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# On Some Properties of the Rising Sun Function 

Vajha Srinivasa Kumar

Abstract- This paper studies a few interesting properties of the rising sun function of a bounded real function defined on a closed and bounded interval on the real line. An operator on the space of all bounded real functions defined on a closed and bounded interval is introduced and its properties are investigated.
Keywords : rising sun function, semi-continuity, darboux continuity, lower (upper) semicontinuity, lower (upper) semi-quasicontinuity, symmetric continuity, cliquishness, quasicontinuity, differentiability.

## I. Introduction

The rising sun function was used as a tool in the proof of the famous Lebesgue's theorem on the differentiability of a real valued monotone function without using the theory of integration [3]. In this paper, some properties of the rising sun function are presented and an operator on the space of all bounded real functions defined on a closed and bounded interval $[a, b]$ is introduced and its properties are investigated.

In what follows $X, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ stand for a topological space, the real line and the set of all positive integers respectively. Also $\mathscr{B}$ stands for the Banach space of all bounded real functions defined on a closed and bounded interval $[a, b]$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a<b$ under the supremum norm.

## II. Preliminaries

1.1 Definition [6]: The rising sun function of a function $f \in \mathscr{B}$ is defined by

$$
f_{\odot}(x)=\sup \{f(y) / x \leq y \leq b\} .
$$

1.2 Definition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}$ we define the following.
(i) ${ }_{\odot} f(x)=\sup \{f(y) / a \leq y \leq x\}$
(ii) $f^{\odot}(x)=\inf \{f(y) / x \leq y \leq b\}$
(iii) ${ }^{\odot} f(x)=\inf \{f(y) / a \leq y \leq x\}$

[^0]1.3 Definition [5]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be semi-continuous at a point $p \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $p$ in $X$ there exists a nonempty open set $W \subset U$ such that $|f(x)-f(p)|<\varepsilon \forall x \in W$. We say that a function $f$ is semi-continuous on $X$ if it is semi-continuous at every point of $X$.
1.4 Definition [6]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be lower semicontinuous (lsc) at a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that
$$
f(y)-f(x)>-\varepsilon \forall y \in U .
$$

We say that a function $f$ is $l s c$ on $X$ if it is $l s c$ at every point of $X$.
1.5 Definition [6]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be upper semicontinuous (usc) at a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $G$ of $x$ such that

$$
f(y)-f(x)<\varepsilon \quad \forall \quad y \in G .
$$

We say that a function $f$ is usc on $X$ if it is usc at every point of $X$.
1.6 Definition [4]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be lower semi-quasicontinuous (lsqc) at a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $x$ there exists a non-empty open set $W \subset U$ such that $f(y)-f(x)>-\mathcal{E} \forall y \in W$. We say that a function $f$ is $l s q c$ on $X$ if it is $l s q c$ at every point of $X$.
1.7 Definition [4]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be upper semi-quasicontinuous (usqc) at a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $x$ there exists a non-empty open set $W \subset U$ such that $f(y)-f(x)<\varepsilon \quad \forall y \in W$. We say that a function $f$ is usqc on $X$ if it is $u s q c$ at every point of $X$.
1.8 Definition [5]: A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be cliquish at a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $x$ there exists a non-empty open set $W \subset U$ such that $|f(y)-f(z)|<\varepsilon \quad \forall y, z \in W$
We say that a function $f$ is cliquish on $X$ if it is cliquish at every point of $X$.
1.9 Definition: Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We define $f(a-)=f(a)$ and $f(b+)=f(b)$. We say that $f(p+)$ exists at $p \in[a, b)$ and we write $f(p+)=L$, where $L \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $|f(x)-L|<\varepsilon \quad \forall x \in(p, p+\delta) \subset[a, b]$. Similarly for $p \in(a, b]$ we write
$f(p-)=l \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
|f(x)-l|<\varepsilon \forall x \in(p-\delta, p) \subset[a, b] .
$$

1.10 Definition: A function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasicontinuous at a point $p \in[a, b]$ if $f(p+)$ and $f(p-)$ exist.
1.11 Definition: A function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be symmetrically continuous at a point $x \in[a, b]$ if $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}[f(x+h)-f(x-h)]=0$.
1.12 Definition: A function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Darboux continuous if for all $p, q \in[a, b]$ and for each $c$ between $f(p)$ and $f(q)$ there is an $x$ between $p$ and $q$ such that $f(x)=c$.
1.13 Definition[2]: An operator $P$ on a linear space $L$ is said to be sublinear if (i) $P(x+y) \leq P(x)+p(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathrm{~L}$ and (ii) $P(\lambda x)=\lambda P(x)$ for any positive real number $\lambda$ and every $x \in \mathrm{~L}$.
iII. Relations among $f_{\odot},{ }_{\odot} f, f^{\odot}$ and ${ }^{\circ} f$

