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# Common Fixed Point Theorems for Single and Set - Valued Maps in Non - Archimedean Fuzzy 

 Metric SpacesT. K. Samanta ${ }^{\alpha}$ \& Sumit Mohinta ${ }^{\sigma}$


#### Abstract

The intent of this paper is to establish a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of occasionally weakly compatible single and set-valued maps satisfying a strict contractive condition in a non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space.


Keywords : Occasionally weakly compatible maps, implicit relation, common fixed point theorems, Strict contractive condition, fuzzy metric space.

## I. Introduction

Ever since the concept of fuzzy sets was coined by Zadeh [9] in 1965 to describe the situation in which data are imprecise or vague or uncertain. Consequently, the last three decades remained productive for various authors $[1,11,13]$ etc. and they have extensively developed the theory of fuzzy sets due to a wide range of application in the field of population dynamics , chaos control, computer programming, medicine, etc.

Kramosil and Michalek [10] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces (briefly, FMspaces) in 1975, which opened a new avenue for further development of analysis in such spaces. Later on it is modified and a few concepts of mathematical analysis have been developed in fuzzy metric space by George and Veeramani [1, 2]. In fact, the concepts of fixed point theorem have been developed in fuzzy metric space in the paper [12]. In recent years several fixed point theorems for single and set valued maps are proved and have numerous applications and by now, there exists a considerable rich literature in this domain.

Various authors [7, 8, 3] have discussed and studied extensively various results on coincidence, existence and uniqueness of fixed and common fixed points by using the concept of weak commutativity, compatibility, non - compatibility and weak compatibility for single and set valued maps satisfying certain contractive conditions in different spaces and that have been applied to diverse problems.

The intent of this paper is to establish a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of casionally weakly compatible single and set - valued maps satisfying a strict contractive condition in a non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space.

## II. Preliminaries

We quote some definitions and statements of a few theorems which will be needed in the sequel.

[^0]Definition $2.1[5]$ A binary operation * : $[0,1] \times[0,1] \longrightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous $\mathrm{t}-$ norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions :
i.) is commutative and associative;
ii.) is continuous;
iii.) $\quad a * 1=a \quad \forall a \in[0,1]$;
iv.) $\quad a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c, b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in[0,1]$.

Result 2.2 [6]
i.) For any $r_{1}, r_{2} \in(0,1)$ with $r_{1}>r_{2}$, there exist $r_{3} \in(0,1)$ such that $r_{1} * r_{2}>r_{2}$,
ii.) For any $r_{5} \in(0,1)$, there exist $r_{6} \in(0,1)$ such that $r_{6} * r_{6} \geq r_{5}$.

Definition 2.3 [ 1 ] The 3-tuple $(X, \mu, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space if $X$ is an arbitrary non-empty set, $*$ is a continuous t - norm and $\mu$ is a fuzzy set in $X^{2} \times(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions :
i.) $\mu(x, y, t)>0$;
ii.) $\mu(x, y, t)=1$ if and only if $x=y$;
iii.) $\mu(x, y, t)=\mu(y, x, t)$;
iv.) $\mu(x, y, s) * \mu(y, z, t) \leq \mu(x, z, s+t)$;
v.) $\mu(x, y, \cdot):(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0,1]$ is continuous ;
for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s>0$.
Note that $\mu(x, y, t)$ can be thought of as the degree of nearness between $x$ and $y$ with respect to $t$.
Example 2.4 Let $X=[0, \infty), a * b=a b$ for every $a, b \in[0,1]$ and $d$ be the usual metric defined on $X$. Define $\mu(x, y, t)=e^{-\frac{d(x, y)}{t}}$ for all $x, y$ in $X$. Then clearly $(X, \mu, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space.
Example 2.5 Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, and let $a * b=a b$ or $a * b=\min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in[0,1]$. Let $\mu(x, y, t)=\frac{t}{t+d(x, y)}$ or all $x, y \in X \quad$ and $t>0$. Then $(X, \mu, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space and this fuzzy metric $\mu$ induced by $d$ is called the standard fuzzy metric [1].
Note 2.6 George and Veeramani [1] proved that every fuzzy metric space is a metrizable topological space. In this paper, also they have proved, if $(X, d)$ is a metric space then the topology generated by $d$ coincides with the topology generated by the fuzzy metric $\mu$ of example ( 2.5 ). As a result, we can say that an ordinary metric space is a special case of fuzzy metric space.
Note 2.7 Consider the following condition :

$$
\left(i v^{\prime}\right) \mu(x, y, s) * \mu(y, z, t) \leq \mu(x, z, \max \{s, t\})
$$

If the condition (iv) in the definition (2.3) is replaced by the condition ( $i v^{\prime}$ ), the fuzzy
metric space $(X, \mu, *)$ is said to be a non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space .
Remark 2.8 In fuzzy metric space $X$, for all $x, y \in X, \mu(x, y, \cdot)$ is non- decreasing with respect to the variable $t$. It is easy to see that every non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space is also a fuzzy metric space.
In fact, in a non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space,

$$
\mu(x, y, t) \geq \mu(x, z, t) * \mu(z, y, t) \text { for all } x, y, z \in X, t>0
$$

Throughout the paper $X$ will represent the non - Archimedean fuzzy metric space $(X, \mu, *)$ and $C B(X)$, the set of all non-empty closed and bounded sub-set of $X$. We recall these usual notations : for $x \in X, A \subseteq X$ and for every $t>0$,

$$
\mu(x, A, t)=\max \{\mu(x, y, t): y \in A\}
$$

and let H be the associated Hausdorff fuzzy metric on $C B(X)$, for every $A, B$ in $C B(X)$

$$
H(A, B, t)=\min \left\{\min _{x \in A} \mu(x, B, t), \min _{x \in B} \mu(A, y, t)\right\}
$$

Definition2.9 A sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ is said to be convergent to a subset $A$ of $X$ if
i.) given $a \in A$, there is a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $a_{n} \in A_{n}$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$, and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converges to $a$.
ii.) given $\in>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $A_{n} \subseteq A_{\in}$ for $n>N$ where $A_{\in}$ is the union of all open spheres with centers in $A$ and radius $\in$.
Definition 2.10 A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point (resp. fixed point ) of

$$
A: X \rightarrow X, B: X \rightarrow C B(X) \text { if } A x \in B x(\text { resp. } x=A x \in B x)
$$

Definition 2.11 Maps $A: X \rightarrow X, B: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ are said to be compatible if $A B x \in C B(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(A B x_{n}, B A x_{n}, t\right)=1
$$

whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $B x_{n} \rightarrow M \in C B(X)$ and $A x_{n} \rightarrow x \in M$.
Definition 2.12 Maps $A: X \rightarrow X$ and $B: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points. ie., if $A B x=B A x$ whenever $A x \in B x$.
Definition 2.13 Maps $A: X \rightarrow X$ and $B: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ are said to be occasionally weakly compatible ( owc ) if there exists some point $x \in X$ such that $A x \in B x$ and $A B x \subseteq B A x$.
Example 2.14 Let $X=[1, \infty[$ with the usual metric. Define $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $F: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ by, for all $x \in X, f x=x+1, F x=[1, x+1]$. We see that $f x=x+1 \in F x$ and $f F x=[2, x+2] \subset$ Ff $x=[1, x+2]$.

Hence, $f$ and $F$ are occasionally weakly compatible but not weakly compatible.
Definition 2.15 Let $F: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ be a set - valued map on $X . x \in X$ is a fixed point of $F$ if $x \in F x$, and is a strict fixed point of $F$ if $F x=\{x\}$.
Property 2.16 Let $A$ and $B \in C B(X)$, then for any $a \in A$, we have $\mu(a, B, t) \geq H(A, B, t)$. Proof Obvious.

## III. A Strict Fixed Point Theorem

Theorem 3.1 Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings and $F, G: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be set - valued mappings such that the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc. Let $\varphi: R^{6} \rightarrow R$ be a real valued map satisfying the following conditions
$\left(\varphi_{1}\right): \varphi$ is increasing in variables $t_{2}, t_{5}$ and $t_{6} ;$
$\left(\varphi_{2}\right): \varphi(u(t), u(t), 1,1, u(t), u(t))>1$ for all $u(t) \in[0,1)$.
If, for all $x$ and $y \in X$ for which

$$
\begin{gathered}
(*) \varphi(H(F x, G y, t), \mu(f x, g y, t), \mu(f x, F x, t), \mu(g y, G y, t), \mu(f x, G y, t) \\
\mu(g y, F x, t))<1
\end{gathered}
$$

then $f, g, F$ and $G$ have a unique fixed point which is a strict fixed point for $F$ and $G$.
Proof
i.) We begin to show existence of a common fixed point. Since the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc then , there exist $u, v$ in $X$ such that $f u \in F u, g v \in G v$, $f F u \subseteq F f u$ and $g G v \subseteq G g v$. Also, using the triangle inequality and Property (2.16) , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(f u, g v, t) \geq H(F u, G v, t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right) \geq H(F f u, G v, t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that $g v=f u$. The condition (*) implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(H(F u, G v, t), \mu(f u, g v, t), \mu(f u, F u, t), \mu(g v, G v, t), \mu(f u, G v, t) \\
\mu(g v, F u, t))<1 \\
\Rightarrow \varphi(H(F u, G v, t), \mu(f u, g v, t), 1,1, \mu(f u, G v, t), \mu(g v, F u, t))<1
\end{gathered}
$$

By $\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\varphi(H(F u, G v, t), H(F u, G v, t), 1,1, H(F u, G v, t), H(F u, G v, t))<1
$$

which from $\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ gives $H(F u, G v, t)=1$. So , $F u=G v$ and by (1) $f u=g v$. Again by (2), we have

$$
\mu\left(f^{2} u, f u, t\right) \geq H(F f u, G v, t)
$$

Next, we claim that $f^{2} u=f u$. The condition (*) implies that $\varphi\left(H(F f u, G v, t), \mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right), \mu\left(f^{2} u, F f u, t\right), \mu(g v, G v, t), \mu\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right)\right.$ $\mu(g v, F f u, t))<1$
$\Rightarrow \varphi\left(H(F f u, G v, t), \mu\left(f^{2} u, f u, t\right), 1,1, \mu\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right), \mu(f u, F f u, t)\right)<1 \mathrm{~B}$ y $\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$ we have
$\Rightarrow \varphi(H(F f u, G v, t), H(F f u, G v, t), 1,1, H(F f u, G v, t), H(F f u, G v, t))<1$ Which, from $\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ gives $H(F f u, G v, t)=1$.

By (2) we obtain $f^{2} u=f u$. Since $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ have the same role, we have $g v=g^{2} v$. Therefore,

$$
f f u=f u=g v=g g v=g f u
$$

and $f u=f^{2} u \in f F u \subset F f u$
So $f u \in F f u$ and $f u=g f u \in G f u$. Then $f u$ is common fixed point of $f, g, F$ and $G$.
ii.) Now, we show uniqueness of the common fixed point. Put $f u=w$ and let $w^{\prime}$ be another common fixed point of the four maps, then we have

$$
\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)=\mu(f w, g \quad w t) \geq H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)
$$

by (*) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \varphi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), \mu(f w, g \text { 'wt }), \mu(f w, F w, t), \mu\left(g \text { 'wGw',t), } \mu\left(f w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\qquad \mu\left(g w^{\prime}, F w, t\right)\right)<1 \\
& \Rightarrow \varphi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), \mu\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right), 1,1, \mu\left(f w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), \mu\left(g w^{\prime}, F w, t\right)\right)<1 \mathrm{By} \\
& \left(\varphi_{1}\right) \text { we get } \\
& \varphi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), 1,1, H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right), H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right)<1 \text { So } \\
& \text { by }\left(\varphi_{2}\right), H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)=1 \text { and from }(3), \text { we have }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mu\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right)=\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)=1 \Rightarrow w=w^{\prime}
$$

iii.) Let $w \in F f u$. Using the triangle inequality and Property (2.16) , we have

$$
\mu(f u, w, t) \geq \mu(f u, F f u, t) * H(F f u, G v, t) * \mu(w, G v, t)
$$

Since $f u \in F f u$ and $H(F f u, G v, t)=1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu(w, f u, t) \geq \mu(w, G v, t) \geq H(F f u, G v, t)=1 \\
\text { So, } w=f u \text { and } F f u=\{f u\}=\{g v\}=G g v .
\end{gathered}
$$

This completes the proof .

## IV. A Type Gregus Fixed Point Theorem

Theorem 4.1 Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings and $F, G: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be set - valued mappings such that that the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc. Let $\psi: \square \rightarrow \square$ be a nondecreasing map such that for every $0 \leq l<1, \psi(l)>l$ and satisfies the following condition :
(*) $H^{p}(F x, G y, t) \geq \psi\left[a \mu^{p}(f x, g y, t)+(1-a) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}(g y, F x, t) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}(f x, G y, t)\right]$
for all $x$ and $y \in X$, where $0 \leq a<1$ and $p \geq 1$.
Then $f, g, F$ and $G$ have a unique fixed point which is a strict fixed point for $F$ and $G$. Proof

Since the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc, as in proof of theorem (3.1), there exist $u, v \in X \quad$ such that $f u \in F u, g v \in G v, f F u \subseteq F f u$ and $g G v \subseteq G g v$ and (1) , (2) holds.
i.) As in proof of theorem (3•1) , we begin to show existence of a common fixed point. We have,

$$
H^{p}(F u, G v, t) \geq \psi\left[a \mu^{p}(f u, g v, t)+(1-a) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}(g v, F u, t) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}(f u, G v, t)\right]
$$

and by (1) and Property (2•16),

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{p}(F u, G v, t) \geq \psi\left[a H^{p}(F u, G v, t)+(1-a) H^{p}(G v, F u, t)\right] \\
=\psi\left(H^{p}(F u, G v, t)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So, if $0 \leq H(F u, G v, t)<1, \psi(l)>l$ for $0 \leq l<1$, we obtain

$$
H^{p}(F u, G v, t) \geq \psi\left[H^{p}(F u, G v, t)\right]>H^{p}(F u, G v, t)
$$

which is a contradiction, thus we have $H(F u, G v, t)=1$ and hence $f u=g v$.
Again, if $0 \leq H(F f u, G v, t)<1$ then by (2) and (*) we have
$H^{p}(F f u, G v, t) \geq \psi\left[a \mu^{p}\left(f^{2} u, g \quad y t\right)+(1-a) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}(g v, F f u, t) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right)\right]$
$\geq \psi\left[a H^{p}(\right.$ Ff $u, G v, t)+(1-a) H^{p}($ Ff $\left.u, G v, t)\right]$
$=\psi\left(H^{p}(\right.$ Ff $\left.u, G v, t)\right)$
If $0 \leq H($ Ff $u, G v, t)<1$, we obtain

$$
H^{p}(F f u, G v, t) \geq \psi\left[H^{p}(\text { Ff } u, G v, t)\right]>H^{p}(\text { Ff } u, G v, t)
$$

which is a contradiction, thus we have $H(F f u, G v, t)=1 \Rightarrow F f u=G v \Rightarrow f^{2} u=f u$. Similarly, we can prove that $g^{2} v=g v$.

