Online ISSN: 2249-4626
Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Global Journals

of Science Frontier Research: D

Agriculture and Veterinary

Foliar Diseases of Cotton

Tree Species in Drylands

Highlights

Rural Farming Households

Growth Curve Modelling

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future

VOLUME 13 ISSUE 1 VERSION 1.0

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D
Agriculture & Veterinary Sciences

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D
Agriculture & Veterinary Sciences
Volume 13 Issue 1 (Ver. 1.0)

Open Association of Research Society

Incorporation No.: 0423089
License No.: 42125/022010/1186
Registration No.: 430374
Import-Export Code: 1109007027
Employer Identification Number (EIN):
USA Tax ID: 98-0673427

Global Journals Inc.

*(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)*

Sponsors: Open Association of Research Society
Open Scientific Standards

Publisher's Headquarters office

Global Journals Inc., Headquarters Corporate Office, Cambridge Office Center, II Canal Park, Floor No. 5th, ***Cambridge (Massachusetts)***, Pin: MA 02141 United States
USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392
USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392

Offset Typesetting

Open Association of Research Society, Marsh Road, Rainham, Essex, London RM13 8EU
United Kingdom.

Packaging & Continental Dispatching

Global Journals, India

Find a correspondence nodal officer near you

To find nodal officer of your country, please email us at *local@globaljournals.org*

eContacts

Press Inquiries: *press@globaljournals.org*
Investor Inquiries: *investers@globaljournals.org*
Technical Support: *technology@globaljournals.org*
Media & Releases: *media@globaljournals.org*

Pricing (Including by Air Parcel Charges):

For Authors:
22 USD (B/W) & 50 USD (Color)
Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional):
200 USD (B/W) & 250 USD (Color)

Editorial Board Members (HON.)

Dr. Bart Lambrecht
Director of Research in Accounting and FinanceProfessor of Finance
Lancaster University Management School
BA (Antwerp); MPhil, MA, PhD (Cambridge)

Dr. Carlos García Pont
Associate Professor of Marketing
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Doctor of Philosophy (Management), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
Master in Business Administration, IESE, University of Navarra
Degree in Industrial Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Dr. Fotini Labropulu
Mathematics - Luther College
University of ReginaPh.D., M.Sc. in Mathematics
B.A. (Honors) in Mathematics
University of Windso

Dr. Lynn Lim
Reader in Business and Marketing
Roehampton University, London
BCom, PGDip, MBA (Distinction), PhD, FHEA

Dr. Mihaly Mezei
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology, Mount Sinai School of Medical Center
Ph.D., Etvs Lornd University
Postdoctoral Training,
New York University

Dr. Söhnke M. Bartram
Department of Accounting and FinanceLancaster University Management SchoolPh.D. (WHU Koblenz)
MBA/BBA (University of Saarbrücken)

Dr. Miguel Angel Ariño
Professor of Decision Sciences
IESE Business School
Barcelona, Spain (Universidad de Navarra)
CEIBS (China Europe International Business School).
Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen
Ph.D. in Mathematics
University of Barcelona
BA in Mathematics (Licenciatura)
University of Barcelona

Philip G. Moscoso
Technology and Operations Management
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Ph.D in Industrial Engineering and Management, ETH Zurich
M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, ETH Zurich

Dr. Sanjay Dixit, M.D.
Director, EP Laboratories, Philadelphia VA Medical Center
Cardiovascular Medicine - Cardiac Arrhythmia
Univ of Penn School of Medicine

Dr. Han-Xiang Deng
MD., Ph.D
Associate Professor and Research
Department Division of Neuromuscular Medicine
Davee Department of Neurology and Clinical NeuroscienceNorthwestern University
Feinberg School of Medicine

Dr. Pina C. Sanelli
Associate Professor of Public Health
Weill Cornell Medical College
Associate Attending Radiologist
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital
MRI, MRA, CT, and CTA
Neuroradiology and Diagnostic Radiology
M.D., State University of New York at Buffalo,School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

Dr. Roberto Sanchez
Associate Professor
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Ph.D., The Rockefeller University

Dr. Wen-Yih Sun
Professor of Earth and Atmospheric SciencesPurdue University Director
National Center for Typhoon and Flooding Research, Taiwan
University Chair Professor
Department of Atmospheric Sciences, National Central University, Chung-Li, TaiwanUniversity Chair Professor
Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin-chu, Taiwan.Ph.D., MS The University of Chicago, Geophysical Sciences
BS National Taiwan University, Atmospheric Sciences
Associate Professor of Radiology

Dr. Michael R. Rudnick
M.D., FACP
Associate Professor of Medicine
Chief, Renal Electrolyte and Hypertension Division (PMC)
Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
Presbyterian Medical Center, Philadelphia
Nephrology and Internal Medicine
Certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine

Dr. Bassey Benjamin Esu
B.Sc. Marketing; MBA Marketing; Ph.D Marketing
Lecturer, Department of Marketing, University of Calabar
Tourism Consultant, Cross River State Tourism Development Department
Co-ordinator , Sustainable Tourism Initiative, Calabar, Nigeria

Dr. Aziz M. Barbar, Ph.D.
IEEE Senior Member
Chairperson, Department of Computer Science
AUST - American University of Science & Technology
Alfred Naccash Avenue – Ashrafieh

Contents of the Volume

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences
Volume 13 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Chemical and Biological Management of Major Foliar Diseases of Cotton

By S. N. Chattannavar, G. N. Hosagoudar & S. A. Ashtaputre

Agricultural Research Station

Abstract - A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2006-07 and 2007-08 at Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad Farm to evaluation of fungicides, Taqat 500g/ha, Taqat 750g/ha and propiconazole @ 0.1 per cent were effective in controlling the foliar diseases. Maximum yield of 2287.45 kg per hactare was recorded in Taqat 750g/ha. Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS, Copper oxychloride (0.3%) + Streptocycline Sulphate (0.05%) gave better control of the foliar diseases than fungicidal treatments. Maximum yield of 2227.27 kg per hactare was recorded in the seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS and was significantly higher over the control.

Keywords : *cotton diseases, management, fungicides, bioagents.*

GJSFR-D Classification : *FOR Code: 820301, 070199*

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :

Chemical and Biological Management of Major Foliar Diseases of Cotton

S. N. Chattannavar [α], G. N. Hosagoudar [σ] & S. A. Ashtaputre [ρ]

Abstract - A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2006-07 and 2007-08 at Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad Farm to evaluation of fungicides, Taqat 500g/ha, Taqat 750g/ha and propiconazole @ 0.1 per cent were effective in controlling the foliar diseases. Maximum yield of 2287.45 kg per hactare was recorded in Taqat 750g/ha. Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS, Copper oxychloride (0.3%) + Streptocycline Sulphate (0.05%) gave better control of the foliar diseases than fungicidal treatments. Maximum yield of 2227.27 kg per hactare was recorded in the seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS and was significantly higher over the control.

Keywords : cotton diseases, management, fungicides, bioagents.

I. Introduction

Cotton, "The White Gold" or the "King of Fibres" enjoys a pre-eminent status among all cash crops in the country and is the principal raw material for flourishing textile industry. India now produces around 290.00 lakh bales of cotton ranging from short staple to extra long staple from an area of 93.73 lakh hectares with productivity of 526 kg per hectare (Anon., 2009). In Karnataka, the area under cotton cultivation is 3.90 lakh hectares with a production of 9.00 lakh bales and an average productivity of 392 kg per hectare (Anon., 2009).

However, the production potential of the crop has not been fully exploited due to several biotic and abiotic factors. The crop suffers from many fungal diseases, of which foliar diseases take a heavy toll. Among the foliar diseases Grey mildew (*Ramularia areola*), Alternaria blight (*Alternaria macrospora*) and Bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. malvacearum)* are the important ones. The use of fungicides has become inevitable in controlling the foliar diseases in the absence of suitable resistant cultivars. In view of these fungicides need to be evaluated for their efficacy against the foliar diseases in field conditions.

Author α σ ρ : Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad Farm 580007, Karnataka, India. E-mail : uasdsnc1211@gmail.com.

II. Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2006-07 and 2007-08 at Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad Farm under rainfed conditions. A randomized block design (RBD) with four treatments replicated five times with a plot size of 5.4 x 4.5 m was adopted. The Abhadita variety was sown during third week of June with a spacing of 90 x 30 cm. The fungicides Taqat (500 g/ha and 750 g/ha) and Propiconazole (0.1%) were sprayed thrice at an interval of 15 days starting from the initial appearance of the disease. Untreated plot was maintained as control. Disease grading was done using 0-4 scale (Sheo Raj, 1988). Percent disease incidence was calculated using Wheeler`s formula (1969) and finally per cent disease control was calculated. Yield was recorded in each treatment.

The experiment on biocontrol of foliar diseases was conducted during *kharif* 2006-07 and 2007-08 at Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad Farm under rainfed conditions in randomized block design (RBD) with five treatments replicated four times with a plot size of 5.4 x 4.5 m. The Abhadita variety was sown during *kharif* 2006-07 and 2007-08 with a spacing of 90 x 30 cm. The different treatments were: Seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent with interval of 10 days i e 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent with interval of 20 days i e 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS, seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent with interval of 30 days i e 30, 60 and 90 DAS, and Copper-oxychloride (0.3%) + Streptocycline Sulphate (0.05%). Untreated plot was maintained without spray. Disease grading was done using 0-4 scale (Sheo Raj, 1988). Per cent disease incidence was calculated using Wheeler`s formula (1969) and finally per cent disease control was calculated. Yield was recorded in each treatment.

III. Results and Discussion

Taqat 750g/ha treatment resulted significantly low Alternaria blight per cent disease index (26.32 PDI) followed by propiconazole (26.49 PDI) while Taqat 500 g/ha (27.36 PDI) was least effective. The three treatments of fungicides tested significantly reduced the maximum per cent disease over control. Taqat 750g/ha

(23.11 PDC) was followed by propiconazole 0.1 per cent (22.61 PDC) and Taqat 500 g/ha (20.07 PDC). (Table 1).

Taqat 750g/ha recorded significantly low Grey mildew per cent disease index (22.36 PDI) followed by propiconazole 0.1 per cent (22.99 PDI) while Taqat 500 g/ha (24.44 PDI) was least effective. The three treatments of fungicides tested significantly reduced the maximum per cent disease over control in case of Taqat 750g/ha (26.08 PDC) followed by propiconazole 0.1 per cent (24.00 PDC) and Taqat 500 g/ha (19.21 PDC). These results are in conformity with the reports of Chattannavar *et al.* (2000a, 2006).

The kapas yield variation among the treatments was non-significant. However, the maximum yield of 2287.45 kg per ha was recorded in Taqat 750g/ha followed by propiconazole 0.1 per cent (2167.48 kg/ha) and Taqat 500 g/ha (2111.28 kg/ha).

Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS recorded significantly low Alternaria blight with per cent disease index was 23.89 PDI followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (24.93 PDI), seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (26.62 PDI) and Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (26.13 PDI) were least effective. Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS showed maximum per cent disease over control of 19.67 PDC followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (16.17 PDC), Copper oxy-chloride (0.3%) + Streptocycline Sulphate (0.05%) (12.14 PDC) and seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (10.49 PDC).

Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS recorded significantly low Bacterial blight per cent disease index (23.61 PDI) followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (25.21 PDI), seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (26.09 PDI) and Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (24.02 PDI) were least effective. Seed treatment with foliar spray of *P. fluorescens* on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS treatment showed maximum per cent disease over control of 19.77 PDC followed by Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (18.38 PDC), seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (14.33 PDC) and seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (11.34 PDC).

Seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS treatment recorded significantly low Grey mildew per cent disease index (19.46 PDI) followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (20.41 PDI), seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (21.95 PDI) and Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (21.72 PDI) were least effective. Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS showed maximum percent disease over control of 24.19 PDC followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (20.49 PDC), seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (14.49 PDC) and Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (15.38 PDC).

The kapas yield obtained from different treatments was significant. The maximum yield (2227.27 kg/ha) was recorded in seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* with foliar spray on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS treatment followed by seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 50, 70 and 90 DAS (2197.40 kg/ha) treatment followed by Copper-oxychloride 0.3 per cent + Streptocycline Sulphate 0.05 per cent (2031.07 kg/ha) and seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg seeds + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 60 and 90 DAS (1929.30 kg/ha) (Table 2). The treatment of *P. fluorescens* gave 40.36 per cent disease over control of Alternaria blight, 33.82 per cent disease over control of Bacterial blight and Grey mildew by 42.60 per cent disease over control (Chattannavar *et al.* 2000b).

