Online ISSN : 2249-4626
Print ISSN : 0975-5896

# Global Journal

## of Science Frontier Research: C

## Biological Science

Sardina Pilchardus Walb

Barringtonia Swamp Forest

**Highlights**

Coastal Water of Bontang

A Phytoassociation Analysis

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future

Volume 13 Issue 5 Version 1.0

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: C
Biological Science

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: C
Biological Science
Volume 13 Issue 5 (Ver. 1.0)

Open Association of Research Society

**Incorporation No.: 0423089**
**License No.: 42125/022010/1186**
**Registration No.: 430374**
**Import-Export Code: 1109007027**
**Employer Identification Number (EIN):**
**USA Tax ID: 98-0673427**

# Global Journals Inc.

*(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)*

*Sponsors: Open Association of Research Society*
*Open Scientific Standards*

## *Publisher's Headquarters office*

Global Journals Inc., Headquarters Corporate Office, Cambridge Office Center, II Canal Park, Floor No. 5th, ***Cambridge (Massachusetts)***, Pin: MA 02141 United States
*USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392*
*USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392*

## *Offset Typesetting*

Open Association of Research Society, Marsh Road, Rainham, Essex, London RM13 8EU
United Kingdom.

## *Packaging & Continental Dispatching*

Global Journals, India

## *Find a correspondence nodal officer near you*

To find nodal officer of your country, please email us at *local@globaljournals.org*

## *eContacts*

Press Inquiries: *press@globaljournals.org*
Investor Inquiries: *investers@globaljournals.org*
Technical Support: *technology@globaljournals.org*
Media & Releases: *media@globaljournals.org*

## *Pricing (Including by Air Parcel Charges):*

*For Authors:*
22 USD (B/W) & 50 USD (Color)
*Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional):*
200 USD (B/W) & 250 USD (Color)

# Editorial Board Members (HON.)

**Dr. Bart Lambrecht**
Director of Research in Accounting and FinanceProfessor of Finance
Lancaster University Management School
BA (Antwerp); MPhil, MA, PhD (Cambridge)

**Dr. Carlos García Pont**
Associate Professor of Marketing
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Doctor of Philosophy (Management), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
Master in Business Administration, IESE, University of Navarra
Degree in Industrial Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

**Dr. Fotini Labropulu**
Mathematics - Luther College
University of ReginaPh.D., M.Sc. in Mathematics
B.A. (Honors) in Mathematics
University of Windso

**Dr. Lynn Lim**
Reader in Business and Marketing
Roehampton University, London
BCom, PGDip, MBA (Distinction), PhD, FHEA

**Dr. Mihaly Mezei**
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology, Mount Sinai School of Medical Center
Ph.D., Etvs Lornd University
Postdoctoral Training,
New York University

**Dr. Söhnke M. Bartram**
Department of Accounting and FinanceLancaster University Management SchoolPh.D. (WHU Koblenz)
MBA/BBA (University of Saarbrücken)

**Dr. Miguel Angel Ariño**
Professor of Decision Sciences
IESE Business School
Barcelona, Spain (Universidad de Navarra)
CEIBS (China Europe International Business School).
Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen
Ph.D. in Mathematics
University of Barcelona
BA in Mathematics (Licenciatura)
University of Barcelona

**Philip G. Moscoso**
Technology and Operations Management
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Ph.D in Industrial Engineering and Management, ETH Zurich
M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, ETH Zurich

**Dr. Sanjay Dixit, M.D.**
Director, EP Laboratories, Philadelphia VA Medical Center
Cardiovascular Medicine - Cardiac Arrhythmia
Univ of Penn School of Medicine

**Dr. Han-Xiang Deng**
MD., Ph.D
Associate Professor and Research
Department Division of Neuromuscular Medicine
Davee Department of Neurology and Clinical NeuroscienceNorthwestern University
Feinberg School of Medicine

**Dr. Pina C. Sanelli**
Associate Professor of Public Health
Weill Cornell Medical College
Associate Attending Radiologist
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital
MRI, MRA, CT, and CTA
Neuroradiology and Diagnostic Radiology
M.D., State University of New York at Buffalo,School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

**Dr. Roberto Sanchez**
Associate Professor
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Ph.D., The Rockefeller University

**Dr. Wen-Yih Sun**
Professor of Earth and Atmospheric SciencesPurdue University Director
National Center for Typhoon and Flooding Research, Taiwan
University Chair Professor
Department of Atmospheric Sciences, National Central University, Chung-Li, TaiwanUniversity Chair Professor
Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin-chu, Taiwan.Ph.D., MS The University of Chicago, Geophysical Sciences
BS National Taiwan University, Atmospheric Sciences
Associate Professor of Radiology

**Dr. Michael R. Rudnick**
M.D., FACP
Associate Professor of Medicine
Chief, Renal Electrolyte and Hypertension Division (PMC)
Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
Presbyterian Medical Center, Philadelphia
Nephrology and Internal Medicine
Certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine

**Dr. Bassey Benjamin Esu**
B.Sc. Marketing; MBA Marketing; Ph.D Marketing
Lecturer, Department of Marketing, University of Calabar
Tourism Consultant, Cross River State Tourism Development Department
Co-ordinator , Sustainable Tourism Initiative, Calabar, Nigeria

**Dr. Aziz M. Barbar, Ph.D**.
IEEE Senior Member
Chairperson, Department of Computer Science
AUST - American University of Science & Technology
Alfred Naccash Avenue – Ashrafieh

# Contents of the Volume

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Biological Science
Volume 13 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# *Helminthostachys Zeylanica* in *Barringtonia* Swamp Forest: A Phytoassociation Analysis

By Sumit Manna, Parasuram Kamilya, Tushar Kanti Ghara & Anirban Roy

*Abstract -* For a long period, populations of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. have mostly been restricted in few geographic ranges due to alternation of their actual / potential habitat conditions. To find out the potential habitat of Helminthostachys zeylanica it is significant to identify their phytoassociates as well as the nature of association. Apart from the habitat and community analysis, present study also highlighted a strong positive correlation in association of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* with other co-existed plants of the forest. Present study depict some strong possibilities of true positive association between *Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash, *Barringtonia acutangula* (L.) Gaertn. and *Antidesma acidum* Retz., and also a strong negative correlation in association with *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. Pearson correlation coefficient for interspecific covariance support there was a strong positive correlation in the density value for *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and *Barringtonia acutangula*. These findings depict the importance of presence of *Vetiveria zizanioides, Barringtonia acutangula* in the present habitat for establishment and increase of population size of *Helminthostachys zeylanica*.

*Keywords :* *association, co-existence, conservation, covariance, phytoassociation, swamp.*

*GJSFR-C Classification :* *FOR Code: 620399p*

# *Helminthostachys Zeylanica* in *Barringtonia* Swamp Forest: A Phytoassociation Analysis

Sumit Manna [α], Parasuram Kamilya [σ], Tushar Kanti Ghara [ρ] & Anirban Roy [ω]

*Abstract* - For a long period, populations of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. have mostly been restricted in few geographic ranges due to alternation of their actual / potential habitat conditions. To find out the potential habitat of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* it is significant to identify their phytoassociates as well as the nature of association. Apart from the habitat and community analysis, present study also highlighted a strong positive correlation in association of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* with other co-existed plants of the forest. Present study depict some strong possibilities of true positive association between *Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash, *Barringtonia acutangula* (L.) Gaertn. and *Antidesma acidum* Retz., and also a strong negative correlation in association with *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. Pearson correlation coefficient for interspecific covariance support there was a strong positive correlation in the density value for *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and *Barringtonia acutangula*. These findings depict the importance of presence of *Vetiveria zizanioides, Barringtonia acutangula* in the present habitat for establishment and increase of population size of *Helminthostachys zeylanica.*

*Keywords : association, co-existence, conservation, covariance, phytoassociation, swamp.*

## I. Introduction

*Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. (commonly known as *Kamraj*) is a terrestrial, herbaceous fern allies belonging to the family Ophioglossaceae, distributed in the countries like China, India, Sri Lanka, Malay peninsula, New Guinea, Australia, Japan, Philippines, Solomon Island, New Caledonia (Clausen 1938) and Nepal (Chandra et al. 2008). In India they are mostly found in western forests of south (upto an elevation of 914.4 ft.) central India (Chandra et al. 2008), Bengal plains especially *Tarai* forests (Chakraborty et al. 2012), Assam, Uttarpradesh and Uttarakhand. Specificity in habitat preference and association pattern with other plants restricts this ancient gene pool to a certain geographical terrain. Royen (1963) reported *Helminthostachys zeylanica* as the undergrowth of *Barringtonia, Leptospermum* swamp of Papua intermingled with other herb associates like *Fimbristylis griffithii* Boeckeler, *Eriocaulon* sp. *Ilysanthes* sp. and *Xyris complanata* R.Br. and a number of epiphytes. In tropical countries like India, this novel species was found to be existed as the undergrowth of different forest composition like *Tectona grandis* L.f., *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill, *Mallothus philippensis* (Lam.) Mull. Arg., *Acacia catechu* (L.f.) Willd., *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. etc. especially at the shady, moist and humus rich areas (Joshi 2011). Sundari et al. (2012) found this monotypic genus to be grown in blackish soil with high water holding capacity shaded by *Cocos nucifera* L. It was also found to be grown in open grass swamps on the edge of Tarai forests especially along the blackish muddy soil of the river banks of Bahrachi, Uttarakhand, Gorakhpur (Dixit and Tripathi 1956) where the major dominant tree species found in 10 m. radius of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* was *Shorea robusta* C.F.Gaertn. admixed with *Eucalyptus* sp. *Terminalia belerica* Roxxb., *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels, *Sygigium paniculata* Gaertn. Now a days, this evolutionary significant fern allies shrinked into few pockets of the world due to extensive grazing rate of the open grass land, extension of the cultivable land and over utilization by the local communities as food, fodder and mainly as medicine (Ghosh et al. 2004; Joshi 2011). Very low spore germination rate (Whittier 1987) and preference of vegetative propagation by the subterranean rhizomatous part also lead to confined this novel species to a certain localities. Habitat preference as well as specific association pattern with other plant associate regulates the key function to the establishment of this ancient genetic resource. Identification of their potential habitats and conservation of this species is to be needed immediately to protect this ancient gene pool. Phytoassociation analysis of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* with other plant species would be helpful to identify its strong positive and negative associates for facilitating to increase its population size through removal/keeping the associates.

## II. Materials and Methods

### a) Study Area

The studied *Barringtonia* forest *("Danga forest")* (25° 15' 200" N 88° 47' 913"E) was located at the transition between foot hills of the eastern Himalaya and the Gangetic plain. The soil is clay-loamy because of submergence of the area with over flowed water from near by canal during monsoon for at least four months of the year. Maximum annual rainfall of this area is 1921

*Authors α ω : West Bengal Biodiversity Board, Department of Environment, Government of West Bengal. Paribesh Bhawan, 10 A, LA Block, Sector- III, Saltlake City, Kolkata – 700098, West Bengal, India.*
*E-mails : sumitmanna84@gmail.com, aroy.wbbb@gmail.com*
*Author σ : Department of Botany, Balurghat College, Balurghat, Dakshin Dinajpur-733 101, West Bengal, India.*
*E-mail : pkamilya.in@gmail.com*
*Author ρ : Department of Statistics, Bidhannagar Govt. College, EB-2 Salt Lake City, Sector-I, Kolkata-700064, West Bengal, India.*
*E-mail : tkghara@gmail.com*

mm. and the temperature varies from 7° C to 39° C. *Barringtonia acutangula* (L.) Gaertn., *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. and *Antidesma acidum* Retz. were the only tree species co-occurred with *Helminthostachys zeylanica* at this swampy grass land with a ground cover of *Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash. Forest at the north-western side of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* population comprised of *Terminalia arjuna* (Roxb. ex DC.) Wight & Arn, *Shorea robusta* C.F. Gaertn., *Litsea glutinosa* (Lour.) C. B. Rob., *Syzygium cumini, Holarrhena antidysenterica* (L.) Wall. and others (Fig. 1). There was a trend of agricultural expansion at the south and eastern side and presence of human settlement at the north western side of the swamp (Fig. 1). Water stagnant at the middle (323.74 Sq. m.) of this 2428.12 Sq. m. study area through out the year due to lower elevation. At the southern side of this water body large number of *Barringtonia acutangula* were present where as the northern side no other tree species were existed except a few individuals of *Eucalyptus globulus*. (Plantation by the forest department) and few cut stamp of *Barringtonia acutangula*. (Fig. 1).