Let $f u=w$ then $f w=w=g w, w \in F w$ and $w \in G w$, this completes the proof of the existence.
ii.) For the uniqueness, let $w^{\prime}$ be a second common fixed point of $f, g, F$ and $G$. Then

$$
\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)=\mu\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right) \geq H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)
$$

and by assumption $(*)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{p}\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right) \geq \psi\left[a \mu^{p}\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right)+(1-a) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(g w^{\prime}, F w, t\right) \mu^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(f w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right] \\
\geq \psi\left(H^{p}\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \\
>H^{p}\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right) \quad \text { if } 0 \leq H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)<1
\end{gathered}
$$

which is a contradiction. So , $F w=G w^{\prime}$. Since $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ are common fixed point of $f, g, F$ and $G$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right) \geq \mu(f w, F w, t) * H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right) * \mu\left(g w^{\prime}, G w^{\prime}, t\right) \\
\geq H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So,$w=f w=g w^{\prime}=w^{\prime}$ and there exists a unique common fixed point of $f, g, F$ and $G$.
iii.) The proof that the fixed point of $F$ and $G$ is a strict fixed point is identical of that of theorem (3.1).

## b) Theorem

Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ and $F, G: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be single and set - valued maps respectively such that the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc and satisfy inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
(*) H^{p}(F x, G y, t) \\
\geq a(\mu(f x, g y, t))\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\mu(f x, g y, t) \mu^{p-1}(f x, F x, t), \mu(f x, g y, t) \mu^{p-1}(g y, G y, t),\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\mu(f x, F x, t) \mu^{p-1}(g y, G y, t), \mu^{p-1}(f x, G y, t) \mu(g y, F x, t)\right\}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $p \geq 2$ and $a:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is decreasing and satisfies the condition

$$
a(t)>1 \text { forall } 0 \leq t<1 \text { and } a(t)=1 \text { iff } t=1
$$

Then $f, g, F$ and $G$ have a unique fixed point which is a strict fixed point for $F$ and $G$.
Proof
Since the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc, there exist two elements $u$ and $v$ in $X$ such that $f u \in F u, g v \in G v, f F u \subseteq F f u, g G v \subseteq G g v$.
First we prove that $f u=g v$. By property (2.16) and the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu(f u, g \text { yt }) \geq H(F u, G v, t), \mu(f u, G v, t) \geq H(F u, G v, t) \text { and } \\
\mu(F u, g v, t) \geq H(F u, G v, t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose that $H(F u, G v, t)<1$. Then by inequality (*) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{p}(F u, G v, t) \\
\geq a(\mu(f u, g v, t))\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\mu(f u, g v, t) \mu^{p-1}(f u, F u, t), \mu(f u, g v, t) \mu^{p-1}(g v, G v, t),\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\mu(f u, F u, t) \mu^{p-1}(g v, G v, t), \mu^{p-1}(f u, G v, t) \mu(g v, F u, t)\right\}\right] \\
=a(\mu(f u, g v, t))\left[\min \left\{\mu(f u, g v, t), \mu(f u, g v, t), 1, \mu^{p-1}(f u, G v, t) \mu(g v, F u, t)\right\}\right] \\
\geq a(H(F u, G v, t))\left[H(F u, G v, t), 1, H^{p}(F u, G v, t)\right]>H^{p}(F u, G v, t)
\end{gathered}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $H(F u, G v, t)=1$ which implies that $f u=g v$.
Again by property ( 2.16 ) and the triangle inequality we have

$$
\mu\left(f^{2} u, f u, t\right)=\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right) \geq H(F f u, G v, t)
$$

We prove that $f^{2} u=f u$. Suppose $H(F f u, G v, t)<1$ and by (*), property (2.16) we obtain

$$
H^{p}(F f u, G v, t)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq a\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right)\right)\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right) \mu^{p-1}\left(f^{2} u, \text { Ff } v, t\right), \mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right) \mu^{p-1}(g v, G v, t),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\mu\left(f^{2} u, F f u, t\right) \mu^{p-1}(g v, G v, t), \mu^{p-1}\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right) \mu(g v, F f u, t)\right\}\right] \\
& a\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right)\right)\left[\min \left\{\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right), \mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right), 1, \mu^{p-1}\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right) \mu(g v, F f u, t)\right\}\right] \\
& =\geq a(H(F f u, G v, t))\left[\min \left\{H(F f u, G v, t), H^{p}(F f u, G v, t)\right\}\right]>H^{p}(F f u, G v, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $H^{p}(F f u, G v, t)=1$ which implies that

$$
f^{2} u=g v=f u
$$

Similarly, we can prove that $g^{2} v=g v$. Putting $f u=g v=z$, we have $f z=g z=z$ ,$z \in F z$ and $z \in G z$. Therefore $z$ is a common fixed point of maps $f, g, F$ and $G$. Now, suppose that $f, g, F$ and $G$ have another common fixed point $z^{\prime} \neq z$. Then, by property (2.16) and the triangle inequality we have $\left.\mu\left(z, z^{\prime}, t\right)=\mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right) \geq H F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)$.

Assume that $H\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)<1$. Then the use of inequality $(*)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{p}\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right) \\
& \geq a\left(\mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right) \mu^{p-1}(f z, F z, t), \mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right) \mu^{p-1}\left(g z^{\prime}, G z^{\prime}, t\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\mu(f z, F z, t) \mu^{p-1}\left(g^{\prime} z G z^{\prime}, t\right), \mu^{p-1}\left(f z, G z^{\prime}, t\right) \mu\left(g^{\prime} z F z, t\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =a\left(\mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\left[\operatorname { m i n } \left\{\mu\left(f z, g z^{\prime}, t\right), \mu\left(f^{2} z, g z^{\prime}, t\right), 1, \mu^{p-1}\left(f^{2} z, G z^{\prime}, t\right) \mu\left(g z^{\prime}, F f z, t\right)\right.\right. \\
& \geq a\left(H\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\left[\min \left\{H\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right), H^{p}\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)\right\}\right]>H^{p}\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $H\left(F z, G z^{\prime}, t\right)=1$ which implies that $z^{\prime}=z$.
iv.) The proof that the fixed point of $F$ and $G$ is a strict fixed point is identical of that of theorem(3.1).

## V. Another Type Fixed Point Theorem

Theorem. 5.1
Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings and $F, G: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be set - valued maps and $\phi$ be non -decreasing function of $[0,1]$ into itself such that $\phi(t)=1$ iff $t=1$ and for all $t \in[0,1), \phi$ satisfies the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (*) \phi(H(F x, G y, t)) \geq a(\mu(f x, g y, t)) \phi(\mu(f x, g y, t)) \\
& +b(\mu(f x, g y, t)) \min \{\phi(\mu(f x, G y, t)), \phi(\mu(g y, F x, t))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $X$, where $a, b:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ are satisfying the conditions

$$
a(t)+b(t)>1 \text { for all } t>0
$$

and

$$
a(t)+b(t)=1 \text { iff. } \quad t=1
$$

If the pairs $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc then $f, g, F$ and $G$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$ which is a strict fixed point for $F$ and $G$.
Proof.
Since $\{f, F\}$ and $\{g, G\}$ are owc, as in proof of theorem (3.1), there exist $u$ and $v$ in $X$ such that

$$
f u \in F u, g v \in G v, f F u \subseteq F f u, g G v \subseteq G g v \text { and }(1),(2) \text { holds. }
$$

i.) First we prove that $f u=g v$. Suppose $H(F u, G v, t)<1$. By (*) and Property (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi(H(F u, G v, t)) \geq a(\mu(f u, g v, t)) \phi(\mu(f u, g v, t)) \\
+b(\mu(f u, g v, t)) \min \{\phi(\mu(f u, G v, t)), \phi(\mu(g v, F u, t))\} \\
\geq[a(\mu(f u, g v, t))+b(\mu(f u, g v, t))] \phi(H(F u, G v, t)) \\
>\phi(H(F u, G v, t))
\end{gathered}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $H(F u, G v, t)=1$ and thus $f u=g v$.
Now we prove that $f^{2} u=f u$. Suppose $H(F f u, G v, t)<1$. By (*) and Property (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(H(F f u, G v, t)) \geq a\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right)\right) \phi\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right)\right) \\
+b\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, g v, t\right)\right) \min \left\{\phi\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, G v, t\right)\right), \phi(\mu(g v, F f u, t))\right\} \\
\geq\left[a\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, f u, t\right)\right)+b\left(\mu\left(f^{2} u, f u, t\right)\right)\right] \phi(H(F f u, G v, t)) \\
>\phi(H(F f u, G v, t))
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $H(F f u, G v, t)=1$ and this implies that $f^{2} u=f u$.
Similarly, we can prove that $g^{2} v=g v$. So, if $w=f u=g v$ then $f w=w=g w$, $w \in F w$ and $w \in G w$. Existence of a common fixed point is proved .
ii.) Assume that there exists a second common fixed point $w^{\prime}$ of $f, g, F$ and $G$. We see that

$$
\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)=\mu\left(f w, g w^{\prime}, t\right) \geq H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)
$$

If $H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)<1$, by inequality $(*)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right) & \geq a\left(\mu\left(f w, g{ }^{\prime} w t\right)\right) \phi\left(\mu\left(f w, g{ }^{\prime} w t\right)\right) \\
\geq\left[a\left(\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\right. & \left.+b\left(\mu\left(w, w^{\prime}, t\right)\right)\right] \phi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \\
> & \phi\left(H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

this contradiction implies that $H\left(F w, G w^{\prime}, t\right)=1$ and hence $w=w^{\prime}$.
a) This part of the proof is analogous of that of theorem (3.1).

## References Références Referencias

1. George and P. Veeramani. On Some result in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 64 ( 1994 ) 395-399.
2. A. George, P. Veeramani. On Some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst Vol. 90 ( 1997 ) 365-368.
3. A. Aliouche, A Common Fixed point Theorem for weakly compatible mappings in compact metric spaces satisfying an implicit relation, Sarajevo J. Math . 3( 15 ), ( 2007 ) no . 1, 123 - 130
4. B. Fisher . common fixed points theorems of mappings and set-valued mappings, Rostock. Math. Kolloq 18 ( 1981 ) 69-77.
5. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric space, Pacific journal of mathematics 10 ( 1960 ) $314-334$.
6. E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Triangular norms, Kluwer, Dordrecht ( 2000 ).
7. H . Bouhadjera, Ahcene Djoudi and Brian Fisher, A unique common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible maps, Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications 3( 2008 ), 177-182.
8. H . Bouhadjera and C. Godet - Thobie . Common Fixed Point Theorems For Pairs Of Subcompatible Maps, hal- 00356516, Version 1 - 16 ( 2009 ).
9. L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets, Information and control 8 (1965). $338-353$.
10. O. Kramosil, J. Michalek , Fuzzy metric and statisticalmetric spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975) 326-334.
11. O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala . On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Systems, 12 (1984). 215 229.
12. R. Vasuki, P. Veeramani. Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces , Fuzzy Sets Syst Vol. 135 ( 2003 ) 415-417.
13. Z. Deng, Fuzzy pseudo - metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 86 ( 1982 ). 74 - 95.

12

## This page is intentionally left blank

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
MATHEMATICS AND DECISION SCIENCES
Volume 12 Issue 6 Version 1.0 June 2012
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 \& Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# Exponential Chain Ratio and Product type Estimators for Finite Population Mean Under Double Sampling Scheme 

By B. K. Singh \& Sanjib Choudhury<br>North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology

Abstract - In this paper an exponential chain ratio and product type estimators in double sampling have been developed for estimating finite population mean of the study variable when the information on another additional auxiliary character is available along with the main auxiliary character. The bias and mean square error of the proposed estimators have been obtained in two different cases. Theoretical and empirical studies have been done to demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed strategy with respect to the strategies which utilizes the information on one and two auxiliary characteristics.

Keywords: Auxiliary information, Exponential chain ratio and product estimators in double sampling, Study variate, Mean square error.

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:


# Exponential Chain Ratio and Product type Estimators for Finite Population Mean Under Double Sampling Scheme 

B. K. Singh ${ }^{\alpha}$ \& Sanjib Choudhury ${ }^{\sigma}$


#### Abstract
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## I. Introduction

Information on variables correlated with the main variable under study is popularly known as auxiliary information which may be fruitfully utilized either at planning stage or at design stage or at the information stage to arrive at an improved estimator compared to those, not utilizing auxiliary information. Use of auxiliary information for forming ratio and regression method of estimation were introduced during the 1930's with a comprehensive theory provided by Cochran (1942).

When information on any auxiliary variable $x$ highly correlated with $y$ is readily available on all units of the population, it is well known that ratio and regression estimators provide more efficient estimators of population mean of $y$, having advance information on population mean $\bar{X}$ of $x$. However, in many situations of practical importance, the population mean $\bar{X}$ is not known before the start of a survey. In such a situation, the usual thing to do is to estimate it by the sample mean $\bar{X}_{1}$ based on a preliminary sample of size $n_{1}$ of which $n$ is a sub sample $\left(n<n_{1}\right)$. At the most, we use only knowledge of the population mean of another auxiliary character, which is comparatively less correlated to the main characters. That is, if the population mean $\bar{Z}$ of another auxiliary variate $Z$, closely related to $X$ but compared to $X$ remotely related to $Y$ is known, it is advisable to estimate $\bar{X}$ by $\bar{X}=\bar{X}_{1} \bar{Z} / \bar{Z}_{1}$, which would provide better estimate of $\bar{X}$ than $\bar{X}_{1}$.

Chand (1975) and Sukhatme and Chand (1977) proposed a technique of chaining the available information on auxiliary characteristics with the main characteristics. Kiregyera (1980, 1984) also proposed some chain type ratio and regression estimators based on two auxiliary variates. Further contribution are due to Srivastava and Jhajj

[^1](1980, 1995), Isaki (1983), Singh and Kataria (1990), Prasad and Singh (1990, 1992), Ahmed et al. (2000), Singh and Singh (2001), Al-Jararha and Ahmed (2002), Ahmed et al. (2003), Pradhan (2005), Singh et al. (2006), Singh et al. (2009) and many others.

Let us consider a finite population $U=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots U_{N}\right\}$ of size N units and the value of the variables on the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ unit $U_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, be $\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right)$. Let $\bar{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_{i}}{N}$ and $\bar{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_{i}}{N}$ be the population means of the study variable $y$ and the auxiliary variable $x$, respectively. For estimating the population mean $\bar{Y}$ of $y$, a simple random sample of size $n$ is drawn without replacement from the population $U$. Then the classical ratio and product estimators are defined by

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}=\bar{y} \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \text {, if } \bar{x} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}=\bar{y} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{X}}
$$

where $\bar{y}$ and $\bar{x}$ are the sample means of $y$ and $x$ respectively based on a sample of size $n$ out of the population of size $N$ units and $\bar{X}$ is the known population mean of $x$. With known population mean $\bar{X}$, Bahl and Tuteja (1991) suggested the exponential ratio-type estimator as

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{X}-\bar{x}}{\bar{X}+\bar{x}}\right)
$$

and the exponential product-type estimator as

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{X}}{\bar{x}+\bar{X}}\right)
$$

for the population mean $\bar{Y}$.
If the population mean $\bar{X}$ of the auxiliary variable $x$ is not known before start of the survey, a first-phase sample of size $n_{1}$ is drawn from the population, on which only the auxiliary variable $x$ is observed. Then a second phase sample of size $n$ is drawn, on which both study variable $y$ and auxiliary variable $x$ are observed. Let $\bar{x}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{x_{i}}{n_{1}}$ denotes the sample mean of size $n_{1}$ based on the first phase sample and $\bar{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}}{n}$ and $\bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}$ denote the sample means of variables $y$ and $x$ respectively, obtained from the second phase sample of size $n$. Then the double sampling ratio and product estimators of population mean $\bar{Y}$ are given by

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d}=\bar{y} \frac{\bar{x}_{1}}{\bar{x}} \text { and } \hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d}=\bar{y} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}_{1}}
$$

Singh and Vishwakarma (2007) suggested the exponential ratio and product type estimators for $\bar{Y}$ in double sampling respectively, as

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}_{1}+\bar{x}}\right) \text { and } \hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{1}}{\bar{x}+\bar{x}_{1}}\right) .
$$

In the present paper, we have proposed an exponential chain ratio and product type estimators in double sampling for estimating finite population mean $\bar{Y}$ using two auxiliary characters.