Under field evaluation of fungicides and bioagents. Among the fungicides Taqat 750g/ha was effective in controlling the foliar diseases and the maximum yield of 2287.45 kg per hectare. Among the bioagents the seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g per kg + foliar spray @ 0.2 per cent on 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 DAS gave better control of the foliar diseases than fungicidal treatments and the maximum yield of 2227.27 kg per hectare.

References Références Referencias

1. Anonymous, 2009, Ann. *Rep.* of All India Co-ordinated Cotton Improvement Project, for (2008-09), Central Institute for Cotton Research Regional Station, Coimbatore.
2. Chattannavar, S. N., Hiremath, S. V., Prakash Hegde and Khadi, B. M., 2000a, Chemical control of

grey mildew in cotton. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 14(2): 242-243.
3. Chattannavar, S. N., Hiremath, S. V., Khadi, B. M., Prakash Hedge and Sheo Raj, 2000b, Biological control of foliar diseases of cotton. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 14(1): 127-128.
4. Chattannavar, S. N., Srikant Kulkarni and Khadi, B. M., 2006, Chemical control of *Alternaria* blight of cotton. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20(1): 125-126.
5. Sheo Raj, 1988, Grading for cotton disease, *Technical Bulletin*. Central Institute of Cotton Research, Nagpur., pp. 1-7.
6. Wheeler, B. E. J., 1969, *An Introduction of Plant Disease*, John Wiley and Sons Limited, London, p. 301.

Table 1 : Management of foliar diseases through chemicals during 2006-07 and 07-08

Sl. No	Treatments	AB PDI		Mean	PDC	GM PDI		Mean	PDC	Pooled Yield (kg/ha)
		90 DAS**	120 DAS**			90 DAS**	120 DAS**			
T_1	Taqat 500 g/ha	25.18 (30.13)*	29.54 (32.90)	27.36	20.07	22.12 (28.04)	26.77 (31.18)	24.44	19.21	2111.28
T_2	Taqat 750 g/ha	24.60 (29.73)	28.04 (31.95)	26.32	23.11	20.34 (26.78)	24.38 (29.60)	22.36	26.08	2287.45
T_3	Propiconazole (0.1%)	24.66 (29.80)	28.32 (32.14)	26.49	22.61	20.66 (27.06)	25.32 (30.20)	22.99	24.00	2167.48
T_4	Control	34.19 (35.79)	34.28 (35.85)	34.23	-	27.73 (31.76)	32.77 (34.94)	30.25	-	2093.39
	SE m±	1.451	0.496			0.817	0.692			100.222
	CD at 5%	4.472	1.529			2.516	2.134			NS

**Days after sowing

PDI: Per cent disease index GM: Grey mildew

PDC: Per cent disease over control AB: Alternaria blight

* Figures in parentheses indicate angular transformed values.

Table 2 : Evaluation of *Pseudomonas. Fluorescens* against major foliar diseases of cotton - (pooled data of 2006-07 and 07-08)

Sl. No	Treatments	AB PDI		Mean	PDC	BB PDI		Mean	PDC	GM PDI		Mean	PDC	Pooled Yield (kg/ha)
		90 DAS**	120 DAS**			90 DAS**	120 DAS**			90 DAS**	120 DAS**			
T_1	Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seed + foliar spray @ 0.2% on 30,40,50,60,70,80 and 90 DAS **	20.73 (27.06)	27.06 (31.37)	23.89	19.67	18.3 (25.33)	28.93 (32.52)	23.61	19.77	16.04 (23.58)	22.88 (28.59)	19.46	24.19	2227.27
T_2	Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seed + foliar spray @ 0.2% on 30,50,70 and 90 DAS **	21.62 (27.69)	28.25 (32.08)	24.93	16.17	20.45 (26.85)	29.98 (33.21)	25.21	14.33	17.60 (24.80)	23.22 (28.79)	20.41	20.49	2197.40
T_3	Seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg seed + foliar spray @ 0.2% on 30,60 and 90 DAS**	24.91 (29.93)	28.33 (32.14)	26.62	10.49	22.34 (28.18)	29.84 (33.09)	26.09	11.34	18.7 (25.62)	25.21 (30.13)	21.95	14.49	1929.30
T_4	Copperoxychloride 0.3% + Streptocyclin Sulphate0.05%	23.68 (29.13)	28.58 (32.33)	26.13	12.14	18.98 (25.85)	29.06 (32.65)	24.02	18.38	20.06 (26.64)	23.39 (28.93)	21.72	15.38	2031.07

T_5	Control	29.84 (33.09)	29.65 (32.96)	29.74	-	27.40 (31.56)	31.46 (34.14)	29.43	-	23.4 (28.93)	27.95 (31.88)	25.67	-	1718.06
	SE m±	0.273	0.366			0.366	0.555			0.423	0.448			48.349
	CD at 5%	0.841	1.129			1.128	1.711			1.303	1.381			148.979

**Days after sowing

PDI: Per cent disease index
PDC: Per cent disease over control
GM: Grey mildew
AB: Alternaria blight
BB: Bacterial blight

* Figures in parentheses indicate angular transformed values.

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences
Volume 13 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Assessing Climate Risk to Improve Incomes of Rural Farming Households in the Caprivi Region, Namibia

By J.M. Nyambe & A. Belete
University of Limpopo

Abstract - While the scientific world blames the severity of climate risk factors on climate change, the assessment of its effects on a rural household remains understudied. The objective of this study is to assess climate risk factors on rural households that practices small-scale agriculture with the aim of improving the incomes of farming households. The paper used cross-sectional data from a sample of 253 respondents who represented households that were based in the flood prone areas of the Caprivi region. Invoking a multivariate regression model revealed that climate risk factors especially flood exacerbates the opportunity cost for obtaining a good harvest and thus exposed farming households to income risk and food insecurity. In view of these findings, old age pension and retirement annuities, the value of livestock and that of food aid proved vital to the income and food security needs of rural households in the study area. Investing in early warning systems and publicizing likely climate risk scenarios may be helpful to rural households in preparing to secure their income sources and thus reducing chances of hunger.

Keywords : *climate risk, income risk, yield risk, price risk, climate change, food security.*

GJSFR-D Classification : *FOR Code: 920406*

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

Assessing Climate Risk to Improve Incomes of Rural Farming Households in the Caprivi Region, Namibia

J.M. Nyambe [α] & A. Belete [σ]

Abstract - **While the scientific world blames the severity of climate risk factors on climate change, the assessment of its effects on a rural household remains understudied. The objective of this study is to assess climate risk factors on rural households that practices small-scale agriculture with the aim of improving the incomes of farming households. The paper used cross-sectional data from a sample of 253 respondents who represented households that were based in the flood prone areas of the Caprivi region. Invoking a multivariate regression model revealed that climate risk factors especially flood exacerbates the opportunity cost for obtaining a good harvest and thus exposed farming households to income risk and food insecurity. In view of these findings, old age pension and retirement annuities, the value of livestock and that of food aid proved vital to the income and food security needs of rural households in the study area. Investing in early warning systems and publicizing likely climate risk scenarios may be helpful to rural households in preparing to secure their income sources and thus reducing chances of hunger.**

Keywords : climate risk, income risk, yield risk, price risk, climate change, food security.

I. Introduction

Globally, climate variability has become common. In Namibia in general and in the Caprivi region in particular, climate risk factors especially flood is problematic. Although flood and drought are the usual climate risk factors that often affect the Caprivi region, flood is frequent than drought. The frequency and the degree to which flood affects rural households in the Caprivi region reflects how critical it has become on the incomes of those who rely on agriculture for food and income generation. Other regions of Namibia such as Kavango, Ohangwena, Omusati, Oshana and Oshikoto also suffer from annual flood and sporadic droughts but the frequency and the severity of flood as dating back to long historical times shows how serious flood can be in the Caprivi region. This makes the Caprivi region the most historically prone region to flood risk.

Elsewhere, climate change has been recorded as a serious concern in the human security stream. This has to do with the added effect of conflicts arising from disputes over natural resources that are depleting across Africa (Carius, 2009). It further presents a dire to the agricultural sector and the natural environment with regards to long term consequences. Since Africa is known for high poverty incidents, Hansen et al. (2007) suggest that while seeking to address persistent poverty, there is a need to adopt effective and innovative management practices to deal with climate risk. Innovative ways includes sharing climate information and introducing technology and policies that reduces risks. Climate shocks have both ex ante and post ante consequences. Ex ante ones includes losses and damages to agricultural enterprises and infrastructure while post ante refers to the opportunity cost that is associated with conservation strategies. The absence of insurance policies for rural agricultural enterprises is also a drawback to protecting rural livelihoods. Without insurance against losses to rural agricultural enterprises, rural communities would easily face long-term consequences to their livelihoods (Hansen et al., 2007). Taking up insurance is in line with concave utility and risk aversion principles (Kent, 2004). Risk in agriculture can reduce both farm yield and efficiency with a resultant effect of lowering incomes of farming rural households and exposing them to food insecurity. Low farm commodity prices also cause income risk (Macdonal, 2006).

In seeking to improve rural household incomes from a process of managing climate risks, it should be understood that it can be cumbersome. There are complexities as well to the process given the severe variability of climate risk. As a result George et al. (2005) suggests the need for understanding the extent to which climate risk varies, accuracy of climate forecasting, characterising events of dry periods, and understanding issues surrounding climate change. Characterising climate risk factors and offering reliable advice can benefit farmers (George, et al., 2005). So far, very little is reported on climate forecasting in Africa as compared to early warnings in developed countries. Although climate forecasting is imperative in the assessment of economic consequences, it remains an unpopular undertaking with regards to its use in African countries (Arndt and Bacou, 2000).

For the rural setup, formal jobs are scarce and as it is the case in the Caprivi region, formal jobs are confined to the urban centre at Katima Mulilo. This leaves rural job hunters to search for informal jobs,

Author α σ : Department of Agricultural Economics and Animal Production, University of Limpopo, P/Bag X1106, Sovenga, South Africa. E-mail : mrnyambe@gmail.com

which in most cases offers less income benefits compared to in-kind food rewards. By default, this creates sectoral labour-attachment in favouring agriculture as the most attractive sector in the rural areas when it comes to providing employment opportunities. Similarly to this view there is confirmation in a study that was conducted in Slovenia by Bojnec and Dries (2005) in which they found that market liberalization ushered in the opportunity for agriculture to become the mainstay of employment creation in rural areas compared to other sectors.

In the milieu of frequent annual floods, rural farmers in the Caprivi region continue to record poor harvests. This scenario imbeds a negative prospect on the income generating opportunities. Intriguing to the conundrum of declining agricultural output and livelihoods in general is that no empirical study has so far attempted to assess the effects of climate risk in the context of seeking to improve incomes of rural farming households in the study area.

II. Materials and Methods

a) *The study site*

The Caprivi region is situated in the eastern part of Namibia. Namibia has a human population size of 2 104 900 million. Out of this, the population the Caprivi region accounts for is 90 100 (National Planning Commission, 2011). On climatological metrics, the Caprivi region receives an average annual rainfall of 653 mm (Ministry of Works and Transport, 2011; Samsamwater, 2011).

b) *Data gathering process*

The period which this paper focusses on is the 2002/3 to 2007/8 cultivation and harvesting seasons. As the time series data is scanty and at times non-existent, cross sectional data was gathered using a structured questionnaire. The population is that of rural dwellers in the flood plains from which a sample of 253 respondents was drawn from. The respondents were randomly sampled using multi-stage cluster sampling approach. Three constituencies from where the randomly selected households were obtained are: Kabbe, Katima Rural and Linyanti.

c) *Conceptual framework*

Before going deeper into methodological technicalities, the environment in which rural farming households exist first needs to be reflected here. The reflection will take the form of presenting the context of a conceptual framework.



Source : Ministry of Works and Transport (2011)

Figure 1 : Rainfall records for Katima Mulilo for the period 2005 to 2011

For the planting seasons of 2002 to 2007, the rainfall pattern escalated above the average annual record notwithstanding the recorded figures below the average.



Figure 2 : Conceptual framework for rural farming households in the Caprivi

Figure 2 presents the relationship between a rural household and its supportive structures. The government and donor agencies have for decades been offering material support to needy households when climate risk factors strikes. Climate risk factors are nested under environmental factor category. Existing laws and regulations includes domestic and international legal instruments that seek to advance human welfare. Among such pertinent instruments are the United Nations General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966 on the International Convention of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Part III, Article 11 (United Nations, 1966); the Namibian Constitution, the Rural Development Strategy, and the Agricultural Policy.

