) v+1\right\}
$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi_{p}[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1, $p(z) \prec q(z)$ or $\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} \prec q(z)$.
If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain , then $\Omega=h(U)$, for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$. In this case the class $\Phi_{I, 2}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I, 2}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5 .
Theorem 6. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}[h, q]$ and $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \neq 0$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right) \prec h \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} \prec q(z) . \\
\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $q(z)=M z, M>0$, The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, M]$, is described below . Definition 8. let $\Omega$ be a set in $C$ and $M>0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, M]$ consist of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times U \rightarrow C$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(M e^{i \theta}, \frac{1}{(a-1)}\left(k-1+a M e^{i \theta}\right), \frac{1}{(a-1)}\{ \right. & k-2+a M e^{i \theta} \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{L e^{-i \theta}+k M+a k M^{2}-k^{2} M}{M(k-1)+a M^{2} e^{i \theta}}\right\} ; z\right) \notin \Omega \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

whenever

$$
z \in U, \theta \in R, \mathfrak{R}\left\{L e^{-i \theta}\right\} \geq(k-1) k \mathrm{M} \text { for all real } \theta, p \in \mathrm{~N} ; a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} \text {and } k \geq p
$$

Corollary 6. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, M]$ and $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \neq 0$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right) \in \Omega
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}\right|<M, \quad\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

In the special case $\Omega=q(U)=\{w:|w|<M\}$, the class $\Phi_{I, 2}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{I, 2}[M]$ , then Corollary 6 takes the following form .

Corollary 7. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}[M]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right)\right|<M,
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}\right|<M, \quad\left(p \in N ; \lambda>-p ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; z \in U\right)
$$

III. Superordination of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator

$$
I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)
$$

The dual problem of the differential subordination, that is, differential superordination of the operator $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)$ is investigated in this section. For this purpose the class of the admissible functions is given in the following definition.

Definition 9 . let $\Omega$ be a set in $q(z) \in H[0, p]$ with $z q^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=q(z), v=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)+m(a-p) q(z)}{m a}, \\
R\left\{\frac{a(a-1) w-(a-p)(a-p-1) u}{a v-(a-p) u}-2(a-p)+1\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m} R\left\{\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}+1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U, a \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-}, z \in U$ and $m \geq p$.
Theorem 7. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z) \in Q_{0}$ and

$$
\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \subset\left\{\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(z) \prec I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) . \\
\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. From (2.6) and (3.1), we have

$$
\Omega \subset\left\{\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\}
$$

From (2.5), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{I}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi$ as given in Definition 2. Hence $\psi \in \Psi_{p}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$, and by
Lemma 2, $q(z) \prec p(z)$ or $q(z) \prec I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)$.
If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega=h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$ In this case the class $\Phi_{I}^{\prime}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I}^{\prime}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7 .
Theorem 8. Let $h(z)$ be analytic on $U$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{I}^{\prime}[h, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z) \in Q_{0}$ and

$$
\phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z), I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z) ; z\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)
$$

Now, we introduce a new class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$.
Definition 9. Let $\Omega$ be a set in $C, q(z) \in H_{0}$ with $z q^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition :
whenever

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; \zeta) \in \Omega
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=q(z), v=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)+m(a-1) q(z)}{m a} \\
R\left\{\frac{a(a-1) w-(a-2) u}{a v-(a-1) u}-2(a-p)+3\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m} R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $m \geq p$.
Now, we will give the dual result of Theorem 3 for differential superordination.
Theorem 9. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p), \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \in Q_{0}$ and

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \subset\left\{\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right): z \in U\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \\
&\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From (2.16) and (3.3 ), we have

$$
\Omega \subset\left\{\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\}
$$

From (2.12), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi$ as given in Definition 2. Hence $\psi \in \Psi^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ and by
Lemma 2, $q(z) \prec p\left(z \quad\right.$ or $q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}$.
If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega=h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$ In this case the class $\Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 9.