## II. The Proposed Estimator

Let us consider a finite population $U=\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots U_{N}\right\}$ of size $N$ units. A first phase large sample of size $n_{1}$ units is drawn from population $U$ following simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) scheme, while in the second phase; a subsample of size $n\left(n_{1}>n\right)$ is drawn by SRSWOR from either $n_{1}$ units or directly from the population $U$. We assume that $\rho_{y x}>\rho_{y z}>0$.
The exponential chain ratio estimator in double sampling is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{1} \frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{1}}-\bar{x}}{\bar{x}_{1} \frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{1}}+\bar{x}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and exponential chain product estimator in double sampling as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{1} \frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{Z}_{1}}}{\bar{x}+\bar{x}_{1} \frac{\bar{Z}}{\bar{z}_{1}}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties of proposed estimators are obtained for the following two cases.
Case $I$ : When the second phase sample of size $n$ is a subsample of the first phase of size $n_{1}$.
Case II : When the second phase sample of size $n$ is drawn independently of the first phase sample of size $n_{1}$, Bose (1943).

## III. CaSE I

Bias and Mean Square Error of $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$
To obtain the bias (B) and mean square error (M) of estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$, we write $e_{0}=(\bar{y}-\bar{Y}) / \bar{Y}, e_{1}=(\bar{X}-\bar{X}) / \bar{X}, e_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}\right) / \bar{X}$ and $e_{2}=\left(\bar{Z}_{1}-\bar{Z}\right) / \bar{Z}$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=0, \quad E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}, \\
E\left(e_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}, \\
E\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y z} C_{z}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}, \\
E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $f=\frac{n}{N}, \quad f_{1}=\frac{n_{1}}{N}$;
$C_{y}=\frac{S_{y}}{\bar{Y}}, C_{x}=\frac{S_{x}}{\bar{X}}$ and $C_{z}=\frac{S_{z}}{\bar{Z}}$ are the coefficients of variation of the study variate y , auxiliary variates $x$ and $z$ respectively.
$\rho_{y x}=\frac{S_{y x}}{S_{x} S_{y}}, \quad \rho_{y z}=\frac{S_{y z}}{S_{y} S_{z}}$ and $\rho_{z x}=\frac{S_{z x}}{S_{z} S_{x}}$ are the correlation coefficients between $y$ and $x, y$ and $z$ and $x$ and $z$ respectively.
$S_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}, \quad S_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \quad$ and $\quad S_{z}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(z_{i}-\bar{Z}\right)^{2} \quad$ are $\quad$ the population variances of study variate $y$, auxiliary variates $x$ and $z$ respectively.
$S_{y x}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{X}\right), \quad S_{y z}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(z_{i}-\bar{Z}\right) \quad$ and $\quad S_{x z}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(z_{i}-\bar{Z}\right) \quad$ are the co-variances between $y$ and $x, y$ and $z$; and $x$ and $z$ respectively; and $C_{y x}=\frac{\rho_{y x} C_{y}}{C_{x}}, C_{y z}=\frac{\rho_{y z} C_{y}}{C_{z}}$ and $C_{x z}=\frac{\rho_{x z} C_{x}}{C_{z}}$.

Expanding the right hand side of equations (1) and (2), multiplying out and neglecting terms of $e$ 's having power greater than two, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}-\bar{Y} \cong \bar{Y}\left[e_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{1} e_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{8} e_{1}^{\prime 2}+\frac{3}{8}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}+2 e_{1} e_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{2} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}-e_{0} e_{1}-e_{0} e_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{4}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}-\bar{Y} \cong \bar{Y} & {\left[e_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} e_{2}+e_{0} e_{1}-e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{0} e_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}-e_{1}^{\prime 2}+2 e_{1} e_{2}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{8}\left(e_{1}^{2}+e_{2}^{2}+e_{1}^{\prime 2}+2 e_{1} e_{2}-2 e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}-2 e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the bias of the estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ can be obtained by using the results of (3) in equations (4) and (5) are respectively as

$$
B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left[\frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y z} C_{z}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left[-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y z} C_{z}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

where $f^{*}=n / n_{1}$.

From equations (4) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}-\bar{Y} \cong \bar{Y}\left\{e_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}-\bar{Y} \cong \bar{Y}\left\{e_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring both sides of equations (6) and (7), taking expectations and using the results of (3), we get the MSE of $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y z} C_{z}^{2}\right]  \tag{8}\\
& M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{y z} C_{z}^{2}\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the MSE of the usual unbiased estimator $\bar{y}$ under the SRSWOR scheme is
$M(\bar{y})=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}$.

## IV. Efficiency Comparisons

a) Efficiency comparisons of exponential chain ratio estimator in double sampling
(i) with chain ratio estimator in double sampling (Chand, 1975)

The MSE of chain ratio estimator in double sampling is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{y z}\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (8) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I}- & M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}-C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}-C_{y z}\right)\right] \\
& >0, \text { if } \frac{3}{4}-C_{y x}>0 \text { and } \frac{3}{4}-C_{y z}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ is more efficient than $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ if $\frac{3}{4}-C_{y x}>0$ and $\frac{3}{4}-C_{y z}>0$.
(ii) with chain product estimator in double sampling

The MSE of chain product estimator in double sampling is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(1+2 C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1+2 C_{y z}\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (8) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=3 \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}\right)\right] \\
>0, \text { if } \frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}>0 \text { and } \frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}>0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ is better than $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}$ if $\frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}>0$ and $\frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}>0$.
(iii) with sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$

From equations (8) and (10), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M(\bar{y})-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}\right)\right] \\
>0, \text { if } C_{y x}-\frac{1}{4}>0 \text { and } C_{y z}-\frac{1}{4}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {Re }}^{d c}$ has smaller MSE than sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$ if $C_{y x}-\frac{1}{4}>0$ and $C_{y z}-\frac{1}{4}>0$.
b) Efficiency comparisons of exponential chain product estimator in double sampling
(i) with chain ratio estimator in double sampling (Chand, 1975)

From equations (9) and (11), we have

$$
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=3 \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-C_{y z}\right)\right]
$$

Thus the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{\text {dc }}$ will dominate over the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ if $\frac{1}{4}-C_{y x}>0$ and $\frac{1}{4}-C_{y z}>0$.
(ii) with chain product estimator in double sampling

From equations (9) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}+C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}+C_{y z}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ is better than $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}$ if $\frac{3}{4}+C_{y x}>0$ and $\frac{3}{4}+C_{y z}>0$.
(iii) with sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$

From equations (9) and (10), we have

$$
M(\bar{y})-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}=-\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f^{*}}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}\right)\right]
$$

Thus the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ has smaller MSE than that of the sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$ if $\frac{1}{4}+C_{y x}<0$ and $\frac{1}{4}+C_{y z}<0$.

## V. Case iI

Bias and Mean Square Error of $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$
In case II, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=0, \quad E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}, \\
E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}, \quad E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2},  \tag{19}\\
E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}, E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)=\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}, \\
E\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Taking expectation in equations (4) and (5) and using the results of equation (19), we get the bias of the estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}\left[-\frac{11-f_{1}}{8} \frac{n_{1}}{n_{x}^{2}}+\frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}\right]
$$

and

$$
B\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{3}{8} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}\right]
$$

Squaring both sides of equations (6) and (7), taking expectations and using the results of (19), we get the MSE of $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{* *}=\frac{1-f}{n}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}}$.

## VI. Efficiency Comparisons

a) Efficiency comparisons of exponential chain ratio estimator in double sampling
(i) with chain ratio estimator in double sampling (Chand, 1975)

The MSE of chain ratio estimator in double sampling is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{x z}\right)\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (20) and (22), we have

$$
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}-C_{y x}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-C_{x z}\right)\right]
$$

Therefore, the proposed estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ is better than $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ if $\frac{3}{4}-C_{y x}>0$ and $1-C_{x z}>0$.
(ii) with chain product estimator in double sampling

The MSE of chain product estimator in double sampling is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left\{\bar{y}_{P}^{(d c)}\right\}_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y}^{2}+\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(1+2 C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{x z}\right)\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (20) and (24), we have

$$
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{3}{4} f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+3 \frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-C_{x z}\right)\right]
$$

which is positive if $C_{y x}>0$ and $1-C_{x z}>0$.
i.e., the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ is more efficient than $\bar{y}_{P}^{(d c)}$ if $C_{y x}>0$ and $1-C_{x z}>0$.
(iii) with sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$

From equations (10) and (20), we have

$$
M(\bar{y})-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* * *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)+\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}\right]
$$

Therefore, the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {Re }}^{\text {dc }}$ is better than $\bar{y}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Efficiency comparisons of exponential chain product estimator in double sampling
(i) with chain ratio estimator in double sampling (Chand, 1975)

From equations (21) and (22), we have

$$
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=3 \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-C_{y x}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{x z}\right)\right]
$$

Therefore, the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ is more efficient than the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ if $\frac{1}{4}-C_{y x}>0$ and $\frac{1}{2}-C_{x z}>0$.
(ii) with chain Product estimator in double sampling

From equations (21) and (24), it is found that the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{\text {dc }}$ will dominate over the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{\text {dc }}$ if

$$
\begin{gather*}
M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{1-f}{n} C_{x}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}+C_{y x}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\left(1-2 C_{x z}\right)\right] \\
>0 \text { i.e., if } \frac{3}{4}+C_{y x}>0 \text { and } \frac{1}{2}-C_{x z}>0 . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) with sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$

From equations (10) and (21), we have

$$
M(\bar{y})-M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}\right]
$$

which is positive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{x z} C_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{* *} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{1-f_{1}}{n_{1}} C_{z}^{2}\right)-\frac{1-f}{n} C_{y x} C_{x}^{2}>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ is more efficient than $\bar{y}$ if the condition (29) is satisfied.

## Vil. Empirical Study

To examine the merits of the proposed estimators, we have considered four natural population data sets. The sources of populations, nature of the variates $y, x$ and $z$; and the values of the various parameters are given as.
Population I - Source : Cochran (1977)
$Y$ : Number of 'placebo' children
$X$ : Number of paralytic polio cases in the placebo group
$Z$ : Number of paralytic polio cases in the 'not inoculated' group

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=34, \quad n=10, \quad n_{1}=15, \quad \bar{Y}=4.92, \quad \bar{X}=2.59, \quad \bar{Z}=2.91, \quad \rho_{y x}=0.7326, \quad \rho_{y z}=0.6430, \\
& \rho_{x z}=0.6837, \quad C_{y}^{2}=1.0248, \quad C_{x}^{2}=1.5175, \quad C_{z}^{2}=1.1492 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Population II - Source: Sukhatme and Chand (1977)
$Y$ : Apple trees of bearing age in 1964
$X$ : Bushels of apples harvested in 1964
$Z$ : Bushels of apples harvested in 1959

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=200, \quad n=20, \quad n_{1}=30, \quad \bar{Y}=0.103182 \times 10^{4}, \quad \bar{X}=0.293458 \times 10^{4}, \quad \bar{Z}=0.365149 \times 10^{4}, \\
& \rho_{y x}=0.93, \quad \rho_{y z}=0.77, \quad \rho_{x z}=0.84, \quad C_{y}^{2}=2.55280, \quad C_{x}^{2}=4.02504, \quad C_{z}^{2}=2.09379 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Population III - Source: Srivastava et al. (1989, Page 3922)
$Y$ : The measurement of weight of children
$X$ : Mid arm circumference of children
$Z$ : Skull circumference of children.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=82, \quad n=25, \quad n_{1}=43, \quad \bar{Y}=5.60 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad \bar{X}=11.90 \mathrm{~cm}, \quad \bar{Z}=39.80 \mathrm{~cm}, \quad \rho_{y x}=0.09, \\
& \rho_{y z}=0.12, \quad \rho_{x z}=0.86, \quad C_{y}^{2}=0.0107, \quad C_{x}^{2}=0.0052, \quad C_{z}^{2}=0.0008
\end{aligned}
$$

Population IV - Source: Srivastava et al. (1989, Page 3922)
$Y:$ The measurement of weight of children
$X$ : Mid arm circumference of children
$Z$ : Skull circumference of children.
$N=55, n=18, n_{1}=30, \bar{Y}=17.08 \mathrm{~kg}, \bar{X}=16.92 \mathrm{~cm}, \bar{Z}=50.44 \mathrm{~cm}, \rho_{y x}=0.54$, $\rho_{y z}=0.51, \rho_{x z}=-0.08, C_{y}^{2}=0.0161, C_{x}^{2}=0.0049, C_{z}^{2}=0.0007$.

To establish the theoretical conditions for efficiencies of proposed estimators obtained in Section 4 and Section 6, empirically, we have conducted empirical studies and these are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1 : Empirical study of theoretical conditions explained in Section-4

|  | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I}$ | $M(\bar{y})$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I}$ | $M(\bar{y})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} > \\ M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} > \\ M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{>}{M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I}}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}$ | $\stackrel{>}{M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I}}$ | $\begin{gathered} > \\ M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Condition (12) | Condition (14) | Condition (15) | Condition (16) | Condition (17) | Condition (18) |
| I | $0.15>0$, | $0.85>0$, | $0.35>0$, | *, | $1.35>0$, | *, |
|  | $0.14>0$ | $0.86>0$ | $0.36>0$ | * | $1.36>0$ | * |
| II | $0.01>0$, | $0.99>0$, | $0.49>0$, | *, | $1.49>0$, | *, |
|  | * | $1.10>0$ | $0.60>0$ | * | $1.60>0$ | * |
| III | $0.62>0$, | $0.38>0$, | *, | 0.12>0, | $0.88>0$, | *, |
|  | $0.31>0$ | $0.69>0$ | $0.19>0$ | * | $1.19>0$ | * |
| IV | *, | $1.23>0$, | $0.73>0$, | *, | $1.73>0$, | *, |
|  | * | $2.70>0$ | $2.20>0$ | * | $3.20>0$ | * |

* Does not satisfy theoretical conditions empirically

Table 2 : Empirical study of theoretical conditions explained in Section-6

|  | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $M(\bar{y})$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $M(\bar{y})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.\stackrel{>}{\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}}\right)_{I I} \end{gathered}$ | $M\left(\hat{\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}}\right)_{I I}$ | $M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}$ | $\stackrel{>}{M\left(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}\right)_{I I}}$ |
|  | Condition (23) | Condition (25) | Condition (26) | Condition (27) | Condition <br> (28) | Condition (29) |
| I | $\begin{gathered} 0.15>0, \\ 0.21>0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.21>0, \\ 0.60>0 \end{gathered}$ | $0.72>0$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 1.35, | * |
| II | $\underset{*}{0.01>0}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}+$ | $85249>0$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $1.49>0$ | * |
| III | $\underset{*}{0.62>0}$ | $\stackrel{*}{0.13>0}$ | $0.000002>0$ | $0.12>0$ | $0.88>0,$ | * |
| IV | $\stackrel{*}{1.21>0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21>0, \\ & 0.98>0 \end{aligned}$ | $0.03>0$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}+$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.73>0, \\ 0.71>0 \end{gathered}$ | * |

* Does not satisfy theoretical conditions empirically

To observe the relative performance of different estimators of $\bar{Y}$, we have computed the percentage relative efficiencies of the proposed estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{\text {Re }}^{\text {dc }}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$, chain ratio estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$, product estimator $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}$ in double sampling and sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$ with respect to usual unbiased estimator $\bar{y}$ in Case $I$ and Case $I I$ and the findings are presented in Table 3.