In this section the relations between the rising sun function and its analogues that are introduced are presented in the following propositions.
2.1 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}$, (a) $f^{\odot}=-(-f)_{\odot}$ and $(b){ }^{\circ} f=-{ }_{\odot}(-f)$.
2.2 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B},(a)\left(f_{\odot}\right)_{\odot}=f_{\odot}$
(b) ${ }_{\odot}\left({ }_{\odot} f\right)={ }_{\odot} f$

$$
\text { (c) }\left(f^{\odot}\right)^{\odot}=f^{\odot} \quad(d){ }^{\odot}\left({ }^{\odot} f\right)={ }^{\odot} f
$$

2.3 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and $x \in[a, b]$,
(i) ${ }_{\odot}\left(f_{\odot}\right)(x)=f_{\odot}(a)$
(ii) $\left(f_{\odot}\right)^{\odot}(x)=f_{\odot}(b)$
(iii) ${ }^{\odot}\left(f_{\odot}\right)(x)=f_{\odot}(x)$
(iv) $\left({ }_{\odot} f\right)_{\odot}(x)={ }_{\odot} f(b)$
(v) $\left({ }_{\odot} f\right)^{\odot}(x)={ }_{\odot} f(x)$
(vi) ${ }^{\odot}\left({ }_{\odot} f\right)(x)={ }_{\odot} f(a)$
(vii) $\left(f^{\odot}\right)_{\odot}(x)=f^{\odot}(b)$
(viii) ${ }_{\odot}\left(f^{\odot}\right)(x)=f^{\odot}(x) \quad$ (ix) $\quad{ }^{\odot}\left(f^{\odot}\right)(x)=f^{\odot}(a)$
(x) $\quad\left({ }^{\ominus} f\right)_{\odot}(x)={ }^{\ominus} f(x)$
(xi) $\quad\left({ }^{\circ} f\right)(x)={ }^{\ominus} f(a)$
(xii) $\left({ }^{\odot} f\right)^{\odot}(x)={ }^{\circ} f(b)$
2.4 Remark: In view of the previous propositions it is enough to investigate the properties of the rising sun function and the properties of ${ }_{\odot} f, f^{\odot}$ and ${ }^{\odot} f$ follow analogously.
IV. Characterisations of $f_{\odot},{ }_{\odot} f, f^{\odot}$ and ${ }^{\circ} f$
3.1 proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}, f_{\odot}$ is the smallest decreasing function dominating $f$

More precisely,
(a) $f_{\odot}(x) \geq f(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$
(b) $f_{\odot}$ is decreasing on $[a, b]$
(c) If $g$ satisfies (a) and (b) above, then $f_{\odot}(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$.
3.2 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B},{ }_{\odot} f$ is the smallest increasing function dominating $f$. More precisely, $\quad(a){ }_{\odot} f(x) \geq f(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$
(b) ${ }_{\odot} f$ is increasing on $[a, b]$
(c) If $g$ satisfies (a) and (b) above, then ${ }_{\odot} f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$.
3.3 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}$,
(a) $f^{\odot}$ is the largest increasing function such that $f^{\odot}(x) \leq f(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$
(b) ${ }^{\ominus} f$ is the largest decreasing function such that ${ }^{\ominus} f(x) \leq f(x) \forall x \in[a, b]$

## V. The Rising Sun Operator

4.1 Definition: Define $T: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ by $T f=f_{\odot}$. We call this operator $T$, the rising sun operator on $\mathscr{B}$.
4.2 Proposition: The rising sun operator $T$ is sublinear on $\mathscr{B}$. More precisely,
(a) $T(f+g) \leq T f+T g \quad \forall f, g \in \mathscr{B}$
(b) $T(\lambda f)=\lambda T f$ for every real number $\lambda>0$ and every $f \in \mathscr{B}$.