*Figure 1 :* Vegetation map of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other plant community in *Barringtonia* swamp

*b) Methods*

The study area was divided into two sites based on the variable habitat condition of *Helminthostachys zeylanica*. Site -1 (25° 15' 251" N 88° 47' 339"E ) was an open grass land mostly covered with *Vetiveria zizanioides* along with some noted *Barringtonia acutangula* cut stumps and a few planted *Eucalyptus globulus* at its extreme western side and the tribal settlement with two ponds at its northern part. Site-2 (25° 14' 802"N 88° 47' 641" E) was densely covered with *Vetiveria zizanioides* along with many arborsent *Barringtonia acutangula* and *Antidesma acidum.*

For community analysis, sixteen sampling units (SUs) (Quadrats of 20.88 Sq.m. sizes) were plotted separately on both study sites (Site -1 and 2). Quadrats are plotted randomly in each site to avoid biased sampling. Structural Parameters like Density (D), Abundance (A), Relative Density (RD), Relative Frequency (RF) and Importance Value Index (IVI) were estimated by using standard procedure (Gopikumar et al. 2005; Magurran 1988; Manna et al. 2012). To study the inter-specific association (if any) existed between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other 6 associated plant species present in this swampy forest plant community, 2×2 contingency / species association table was prepared separately from presence-absence data matrix (generated from 16 SUs plotted in each site) for pair wise comparison between them both in site-1 and 2 separately (Ludwig and Reynolds 1988; Manna et al. 2012). Large numbers of sampling units (16 in each study site) were taken to avoid biased chi-square values as much as possible (Eq. 1). For further continuity,

correction to ensure a closer approximation to the theoretical continuous Chi-square distribution, Yates's correction formula (Eq. 2) was adopted. Association was measured by computing Ochiai *(OI),* Dice *(DI)* and Jaccard *(JI)* Index (Eq. 3, 4 & 5) (Ludwig and Reynolds 1988). To study the interspecific co-variation (if any) existed between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other 6 plant associates present in the community, Pearson's Correlation coefficient (Eq. 6) was calculated based on abundance data of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other phytoassociates (Ludwig and Reynolds 1988). Abundance data were derived from the data of 32 Quadrats plotted through out the study area.

$$\chi_t^2 = \frac{N(ad-bc)^2}{mnrs} \quad (1)$$

$$\chi_t^2 = \frac{N\left[|(ad)-(bc)|-\left(N/2\right)\right]^2}{mnrs} \quad (2)$$

$$DI = \frac{2a}{2a+b+c} \quad (3)$$

$$JI = \frac{a}{a+b+c} \quad (4)$$

$$OI = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a+b}\,\sqrt{a+c}} \quad (5)$$

$$r(i,k) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij}Y_{kj} - \{(\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij})(\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{kj})/N\}}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij}^2 - \{(\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{ij})^2/N\}\right]\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{kj}^2 - \{(\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{kj})^2/N\}\right]}} \quad (6)$$

a= the number of SUs where both species occur, b = the number of SUs where species A occurs but not B, c = the number of SUs where species B occurs but not A, d = the number of SUs where neither A nor B are found and N = the total number of SUs (N = a+b+c+d), $Y_{ij}$ =the abundance of $i^{th}$ species in $j^{th}$ SU, $Y_{kj}$ = the abundance of the $k^{th}$ species in the $j^{th}$ SU.

As *Vetiveria zizanioides* population was densely matted in the entire studied forest site during the growing season of *Helminthostachys zeylanica,* the structural parameters including the data on its abundance had not been calculated and thus excluded from Pearson's Correlation coefficient test.

## III. Results

*Helminthostachys zeylanica* was found to be co-existed with *Vetiveria zizanioides, Barringtonia acutangula, Antidesma acidum*, *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Lygodium flexuosum* (L.) Sw. Ab, F%, RD, RF and IVI values of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* was comparatively higher in Site -2 than Site -1 (Table 1 and Fig. 2). In every year during monsoon the whole study area was inundated by the overflow of near by canal for a period of 5 to 6 months. On receding water, the entire area was an optimum habitat for *Vetiveria zizanioides*, *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and germination ground of *Antidesma acidum* as *Antidesma acidum* trees were distributed at higher elevation of this marshy land where in was not in submergence during monsoon. During summer 2-3 spikes were developed at tip of each individual of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and most of them were noticed to be bifurcated from the base (Fig. 3) which is a very unusual phenomenon (Manickam and Irudayaraj 1994). It was observed that all the individuals were developed from upper surface of subterranean horizontal rhizome and not a single soul found isolated inspite of its ample spore production. Interspecific association indices between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other co-existing plants (Table 2 and Fig. 4) indicated that *Helminthostachys zeylanica* had maximum positive association with *Vetiveria zizanioides* followed by *Barringtonia acutangula* and *Antidesma acidum* where as strong negative association was found with *Eucalyptus globulus* (for all species we accept 5% probability level). Pearson correlation coefficient for interspecific covariance indicated that there was a strong positive correlation in the density value for *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and *Barringtonia acutangula* (at 5% probability level) (Table 3).

*Table 1 :* Structural parameter of the *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other plant community

| Plant Species | Density | | Abundance | | Frequency % | | Relative density | | Relative frequency | | Importance value index | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | S. 1 | S. 2 | S. 1 | S. 2 | S. 1 | S. 2 | S. 1 | S. 2 | S. 1 | S. 2 | S. 1 | S. 2 |
| *H. zeylanica* | 0.665 | 2.610 | 17.077 | 54.500 | 81.250 | 100.000 | 17.317 | 68.019 | 19.697 | 24.242 | 37.014 | 92.261 |
| *B. acutangula* | 0.003 | 0.069 | 1.000 | 2.091 | 6.250 | 68.750 | 0.078 | 1.794 | 1.515 | 16.667 | 1.593 | 18.461 |
| *B. acutangula* (Cut stump) | 0.021 | 0.003 | 3.500 | 1.000 | 12.500 | 6.250 | 0.546 | 0.078 | 3.030 | 1.515 | 3.576 | 1.593 |
| *E. globulus* | 0.009 | 0.003 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 18.750 | 6.250 | 0.234 | 0.078 | 4.545 | 1.515 | 4.779 | 1.593 |
| *L. flexuosum* | 0.009 | 0.003 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 18.750 | 6.250 | 0.234 | 0.078 | 4.545 | 1.515 | 4.779 | 1.593 |
| *A. acidum* | 0.003 | 0.440 | 1.000 | 11.308 | 6.250 | 81.250 | 0.078 | 11.466 | 1.515 | 19.697 | 1.593 | 31.163 |

S: Site.

*Table 2 :* Inter specific association indices and test statistics between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and other plant species in site 1 and 2

| Site-1 Sp. Pair | AT | Chi-Square | Yate's Chi-square | Association Index | | | Site-2 Sp. Pair | AT | Chi-Square | Yate's Chi-square | Association Index | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | *OI* | *DI* | *JI* | | | | | *OI* | *DI* | *JI* |
| 1--2 | + | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 1--2 | + | 4.62* | 0.68 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.87 |
| 1--3 | + | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 1--3 | + | 0.07 | 3.48 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.07 |
| 1--4 | + | 1.47 | 0.06 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.8 | 1--4 | + | 7.47* | 1.37 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.93 |
| 1--5 | _ | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 1--5 | _ | 16* | 3.48 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 1--6 | + | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 1--6 | + | 0.07 | 3.48 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.07 |
| 1--7 | + | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 1--7 | + | 4.62* | 0.68 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.87 |

*For association between 1-2, 1-4 and 1-7 we accepted 5% probability level

AT: Association type; Ochiai: *OI;* Dice: *DI;* and Jaccard: *JI;* SU: sampling unit; Species 1: *Helminthostachys zeylanica;* species 2: *Barringtonia acutangula;* species 3: *Barringtonia acutangula* (cut stump); species 4: *Vetiveria zizanioides;* species 5: *Eucalyptus globulus;* species 6: *Lygodium flexuosum;* species 7: *Antidesma acidum.*

*Table 3 :* Interspecific covariation showing Pearson's correlation coefficient

| Species Abundance | SU1 | SU2 | SU3 | SU4 | SU5 | SU6 | Species Pair | PCC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 79.8 | 47.6 | 43.2 | 22.25 | 17.8 | 10.33 | 1--2 | 0.83* |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17.4 | 9 | 1-5 | -0.50 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1-7 | 0.51 |

*For abundance correlation between species 1-2 we accept 5% probability level

Pearson's correlation coefficient: PCC; Sampling unit: SU; Species 1: *Helminthostachys zeylanica;* species 2: *Barringtonia acutangula;* species 5: *Eucalyptus globulus;* species 7: *Antidesma acidum.*




*Figure 2 :* Comparative account of Important Value Index of different plants in the two study sites

*Figure 3 :* *Helminthostachys zeylanica* bearing spike bifurcated from the base




*Figure 4 :* Plexus diagram showing pattern of association between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and others

## IV. Discussion

Less disturbance, moist shady condition by the canopy of large *Barringtonia acutangula* trees and claiy humus rich substratum covered by dense population of *Vetiveria zizanioides* created a fabulous microclimate for better population size of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* with maximum Ab, F%, RD, RF in site-2 unlike site-1 (Joshi 2011; Sundari et al. 2012). Yearly monsoon sedimentation in the habitat is also helpful for its successful establishment (Goswami 2007). Presence of *Vetiveria zizanioides* was supposed to be playing one of the key role to the establishment of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* in this habitat. *Helminthostachys zeylanica* had its luxuriant growth because rhizome of this species remained entangled with the tuft of rigid aggregate roots of *Vetiveria zizanioides* resisting from monsoon flash rather accumulating excess sediment. Interspecific association indices supported that there was a strong positive association existsted between *Helminthostachys zeylanica* and *Vetiveria zizanioides* in the present habitat. This also supports their co-operative and similar mode of response to the different environmental factors. Strong positive association of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* with *Barringtonia acutangula* as well as strong positive correlation in the density value (from Pearson's Correlation coefficient) of these two species also indicated the importance of *Barringtonia acutangula* to the establishment and formation of better population size of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* in this low land swampy plant community. The present finding was also supported by the observation of Royn (1963) who reported *Helminthostachys zeylanica* population in the *Barringtonia- Leptospermum* swamp in Papua. *Antidesma acidum* was also thought to be performed the same function as in *Barringtonia acutangula*. Strong negative association of *Eucalyptus globulus* with *Helminthostachys zeylanica* suggested the population of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* is declining with the increasing individuals of planted *Eucalyptus globulus* which contradicts the observation of Joshi (2011) based on qualitative study.

*Helminthostachys zeylanica* is very sensitive to the different environmental factors like temperature, humidity, water availability, wind velocity etc. and can manifest characters in a different way than that was determined genetically (Sundari et al. 2012). Presence of both branched and unbranched spike in the same study site may due to the same reason.

The individuals of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* are mostly ramets as developed from the rhizome, still they produce huge amount of spores which are either nonviable in nature or there is an sexual incompatibility within the gametophyte that hinders the development of genets. Inspite of vegetative propagation huge amount of energy investment in *Helminthostachys zeylanica* for spore production is still in question, but may be hypothesized that the shifting sexual mode from existed vegetative reproduction during unfavourable or other environmental condition may lead their existence.

## V. Conclusion

Besides natural calamities, the anthropogenic pressure like deforestation, alternation of habitat etc. causes serious threats to the extinction of numerous ancient evolutionary significant novel plants. *Helminthostachys zeylanica,* being an ancient (Devonian) land vascular plant and keeping transitional status of true fern and fern allies is significantly under serious threat all over the world. Present findings will help to protect this ancient gene pool through keeping the positively associated plants like *Barringtonia acutangula, Vetiveria zizanioides, Antidesma acidum* and removing the negatively associated plants like *Eucalyptus globulus* from the habitat of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* to get better population size during in situ or ex situ conservation programme in future.

## VI. Acknowledgements

Authors are indebted to the Department of Environment, Govt. of West Bengal for financial assistance and grateful to Prof. A.K Sharma, Chairman, West Bengal Biodiversity Board for encouragement and valuable suggestions. Authors are also thankful to the Member Secretary, Senior Research Officer and other officials of the board for providing infrastructural facilities and necessary help.

## References Références Referencias

1. Chakraborty, T. K., Choudhuri, S. D. and Choudhuri, J. (2012). Morphological Varia Tions of Fartile Spike in *Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. Hacquetia. 11(2): 271 - 275.
2. Chandra S., Fraser-Jenkins, C. R, Kumari, A. and Srivastava, A. (2008). A summary of the status of threatened pteridophytes of India. Taiwania. 53(2): 170 - 209.
3. Clausen, R. T. (1938). A monograph of the Ophioglossaceae. Memories of the Torrey Botanical Club. 19(2): 5 - 177.
4. Dixit, S. N. and Tripathi, S. M. (1956). Occurrence of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* Hook. in Lakshmipur forest (Gorakhpur). Indian Forester. 92(5): 275 - 277.
5. Ghosh, S. R., Ghosh, B., Biswas, A. and Ghosh, R. K. (2004) The pteridophytic flora of Eastern India. Vol. 1, Kolkata: Botanical Survey of India.
6. Gopikumar, K., Rani, C., Luckins, C., Babu, C. and Peethambaran, K. (2005). Phytosociological Studies of a Sacred Grove at Mannarashala, Kerala. In: C. Kunhikannan, C. and Gurudev Singh, B. (eds), Strategy for conservation of Sacred Groves. Coimbatore: IFGTB (ICFRE). Pp - 65 - 71.