Theorem 10. Let $q(z) \in H_{0}, h(z)$ be univalent in $U$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 1}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$,
$\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} \in Q_{0}$ and

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} ; z\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}{z^{p-1}} . \\
\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right)
\end{array}
$$

Finally, we introduce down a new class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$.
Definition 10. let $\Omega$ be a set in $\mathrm{C}, q(z) \neq 0, z q^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ and $q(z) \in H$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi: C^{3} \times \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ that satisfy the admissibility condition

$$
\phi(u, v, w ; z) \notin \Omega
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{aligned}
u=q(z), v= & \frac{1}{a-1}\left\{-1+a q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{m q(z)}\right\}, \\
& R\left\{\frac{\{(a-2) w-(a-1) v+1\} v}{(a-1) v-a u+1}+(a-1) u-2 a v+1\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m} R\left\{\frac{\zeta q^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)}{q^{\prime}(\zeta)}+1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $m \geq 1$.
Now, we will give the dual result of theorem 5 .
Theorem 11. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}\left[\Omega, q\right.$. If $f(z) \in A(p), \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} \in Q_{1}$ and

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \subset \phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)} .
$$

$$
\left(z \in U ; a, c \in R \backslash Z_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p ; p \in N\right) .
$$

Proof. From (2.26) and (3.5), we have

$$
\Omega \subset\left\{\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right): z \in U\right\}
$$

From (2.24), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi$ as given in Definition 2. Hence $\psi \in \Psi^{\prime}[\Omega, q]$, and by
lemma 2, $q(z) \prec p(z)$ or $q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}$.
If $\Omega \neq C$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega=h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $U$ onto $\Omega$ In this case the class $\Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[h, q]$.
The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11.
Theorem 12. Let $h(z)$ be analytic in $U$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{I, 2}^{\prime}[h, q]$. If $f(z) \in A(p), \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)} \in Q_{1}$ and

$$
\phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a+1, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)}, \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-2, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)} ; z\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a-1, c) f(z)}{I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f(z)} .
$$
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Abstract - Higher income may be a precondition for healthy environment and better health services. There is considerable evidence and academic debate regarding relationships between per capital income and various health indicators including child mortality. In this paper, we proposed a twovariable reciprocal regression model to establish the relationship between child mortality and per capital income. The method of ordinary least squares and some statistical inference were employed to analyse critically and ascertain the relationships between the two variables. From the analysis, it was discovered by the test of significance of regression, that there exist a relationship between the child mortality and per capital income at 5percent level of significance.
Keywords : Per capital Income, Mortality, Reciprocal regression model ordinary least squares technique, statistical Inference.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Child mortality is defined as the number of deaths of children under the age of five in a given year per one thousand children in this age group. Income is defined as the money that is received as the results of normal business activities of an individual.

The determinants of child mortality change in less developed countries are not easy to unravel. Improvements in health technology and education play an important role, but effects of these factors are difficult to identify. The variables tend to be collinear with each other and with many other aspects of development, making their isolation difficult.

Identifying the impact of factors which are directly associated with health, is worthwhile for purposes of policy formulation but it may not be critical for a description of child mortality changes in the process of development. Behind these specific variables, the overall economic status of individuals is likely to dominate health changes through nutrition and other aspects of consumption because economic status is a close correlate and determinant of many of the more specific variables noted above.

Higher income may be a precondition for healthier environment and better health services. Thus, for general empirical analysis, it is quite reasonable to propose a sequence of causation which goes from income to child mortality via a number of intermediate variables. This is what this paper attempts to do.

[^8]
## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data used in this paper are in respect of child mortality and per capital income for 64 countries for 2005. The data is a secondary. The data collected was analyzed using the following technique:

## a) Reciprocal Regression Mode/

We use the two-variable reciprocal regression model of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model is non-linear in the variable $x_{i}$ because it enters inversely or reciprocally but linear in parameters $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ which are the intercept and the slope respectively.