Proof: Let $f, g \in \mathscr{B}$ and $x \in[a, b]$.
(a) For $y \in[x, b],(f+g)(y)=f(y)+g(y) \leq f_{\odot}(x)+g_{\odot}(x)=\left(f_{\odot}+g_{\odot}\right)(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow(f+g)(y) \leq\left(f_{\odot}+g_{\odot}\right)(x) \forall y \in[x, b] \\
& \Rightarrow(f+g)_{\odot}(x) \leq\left(f_{\odot}+g_{\odot}\right)(x) \forall x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T(f+g) \leq T f+T g \quad \forall f, g \in \mathscr{B}$.
(b) Suppose that $\lambda$ is a positive real number and $f \in \mathscr{B}$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda f)_{\odot}(x)=\sup \{(\lambda f)(y) / x \leq y \leq b\} \\
& =\lambda \sup \{f(y) / x \leq y \leq b\} \\
& =\lambda f_{\odot}(x) \\
& T \lambda f=\lambda T f
\end{aligned}
$$

4.3 Remark: From the following example, it is clear that $T(f+g) \neq T f+T g$.
4.4 Example: Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x)=x$ and

$$
g(x)=1-x \quad \forall x \in[0,1] \quad \Rightarrow(f+g)(x)=1 . \forall x \in[0,1]
$$

Then

$$
(f+g)_{\odot}(x)=1 \text { and }\left(f_{\odot}+g_{\odot}\right)(x)=2-x \forall x \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence $\quad T(f+g) \neq T f+T g$.
4.5 Proposition: For $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}, T(f+k)=T f+k$.
4.6 Proposition: For $f, g \in \mathscr{B}$, (a) $f \leq g \Rightarrow T f \leq T g \quad$ (b) $T(f \vee g)=T f \vee t g$ where $(f \vee g)(x)=\max \{f(x), g(x)\}$.
4.7 Proposition: If $\left\{f_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a collection of functions in $\mathscr{B}$ and if $\underset{\alpha \in \Delta}{\vee} f_{\alpha}=\sup \left\{f_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ exists in $\mathscr{B}$ then $T\left(\underset{\alpha \in \Delta}{\vee} f_{\alpha}\right)=\underset{\alpha \in \Delta}{\vee} T\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$.
4.8 Remark: From the following example it can be observed that

$$
T(f \wedge g) \neq T f \wedge T g, \text { where }(f \wedge g)(x)=\min \{f(x), g(x)\} .
$$

4.9 Example: Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1-2 x \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
2 x-1 \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad g(x)= \begin{cases}2 x & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
-2 x+2 & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then $\quad f_{\odot}(x)=1 \forall x \in[0,1]$ and $g_{\odot}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ -2 x+2 & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}$ $\Rightarrow\left(f_{\odot} \wedge g_{\odot}\right)(x)=g_{\odot}(x) \forall x \in[0,1]$

Also $\quad(f \wedge g)(x)= \begin{cases}2 x & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ -2 x+1 & \text { if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 2 x-1 & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4} \\ -2 x+2 & \text { if } \frac{3}{4} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(f_{\odot} \wedge g_{\odot}\right)(x)=g_{\odot}(x) \forall x \in[0,1]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow(f \wedge g)_{\odot}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4} \\
-2 x+2 & \text { if } & \frac{3}{4} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence $\quad(f \wedge g)_{\odot}(x) \neq\left(f_{\odot} \wedge g_{\odot}\right)(x)$.
4.10 Proposition: $T$ is continuous on $\mathscr{B}$.

Proof: Let $f_{n} \in \mathscr{B}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. Then $f \in \mathscr{B}$.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists a positive integer $N$ such that

$$
n \geq N \Rightarrow\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|<\varepsilon \forall x \in[a, b]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow-\varepsilon<f_{n}(x)-f(x)<\varepsilon \forall x \in[a, b] \\
& \Rightarrow f(x)-\varepsilon<f_{n}(x)<f(x)+\varepsilon \quad \forall x \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in[a, b]$ and choose $y \in[x, b]$. Then $y \in[a, b]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow f(y)-\varepsilon<f_{n}(y)<f(y)+\varepsilon \forall n \geq N \\
& n \geq N \Rightarrow f_{n}(y)<f(y)+\varepsilon \leq f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon \\
& \quad \Rightarrow f_{n}(y)<f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon \forall y \in[x, b] \\
& \Rightarrow\left(f_{n}\right)_{\odot}(x) \leq f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $f_{\odot}(x)-\varepsilon<\left(f_{n}\right)_{\odot}(x)$.
Hence $\left|\left(f_{n}\right)_{\odot}(x)-f_{\odot}(x)\right| \leq \mathcal{E} \forall n \geq N$ and for every $x \in[a, b]$.
Hence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{\odot} \rightarrow f_{\odot}$ uniformly on $[a, b]$.
Hence $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $\mathscr{B} \Rightarrow T f_{n} \rightarrow T f$ in $\mathscr{B}$.
$\Rightarrow T$ is continuous on $\mathscr{B}$.
4.11Proposition: (a) $T^{n} f=f_{\odot} \forall f \in \mathscr{B}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$
(b) For $f \in \mathscr{B}$, the cycle of $T$ is the set $\left\{f, f_{\odot}\right\}$.
4.12 Proposition: If $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and $f$ is monotonically decreasing then $f$ is a fixed point of $T$.
4.13 Remark: The set of all fixed points of $T$ is the set of all monotonically decreasing functions on $[a, b]$. Let $F$ denote the set of all fixed points of $T$ Then $F=\{f \in \mathscr{B} / T f=f\}=\{f \in \mathscr{B} / f$ is decreasing $\}$.
4.14 Proposition: The set $F$ of all fixed points of the rising sun operator $T$ is closed in $\mathscr{B}$.
4.15 Proposition: Fix $f \in F$. Let $F^{*}=\{f \in \mathscr{B} / T f=f\}$.

