



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH
AGRICULTURE AND VETERINARY
Volume 13 Issue 13 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Effect of Plant Maturity Stage on Digestibility and Distance Walked for Diet Selection by Goat at North Kordofan State, Sudan

By Abdel Moniem M.A. El hag, Ali A. hassabo, Bushara I, M.O. Eisa
& I.A.Ishag

University of Khartoum, Sudan

Abstract- The main objective was to study grazing behavior of goats; diet selection, nutritive value, digestibility of range plant and body gained at flowering and seed setting stage at September and November 2010 respectively in El-khuwei locality (El Rosa). A completely randomized design was used (CRD). Sampling was done by two stage flowering and seed sating stage were selected diets and feed intake locating a 2000 x 2000 m plots. The average weights gains during the flowering and seed setting stage were 17 and 18.28kg respectively. Goats during the flowering stage was preference on bite counts of the different species, however highly ($P < 0.0001$) at the flowering and least during the seed setting stage. Goat preference ranked Bano (*Eragrostis tremula*), Huskneet (*Cenchrus biflorus*), Difra (*Echinochloa colonum*), lelef (*Luffa aegyptiaca*), Gaw (*Aristida* spp.), Fisiya (*Fimbristyls hispidula*), Himeira (*Hymenocardia acida*), Nuida (*Sida cordofolia*), Tmrfar (*Oldenlandia senegalensis*) and Aboelrakhus (*Andropogon gayanus*), while Gadgad (*Geigeria alata*), Buid (*Commelinia subulata*), Simeima (*Sesamum alatum*), Abodaib (*Ceraothea sesamoid*) and Rabaa (*Zalea* spp) least than that.

Keywords: *availability, palatability, selectivity, digestibility, distant walked and body weight gains.*

GJSFR-D Classification : *FOR Code: 070799, 070199*



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



© 2013. Abdel Moniem M.A. El hag, Ali A. hassabo, Bushara I, M.O. Eisa & I.A.Ishag. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Effect of Plant Maturity Stage on Digestibility and Distance Walked for Diet Selection by Goat at North Kordofan State, Sudan

Abdel Moniem M.A. El hag^α, Ali A. hassabo^σ, Bushara I^ρ, M.O. Eisa^ω & I.A.Ishag[¥]

Abstract- The main objective was to study grazing behavior of goats; diet selection, nutritive value, digestibility of range plant and body gained at flowering and seed setting stage at September and November 2010 respectively in El-khuwei locality (El Rosa). A completely randomized design was used (CRD). Sampling was done by two stage flowering and seed sating stage were selected diets and feed intake locating a 2000 x 2000 m plots. The average weights gains during the flowering and seed setting stage were 17 and 18.28kg respectively. Goats during the flowering stage was preference on bite counts of the different species, however highly ($P < 0.0001$) at the flowering and least during the seed setting stage. Goat preference ranked Bano (*Eragrostis tremula*), Huskneet (*Cenchrus biflorus*), Difra (*Echinochloa colonum*), leflef (*Luffa aegyptiaca*), Gaw (*Aristida spp.*), Fisiya (*Fimbristyls hispidula*), Himeira (*Hymenocardia acida*), Nuida (*Sida cordofolia*), Tmrfar (*Oldenlandia senegalensis*) and Aboelrakhus (*Andropogon gayanus*), while Gadgad (*Geigeria alata*), Buid (*Commelinia subulata*), Simeima (*Sesamum alatum*), Abodaib (*Ceraothea sesamoid*) and Rabaa (*Zalea spp*) least than that. A significant higher ($P < 0.001$) goats selective feed intake, *in vitro* dry matter digestibility, dry matter, organic matter and crude protein higher at flowering stage and lowers during the seed setting stage. However; ash contents and crude fiber of plants were significantly higher at the seed setting stage. Body weight gain was significantly highest during the flowering stage, while the distance walked by goats for diet search was significantly longest during the seed setting stage. It was concluded that flowering stage beneficially goats highly preference and selectivity different species, feed intake and inviter dry matter digestibility and body weight gained. The seed setting stage was highly ash contents, crude fiber distant walked.

Keywords: availability, palatability, selectivity, digestibility, distant walked and body weight gains.