A rural household shares the policy nexus with the government and donor agencies. It is within the policy nexus-platform that ideas on policy instruments are first generated and later crafted with the aim of improving the livelihoods of rural farming households. Amidst possibilities of emergency reliefs when conditions necessitate such, rural households have their own livelihood and coping strategies from the perspectives of farm, non-farm nature, and remittances. It is the livelihood and coping strategies which rural people have been employing over the years which sustained them in the past. However, the increasing climate risk factors are making it difficult for rural farming households to manage their day to day lives. Even with various coping strategies being brought on board, the way climate risk affects the livelihoods of farming households requires an entire calculus of tested interventions especially when considering the desire to induce the elements of self-sufficiency. In an attempt to find solutions to problems that affect livelihoods Mahul (2001) studied risk analysis using a production function that leaned heavily on the random weather index and also on production shocks that are not insurable.

The complexity of climate risk factors is found in the fact that climate risk issues are exogenously determined outside the realm of a rural household. In the immediate and short-run period, the common interventions have so far been the provision of food aid and other needed supplies. These support actions are temporal and difficult to sustain as they also depend on economic situations in donor countries. With drought, Iglesias et al. (2007) suggests the need to operate irrigation systems with sustained hydrological resources.

They argue that irrigation reservoirs are essential for water consumption smoothing. While many people in the study area are involved in agriculture in one way or the other, their income sources varies. Both non-farm and farm activities remains important income sources. The extent to which an income source can generate the needed income makes it an important source that needs to be secured.

d) Model

A multivariate regression model was constructed for empirical analysis purposes. The truncated yet unconstrained model inculcates among others the un-biasedness element in its construction. In general, multivariate regression models have been used widely in empirical studies and they take various forms depending of the nature of study including the nature of the data that is available. The model developed in this study can be written using natural log format as follows:

$$LnY = \delta + \kappa_1 Ln\psi_1 + \kappa_2 Ln\psi_2 + ...\kappa_n Ln\psi_n + \kappa\mu$$

Where Y = Rural household income, κ = Parameters for estimation. Other variables including income differential ones are as follows:

Ψ_1 = Pension,

Ψ_2 = value of livestock in the hands of a rural household expressed in Namibia dollars (N$),

Ψ_3 = Value of food aid received in a year expressed in N$,

Ψ_4 = Age of the head of the household expressed in years

Ψ_5 = Household size expressed in numbers, and

μ = Error term.

LN= Natural Log

Variables are statistically accepted at the 95% level of significance. Considering that drought is less frequent in the Caprivi region compared to flood, flood makes crop farming less reliable and riskier compared to livestock farming. Though flood reduces accessibility to pastoral land, livestock enterprises are much more adaptable compared to crop farming in the study area. In the model, effects of climate risk are implicit in the yield. In other words, at the time a yield is obtained, climate risk factors would have already imputed their effect on the total yield. Thus in the model, income is treated as a proxy that captures the effects of climate risk. Climate risk would trigger a yield risk which may also lead to an income risk, holding all other things constant.

III. Results and Discussion

a) Socio-economic Features of the Respondents

The respondents who were interviewed have socio-economic characteristics that are displayed in Table 1. In Table 1, the average labour in numbers available to the household during the cultivation and harvesting season is 3 persons. These are adults who supply needed labour to the household. The average income which the household receives per month from all its sources is US$76.67 with the average remittance received standing at US$23 per month.

Table 1 : Socio-economic characteristics of respondent

Description	Measurement	Statistics
Average available household labour during the cultivation season	In numbers	24
Average monthly income	In US$	76.67
Average remittance receipts per month	In US$	23
Average farm size	In hectares	6
Gender orientation	Ratio of male to female	96:157
Average education level	In years	6
Average household size	In numbers of people	5
Average old age pension	In US$	54

N = 253; US$1 = N$8.20

Remittances are included in the actual income received by households. More women were interviewed compared to men. Respondents spent on average 6 years in school and they came from households with an average family size of 5.

b) Model Output

Variables	Coefficients	Std. Error	T	Sig.
(Constant)	5.674	0.051	111.940	0.000
Ψ_1	0.001	0.000	31.364	0.000
Ψ_2	1.720E-5	0.000	2.223	0.027
Ψ_3	0.010	0.004	2.392	0.018
Ψ_4	0.001	0.001	1.781	0.076
Ψ_5	-0.018	0.017	-1.102	0.271
Adjusted R^2	0.808			

Table 2 above shows the model simulation output. Although not presented in Table 2, the simulation yielded an F test of 220.236 which was based on a 5% level of significance. The associated p-value is 0.000, which makes the model tenable. In Table 2, among the explanatory variables that were entered in the model are: pension (old age and retirement annuities) fund, the value of livestock owned by a rural household and the value of food aid received by a rural household. A variable was considered to be statistically significant when its p-value was $\leq$ 0.005. By applying these criteria to the model results, it shows that the three variables pension fund, the value of livestock owned by a rural household and the value of food aid received by a rural household are all statistically significant with p- values of 0.000, 0.027 and 0.018 respectively.

On the coefficient side, a variable may have little impact on the dependent variable but remain statistically significant. An extension on this provides that instead of considering coefficients that are too close to zero as zero, the slopes of their t statistics should not be ignored (Ghadim *et al*, 2005; Alemu *et al*, 2003). Following the insightful view of Ghadim *et al* (2005) and Alemu *et al* (2003), in the current model a unit change in the amount of pension fund in the hands of a rural household could lead to an increase in the income of the respective rural household by 0.001, *ceteris paribus*. A unit increase in the value of livestock in the hands of a rural household could potentially increase household income by 0.00001720 while all other explanatory variables are held constant. Again, a unit increase in the value of food aid received by a rural household has an incremental effect of 0.010 on the income of a rural household.

With regard to the t statistics, pension fund (31.364), the value of livestock (2.223) and the value of food aid (2.392) are all statistically significant. The significance of the t values of the three explanatory variables corresponds in acceptance with their p values, which were discussed earlier. As for the other two explanatory variables, age of the head of a household and household size, their t statistics and p values rendered them insignificant. Overall, the adjusted R2 of 80.8% is acceptable in that 81% of the variation in rural income is explained by the explanatory variables that were included in the model.

The results obtained from the model indicate how climate risk affects the rural household. High rainfall and drought have an impact on the income of the household in that the value of food at the disposal of the household may be reduced when climate risk factors are at the extreme. This aspect is implicit in the response variable that was tested in the model, since the total harvest is a function of a number of factors, including rainfall. At the time when a harvest is obtained, climate risk factors would have already had their effect on the crop produce. Another way to look at it is that when severe climate risk factors are experienced, the value of food aid provided may be reduced owing to the value of own produce in the response variable, which in this context would be very low or non-existent. It is by theoretical implication, that when this happens, it would have a reduced influence on the household income. Though both drought and flood affect livestock, drought can cause a more serious set-back to the livestock of a rural household. The effect occurs in terms of reduced value of livestock owned by the household. Should this occur, there will be a transitory effect on the income of the household.

IV. Conclusion

Climate risk factors will increasingly continue to affect rural households. Reliable policies are needed in order to assist rural households to secure their livelihoods through improving their income situations. There is a great need for rolling out climate risk awareness campaigns in rural areas where flood is problematic. Those should take into consideration survival options so that any targeted support measure may fit the needs of the affected households. In line with this consideration, suggesting the needed to introduce new crop varieties with a shorter growth cycle would be vital so that at the time when flood is imminent, such crops would have matured and be ready for harvesting.

As a means to secure incomes of rural households, there is a need to explore the possibility of extending production loans to rural farming households so that when an opportune time comes, they may be able to access the needed inputs for production purposes and have to repay after they have marketed their products. Another area of intervention that needs urgent attention is that of extending research to explore the possibility of rolling-out crop insurance for small-scale farmers. This will add value to current unsecured livelihoods and will also play an important role in reducing income losses at the household level. Therefore, improving incomes would most likely to have a positive impact on the food security situation of rural households and thus reduce the threat from hunger in the study area.

References Références Referencias

1. Alemu, Z.G., K, Oosthuizen, and van Schalkwyk, H.D. (2003). Contribution of agriculture in the Ethiopian economy: A time-varying parameter approach. Agrekon 42(1):29-48.
2. Arndt, C., and M. Bacou, 2000. Economy-wide effects of climate variability and climate prediction in Mozambique. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 82: 750 – 754.

3. Bojnec, S., L. Dries, 2005. Causes of changes in agricultural employment in Slovenia: Evidence from micro data. Journal of Agricultural Economics 56(3): 399 - 416.
4. Carius, A., 2009. Climate change and security in Africa: Challenges and international policy context. A Paper commissioned by the United Nations of the Special Advisor on Africa. Expert Group Meeting entitled "Climate change and conflict in Africa: Protecting Africa's natural resource base in support of durable peace and sustainable development. Held on 17-18 December 2009 at the United Nations, New York.
5. Ghadim, A.K.A., D.J. Pannell, and M.P. Burton, 2005. Risk, uncertainty and learning in adoption of a crop innovation. Agricultural Economics. 33: 1 – 9.
6. George D.A., C. Birch, D. Buckley, I.J. Patridge, and J.F. Clewett, 2005. Assessing climate risk to improve farm business management. Extension Farming Systems Journal 1(1): 71 – 78.
7. Hansen, J.W., W. Baethgen, D. Osgood, P. Ceccato, and R.K. Ngugi, 2007. Innovations in climate risk management: Protecting and building rural livelihoods in a variable and changing climate. Journal of Semi-Arid Tropical Agricultural Research 4(1): 1 – 38.
8. Iglesias, E., A. Garrido, and A. Gómez-Ramos, 2007. Economic drought management index to evaluate water institutions' performance under uncertainty. The Australian Journal of Agricultural Resource Economics. 51: 17 - 38.
9. Kent, A., 2004. A critical look at risk assessments for global catastrophes. Risk Analysis. 24(1): 157 – 158.
10. Macdonal, J., 2006. Agricultural contracting: Trading autonomy for risk reduction. Amber Waves. 4(1): 26 – 31.
11. Mahul, O. 2001. Optimal insurance against climate experience. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 83(3): 593 – 604.
12. Ministry of Works and Transport, 2011. Namibia rainfall performance at specified places – April 2011. Government of the Republic of Namibia: Windhoek.
13. National Planning Commission, 2011. Namibia 2011 population and housing census preliminary results. Government of the Republic of Namibia: Windhoek.
14. Samsamwater, 2011. Annual rainfall calendar. [Online] Available at www.samsamwater.com. [Downloaded: 2011-11-08].
15. United Nations, 1966. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. [Online] Available at: www.unhchr.ch [Downloaded: 2007-04-18].

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences
Volume 13 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Height-Age Growth Curve Modelling for Different Tree Species in Drylands of North Karnataka

By S. B. Devaranavadgi, Sharan Bassappa, Jolli, R.B, S.Y. Wali & Bagali, A.N
College of Agriculture, Bijapur

Abstract - Among 24 tree species tested for height and age relationship under agroforestry systems of northern dry zone of Karnataka, Gompertz model fitted well for 9 species, Weibull model for 7 species, Exponential model found well suited for 5 species and Richards model for 3 species respectively. Among the different models tried in predicting height growth for 24 different species Gompertz was found better for Acacia auriculiformis (R^2 = 0.9974), Acacia nilotica (R^2 = 0.9981), Bahunia purpurea (R^2 = 0.9971), Butea monosperma (R^2 = 0.9992), Casuarina equsitifolia (R^2 = 0.9914), Cassia siamea (R^2 = 0.9986), Inga dulce (R^2 = 0.9968), Samanea saman (R^2 = 0.9995), and Tamarindus indica (R^2 = 0.9976). Hence, Gompertz model can be best adopted while predicting height growth of native species grown under dry land situation.

Keywords : *height, age, model, species, growth.*

GJSFR-D Classification : *FOR Code: 070508, 100107*

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :

Height-Age Growth Curve Modelling for Different Tree Species in Drylands of North Karnataka

S. B. Devaranavadgi [α], Sharan Bassappa [σ], Jolli, R.B [ρ], S.Y. Wali [ω] & Bagali, A.N [¥]

Abstract - Among 24 tree species tested for height and age relationship under agroforestry systems of northern dry zone of Karnataka, Gompertz model fitted well for 9 species, Weibull model for 7 species, Exponential model found well suited for 5 species and Richards model for 3 species respectively. Among the different models tried in predicting height growth for 24 different species Gompertz was found better for Acacia auriculiformis (R^2 = 0.9974), Acacia nilotica (R^2 = 0.9981), Bahunia purpurea (R^2 = 0.9971), Butea monosperma (R^2 = 0.9992), Casuarina equsitifolia (R^2 = 0.9914), Cassia siamea (R^2 = 0.9986), Inga dulce (R^2 = 0.9968), Samanea saman (R^2 = 0.9995), and Tamarindus indica (R^2 = 0.9976). Hence, Gompertz model can be best adopted while predicting height growth of native species grown under dry land situation.

Keywords : height, age, model, species, growth.