Table 3 : Percentage relative efficiencies of different estimators with respect to $\bar{y}$

| Estimator | $\bar{y}$ | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}$ | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ | $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population I | 100.00 | 136.91 | 25.96 | 184.36 | 47.55 |
| Population II | 100.00 | 279.93 | 26.02 | 247.82 | 46.58 |
| Population III | 100.00 | 81.92 | 70.22 | 97.11 | 88.38 |
| Population IV | 100.00 | 131.91 | 61.01 | 120.57 | 78.75 |
| Case II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population I | 100.00 | 87.63 | 21.24 | 141.68 | 42.15 |
| Population II | 100.00 | 182.67 | 19.16 | 220.59 | 37.90 |
| Population III | 100.00 | 68.82 | 58.68 | 91.06 | 82.82 |
| Population IV | 100.00 | 116.68 | 48.81 | 122.79 | 70.87 |

## Viil. Results and Discussion

We have analyzed the exponential chain ratio and product type estimators in double sampling and obtained its bias and MSE equations in two different cases. The MSEs of the proposed estimators have been compared with the MSEs of classical estimators (ratio, product and sample mean per unit estimator) on a theoretical basis, and conditions have been obtained under which the proposed estimators have smaller MSE than the classical estimators.

Section 4 and section 6 provides the theoretical conditions under which the proposed estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ are more efficient than other estimators. Table 1 and Table 2 establish these theoretical conditions empirically. It shows that almost all theoretical conditions obtained in section 4 and section 6 are satisfied with respect to the population data sets.

From Table 3, it clearly indicates that the proposed estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$ and $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{P e}^{d c}$ are more efficient than the estimators $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}, \hat{\bar{Y}}_{P}^{d c}$ and $\bar{y}$ in both the Cases $I$ and $I I$, except for the data sets of population II and IV in Case $I$, where $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R}^{d c}$ is slightly better than $\hat{\bar{Y}}_{R e}^{d c}$.

Thus, the uses of the proposed estimators are preferable over other estimators.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use the notion of E.A. property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space to prove a common fixed point theorem which generalizes Theorem-2 of Turkoglu, Alaca,Cho and Yildiz [12]. Keywords : Intuitionistic Fuzzy metric space, E.A property, implicit relation.


## I. Introduction

In 1986, Jungck[6] introduced the notion of compatible maps for a pair of self mappings. Several papers have come up involving compatible maps in proved the existence of common fixed points in the classical and fuzzy metric spaces. Aamri and El. Moutawakil[1] generalized the concept of non compatibility by defining the notion of property E.A. and proved common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions. Atanassove [3] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets and later there has been much progress in the study of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by many authors [4,5]. In 2004, Park [9] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous tconorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani [5]. Fixed point theory has important applications in diverse disciplines of mathematics, statistics, engineering, and economics in dealing with problems arising in: Approximation theory, potential theory, game theory, mathematical economics, etc. Several authors [5,8] proved some fixed point theorems for various generalizations of contraction mappings in probabilistic and fuzzy metric space. Turkoglu [12] gave a generalization of Jungck's common fixed point theorem [6] to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In this paper, we use the notion of E.A property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space to prove a common fixed point theorem for a pair of self mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Our result generalizes Theorem-2 of Turkoglu, Alaca, Cho and Yildiz [12].

## iI. Preliminaries

The concepts of triangular norms ( t -norm) and triangular conorms ( t -conorm) are known as the axiomatic skelton that we use are characterization fuzzy intersections and union respectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [8] in study of statistical metric spaces.

[^2]Definition 2.1. A binary operation * : $[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous t -norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $*$ is commutative and associative;
(ii) $*$ is continuous;
(iii) $\mathrm{a}^{*} 1=\mathrm{a}$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in[0,1]$;
(iv) $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b} \leq \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{~d}$ whenever $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{d}$ for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d} \in[0,1]$.

Definition 2.2. A binary operation $\diamond:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous $t$-conorm if $\diamond$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\diamond$ is commutative and associative;
(ii) $\diamond$ is continuous;
(iii) $\mathrm{a} \diamond 0=\mathrm{a}$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in[0,1]$;
(iv) $\mathrm{a} \diamond \mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{c} \diamond \mathrm{d}$ whenever $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{d}$ for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d} \in[0,1]$.

Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous $t$-norm and continuous tconorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [7] as :

Definition 2.3 [2]: A 5-tuple ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond$ ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, $\diamond$ is a continuous tconorm and M , N are fuzzy sets on $\mathrm{X} 2 \times[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) \leq 1$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$;
(ii) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 0)=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$;
(iii) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})=1$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$;
(iv) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$;
(v) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) * \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{s})$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}>0$;
(vi) for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},):.[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ is left continuous;
(vii) $\lim _{\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})=1$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$;
(viii) $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 0)=1$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$;
(ix) $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$ if and only if $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$;
(x) $N(x, y, t)=N(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$;
(xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t>0$;
(xii) for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},):.[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ is right continuous;
(xiii) $\lim _{\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})=0$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in X :

Then ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on X . The functions M ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t)}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y w.r.t. t respectively.
2.

Remark 2.1 [2]: Every fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, 1-\mathrm{M}, *, \diamond$ ) such that t-norm ${ }^{*}$ and t -conorm $\diamond$ are associated as $\mathrm{x} \diamond \mathrm{y}$ $=1-\left((1-\mathrm{x})^{*}(1-\mathrm{y})\right)$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$.
Remark 2.2 [2]: In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X, M, N, *, $\diamond), \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},{ }^{*}\right)$ is nondecreasing and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \diamond)$ is non-increasing for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$.

Proof: Suppose that $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},{ }^{*}\right)$ is non-increasing, therefore for $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{s}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~s})
$$

For all $x, y, z \in X$, we have $M(x, z, t+s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$.
In particular for $z=y$, we have $M(x, y, t+s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, y, s)$.
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{s}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})^{*} 1=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})$, a contradiction, hence $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},{ }^{*}\right)$ is nondecreasing.
Again, suppose $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \diamond)$ is non-decreasing, therefore for $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{s}$,
we have $N(x, y, s) \geq N(x, y, t)$.
For all $x, y, z \in X$, we have $N(x, z, t+s) \leq N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s)$.
In particular for $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{y}$, we have $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) \diamond \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{s})$
$\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) \diamond 0=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})$, a contradiction, hence $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \diamond)$ is nonincreasing.
Hence the result.
Alaca, Turkoglu and Yildiz [2] introduced the following notions:
Definition 2.4 [2] : Let $\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N},{ }^{*}, \diamond\right)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then (a) a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be Cauchy sequence if, for all $t>0$ and $p>0$,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=1 \text { and } \lim _{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \infty \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=0
$$

(b) a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for all $t>0$,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}\right)=1 \text { and } \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}\right)=0
$$

Definition 2.5 [2] : An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N},{ }^{*}, \diamond$ ) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Example $2.1[2]:$ Let $\mathrm{X}=\{1 / \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}\} \cup\{0\}$ and let $*$ be the continuous t-norm and $\diamond$ be the continuous t-conorm defined by $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{ab}$ and $\mathrm{a} \diamond \mathrm{b}=\min \{1$, $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\}$ respectively, for all $a, b \in[0,1]$. For each $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $x, y \in X$, define $(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N})$ by

$$
M(x, y, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, & t>0, \\
0 & t=0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } N(x, y, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{|x-y|}{t+|x-y|}, & t>0 \\
1 & t=0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Clearly, $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond)$ is complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.
Definition 2.6 [2] : A pair of self mappings ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ ) of a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X, $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be commuting if

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fgx}, \mathrm{gfx}, \mathrm{t})=1 \text { and } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fgx}, \mathrm{gfx}, \mathrm{t})=0 \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}
$$

Definition 2.7 [1] : A pair of self mappings ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ ) of a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X, $\left.\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N},{ }^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is said to satisfy the E.A property if there exist a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in X such that

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=1 \text { and } \quad \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=0 .
$$

Example 2.2 [1] : Let $\mathrm{X}=[0, \infty)$. Consider ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N},{ }^{*}$, $\diamond$ ) be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as in Example 2.1. Define $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ by $f x=\frac{X}{5}$ and $g x=\frac{2 x}{5}$ for all $x \in X$. Then for sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~S} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, T \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=1 \text { and } \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~N}\left(S \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, T \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)=0
$$

Then $f$ and $g$ satisfies E.A property.
Definition 2.8 [12] : A pair of self mappings ( $f, g$ ) of a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X, $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond)$ is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points i.e. if fu $=\mathrm{gu}$ for some u in X , then fgu $=$ gfu.
It is easy to see that two compatible maps are weakly compatible.
Lemma 1[2]: Let (X, M, N, *, $\diamond)$ be intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ in X , $\mathrm{t}>0$ and if for a number $\mathrm{k} \in(0,1)$,

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}) \text { and } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})
$$

Then $x=y$.

## iii. Main Results

Turkoglu et al.[12] proved the following Theorem:
Theorem 3.1: Let (X, M, N, *, $\diamond$ ) be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let f and g be self mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$
(b) there exist $0<k<1$ such that $M(g x, g y, k t) \geq M(f x, f y, t)$ and $N(g x, g y, k t) \leq N(f x, f y, t)$,
(c) f is continuous

Then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point provided $f$ and $g$ commute.
Now, we prove a common fixed point theorem using E.A property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, which is a generalization of Theorem-3.1 in the following way.
I. to relax the continuity requirement of maps completely,
II. E.A property buys containment of ranges.

Theorem 3.2 : Let $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond)$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with continuous tnorm and continuous t-conorm defined by $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{a} \geq \mathrm{a}$ and $(1-\mathrm{a}) \diamond(1-\mathrm{a}) \leq(1-\mathrm{a})$ where a , b in $[0,1]$. Let S and T be two weakly compatible self mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:
(i) T and S satisfy the E.A property,
(ii) for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$, there exist $0<\mathrm{k}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Tx}, \mathrm{Ty}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Sx}, \mathrm{Sy}, \mathrm{t}) \text { and } \\
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Tx}, T \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \mathrm{N}(S \mathrm{~S}, S \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t})
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is complete subspace of $X$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.
Proof: In view of (i), there exist a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ in X such that $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}},=$ $x_{0}$ for some $x_{0}$ in $X$. Suppose that $S(X)$ is complete subspace of $X$, therefore, every convergent sequence of points of $S(X)$ has a limit point in $S(X)$ implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n}=S a=$ $u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}$, for some $a \in X$, which implies that $u=S a \in S(X)$.
Now, we prove that $\mathrm{Ta}=\mathrm{S}$ a.
From (ii) take $x=x_{n}, y=a$, we get
$\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{kt}\right) \geq \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{t}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{kt}\right) \leq \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{\mathrm{n}}, S a, \mathrm{t}\right)$.
Taking limit $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides,
We get, $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{t})$
This implies by using definition of IFS, $\mathrm{Sa}=\mathrm{Ta}$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{Sa}=\mathrm{T} \mathrm{a}$.
This shows that ' $a$ ' is coincident point of $T$ and $S$.
As T and S are weakly compatible, therefore, $\mathrm{TS}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{ST}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{TT}(\mathrm{a})$.
Now, we show that Ta is the common fixed point of T and S .
From (ii) take $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Ta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{STa}, \mathrm{t}) \text { and } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{STa}, \mathrm{t}), \\
& \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{t}) \text { and } \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{TTa}, \mathrm{t}),
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies by Lemma $1, \mathrm{TTa}=\mathrm{Ta}=\mathrm{STa}$.
This proves that Ta is the common fixed point of T and S .
Now, we prove the uniqueness of common fixed point of $T$ and $S$. If possible, let $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ be two common fixed points of $S$ and $T$. Then by condition (ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, k t\right) & =\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{Tx}_{0}, T \mathrm{y}_{0}, k t\right) \geq \mathrm{M}\left(S \mathrm{x}_{0}, S y_{0}, \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{t}\right) \\
\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, k t\right) & =\mathrm{N}\left(T \mathrm{x}_{0}, T \mathrm{y}_{0}, k t\right) \leq \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Sx}_{0}, S y_{0}, \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 1, we have $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{y}_{0}$.
Therefore, the mappings S and T have a unique common fixed point.
This completes the proof.
Example 3.1: Let $X=\left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots\right\} \cup\{0\}$ with the usual metric d defined by d $(x, y)=|x-y|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$, define

$$
M(x, y, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{t}{(t+|x-y|)}, t>0 \\
0, t=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N(x, y, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{|x-y|}{(k t+|x-y|)}, t>0, k>0 \\
1, t=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}, *, \diamond)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, where $*$ and $\diamond$ are defined by $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{ab}$ and $\mathrm{a} \diamond \mathrm{b}=\min -1, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Define $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{x}{12}, \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{x}{4}$ for all x $\in \mathrm{X}$. Clearly, S and T are weakly compatible mappings on X ,
(1) $S$ and $T$ satisfy the E.A property for the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}$,
(2) Also for $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{3}$, the condition (ii) of above theorem is satisfied,
(3) $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})$ is complete subspace of X and

30 Thus all the conditions of theorem 3.2 are satisfied and so S and T have the common fixed point $\mathrm{x}=0$.

Theorem 3.3 : Let (X, M, N, $\left.{ }^{*}, \diamond\right)$ be a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with continuous tnorm and continuous t-conorm defined by $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{a} \geq \mathrm{a}$ and $(1-\mathrm{a}) \diamond(1-\mathrm{a}) \leq(1-\mathrm{a})$, where a , b in $[0,1]$. Let f and g be two weakly compatible self mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:
(i) f and g satisfy the E.A property ,
(ii) for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{t}>0$, there exist $0<\mathrm{k}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{t})) \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fx}, \mathrm{gy}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{gx}, \mathrm{t}))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi, \psi$ is a mapping from $[0,1]$ to $[0,1]$, which is upper semi-continuous, nondecreasing in each coordinate variable and such that
$\phi(1,1, t, 1, t) \geq t, \phi(t, 1,1, t, t) \geq t$ and $\mathrm{y}(1,1, t, 1, t) \leq t, \psi(t, 1,1, t, t) \leq t$ where t in $[0,1]$ (iii) the range of g is a closed subspace of X .