Author α : Agriculture Research Corporation (ARC) El- Obeid Research Station, Sudan. e-mail: elhagmoniem@yahoo.com

Author σ : School of Animal Production, Faculty of Agricultural Technology and Fish Science, University of Al Neelain.

Author ρ : Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, University of Kordofan, Sudan. e-mail: bushara3000@yahoo.com

Author ω : Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Omdurman Islamic University.

Author ¥ : Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Animal Production, University of Khartoum.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sudan is the largest country in Africa, with an area of 1.88 million Km². It has a population of 33.42 million (CBS, 2011) and has the second largest animal population in Africa. In 2006, there were 138.2 million livestock, of which 50.39 million sheep, 42.76 million goats, (Ministry of Animal Wealth and Fisheries, 2006). Western Sudan has the most livestock (40%), followed by southern Sudan (27%) and central Sudan (23%). The majority of breeds is raised within tribal groups and often carries the name of the tribe. They are well adapted to the harsh environment and often trek long distances in search of feed and water. Productivity is low but can be improved with good management in more favorable conditions. Cattle are mainly descended from boss Taurus, or zebu. In central Sudan they are generally kept for milk, and in western Sudan for meat production. Sheep are of the Sudan Desert type, with live weights up to 70 kg and excellent meat and carcass characteristics. Goats, mostly of the large, black Nubian type, are found in central Sudan and are kept for milk. There are two types of the single-humped camel, one kept for riding and the other as a pack or baggage animal. Camels are exported mainly for meat. Forage produced from natural pastures represents 86.6% of national animal feed requirements, and about 14% of the population is involved in livestock production activities on the rangelands (MAW, 2005). North Kordofan amounts to almost 25 million ha, out of this area; 14.5 million ha are rangeland (AFRICOVER, 2004). The State is considered among the leading regions of Sudan in terms of animal and range resources, where more than 13 million heads of sheep, goats, camels and cattle are present (RPA, 2005). Animal production in the State is mainly practiced under traditional extensive systems, depending on natural rangeland (Cook and Fadlalla, 1987). Cattle dominate the southern part of the State, while sheep, goats and camels are present in larger numbers in the northern and drier part (El-Hag, 1993). The main objective of this paper was to investigate effects of grazing behavior on the various distances walked and digestibility from a diet selection by goats.



II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Study area

This study was conducted at El-khuwei locality (El Rosa). It lies between longitudes 28°:33' to 28°:30'N and latitudes 12°:14' to 14°:12'E, about 105 Km west of El Obeid town, North Kordofan State lies between latitudes 11°:20' to 16°:36'N and longitudes 27°:13' to 32°:24'E. The close range system was established in 2007 in an area of about 500 ha, El-khuwei locality own large export market of animals (Hammer sheep) in west Sudan according to (MAWF, 2009). The long term average annual rainfall is about 300-mm, consisting of storms of short duration between July and September with the highest rainfall generally occurring in August. The soil of the site lies within the sand dune area locally known as "Goz" soil. The site is naturally dominated main grasses include namely Huskneet (*Cenchrus biflorus*), Shuleny (*Zornia glochidiata*) and Bigual (*Blepharis linariaefolia*). The trees Humied (*Sclerocarya birrea*), Higlig (*Balanites*), Arad (*Acacia etbaica*) and Sider (*Zizuphus spina*). The Shrubs include Kursan (*Boscia senegalensis*), Usher (*Calotropis*), Mereikh (*Polygala eriotera*) and Aborakhus (*Andropogon gayanus*) according to (MAWF, 2009).

b) Sampling and experimental animals

Sampling was done on two stages of plant maturity at flowering and seed setting in selected locations (2 km² each). Within each stage twenty goats randomly selected, their average weights gains were 17.00 and 18.28kg, respectively (Fadlalla and Cook, 1985).

$$INVDMD\% = \frac{\{\text{Sample DM} - (\text{Residue sample-Mean.resid DM inoc.blank})\} * 100}{\text{Sample DM}}$$

The voluntary intake of DM was determined according to Fadalla and Cook, (1985).from the following formula:

$$\text{Dry matter intake (DM)} = \frac{\text{Total fecal output} / 24 \text{ hr} * 100}{100 - \text{DM digestibility (in vitro)}}$$

d) Measuring Parameters

i. Average distance walked

Distance from the goats search to voluntary feed intake. The first goat was followed for five minutes, and then the second one followed for another five minutes and so on for all goats. The procedure were repeated distance walked at five minutes of bite count by matter, thus each goat followed for one hour in the first day, ware also followed by observer for the total of distance walked under four days. However measured distance refers to the distance grazing area as measured by meter per hour according to Fadlalla and Cook (1985).