I. Introduction

Tree height and diameter relationship is an important component in yield estimation, stand description, and damage appraisals (Parresol, 1992). Many height and diameter equations have been developed for various tree species (Wykoff *et al.,* 1982; Huang *et al.,* 1992). Among the variety of mathematical equations, sigmoidal or non-linear growth functions are widely used in developing tree height and diameter equations. Foresters often use height-diameter models to predict total tree height (c-I> based on observed diameter at breast height (DBH) for estimating tree or stand volume and site quality. Therefore, estimations of tree or stand volume and site quality rely heavily on accurate height-diameter functions. There is no standard height/age relationship for trees because of the influence of both internal and external factors on height growth but the basic pattern is sigmoidal.

Growth models assist forest researchers and managers in many ways. Some important uses include the ability to predict future yields and to explore silvicultural options. Models provide an efficient way to prepare resource forecasts, but a more important role may be their ability to explore management options and silvicultural alternatives. For example, foresters may wish to know the long-term effect on both the forest and on future harvests of a particular silvicultural decision, such as changing the cutting limits for harvesting. With a growth model, they can examine the likely outcomes, both with the intended and alternative cutting limits, and can make their decision objectively. The process of developing a growth model may also offer interesting and new insights into the forestry. Growth models may also have a broader role in forest management and in the formulation of forest policy. The same could be used as an advantage and in conjunction with other resource and environmental data, to make prediction, formulate prescriptions and guide forest policy decisions into stand dynamics. Hence looking to the importance of growth models in forestry, the present study was carried out to develop growth models for different tree species under dryland conditions of north Karnataka.

II. Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Bijapur of University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka from 1990-2000. The soils of the experimental site were analyzed for various physico-chemical properties (Sand 25%, Silt 23%, Clay 52%, bulk density 1.43 g/cc, pH- 8.5, EC-0.34 dSm^{-1}, $CaCO_3$ 18.5% and soil depth 30-35 cm). The average rainfall of the site is 594 mm with 39 rainy days. 24 tree species *Viz.*, *Acacia auriculiformis, Acacia catechu, Acacia nilotica, Leucaena leucocephala, Albizzia lebbeck, Azadirachta indica, Bahunia purpurea, Butea monosperma, Casuarina equsitifolia, Cassia siamea, Dalbergia sissoo, Delonix regia, Emblica officianalis, Eucalyptus citriodara, Eucalyptus hybrid, Hardwickia binata, Anogeissus latifolia, Inga dulce, Peltoferrum ferrugeneum, Pongamia pinnata, Prosopsis juliflora, Samanea saman, Syzygium cumini* and *Tamarindus indica* were planted in 1990 at RARS Bijapur and data were collected at one year interval till 2000. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 2 replications. The trees were planted at a spacing of 2m x 2m and examined for 11 consecutive years. For developing growth curves the average height (m) of trees was measured using marked poles were recorded.

Developing height growth curves for 24 different tree species was done by selecting five non-linear models to compare fitness of these models to data (Thornley and France, 2007). The rationality behind the use of these growth models lies in the fact that these

Author α : Professor of Farm Forestry, College of Agriculture, Bijapur-586 001 (Karnataka), India. E-mail : dnavadgi@rediffmail.com
Author σ : Senior Research Fellow, College of Agriculture, Bijapur- 586 001 (Karnataka), India.
Author ρ ω ¥ : Associate Professor, College of Agriculture, Bijapur - 586001 (Karnataka), India.

models have some important parameters enabling to comment on the growth process.

1. Gompertz model	Y = a*exp (-exp(b^-cx)) Where a, b, c are the parameters in the model.
2. Exponential model	Y=a*exp (-b/(x+c)) Where a, b and c are the parameters.
3. Weibull model	Y = a(1-b*exp (-c*x^d)) Where a, b, and c are the parameters.
4. Richards model	Y=a*(1-exp (b*x))^c Where a, b and c are the parameters in the model y is age and X is diameter.
5. Korf model	Y=a*exp (-b*x^-c) Where a ,b and c are the parameters in the model

III. Results and Discussion

Among the different models tried in predicting height growth for 24 different species Gompertz was found better for *Acacia auriculiformis* (R^2 = 0.9974), *Acacia nilotica* (R^2 = 0.9981), *Bahunia purpurea* (R^2 = 0.9971), *Butea monosperma* (R^2 = 0.9992), *Casuarina equsitifolia* (R^2 = 0.9914), *Cassia siamea* (R^2 = 0.9986), *Inga dulce* (R^2 = 0.9968), *Samanea saman* (R^2 = 0.9995), and *Tamarindus indica* (R^2 = 0.9976) and Weibull model for *Leucana leucocephala* (R^2 = 0.9987), *Dalbergia sissoo* (R^2 = 0.9978), *Eucalyptus citriodara* (R^2 = 0.9982), *Hardwickia binata* (R^2 = 0.9889), *Anogeissus latifolia* (R^2 = 0.9982), *Peltoferrum ferrugeneum* (R^2 = 0.9998) and *Pongamia pinnata* (R^2 = 0.9991).

Exponential model found suitable for *Acacia catechu* (R^2 = 0.9921), *Emblica officianalis* (R^2 = 0.9991), *Eucalyptus hybrid* (R^2 = 0.9933), *Prosopsis juliflora* (R^2 = 0.9966) and *Syzygium cumini* (R^2 = 0.9927) and Richards model was found better for *Albizia lebbeck* (R^2 = 0.9994), *Azadirachta indica* (R^2 = 0.9989) and *Delonix regia* (R^2 = 0.9984) (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Among 24 different tree species tested for height and age relationships Gompertz model fitted well for 9 species showing faster early growth but slower approach to asymptote with a longer linear period about inflection point (Thornley and France, 2007). Arid conditions of the experimental site might also impart such slow approach to the asymptote. Weibull model better fitted for 7 species with highest R^2 and lesser standard error and parameters with asymptote t-values. But overall performance of model is better in which all models were showed R^2 between 0.98 and 0.99. Despite considering initial years of growth of all tree species which are characterized by exponential growth period, the exponential model did not show robustness in predicting in all species. Somez (2009) also reported that Gompertz model fit well in height estimation of *Picea orientalis.*

Among the five growth models tested in this study, Korf model showed least fit in almost every species hence considered to be least robust for all species. Among other four models, Gompertz model showed best fit with highest R^2 value and least standard error for 9 species. Interestingly the fast growing introduced species *Prosopsis juliflora* (a ubiquitous introduced species) showed best fit with respect to exponential model. Hence, it may be preliminarily concluded that Gompertz model can be best adopted while predicting height growth of native species. Mean prediction error, standard deviation and R^2 served the criteria for comparing model prediction performance of growth functions. In this Gompertz function showed superiority over other models for 9 species in height – age relationship.

Typically the asymptotic coefficient is the least stable parameter in non-linear growth functions. The least-squares of these growth functions may result in biologically unreasonable upper asymptotes, especially when there are few data observations near the asymptote. Extrapolation, using the models beyond the data range, may produce overestimation or underestimation for large-sized trees. To circumvent the problem some researchers constrained the growth functions by fixing the asymptote at a constant value, such as an available big tree record, while estimating all other parameters in the models (Brewer et al., 1985, Zhang, 1997).

Table 1 : Comparison of the Observed values of tree height (m) with that estimated by best-fit model and coefficient of determination, standard error, Mean Prediction Error (MPE), Standard Deviation (SD) with respect to different tree species under semi-arid regions of north Karnataka

T_{1}- *Acacia auriculiformis*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.196	0.52	Gompertz model
2	0.841	0.81	
3	1.994	1.41	
4	3.326	2.41	R^2=0.9974
5	4.505	3.19	
6	5.392	3.70	SE=0.1279
7	5.998	4.50	
8	6.390	5.32	MPE= -0.7250
9	6.633	5.90	
10	6.782	6.40	SD = 0.6462
11	6.872	6.80	
15	6.988		Y=7.005*exp (-6.034*exp (-0.523*X))
20	7.004		
25	7.005		
30	7.005		
35	7.005		
40	7.005		
45	7.005		
50	7.005		

T_{2}- *Acacia catechu*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.647	0.46	Exponential model
2	1.665	0.61	
3	2.437	1.23	
4	2.994	1.85	
5	3.406	2.51	
6	3.720	2.92	R^2=0.9921
7	3.967	3.49	
8	4.166	3.91	SE=0.1516
9	4.329	4.16	
10	4.465	4.21	MPE = -0.6113
11	4.581	4.3	
15	4.907		SD = 0.4095
20	5.149		
25	5.301		Y=5.966*exp (-3.0001/(X+0.3501))
30	5.405		
35	5.481		
40	5.539		
45	5.585		
50	5.621		

T_{3}-*Acacia nilotica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.900	0.48	Gompertz model
2	0.891	0.68	
3	1.549	1.23	
4	2.341	1.75	R^2=0.9981
5	3.187	2.71	
6	4.013	3.24	SE=0.0882
7	4.767	3.83	
8	5.420	4.40	MPE =-0.7304
9	5.967	4.70	
10	6.410	5.22	SD =0.4096
11	6.763	5.44	Y=7.9211*exp (-2.9119exp (-0.2918*X)
15	7.541		
20	7.831		
25	7.900		
30	7.916		
35	7.920		
40	7.921		
45	7.921		
50	7.921		

T_{4}-*Leucaena leucocephala*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.183	0.38	Weibull model
2	0.712	0.63	
3	1.536	1.66	
4	2.582	2.72	
5	3.764	3.81	R^2=0.9987
6	4.997	4.91	
7	6.200	5.72	SE=0.1648
8	7.312	7.61	
9	8.291	8.64	MPE= 0.0372
10	9.114	9.2	
11	9.777	9.60	SD= 0.2358
15	11.137		
20	11.444		Y=11.465*(1-exp (-0.0161*X^1.993))
25	11.464		
30	11.465		
35	11.465		
40	11.465		
45	11.465		
50	11.465		

T_5-*Albizzia lebbeck*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.161	0.15	Richards model
2	0.816	0.83	
3	1.791	1.81	
4	2.835	2.81	
5	3.793	3.72	R^2=0.9994
6	4.600	4.69	
7	5.244	5.30	SE=0.0635
8	5.742	5.72	
9	6.118	6.01	MPE =0.000393
10	6.398	6.44	
11	6.604	6.63	SD =0.05685 Y=7.1478*(1-exp (-0.3316*X)) ^ 2.9981))
15	7.001		
20	7.120		
25	7.143		
30	7.147		
35	7.148		
40	7.148		
45	7.148		
50	7.148		

T_6-*Azadirachta indica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.083	0.34	Richards model
2	0.521	0.83	
3	1.271	1.26	
4	2.146	1.89	
5	2.995	2.53	R^2=0.9989
6	3.738	3.29	
7	4.350	3.88	SE=0.0553
8	4.834	4.21	
9	5.206	4.56	MPE =-0.305522
10	5.487	4.97	
11	5.696	5.21	SD =0.33923
15	6.108		
20	6.236		Y=5.2672*(1-exp (-0.3253*X)) ^ 3.3731
25	6.261		
30	6.266		
35	6.267		
40	6.267		
45	6.267		
50	6.267		

T_7-*Bahunia purpurea*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.493	0.64	Gompertz model
2	0.912	0.83	
3	1.445	1.485	
4	2.039	2.005	
5	2.639	2.51	R^2=0.99711
6	3.200	3.21	
7	3.698	3.76	SE=0.0943
8	4.120	4.19	
9	4.467	4.55	MPE =0.004599
10	4.745	4.71	
11	4.965	4.885	SD =0.08428
15	5.447		
20	5.626		Y=5.6821*exp (-3.265*exp (-0.4934*X))
25	5.669		
30	5.679		
35	5.681		
40	5.682		
45	5.682		
50	5.682		

T_8-*Butea monosperma*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.105	0.109	Gompertz model
2	0.313	0.29	
3	0.650	0.69	
4	1.060	1.01	
5	1.469	1.502	R^2=0.99924
6	1.827	1.84	
7	2.114	2.105	SE=0.0289
8	2.330	2.31	
9	2.487	2.49	MPE =-0.000145
10	2.598	2.59	
11	2.674	2.69	SD =0.02582
15	2.803		
20	2.831		Y=2.836*exp (-4.932*exp (-0.4029*X))
25	2.835		
30	2.836		
35	2.836		
40	2.836		
45	2.836		
50	2.836		

T_9-*Casuarina equsitefolia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.765	0.95	Gompertz model
2	1.114	1.14	
3	1.506	1.335	
4	1.918	1.78	
5	2.327	2.3	R^2=0.9914
6	2.718	2.81	
7	3.077	3.12	SE=0.1197
8	3.399	3.5	
9	3.680	3.72	MPE =0.003605
10	3.923	3.9	
11	4.129	4.04	SD =0.10707
15	4.660		
20	4.932		Y=5.0717*exp (-2.3623*exp (-0.2219*X))
25	5.025		
30	5.056		
35	5.067		
40	5.070		
45	5.071		
50	5.072		