Then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof. In view of (i), there exist a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}},=\mathrm{p}$ for some $p$ in $X$. As $g(X)$ is a closed subspace of $X$, there is $u$ in $X$ such that $p=g u$.
Therefore, $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{gu}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Now, we prove that $\mathrm{fu}=\mathrm{gu}$.
From (ii) take $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{u}$,
$M\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{kt}\right) \geq \phi\left(\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gx} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right)$
$\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{kt}\right) \leq \psi\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}\right), \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gx}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right)$
As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{kt}) & \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t})) \\
& =\phi(1,1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}), 1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t})) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}) .(\text { By using }(\mathrm{ii}))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{kt})) & \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t})) \\
& =\psi(1,1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}), 1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t})) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}) .(\text { By using }(\mathrm{ii}))
\end{aligned}
$$

By using lemma 1, we deduce that $f u=g u$. Denote fu by z.
Therefore, $\mathrm{fu}=\mathrm{gu}=\mathrm{z}$.
This shows that ' $u$ ' is coincident point of $f$ and $g$.
From weak compatibility of the mappings $f$ and $g$ it follows that $\operatorname{fg}(u)=\operatorname{gf}(u)$
This implies, $\mathrm{fz}=\mathrm{gz}$.
Now, we show that z is the common fixed point of f and g .
From (ii) take $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{u}$,
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t})$
$\geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{t}))$,
that is,
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}) \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), 1,1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{t})) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{t})$.
And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}) \\
& \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{gu}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{gz}, \mathrm{t}))
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{fu}, \mathrm{t}) \\
& \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), 1,1, \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{t})) \Theta \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fz}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

By using lemma 1, we deduce that, $\mathrm{fz}=\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{gz}$ and thus we obtain that z is a common fixed point of $f$ and $g$.

Now, we prove the uniqueness of common fixed point of $f$ and $g$. If possible, let ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' be two common fixed points of $f$ and $g$. Then by condition (ii) take $x=a, y=b$ we get,
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{t}))$
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}))$
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{kt}) \geq \phi(\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), 1,1, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t})) \geq \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t})$
and
$\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fy}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fa}, \mathrm{gb}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{ga}, \mathrm{t}))$
$\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}))$
$\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{kt}) \leq \psi(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}) 1,1, \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t})) \leq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t})$
Then by Lemma 1, we have $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$.
Therefore, the mappings $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.
This completes the proof.
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## I. Introduction and Preliminaries

The Pochhammer's symbol or Appell's symbol or shifted factorial or rising factorial or generalized factorial function is defined by

$$
(b, k)=(b)_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(b)}= \begin{cases}b(b+1)(b+2) \cdots(b+k-1) ; & \text { if } k=1,2,3, \cdots \\ 1 & ; \\ k! & \text { if } k=0 \\ ; & \text { if } b=1, k=1,2,3, \cdots\end{cases}
$$

where $b$ is neither zero nor negative integer and the notation $\Gamma$ stands for Gamma function.

## Generalized Gaussian Hypergeometric Function

Generalized ordinary hypergeometric function of one variable is defined by

$$
{ }_{A} F_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{A} & ; & \\
b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B} & ; & z
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{k}\left(a_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(a_{A}\right)_{k} z^{k}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{k}\left(b_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(b_{B}\right)_{k} k!}
$$

or

$$
{ }_{A} F_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(a_{A}\right) & ; &  \tag{1.1}\\
\left(b_{B}\right) & ; & z
\end{array}\right] \equiv{ }_{A} F_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{A} & ; & \\
\left(b_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{B} & ; & z
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\left(a_{A}\right)\right)_{k} z^{k}}{\left(\left(b_{B}\right)\right)_{k} k!}
$$

where denominator parameters $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B}$ are neither zero nor negative integers and $A, B$ are non-negative integers.

## Kampé de Fériet's General Double Hypergeometric Function

In 1921, Appell's four double hypergeometric functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{4}$ and their confluent forms $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{3}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Xi_{1}, \Xi_{2}$ were unified and generalized by Kampé de Fériet.
We recall the definition of general double hypergeometric function of Kampé de Fériet in slightly modified notation of H.M.Srivastava and R.Panda:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{E: G ; H}^{A: B ; D}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(a_{A}\right):\left(b_{B}\right) ;\left(d_{D}\right) & ;  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(e_{E}\right):\left(g_{G}\right) ;\left(h_{H}\right) & ;
\end{array}\right]=y=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\left(a_{A}\right)\right)_{m+n}\left(\left(b_{B}\right)\right)_{m}\left(\left(d_{D}\right)\right)_{n} x^{m} y^{n}}{\left(\left(e_{E}\right)\right)_{m+n}\left(\left(g_{G}\right)\right)_{m}\left(\left(h_{H}\right)\right)_{n} m!n!}
$$

[^3]where for convergence
(i) $A+B<E+G+1, A+D<E+H+1 \quad ;|x|<\infty, \quad|y|<\infty$, or
(ii) $A+B=E+G+1, A+D=E+H+1$, and
\[

$$
\begin{cases}|x|^{\frac{1}{(A-E)}}+|y|^{\frac{1}{(A-E)}}<1 & , \text { if } E<A \\ \max \{|x|,|y|\}<1 & , \text { if } E \geq A\end{cases}
$$
\]

## Srivastava's General Triple Hypergeometric Function

In 1967, H. M. Srivastava defined a general triple hypergeometric function $F^{(3)}$ in the following form

$$
\begin{gather*}
F^{(3)}\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\left(a_{A}\right)::\left(b_{B}\right) ;\left(d_{D}\right) ;\left(e_{E}\right):\left(g_{G}\right) ;\left(h_{H}\right) ;\left(l_{L}\right) ; & x, y, z \\
\left(m_{M}\right)::\left(n_{N}\right) ;\left(p_{P}\right) ;\left(q_{Q}\right):\left(r_{R}\right) ;\left(s_{S}\right) ;\left(t_{T}\right) ;
\end{array}\right] \\
=\sum_{i, j, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\left(a_{A}\right)\right)_{i+j+k}\left(\left(b_{B}\right)\right)_{i+j}\left(\left(d_{D}\right)\right)_{j+k}\left(\left(e_{E}\right)\right)_{k+i}\left(\left(g_{G}\right)\right)_{i}\left(\left(h_{H}\right)\right)_{j}\left(\left(l_{L}\right)\right)_{k} x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}}{\left(\left(m_{M}\right)\right)_{i+j+k}\left(\left(n_{N}\right)\right)_{i+j}\left(\left(p_{P}\right)\right)_{j+k}\left(\left(q_{Q}\right)\right)_{k+i}\left(\left(r_{R}\right)\right)_{i}\left(\left(s_{S}\right)\right)_{j}\left(\left(t_{T}\right)\right)_{k} i!j!k!} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Wright's Generalized Hypergeometric Function

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{p} \Psi_{q}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\alpha_{1}, A_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\alpha_{p}, A_{p}\right) & ; & \\
\left(\lambda_{1}, B_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\lambda_{q}, B_{q}\right) & ; & x
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}+m A_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{2}+m A_{2}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\alpha_{p}+m A_{p}\right) x^{m}}{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}+m B_{1}\right) \Gamma\left(\lambda_{2}+m B_{2}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\lambda_{q}+m A_{q}\right) m!}  \tag{1.4}\\
&{ }_{p} \Psi_{q}^{*}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\alpha_{1}, A_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\alpha_{p}, A_{p}\right) & ; \\
\left(\lambda_{1}, B_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(\lambda_{q}, B_{q}\right) & ; & x
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{m A_{1}}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{m A_{2}} \cdots\left(\alpha_{p}\right)_{m A_{p}} x^{m}}{\left(\lambda_{1}\right)_{m B_{1}}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)_{m B_{2}} \cdots\left(\lambda_{q}\right)_{m B_{q}} m!} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## II. Main Integrals

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \sinh ^{4} x\right)}}=
$$

$$
=\cosh x \sinh ^{4 m-1} x\left(-\sinh ^{2} x\right)^{\frac{1-4 m}{2}} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-4 m}{2} & ; & x, \cosh ^{2} x  \tag{2.1}\\
-; \frac{-3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant }
$$

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \cosh ^{4} x\right)}}=
$$

$$
=\frac{\sqrt{-\sinh ^{2} x} \operatorname{cosech} x \cosh ^{4 m+1} x}{4 m+1} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4 m+1}{2} & ; & x, \cosh ^{2} x  \tag{2.2}\\
-; \frac{4 m+3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant }
$$

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \tanh ^{4} x\right)}}=\frac{\tanh ^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; 1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} & ; & x, \tanh ^{2} x  \tag{2.3}\\
-; \frac{4 m+3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{coth}^{4} x\right)}}=\frac{\operatorname{coth}^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} ; 1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
-; \frac{4 m+3}{2}
\end{array} ; x, \operatorname{coth}^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{sech}^{4} x\right)}}=
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{\text {otes }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sinh x \cosh ^{2}(x)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}^{4 m+1} x F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+4 m}{2} ; & x,-\sinh ^{2} x \\
-; \frac{3}{2} & ; & \\
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{cosech}^{4} x\right)}}= \\
=\cosh x\left(-\sinh ^{2}(x)\right)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{cosech}^{4 m+1} x F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+4 m}{2} & ; & x, \cosh ^{2} x \\
-; \frac{3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant }
\end{array} .\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. Derivation of Integrals

Derivation of integral (2.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \sinh ^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \sinh ^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \sinh ^{4 m} x \mathrm{dx}=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~m}!} \int \sinh ^{4 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!}(\cosh x) \sinh ^{4 m-1} x\left(-\sinh ^{2} x\right)^{\frac{1-4 m}{2}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-4 m}{2}}{\frac{-3}{2}} ; \cosh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
& =\cosh x \sinh ^{4 m-1} x\left(-\sinh ^{2} x\right)^{\frac{1-4 m}{2}} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-4 m}{2} & ; & x, \cosh ^{2} x \\
-; \frac{-3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant } \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Derivation of integral (2.2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \cosh ^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \cosh ^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \cosh ^{4 m} x \mathrm{dx}=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~m}!} \int \cosh ^{4 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{dx} \\
=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \frac{\sqrt{-\sinh ^{2} x} \cosh ^{4 m+1} x \operatorname{cosech} x}{(4 m+1)} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
\frac{4 m+3}{2}
\end{array} ; \cosh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
=\frac{\sqrt{-\sinh ^{2} x} \operatorname{cosech} x \cosh ^{4 m+1} x}{4 m+1} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
-; \frac{4 m+3}{2} ;
\end{array} \quad x, \cosh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Derivation of integral (2.3)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \tanh ^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \tanh ^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \tanh ^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \int \tanh ^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x \\
=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \frac{\tanh ^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
\frac{4 m+3}{2}
\end{array} ; \tanh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
=\frac{\tanh ^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
; 1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
-\frac{4 m+3}{2}
\end{array} ; \quad x, \tanh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Derivation of integral (2.4)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{coth}^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \operatorname{coth}^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \operatorname{coth}^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \int \operatorname{coth}^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x \\
=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \frac{\operatorname{coth}^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
\frac{4 m+3}{2}
\end{array} ; \operatorname{coth}^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
=\frac{\operatorname{coth}^{4 m+1} x}{(4 m+1)} F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} ; 1, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
-; \frac{4 m+3}{2} \quad ;
\end{array} \quad x, \operatorname{coth}^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Derivation of integral (2.5)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{sech}^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \operatorname{sech}^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \operatorname{sech}^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \int \operatorname{sech}^{4 m} x \mathrm{~d} x \\
=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \sinh x \cosh ^{2}(x)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}^{4 m+1} x_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
\frac{3}{2}
\end{array} ;-\sinh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
=\sinh x \cosh ^{2}(x)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{sech}^{4 m+1} x F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+4 m}{2} & ; \\
-; \frac{3}{2} & ; \quad x,-\sinh ^{2} x
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Derivation of integral (2.6)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{\left(1-x \operatorname{cosech}^{4} x\right)}}=\int\left(1-x \operatorname{cosech}^{4} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(x)^{m}}{m!} \operatorname{cosech}^{4 m} x \mathrm{dx} \\
=\sum_{\mathrm{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~m}!} \int \operatorname{cosech}^{4 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{dx}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{m}(-x)^{m}}{m!} \cosh x\left(-\sinh ^{2}(x)\right)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{cosech}^{4 m+1} x_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4 m+1}{2} \\
\frac{3}{2}
\end{array} ; \cosh ^{2} x\right]+\text { Constant } \\
& =\cosh x\left(-\sinh ^{2}(x)\right)^{\frac{4 m+1}{2}} \operatorname{cosech}^{4 m+1} x F_{0 ; 1}^{1 ; 2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+4 m}{2} & ; & x, \cosh ^{2} x \\
-; \frac{3}{2} & ; &
\end{array}\right]+\text { Constant } \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. Conclusion

In our work we have established hypergeometric form of some indefinite integrals. However, the formulae presented herein may be further developed to extend the work. Thus we can only hope that the development presented in this work will stimulate further interest and research in this important area of classical special functions. Just as the mathematical properties of the Gauss hypergeometric function are already of immense and significant utility in mathematical sciences and numerous other areas of pure and applied mathematics, the elucidation and discovery of the formula of hypergeometric functions considered herein should certainly eventually prove useful to further developments in the broad areas alluded to above.
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# Applications of Laplace Homotopy Analysis Method for Solving Fractional Heat- And Wave-like Equations 

V.G.Gupta ${ }^{\alpha}$ \& Pramod Kumar ${ }^{\sigma}$


#### Abstract

In this paper, we apply Laplace homotopy analysis method for solving various fractional heat- and wave-like equations. This method is combined form of homotopy analysis method and Laplace transform. The proposed algorithm presents a procedure of construct the base function and gives a high order deformation equation in simple form. The purpose of using the Laplace transform is to overcome the deficiency that is mainly caused by unsatisfied conditions in the other analytical techniques. Numerical examples demonstrate the capability of LHAM for fractional partial differential equations.


Keywords : Laplace homotopy analysis method, homotopy analysis method, fractional heat- and wave-like equations.

## I. Introduction

In recent years, fractional calculus has been given considerable popularity, due mainly to its various applications in fluid mechanics, viscoelasticity, biology, electrical network, optics and signal processing, electrochemistry and so on. A review of some applications in continuum and statistical mechanics is given by Mainardi [2].One of the most recent works on the subject of fractional calculus i.e. the theory of derivatives and integrals of fractional order, is the book of Podlubny [7], which deals principally with fractional differential equations and today there are many works on fractional calculus [11, $12,20,32]$.The importance of obtaining the exact and approximate solutions of fractional linear or nonlinear differential equations is still significant problem that needs new methods to discover the exact and approximate solutions. But these nonlinear differential equations are difficult to get their exact solutions so numerical methods have been used to handle these equations, some numerical methods have been developed, such as Laplace transform method [7,12], differential transform method [1,4,10], Adomian decomposition method $[5,6,25,26,28]$, variational iteration method [3,15,34], homotopy perturbation method [9,17,18,27,35], homotopy perturbation transform method [29,31]. Another analytical approach that can be applied to solve linear or nonlinear equations is homotopy analysis method [21-24]. A systematic and clear exposition on HAM is given in [24]. This method has been successfully applied to solve many types of nonlinear problems, such as nonlinear Riccati differential equation with fractional order [8], nonlinear Vakhnenko equation [33], the Gluert-jet problem [30], fractional KdV-BergersKurumoto equation [13], and so on.

The objective of present paper is to apply the modified homotopy analysis method namely Laplace homotopy analysis method [16], to provide symbolic approximate

[^4]solutions for heat-like and wave-like fractional differential equations with variable coefficients. The Laplace homotopy analysis method is a combination of HAM and Laplace transform. This method is characterized by choosing the identity auxiliary linear operator. The proposed method work efficiently and the result so far are very encouraging and reliable. We would like to emphasize that the LHAM may be considered as an important and significant refinement of the previously developed techniques and can be viewed as an alternative to the recently developed methods such as Adomian decomposition method, variational iteration method, homotopy perturbation method, homotopy perturbation transform method. In this paper we have considered the effectiveness of LHAM for solving various heat-like and wave-like fractional differential equation variable coefficients. The organization of this paper is as follows: Basic definitions of fractional calculus is given in next section, the LHAM is presented in section 3. In section 4, heat-like and wave-like equations are solved to illustrate the applicability of considered method.