7. Goswami, H. K. (2007). Biology of *Ophioglossum* L. Bionature. 27: 1 - 73.
8. Joshi, B. (2011). Ecology and Medicinal Uses of *Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. "An endangered flora of India" reported at Foothills of Kumaun Himalaya (Kashipur), Uttarakhand. Researcher. 3(4): 51 - 54.
9. Ludwig, J. A. and Reynolds, J. F. (1988). Statistical ecology: a primer on methods and computing. New York: John Wiley and Sons. Pp - 129.
10. Magurran, A. E. 1988. Ecological diversity and its measurement. New Jersey: Princeton University Press. Pp - 170.
11. Manickam, V. S. and Irudayaraj, V. (1992). Pteridophytic Flora of the Western Ghats – South India. New Delhi: B. I. Publications Pvt. Ltd. Pp - 58.
12. Manna, S., Roy, A. and Ghara, T. K. (2012). Mangrove community in an abandoned brick kiln: A structural and association analysis. Eurasian Journal of Biosciences. 6: 24 - 31. DOI:10.5053/ejobios.2012.6.0.3
13. Royen, P. V. (1963). Notes on the vegetation of South New Guinea. Nova Guinea. 13: 195 - 241.
14. Sundari, M., Benniamin, S., Udayakumari, A. and Muthulakshmi, S. (2012). Unusual Spike Development of Primitive Fern *Helminthostachys zeylanica* (L.) Hook. (Ophioglossaceae). International Journal of Biological Technology. 3(1):25 - 27.
15. Whittier, D. P. (1987). Germination of *Helminthostachys* Spores. American Fern Journal. 77 (3): 95 - 99.

This page is intentionally left blank

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Biological Science
Volume 13 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# Investigation on Fish Assemblages around Cooling Water System Outlet in the Coastal Water of Bontang City, East Kalimantan

By Iwan Suyatna & Ahmad Syafei Sidik

*Mulawarman University, Indonesia*

*Abstract -* The community structure and assemblage of fish caught in the water around cooling water system outlet of a methanol industry in Bontang City were investigated. Sampling of fish was done in spring tide at high water level (HWL) and low water level (LWL) and neap tide in January to February 2013. Fishing gears used for sampling were bottom minitrawler and angler. Surface water temperature and salinity were measured using water checker Horiba U-50 series. Tide and water depth including water current were also surveyed using tidal scale pole, echosounder GPSmap 2108 Garmin and Braystoke BFM001 current meter respectively. The assemblage of fish caught in water around the outlet composed of 57 different species with 5670 individuals. The most common fish species observed around the outlet and in water intake was from the member of family Leiognathidae and Lutjanidae. Certain species of Nemipteridae seemed to withstand temperature pressure. Fish assemblage was greatly influenced by tidal cycle. The water condition around outlet was included as relatively good indicated by the number and species of fish caught.

*Keywords :* *cooling water system outlet, tide, water temperature, salinity, bontang city.*

*GJSFR-C Classification :* *FOR Code: 291204*


*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :*

# Investigation on Fish Assemblages around Cooling Water System Outlet in the Coastal Water of Bontang City, East Kalimantan

Iwan Suyatna [α] & Ahmad Syafei Sidik [σ]

*Abstract* - The community structure and assemblage of fish caught in the water around cooling water system outlet of a methanol industry in Bontang City were investigated. Sampling of fish was done in spring tide at high water level (HWL) and low water level (LWL) and neap tide in January to February 2013. Fishing gears used for sampling were bottom minitrawler and angler. Surface water temperature and salinity were measured using water checker Horiba U-50 series. Tide and water depth including water current were also surveyed using tidal scale pole, echosounder GPSmap 2108 Garmin and Braystoke BFM001 current meter respectively. The assemblage of fish caught in water around the outlet composed of 57 different species with 5670 individuals. The most common fish species observed around the outlet and in water intake was from the member of family Leiognathidae and Lutjanidae. Certain species of Nemipteridae seemed to withstand temperature pressure. Fish assemblage was greatly influenced by tidal cycle. The water condition around outlet was included as relatively good indicated by the number and species of fish caught.

*Keywords : cooling water system outlet, tide, water temperature, salinity, bontang city.*

## I. Introduction

Bontang City geographically stretches between 117°23' and 117°38' E and 0°01' and 0°12' N, and lies between Mahakam Delta and Sangkulirang Bay, East Kalimantan (Figure 1). Defined as a centre for petrochemical industries receiving raw gas materials by piping from oil and gas exploitation in the Mahakam Delta, the coastal zone of Bontang City continuously transforms with new constructions such as industrial plants, reclamation, ports, and channel dredging. Newell (1998) reported that dredging and land reclamation disturb benthic communities, and as much as 30% of total fisheries yield to man is derived from benthic resources including demersal fishes. The development of ports and fisheries harbours also involve dredging and disposal activities to maintain the required depths for navigation (Kudale 2010, Commision 2007 and Commission, 2009). Some coastal water areas in Bontang City accomodate waste hot water released from cooling water system outlets of some chemical companies. This heated water carrying much thermal energy may greatly affect on physical, chemical and biological characteristics of water. Hot water flowing from the outlets is already subject to the rising of sea water temperature in Bontang City and able to harm fishes. Since very limited information is available on fish living around the areas, fish species and their asssemblages are urged to investigate.




*Figure 1 :* Map showing location for the investigation of fish species and their assemblages in Bontang City, East Kalimantan

*Authors α σ : Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University (UNMUL). Jl. Gunung Tabur, Kampus Gunung Kelua, Samarinda 75116, Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia. E-mail : isuyatna@ymail.com*

The investigation aimed at identifying fish species and studying fish community structure and their assemblages in waters around the outlets. Further, fishes sampled from the mouth of outlet and inlet were also observed.

## II. Materials and Methods

The investigation was undertaken in January and February 2013. Fishes samples were collected from water around the outlet of a methanol industry (PT Kaltim Methanol Industri) in Bontang City. The water is a dredged channel with approximately 400 m width and 10 m depth. In January 13th a bottom minitrawler sizing 11 m x 1.25 m equipped with a net of 12 m long having mesh size of 1.25 inches at front and 1.5 m long as cod end having mesh size of 1.0 inch at end was used to perform fish sampling in spring tide. Another fish sampling was conducted in neap tide in the following month on February 5th. Limited towing time 15 to 20 minutes was decided to avoid net damage due to sharp hard substrate. Samplings were done between 150 m going west and 200 m going east from the outlet. Geographic positions of net setting and hauling were recorded by GPS Garmin 60 CSx. Fish samples were also taken at the mouth of outlet and water intake by angling using hook #7 and nylon line 0.33 mm. Live bait 3 to 4 cm of small shrimps *Metapenaeus* sp was used. To obtain the data of sea surface tempetaure and salinity in sampling area, three 500 m line transects having eight to 11 observed points each were constructed with certain angle from the outlet. The interval distance between observed point was 50 m. Sea surface temperature and salinity were measured using water checker Horiba U-50 series.

During investigation, hydrographic aspects such as tide and water depth including water current were surveyed using tidal scale pole, echosounder GPSmap 2108 Garmin and Braystoke BFM001 current meter respectively. Tide and tidal water current were observed every 30 minutes in spring tide (Januari 12th and 14 th to 15 th) and in neap tide (February 4 th to 6 th). Sounding water depth was conducted on January 12th. Data of sea surface temperature and salinity were analyzed by descriptive statistics using SPSS version 15. Software of PAlaeontological STatistics PAST version 3 was also used to describe fish community structure through index of diversity including dominancy and Margalef richness. Catch per unit effort CPUE was used to obtain fish density (Can *et al.*, 2005). Fish species were identified according to Anam and Mostarda (2012), Peristiwady (2006), Allen (2000), and Masuda et al. (1975), while fish sample photographs were documented using digital camera of Nikon Coolpix AW100.

## III. Results and Discussion

*a) Environmental Factors*

Since physical, chemical dan hydrographic conditions in waters may influence a distribution pattern of fish (Hsieh, 2012), some environmental factors measured during investigation in the water around outlet are described in Table 1.

*Table 1 :* Sea surface water temperature and salinity measured in water around the outlet of a methanol industry in Bontang City, East Kalimantan

| | Temperature (°C) | | | Salinity (°/oo) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Spring tide | | Neap tide | Spring tide | | Neap tide |
| | HWL | LWL | | HWL | LWL | |
| Numer of data (n) | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Distribution | 32 to 40 | 31 to 41 | 32 to 40 | 32 to 35 | 31 to 34 | 28 to 31 |
| Frequency | 36 (12) | 35 (10) | 34 (9) | 34 (20) | 32 (15) | 30 (18) |
| Range | 8 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mean | 35.76 | 34.69 | 34.66 | 33.86 | 32.31 | 30.14 |
| Variance | 3.19 | 4.29 | 2.73 | 0.98 | 0.51 | 0.48 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.79 | 2.07 | 1.65 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 0.69 |

Notes : HWL= high water level, LWL= low water level. Number in parenthesis shows the frequency.

Sea surface water temperature in spring tide both at HWL and LWL tended to be fluctuated as shown in the above table. The most frequency of sea water temperature during the investigation occurred at 35 to 36°C. However, the water in the investigation area in general exhibited marked extreme water temperature exceeding 5°C of normal limit, while in neap tide it appeared approximately 34°C. The rise and fall of sea surface monitored for about 73.5 hours during spring tide and 50 hours during neap tide showed a difference pattern (Figure 2). During neap tide, the HWL and LWL were occurring almost at similar level in several days. This tide enabled sea water to mix more with the water from the outlet and lead to sea water temperature degradation. Theoritically, young fish can survive in water 2°C warmer than the maximum temperature adults

can tolerate (Moyle and Cech, 2000). While Steady et al. (2011) suggests the maximum allowable temperature uplift is between +2°C and +3°C.




*Figure 2 :* The rise and fall of sea surface at the investigation site during spring and neap tide (left and right) in Bontang City, East Kalimantan

Salinity distribution in spring and neap tide ranged from 31 to 35$^0$/oo and 28 to 31$^0$/oo respectively. The salinity of 34$^0$/oo was the most concentration showing within the normal limit. The salinity concentration in neap tide was much more less than in spring tide. During the investigation, the area was rained twice and predicted to have affected to salinity. Hydrographic surveying without measuring tides directly is one of many uses (Federation Internationale Geometre, 2013). For the fish species investigation purpose, the survey was carried out with directly measuring tides. It obtained 1,158 water depth points and the deepest water depth measured was 13.7 m. Using the tidal range as a correction factor, the water depth for three levels based on tidal cycles is presented in the following table.

*Table 2 :* Water depths at the investigation site measured during hydrographic survey based on tidal cycles

| | Tidal Cycle | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vertical Surface (m) | Spring Tide | Neap Tide | Δd |
| Range of tidal level | -2.05 | -1.03 | -1.02 |
| High Water Level | -14.28 | -13.06 | -1.22 |
| Low Water Level | -12.03 | -12.03 | 0.00 |
| Mean Water Level | -13.05 | -12.55 | -0.50 |

As it is very important to fish and related to fish distribution, water current was observed at three water columns accordingly. The result of measurement is presented in Table 3 below.

*Table 3 :* Ranges of water currents (m s$^{-1}$) measured at three water columns in investigation site

| | Surface Water (0.2d) | Mid Water (0.6d) | Bottom Water (0.8d) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Observation Hour | Spring Tide (Jan 12 and 15, 2013) | | |
| 10 am to 18.30 pm | 0.031 to 0.086 (n=18) | 0.031 to 0.149 (n=18) | 0.038 to 0.101 (n=18) |
| 00 am to 08.30 am | 0.031 to 0.081 (n=17) | 0.028 to 0.101 (n=17) | 0.031 to 0.081 (n=17) |
| | Neap Tide (Feb 04 and 05, 2013) | | |
| 9.30 am to 18.30 pm | 0.041 to 0.101 (n=18) | 0.033 to 0.081 (n=18) | 0.036 to 0.068 (n=18) |
| 00.00 am to 16.00 pm | 0.033 to o.081 (n=33) | o.036 to 0.091 (n=33) | 0.033 to 0.058 (n=33) |

A recent investigation on hydrographic aspects of fish trap "Julu" fisheries using a tidal water current, the maximum during spring and neap tide was observed 1.48 and 0.78 m $s^{-1}$ respectively (Suyatna, et al., 2012). Sepa (2006) identified that tidal current of 0.70 m $s^{-1}$ belongs to a strong current. Thus the water currents measured at investigation site were categorized as weak currents. It was probably because the site physically includes as a semi-closed water.

*b) Number of Species, Composition and Fish Densities*

During investigation, a total of 5670 fishes was caught from the water around the outlet of a methanol industry. Of all fishes, 5164 individuals resulted from bottom minitrawl sampling consisted of 40 different fish species and 19 families. The other 560 individuals caught with angling covering 37 different species belonging to 12 families (Table 4 and 5).