ii. Average body weight gains

Two stages flowering and seed setting were measuring body weight gains (2 km² each). Within each

c) Nutritional value of range quality

i. Feed or diet selection

The parameters measured diet botanical composition was estimated using the bite-count techniques, (Fadlalla and Cook 1985). The parameters measured included diet botanical composition and voluntary intake of dry matter. Within each season twenty goats was kept for this study. The first goat was followed for five times, and then the second one followed for another five minutes and so on for all goats. The procedure was repeated tine times, thus each goats followed for one hour in the first day, was also followed by observer for three days and 600 bites, and species of plant ingested and bite were recorded.

ii. Voluntary feed intake and in vitro dry matter digestibility

The total fecal collection and *in vitro* dry matter digestibility techniques were used to measure voluntary intake. In this technique, the total faces produced by grazing goat were collected into appropriately designed collection bags attached to animals. Collection bags attached to goats were emptied at least twice a day and weighted. *In vitro* DM and *In vitro* dry matter digestibility determined (Tilly and Terry, 1963). The sample for flowering and seed setting stages was obtained by observing plant species and plant parts selected by goats during grazing and then collecting similar material for analysis by Tilley and Terry (1963). *In vitro* dry matter digestibility *INVDMD* was calculated according to the following formula:

season twenty goats was kept for this study. The procedure was repeated initial and final body weight of goats before and after grazing. The weight between initial and final equal weight change gram/day were recorded at three weeks on two stages Fadlalla and Cook (1985). Weight and body condition, for instance, provide a measure of the nutritional response, integrated over weeks or months (Lambourne *et al*, 1983).

iii. Laboratory Analyses

Dry-matter weight (DM) is determined by drying the feed in the oven at 105°C for 12-15 hours and weighing. Organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) was determined by (AOAC, 1980). Crude fiber (CF) was determined by (Van Soest, 1982). *In vitro* dry matter

digestibility (*INVDMD*) was determined (Tilley and Terry, 1963).

iv. Statistical Analysis

Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used in this experiment. Data were subjected to analysis of variance and means were estimated. Chi Square test was used to compare diet selection (Steel and Torrie, 1960). SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) computer software was used for the statistical analysis.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a) Bite Counts

Table 1 shows the bite counts of range species by goats during the flowering and seed setting stages. Goats during the flowering stage was preference on bite counts of the different species, however highly ($P < 0.0001$) at the flowering stage and least during the seed setting stage. Laca *et al* (2001) indicated that rates of nutrient intake are reduced at too low or too high levels of plant biomass. Intake is influenced by bite size; bite rate, and grazing time. Goat and sheep differed significantly ($P < 0.001$) in selection of different range plants supporting the findings of (Hodgson, 1979).

b) Voluntary feed intake and digestibility

Voluntary feed intake and *in vitro* dry matter digestibility were presented in table 2. Feed intake was significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher at the flowering stage compared to the seed setting stage. The results revealed that the goats had significantly ($P < 0.0001$) better *in vitro* dry matter digestibility during the flowering stage compared to seed setting stage. The decreasing digestibility of dry matter during seed setting stage may be due to age of grasses. McDonald *et al* (1973) who reported 50-80% dry matter digestibility was higher for young grasses. The animals' feed preferences are influenced by feed availability, plant structure, nutrient deficiencies (e.g. salt) and appetite and, of course, different species of animals prefer different types of feed Chacon *et al* (1978). For instance, seasonal rainfall is often assumed to be an indicator of feed conditions while stocking rate has been used as a substitute for feed intake (Abel *et al*, 1987).

c) Live weight gains

Table 3 shows the live weight gains of goats during two stages of plant maturity. During the flowering

stage goats significantly ($P < 0.0001$) gained more body weight (25.00 g/day), when compared to seed setting stage (0.47 g/day). Devendra *et al* (1970) reported the forage intake of the goat has been taken as 2.5% of body weight, based on a range of 2.1 to 3.2%. When making comparisons between animals of different size to determine the importance of nutrition as a constraint, DM intake should be expressed in relation to the live weight (and preferably the metabolic weight, i.e. LW0.75) of the animal (Graham, 1972).