## II. Basic Definitions

For the concept of fractional derivatives, we will adopt Caputo's definition which is a modification of the Riemann-Liouville definition and has the advantage of dealing properly with initial value problems in which the initial conditions are given in terms of the field variable and their integral order which is the case in most physical processes. Some basic definitions and properties of fractional calculus theory which we have used in this paper are given in this section.

Definition 2.1 : A real function $f(x), x>0$ is said to be in the space $C_{\mu}, \mu \in R$, if there exist a real number $p(>\mu)$ such that $f(x)=x^{p} f_{1}(x)$, where $f_{1}(x) \in C[0, \infty)$, and it is said to be in the space $C_{\mu}^{m}$ iff $f^{(m)} \in C_{\mu}, m \in N \cup\{0\}$.

Definition 2.2 : The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order $\alpha \geq 0$ of a function $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mu}, \mu \geq-1$ is defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
J^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma \alpha} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t, \alpha>0, x>0  \tag{1}\\
J^{0} f(x)=f(x) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Properties of the operator $\mathrm{J}^{\alpha}$ can be found in [14, 19], we mention only the following:
(i) $J^{\alpha} J^{\beta} f(x)=J^{\alpha+\beta} f(x)$
(ii) $J^{\alpha} J^{\beta} f(x)=J^{\beta} J^{\alpha} f(x)$
(iii) $J^{\alpha} \mathrm{X}^{\gamma}=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+1)} \mathrm{X}^{\alpha+\gamma}$

For $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mu}, \mu \geq-1, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma>-1$.
Definition 2.3 : The fractional derivative of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ in the Caputo sense is defined as [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{*}^{\alpha} f(x)=J^{m-\alpha} D_{*}^{m} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{m-\alpha-1} f^{(m)}(t) d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{m}-1<\alpha \leq \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{x}>0, \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{-1}^{\mathrm{m}}$.
Also, we need here three basic properties
(i) $D_{*}^{\alpha} J^{\alpha} f(x)=f(x)$
(ii) $J^{\alpha} D_{*}^{\alpha} f(x)=f(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}\left(0^{+}\right) \frac{x^{k}}{k!}, x>0$
(iii) $D_{*}^{\alpha} \mathrm{X}^{\gamma}=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha+1)} \mathrm{x}^{\gamma-\alpha} ; \mathrm{x}>0, \gamma>0$.

For $m-1<\alpha \leq m, m \in N, \mu \geq-1$ and $f \in C_{\mu}^{m}$.
Lemma 2.1.If $m-1<\alpha \leq m, m \in N$, then the Laplace transform of the fractional derivative $D_{*}^{\alpha} f(t)$ is $L\left(D_{*}^{\alpha} f(t)\right)=s^{\alpha} \bar{f}(s)-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{(k)}\left(0^{+}\right) s^{\alpha-k-1}, t>0$
Where $\bar{f}(\mathrm{~s})$ is the Laplace transform of $f(t)$.

## iII. Laplace Homotopy Analysis Method

The homotopy analysis method which provides an analytical approximate solution has been applied to various linear or nonlinear problems by many workers. In this section, we apply the modified homotopy analysis method [16]. This modification is based on the Laplace transform of the fractional differential equations. To illustrate the basic idea of this method, let us consider the following fractional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)=f\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right), 1<\alpha \leq 2, t \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=g_{1}(x) \quad, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=g_{2}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f$ a linear or nonlinear function and $D_{t}^{\alpha}$ is a fractional differential operator. The operator form of nonlinear fPDE (5) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=A\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+B\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+C(x, t) \quad, 1<\alpha \leq 2, t>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where A and B are linear and nonlinear operators respectively which might include other fractional derivatives of order less than $\propto$ and C is the known analytic function.
Now Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (5) and using (6), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
L\left(D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)\right)=L\left(A\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+B\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+C(x, t)\right)  \tag{8}\\
s^{\alpha} \bar{u}(x, s)-s^{\alpha-1} g_{1}(x)-s^{\alpha-2} g_{2}(x)=L\left(A\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+B\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+C(x, t)\right) \\
\bar{u}(x, s)=\frac{g_{1}(x)}{s}+\frac{g_{2}(x)}{s^{2}}+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(A\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+B\left(u, u_{x}, u_{x x}\right)+C(x, t)\right) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $L(u(x, t))=\bar{u}(x, s)$
The so-called zero-order deformation equation of the Laplace equation (9) has the form

$$
(1-q)\left[\bar{\phi}(x, s ; q)-\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)\right]=q h^{\bar{\phi}(x, s ; q)-\frac{g_{1}(x)}{s}-\frac{g_{2}(x)}{s^{2}}-}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(A\left(\phi(x, t), \phi_{x}(x, t), \phi_{x x}(x, t)\right)+B\left(\phi(x, t), \phi_{x}(x, t), \phi_{x x}(x, t)\right)+C(x, t)\right) \tag{10}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $q \in[0,1]$ is an embedding parameter, when $q=0$ and $q=1$, we have $\bar{\phi}\left(x, s ; 0 \neq \bar{u}_{0}(x, s)\right.$ and $\bar{\phi}(x, s ; 1)=\bar{u}(x, s)$ respectively. Thus, as q increasing from 0 to 1 , $\bar{\phi}(x, s ; q)$ varies from $\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)$ to $\bar{u}(x, s)$. Expanding $\bar{\phi}(x, s ; q)$ in Taylor series with respect to q , one has

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}(x, s, q)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \bar{u}_{m}(x, s) q^{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\bar{u}}_{0}(x, s)=\left\{\bar{u}_{0}(x, s), \bar{u}_{1}(x, s), \bar{u}_{2}(x, s), . . \bar{u}_{m}(x, s)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Equation (10) m times with respect to the embedding parameter q, and then
Setting $\mathrm{q}=0, \mathrm{~h}=-1$ and finally dividing them by m !, we have the so-called $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{th}}$-order deformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, s)=\chi_{m} \bar{u}_{m-1}(x, s)-\mathfrak{R}_{m}(\stackrel{\vec{u}}{m-1}(x, s) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where
$\mathfrak{R}_{m} \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u}_{(m-1)}}{\overrightarrow{(x, s}))}=\bar{u}_{(m-1)}(x, s)-\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{m-1!} \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial q^{m-1}}\left[L\left(A\left(\phi, \phi_{x}(x, t, q), \phi_{x x}(x, t, q)\right)+B\left(\phi, \phi_{x}(x, t, q), \phi_{x x}(x, t, q)\right)\right)\right)_{q=0}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{g_{1}(x)}{s}+\frac{g_{2}(x)}{s^{2}}+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L(C(x, t))\right)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And $\quad \chi_{m}= \begin{cases}0 & , m \leq 1 \\ 1 & , m>1\end{cases}$
Applying the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of (14), then we have a power series solution $u(x, t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{i}(x, t)$ of(5)

## IV. Numerical Results

In this section we shall illustrate the Laplace homotopy analysis method (LHAM) to fractional heat- and wave-like equations.
Example 4.1 : Consider the one dimensional fractional Heat-like equation with variable coefficient.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2} u_{x x}(x, t) \quad ; 0<x<1,0<\alpha \leq 1, t>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions $u(x, 0)=x^{2}$
Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (17) and using (18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, s)-\frac{1}{s} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} u_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of eq. (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, s)=\chi_{m} u_{m-1}(x, s)-\left[\bar{u}_{m-1}(x, s)-\frac{1}{2 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} u_{(m-1) x x}(x, t)\right)-\frac{1}{s} x^{2}\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)\right]  \tag{20}\\
\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)=\frac{x^{2}}{s} \\
\bar{u}_{1}(x, s)=\frac{x^{2}}{s^{\alpha+1}} \\
\bar{u}_{2}(x, s)=\frac{x^{2}}{s^{2 \alpha+1}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}(x, s)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)+\bar{u}_{1}(x, s)+\bar{u}_{2}(x, s)+  \tag{21}\\
\bar{u}(x, s)=x^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}}+\frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+1}}+\ldots\right) \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=x^{2}\left(1+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the special case $\propto=1$

$$
u(x, t)=x^{2} e^{t}
$$

Example 4.2 : Consider the two dimensional fractional Heat-like equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)+u_{y y}(x, t) \quad ; 0<x, y<2 \pi, 0<\alpha \leq 1, t>0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, 0)=\sin x \sin y \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (24) and using (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, s)-\frac{1}{s} \sin x \sin y-\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(u_{x x}+u_{y y}\right)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of equation (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, y, s)=\chi_{m} \bar{u}_{m-1}(x, y, s)-\left[\bar{u}_{m-1}(x, y, s)-\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(u_{(m-1) x x}+u_{(m-1) y y}\right)-\frac{1}{s} \sin x \sin y\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)\right] \ldots  \tag{27}\\
\bar{u}_{0}(x, y, s)=\frac{1}{s} \sin x \sin y \\
\bar{u}_{1}(x, y, s)=-2 \sin x \sin y \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{u}_{2}(x, y, s)=4 \sin x \sin y \frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+1}} \\
\bar{u}(x, s)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)+\bar{u}_{1}(x, s)+\bar{u}_{2}(x, s)+ \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of both side of eq. (28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\sin x \sin y\left(1-2 \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+4 \frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\propto=1$

$$
u(x, t)=e^{-2 t} \sin x \sin y
$$

Example 4.3 : Consider the three dimensional inhomogeneous fractional Heat-like equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, y, z, t)=x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}+\frac{1}{36}\left(x^{2} u_{x x}+y^{2} u_{y y}+z^{2} u_{z z}\right)  \tag{30}\\
0<x, y, z \leq 1,0<\alpha \leq 1, t>0
\end{gather*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, z, 0)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (30) and using (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, y, z, s)=\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}+\frac{1}{36}\left(x^{2} u_{x x}+y^{2} u_{y y}+z^{2} u_{z z}\right)\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of eq. (14) and (15), we have
$\bar{u}_{m}(x, y, z, s)=\chi_{m} u_{m-1}(x, y, z, s)-\left[\begin{array}{l}u_{m-1}(x, y, z, s)-\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(\frac{1}{36}\left(x^{2} u_{(m-1) x x}+y^{2} u_{(m-1) y y}+z^{2} u_{(m-1) z z}\right)\right) \\ -\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)\end{array}\right]$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, y, z, s)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, y, z, s)+\bar{u}_{1}(x, y, z, s)+\bar{u}_{2}(x, y, z, s)+\ldots \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, z, t)=x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}\left(\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{3 \alpha}}{\Gamma(3 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\propto=1$

$$
u(x, y, z, t)=x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}\left(e^{t}-1\right)
$$

Example 4.4 : Consider the one dimensional wave-like equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2} u_{x x}(x, t), 1<\alpha \leq 2, t>0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=x, u_{t}(x, 0)=x^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (36) and using (37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, s)=\frac{x}{s}+\frac{x^{2}}{s^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} u_{x x}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of equation (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, s)=\chi_{m} \bar{u}_{m-1}(x, s)-\left[\bar{u}_{m-1}(x, s)-\frac{1}{2 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} \bar{u}_{(m-1)}(x, t)\right)-\left(\frac{x}{s}+\frac{x^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)\right]  \tag{39}\\
\bar{u}_{0}(x, s)=\frac{x}{s}+\frac{x^{2}}{s^{2}} \\
\bar{u}_{1}(x, s)=\frac{x^{2}}{s^{\alpha+2}} \\
\bar{u}_{2}(x, s)=\frac{x^{2}}{s^{2 \alpha+2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=x+x^{2}\left(t+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\propto=1$

$$
u(x, t)=x+x^{2}\left(e^{t}-1\right)
$$

Example 4.5 : Consider the two dimensional fractional Wave-like equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, y, t)=\frac{1}{12}\left(x^{2} u_{x x}(x, y, t)+y^{2} u_{y y}(x, y, t)\right), 0<x, y<1,1<\alpha \leq 2, t>0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, 0)=x^{4}, u_{t}(x, y, 0)=y^{4} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (42) and using (43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, y, s)=\left(\frac{1}{s} x^{4}+\frac{1}{s^{2}} y^{4}\right)+\frac{1}{12 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} u_{x x}+y^{2} u_{y y}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of eq. (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, y, s)=\chi_{m} \bar{u}_{m-1}(x, y, s)-\left[\bar{u}_{m-1}(x, y, s)-\frac{1}{12 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} \bar{u}_{(m-1) x x}+y^{2} \bar{u}_{(m-1) y y}\right)-\left(\frac{x^{4}}{s}+\frac{y^{4}}{s^{2}}\right)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)\right] \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}_{0}(x, y, s)=\frac{1}{s} x^{4}+\frac{1}{s^{2}} y^{4} \\
\bar{u}_{1}(x, y, s)=\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} x^{4}+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+2}} y^{4} \\
\bar{u}_{2}(x, y, s)=\frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+1}} x^{4}+\frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+2}} y^{4} \\
\bar{u}(x, y, s)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, y, s)+\bar{u}_{1}(x, y, s)+\bar{u}_{2}(x, y, s)+. \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (46), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, t)=x^{4}\left(1+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right)+y^{4}\left(t+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{3 \alpha}}{\Gamma(3 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\propto=1$

$$
u(x, y, t)=x^{4} e^{t}+y^{4}\left(e^{t}-1\right)
$$

Example 4.6 : Consider the three dimensional fractional Wave-like equation of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, y, z, t)= & \left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2} u_{x x}+y^{2} u_{y y}+z^{2} u_{z z}\right)  \tag{48}\\
& 0<x, y, z<1,1<\alpha \leq 2, t>0
\end{align*}
$$

Subject to the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, z, 0)=0, u_{t}(x, y, z, 0)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Laplace transform of both sides of the eq. (48) and using (49), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(x, s)=\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} L\left(\left(x^{4}+y^{4}+z^{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2} u_{x x}+y^{2} u_{y y}+z^{2} u_{z z}\right)\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of eq. (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{u}_{m}(x, y, z, s)= & \chi_{m} \bar{u}_{m-1}-\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{u}_{m-1}-\frac{1}{2 s^{\alpha}} L\left(x^{2} u_{(m-1) x x}+y^{2} u_{(m-1) y y}+z^{2} u_{(m-1) z z}\right)- \\
\left(\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)\left(1-\chi_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{51}\\
& \bar{u}_{0}(x, y, z, s)=\frac{1}{s^{2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \\
& \bar{u}_{1}(x, y, z, s)=\frac{1}{s^{\alpha+2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+1}}(x+y+z) \\
& \bar{u}_{2}(x, y, z, s)=\frac{1}{s^{2 \alpha+2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{s^{3 \alpha+1}}(x+y+z) \\
& \bar{u}(x, y, z, s)=\bar{u}_{0}(x, y, z, s)+\bar{u}_{1}(x, y, z, s)+\bar{u}_{2}(x, y, z, s)+\ldots \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Now taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of eq. (52), we have

$$
u(x, y, z, t)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left(t+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha+1}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+z^{2}\left(-t+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}-\frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}+\frac{t^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}-\frac{t^{2 \alpha+1}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)}+\ldots\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $\propto=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, y, z, t)= & \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) e^{t}+z^{2} e^{-t}-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \\
\text { V. } & \text { CONCLUSION }
\end{aligned}
$$

The main concern of this article is to apply the Laplace homotopy analysis method to construct an analytical solution for heat- and wave-like partial differential equations of fractional order with variable coefficients. The method was used in a direct way without using linearization, perturbation, or restrictive assumptions. Also its small size of computation in comparison with the computational size required in other numerical methods and its rapid convergence shows that the LHAM is reliable and introduces a significant improvement in solving partial differential equations over existing methods. Finally, the appearance of fractional differential equations as models in some field of applied mathematics makes it necessary to investigate the method (analytical or numerical) for such equations and we hope the LHAM can be applied for some other engineering system with less computational work.
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## I. Introduction

Wave propagation in saturated porous media and the dynamic response of such media are of great interest in geophysics, acoustic, soil and rock mechanics and many earthquake engineering problems.