*Table 4 :* List of fishes caught from all bottom minitrawl samplings during spring and neap tide

| Common name | Species name | Samp size | Spec num | Length (cm) Min | Length (cm) Max | Weight (g) Min | Weight (g) Max |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Snappers (Lutjanidae) | : *Lutjanus* sp | 5 | 4 | 4 | 11.2 | 1.1 | 21.9 |
| Groupers (Serranidae) | : *Epinephelus* sp | 3 | 3 | 10.5 | 29 | 16.3 | 306.6 |
| | : *Cephalopholis* sp | 7 | 2 | 4 | 18.5 | 2 | 76.3 |
| Rabbitfish (Siganidae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Surgeonfish (Acunthuridae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Spotted Scats (Scatophagidae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Sea Breams (Nemipteridae) | : *Nemipterus* sp | 64 | 1 | 6.9 | 20.5 | 3.6 | 139.5 |
| | :*Pentapodus* sp | 2 | 2 | 7 | | 12 | |
| Emperors (Lethridae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Damselfish (Pomacentridae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Trevallies (Carangidae) | : *Carangoides* sp | 1 | 1 | 7 | | 5.4 | |
| | : *Alectes* sp | 2 | 1 | 2.5 | 6 | 0.6 | 4.1 |
| Queenfish (Carangidae) | : *Scomberoides* sp | 2 | 1 | 4.9 | 10 | 0.4 | 6.7 |
| Herring (Elopidae) | :- | | | | | | |
| Fusilier (Caesionidae) | : - | | | | | | |
| Silver Biddy (Gerreidae) | : *Gerres* sp | 105 | 3 | 6 | 19.4 | 1.5 | 54.1 |
| Ponyfishes (Leiognathidae) | : | 4752 | | | | | |
| | : *Leiognathus* sp | 1409 | 3 | 3,0 | 14.3 | 0.2 | 35.7 |
| | : *Gazza* sp | 3170 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0.9 | 8.9 |
| | : *Secutor* sp | 173 | 2 | 6.2 | 10 | 2.8 | 11.1 |
| Goatfishes (Mullidae) | : *Upeneus* sp | 121 | 2 | 5.4 | 13 | 0.9 | 13.9 |
| Lizardfish (Harpodontidae) | : *Saurida* sp | 28 | 1 | 6.5 | 25.6 | 1,0 | 134.9 |
| Flathead (Platycephalidae) | : *Platycephalus* sp | 14 | 1 | 8 | 31 | 2.1 | 200.3 |
| Perchlet (Chandidae) | : *Ambassis* sp | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| Pufferfish (Tetraodontidae) | : *Arothron* sp | 7 | 1 | 4.5 | 18.5 | 1.6 | 122.2 |
| Cardinalfish (Apogonidae) | : *Apogon* sp | 16 | 1 | 5.5 | 9 | 1.6 | 5.5 |
| Cutlassfish (Trichiuridae) | : *Trichiurus* sp | 4 | 1 | 15.7 | 81,0 | 10,0 | 516.8 |
| Flounder (Bothidae) | : *Pseudorhombus* sp | 19 | 1 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 5.9 |
| Anchovy (Engraulidae) | : *Stolephorus* sp | 1 | 1 | 8 | | 3 | |
| Razorfish (Centriscidae) | : *Centriscus* sp | 1 | 1 | 6.5 | | 0.3 | |
| Northern Pilchard (Clupeidae) | : *Amblygaster* sp | 5 | 2 | 8.1 | 10 | 7.1 | 10.8 |
| Ray (Dasyatidae) | : *Dasyatis* sp | 2 | 1 | 10 | 51 | 9.1 | 516.4 |
| Scorpionfish (Scorphaenidae) | : *Pterois* sp | 1 | 1 | 11.5 | | 14.9 | |
| | | 5164 | 40 | | | | |

Table 4 shows in majority the size distribution of fish indicated juvenile stage and larvae such as trevallies (*Carangoides* sp, *Alectis* sp), groupers (*Epinephelus* sp, *Cephalopholis* sp) and snappers (*Lutjanidae* sp). These fishes nursed and fed in the water around the outlet of investigation site. Blaber (2000) stated that estuaries and shallow waters throughout the world play a major role as nursery areas for a wide variety of organisms. *Leiognathus* sp, *Secutor* sp and *Gazza* sp belonging to the family Leiognathidae were recorded as the most abundant species (Figure 3), followed by Goatfish *Upeneus* sp (Mullidae), Silver biddy *Gerres* sp (Gerreidae) and Sea breams *Nemipterus* sp (Nemipteridae). These fishes were categorized as demersal fishes and having wide distribution on the basis of depth (Suyatna et al, 2010). According to the diversity index, dominance species occured at LWL of spring tide. While Shannon index showed various fish species appeared at HWL of both spring and neap tide. Species richness Margalef increased at HWL of spring and neap tide (Table 6).

*Table 5 :* List of fish groups caught by angling from mouth of the outlet and intake water of methanol industry

| Fish group | | Sample size | Species number | Length weight distribution | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Length | | Weight | |
| Common name | Species name | | | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| Snappers (Lutjanidae) | : Lutjanus sp | 252 | 12 | 9.2 | 28 | 13.5 | 207 |
| Groupers (Serranidae) | : Epinephelus sp | 1 | 1 | 14 | - | 39.5 | - |
| | Cephalopolis sp | 7 | 2 | 12.1 | 20 | 26.2 | 141 |
| Rabbitfish (Siganidae) | : Siganus sp | 3 | 3 | 21.5 | - | 179.5 | - |
| Surgeonfish (Acanthuridae) | : Acunthurus sp | 2 | 1 | 31 | - | 589.8 | - |
| Spotted scat (Scatophagidae) | : Scatophagus sp | 1 | 1 | 16.5 | - | 159 | - |
| Sea Breams (Nemipteridae) | : Nemipterus sp | 9 | 1 | 12.5 | 19.6 | 27.4 | 115 |
| | Scolopsis sp | 26 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 11 | 46 |
| | Pentapodus sp | 28 | 3 | 6 | 18.5 | 2.6 | 18 |
| Emperors (Lethrinidae) | : Lethrinus sp | 26 | 2 | 8.7 | 21.5 | 12.5 | 162 |
| Damselfish (Pomacentridae) | : Abudefduf sp | 4 | 1 | 13.5 | 16.5 | 47.3 | 100 |
| Trevalies (Carangidae) | : Carangoides sp | 83 | 4 | 15 | 26.6 | 48.5 | 321.3 |
| | Caranx sp | 53 | 1 | 14 | 25 | 41.6 | 197.6 |
| Herring (Elopidae) | : Megalops sp | 2 | 1 | 20 | 41 | 231.8 | 590.8 |
| Fusilier (Caesionidae) | : Caesio sp | 12 | 1 | 16.2 | 23 | 53.8 | 10 |
| Silver biddy (Gerreidae) | : Gerres sp | 2 | 1 | 15 | - | 56.6 | - |
| | | 510 | 37 | | | | |

*Table 6 :* Indices of diversity, dominance and margalef richness of fish sampled during spring and neap tide in water around the outlet

| | Spring tide | | | | | | Neap tide Sampling | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sampling at HWL | | | Sampling at LWL | | | | | |
| | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 1st | 2nd | 3rd |
| Taxa | 22 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 12 |
| Individuals | 1108 | 130 | 2749 | 364 | 530 | 284 | 30 | 101 | 112 |
| Shannon | 1.101 | 2.14 | 0.9354 | 0.5371 | 0.6871 | 0.8282 | 2.478 | 1.569 | 1.623 |
| Dominance | 0.5915 | 0.1651 | 0.4577 | 0.8039 | 0.7557 | 0.6552 | 0.1022 | 0.3387 | 0.2709 |
| Margalef | 2.996 | 3.082 | 1.515 | 1.526 | 2.391 | 1.77 | 4.116 | 3.684 | 2.331 |

Angling at mouth of the outlet and water intake yielded the number of different fish species as mentionned in the Table 5. Five of 12 families consisting of Siganidae, Acanthuridae, Scatophagidae, Elopidae and Caesionidae were not observed from all samples taken by minitrawl. Acanthuridae as herbivorous fishes

(Navaro and Vivien, 1981) resembles the deeper bodied siganids in general shape and often occur together. Members of Scatophagidae are the euryhaline fishes commonly found in estuaries and harbours. Elopidae and Caesionidae commonly distributed in coastal waters, members of Elopidae are sometimes travelling in schools in open water (Matsunuma, 2011). The most common fish species caught at water intake was the member of Lutjanidae, *Lutjanus* sp composed of 12 species and family Carangidae i.e *Carangoides sp* and *Caranx sp* (Figure 4 and 5). Meanwhile, members of Nemepteridae such as *Pentapodus* sp were easy to fish at mouth of hot water outlet (± 40°C) very close to the outlet (Figure 4). These species are common inhabitants of sand-rubble bottoms near coral and rocky reefs (Allen and Erdmann, 2009).

*Figure 3 :* Members of family Leiognathidae observed during investigation. At left *Leiognathus fasciatus,* First row from left *Gazza minuta, L. splendens, L. nuchalis*. Second row from left *G. minuta, Secutor ruconius, S. indicus* (All photos were taken at site)

*Figure 4 :* Nine of twelve members of family Lutjanidae observed during investigation. First row from left *Lutjanus decussatus, L. johnii, L. fulvus,* Second row from left *L. lineolatus, L. ruselli, L. spilurus,* Third row *L. vitta, L. fulviflamma, L. rufolineatus* (All photos were taken at site)

*Figure 5 :* Members of family Nemipteridae observed at mouth of the outlet. From left *Pentapodus setosus, Scolopsis ciliatus, P bifasciatus* (All photos were taken at site)

*Figure 6 :* Members of family Serranidae and Carangidae observed at water intake. First row from left *Epinephelus coioides, Cephalopholis polycentron, E. Rivulatus*. Second row from left *C. sonnerati, E. corallicola, Carangoides ferdau*. Third row *Carangoides bajad, Caranx sexfasciatus, Carangoides coeruleopinnatus*
(All photos were taken at site)

Acoording to the analysis of CPUE, the significant fish density was dominated by four families in which members of family Leiognathidae showing the most populated fish (Table 6). Suyatna et al (2000) found out that Leiognathidae was the most important group of fish in Mahakam Delta.

*Table 6 :* Significant fish density (ind/km$^2$) in water around the outlet based on family and tidal cycle

| | Spring tide | | Neap tide |
|---|---|---|---|
| | HWL | LWL | |
| Leiognatidae | 328356 | 99200 | 16534 |
| Mullidae | 9067 | 1688 | 0 |
| Gerreidae | 6756 | 1689 | 889 |
| Nemipteridae | 4356 | 622 | 711 |
| Apogonidae | 978 | 178 | 267 |

As seen in the above table, fish density was greatly affected by tidal cycle, and fishes tended to enter the water around the outlet together with sea rise. At low tide and neap tide fish density decreased.

## IV. Conclusion

The assemblage of fish species in water around the outlet, at mouth and inlet differed. During the investigation, 57 different species were identified from 5670 fishes. Members of family Leiognathidae were the most common fish species observed in water around the outlet. While members of family Lutjanidae were assemblaged at water intake and certain species of Nemipteridae seemed to withstand temperature pressure. Fishes assemblage was greatly influenced by tidal cycle. Highest shannon and margalef index occurred in neap tide, while highest dominance index was observed at LWL in spring tide. The water condition around the outlet was included as relatively good indicated by the number and species of fish caught.

## V. Acknowledgement

We would like to express our sincere thanks to our colleagues Dr. Komsanah Sukarti, Ismail Fahmi Almady, Anugrah Aditya Budiarsa, Samsul Rizal, and Tedy Hanjoko for their help during sampling and analysis. This investigation was funded by PT Kaltim Methanol Industri, Bontang City as part of company's obligation for environmental monitoring.

## References Références Referencias

1. Allen GR and Erdmann MV. 2009. Pentapodus numberii, a New Species of Whiptail (Pisces: Nemipteridae) from Eastern Indonesia. Zoological Studies 48 (2): 280-286.
2. Allen G. 2000. Marine fishes of south east asia; a field guide for anglers and divers. Periplus. Singapore. 291 p.
3. Anam R and Edoardo Mostarda. 2012. Field Identification Guide to the Living Resources of

Kenya. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation, Rome. 357 p.
4. Blaber S J M, Cyrus D P, Albaret J J, Ching C V, Day J W, Elliot M, Fonseca M S, Hoss D E, Orensanz, Potter I C and Silver w. 2000. Effects of fishing on the structure and functioning of estuarine and nearshore ecosystems. Journal of Marine Science, 57. 590-602.
5. British Energy Estuaries and Marine Studies (2011). Thermal Standards for Cooling Water from Build Nuclear Power Stations. 158 p.
6. Can M F, Mazlum Y, Demirci A, Aktas M. 2005. The Catch Composition and Catch per Unit of Swept Area (CPUE) of Penaeid Shrimps in the Bottom Trawls from skenderun Bay, Turkey. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 4: 87-91
7. Commission H. 2007. Helcom Guidelines for the Disposal of Dredged Material at Sea. Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission Helsinki Finland. 29 p.
8. Commission O. 2009. Assessment of the Environmental Impact of Dredging for Navigational Purposes. Biodiversity Series. 19 p.
9. Federation Internationale Geometre. 2013. FIG Guide on the Development of a Vertical Reference Surface for Hydrography. FIG Publication No. 37. 20 p.
10. Haskoning UK Ltd. 2006. Investigation into the Impact of Marine Fish Farm Deposition on Maerl Beds. Commissioned Report No. 213 (ROAME No. AHIA10020348). 56 p.
11. Hsieh HY, Lo WT and Wu LJ. 2012. Community Structure of Larval Fishes from the Southeastern Taiwan Strait: Linked to Seasonal Monsoon-driven Currents. Zoological Studies 51 (5): 679-691.
12. Kudale M D. 2010. Developments of the ports also involve the dredging and disposal activities to maintain the required depths for navigation. Indian Journal of Geo. Marine Science Vol. 39 (4): 597-604.
13. Maes J, Van Damme P A, Taillieu A and Ollivier F. 1998. Fish Communities Along an Oxygen-Poor Salinity Gradient (Zeeschelde Estuary, Belgium). Journal of Fish Biology 52 : 543-546.
14. Masuda H, Araga C, Yoshiro T. 1975. Coastal fishes of southern japan. Tokai Univ. Press. Japan. 362 p.
15. Matsunuma M, Motomura H, Matsuura K, Shazili NAM and Ambak MA. 2011. Fishes of Terengganu, East Coast of Malay Peninsula, Malaysia. 251 p.
16. Moyle P B and Cech J J Jr. 2000. Fishes an introduction to ichthyology. Prentice Hall. London. 612 p.
17. Navaro YB and Vivien MLH. 1981. Quantitaive Distribution of Herbivorous Reef Fishes in the Gulf of Aqaba (RedSea). Marine Biology 63 : 79-86.
18. Newell R C, Seiderer L J and Hitchcock D R. 1998. The Impact of Dredgung Works in Coastal Waters : A Review of the Sensitivity to Disturbance and Subsequent Recovery of Biological Resources on the Seabed. Oceanography and Marine Biology 36 : 127-78.
19. Peristiwadi T. 2006. Important fish species in indonesia. Identification guidance. LIPI Press. Jakarta [Indonesia]. 270 p.
20. Seaby R, Natalie S, Peter H and Robin S. 2011. Comprehensive Impingement Monitoring Programme II at Hinkley Point B Power Station, Quarterly Report. 2010. 61 p.
21. Suyatna I, Bratawinata AA, Sidik AS and Ruchaemi A. 2010. Demersal fishes and their distribution in estuarine waters of Mahakam Delta, East Kalimantan. Biodiversitas. Vol. 11-4 : 204-210
22. Suyatna I, Rafii A, Abdunnur, Widianto D. 2012. Study on the Hydrographic Aspects of Fish Trap "Julu" in Estuarine Waters of Mahakam Delta. Aquarine. Vol. 3 no 1 : 72-78.