The model is therefore a linear regression model and has the feature that as $x_{i}$ increases indefinitely, the term $\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)$ approaches zero and $y_{i}$ approaches the limiting or asymptotic value $\beta_{1}$

## b) Parameter Estimation

The parameters of $y_{i}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}$ in
(1) above can be estimated using the least square method so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \sum \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}}-\sum\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right) \sum y_{i}}{\mathrm{n} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\bar{y}-\beta_{2}\left(\frac{\sum \frac{1}{x}}{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Test of Significance of Linear Regression

This is used to test the significance of the linear relationship between child mortality $y_{i}$ and per capital income $x_{i}$.

That is, testing the significance of the parameters. The null and alternative hypotheses are of the form

| Source of variation | Sum of Squares | d.f. | Mean Squares | $F_{\text {calculued }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Due to Regression | $\beta_{2} S_{x y}$ | 1 | $\beta_{2} S_{x y}$ | MSR / MSE |
| Due to residuals | $S_{y y}-\beta_{2} S_{x y}$ | $\mathrm{n}-2$ | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | $S_{y y}-\beta_{2} S_{x y} / \mathrm{n}-2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $S_{y y}$ |  |  |  |

Where d.f. depicts the degrees of freedom and the critical value of F (called the tabulated value) is given by $F_{\alpha}(1, n-2)$ and $\alpha$ is the level of significance. We reject the null hypothesis if $F_{\text {calculuted }}>F_{\alpha}(1, n-2)$ and conclude that there exists no significant relationship between the variables.

## iII. Results and Discussions

a) Reciprocal regression model and its Parameter Estimates

By using the reciprocal model (1) of $y$ on $x$, we obtain
$\beta_{2}=1.92$ and $\beta_{1}=141.5$, therefore,
$H_{0}: \beta_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \beta_{2} \neq 0$
$H_{0}: \beta_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \beta_{2} \neq 0$ respectively.
This is done using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) table below

$$
y_{i}=141.51+92 \quad\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}\right)
$$

$E\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=0$ by the ordinary least square assumption. This shows that an increase in per capital income would cause a decrease in child mortality.

## b) Test of Significance of Regression

In testing the statistical significance of regression, it is necessary to test the relationship between the concerned variables $x$ and $y$. This is carried out and presented in the following ANOVA table.

| Source of variation | Sum of Squares | d.f. | Mean Squares |  | $F_{0.05}(1,62)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regression | 36.66 | 1 | 36.66 | 0.008 | 3.84 |
| Error | 278236.34 | 62 | 4487.68 |  |  |
| Total | 278273 | 63 |  |  |  |

$F_{\text {catculuced }}<F_{\alpha}(1, n-2)$ at five percent level of significance ( $0.008<3.84$ )

We accept the null hypothesis and conclude that per capital income affects child mortality. That is, there exists a relationship between the two variables.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis carried out, we arrived at the following conclusions:
(i) An increase in per capital income would cause a decrease in child mortality. This we found from the regression analysis.
(ii) The test of hypothesis from the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) shows that there is a significant relationship between child mortality and per capital income at 5percent level of significance.

## V. ReCOMmENDATION

Based on the above conclusions, the following recommendations were made:
(i) Government should provide free health care services so that low income earners would be able to access them.
(ii) Funds should also be made available by private organizations or firms in form of social development scheme to health sectors to improve medical facilities and personnel.
(iii) UNICEF and other organizations such as World Bank, UNDP should aim the provision of portable water supplies to rural populace of undeveloped and developing countries.
(iv) New findings and development in medicine which include introduction of vaccines for certain diseases should be encouraged to improve the health of infants and children especially in developing countries.
(v) Health extension programmes such as immunization should be extended from national to grassroot level. This will ensure infant survival rate.
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# Fourth-Order Four Point Sturn-Liouville Boundary Value Problem With Non homogeneous Conditions 