d) Distance walked

Table 4 shows the distance walked per hour (m/hr) by goats. Also the results revealed that the goats significantly ($P < 0.0001$) walked more distance during the seed setting stage (92.63 m/h) compared to the flowering stage (44.50 m/h). De Leeuw and Chara (1985) used the technique to compare goat and sheep browse preferences in mixed Maasai flocks in Kenya. Range condition is based on density and production of native, palatable, perennial grasses. A better criterion might be the diversity of palatable forage species. It might be desirable if up to 20% of yearly forage production is composed of palatable annuals (Holechek, 1984).

e) Nutritive value of diet

Table 5 shows the nutritive value of diet intake. The nutritive values of diet such as dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM) and crude protein (CP) were significantly higher during the flowering stage compared to seed setting stage. However; ash content and crude fiber (CF) were significantly ($P < 0.0001$) higher during the seed setting stage than the flowering stage. Leaves of grasses from forbs and shrubs are generally higher in protein, crude fiber, dry matter, organic matter and ash content than the grass leaves and stems at comparative stages of growth (Vansoest, 1982).

IV. CONCLUSION

It was concluded that flowering stage beneficially goats highly preference and selectivity different species, feed intake and *in vitro* dry matter digestibility and body weight gained. The seed setting stage was highly ash contents, crude fiber distance walked.

Table 1 : Bite counts (%) [♀] of the different range species by goat during the flowering and seed setting stages at El-Khuwei locality, north Kordofan, Sudan.

Plant species	Local name	Bite count (%)	
		Flowering stage	Seed stage
<i>Eragrostis tremula</i>	Bano	74.25	34.67
<i>Cenchrus biflorus</i>	Huskneet	48.46	30.56
<i>Echinochloa colonum</i>	Difra	33.85	29.99
<i>Luffa aegyptiaca</i>	Leflef	31.71	24.10
<i>Aristida sp</i>	Gaw	29.55	20.82

<i>Fimbristyls hispidula</i>	Fisiya	23.20	19.00
<i>Hymenocardia acida</i>	Himeira	21.09	14.07
<i>Sida cordofolia</i>	Nuida	18.18	13.89
<i>Oldenlandia senegalensis</i>	Tmrfar	17.99	11.21
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	Aborakhus	16.50	8.86
<i>Geigeria alata</i>	Gadgad	14.98	-
<i>Commelinia subulata</i>	Buid	10.14	-
<i>Sesamum alatum</i>	Simeima	4.00	-
<i>Ceraothea sesamoid</i>	Abodaib	2.86	-
<i>Zalea sp</i>	Rabaa	2.86	-
<i>Zornia glochidiata</i>	Shuleny	2.50	-

Chi Square test =37.11*

Table 2 : Feed intake and *in vitro* dry matter digestibility during the flowering and seed setting stages at El

Parameters	Flowering stage	Seed setting stage	SE±
Feed intake (g/day)	0.54	0.36	0.04**
IVDMD (%)	67.46	60.15	1.05***

Means in the same column under the same factor with different letters are significantly different

* = significant (P < 0.05), ** = high significant (P < 0.01) and *** = highly significant (P < 0.001)

Table 3 : Body weight gains of goats, during the flowering and seed setting stages at El-Khuwei locality, north Kordofan, Sudan

Parameter	Flowering	Seed setting	SE ±
Body weight gains (g/day)	25	0.47	1.58***

Means in the same column under the same factor with different letters are significantly different

* = significant (P < 0.05), ** = high significant (P < 0.01) and *** = highly significant (P < 0.001)

Table 4 : Distance walked (m/hr) by goats at the flowering and seed setting stages at El-Khuwei locality, north Kordofan, Sudan

Parameter	Flowering	Seed setting	SE ±
Distance walked (m/hr)	44.50	92.63	2.34***

Means in the same column under the same factor with different letters are significantly different

* = significant (P < 0.05), ** = high significant (P < 0.01) and *** = highly significant (P < 0.001)

Table 5 : Nutritive value of diet (%) during the flowering and seed setting stages at El-Khuwei locality, north Kordofan, Sudan

Parameter	Flowering	Seed setting	SE±
DM	0.96	0.95	0.10***
OM	0.86	0.83	0.18***
Ash	0.09	0.12	0.35***
CF	0.31	0.37	0.47***
CP	0.14	0.10	0.12***