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Biological Science
Volume 13 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# Estimation De La Croissance De La Sardine (*Sardina Pilchardus* Walb, 1792) De La Région Centre Et Est De La Méditerranée Marocaine A L'aide De L'étude Des Otolithes

By Omar Kada, Souad Abdellaoui, Mohamed Najih & Driss Nachite

*Summary -* The study of the growth of the sardine *Sardina pilchardus* which populates the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts, based on the direct reading of the otoliths extracted from the internal ear, has allowed us to evaluate the age of 1015 specimens. The latter were sampled from the unloadings carried out in the main ports of the Moroccan Mediterranean from 2002 to 2004. The parameters of growth based on the equation of Von Bertalanffy are: $L_\infty$ = 21.3 cm, k =0.56, $t_0$= – 0.67, $W_\infty$= 76.2 ; a= 0,0066 and b= 3.0582.

*Résumé -* L'étude de la croissance de la sardine *Sardina pilchardus* qui peuple les côtes méditerranéennes marocaines, basée sur la lecture directe des otolithes extradites à partir de l'oreille interne, a permis l'estimation de l'âge de 1015 spécimens. Ces derniers ont été échantillonnés des débarquements réalisés dans les principaux ports de la Méditerranée marocaine durant les années 2002 à 2004. Les paramètres de croissance, basés sur l'équation de Von Bertalanffy ainsi que ceux relatifs à la relation taille-poids sont : $L_\infty$ = 21,3 cm ; k = 0,56 ; $t_0$= –0,67 ; $W_\infty$= 76,2 ; a= 0,0066 et b= 3,0582.

*GJSFR-C Classification :* *FOR Code: 069999*


*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :*

# Estimation De La Croissance De La Sardine (*Sardina Pilchardus* Walb, 1792) De La Région Centre Et Est De La Méditerranée Marocaine A L'aide De L'étude Des Otolithes

Omar Kada [α], Souad Abdellaoui [σ], Mohamed Najih [ρ] & Driss Nachite [ω]

*Résumé* - L'étude de la croissance de la sardine *Sardina pilchardus* qui peuple les côtes méditerranéennes marocaines, basée sur la lecture directe des otolithes extraites à partir de l'oreille interne, a permis l'estimation de l'âge de 1015 spécimens. Ces derniers ont été échantillonnés des débarquements réalisés dans les principaux ports de la Méditerranée marocaine durant les années 2002 à 2004. Les paramètres de croissance, basés sur l'équation de Von Bertalanffy ainsi que ceux relatifs à la relation taille-poids sont : $L_\infty$ = 21,3 cm ; k = 0,56 ; $t_o$= –0,67 ; $W_\infty$= 76,2 ; a= 0,0066 et b= 3,0582.

*Summary* - The study of the growth of the sardine *Sardina pilchardus* which populates the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts, based on the direct reading of the otoliths extracted from the internal ear, has allowed us to evaluate the age of 1015 specimens. The latter were sampled from the unloadings carried out in the main ports of the Moroccan Mediterranean from 2002 to 2004. The parameters of growth based on the equation of Von Bertalanffy are: $L_\infty$ = 21.3 cm, k =0.56, $t_o$= –0.67, $W_\infty$= 76.2 ; a= 0,0066 and b= 3.0582.

## I. Introduction

La pêche sardinière exercée en Méditerranée marocaine par des senneurs, ciblant particulièrement la sardine « *Sardina pilchardus* », est une composante importante de la pêche côtière, et contribue significativement dans l'économie de pêche de la région Nord du Maroc, vue son rendement en termes de captures et le chiffre d'affaire qu'elle génère.

En effet, les débarquements de la sardine représentent environ 70% de l'ensemble des captures des petits pélagiques (KADA et al. 2003) réalisées par la flotte de pêche sardinière. Toutefois, et depuis l'année 1970, une pression de pêche de plus en plus élevée a été enregistrée et qui s'exerce en particulier sur la sardine.

Pour mieux comprendre la situation réelle de l'exploitation de la sardine méditerranéenne et l'état dustock de l'espèce en question, les études d'évaluation de stocks, notamment par les méthodes indirectes, permettent de mieux diagnostiquer la situation d'exploitation et élaborer des éléments scientifiques nécessaires à la mise en place d'un plan de gestion. La réalisation de ces études repose sur la détermination de certains paramètres caractéristiques de la population de la sardine méditerranéenne, notamment les données sur l'âge ainsi que les paramètres de la croissance de ladite espèce.

Fondamentalement, l'étude de la croissance revient à décrire un changement moyen par unité de temps. La croissance d'une population ou d'un individu est souvent représentée par des modèles mathématiques. Putter, 1920 (*in* Sparre *et al.* 1996) a élaboré un modèle de croissance dans lequel on peut voir la base de la plupart des autres modèles y compris le modèle mathématique de croissance individuelle pour la longueur ou le poids élaboré par Von Bertalanffy (1938).

La présente étude s'intéresse à l'estimation de l'âge de la sardine de la Méditerranée marocaine à travers la lecture directe des otolithes entiers, en vue d'estimer les paramètres biologiques relatifs à la croissance de cette espèce selon le modèle de Von Bertalanffy.

## II. Matériel ET Méthode

L'échantillonnage biologique de la sardine provenant des captures commerciales des senneurs a été effectué au niveau des principaux ports situés au niveau de la région du centre et de l'Est de la Méditerranée marocaine : Al Hoceima, Nador et Cap de l'eau durant les années 2002, 2003 et 2004. Cet échantillonnage a couvre presque l'ensemble de gamme de taille de sardine et qui se situe entre 8 et 22,5 cm.

*Author α : Centre Régional de l'INRH-Nador.*
*E-mail : inrhomarkada@yahoo.fr*
*Author σ : Institut National de Recherche Halieutique INRH-Casa.*
*Author ρ : Centre Régional de l'INRH-Nador.*
*Author ω : Faculté des Sciences Abd El Malek Saadi de Tétouan.*




*Figure 1 :* Photo satellitaire montrant de la zone d'étude (Source : SIG-INRH, 2004)

Après leur extraction, les paires d'Otolithes sont placées dans des petits tubes répertoriés. Ensuite, ces pièces calcifiées, ont été montées en inclusion dans la résine polyester « EUKITT » sur des plaquettes noires et creusées d'alvéoles numérotées.



*Photo 1 :* Otolithes de sardine montées sur plaquette (Gr :x 1)

La lecture d'âge de la sardine a porté sur l'observation sous la loupe binoculaire (GR :X50) des stries de croissance des otolithes entiers montés sur des plaquettes noires. En vue de réaliser une bonne estimation d'âge et réduire les éventuelles erreurs d'analyse d'otolithe, trois lectures ont été effectuées par trois auteurs différents. L'âge adopté est celui qui présente une meilleure concordance entre les différentes lectures.

L'estimation des paramètres de croissance a été effectuée en se basant sur le modèle de Von Bertalanffy (1938). Ce modèle est appliqué pour la plupart des espèces de poissons (Sparre et al., 1996).

Après ajustement des données de longueur et d'âge observés, les trois paramètres de croissance ($L_\infty$, k, $t_0$) ont été estimés, moyennant le logiciel « FISAT » en appliquant l'équation Von Bertalanffy. Pour cela, les données relatives aux tailles et les âges correspondant pour tous sexes inclus, ont été introduites dans ce logiciel de traitement de données.

L'expression du model de croissance est la suivante:

- Croissance linéaire: $L_t = L_\infty (1\text{-}\exp(-k^{(t-t_0)}))$
- Croissance pondérale: $W_t = W_\infty (1\text{-}\exp(-k^{(t-t_0)}))$

Lt: longueur du poisson à l'instant t ; L∞ longueur asymptotique qui serait atteinte par le poisson à l'âge théorique infini ; k : coefficient de croissance caractérisant la vitesse avec laquelle l'espèce croît vers sa taille asymptotique ; $t_0$ : âge théorique pour une longueur nulle ; Wt : poids du poisson à l'instant t ; W∞ : poids asymptotique qui serait atteinte par le poisson à l'âge théorique infini.

Les données utilisées pour déterminer la relation taille-poids de la sardine sont celles de la longueur totale (au millimètre près) et de poids (g). Pour la sardine, la formulation mathématique de l'équation de la croissance exprimant l'évolution des poids moyens en fonction du temps se fait par simple combinaison de la relation longueur-poids et de l'équation de croissance en taille. L'expression de la relation Taille-poids est de type exponentiel, s'écrit comme suit:

$$W_t = a.\, L^b$$

avec L : taille du poisson et P : poids qui lui correspond.

Cette relation qui lie la taille au poids a été calculée sous forme de coordonnées logarithmiques pour les deux sexes prisent ensemble et pour tous les individus composant les échantillons.

## III. Résultat ET Discussion

Au total, 1143 paires d'otolithes ont été examinées dont 128 se sont révélées douteuses ou illisibles. L'estimation des âges a été effectuée sur presque l'ensemble des classes de taille qui sont de 1 cm d'intervalle et à chaque classe de taille sont réalisés plusieurs lecteurs d'otolithes appartenant à des individus différents.

### *a) Estimation des paramètres de croissance ($L_\infty$, k et $t_0$)*

Les résultats obtenus de la lecture des otolithes nous a permis d'établir une clé taille/âge. Les longueurs

moyennes estimées par groupe d'âge ont été utilisées pour estimer les paramètres de la relation de croissance linéaire, et qui s'écrit comme suit:

$$L_t = 21{,}3\ (1\text{-}\exp\ (-0{,}56^{(t+0.67)}))$$

L'analyse de la croissance effectuée sans distinction entre les sexes indique que la sardine a une longévité qui peut aller jusqu'à six ans (figure 2). De même, on note que cette espèce des clupéidés présente une croissance rapide durant sa phase juvénile notamment au cours des deux premières années. En effet, la longueur moyenne à 6 mois est de 10,6 cm et elle devient presque de 15,3 cm à 18 mois.

A l'âge de deux ans et demi, la sardine peut avoir une taille moyenne de l'ordre de 18 cm. Toutefois, et à partir de la troisième année, la croissance de la sardine en longueur devient de plus en plus lente et peut être estimée à quelques millimètres par an.




*Figure 2 :* Courbe théorique de la croissance linéaire de la sardine de la région Centre et est de la Méditerranée marocaine

Pour analyser les caractéristiques de la croissance de « *Sardina pilchardus* » des côtes méditerranéennes marocaines et faire des comparaisons avec les autres populations de cette espèce qu'abrite les autres régions de la Méditerranée et aussi celle de l'Atlantique marocain, nous avons élaboré le tableau 3, dans lequel sont exposées les valeurs des paramètres de l'équation de Von Bertalanffy, estimées pour la sardine de ces régions.

Au niveau de notre zone d'étude (côtes méditerranéennes marocaines), les paramètres de croissance ($L_\infty$, k et $t_0$), qui caractérisent la sardine de cette région sont comparables à ceux observés pour la sardine du secteur Nord-Ouest de la Méditerranée.

*Tableau 1 :* Paramètres de l'équation de Von Bertalanffy relatifs à la croissance de la sardine dans différentes régions de la Méditerranée et Atlantique marocains

| Zone géographique | $L_\infty$ (cm) | k | $t_o$ | Référence |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| W. Méditerranée (Iles Baléares) | 21,2 | 0,39 | - | GFCM, 1981 |
| W. Méditerranée (Alicante) | 22 | 0,29 | - | Larrañeta, M.G., 1975. |
| W. Méditerranée (Mer d'Alboran) | 20,69 | 0,69 | -0,64 | Alemany et al., 1993 |
| W. Méditerranée (Catalan) | 21,20 | 0,31 | - | Pertierra et al., 1989 |
| Atlantique marocaine | 21,6 | 0,88 | -0,129 | Delgado et al., 1981. |
| Méditerranée marocaine | 21,3 | 0,56 | -0,67 | Présente étude |

*b) Estimation des paramètres de la relation taille/poids (a et b)*

La relation entre la taille de la sardine à sa masse corporelle est établie à partir de données de mensuration de la taille et du poids de 1197 individus, sans faire de distinction entre les sexes.