Djibibe Moussa Zakari ${ }^{\alpha}$, Tcharie Kokou ${ }^{\Omega}$

Abstract - In this paper, the sucient conditions are given for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the following nonlinear Sturn-Liouville boundary value problem with non homogeneous four point boundary conditions :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<1 \\
u^{\prime}(0)-h_{0} u(0)=\alpha_{0} \\
u^{\prime}(1)-h_{1} u(1)=\alpha_{1} \\
a_{1} u^{(3)}\left(t_{1}\right)-b_{1} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \\
a_{2} u^{(3)}\left(t_{2}\right)+b_{2} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq 1$ and $\lambda_{1}$ et $\lambda_{2}$ are nonegative parameters.
The dependence continue of the solution on the parameters $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ is also investigated.
Keywords and phrases: Fourth-order, Four points, Sturn-Liouville, Boundary value problem, Nonhomogeneous, Cone, Concave, Fixed point, Green's function, Dontinuous dependence, Positive solution.

## I. INTRODUCTION

 ulti-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in variety of areas of applied biologics, chemics, mathematics and physics have been studied. For details, see for exemple, [1], [4] -[11] and referencs therein.In particular, in a recent article [4], Sun and Wang studied a four-point boundary value problem of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{(4)}(t)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})), 0<\mathrm{t}<1 \\
& \alpha u(0)-\beta u^{\prime}(0)=\gamma u(1)+\delta u^{\prime}(1)=0 \\
& a u^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-b u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=-\lambda, c u^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+d u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=-\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

In [2], Kong and Kong, investigated following multi-point boundary value problem :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}(t)+a(t) f(u)=0 \\
& u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} u\left(t_{i}\right)+\lambda, u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} u\left(t_{i}\right)+\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are nonegative parameter. They derived some conditions for the above boundary value problems to have a unique solution and then studied the dependence of this solution on the parameters $\lambda$ and $\mu$. In another paper [5], Ricardo and Luis :

[^9]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), 0<t<2 \pi \\
& u(0)=u(2 \pi), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(2 \pi) \\
& u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(2 \pi), u^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(2 \pi) \\
& u(0)=u(\pi)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(\pi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

In the present paper, being inspired by [2] and [5], we investigated the fourth - order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right), 0<\mathrm{t}<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the four-point nonhomogeneous Sturn-Liouville boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}(0)-h_{0} u(0)=\alpha_{0}  \tag{1.2}\\
& u^{\prime}(1)-h_{1} u(1)=\alpha_{1}  \tag{1.3}\\
& a_{1} u^{(3)}\left(t_{1}\right)-b_{1} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}  \tag{1.4}\\
& a_{2} u^{(3)}\left(t_{2}\right)+b_{2} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq 1$ and $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are nonnegative parameters.
We investigated the existence, uniqueness and parameter dependence continuous solution of the problem (1.1) -(1.5).
We will suppose the following conditions are satisfied :
Conditions 1.1
$\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, h_{0}, h_{1}, a_{1}, b_{1}$ are nonegative constants and $a_{2}, b_{2}$ negative constants such that

$$
\mathrm{a}=-\mathrm{h}_{0}+\mathrm{h}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{0} \mathrm{~h}_{1}>0 \text { and } \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}-\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1}>0 .
$$

## Conditions 1.2

$$
h_{1} \alpha_{0}-h_{0} \alpha_{1}>0, \quad \alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}-h_{1}>0, \quad a_{1}-b_{1} t_{1}>0, \quad-b_{2} t_{2}-a_{2}>0
$$

## Conditions 1.3

- $f:\left[0,+\infty\left[\times\left[0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ is continuous and monotone increasing in $u$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$.
- There exists $0 \leq r<1$, such that: $k^{r} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq f\left(t, k u(t), k u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)$ for all $t \in(0,1)$, and $k \in(0,1)$.


## iI. Preliminaries and Some Basic Lemmas

## Definition 1

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a reel Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{E}}$ and K a nonempty closed convex set of $\mathbb{E}$.