Then (a) $F^{*}$ is closed in $\mathscr{B}$.
(b) $\left(F^{*}, \leq\right)$ is a $\vee$-semilattice under the relation $\leq$ defined on $F^{*}$ by

$$
f \leq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in[a, b]
$$

4.16 Proposition: The operator $T$ is bounded. More precisely,
(i) $\|T f\| \leq\|f\| \forall f \in \mathscr{B} \quad$ and
(ii) $\|T\|=1$

## VI. Invariant Properties

5.1 Proposition: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f$ is usc at a point $x \in[a, b]$ then so is $T f=f_{\odot}$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and $x \in[a, b]$. Since $f$ is usc at $x \in[a, b]$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $f(t)-f(x)<\varepsilon \forall t \in(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap[a, b]=U$.
$\Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon \geq f(x)+\varepsilon>f(t) \forall t \in U$
$\Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f(t) \forall t \in U$
Suppose that $x<y$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(x) \geq f_{\odot}(y) \geq f(y) \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x<t$ and $y \in[t, b] \Rightarrow x<y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f(y) \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon \geq f_{\odot}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $t \leq x$. Then $y \in[t, b] \Rightarrow t \leq y \leq x$ or $x<y<b$.
If $t \leq y \leq x$ then $y \in U \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f(y) \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(t)$.
If $x<y \leq b$ then $f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(t)$. Hence $f_{\odot}(x)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(t) \forall t \in U$.

$$
\Rightarrow f_{\odot} \text { is } u s c \text { at } x .
$$

5.2 Proposition: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f$ is $l s c$ at a point $x \in[a, b]$ then so is $T f=f_{\odot}$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and $x \in[a, b]$. Since $f$ is $l s c$ at $x \in[a, b]$, there exists a $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $f(t)-f(x)>\frac{-\varepsilon}{2} \forall t \in\left(x-\delta_{1}, x+\delta_{1}\right) \cap[a, b]=U$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq f(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>f(x) \forall t \in U \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>f(x) \forall t \in U
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{\odot}(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ is not an upper bound of the set $\{f(y) / x \leq y \leq b\}$, there exists a point $y \in[x, b]$ such that $f(y)>f_{\odot}(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

If $y=x$ then $f_{\odot}(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>f(x)>f_{\odot}(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \forall t \in U$

$$
\Rightarrow f_{\odot}(t)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(x) \forall t \in U .
$$

$y \neq x$. If $x<z<y$ then $f_{\odot}(z) \geq f_{\odot}(y) \geq f(y)>f_{\odot}(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$$
\Rightarrow f_{\odot}(z)>f_{\odot}(x)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>f_{\odot}(x)-\varepsilon
$$

If $z \leq x$ then $f_{\odot}(z) \geq f_{\odot}(x)>f_{\odot}(x)-\varepsilon$.
Choose $\delta>0$ such that $a \leq x-\delta<x<x+\delta \leq y$.
Then $f_{\odot}(z)>f_{\odot}(x)-\mathcal{E} \forall z \in(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap[a, b]$. Hence $f_{\odot}$ is $l s c$ at $x$.
5.3 Corollary: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f$ is continuous at a point $x \in[a, b]$, then $T f=f_{\odot}$ is continuous at $x$.
5.4 Proposition: For any $f \in \mathscr{B}, T f=f_{\odot}$ is $l s q c$ at every $x \in(a, b]$.