L'équation de cette relation taille/poids, se présente comme suit :

$$P = 0.0066.\ L_t^{\ 3,0582} \qquad (R^2 = 0{,}95)$$

La combinaison de l'équation de croissance linéaire de Von Bertalanffy avec la relation taille- masse, permet d'établir l'équation de croissance pondérale qui s'écrit :

$$W_t = 76.2*(1\text{-}\exp\ (-0.56^{(t+0.67)}))$$

## IV. Conclusion

Cette étude a permis de caractériser la croissance chez la sardine méditerranéenne marocaine. Les paramètres relatifs à la croissance de la sardine en Méditerranée marocaine ont été estimés à partir de la lecture directe des otolithes entiers. Pour le deux sexe inclus, la sardine présente une allométrie de croissance, c'est à dire que la croissance en poids et en taille ne sont pas toujours proportionnelles avec l'âge. De même, la relation entre la taille de la sardine et son poids est légèrement majorante, qui tend vers une isométrie de croissance (en grandissant, les sardines grossissent un peu plus qu'elles ne s'allongent).

Les paramètres de croissance de l'équation de Von Bertalanffy qui caractérisent la sardine de la région de la Méditerranée marocaine ainsi que ceux relatifs à la relation taille-poids sont respectivement, $L_\infty$ = 21,3 cm ; $W_\infty$= 76,2 ; k = 0,56 ; $t_0$= -0.67 ; a= 0,0066 et b= 3,0582.

A la lumière des résultats obtenus dans cette étude, le programme concernant l'étude dynamique de population et d'estimation indirecte du stock de la sardine peut être dorénavant menée sur la base des données biologiques spécifiques à la population cette espèce en Méditerranée marocaine et sans faire recours aux données biologiques obtenues dans d'autres régions de la mer d'Alboran.

## Références Bibliographiques

1. Alemany F. & F. Alvarez, 1993. Growth differences among sardine (*Sardina pilchardus* Walb.) in Western Mediterranean. *Sci. Mar.*, 57: 2-3
2. Bertalanffy, L. Von, 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth (Inquiries in growth laws II). *Hum. Biol.*, 10 : 181-213.
3. Delgado, A., M.A.R. Fernandez and R. Goni, 1981. Contribución al estudio de la sardina (*Sardina pilchardus Walb.)* en aguas de Africa Occidental. III. Estudio del crecimiento por lectura directa de otolitos y por retrocalculo mediante escalimetria de escamas*. Bul. Inst. Esp. Oceanogr. (6):139-164.*
4. GFCM, 1981. Working party on resource appraisal and fishery statistics report of technical consultation on stock assessment in the Balearic and Gulf of Lions statistical divisions, Palma de Mallorca, Spain.. *FAO Fish. Rep*. (227). 151 p.
5. Kolding, J. & Ubal Giordano, W., 2002. Lecture notes. Report of the Adria Med Training Course on Fish Population Dynamics and Stock Assessment. FAO-MiPAF Scientific. Cooperation to Support Responsible Fisheries in the Adriatic Sea. GCP/RER/010/ITA/TD-08. *AdriaMed Technical Documents*, 8: 143 pp.
6. Larrañeta, M.G., 1975. La pesqueria pelagica de las costas de Alicante. *Invest. Pesq*. 39:79-17.
7. Pertierra, J.P. and B.Morales-Nin, 1989. Sardine growth in the Catalan sea determined by means of otolith interpretation and length frequency data. *Scient. Mar*. 53 (4): 821-826.
8. Slimani A., N. El ouamari, N. Abid et H. Hamdi, 2003. Etat d'exploitation des principales pêcheries de la Méditerranée marocaine. *INRH- Nador*, 25pp.
9. KADA O. & Slimani A.,N. Abid.,2003. Situation de la pêcherie pélagique de la Méditerranée marocaine. INRH-Nador, Rapport interne, 27pp
10. Sparre, P et S.C. Venema, 1996. Introduction à l'évaluation des stocks de poissons tropicaux. Première partie : Manuel. *FAO Document technique sur les pêches*. N° 306/, Rev. 1. Rome, FAO. 1996. 401p.

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Biological Science
Volume 13 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# *Trichoderma* spp. Isolated from Soils from the Southern State of Tocantins for the Control of *Sclerotinia Sclerotiorum* in Vitro

By Lillian France Borges Chagas, Aloisio Freitas Chagas Junior, Henrique De Castro Guilhon, Evilanna Lima Arruda, Gil Rodrigues Dos Santos & Luciane Oliveira Miller

*Federal University of Tocantins, Brazil*

*Abstract -* The objective of this work was to select isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. with potential of antagonism against *Sclerotina sclerotiorum*, in vitro. We used ten isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. and an isolate of *S.sclerotioruma* long with “inhibition by Volatile Products” and "direct confrontation" techniques. The results of in vitro procedures, lead to the selection of the isolates JCOUFT-28, JCOUFT-37, JCOUFT- 45, JCOUFT-63 and JCOUFT-85, which were *Trichoderma* spp. that showed better antagonistic activity on the isolated *S.sclerotiorum*.

*Keywords :* *plant pathogens, biocontrol, white mold.*

*GJSFR-C Classification :* *FOR Code: 961499, 050399*


*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :*

# *Trichoderma* spp. Isolated from Soils from the Southern State of Tocantins for the Control of *Sclerotinia Sclerotiorum* in Vitro

Lillian France Borges Chagas [α], Aloisio Freitas Chagas Junior [σ], Henrique De Castro Guilhon [ρ], Evilanna Lima Arruda [ω], Gil Rodrigues Dos Santos [¥] & Luciane Oliveira Miller [§]

*Abstract -* The objective of this work was to select isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. with potential of antagonism against *Sclerotina sclerotiorum,* in vitro. We used ten isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. and an isolate of *S.sclerotioruma* long with "inhibition by Volatile Products" and "direct confrontation" techniques. The results of in vitro procedures, lead to the selection of the isolates JCOUFT-28, JCOUFT-37, JCOUFT-45, JCOUFT-63 and JCOUFT-85, which were *Trichoderma* spp. that showed better antagonistic activity on the isolated *S.sclerotiorum*.

*Keywords :* *plant pathogens, biocontrol, white mold.*

## I. Introduction

The state of Tocantins is partially located on the cerrado (savanna), an area with great potential for agriculture, cattle raising and cultivation of cereal grains. However, there are several factors that can lead to low productivity of agricultural areas. Primarily, plant disease caused by soil borne plant pathogens result in severe yield loss.

*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* is a soil borne plant pathogen that causes white mold, which key characteristics are white, fluffy my celial growth on the host plant eventually producing specialized survival structures known as sclerotia (Cardoso, 1990). The increasing severity of the fungus is a reason for concern because the sclerotia can easily contaminate non-infected areas and can survive for years in the soil; moreover there are no resistant varieties to this disease (Niderasementes, 2009).

Isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. are considered efficient against several plant-pathogenic fungi. The mode of action of *Trichoderma* spp. is one or an association of the following: Parasistism, antibiosis, competition. *Trichoderma* is of saprophytic nature and produces extracellular enzymes and antibiotics that increase its parasitic capability, competitiveness and efficiency in biological control (Harman et al., 2004; Samuels, 2006).

Several researches have been done to evaluate the antagonist capability of *Trichoderma* spp against S. sclerotiorum, in vitro (Delgado et al., 2007; Louzada et al., 2009). However, no biological control study has been developed with isolates from the south of Tocantins, against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum,* also isolated from the same region.

The present study´s objectives were to select isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. from the South of To cantins with potential to control *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum.*

## II. Materials and Methods

In order to select isolates of *Trichoderma* spp., soil samples were cultured as a possible *Trichoderma* spp. sources. Thirty-seven soil samples were collected from the experimental areas of the Federal University of Tocantins-Gurupi campus, localized at 11°43'Se49°04'W with 300 m altitude and in valley areas of Lagoa da Confusão– TO (TABLE 1). The samples were taken at adepth of 0-10 cmin soil that had numerous crops and were cultivated by different methods. Afterwards, the samples were sent to the Plant Pathology Lab at the Federal University of Tocantins-Gurupi campus, where they were kept in a cold chamber until analysis.

Subsequently, five fragments of approximately 2 mm in diameter were placed in each petri dish, using the technique of direct isolation of fungi, with 3 repetitions for each sample, in the PDA (Potato, dextrose, agar) culture medium, and incubated at a temperature of 28 °C and a photoperiod of 12 hours. The period for colony growth of *Trichoderma* spp., was determined according to Watts et al. 1988. After 5 days, the petridishes with colony growth similar to *Trichoderma* spp. were selected, resulting in a total of 19 isolates found in the soil samples. In order to confirm the genus, the colonies were transferred to petri dishes that had PDA culture medium and after seven days of incubation at a temperature of 28 °C and a photoperiod of 12 hours they were identified based on morphology with the help of a microscope (Barnett & Hunter, 1998). All the isolates were maintained in the refrigerators, cultivated periodically on PDA and conserved on mineral oil for preservation of the isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. obtained.

The plant pathogen, S. sclerotiorum, was isolated from beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), that had high incidence of the disease and was cultivated in the experimental area of the Federal University of Tocantins-Gurupi Campus, and it was preserved in the same

*Authors α σ ρ ω ¥ : Federal University of Tocantins (UFT); Gurupi TO.*
*E-mails : lillianfb@hotmail.com, chagasjraf@uft.edu.br, hguilhon@uft.edu.br, evilannalima@msn.com, gilrsan@uft.edu.br*
*Author § : JCO Fertilizers; Barriers, BA.*
*E-mail : lucianeom@jcofertilizantes.com.br*

manner of the *Trichoderma* spp. The botanical material was collected and processed in 24 hours and it was previously abundantly washed in running water and neutral detergent to remove the epiphytic excess. Later, inside an aseptic chamber, the material was immersed in 70% alcohol for 1 minute and 3 % hypochlorite for 4 minutes and again in 70% alcohol for 30 seconds to remove the excess of hypochlorite. The same material was then washed in sterile distilled water of which a sample of 50 $\mu$L was taken for control. After the sterilization, small fragments measuring approximately 8 x 8 mm were place on PDA culture medium that had terramicina (100 $\mu$L) to inhibit bacterial growth during the fungus isolation. According to Monteiro et al. (2012) the agar substrate presents better carpogenic germination of sclerotia of *S. sclerotiorum*. The petri dishes containing the fragments were incubated at 28 °C.

Conidia counting of *Trichoderma* spp. of the 19 isolates previously obtained were done to compare the production of conidia to the control isolate, *T. harzianum* of the commercial product Trichoplus – JCO Fertilizantes, produced in Barreiras- Bahia.

Discs of 5 mm in diameter with mycelia growth of these isolates on PDA for 7 days were transferred to petri dishes (90 mm of diameter) also with the PDA culture medium. They were incubated in growth rooms acclimatized to 28°C ± 2°C, with a photoperiod of 12 hours. In order to evaluate the conidial production, 10 ml of distilled water was added to the petri dishes containing the colonies grown for 5 days. Using a Drigalski spatula, the medium containing the my celial and conidial growth, was lightly scraped off and agitated so that the spores loosen. The resulting suspension was filtered in double gauze and the concentration of the conidia was determined with the help of a Neubauer chamber. For both tests, complete randomized blocks were used with three repetitions per isolate.

With the intention of verifying if the isolates produced volatile metabolites a inhibition test for volatile products was done based o Bharat et al. (1980), where the lids were placed on top of each other after pouring PDA culture medium in each of them. The antagonist was positioned on the lower part of the petri dish while the pathogen was on the upper part. The antagonist was inoculated on the first, third and sixth day of growth of the pathogen. The lids were closed, laterally sealed by a flexible plastic and incubated at room temperature for seven daysunder continuous florescent light. The evaluation method consisted in measuring the radius of mycelia development to obtain the area of the colony.

In order to verify interaction between the pathogen and antagonist, the 19 isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. previously used were tested and evaluated with the methodology of direct confrontation (Bell et al., 1982; Ethur et al., 2005). Confirming the antagonisms of the *Trichoderma* spp. against the *S. sclerotiorum* was done using direct opposition method described by Dennis & Webster (1971). Initially, isolates were cultured on PDA medium. Petri dishes containing 20 ml of PDA medium each, received 2 discs of mycelia/agar of 9mm in diameter, which were placed on opposite ends and 1 cm apart from the side of the dish. One of the discs was a pathogen and the other one was the possible bio control agent.

As a control, petri dishes were cultured only with the pathogens. The colonies were placed in a BOD Incubator at a temperature of 28 °C ± 2°C and a 12 hour photoperiod. After seven days my celial growth of the colonies were measured with the help of a caliper, starting at the culture disc. The evaluation was done following the criteria proposed by Bell et al. (1982) with grading scales varying from 1 to 5. In this scale when the antagonist grows all over the dish (87, 5 to 100%) it is considered 1 and 6 when it does not present satisfactory growth (under 33, 2%). The isolate was considered efficient as an antagonist when the grade was lower or equal to 3. All the treatments were conducted with 3 repetitions and analyzed using a completely randomized factorial design.

The results obtained were examined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and partitions performed by the Scott-Knott test at 5 % probability.