T_{10}-*Cassia siamea*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.616	0.69	Gompertz model
2	0.951	0.91	
3	1.356	1.375	
4	1.813	1.805	
5	2.299	2.23	R^2=0.9986
6	2.793	2.75	
7	3.276	3.365	SE=0.0604
8	3.732	3.715	
9	4.152	4.19	MPE =0.00174
10	4.531	4.57	
11	4.867	4.805	SD =0.05406
15	5.814		
20	6.372		Y=6.721*exp (-2.918*exp (-0.2002*X))
25	6.590		
30	6.672		
35	6.703		
40	6.714		
45	6.718		
50	6.720		

T_{11}-*Dalbergia sissoo*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.360	0.52	Weibull model
2	0.902	0.85	
3	1.493	1.49	
4	2.077	2.01	
5	2.626	2.65	R^2=0.9978
6	3.123	3.13	
7	3.560	3.51	SE=0.0147
8	3.936	3.99	
9	4.255	4.31	MPE =-0.01784
10	4.519	4.42	
11	4.736	4.51	SD =0.09959
15	5.248		
20	5.458		Y=5.5179*(1-exp (-0.0675*X^-1.4036))
25	5.506		
30	5.516		
35	5.518		
40	5.518		
45	5.518		
50	5.518		

T_{12}-*Delonix regia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.110	0.16	Richards model
2	0.412	0.31	
3	0.810	0.69	
4	1.230	1.21	
5	1.630	1.63	R^2=0.99841
6	1.987	1.93	
7	2.296	2.31	SE=0.0464
8	2.555	2.52	
9	2.769	2.73	MPE =-0.046169
10	2.944	2.81	
11	3.085	3.02	SD =0.0571543
15	3.416		
20	3.564		Y=3.627*(1-exp (-0.2439*X))^2.284
25	3.608		
30	3.621		
35	3.625		
40	3.626		
45	3.626		
50	3.627		

T_{13}-*Emblica officianalis*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	1.445	0.83	Exponential model
2	2.566	1.35	
3	3.565	1.88	
4	4.413	2.79	
5	5.123	3.43	R^2=0.9991
6	5.722	4.06	
7	6.230	5.11	SE=0.10013
8	6.665	5.68	
9	7.041	6.03	MPE =--1.2767
10	7.368	6.12	
11	7.656	6.24	SD =0.35296
15	8.523		
20	9.218		Y=11.975*exp (-5.674/(X+1.684))
25	9.681		
30	10.011		
35	10.259		
40	10.451		
45	10.604		
50	10.730		

T_{14}-*Eucalyptus citriodara*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.760	0.79	Weibull model
2	1.769	1.76	
3	2.830	2.83	
4	3.878	3.73	
5	4.878	4.65	R^2=0.9982
6	5.811	5.89	
7	6.667	6.41	SE=0.1373
8	7.443	7.42	
9	8.139	8.12	MPE = -0.104144
10	8.758	8.64	
11	9.304	8.85	SD =0.15763
15	10.871		
20	11.861		Y=12.539*(1-exp (-0.0625*X^-1.2829))
25	12.282		
30	12.447		
35	12.508		
40	12.529		
45	12.536		
50	12.539		

T_{15}-*Eucalyptus hybrid*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.661	0.82	Exponential model
2	1.325	1.23	
3	2.069	1.84	
4	2.822	2.82	
5	3.545	3.41	R^2=0.9933
6	4.222	4.94	
7	4.849	5.29	SE=0.192
8	5.425	5.72	
9	5.952	5.89	MPE =0.04334
10	6.435	6.21	
11	6.878	6.49	SD =0.32964
15	8.321		
20	9.601		Y=15.879*exp (-11.356/(X+2.573))
25	10.519		
30	11.205		
35	11.737		
40	12.161		
45	12.507		
50	12.794		

T_{16}-*Hardwickia binata*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.260	0.31	Weibull model
2	0.716	0.73	
3	1.274	1.46	
4	1.893	2.09	
5	2.543	2.59	R^2=0.9889
6	3.204	3.11	
7	3.859	3.55	SE=0.1023
8	4.496	4.78	
9	5.106	5.39	MPE =0.02612
10	5.682	5.62	
11	6.219	5.91	SD =0.20883
15	7.958		
20	9.280		Y=10.403*(1-exp (-0.0253*X^-1.495))
25	9.938		
30	10.227		
35	10.342		
40	10.383		
45	10.397		
50	10.401		

T_{17}-*Anogeissus latifolia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.194	0.38	Weibull model
2	0.632	0.62	
3	1.221	1.24	
4	1.892	1.82	
5	2.588	2.39	R^2=0.9982
6	3.262	3.31	
7	3.882	3.88	SE=0.0899
8	4.428	4.46	
9	4.891	4.86	MPE =0.020796
10	5.270	5.19	
11	5.570	5.91	SD =0.14163
15	6.189		
20	6.349		Y=6.366*(1-exp (-0.03101*X ^ -1.7359))
25	6.365		
30	6.366		
35	6.366		
40	6.366		
45	6.366		
50	6.366		

T_{18}-*Inga dulce*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.767	0.81	Gompertz model
2	1.208	1.23	
3	1.730	1.79	
4	2.297	2.21	
5	2.874	2.79	R^2=0.9968
6	3.430	3.34	
7	3.945	3.86	SE=0.1023
8	4.406	4.29	
9	4.807	4.61	MPE = -0.08557
10	5.150	4.99	
11	5.438	5.19	SD =0.097399
15	6.162		
20	6.512		Y=6.6737*exp (-2.7339*exp (-0.236))
25	6.624		
30	6.658		
35	6.669		
40	6.672		
45	6.673		
50	6.674		

T_{19}-*Peltoferrum ferrugeneum*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.129	0.22	Weibull model
2	0.435	0.31	
3	0.873	1.72	
4	1.411	3.17	
5	2.020	3.95	R^2=0.9998
6	2.673	4.63	
7	3.346	4.72	SE=0.179
8	4.018	4.57	
9	4.673	4.81	MPE =0.7.02075
10	5.295	5.08	
11	5.874	5.29	SD= 0.925451643
15	7.669		
20	8.808		Y=9.334*(1-exp (-0.0139*X ^ 1.78))
25	9.205		
30	9.309		
35	9.330		
40	9.334		
45	9.334		
50	9.334		

T_{20}-*Pongamia pinnata*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.160	0.13	Weibull model
2	0.547	0.49	
3	1.085	1.09	
4	1.709	1.82	
5	2.356	2.34	R^2=0.9991
6	2.980	2.89	
7	3.545	3.56	SE=0.0583
8	4.031	4.06	
9	4.429	4.42	MPE = -0.003014
10	4.742	4.77	
11	4.979	4.96	SD =0.052112
15	5.407		
20	5.486		Y=5.5911*(1-exp (-0.0295*X ^ 1.8292))
25	5.491		
30	5.491		
35	5.491		
40	5.491		
45	5.491		
50	5.491		

T_{21}-*Prosopsis juliflora*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.084	0.96	Exponential model
2	0.489	1.35	
3	1.106	1.97	
4	1.772	2.62	
5	2.408	3.11	R^2=0.9966
6	2.988	3.62	
7	3.507	3.99	SE=0.0938
8	3.967	4.41	
9	4.375	4.71	MPE = 0.56302
10	4.738	4.92	
11	5.062	5.03	SD =0.30899
15	6.063		
20	6.889		Y=10.295*exp (-8.329(X+0.7335))
25	7.448		
30	7.851		
35	8.155		
40	8.391		
45	8.581		
50	8.736		

T_{22}-*Samanea saman*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.642	0.68	Gompertz model
2	1.063	1.01	
3	1.562	1.59	
4	2.096	2.06	
5	2.625	2.66	R^2=0.9995
6	3.116	3.13	
7	3.553	3.54	SE=0.0366
8	3.928	3.91	
9	4.241	4.23	MPE =0.000455
10	4.496	4.54	
11	4.702	4.68	SD =0.03281
15	5.173		
20	5.365		Y=8.158*exp (-3.0564*exp (-0.2759*X))
25	5.416		
30	5.430		
35	5.433		
40	5.434		
45	5.434		
50	5.434		

T_{23}-*Syzygium cumini*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.520	0.58	Exponential model
2	0.789	0.72	
3	1.056	0.98	
4	1.308	1.36	
5	1.542	1.65	R^2=0.9927
6	1.757	1.72	
7	1.953	1.92	SE=0.0656
8	2.131	2.09	
9	2.294	2.33	MPE =0.0000665
10	2.442	2.46	
11	2.578	2.56	SD =0.05874
15	3.020		
20	3.413		Y=5.381*exp (-10.74/(X+3.595))
25	3.696		
30	3.909		
35	4.074		
40	4.206		
45	4.314		
50	4.404		

T_{24}-*Tamarindus indica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.285	0.37	Gompertz model
2	0.555	0.49	
3	0.909	0.89	
4	1.309	1.29	
5	1.713	1.78	R^2=0.9976
6	2.090	2.09	
7	2.422	2.37	SE=0.0528
8	2.700	2.7	
9	2.926	2.96	MPE =0.00197
10	3.105	3.13	
11	3.244	3.21	SD =0.047194
15	3.540		
20	3.644		Y=3.3674*exp (-3.459*exp (-0.3023*X))
25	3.667		
30	3.672		
35	3.674		
40	3.674		
45	3.674		
50	3.674		

Figure 1 : Height-age growth curves of different tree species under semi-arid regions of north Karnataka



























References Références Referencias

1. Brewer, J.A., Burns, P.Y., Cao, Q.V., 1985. Short-term projection accuracy of five asymptotic height-age curves for loblolly pine. *Forest Science.* 31: 414-418.
2. Huang, S, Titus, S. J. and Wiens, D. P., 1992, Comparison of nonlinear height and diameter functions for major Alberta tree species. *Canadian J. For. Res.,* 22 : 1297-1304.
3. Parresol, B. R., 1992, Baldcypress height and diameter equations and their prediction confidence intervals. *Canadian J. For. Res.,* 22 : 1429-1434.
4. Somez Turan., 2009, Generalized Height-Diameter for Picea-Orientalis. *J.Env. Biology.,* 30(5):767-772.
5. Thornley J. H. M. and France, J., 2007. Mathematical models in agriculture: quantitative methods plant, animal and ecological sciences (2nd edition). CAB International Publishing, UK. Pp. 136-169.
6. Wykoff, W. R, Crookston, N. L., Stage, A. R., 1982, User's guide to the stand prognosis model. *USDA Forest Service General Technique Report INT-133.*
7. Zhang, L., 1997. Cross-validation of non-linear growth functions for modelling tree height-diameter relationships. *Annals of Botany.* 79:251-257.

This page is intentionally left blank

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research
Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences
Volume 13 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Diameter-Age Growth Curve Modelling for Different Tree Species in Drylands of North Karnataka

By S. B. Devaranavadgi , Sharan Bassappa, Jolli , R.B, S.Y. Wali & Bagali, A.N
College of Agriculture, Bijapur

Abstract - Among 24 tree species tested for diameter and age relationship under Agroforestry systems of northern dry zone of Karnataka, Gompertz model fitted well for 20 species, Exponential model for 2 species, Weibull model found well suited for one species and Richards model for one species, respectively. Among the different models tried in predicting diameter growth for 24 different species Gompertz model was found better for Acacia auriculiformis (R^2 = 0.9956), Acacia catechu (R^2 = 0.9972), Acacia nilotica (R^2 = 0.9938), Bahunia purpurea (R^2 = 0.9950), Butea monosperma (R^2 = 0.9968), Casuarina equsitifolia (R^2 = 0.9979), Cassia siamea (R^2 = 0.9986), Dalbergia sissoo (R^2 = 0.9944), Delonix regia (R^2 = 0.9953), Emblica officinalis (R^2 = 0.9944), Eucalyptus citriodara (R^2 = 0.9983), Eucalyptus hybrid (R^2 = 0.9988), Hardwickia binata (R^2 = 0.9969), Anogeissus latifolia (R^2 = 0.9962).