1. K is said to be cone if $\alpha \mathrm{K} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{K} \cap(-K)=\left\{0_{\mathbb{E}}\right\}$.
2. Every cone $K$ in $\mathbb{E}$ definies a partial ordering in $\mathbb{E}$ by $x \leq y \Longleftrightarrow x-y \in K$.
3. A cone $K$ is said to be normal if there existe $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
0 \leq x \leq y \Longrightarrow\|x\|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq \lambda\|y\|_{\mathbb{E}} .
$$

4. A cone $K$ is said to be solid if the interior $\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{K}$ of $K$ is nonempty.
5. An operator $A: \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}} \longrightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}}$ is called r -concave if

$$
k^{r} A(u) \leq A(k u) \text { for all } 0 \leq k \leq 1, u \in \stackrel{\circ}{K} \text {, }
$$

where K is a solid cone and $0 \leq \mathrm{r}<1$.
Lemma 2.1
Let E be $u$ Banach space, K be a normal solid cone in $\mathrm{E}, 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<1$ and $\mathrm{A}: \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}} \longrightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}}$ is $a \mathrm{r}_{-}$ concave increasing operateur. Then $A$ has a unique fixed point in $\stackrel{\circ}{K}$.
proof
The proof of this lemma 2.1 is the same as [1]
Lemma 2.2
Suppose $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. If $g(t) \in C([0,1])$ and $g(t) \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$, the nonhomogeneous boundary value problem :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{(4)}(t)=g(t), \quad 0<t<1 \\
& u^{\prime}(0)-h_{0} u(0)=\alpha_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(1)-h_{1} u(1)=\alpha_{1} \\
& a_{1} u^{(3)}\left(t_{1}\right)-b_{1} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}, \quad a_{2} u^{(3)}\left(t_{2}\right)-b_{2} u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

has a unique solution

$$
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) g(y) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
K_{1}(t, x)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(1+h_{0} x\right)\left(1+h_{1}(1-t)\right)}{a}, & 0 \leq x \leq t \leq 1 \\
\frac{\left(1+h_{0} t\right)\left(1+h_{1}(1-x)\right)}{a}, & 0 \leq t \leq x \leq 1\end{cases} \\
K_{2}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(b_{1}\left(y-t_{1}\right)+a_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}\left(x-t_{2}\right)-a_{2}\right)}{b}, & y \leq x, t_{1} \leq y \leq t_{2} \\
\frac{\left(b_{1}\left(x-t_{1}\right)+a_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}\left(y-t_{2}\right)-a_{2}\right)}{b}, & x \leq y, t_{1} \leq x \leq t_{2}\end{cases} \\
h(t)=\frac{\left(h_{1} \alpha_{0}-h_{0} \alpha_{1}\right) t}{a}+\frac{\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1}-h_{1}}{a}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{b} \int_{0}^{1}\left(b_{2}\left(x-t_{2}\right)-a_{2}\right) K_{1}(t, x) d x, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof
Putting $u^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})=w(\mathrm{t}), 0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 1$.
By vertue of boundaries conditions (1.2)-(1.5), we obtain two following Sturn-Liouville boundary value problems :

The Green's fonctions for Sturn-Liouville problems ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ are respectively $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

## Lemma 2.3

Let conditions 1.1 and 1.2 be fulfilled. Then

1. $K_{1}(t, x)>0$ and $K_{2}(t, y)>0$ for $t, x \in[0,1]$ and $y \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.
2. $h(t)>0, \varphi_{1}(t)>0$ and $\varphi_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0,1]$.