Means in the same column under the same factor with different letters are significantly different

* = significant (P < 0.05), ** = high significant (P < 0.01) and *** = highly significant (P < 0.001)

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Abel NO, Flint ME, Hunter ND, Chandler D and Make G (1987). Cattle keeping, Ecological change and communal management in Ngwaketse. Vol.1. Summary; Vol. 2. Main report. ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia;

Integrated Farming Pilot Project, Ministry of Agriculture, Gaborone, Botswana and Overseas Development Group, School of Development Studies, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK Vol. 1, 45 pp; Vol. 2, 82 pp.

2. Africover (2004). Sudan Spatial Aggregated Map, Afrikaner Project, FAO, Rome, Italy.
3. AOAC (1980). Official method of analysis (13th Ed). Association of official. Analytical Chemists. Washington D.C.
4. CBS (2011). Central Bauru of Statistics; estimated population in country, Khartoum, Sudan.
5. Cook RH and Fadlalla (1987). Seasonal Variation in plasma phosphorus Level Of transhumant sheep in Kordofan, Sudan. *Trop. H1th.prod.* 19:57-62.
6. Chacon EA Stobbs TH and Dale MB (1978). Influence of sward characteristics on grazing behavior and growth of Hereford steers grazing tropical grass pastures. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 29(1):89-102.
7. De Leeuw P and Chara P (1985). Species preferences in browse of sheep and goats in mixed Maasai flocks in eastern Kajiado, Kenya Paper presented to the fourth CRSP (Collaborative Resource Support Programmers) workshop on small ruminants, Kebete, Kenya, 5- 6 March 1984.
8. Devendra C and Burns (1970). Nutritional analysis by University of Hohenheim of dietary grab samples collected by CR. Field.
9. El-Hag FM (1993). Conserved range grasses for dry season feeding goats in shiekan province, North Kordofan, Sudan, El Obied Agricultural Research Station, 1:1-2.
10. Fadlalla B and Cook RH (1985). Design and implementation of in-herd/on-range trials: use of sentinel herds. In: *Research methodologies for livestock on-farm trials*.
11. Graham NM (1972). Units of metabolic body size for comparisons amongst adult sheep and cattle. In: *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Animal Production, Ninth Biennial Conference held in Canberra, Australia, Feb. 1972*. Ramsay, Ware Publishing Pty Ltd. Australia. Vol. 9, pp. 352-355.
12. Holechek JL (1984). Comparative contribution of grasses, forbs, and shrubs to the nutrition of range ungulates. *Rangelands* 6:245-248.
13. Hodgson J (1979). Nomenclature and definitions in grazing studies. *Grass and Forage Science* 34, 11-18.
14. Laca E, Shipley LA and Reid ED (2001). Structural anti-quality characteristics of range and pasture plants. *J. Range Manage.* 54:413-419.
15. Lambourne LJ, Dicko MS, Semenye P and Butterworth M (1983). Animal nutrition. In *Pastoral systems research in sub-Saharan Africa. Proceedings of the IDRC/ILCA Workshop held at ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 21-24 March 1983*. ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 183-208.
16. MARF (2009). Ministry of Animal Resource and Fisheries, report. North Kordofan, Sudan.
17. MAWF (2005). Ministry of Animal Wealth and Fisheries. Animal Population Report, Khartoum-Sudan.
18. MAWF (2006). Ministry of Animal Wealth and Fisheries. Animal Population Report, Khartoum-Sudan.
19. McDonald P and Whitten bury R (1973). The ensilage process. In G.W. Butler and R.W. Baily. (eds) *Chemistry and biochemistry of herbage*. Academic press, Inc., London.
20. RPA (2005). Range and Pasture Admin, North Kordofan State. Annual Range Survey Report.
21. Steel RCD and Torrie JH (1960). Principles and procedures of Statistics. Mc Graw, Hill Book Co. New York (Ends) 454 pp.
22. Tilly JM and Terry RA (1963). A two stage Techniques for in vitro digestion of forage crop *J. Br Soc (England)* 18, 104-111.
23. Van Soast PJ (1982). Forage conservation. In *nutrition anal ecology of ruminant*. O and B book, Inc. Corvallis, Oregon, U. S. A. P 139.