Keywords : *height, age, model, species, growth.*

GJSFR-D Classification : *FOR Code: 070101, 070501*

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :

Diameter-Age Growth Curve Modelling for Different Tree Species in Drylands of North Karnataka

S. B. Devaranavadgi [α], Sharan Bassappa Jolli [σ], R.B, S.Y. Wali [ρ] & Bagali, A.N [ω]

Abstract - Among 24 tree species tested for diameter and age relationship under Agroforestry systems of northern dry zone of Karnataka, Gompertz model fitted well for 20 species, Exponential model for 2 species, Weibull model found well suited for one species and Richards model for one species, respectively. Among the different models tried in predicting diameter growth for 24 different species Gompertz model was found better for Acacia auriculiformis (R^2 = 0.9956), Acacia catechu (R^2 = 0.9972), Acacia nilotica (R^2 = 0.9938), Bahunia purpurea (R^2 = 0.9950), Butea monosperma (R^2 = 0.9968), Casuarina equsitifolia (R^2 = 0.9979), Cassia siamea (R^2 = 0.9986), Dalbergia sissoo (R^2 = 0.9944), Delonix regia (R^2 = 0.9953), Emblica officinalis (R^2 = 0.9944), Eucalyptus citriodara (R^2 = 0.9983), Eucalyptus hybrid (R^2 = 0.9988), Hardwickia binata (R^2 = 0.9969), Anogeissus latifolia (R^2 = 0.9962), Inga dulce (R^2 = 0.9931), Peltoferrum ferrugeneum (R^2 = 0.9978), Prosopsis juliflora (R^2 = 0.9989), Samanea saman (R^2 = 0.9982), Syzygium cumini (R^2 = 0.9976) and Tamarindus indica (R^2 = 0.9953). Hence, Gompertz model can be best adopted while predicting diameter growth of native species grown under dry land situation.

Keywords : *height, age, model, species, growth.*

I. Introduction

Tree height and diameter relationship is an important component in yield estimation, stand description, and damage appraisals (Parresol, 1992). Many height and diameter equations have been developed for various tree species (Wykoff *et al.,* 1982; Huang *et al.,* 1992). Among the variety of mathematical equations, sigmoidal or non-linear growth functions are widely used in developing tree height and diameter equations. Foresters often use height-diameter models to predict total tree height (c-I> based on observed diameter at breast height (DBH) for estimating tree or stand volume and site quality. Therefore, estimations of tree or stand volume and site quality rely heavily on accurate height-diameter functions. The general diameter/age relationship is represented by the cumulative growth curve (CGC) which is sigmoidal for biological systems.

Author α : Professor of Farm Forestry, College of Agriculture, Bijapur-586 001 (Karnataka), India. E-mail : dnavadgi@rediffmail.com
Author σ : Senior Research Fellow, College of Agriculture, Bijapur- 586 001 (Karnataka), India.
Author ρ ω ¥ : Associate Professor, College of Agriculture, Bijapur - 586001 (Karnataka), India.

Growth models assist forest researchers and managers in many ways. Some important uses include the ability to predict future yields and to explore silvicultural options. Models provide an efficient way to prepare resource forecasts, but a more important role may be their ability to explore management options and silvicultural alternatives. For example, foresters may wish to know the long-term effect on both the forest and on future harvests of a particular silvicultural decision, such as changing the cutting limits for harvesting. With a growth model, they can examine the likely outcomes, both with the intended and alternative cutting limits, and can make their decision objectively. The process of developing a growth model may also offer interesting and new insights into the forestry. Growth models may also have a broader role in forest management and in the formulation of forest policy. The same could be used as an advantage and in conjunction with other resource and environmental data, to make prediction, formulate prescriptions and guide forest policy decisions into stand dynamics. Hence looking to the importance of growth models in forestry, the present study was carried out to develop growth models for different tree species under dryland conditions of north Karnataka.

II. Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Bijapur of University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka from 1990-2000. The soils of the experimental site were analyzed for various physico-chemical properties (Sand 25%, Silt 23%, Clay 52%, bulk density 1.43 g/cc, pH- 8.5, EC-0.34 dSm^{-1}, $CaCO_3$ 18.5% and soil depth 30-35 cm). The average rainfall of the site is 594 mm with 39 rainy days. 24 tree species *Viz.*, *Acacia auriculiformis, Acacia catechu, Acacia nilotica, Leucaena leucocephala, Albizzia lebbeck, Azadirachta indica, Bahunia purpurea, Butea monosperma, Casuarina equsitifolia, Cassia siamea, Dalbergia sissoo, Delonix regia, Emblica officinalis, Eucalyptus citriodara, Eucalyptus hybrid, Hardwickia binata, Anogeissus latifolia, Inga dulce, Peltoferrum ferrugeneum, Pongamia pinnata, Prosopsis juliflora, Samanea saman, Syzygium cumini* and *Tamarindus indica* were planted in 1990 at RARS Bijapur and data were collected at one year interval till 2000.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 2 replications. The trees were planted at a spacing of 2m x 2m and examined for 11 consecutive years. For developing growth curves the diameter at breast height (DBH) (cm) using vernier caliper were recorded.

Developing diameter growth curves for 24 different tree species was done by selecting five non-linear models to compare fitness of these models to data (Thornley and France, 2007). The rationality behind the use of these growth models lies in the fact that these models have some important parameters enabling to comment on the growth process.

1. Gompertz model	Y = a*exp (-exp(b^-cx)) Where a, b, c are the parameters in the model.
2. Exponential model	Y=a*exp (-b/(x+c)) Where a, b and c are the parameters.
3. Weibull model	Y = a(1-b*exp (-c*x^d)) Where a, b, and c are the parameters.
4. Richards model	Y=a*(1-exp (b*x))^c Where a, b and c are the parameters in the model y is age and X is diameter.
5. Korf model	Y=a*exp (-b*x^-c) Where a ,b and c are the parameters in the model

III. Results and Discussion

Among the different models tried in predicting diameter growth for 24 different species, Gompertz model was found better for *Acacia auriculiformis* (R^2 = 0.9956), *Acacia catechu* (R^2 = 0.9972), *Acacia nilotica* (R^2 = 0.9938), *Bahunia purpurea* (R^2 = 0.9950), *Butea monosperma* (R^2 = 0.9968), *Casuarina equsitifolia* (R^2 = 0.9979), *Cassia siamea* (R^2 = 0.9986), *Dalbergia sissoo* (R^2 = 0.9944), *Delonix regia* (R^2 = 0.9953), *Emblica officinalis* (R^2 = 0.9944), *Eucalyptus citriodara* (R^2 = 0.9983), *Eucalyptus hybrid* (R^2 = 0.9988), *Hardwickia binata* (R^2 = 0.9969), *Anogeissus latifolia* (R^2 = 0.9962), *Inga dulce* (R^2 = 0.9931), *Peltoferrum ferrugeneum* (R^2 = 0.9978), *Prosopsis juliflora* (R^2 = 0.9989), *Samanea saman* (R^2 = 0.9982), *Syzygium cumini* (R^2 = 0.9976) and *Tamarindus indica* (R^2 = 0.9953).

Exponential model found suitable for *Leucana leucocephala* (R^2 = 0.9958) and *Pongamia pinnata* (R^2 = 0.9987). Whereas, Richards model was found better for *Albizia lebbeck* (R^2 = 0.9986) and Weibull model for *Azadirachta indica* (R^2 = 0.9983) (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Among five growth models tested in diameter and age relationship study, Korf, Richards and Weibull models showed least fit in almost every tree species. Among other two models Gompertz model showed best fit with highest R^2 and lest standard error. Among 24 different tree species, Gompertz model showed best fit for 20 species among which sixteen species were native to semiarid conditions. Gompertz model showed faster early growth but slower approach to asymptote with a longer linear period about inflection point (Thornley and France, 2007) and reported to be more accurate than any other models of forest growth (Zhang, 1997). Arid conditions of the experimental site might also impart such slow approach to the asymptote. Exponential model better fitted for two species with highest R^2 and lesser standard error and parameters with asymptote t-values. Richards model showed best fit for one species *i.e. Albizia lebbeck* and Weibull model for one species *i.e., Leucana leucocephala* which is an introduced fast growing tree species. Despite considering initial years of growth of all tree species which are characterized by exponential growth period, the exponential model did not show robustness in predicting in all species. But overall performance of models was better in which all models were showed R^2 between 0.98 and 0.99 except Korf and Weibull model. Mean prediction error, standard deviation and R^2 were adopted as criteria for comparing model prediction performance of growth functions. The apparent reason for high R^2 values associated with linear models is that the data set usually belongs to the second phase of tree growth and which is linear in nature (Srivastava and Ajit, 2002). In this Gompertz function showed superiority over other models for 20 species in DBH – Age relationship.

Table 1 : Comparison of the Observed values of tree diameter (cm) with that estimated by best-fit model and coefficient of determination, standard error, Mean Prediction Error (MPE), Standard Deviation (SD) with respect to different tree species under semi-arid regions of north Karnataka

T_1- *Acacia auriculiformis*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	1.068	0.82	Gompertz model
2	1.788	1.55	
3	2.631	2.51	
4	3.515	3.34	
5	4.367	4.21	
6	5.140	4.99	R^2=0.9956
7	5.807	5.5	
8	6.363	5.91	SE=0.2652
9	6.815	6.21	
10	7.175	6.89	MPE=-0.28976
11	7.457	7.01	
15	8.071		SD =0.15321
20	8.299		
25	8.354		
30	8.367		
35	8.370		
40	8.371		
45	8.371		
50	8.371		

T_2- *Acacia catechu*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.587	0.76	Gompertz model
2	0.963	0.99	
3	1.450	1.41	
4	2.033	1.89	
5	2.687	2.44	
6	3.382	3.12	R^2=0.9972
7	4.090	3.88	
8	4.783	4.51	SE=0.0622
9	5.444	5.11	
10	6.057	5.67	MPE =-0.20924
11	6.615	6.01	
15	8.269		SD =0.2115
20	9.315		
25	9.750		Y=10.03*exp (-3.44*exp (-0.192*X))
30	9.922		
35	9.988		
40	10.014		
45	10.024		
50	10.028		

T_3-*Acacia nilotica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	1.293	0.89	Gompertz model
2	1.574	1.43	
3	1.884	1.89	
4	2.219	2.35	R^2=0.9938
5	2.577	2.66	
6	2.955	3.01	SE=0.0567
7	3.347	3.44	
8	3.750	3.8	MPE =-0.004601
9	4.161	4.2	
10	4.574	4.61	SD =0.14957
11	4.988	4.99	Y=12.331*exp (-2.471*exp (-0.0193*X))
15	6.579		
20	8.283		
25	9.583		
30	10.511		
35	11.144		
40	11.565		
45	11.840		
50	12.018		

T_4-*Leucaena leucocephala*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.314	0.81	Exponential model
2	0.902	1.21	
3	1.722	1.81	
4	2.664	2.55	
5	3.649	3.44	R^2=0.9958
6	4.627	4.49	
7	5.571	5.53	SE=0.2121
8	6.467	5.99	
9	7.309	6.09	MPE =-0.4726
10	8.096	6.34	
11	8.829	6.69	SD =0.85675
15	11.290		
20	13.550		Y=25.3495*exp (-13.881/(2.1612+X))
25	15.206		
30	16.464		
35	17.448		
40	18.238		
45	18.886		
50	19.427		

T_5-*Albizzia lebbeck*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.377	0.46	Richards model
2	1.051	1.01	
3	1.816	1.86	
4	2.584	2.55	
5	3.314	3.21	R^2=0.9986
6	3.983	4.01	
7	4.584	4.76	SE=0.1186
8	5.116	5.89	
9	5.582	6.79	MPE =-0.4359 SD =0.6092
10	5.987	7.99	
11	6.337	8.88	Y=8.324*(1-exp (-0.17225*X)) ^ 1.68031
15	7.300		
20	7.885		
25	8.138		
30	8.245		
35	8.291		
40	8.310		
45	8.318		
50	8.321		

T_6-*Azadirachta indica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.361	0.330	Weibull model R^2=0.9983
2	0.983	0.780	
3	1.728	1.560	
4	2.529	2.410	
5	3.342	3.290	SE=0.11055
6	4.138	4.110	
7	4.894	4.760	MPE =-0.15801
8	5.596	5.550	
9	6.237	6.110	SD =0.14015
10	6.812	6.420	
11	7.320	6.880	Y=9.954*(1-exp (-0.0369* X ^ 1.4948))
15	8.752		
20	9.568		
25	9.847		
30	9.928		
35	9.948		
40	9.953		
45	9.954		
50	9.954		

T_7-*Bahunia purpurea*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.386	0.510	Gompertz model
2	0.935	0.880	
3	1.741	1.550	
4	2.697	2.490	
5	3.669	3.560	R^2=0.9950
6	4.556	4.410	
7	5.305	5.220	SE=0.05654
8	5.905	5.790	
9	6.366	6.310	MPE =-0.09723
10	6.712	6.620	
11	6.967	6.830	SD =0.088346
15	7.447		
20	7.582		Y=7.61*exp (-4.24*exp (-0.352*X))
25	7.605		
30	7.609		
35	7.610		
40	7.610		
45	7.610		
50	7.610		