## iil. Main Results

Throughout this article, for $k=0,1, \cdots$, we denote by $C^{k}[0,1]$ the Banach space of all $k$ th continuously differentiable functions $\mathfrak{u}(\mathrm{t})$ on $[0,1]$ with the norm $\|\mathfrak{u}\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\{|\mathfrak{u}(\mathrm{t})|,\left|\mathfrak{u}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})\right|, \cdots\left|\mathfrak{u}^{k}(\mathrm{t})\right|\right\}$ and let $\mathrm{E}=$ $C^{2}[0,1]$. We denote by $L[0,1]$ the Banach space of all integrable functions $u(t)$ on $[0,1]$ with the norm $\|u\|_{[[0,1]}=\int_{0}^{1}|u(x)| d x$.

## Theorem 3.1 (Existence)

Let conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) be fulfilled. Then the nonhomogeneous Sturn-Liouville boundary value problem has a unique positive solution $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t)$ for all $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$.

## Proof

Let $\mathrm{K}=\{\mathrm{u} \in \mathbb{E}: u(\mathrm{t}) \geq 0,0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 1\}$. Then K is a normal solid cone in $\mathbb{E}$ and his interior is defined by $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}}=\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E}: \mathbf{u}(\mathrm{t})>0,0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 1\}$.
The rest of the proof is based on the following proposition

## Proposition 3.1

Let $A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}: \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}} \longrightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{K}}$ an operator define for any $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$ by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(u(t))=\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) f\left(y, u(y), u^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ is $r$-concave with $0 \leq r<1$.

## Proof of proposition 3.1

Let $k \in[0,1]$ and $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{K}$, it follows from (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(k u(t))=\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) f\left(y, k u(y), k u^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By vertue the conditions (1.3), we obtain

$$
A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(k u(t)) \geq k^{r} \int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) f\left(y, u(y), u^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t) .
$$

Therefore, by inequality $h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t) \geq k^{r}\left\{h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t)\right\}$ and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(k u(t)) \geq k^{r}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) f\left(y, u(y), u^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t)\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4), We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(u(t)) \leq k^{r} A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(k u(t)) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rest of proof of theorem (3.1)
It follows from lemma 2.1 and proposition 3.1 that $A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ has a unique fixed point $u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}} \in \stackrel{\circ}{K}$, which is the unique positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.5). This completes the proof.

## Lemma 3.1

Under the conditions of Theorem (3.1). The solution $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ of the boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.5) satisfies the following propertie :

$$
\lim _{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow(+\infty,+\infty)}\left\|\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(\mathrm{t})\right\|_{\mathbb{E}}=+\infty
$$

## Proof

By virtue of the lemma 2.3, and the definition of $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t) & =A_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t)\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} K_{1}(t, x) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} K_{2}(x, y) f\left(y, u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(y), u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(y)\right) d y d x+h(t)+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \leq\left\|u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(\mathrm{t})\right\|_{\mathbb{E}} .
$$

It is clear that $\lim _{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow(+\infty,+\infty)}\left[\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(t)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(t)\right]=+\infty$.
From this last limit, we conclude :

$$
\lim _{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow(+\infty,+\infty)}\left\|u_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(\mathrm{t})\right\|_{\mathbb{E}}=+\infty .
$$

The proof of lemma 3.1 is complete.

## Theorem 3.2 (Continuous dependence)

Under the conditions of previous theorem. The solution $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$ of the boundary value problem (1.1)(1.5) , $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t)$ is continuous in $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$.