Biot [1] derived the basic equations of poroelastisity on the basis of energy principles. Privost[17] rederived these equations by use of mixture theory. Zienkiewicz ,Chang [18] and Zienkiewicz, Shiomi [19] derived the basic equations of poroelasticity by the use of principal of continuum mechanics . Gatmiri and Kamalian [4] adopted the later approach because it is more flexible and is based on a set of parameters with a clear physical interpretation to discuss different type of problem. Gatmiri and Nguyen [5] investigated two dimensional problem for saturated porous media with incompressible fluid.

Gatmiri and Jabbari $[7,8]$ discuss time domain Green's functions for unsaturated soil for two dimensional and three dimensional solution. Gatmiri ,Maghoul and Duhamel[6] also discuss the two dimensional transient thermo-hydro-mechanical fundamental solution of multiphase porous media in frequency and time domains. Gatmiri and Eslami [9] discuss the scattering of harmonic waves by a circular cavity in a porous medium by using complex function theory approach.

Normal mode analysis approach has been successfully applied by different authors e.g. Ezzat, Othman and Karamang[3],Othman,Ezzat,Zaki and Karamang[12], Othman and Oman[14], Othman and Singh[15], Othman,Farouk and Hamied[11], Othman and Lotfy[13], Othman,Lotfy and Farouk[16], Ezzat,Zakaria and Karamang[2].Resently Kumar,Miglani and Kumar[10] investigated the different problems by using normal mode analysis in fluid saturated porous medium.

In the present paper, we obtain the components of stress and pore pressure for homogeneous isotropic porous saturated medium with incompressible fluid due to various sources. The resulting quantities are shown graphically to depict the effect of porosity.

[^5]
## II. Governing Equations

Following Gatmiri and Nguyen [5],the basic equations are
Equation of motion :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j, j}+f_{i}=\rho \ddot{u}_{i}+\rho_{f} \ddot{w}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constitutive relation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=\lambda \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}} \delta_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mu\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right)-\alpha p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Flow conservation for the fluid phase :

Generalized Darcy's law :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\dot{w}_{i, i}+\gamma=\alpha \dot{u}_{i, i}+\frac{\dot{p}}{M} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}$ is the displacement of the solid skeleton, p denote the fluid pressure, $w_{i}$ represents the average displacement of the fluid relative to the solid. The elastic constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are drained Lame's constant. $\rho_{f}$ is the fluid density, $\rho_{s}$ is the solid density, $\rho=$ $1-n \rho_{s}+n \rho_{f}$ is the density of solid-fluid mixture and $m=\frac{\rho_{f}}{n}$ is the mass parameter where n is the porosity, $\kappa$ is the permeability coefficient. $\alpha$ and $M$ are material parameters which describes the relative compressibility of the constituents. $f_{i}$ and $\gamma$ denotes the body force and the rate of fluid injection in to the media.
Equations (1) and (4) with the aid of (2) and (3) in the absence of body force and the rate of fluid injection in to the media, reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu u_{i,}+(\lambda+\mu) u_{i, i j}-\rho_{1} \ddot{\mathrm{u}}_{i}-\alpha^{*} p_{, i}=0,  \tag{5}\\
\tau p_{, i i}-\frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\alpha^{*} u_{i, i}=0, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{1}=\rho-\rho_{f}^{2} \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \alpha^{*}=\alpha-\rho_{f} \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \tau=\left[\frac{1}{\kappa}+m \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]^{-1} .
$$

## Formulation of the problem

We consider a homogeneous, isotropic conducting porous elastic layer of thickness 2 H initially undisturbed. The origin of the coordinate system $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is taken at the middle surface of the plate and $x_{3}$-axis normal to it along the thickness. The surface $x_{3}=$ $\pm H$ is subjected to different sources. The $x_{1}-x_{2}$ plane is chosen to coincide with the middle surface and $x_{3^{-}}$axis is normal to it along the thickness.
For two dimensional problem, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(u_{1}, 0, u_{3}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the non-dimensional quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\omega}{c_{1}} x_{1}, x_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{\omega}{c_{1}} x_{3}, u_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\omega}{c_{1}} u_{1}, u_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{\omega}{c_{1}} u_{3}, p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{\lambda}, c_{1}^{2}=\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\rho}, t^{\prime}=\omega t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the constant having the dimensions of frequency.
The displacement components are related by the potential functions $\varphi$ and $\Psi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_{3}}, u_{3}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_{1}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of equations (8) and (9), the equations (5) and (6) with aid of (7) after suppressing the prime for convenience, reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+a_{1}\right) \nabla^{2} \varphi-a_{2} p-a_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} \nabla^{2} \Psi-a_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{11}\\
& b_{1} \nabla^{2} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{b}_{2} \frac{\partial \mathrm{p}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\nabla^{2} \varphi\right]=0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $a_{1}=\frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{\alpha^{*} \lambda}{\lambda+\mu}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{c_{1}^{2} \rho_{1}}{\lambda+\mu}, \quad b_{1}=\frac{\tau \omega \lambda}{\alpha^{*} c_{1}^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{\alpha^{*} M}$.
We assume the solution of equations (10) - (12) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi, \Psi, \mathrm{p})=[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z})] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c=\frac{\omega}{\xi}, \text { where } \xi \text { is the wave number. }
$$

Making use of (13) in equations (10) - (12), eliminating $h(z)$ from the resulting equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}-m_{n}^{2}\right) f(z)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{n}^{2}=\frac{-A_{1} \pm \sqrt{A_{1}^{2}+4 B_{1}}}{2},(\mathrm{n}=1,2) \text { are the roots of equation (14) and } \\
& m_{3}^{2}=A_{2}-\xi^{2} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla=\frac{d}{d z}, A_{1}=A-2 \xi^{2}, B_{1}=\xi^{4}-A \xi^{2}+B \\
& A_{2}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{1}} \xi^{2} c^{2} \quad, A=\left[\frac{a_{3}}{1+a_{1}} \xi^{2} c^{2}+\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}} i \xi c+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{1}\left(1+a_{1}\right)} i \xi c\right] \text { and } B=\frac{b_{2} a_{3}}{b_{1}\left(1+a_{1}\right)} i \omega^{3} c^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The appropriate potential $\varphi, \Psi$, p can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi=\left[C_{1} \cos m_{1} z+C_{2} \sin m_{1} z+D_{1} \cos _{2} z+D_{2} \sin m_{2} z\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}  \tag{16}\\
& \Psi=\left[E_{1} \cos m_{3} z+E_{2} \sin m_{3} z\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}  \tag{17}\\
& p=\left[r_{1} C_{1}{\left.\cos m_{1} z+r_{1} C_{2} \sin m_{1} z+r_{2} D_{1} \cos _{2} z+r_{2} D_{2} \sin m_{2} z\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}}_{\text {和 }}\right. \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=\frac{\left(1+a_{1}\right)}{a_{2}}\left[m_{i}^{2}-\xi^{2}\right]+\frac{a_{3}}{a_{2}} \xi^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2} \quad(\mathrm{i}=1,2) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of equations (16) and (17), we obtain the displacement components $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}=\left[i \xi \left(C_{1} \cos m_{1} z+C_{2} \sin m_{1} z+D_{1}{\left.\cos m_{2} z+D_{2} \sin m_{2} z\right)+m_{3}\left(E_{1} \cos _{3} z\right.}^{\left.\left.-E_{2} \sin m_{3} z\right)\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}} \begin{array}{c} 
\\
u_{3}=\left[\left(-C_{1} m_{1} \sin m_{1} z+C_{2} m_{1} \cos m_{1} z-D_{1} m_{2} \sin m_{2} z+D_{2} m_{2} \cos m_{2} z\right)\right. \\
\left.+i \xi\left(E_{1} \operatorname{Dosm}_{3} z+E_{2} \sin m_{3} z\right)\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

## iII. Boundary Conditions

The boundary conditions at $x_{3}= \pm H$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{33}=-F_{1} e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}, \sigma_{31}=-F_{2} e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}, p=F_{3} e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are the magnitudes of the forces and $F_{3}$ is the constant pressure applied on the boundary and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{33}=R_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+R_{2} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}-\alpha p, \\
\sigma_{31}=\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
R_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}, R_{2}=\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\mu} .
$$

Case 1: For normal force $F_{2}=F_{3}=0$,
Case 2: For tangential force $F_{1}=F_{3}=0$,
Case 3: For pressure $F_{1}=F_{2}=0$
52 Derivation of the secular equations
Substituting the value of $u_{1}, u_{3}$ and $p$ from (20), (21) and (18) in the boundary condition (22) and with help of (23) after some simplifications, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{33}=\left[R_{3}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{1} a_{11}-F_{3} a_{22}-F_{3} a_{33}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{1} z+\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{44}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \sin m_{1} z\right\}+R_{4}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{3} a_{55}-F_{1} a_{66}-F_{3} a_{77}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{2} z\right.\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.\left.-\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{88}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \operatorname{sinm}_{2} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{-\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{99}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \sin m_{3} z+\cos m_{3} Z\left(\frac{-F_{3} b_{11}-F_{3} b_{22}+F_{1} b_{33}+F_{1} b_{44}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \\
& \sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi \left\{m_{1}\left(\frac{F_{1} a_{11}-F_{3} a_{22}-F_{3} a_{33}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \sin m_{1} z+m_{1}\left(\frac{-F_{2} a_{44}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos _{1} z-m_{2}\left(\frac{-F_{3} a_{55}+F_{1} a_{66}+F_{3} a_{77}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right.\right. \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left.\left.\sin m_{2} z+m_{2}\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{88}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos m_{2} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{99}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos m_{3} z+\sin m_{3} z\left(\frac{-F_{3} b_{11}-F_{3} b_{22}+F_{1} b_{33}+F_{1} b_{44}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$
For normal force: $F_{1}=1, F_{2}=F_{3}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{33}=\left[R_{3}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{1} a_{11}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{1} z\right\}+R_{4}\left\{\left(\frac{-F_{1} a_{66}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{2} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{\cos m_{3} z\left(\frac{F_{1} b_{33}+F_{1} b_{44}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}  \tag{26}\\
& \sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi\left\{m_{1}\left(\frac{F_{1} a_{11}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \sin m_{1} z-m_{2}\left(\frac{F_{1} a_{66}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \sin m_{2} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\sin m_{3} z\left(\frac{F_{1} b_{33}+F_{1} b_{44}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

For Tangential Force: $F_{2}=1, F_{1}=F_{3}=0$
$\sigma_{33}=\left[R_{3}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{44}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \operatorname{sinm}_{1} z\right\}+R_{4}\left\{-\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{88}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \operatorname{sinm}_{2} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{-\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{99}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \sin m_{3} z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(x_{1}-c t\right)}$
$\sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi\left\{m_{1}\left(\frac{-F_{2} a_{44}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos _{1} z+m_{2}\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{88}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos _{2} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{2} a_{99}}{\Delta_{20}}\right) \cos m_{3} z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-c \mathrm{t}\right)}$
For Pressure : $F_{1}=F_{2}=0, F_{3}=1$
$\sigma_{33}=\left[R_{3}\left\{\left(\frac{-F_{3} a_{22}-F_{3} a_{33}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{1} z\right\}+R_{4}\left\{\left(\frac{F_{3} a_{55}-F_{3} a_{77}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \cos m_{2} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{\cos _{3} z\left(\frac{-F_{3} b_{11}-F_{3} b_{22}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$
$\sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi\left\{m_{1}\left(\frac{-F_{3} a_{22}-F_{3} a_{33}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \sin m_{1} z-m_{2}\left(\frac{-F_{3} a_{55}+F_{3} a_{77}}{\Delta_{10}}\right) \sin m_{2} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\sin m_{3} z\left(\frac{-F_{3} b_{11}-F_{3} b_{22}}{\Delta_{10}}\right)\right\}\right] e_{(31)}^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$
Where

$$
R_{3}=R_{1} \xi^{2}+R_{2} m_{1}^{2}+\alpha r_{1}, R_{4}=R_{1} \xi^{2}+R_{2} m_{2}^{2}+\alpha r_{2}
$$

$a_{11}=r_{2} d_{6} \cos m_{2} H \sin m_{3} H, a_{22}=d_{2} d_{6} \cos m_{2} H \sin m_{3} H, a_{33}=d_{3} d_{5} \sin m_{2} H \cos m_{3} H, a_{44}$ $=r_{2} d_{3} \sin m_{2} H \cos m_{3} H$
$a_{55}=d_{1} d_{6} \cos m_{1} H \sin m_{3} H, a_{66}=r_{1} d_{6} \cos m_{1} H \sin m_{3} H, a_{77}=d_{3} d_{4} \sin m_{1} H \cos m_{3} H, a_{88}$ $=r_{1} d_{3} \sin m_{1} H \sin m_{3} H$,
$a_{99}=\left(r_{1} d_{2}-r_{2} d_{1}\right) \sin m_{1} H \sin m_{2} H, b_{11}=d_{1} d_{5} \cos m_{1} H \sin m_{2} H, b_{22}=d_{2} d_{4} \sin m_{1} H \cos$ $m_{2} H, b_{33}=r_{2} d_{4} \sin m_{1} H \cos m_{2} H, b_{44}=r_{1} d_{5} \cos m_{1} H \sin m_{2} H$.
$\Delta_{10}=\left(-r_{2} d_{1} d_{6}+r_{1} d_{2} d_{6}\right){\cos m_{1}}^{H_{c o s} m_{2}} \operatorname{Hsinm}_{3} H+r_{2} d_{3} d_{4} \sin m_{1} H \cos m_{2} H \cos m_{3} H$ $+r_{1} d_{3} d_{5}{\cos m_{1} H \cos m_{3} H \sin m_{2} H \text {, }, ~, ~}_{\text {, }}$
$\Delta_{20}=\left(r_{2} d_{1} d_{6}-r_{1} d_{2} d_{6}\right) \sin m_{1} H \sin m_{2} H \operatorname{cosm}_{3} H+r_{2} d_{3} d_{4} \cos _{1} H \sin m_{2} H \sin m_{3} H$ $-r_{1} d_{3} d_{5} \sin m_{1} H \operatorname{sinm}_{3} H \operatorname{cosm}_{2} H$,
$d_{1}=R_{1} \xi^{2}-R_{2} m_{1}^{2}, d_{2}=R_{1} \xi^{2}-R_{2} m_{2}^{2}, d_{3}=\left(R_{1}-R_{2}\right) i \xi m_{3} \quad, d_{4}=2 i \xi \mathrm{~m}_{1}, d_{5}=2 i \xi \mathrm{~m}_{2}$, $d_{6}=m_{3}^{2}-\xi^{2}$.