T_8-*Butea monosperma*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.803	0.86	Gompertz model
2	1.1598	1.21	
3	1.584	1.76	
4	2.063	2.29	
5	2.581	2.71	R^2=0.99681
6	3.121	3.19	
7	3.667	3.71	SE=0.03183
8	4.203	4.26	
9	4.719	4.88	MPE =0.10029
10	5.206	5.29	
11	5.658	5.71	SD =0.06277
15	7.081		
20	8.102		Y=9*exp (-2.85*exp (-0.165*X))
25	8.595		
30	8.820		
35	8.921		
40	8.965		
45	8.985		
50	8.993		

T_9-*Casuarina equsitefolia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.859	0.86	Gompertz model
2	1.333	1.39	
3	1.882	1.95	
4	2.469	2.61	
5	3.055	3.19	R^2=0.9979
6	3.613	3.72	
7	4.121	4.22	SE=0.0251
8	4.570	4.69	
9	4.957	4.99	MPE =0.0891
10	5.284	5.37	
11	5.556	5.69	SD =0.0454
15	6.227		
20	6.541		Y=6.68*exp (-2.61*exp (-0.241*X))
25	6.638		
30	6.667		
35	6.676		
40	6.679		
45	6.680		
50	6.680		

T_{10}-*Cassia siamea*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.805	0.86	Gompertz model
2	1.266	1.31	
3	1.813	1.92	
4	2.411	2.56	
5	3.022	3.17	R^2=0.99865
6	3.615	3.88	
7	4.167	4.28	SE=0.02165
8	4.664	4.73	
9	5.099	5.1	MPE =0.09001
10	5.474	5.49	
11	5.790	5.82	SD =0..76942
15	6.594		
20	6.991		Y=7.18*exp (-2.76*exp (-0.232*X))
25	7.120		
30	7.161		
35	7.174		
40	7.178		
45	7.179		
50	7.179		

T_{11}-*Dalbergia sissoo*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.622	0.910	Gompertz model
2	0.966	1.260	
3	1.508	1.710	
4	2.129	2.380	
5	2.780	2.810	R^2=0.9944
6	3.418	3.530	
7	4.011	4.190	SE=0.04591
8	4.540	4.620	
9	4.997	5.090	MPE =0.15335699
10	5.382	5.460	
11	5.700	5.780	SD =0.093458
15	6.466		
20	6.805		Y=7.94*exp (-3.29*exp (-0.256*X))
25	6.902		
30	6.929		
35	6.937		
40	6.939		
45	6.940		
50	6.940		

T_{12}-*Delonix regia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.846	0.56	Gompertz model
2	1.148	0.99	
3	1.495	1.38	
4	1.88	1.76	
5	2.293	2.19	R^2=0.99536
6	2.725	2.69	
7	3.165	3.26	SE=0.03124
8	3.604	3.68	
9	4.033	4.12	MPE =-0.0638
10	4.447	4.45	
11	4.841	4.7	SD =0.12016
15	6.159		
20	7.229		Y=8.44*exp (-2.65*exp (-0.142*X))
25	7.821		
30	8.129		
35	8.286		
40	8.363		
45	8.402		
50	8.421		

T_{13}-*Emblica officianalis*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.757	0.61	Gompertz model
2	1.213	1.19	
3	1.774	1.62	
4	2.410	2.33	
5	3.086	3.01	R^2=0.9944
6	3.767	3.61	
7	4.424	4.39	SE=0.0508
8	5.037	4.99	
9	5.592	5.43	MPE =-0.1098
10	6.085	5.99	
11	6.513	6.28	SD =0.0656
15	7.671		
20	8.303		Y=8.65*exp (-3.02*exp (-0.215*X))
25	8.530		
30	8.609		
35	8.636		
40	8.645		
45	8.648		
50	8.649		

T_{14}-*Eucalyptus citriodara*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.813	0.970	Gompertz model
2	1.604	1.760	
3	2.625	2.530	
4	3.753	3.580	
5	4.864	4.810	R^2=0.9983
6	5.871	5.890	
7	6.729	6.880	SE=0.03955
8	7.429	7.340	
9	7.983	7.990	MPE =-0.00771
10	8.409	8.310	
11	8.733	8.670	SD =0.11633
15	9.387		
20	9.597		Y=9.65*exp (-3.41*exp (-0.321*X))
25	9.639		
30	9.648		
35	9.650		
40	9.650		
45	9.650		
50	9.650		

T_{15}-*Eucalyptus hybrid*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.755	0.910	Gompertz model
2	1.490	1.610	
3	2.443	2.360	
4	3.501	3.410	
5	4.550	4.630	R^2=0.9988
6	5.507	5.610	
7	6.328	6.390	SE=0.03191
8	7.001	6.990	
9	7.536	7.210	MPE =-0.05821
10	7.952	7.580	
11	8.268	7.990	SD =0.18594
15	8.914		
20	9.125		Y=9.18*exp (-3.43*exp (-0.3173*X))
25	9.169		
30	9.178		
35	9.180		
40	9.180		
45	9.180		
50	9.180		

T_{16}-*Hardwickia binata*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.676	0.950	Gompertz model
2	1.277	1.360	
3	2.043	2.120	
4	2.892	2.910	
5	3.739	3.780	R^2=0.9969
6	4.521	4.610	
7	5.202	5.290	SE=0.1366
8	5.771	5.820	
9	6.231	6.310	MPE =0.099634
10	6.595	6.780	
11	6.877	6.990	SD =0.07222
15	7.474		
20	7.684		Y=7.744*exp (-3.299*exp (-0.3022*X))
25	7.731		
30	7.741		
35	7.743		
40	7.744		
45	7.744		
50	7.744		

T_{17}-*Anogeissus latifolia*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.589	0.86	Gompertz model
2	1.186	1.29	
3	1.971	2.06	
4	2.854	2.99	
5	3.734	3.65	R^2=0.9962
6	4.541	4.61	
7	5.236	5.41	SE=15316
8	5.807	5.91	
9	6.261	6.29	MPE =0.078329
10	6.613	6.55	
11	6.882	6.92	SD =0.10077
15	7.429		
20	7.607		Y=7.654*exp (-3.524*exp (-0.3183*X))
25	7.644		
30	7.652		
35	7.653		
40	7.653		
45	7.653		
50	7.653		

T_{18}-*Inga dulce*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.492	0.810	Gompertz model
2	1.074	1.260	
3	1.868	1.910	
4	2.768	2.550	
5	3.659	3.620	R^2=0.9931
6	4.461	4.510	
7	5.134	5.230	SE=0.29147
8	5.672	5.710	
9	6.089	6.020	MPE =0.02059
10	6.402	6.310	
11	6.635	6.550	SD =0.14686
15	7.081		
20	7.210		Y=7.239*exp (-3.789*exp (-0.3429*X))
25	7.234		
30	7.238		
35	7.239		
40	7.239		
45	7.239		
50	7.239		

T_{19}-*Peltoferrum ferrugeneum*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.762	0.77	Gompertz model
2	1.295	1.36	
3	1.921	2.09	
4	2.574	2.68	
5	3.199	3.21	R^2=0.99783
6	3.760	3.55	
7	4.240	4.19	SE=0.04753
8	4.635	4.56	
9	4.952	4.99	MPE =0.02788
10	5.202	5.38	
11	5.396	5.47	SD =0.112312
15	5.807		
20	5.953		Y=5.9972*exp (-2.776*exp (-0.2972*X))
25	5.987		
30	5.994		
35	5.996		
40	5.997		
45	5.997		
50	5.997		

T_{20}-*Pongamia pinnata*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.737	0.810	Exponential model
2	1.321	1.370	
3	1.958	1.880	
4	2.600	2.560	
5	3.221	3.310	R^2=0.9987
6	3.807	3.910	
7	4.355	4.490	SE=0.7327
8	4.862	5.010	
9	5.331	5.540	MPE =-0.56951
10	5.764	5.880	
11	6.163	6.010	SD =0.10793
15	7.485		
20	8.683		Y=14.884*exp (-12.477/(3.1516+X))
25	9.555		
30	10.216		
35	10.732		
40	11.147		
45	11.486		
50	11.770		

T_{21}-*Prosopsis juliflora*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.709	0.81	Gompertz model
2	1.379	2.06	
3	2.209	3.11	
4	3.085	4.32	
5	3.908	5.61	R^2=0.9989
6	4.620	6.61	
7	5.202	7.35	SE=0.1074
8	5.658	8.26	
9	6.005	8.76	MPE =-0.0217
10	6.263	8.9	
11	6.453	9.13	SD =0.1160
15	6.813		
20	6.916		Y=6.939*exp (-3.221*exp (-0.3449*X))
25	6.934		
30	6.938		
35	6.938		
40	6.938		
45	6.938		
50	6.938		

T_{22}-*Samanea saman*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.802	1.01	Gompertz model
2	1.403	1.56	
3	2.145	2.38	
4	2.960	2.99	
5	3.779	3.76	R^2=0.9982
6	4.550	4.61	
7	5.238	5.38	SE=0.10406
8	5.828	5.99	
9	6.320	6.41	MPE =0.102446
10	6.721	6.82	
11	7.043	7.01	SD =0.08791
15	7.769		
20	8.058		Y=8.158*exp (-3.0564*exp (-0.2759*X))
25	8.132		
30	8.151		
35	8.156		
40	8.157		
45	8.157		
50	8.157		

T_{23}-*Syzygium cumini*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.736	0.830	Gompertz model
2	1.111	1.210	
3	1.546	1.620	
4	2.014	2.110	
5	2.491	2.560	R^2=0.9976
6	2.952	2.990	
7	3.384	3.420	SE=0.07545
8	3.774	3.810	
9	4.120	4.280	MPE =0.056182
10	4.419	4.460	
11	4.675	4.550	SD =0.07098
15	5.342		
20	5.688		Y=5.8668*exp (-2.591*exp (0.2213*X))
25	5.807		
30	5.847		
35	5.860		
40	5.865		
45	5.866		
50	5.867		

T_{24}-*Tamarindus indica*

Age (years)	Estimated values	Observed values	Growth model
1	0.893	0.760	Gompertz model
2	1.262	1.560	
3	1.683	2.280	
4	2.137	2.970	
5	2.606	3.310	R^2=0.9953
6	3.073	3.760	
7	3.524	4.010	SE=0.1082
8	3.949	4.420	
9	4.341	4.790	MPE =0.46213
10	4.695	5.010	
11	5.012	5.390	SD=0.25991
15	5.927		
20	6.500		Y=6.904*exp (-2.46*exp (-0.185*X))
25	6.741		
30	6.840		
35	6.879		
40	6.894		
45	6.900		
50	6.903		

Figure 1 : Diameter-Age growth curves of different tree species under semi-arid regions of north Karnataka



























References Références Referencias

1. Huang, S, Titus, S. J. and Wiens, D. P., 1992, Comparison of nonlinear height and diameter functions for major Alberta tree species. *Canadian J. For. Res.,* 22**:** 1297-1304.
2. Parresol, B. R., 1992, Baldcypress height and diameter equations and their prediction confidence intervals. *Canadian J. For. Res.,* 22**:** 1429-1434.
3. Srivastava, P.N and Ajit. (2002). Illogical estimation intricacies associated with tree growth modelling and their alternative solutions. In abstracts of fifth annual conference of the International Academy of Physical Sciences on "Interdisciplinary trends in Physical Sciences" and symposia on "The Role of Information Technology and its Implications" held at Bundelkhand University, Jhansi during 7-9th April, 2002. pp 31-32.
4. Thornley J. H. M. and France, J., 2007. Mathematical models in agriculture: quantitative methods plant, animal and ecological sciences (2nd edition). CAB International Publishing, UK. Pp. 136-169.
5. Wykoff, W. R, Crookston, N. L., Stage, A. R., 1982, User's guide to the stand prognosis model. *USDA Forest Service General Technique Report INT-133.*
6. Zhang, L., 1997. Cross-validation of non-linear growth functions for modelling tree height-diameter relationships. *Annals of Botany.* 79: 251-257.