*Table 1 :* Origin of the isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. obtained from soil samples

| Identification of Isolate | Origin | Crop |
|---|---|---|
| JCO-UFT 02 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) |
| JCO-UFT 03 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Rice (*Oryza sativa*) |
| JCO-UFT 06 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Barbados Nut(*Jatrophacurcas*) |
| JCO-UFT 09 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Corn (*Zeamays*) |
| JCO-UFT 12 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Sugar-cane (*Saccharumofficinarum*) |
| JCO-UFT 14 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Capim Napier (*Pennisetumpurpureum*) |
| JCO-UFT 15 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Watermelon (*Citrulluslanatus*) |
| JCO-UFT 18 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Castor oil plant (*Ricinuscommunis*) |
| JCO-UFT 19 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Lemon grass (*Cymbopogoncitratus*) |
| JCO-UFT 22 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Vegetação de Mata |
| JCO-UFT 23 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Pinaple (*Ananascomosus*) |
| JCO-UFT 25 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Banana (Musa spp.) |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| JCO-UFT 28 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | corn(*Zeasmays*) |
| JCO-UFT 32 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | corn(*Zeamays*) |
| JCO-UFT 34 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Pumpkin (*Cucúrbita moschata*) |
| JCO-UFT 35 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Cerrado vegetation |
| JCO-UFT 37 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Degradedpasture |
| JCO-UFT 41 | UFT campus - Gurupi-TO | Balm (*Melissa officinalis*) |
| JCO-UFT 45 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Sunnhemp(*CrotaláriaJuncea*)PD* |
| JCO-UFT 48 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Sunnhemp(*CrotaláriaJuncea*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 56 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Crotalaria (*CrotaláriaSpectabilis*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 57 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Velvetbean(*Mucunaaterrima*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 63 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Jack bean (*Canavaliaensiformis*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 67 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Jack bean(*Canavaliaensiformis*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 70 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Hyacinth bean (*Lablabpurpureus*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 74 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Hyacinth bean (*Lablabpurpureus*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 76 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Pigeon Pea(*Cajanuscajan*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 78 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Pigeon Pea (*Cajanuscajan*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 85 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Calopogônio(*Calopogoniummucunoides*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 87 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Radish(*Raphanussativus*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 92 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Radish(*Raphanussativus*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 95 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | No cultivationof legumes |
| JCO-UFT 96 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | No cultivationof legumes |
| JCO-UFT 99 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Cowpea (*Vignaunguiculata*) PD* |
| JCO-UFT 102 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Cowpea (*Vignaunguiculata*)PC* |
| JCO-UFT 110 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Sorghum(*Sorghum bicolor*) PC* |
| JCO-UFT 111 | Lagoa da Confusão-TO | Natural Meadowvegetation |

* PD- Plantio direto; PC- Plantio convencional.

## III. Results and Discussion

The concentration of conidia of *Trichoderma* spp. was determinedand compared to the control isolate. Only the isolates JCO-UFT 28 and JCO-UFT 35 presented a lower number of conidia when compared to the control (Trichoplus). The other isolates had a superior number of conidia than the control, as shown on table 2.

*Table 2 :* Results of conidia counting of *Trichoderma* spp. collected and isolated from the South of state of Tocantinsand the control sample of the commercial formulation Trichoplus JCO from Barreiras- BA

| Identification | Numberofconidia |
|---|---|
| JCO-UFT 22 | $1{,}7.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 28 | $2{,}5.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 32 | $1{,}5.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 35 | $1{,}6.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 37 | $6{,}3.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 41 | $7{,}0.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 45 | $8{,}2.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 57 | $1{,}1.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 63 | $5{,}5.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 67 | $1{,}4.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 76 | $5{,}5.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 78 | $1{,}1.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 85 | $5{,}3.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 87 | $2{,}7.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 92 | $6{,}4.\ 10^5$ |
| JCO-UFT 95 | $1{,}3.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 99 | $2{,}7.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 102 | $1{,}9.\ 10^6$ |
| JCO-UFT 110 | $1{,}6.\ 10^6$ |
| CONTROL | $3{,}9.10^5$ |

The test for inhibition of volatiles products the isolates JCO-UFT 63, JCO-UFT 78, JCO-UFT 92 and JCO-UFT 102 produced some type of volatile compound, showing a percentage of growth reduction (GR) of the mycelia of *S. sclerotiorum*. When inoculated 3 days after the pathogen (figure 1), the antagonist was

able to reduce up to 60 % of the pathogen growth when compared to the control. These results are in agreement with Mace do et al. (2007) findings that show the inhibition of S. *rolfssimycelial* growth by 12 isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. tested.



*Figure 1 :* Inhibition of the pathogen *(S. sclerotiorum)* by *Trichoderma* JCO-UFT 63, inoculated 3 days after the pathogen, part of the volatile production test

As for the direct opposition test, of the 19 isolates identified belonging to the *Trichoderma* genus, 16 isolates inhibited the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* with evaluations smaller than 3 as shown on table 3.The isolates JCO-UFT 28, JCO-UFT 37, JCO-UFT 45, JCO-UFT 63 and JCO-UFT 85 were significantly efficient as antagonists when compared to the other isolates. They presented grades equal to or smaller than 2, where they took over more than 2/3 of the petri dish. Only the isolates JCO-UFT 22, JCO-UFT 41, JCO-UFT 95 and JCO-UFT 99 were considered inefficient ranking 3 or higher, in other words, occupying less than half of the petri dish.

The direct opposition between the pathogen and the antagonist showed the isolates of *Trichoderma* utilized different methods of antagonism besides the production of volatile metabolites. According to Melo (1998) the mode of action of *Trichoderma* is a result of an association of mechanisms such as parasitism, antibiosis and competition. A dark colored halo was observed along the contact line between the colonies of the antagonist and the plant pathogen, as previously described by Bell et al. (1982) and Durman et al. (1999). The sclerotia formed in the petri dishes were colonized by the isolates of *Trichoderma* spp., and then they fragmented and lost their rigid consistency. Melo (1991) stated that the fungus *Trichoderma* has been found growing on sclerotia, structures that are known to be very resistant to parasitism.

*Table 3 :* Antagonistic activity, in vitro, of the isolates of *Trichoderma* spp., to the isolates of *S. Sclerotinia*[1]

| Identification | Distance (cm) | Grade | Medium % |
|---|---|---|---|
| JCO-UFT 22 | 2,69 | 3,5 | 40 c |
| JCO-UFT 28 | 1,87 | 2 | 63 a |
| JCO-UFT 32 | 1,78 | 2,5 | 55 b |
| JCO-UFT 35 | 2,19 | 2,5 | 57 b |
| JCO-UFT 37 | 1,58 | 1,5 | 68 a |
| JCO-UFT 41 | 2,33 | 3,5 | 48 c |
| JCO-UFT 45 | 1,93 | 2 | 63 a |
| JCO-UFT 57 | 1,90 | 2,5 | 58 b |
| JCO-UFT 63 | 1,66 | 2 | 66 a |
| JCO-UFT 67 | 2,27 | 2,5 | 54 b |
| JCO-UFT 76 | 2,11 | 2,5 | 55 b |
| JCO-UFT 78 | 2,10 | 2,5 | 57 b |
| JCO-UFT 85 | 1,83 | 2 | 65 a |
| JCO-UFT 87 | 2,13 | 2,5 | 59 b |
| JCO-UFT 92 | 1,85 | 2,5 | 58 b |
| JCO-UFT 95 | 3,30 | 4 | 37 d |
| JCO-UFT 99 | 3,56 | 5 | 28 d |
| JCO-UFT 102 | 2,10 | 2,5 | 56 b |
| JCO-UFT 110 | 2,07 | 2,5 | 58 b |
| CONTROLE | 2,18 | 2,5 | 55 b |

[1]The colonies developed from 2 discs of the 2 fungi, place in opposite direction, at the borders of the petri dish containing PDA medium. Medium followed by the same letter are not different in the Scott-knott 5% test.




*Figure 2 :* Inhibition of the pathogen *(S. sclerotiorum)* by isolates of *Trichoderma spp.*, using direct opposition

## IV. Conclusions

- The isolates JCO-UFT 63, JCO-UFT 78, JCO-UFT 92 and JCO-UFT 102 caused the most inhibition of the *S. sclerotiorum* growth when the antagonist was inoculated 3 days after the pathogen.
- The isolates JCO-UFT 28, JCO-UFT 37, JCO-UFT 45, JCO-UFT 63 and JCO-UFT 85 were efficient antagonist, inhibiting more than 60% of growth of the pathogen.

## V. Acknowledgments

A special thanks to the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the funding that made it possible to develop this research.

## References Références Referencias

1. Barnett, H.L.; Hunter, B.B. 1998.Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. Minnesota: *Burgess Publishing Company,* 4 ed. 1998. 218p.
2. Bell, D.K., Wells, H.D., Markham, C.R. 1982. In vitro antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against six fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology,* 72(4): 379-382.
3. Bharat, R.; Singh, V.N.; Sing, D.B. 1980. *Thichodermaviride* as a my coparasite of *Aspergillus* spp. *Plant and Soil,* 57: 131-135.
4. Cardoso, J.E. 1990. Doenças do feijoeiro causadas por patógenos de solo. Goiânia: *EMBRAPA-CNPAF.* (Documentos, 30) 30p.
5. Delgado, G.V. et al., 2007. Inibição do crescimento de *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* por *Trichoderma* spp. in vitro. Boletim de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento 214. *Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia.* Brasília, DF.
6. Dennis, C.; Webster, J. 1971. Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma.* III – Hyphal interaction. *Transactions of the Bristish Mycological Society,* 57: 368-369.
7. Durman, S., Menendez, A., Godeas, A. 1999. Evaluación de *Trichoderma* spp. como antagonista de *Rhizoctoniasolani in vitro y* como biocontroladordeldamping-off de plantas de tomate eninvernadero. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología,* 31: 13-18.
8. Ethur, L.Z. et al. 2005. Fungos antagonistas a *Sclerotiniasclerotiorum* em pepineiro cultivado em estufa. *Fitopatologia Brasileira,* 30(2): 127-133.
9. HARMAN, G.E.; HOWELL, C.R.; VITERBO, A.; CHET, I.; LORITO, M. 2004. *Trichodermaspecies* opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nature Review Microbiology,* 2:43-56.
10. Louzada, G.A.S.; Carvalho, D. D. C.; Mello, S. C. M.; Lobo. Júnior, M., Martins, I; Braúna, L. M. 2009. Potencial antagônico de *Trichoderma* spp. Originários de diferentes agroecossistemas contra *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* e *Fusariumsolani. Biota Neotrop.,* 9( 3): 145-149.
11. Macedo, M.A.; Martins, I.; Delgado, G.V.; Mello, S.C.M.; Menêzes, J.E. 2007. Influência de *Trichoderma* spp sobre o crescimento de *Sclerotiumrolfsii.* Brasília, DF: *Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia.* 11p. (Boletim de pesquisa e desenvolvimento/ Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, 1676-1340; 213).
12. Melo, I.S. de. Potencialidades de utilização de *Trichoderma* spp. no controle biológico de doenças de plantas. In:Bettiol,W. (org.) Controle biológico de doenças deplantas. Jaguariúna: EMBRAPA-CNPDA, 1991 (Documentos,15). Cap. 9: 388p.

13. Monteiro, F.P.; Pachedo, L.P.; Lorenzetti, E.R.; Armesto, C.; Souza, P.E.de; Abreu, M.S de. 2012. Substrates and cover crop residues on the suppression of sclerotia and carpogenic germination of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. *Comunicata Scientiae* 3(3):199-205.
14. Nidera sementes. 2009. http://www.niderasementes.com.br/upload/documentos /Mofo_Branco_262109104338192.pdf. *Acessoemdezembro de 2012.*
15. SAMUELS, G.J. 2006. *Trichoderma:* Systematics, the sexual state, and ecology. *Phytopathology,* 96:195-206.
16. Watts RJ, Chaudhary DK, Tauro P. 1988. Isolation and characterization of a new antifungal metabolite of *Trichodermareesei. Plant Soil* 107:81–84.

# Global Journals Inc. (US) Guidelines Handbook 2013

www.GlobalJournals.org

## FELLOW OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (FARSS)

- 'FARSS' title will be awarded to the person after approval of Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board. The title 'FARSS" can be added to name in the following manner. eg. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSS or William Walldroff Ph. D., M.S., FARSS
- Being FARSS is a respectful honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming FARSS, you can use 'FARSS' title as you use your degree in suffix of your name. This will definitely will enhance and add up your name. You can use it on your Career Counseling Materials/CV/Resume/Visiting Card/Name Plate etc.
- 60% Discount will be provided to FARSS members for publishing research papers in Global Journals Inc., if our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers accept the paper. For the life time, if you are author/co-author of any paper bill sent to you will automatically be discounted one by 60%
- FARSS will be given a renowned, secure, free professional email address with 100 GB of space eg.johnhall@globaljournals.org. You will be facilitated with Webmail, SpamAssassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.
- FARSS member is eligible to become paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Inc. to earn up to 15% of realized author charges taken from author of respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account or to your PayPal account.
- Eg. If we had taken 420 USD from author, we can send 63 USD to your account.
- FARSS member can apply for free approval, grading and certification of some of their Educational and Institutional Degrees from Global Journals Inc. (US) and Open Association of Research,Society U.S.A.
- After you are FARSS. You can send us scanned copy of all of your documents. We will verify, grade and certify them within a month. It will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and 50 more criteria. This is beneficial for your job interviews as recruiting organization need not just rely on you for authenticity and your unknown qualities, you would have authentic ranks of all of your documents. Our scale is unique worldwide.
- FARSS member can proceed to get benefits of free research podcasting in Global Research Radio with their research documents, slides and online movies.
- After your publication anywhere in the world, you can upload you research paper with your recorded voice or you can use our professional RJs to record your paper their voice. We can also stream your conference videos and display your slides online.
- FARSS will be eligible for free application of Standardization of their Researches by Open Scientific Standards. Standardization is next step and level after publishing in a journal. A team of research and professional will work with you to take your research to its next level, which is worldwide open standardization.