## Proof

Let $\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}^{1}, \lambda_{2}^{1}\right)$, such that $(0,0)<\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)<\left(\lambda_{1}^{1}, \lambda_{2}^{1}\right)\left(0<\lambda_{1}^{0}<\lambda_{1}^{1}\right.$ and $\left.0<\lambda_{2}^{0}<\lambda_{2}^{1}\right)$.
Put $\bar{n}=\left\{n>0 ; u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}}(t) \leq n u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}}(t), t \in[0,1]\right\}$.
We assert that $\bar{n} \leq 1$.
Thus, we obtain $u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}}(t) \leq n u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}(t)$, for $t \in[0,1]$.
Since $A_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}$ is strictly increasing in $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)=A_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)\right) \leq A_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}(t)\right)<A_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}(t)\right)=u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}(t) \\
& u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)<u_{\lambda_{1}^{1} \lambda_{2}^{1}}(t), \text { for } t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)$ is also strictly increasing in $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$.
For any $\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)>(0,0)$, we suppose $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)$, with $\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)<\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.
We have easily, $u_{\lambda_{1}^{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{0}}}(t)<u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t), t \in[0,1]$.
Put $\bar{m}=\left\{m>0, u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t) \leq m u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t), t \in[0,1]\right\}$
Then $\bar{m} \geq 1$, and $u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t) \leq \frac{1}{m} u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
Set $\Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}=\min \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{0}}, \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}^{0}}\right)$.
That implies $\Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}} \geq 1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)=A_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)\right) \geq A_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{m}} u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& A_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{m}} u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right)>\frac{1}{\Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}} A_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{m}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& A_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m} u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right) \geq \frac{1}{\bar{m}^{r}} A_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right)=\frac{1}{\bar{m}^{r}} u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t), \quad r, t \in[0,1] \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we can easily obtain :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)<\bar{m}^{r} \Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}} u_{\lambda_{1}^{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{0}}}(t), \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.9) and the definition of $\bar{m}$, it follows that

$$
\overline{\mathrm{m}} \leq \Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}^{\frac{1}{1-r}}, 0 \leq r \leq 1
$$

And so

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t) \leq \bar{m} u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t) \leq\left(\Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}^{\frac{1}{1-r}} u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t), 0 \leq r \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq 1 .\right. \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virty of (3.10), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)-u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)\right\| \leq\left(\Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}^{\frac{1}{1-r}}-1\right)\left\|u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}^{0}}(t)\right\|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.11) and the fact that $\lim _{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)} \Omega_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}=1$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \lambda_{2}^{0}\right)}\left\|u_{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}(t)-u_{\lambda_{1}^{0} \lambda_{2}}(t)\right\|=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, finaly, $\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}(t)$ is continuous in $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. This complete the proof of theorem 3.2.
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It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

## Format

Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min , except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 I rather than $1.4 \times 10-3 \mathrm{~m} 3$, or 4 mm somewhat than $4 \times 10-3 \mathrm{~m}$. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

## Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the email address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

## Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

## Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

## Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art.A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:

- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.

## References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author's name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

## Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication
Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: $>650 \mathrm{dpi}$.

Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.

## 6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

### 6.1 Proof Corrections

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded
(Free of charge) from the following website:
www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.
As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

### 6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing's Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors' final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

### 6.3 Author Services

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

### 6.4 Author Material Archive Policy

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy \& electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

### 6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher's terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org .
the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.
2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.
3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.
4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.
5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.
6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.
7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.
8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.
9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.
10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.
11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.
12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.
13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.
14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.
15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.
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16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.
17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.
18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.
19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.
20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.
21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.
22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.
23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.
24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.
25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.
26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.
27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.
28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.
29. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.
30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.
31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be
sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.
32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.
33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.
34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

## Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

## Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.


## Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

## General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

- Adhere to recommended page limits


## Mistakes to evade

Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page

- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

- Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)
- Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper
- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)
- Align the primary line of each section
- Present your points in sound order
- Use present tense to report well accepted
- Use past tense to describe specific results
- Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives
- Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results


## Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.

## Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript-must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to
shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else


## Introduction:

The Introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.
- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.


## Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic
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principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.


## Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.


## Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.

## Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form. What to stay away from
- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text


## Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.


## Administration Rules Listed Before <br> Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.


- The major constraint is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.
- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.
- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).

| Topics | Grades |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A-B | C-D | E-F |
| Abstract | Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below | Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form | No specific data with ambiguous information |
|  |  | Above 200 words | Above 250 words |
| Introduction | Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited | Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter | Out of place depth and content, hazy format |
| Methods and Procedures | Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads | Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed | Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning |
| Result | Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake | Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend | Irregular format with wrong facts and figures |
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