Global Journals Inc. (US) Guidelines Handbook 2013

www.GlobalJournals.org

FELLOW OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (FARSS)

- 'FARSS' title will be awarded to the person after approval of Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board. The title 'FARSS" can be added to name in the following manner. eg. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSS or William Walldroff Ph. D., M.S., FARSS
- Being FARSS is a respectful honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming FARSS, you can use 'FARSS' title as you use your degree in suffix of your name. This will definitely will enhance and add up your name. You can use it on your Career Counseling Materials/CV/Resume/Visiting Card/Name Plate etc.
- 60% Discount will be provided to FARSS members for publishing research papers in Global Journals Inc., if our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers accept the paper. For the life time, if you are author/co-author of any paper bill sent to you will automatically be discounted one by 60%
- FARSS will be given a renowned, secure, free professional email address with 100 GB of space eg.johnhall@globaljournals.org. You will be facilitated with Webmail, SpamAssassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.
- FARSS member is eligible to become paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Inc. to earn up to 15% of realized author charges taken from author of respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account or to your PayPal account.
- Eg. If we had taken 420 USD from author, we can send 63 USD to your account.
- FARSS member can apply for free approval, grading and certification of some of their Educational and Institutional Degrees from Global Journals Inc. (US) and Open Association of Research,Society U.S.A.
- After you are FARSS. You can send us scanned copy of all of your documents. We will verify, grade and certify them within a month. It will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and 50 more criteria. This is beneficial for your job interviews as recruiting organization need not just rely on you for authenticity and your unknown qualities, you would have authentic ranks of all of your documents. Our scale is unique worldwide.
- FARSS member can proceed to get benefits of free research podcasting in Global Research Radio with their research documents, slides and online movies.
- After your publication anywhere in the world, you can upload you research paper with your recorded voice or you can use our professional RJs to record your paper their voice. We can also stream your conference videos and display your slides online.
- FARSS will be eligible for free application of Standardization of their Researches by Open Scientific Standards. Standardization is next step and level after publishing in a journal. A team of research and professional will work with you to take your research to its next level, which is worldwide open standardization.

- FARSS is eligible to earn from their researches: While publishing his paper with Global Journals Inc. (US), FARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in closed manner. When readers will buy that individual research paper for reading, 80% of its earning by Global Journals Inc. (US) will be transferred to FARSS member's bank account after certain threshold balance. There is no time limit for collection. FARSS member can decide its price and we can help in decision.

MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (MARSS)

- 'MARSS' title will be awarded to the person after approval of Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board. The title 'MARSS" can be added to name in the following manner. eg. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., MARSS or William Walldroff Ph. D., M.S., MARSS
- Being MARSS is a respectful honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming MARSS, you can use 'MARSS' title as you use your degree in suffix of your name. This will definitely will enhance and add up your name. You can use it on your Career Counseling Materials/CV/Resume/Visiting Card/Name Plate etc.
- 40% Discount will be provided to MARSS members for publishing research papers in Global Journals Inc., if our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers accept the paper. For the life time, if you are author/co-author of any paper bill sent to you will automatically be discounted one by 60%
- MARSS will be given a renowned, secure, free professional email address with 30 GB of space eg.johnhall@globaljournals.org. You will be facilitated with Webmail, SpamAssassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.
- MARSS member is eligible to become paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Inc. to earn up to 10% of realized author charges taken from author of respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account or to your PayPal account.
- MARSS member can apply for free approval, grading and certification of some of their Educational and Institutional Degrees from Global Journals Inc. (US) and Open Association of Research,Society U.S.A.
- MARSS is eligible to earn from their researches: While publishing his paper with Global Journals Inc. (US), MARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in closed manner. When readers will buy that individual research paper for reading, 40% of its earning by Global Journals Inc. (US) will be transferred to MARSS member's bank account after certain threshold balance. There is no time limit for collection. MARSS member can decide its price and we can help in decision.

AUXILIARY MEMBERSHIPS

ANNUAL MEMBER

- Annual Member will be authorized to receive e-Journal GJSFR for one year (subscription for one year).
- The member will be allotted free 1 GB Web-space along with subDomain to contribute and participate in our activities.
- A professional email address will be allotted free 500 MB email space.

PAPER PUBLICATION

- The members can publish paper once. The paper will be sent to two-peer reviewer. The paper will be published after the acceptance of peer reviewers and Editorial Board.

Process of submission of Research Paper

The Area or field of specialization may or may not be of any category as mentioned in 'Scope of Journal' menu of the GlobalJournals.org website. There are 37 Research Journal categorized with Six parental Journals GJCST, GJMR, GJRE, GJMBR, GJSFR, GJHSS. For Authors should prefer the mentioned categories. There are three widely used systems UDC, DDC and LCC. The details are available as 'Knowledge Abstract' at Home page. The major advantage of this coding is that, the research work will be exposed to and shared with all over the world as we are being abstracted and indexed worldwide.

The paper should be in proper format. The format can be downloaded from first page of 'Author Guideline' Menu. The Author is expected to follow the general rules as mentioned in this menu. The paper should be written in MS-Word Format (*.DOC,*.DOCX).

The Author can submit the paper either online or offline. The authors should prefer online submission.Online Submission: There are three ways to submit your paper:

(A) (I) First, register yourself using top right corner of Home page then Login. If you are already registered, then login using your username and password.

(II) Choose corresponding Journal.

(III) Click 'Submit Manuscript'. Fill required information and Upload the paper.

(B) If you are using Internet Explorer, then Direct Submission through Homepage is also available.

(C) If these two are not conveninet , and then email the paper directly to dean@globaljournals.org.

Offline Submission: Author can send the typed form of paper by Post. However, online submission should be preferred.

Preferred Author Guidelines

MANUSCRIPT STYLE INSTRUCTION (Must be strictly followed)

Page Size: 8.27" X 11'"

- Left Margin: 0.65
- Right Margin: 0.65
- Top Margin: 0.75
- Bottom Margin: 0.75
- Font type of all text should be Swis 721 Lt BT.
- Paper Title should be of Font Size 24 with one Column section.
- Author Name in Font Size of 11 with one column as of Title.
- Abstract Font size of 9 Bold, "Abstract" word in Italic Bold.
- Main Text: Font size 10 with justified two columns section
- Two Column with Equal Column with of 3.38 and Gaping of .2
- First Character must be three lines Drop capped.
- Paragraph before Spacing of 1 pt and After of 0 pt.
- Line Spacing of 1 pt
- Large Images must be in One Column
- Numbering of First Main Headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman Letters, Capital Letter, and Font Size of 10.
- Numbering of Second Main Headings (Heading 2) must be in Alphabets, Italic, and Font Size of 10.

You can use your own standard format also.
Author Guidelines:

1. General,

2. Ethical Guidelines,

3. Submission of Manuscripts,

4. Manuscript's Category,

5. Structure and Format of Manuscript,

6. After Acceptance.

1. GENERAL

Before submitting your research paper, one is advised to go through the details as mentioned in following heads. It will be beneficial, while peer reviewer justify your paper for publication.

Scope

The Global Journals Inc. (US) welcome the submission of original paper, review paper, survey article relevant to the all the streams of Philosophy and knowledge. The Global Journals Inc. (US) is parental platform for Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology, Researches in Engineering, Medical Research, Science Frontier Research, Human Social Science, Management, and Business organization. The choice of specific field can be done otherwise as following in Abstracting and Indexing Page on this Website. As the all Global

Journals Inc. (US) are being abstracted and indexed (in process) by most of the reputed organizations. Topics of only narrow interest will not be accepted unless they have wider potential or consequences.

2. ETHICAL GUIDELINES

Authors should follow the ethical guidelines as mentioned below for publication of research paper and research activities.

Papers are accepted on strict understanding that the material in whole or in part has not been, nor is being, considered for publication elsewhere. If the paper once accepted by Global Journals Inc. (US) and Editorial Board, will become the copyright of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

Authorship: The authors and coauthors should have active contribution to conception design, analysis and interpretation of findings. They should critically review the contents and drafting of the paper. All should approve the final version of the paper before submission

The Global Journals Inc. (US) follows the definition of authorship set up by the Global Academy of Research and Development. According to the Global Academy of R&D authorship, criteria must be based on:

1) Substantial contributions to conception and acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the findings.

2) Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.

3) Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

All authors should have been credited according to their appropriate contribution in research activity and preparing paper. Contributors who do not match the criteria as authors may be mentioned under Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements: Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned under acknowledgement. The specifications of the source of funding for the research if appropriate can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with address.

Appeal of Decision: The Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed elsewhere.

Permissions: It is the author's responsibility to have prior permission if all or parts of earlier published illustrations are used in this paper.

Please mention proper reference and appropriate acknowledgements wherever expected.

If all or parts of previously published illustrations are used, permission must be taken from the copyright holder concerned. It is the author's responsibility to take these in writing.

Approval for reproduction/modification of any information (including figures and tables) published elsewhere must be obtained by the authors/copyright holders before submission of the manuscript. Contributors (Authors) are responsible for any copyright fee involved.

3. SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts should be uploaded via this online submission page. The online submission is most efficient method for submission of papers, as it enables rapid distribution of manuscripts and consequently speeds up the review procedure. It also enables authors to know the status of their own manuscripts by emailing us. Complete instructions for submitting a paper is available below.

Manuscript submission is a systematic procedure and little preparation is required beyond having all parts of your manuscript in a given format and a computer with an Internet connection and a Web browser. Full help and instructions are provided on-screen. As an author, you will be prompted for login and manuscript details as Field of Paper and then to upload your manuscript file(s) according to the instructions.

To avoid postal delays, all transaction is preferred by e-mail. A finished manuscript submission is confirmed by e-mail immediately and your paper enters the editorial process with no postal delays. When a conclusion is made about the publication of your paper by our Editorial Board, revisions can be submitted online with the same procedure, with an occasion to view and respond to all comments.

Complete support for both authors and co-author is provided.

4. MANUSCRIPT'S CATEGORY

Based on potential and nature, the manuscript can be categorized under the following heads:

Original research paper: Such papers are reports of high-level significant original research work.

Review papers: These are concise, significant but helpful and decisive topics for young researchers.

Research articles: These are handled with small investigation and applications

Research letters: The letters are small and concise comments on previously published matters.

5.STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

The recommended size of original research paper is less than seven thousand words, review papers fewer than seven thousands words also.Preparation of research paper or how to write research paper, are major hurdle, while writing manuscript. The research articles and research letters should be fewer than three thousand words, the structure original research paper; sometime review paper should be as follows:

Papers: These are reports of significant research (typically less than 7000 words equivalent, including tables, figures, references), and comprise:

(a)Title should be relevant and commensurate with the theme of the paper.

(b) A brief Summary, "Abstract" (less than 150 words) containing the major results and conclusions.

(c) Up to ten keywords, that precisely identifies the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.

(d) An Introduction, giving necessary background excluding subheadings; objectives must be clearly declared.

(e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition; sources of information must be given and numerical methods must be specified by reference, unless non-standard.

(f) Results should be presented concisely, by well-designed tables and/or figures; the same data may not be used in both; suitable statistical data should be given. All data must be obtained with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage. As reproduced design has been recognized to be important to experiments for a considerable time, the Editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned un-refereed;

(g) Discussion should cover the implications and consequences, not just recapitulating the results; conclusions should be summarizing.

(h) Brief Acknowledgements.

(i) References in the proper form.

Authors should very cautiously consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate efficiently. Papers are much more likely to be accepted, if they are cautiously designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and be conventional to the approach and instructions. They will in addition, be published with much less delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and to make suggestions to improve briefness.

It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

Format

Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min, except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 l rather than 1.4 × 10-3 m3, or 4 mm somewhat than 4 × 10-3 m. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the e-mail address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art.A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:

- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.

References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author's name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication

Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.

Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.

6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

6.1 Proof Corrections

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded

(Free of charge) from the following website:

www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.

As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing's Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors' final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

6.3 Author Services

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article - once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

6.4 Author Material Archive Policy

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy & electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher's terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org .

Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.

2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.

3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.

4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.

6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.

7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.

8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.

9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.

10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.

11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.

12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.

13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.

14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.

15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.

16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.

17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.

18. **Pick a good study spot:** To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.

19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.

20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.

21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.

24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.

25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.

26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.

27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.

28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.

29. **Think technically:** Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.

30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.

32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.

34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.

Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

· Adhere to recommended page limits

Mistakes to evade

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

· Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)

· Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper

· Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)

· Align the primary line of each section

· Present your points in sound order

· Use present tense to report well accepted

· Use past tense to describe specific results

· Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives

· Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.

Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript--must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else

Introduction:

The **Introduction** should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.

- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.

Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form.

What to stay away from

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text

Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.

Administration Rules Listed Before Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.

- The **major constraint** is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.

- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.

- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.

Criterion for Grading a Research Paper (Compilation) by Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).

Topics	Grades		
	A-B	**C-D**	**E-F**
Abstract	Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below	Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form Above 200 words	No specific data with ambiguous information Above 250 words
Introduction	Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited	Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter	Out of place depth and content, hazy format
Methods and Procedures	Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads	Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed	Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning
Result	Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake	Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend	Irregular format with wrong facts and figures
Discussion	Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited	Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious	Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend
References	Complete and correct format, well organized	Beside the point, Incomplete	Wrong format and structuring

Index

Global Journal of Science Frontier Research

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.JournalofScience.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org

ISSN 9755896