- FARSS is eligible to earn from their researches: While publishing his paper with Global Journals Inc. (US), FARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in closed manner. When readers will buy that individual research paper for reading, 80% of its earning by Global Journals Inc. (US) will be transferred to FARSS member's bank account after certain threshold balance. There is no time limit for collection. FARSS member can decide its price and we can help in decision.

## MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (MARSS)

- 'MARSS' title will be awarded to the person after approval of Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board. The title 'MARSS" can be added to name in the following manner. eg. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., MARSS or William Walldroff Ph. D., M.S., MARSS
- Being MARSS is a respectful honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming MARSS, you can use 'MARSS' title as you use your degree in suffix of your name. This will definitely will enhance and add up your name. You can use it on your Career Counseling Materials/CV/Resume/Visiting Card/Name Plate etc.
- 40% Discount will be provided to MARSS members for publishing research papers in Global Journals Inc., if our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers accept the paper. For the life time, if you are author/co-author of any paper bill sent to you will automatically be discounted one by 60%
- MARSS will be given a renowned, secure, free professional email address with 30 GB of space eg.johnhall@globaljournals.org. You will be facilitated with Webmail, SpamAssassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.
- MARSS member is eligible to become paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Inc. to earn up to 10% of realized author charges taken from author of respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account or to your PayPal account.
- MARSS member can apply for free approval, grading and certification of some of their Educational and Institutional Degrees from Global Journals Inc. (US) and Open Association of Research,Society U.S.A.
- MARSS is eligible to earn from their researches: While publishing his paper with Global Journals Inc. (US), MARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in closed manner. When readers will buy that individual research paper for reading, 40% of its earning by Global Journals Inc. (US) will be transferred to MARSS member's bank account after certain threshold balance. There is no time limit for collection. MARSS member can decide its price and we can help in decision.

# AUXILIARY MEMBERSHIPS

## ANNUAL MEMBER

- Annual Member will be authorized to receive e-Journal GJSFR for one year (subscription for one year).
- The member will be allotted free 1 GB Web-space along with subDomain to contribute and participate in our activities.
- A professional email address will be allotted free 500 MB email space.

## PAPER PUBLICATION

- The members can publish paper once. The paper will be sent to two-peer reviewer. The paper will be published after the acceptance of peer reviewers and Editorial Board.

# Process of submission of Research Paper

The Area or field of specialization may or may not be of any category as mentioned in 'Scope of Journal' menu of the GlobalJournals.org website. There are 37 Research Journal categorized with Six parental Journals GJCST, GJMR, GJRE, GJMBR, GJSFR, GJHSS. For Authors should prefer the mentioned categories. There are three widely used systems UDC, DDC and LCC. The details are available as 'Knowledge Abstract' at Home page. The major advantage of this coding is that, the research work will be exposed to and shared with all over the world as we are being abstracted and indexed worldwide.

The paper should be in proper format. The format can be downloaded from first page of 'Author Guideline' Menu. The Author is expected to follow the general rules as mentioned in this menu. The paper should be written in MS-Word Format (*.DOC,*.DOCX).

The Author can submit the paper either online or offline. The authors should prefer online submission.Online Submission: There are three ways to submit your paper:

**(A) (I) First, register yourself using top right corner of Home page then Login. If you are already registered, then login using your username and password.**

**(II) Choose corresponding Journal.**

**(III) Click 'Submit Manuscript'. Fill required information and Upload the paper.**

**(B) If you are using Internet Explorer, then Direct Submission through Homepage is also available.**

**(C) If these two are not conveninet , and then email the paper directly to dean@globaljournals.org.**

Offline Submission: Author can send the typed form of paper by Post. However, online submission should be preferred.

# Preferred Author Guidelines

**MANUSCRIPT STYLE INSTRUCTION (Must be strictly followed)**

Page Size: 8.27" X 11'"

- Left Margin: 0.65
- Right Margin: 0.65
- Top Margin: 0.75
- Bottom Margin: 0.75
- Font type of all text should be Swis 721 Lt BT.
- Paper Title should be of Font Size 24 with one Column section.
- Author Name in Font Size of 11 with one column as of Title.
- Abstract Font size of 9 Bold, "Abstract" word in Italic Bold.
- Main Text: Font size 10 with justified two columns section
- Two Column with Equal Column with of 3.38 and Gaping of .2
- First Character must be three lines Drop capped.
- Paragraph before Spacing of 1 pt and After of 0 pt.
- Line Spacing of 1 pt
- Large Images must be in One Column
- Numbering of First Main Headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman Letters, Capital Letter, and Font Size of 10.
- Numbering of Second Main Headings (Heading 2) must be in Alphabets, Italic, and Font Size of 10.

**You can use your own standard format also.**
**Author Guidelines:**

1. General,

2. Ethical Guidelines,

3. Submission of Manuscripts,

4. Manuscript's Category,

5. Structure and Format of Manuscript,

6. After Acceptance.

**1. GENERAL**

Before submitting your research paper, one is advised to go through the details as mentioned in following heads. It will be beneficial, while peer reviewer justify your paper for publication.

**Scope**

The Global Journals Inc. (US) welcome the submission of original paper, review paper, survey article relevant to the all the streams of Philosophy and knowledge. The Global Journals Inc. (US) is parental platform for Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology, Researches in Engineering, Medical Research, Science Frontier Research, Human Social Science, Management, and Business organization. The choice of specific field can be done otherwise as following in Abstracting and Indexing Page on this Website. As the all Global

Journals Inc. (US) are being abstracted and indexed (in process) by most of the reputed organizations. Topics of only narrow interest will not be accepted unless they have wider potential or consequences.

**2. ETHICAL GUIDELINES**

Authors should follow the ethical guidelines as mentioned below for publication of research paper and research activities.

Papers are accepted on strict understanding that the material in whole or in part has not been, nor is being, considered for publication elsewhere. If the paper once accepted by Global Journals Inc. (US) and Editorial Board, will become the copyright of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

**Authorship: The authors and coauthors should have active contribution to conception design, analysis and interpretation of findings. They should critically review the contents and drafting of the paper. All should approve the final version of the paper before submission**

The Global Journals Inc. (US) follows the definition of authorship set up by the Global Academy of Research and Development. According to the Global Academy of R&D authorship, criteria must be based on:

1) Substantial contributions to conception and acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the findings.

2) Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.

3) Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

All authors should have been credited according to their appropriate contribution in research activity and preparing paper. Contributors who do not match the criteria as authors may be mentioned under Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements: Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned under acknowledgement. The specifications of the source of funding for the research if appropriate can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with address.

**Appeal of Decision: The Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed elsewhere.**

**Permissions: It is the author's responsibility to have prior permission if all or parts of earlier published illustrations are used in this paper.**

Please mention proper reference and appropriate acknowledgements wherever expected.

If all or parts of previously published illustrations are used, permission must be taken from the copyright holder concerned. It is the author's responsibility to take these in writing.

Approval for reproduction/modification of any information (including figures and tables) published elsewhere must be obtained by the authors/copyright holders before submission of the manuscript. Contributors (Authors) are responsible for any copyright fee involved.

**3. SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS**

Manuscripts should be uploaded via this online submission page. The online submission is most efficient method for submission of papers, as it enables rapid distribution of manuscripts and consequently speeds up the review procedure. It also enables authors to know the status of their own manuscripts by emailing us. Complete instructions for submitting a paper is available below.

Manuscript submission is a systematic procedure and little preparation is required beyond having all parts of your manuscript in a given format and a computer with an Internet connection and a Web browser. Full help and instructions are provided on-screen. As an author, you will be prompted for login and manuscript details as Field of Paper and then to upload your manuscript file(s) according to the instructions.

To avoid postal delays, all transaction is preferred by e-mail. A finished manuscript submission is confirmed by e-mail immediately and your paper enters the editorial process with no postal delays. When a conclusion is made about the publication of your paper by our Editorial Board, revisions can be submitted online with the same procedure, with an occasion to view and respond to all comments.

Complete support for both authors and co-author is provided.

**4. MANUSCRIPT'S CATEGORY**

Based on potential and nature, the manuscript can be categorized under the following heads:

Original research paper: Such papers are reports of high-level significant original research work.

Review papers: These are concise, significant but helpful and decisive topics for young researchers.

Research articles: These are handled with small investigation and applications

Research letters: The letters are small and concise comments on previously published matters.

**5.STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT**

The recommended size of original research paper is less than seven thousand words, review papers fewer than seven thousands words also.Preparation of research paper or how to write research paper, are major hurdle, while writing manuscript. The research articles and research letters should be fewer than three thousand words, the structure original research paper; sometime review paper should be as follows:

**Papers**: These are reports of significant research (typically less than 7000 words equivalent, including tables, figures, references), and comprise:

(a)Title should be relevant and commensurate with the theme of the paper.

(b) A brief Summary, "Abstract" (less than 150 words) containing the major results and conclusions.

(c) Up to ten keywords, that precisely identifies the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.

(d) An Introduction, giving necessary background excluding subheadings; objectives must be clearly declared.

(e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition; sources of information must be given and numerical methods must be specified by reference, unless non-standard.

(f) Results should be presented concisely, by well-designed tables and/or figures; the same data may not be used in both; suitable statistical data should be given. All data must be obtained with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage. As reproduced design has been recognized to be important to experiments for a considerable time, the Editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned un-refereed;

(g) Discussion should cover the implications and consequences, not just recapitulating the results; conclusions should be summarizing.

(h) Brief Acknowledgements.

(i) References in the proper form.

Authors should very cautiously consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate efficiently. Papers are much more likely to be accepted, if they are cautiously designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and be conventional to the approach and instructions. They will in addition, be published with much less delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and to make suggestions to improve briefness.

It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

**Format**

*Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.*

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min, except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 l rather than 1.4 × 10-3 m3, or 4 mm somewhat than 4 × 10-3 m. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

**Structure**

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the e-mail address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

*Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:*

Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art.A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:

- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

*Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.*

References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author's name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

*Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.*

*Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.*

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication

Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.

Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

*Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.*

**6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE**

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

**6.1 Proof Corrections**

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded

(Free of charge) from the following website:

www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.

As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

**6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)**

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing's Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors' final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

**6.3 Author Services**

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article - once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

**6.4 Author Material Archive Policy**

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy & electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

**6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies**

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher's terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org .

Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

## TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

**1. Choosing the topic:** In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.

**2. Evaluators are human:** First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.

**3. Think Like Evaluators:** If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.

**4. Make blueprints of paper:** The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

**5. Ask your Guides:** If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.

**6. Use of computer is recommended:** As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.

**7. Use right software:** Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.

**8. Use the Internet for help:** An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.

**9. Use and get big pictures:** Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.

**10. Bookmarks are useful:** When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.

**11. Revise what you wrote:** When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.

**12. Make all efforts:** Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.

**13. Have backups:** When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.

**14. Produce good diagrams of your own:** Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.

**15. Use of direct quotes:** When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.

**16. Use proper verb tense:** Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.

**17. Never use online paper:** If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.

**18. Pick a good study spot:** To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.

**19. Know what you know:** Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.

**20. Use good quality grammar:** Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.

**21. Arrangement of information:** Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

**22. Never start in last minute:** Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

**23. Multitasking in research is not good:** Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.

**24. Never copy others' work:** Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.

**25. Take proper rest and food:** No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.

**26. Go for seminars:** Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.

**27. Refresh your mind after intervals:** Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.

**28. Make colleagues:** Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.

**29. Think technically:** Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.

**30. Think and then print:** When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

**31. Adding unnecessary information:** Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.

**32. Never oversimplify everything:** To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

**33. Report concluded results:** Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.

**34. After conclusion:** Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

## Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

**Key points to remember:**

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.

**Final Points:**

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

**General style:**

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

· Adhere to recommended page limits

Mistakes to evade

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

· Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)

· Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper

· Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)

· Align the primary line of each section

· Present your points in sound order

· Use present tense to report well accepted

· Use past tense to describe specific results

· Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives

· Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

**Title Page:**

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.

**Abstract:**

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript--must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else

**Introduction:**

The **Introduction** should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.

- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.

**Procedures (Methods and Materials):**

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

**Results:**

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.

Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form.

What to stay away from

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text

**Discussion:**

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.

## Administration Rules Listed Before Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

**Segment Draft and Final Research Paper:** You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.

- The **major constraint** is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.

- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.

- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.

## Criterion for Grading a Research Paper (Compilation) by Global Journals Inc. (US)

**Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).**

| Topics | Grades | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **A-B** | **C-D** | **E-F** |
| ***Abstract*** | Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below | Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form<br><br>Above 200 words | No specific data with ambiguous information<br><br>Above 250 words |
| ***Introduction*** | Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited | Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter | Out of place depth and content, hazy format |
| ***Methods and Procedures*** | Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads | Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed | Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning |
| ***Result*** | Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake | Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend | Irregular format with wrong facts and figures |
| ***Discussion*** | Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited | Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious | Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend |
| ***References*** | Complete and correct format, well organized | Beside the point, Incomplete | Wrong format and structuring |

# INDEX

save our planet

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.JournalofScience.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org

ISSN 9755896