## IV. Special Case

In the absence of incompressible fluid, the boundary conditions reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{33}=-F_{1} e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}, \sigma_{31}=-F_{2} e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the constituting expressions for stress components for elastic layer as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{33}=\left[R_{5}\left\{-\frac{F_{1} d_{6} \sin m_{3} H}{\Delta_{50}} \operatorname{cosm}_{4} z-\frac{F_{2} d_{3} \sin m_{3} H}{\Delta_{60}} \sin m_{4} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{-\frac{F_{2} d_{1} \operatorname{sinm}_{4} H}{\Delta_{60}} \sin _{3} z\right.\right.  \tag{33}\\
& \left.\left.+\frac{F_{1} d_{4} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{50}} \cos m_{3} Z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \\
& \sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi\left\{m_{4} \frac{F_{2} d_{3} \sin m_{3} H}{\Delta_{60}} \cos m_{4} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\frac{F_{2} d_{1} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{60}} \operatorname{cosm}_{3} Z+\frac{F_{1} d_{4} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{50}} \sin m_{3} Z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

For normal force: $F_{1}=1$ and $F_{2}=0$
$\sigma_{33}=\left[R_{5}\left\{-\frac{F_{1} d_{6} \operatorname{sinm}_{3} H}{\Delta_{50}} \operatorname{cosm}_{4} Z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{\frac{F_{1} d_{4} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{50}} \cos m_{3} z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(x_{1}-c t\right)}$
$\sigma_{31}=d_{6}\left[\frac{F_{1} d_{4} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{50}} \sin m_{3} Z\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$
For Tangential Force: $F_{1}=0$ and $F_{2}=1$
$\sigma_{33}=\left[R_{5}\left\{-\frac{F_{2} d_{3} \sin m_{3} H}{\Delta_{60}} \sin m_{4} z\right\}-d_{3}\left\{-\frac{F_{2} d_{1} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{60}} \sin m_{3} z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$
$\sigma_{31}=\left[2 i \xi\left\{m_{4} \frac{F_{2} d_{3} \sin m_{3} H}{\Delta_{60}} \cos m_{4} z\right\}+d_{6}\left\{\frac{F_{2} d_{1} \sin m_{4} H}{\Delta_{60}} \cos _{3} Z\right\}\right] e^{i \xi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{ct}\right)}$

Where
$R_{5}=R_{1} \xi^{2}+R_{2} m_{4}^{2}$
$\Delta_{50}=d_{1} d_{6}{\cos m_{4} H \sin m_{3} H+d_{3} d_{4} \sin m_{4} H \cos m_{3} H, ~}_{\text {, }}$
$\Delta_{60}=-d_{1} d_{6} \cos m_{3} H \sin m_{4} H-d_{3} d_{4} \sin m_{3} H \cos m_{4} H$

## V. Numerical Results and Discussion

With the view of illustrating the theoretical results and for numerical discussion we take a model for which the value of the various physical parameters are taken from Gatmiri and Ngyun[2007]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=12.5 \mathrm{MPa}, \mu=8.33 \mathrm{MPa}, K_{s}=10^{5} \mathrm{MPa},, K_{f}=0.22 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{MPa}, \rho_{s}=2600 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \rho_{f}=1000 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, k=0.001 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \alpha=1, n=0.3
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of normal stress $\sigma_{33}$, tangential stress $\sigma_{31}$ and pore pressure p for homogeneous isotropic porous saturated medium with incompressible fluid and elastic medium are obtained for $\mathrm{t}=1$ and $\mathrm{z}=1$ in the range $0 \leq x \leq 10$.

The solid line represent the value of $\sigma_{33}$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid for normal force(NFSPM), long dash line represent the value of $\sigma_{31}$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid for tangential force (TFSPM) and small dash line represent the value of p in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid for pressure (PFSPM) where as solid line with central symbol(NFEM) and small dash line with central symbol(TFEM) represent the value of $\sigma_{33}$ and $\sigma_{31}$ in elastic medium for normal and tangential force respectively.

Fig. 1 shows the variation of normal stress component $\sigma_{33}$ w.r.t distance $x$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid and elastic medium. The value of $\sigma_{33}$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid, in case of normal force, first increase and then starts decrease and in case of tangential force, it remains linear with small decrease and in case of normal pressure source, it first increase and then start decreasing. The value of $\sigma_{33}$ in elastic medium first increase and then starts decreasing in case of normal force where as in case of tangential force there is sharp increase and then starts decreasing.

Fig. 2 shows the variations of tangential stress component $\sigma_{31}$ w.r.t distance $x$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid and elastic medium. The value of $\sigma_{31}$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid, in case of normal force, first starts with small increase and then starts decreasing. In case of tangential force, it shows small decrease where as there is a sharp decrease in case of normal pressure. The values of $\sigma_{31}$ in elastic medium, show small increase in case of normal force and there is a sharp decrease and then starts increasing and ends with small decrease in case of tangential force.

Fig. 3 shows the variation of pore pressure w.r.t distance $x$ in fluid saturated porous medium with incompressible fluid. The values of p start with small decrease and increase in case of normal force and become linear in case of tangential force. There is sharp increase in case of pressure force.

## VI. Conclusion

It is observed that the behaviour of $\sigma_{33}$ in case of normal force and tangential force is same although the value due pore pressure is more. Appreciable porosity effect is observed on normal stress component. The behaviour of $\sigma_{31}$ in case of normal force and tangential force is opposite. In case of normal pressure the value of normal force is initially less as compared with tangential force.
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Fig. 2 : Variation of tangential stress component $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{31}$ w.r.t. horizontal distance x.


Fig.3: Variation of pore pressure p w.r.t horizontal distance x.
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## I. Introduction

For $|q|<1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(a ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-a q^{n}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
(a ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-a q^{(n-1)}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{k} ; q\right)_{\infty}=\left(a_{1} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(a_{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(a_{3} ; q\right)_{\infty} \ldots\left(a_{k} ; q\right)_{\infty} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Ramanujan [2, p.1(1.2)]has defined general theta function, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a, b)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} b^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} ;|a b|<1, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Jacobi's triple product identity [3,p.35] is given, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a, b)=(-a ; a b)_{\infty}(-b ; a b)_{\infty}(a b ; a b)_{\infty} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Special cases of Jacobi's triple products identity are given, as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(q)=f(q, q)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}}=\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.6}\\
(q)=f\left(q, q^{3}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}=\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{1.7}\\
f(-q)=f\left(-q,-q^{2}\right)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{n(3 n-1)}{2}}=(q ; q)_{\infty} \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^6]Equation (1.8) is known as Euler's pentagonal number theorem. Euler's another well known identity is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{-1}=(-q ; q)_{\infty} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper we use the following representations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q^{a} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{b} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{c} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty} \cdots\left(q^{t} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{a}, q^{b}, q^{c} \cdots q^{t} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.10}\\
& \left(q^{a} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{a} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{c} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty} \cdots\left(q^{t} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{a}, q^{a}, q^{c} \cdots q^{t} ; q^{n}\right)_{\infty} \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2(4 n)+2}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2(4 n+1)+2}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2(4 n+2)+2}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2(4 n+3)+2}\right) \\
=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{8 n+2}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{8 n+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{8 n+6}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{8 n+8}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.12}\\
& \left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4 n+4}\right) \\
& =\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4(3 n)+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4(3 n+1)+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4(3 n+2)+4}\right) \\
& =\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12 n+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12 n+8}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12 n+12}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{4} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{8} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty} \\
\left(q^{4} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12 n+4}\right)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12(5 n)+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12(5 n+1)+4}\right) \times \\
\times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12(5 n+2)+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12(5 n+3)+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{12(5 n+4)+4}\right) \\
=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{60 n+4}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{60 n+16}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{60 n+28}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{60 n+40}\right) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{60 n+52}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(q^{4} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{4} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{16} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{28} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{40} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{52} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \\
=\left(q^{4}, q^{16}, q^{28}, q^{40}, q^{52} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \tag{1.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly we can compute following as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{6} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{6} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{12} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{18} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{24} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{6}, q^{12}, q^{18}, q^{24} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(q^{6} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{6} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{18} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{30} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{42} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{54} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \\
=\left(q^{6}, q^{18}, q^{30}, q^{42}, q^{54} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.16}\\
\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{8} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{16} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{24} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{32} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{40} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{48} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty} \\
=\left(q^{8}, q^{16}, q^{24}, q^{32}, q^{40}, q^{48} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.17}\\
\left(q^{8} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{8} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{20} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{32} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{44} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{56} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \\
=\left(q^{8}, q^{20}, q^{32}, q^{44}, q^{56} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.18}\\
\left(q^{8} ; q^{16}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{8} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{24} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{40} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{8}, q^{24}, q^{40} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.19}\\
\left(q^{10} ; q^{20}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{10} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{30} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{50} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{10}, q^{30}, q^{50} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.20}\\
\left(q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{12} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{24} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{36} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{48} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \\
=\left(q^{12}, q^{24}, q^{36}, q^{48}, q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.21}\\
\left(q^{16} ; q^{16}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{16} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{32} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{48} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{16}, q^{32}, q^{48} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{1.22}\\
\left(q^{20} ; q^{20}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{20} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{40} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{20}, q^{40}, q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty} \tag{1.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

The outline of this paper is as follows. In sections 2, we have recorded some recent results obtained by the author and also some well known results, those are useful to the rest of the paper. In section 3, we state and prove seven new q-product identities, which are not available in the literature of special functions.

## iI. Preliminaries

In [1], following identities are being established

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=2\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=4 q \frac{\left(q^{16}, q^{32}, q^{48} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{8}, q^{24}, q^{40} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}=\frac{\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{8}, q^{8}, q^{24}, q^{24}, q^{40}, q^{40} ; q^{48}\right)_{\infty}}{2 q}  \tag{2.3}\\
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}=\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}  \tag{2.4}\\
\frac{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(-q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}}=\frac{2 q\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{16}, q^{20}, q^{24} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{6}, q^{12}, q^{18} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{2.5}\\
\frac{\left(-q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{5} ; q^{10}\right)_{\infty}-\left(q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{5} ; q^{10}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(-q^{5} ; q^{10}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(q^{3} ; q^{6}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(q^{5} ; q^{10}\right)_{\infty}}=\frac{\left(q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{6}, q^{12}, q^{18}, q^{24} ; q^{24}\right)_{\infty}} \times \\
\times \frac{2 q^{3}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{6}, q^{10} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{10}, q^{20}, q^{30}, q^{30}, q^{40}, q^{50} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{2.6}\\
\frac{\left[\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{15} ; q^{30}\right)_{\infty}\right]+\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{15} ; q^{30}\right)_{\infty}\right]}{\left[\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{15} ; q^{30}\right)_{\infty}\right]\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{15} ; q^{30}\right)_{\infty}\right]}=\frac{\left(q^{12}, q^{20}, q^{24}, q^{36}, q^{40}, q^{48}, q^{60}, q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{10}, q^{30}, q^{30}, q^{50}, q^{60} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \frac{2}{\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{8}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{6}, q^{18}, q^{30}, q^{42}, q^{54} ; q^{60}\right)_{\infty}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ramanujan's notebooks [6, p.245], the following entries are recorded as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(q) \quad\left(q^{2}\right)={ }^{2}(q)  \tag{2.8}\\
\phi^{2}(q)-\phi^{2}(-q)=8 q \psi^{2}\left(q^{4}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
\phi^{2}(q)+\phi^{2}(-q)=2 \phi^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
\phi^{4}(q)-\phi^{4}(-q)=16 q \psi^{4}\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
{ }^{2}(q)+{ }^{2}(-q)=2 \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \phi\left(q^{4}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

## iiI. Main Results

In this paper, we have established following new results, which are not recorded in the literature of special functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}=\left(-q,-q, q, q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\frac{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}=\frac{8 q}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{3.2}\\
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}=\frac{2\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{3.3}\\
\frac{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}=\frac{4 q\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}  \tag{3.4}\\
\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=2\left[\frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}\right]^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{1}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{6}}=\frac{16 q\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof of (3.1): Employing (1.6) and (1.7) in (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}=\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \\
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}
\end{gathered}
$$

employing equations (1.15) and (1.16), and after little algebra, we get

$$
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}=\left(-q,-q, q, q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\frac{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6}, q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{12} ; q^{12}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

which established (3.1).
Proof of (3.2): Employing (1.6) and (1.7) in (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \\
=8 q \frac{\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \\
\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=8 q \frac{\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \frac{\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}
\end{gathered}
$$

employing equation (1.15), and after little algebra, we get

$$
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}=\frac{8 q}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

which established (3.2).
Proof of (3.3): Employing (1.6) in (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \\
=2\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty} \\
\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}\right]=2\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty} \\
\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}\right] \\
=2\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}
\end{gathered}
$$

after simplification by using little algebra, we get

$$
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}=\frac{2\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

which established (3.3).
Proof of (3.4): Dividing (3.2) by (3.3), and after little simplification, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}=\frac{8 q}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{2\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \\
\frac{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}}=\frac{4 q\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which established (3.4).
Proof of (3.5): Employing (1.6) and (1.7) in (2.11), we have

$$
\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}-
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \\
=16 q \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \\
\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}\right]=16 q \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}
\end{gathered}
$$

using $\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}$, and after simplification, we get

$$
\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{8}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}\right]=1
$$

which established (3.5).
Proof of (3.6): Employing (1.6) and (1.7) in (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}+\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}=2 \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \times\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty} \\
\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=2 \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \times\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty} \\
\frac{\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty} \times\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=2 \frac{\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \times\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}
\end{gathered}
$$

using $\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}$ and $\left(q^{2} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}=\left(q^{2} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{8} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}$, after simplification, we get

$$
\left(q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=2\left[\frac{\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{4} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}\right]^{2}
$$

which established (3.6).
Proof of (3.7): On multiplying (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}\right] \times\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}+\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{4}\right]} \\
=\frac{8 q}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{2\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}} \\
{\left[\left(-q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}-\left(q ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}^{8}\right]=\frac{16 q\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{1}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

using (3.5) in the left hand side, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{8}}=\frac{16 q\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}} \times \frac{1}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

after simplification, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\left(q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}^{6}}=\frac{16 q\left(-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2},-q^{2} ; q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{4}, q^{6}, q^{6} ; q^{8}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

which established (3.7).
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the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.
2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.
3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.
4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.
5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.
6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.
7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.
8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.
9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.
10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.
11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.
12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.
13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.
14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.
15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.
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16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.
17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.
18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.
19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.
20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.
21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.
22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.
23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.
24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.
25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.
26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.
27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.
28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.
29. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.
30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.
31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be
sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.
32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.
33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.
34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

## Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

## Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.


## Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

## General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

- Adhere to recommended page limits


## Mistakes to evade

Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page

- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence


#### Abstract

In every sections of your document - Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.) - Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper - Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)


- Align the primary line of each section
- Present your points in sound order
- Use present tense to report well accepted
- Use past tense to describe specific results
- Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives
- Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results


## Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.

## Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript-must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to
shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else


## Introduction:

The Introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.
- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.


## Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic
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principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.


## Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.


## Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.

## Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form. What to stay away from
- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text


## Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.


## Administration Rules Listed Before <br> Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.


- The major constraint is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.
- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.
- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).

| Topics | Grades |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A-B | C-D | E-F |
| Abstract | Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below | Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form | No specific data with ambiguous information |
|  |  | Above 200 words | Above 250 words |
| Introduction | Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited | Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter | Out of place depth and content, hazy format |
| Methods and Procedures | Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads | Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed | Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning |
| Result | Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake | Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend | Irregular format with wrong facts and figures |
| Discussion | Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited | Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious | Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend |
| References | Complete and correct format, well organized | Beside the point, Incomplete | Wrong format and structuring |
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