Proof: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and $x \in(a, b]$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given and let $U$ be a neighborhood of $x$ in $[a, b]$. Then there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap[a, b] \subset U$. Choose $W=(x-\delta, x) \cap[a, b]$.
$W$ is a non-empty open subset of $U$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \in W \Rightarrow x-\delta<y<x \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(y) \geq f_{\odot}(x) \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(y)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(y) \geq f_{\odot}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f_{\odot}(y)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(x) \forall y \in W$.
Thus for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $x$ there exists a nonempty open set $W \subset U$ such that $f_{\odot}(y)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(x) \forall y \in W$.
5.5 Proposition: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $l s q c$ at the point $a$ then $T f=f_{\odot}$ is lsqc at $a$.
Proof: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $a$ in [a,b]. Since $f$ is $l s q c$ at $a$, there exists a non-empty open set $W \subset U$ such that $f(t)-f(x)>-\mathcal{E} \quad \forall t \in W$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(t) \geq f(t)>f(a)-\varepsilon \forall t \in W \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\odot}(t)>f(a)-\varepsilon \forall t \in W
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{\odot}(a)-\mathcal{E}$ is not an upper bound of $\{f(y) / a \leq y \leq b\}$, there exists a point $y \in[a, b]$ such that $f_{\odot}(a)-\varepsilon<f(y)$.

If $y=a$ then $f_{\odot}(t)+\varepsilon>f(a)>f_{\odot}(a)-\varepsilon \forall t \in W$.
Suppose that $y \neq a$.
Since $a \in U$ and $U$ is open in [a,b], there exists a $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $\left[a, a+\delta_{1}\right) \subset U$. Choose $\delta_{2}>0$ such that $a<a+\delta_{2}<y$.

Put $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and $W_{1}=(a, a+\delta)$. Clearly, $W_{1}$ is a non-empty open set such that $W_{1} \subset U$.

Then $z \in W_{1} \Rightarrow z<y$

$$
\Rightarrow f_{\odot}(z) \geq f_{\odot}(y) \geq f(y)>f_{\odot}(a)-\varepsilon
$$

Hence $f_{\odot}(z)+\varepsilon>f_{\odot}(a) \forall z \in W_{1}$

$$
\Rightarrow f_{\odot} \text { is } l s q c \text { at } a
$$

5.6 Proposition: Let $f \in \mathscr{B}$. Then
(a) $f_{\odot}$ is $u s q c$ at every $x \in[a, b)$.
(b) If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is usqc at $b$ then so is $f_{\odot}$.
5.7 Proposition [5]: Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in[a, b]$. If $f(p+)$ exists then $f$ is cliquish at $p$.
5.8 Corollary: For any $f \in \mathscr{B}, T f=f_{\odot}$ is cliquish on $[a, b]$.
5.9 Proposition: For any $f \in \mathscr{B}, T f=f_{\odot}$ is quasicontinuous on [ $a, b$ ].

## Vil. Variant Properties

6.1 Symmetric continuity: It is not necessary that the rising sun function of a symmetrically continuous function is symmetrically continuous. For example, define $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2} & \text { if } & x \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } & x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
f_{\odot}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2} & \text { if } & -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\
1 & \text { if } & 0<x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $f$ is symmetrically continuous on $[-1,1]$, but $f_{\odot}$ is not.
6.2 Semi-continuity: The semi-continuity of $f$ need not imply the semicontinuity of $f_{\odot}$ as is evident from the following example.

Define $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x=0 \\ (x+1) 2^{\frac{-2}{x}} & \text { if } 0<x \leq 1 \\ x+1 & \text { if }-1 \leq x<0\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
f_{\odot}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } & 0<x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $f$ is semi-continuous on $[-1,1]$. But $f_{\odot}$ is not semi-continuous at $x=0$.
6.3 Darboux continuity: It is not necessary that the rising sun function of a Darboux continuous function is Darboux continuous. The function $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in the above example is Dourbox continuous on $[-1,1]$ but its rising sun function is not Dourbox continuous.
6.4 Differentiability: The rising sun function of a differentiable function is not necessarily differentiable as can be observed from the following example.

Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}+\sqrt{\frac{2 x}{5}-x^{2}} & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{5} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{6 x}{5}-\frac{8}{25}-x^{2}} & \text { if } \frac{2}{5} \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5} \\ +\sqrt{\frac{9 x}{5}-\frac{4}{5}-x^{2}} & \text { if } \frac{4}{5} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Then $\quad f_{\odot}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{5} & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{5} \\ f(x) & \text { if } \frac{1}{5} \leq x \leq \frac{9}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \text { if } \frac{2}{5} \leq x \leq \frac{9}{10} \\ f(x) & \text { if } \frac{9}{10} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}$
Clearly $f$ is differentiable at $a=0.3732$, but $f_{\odot}$ is not differentiable at this point.
6.5 Pointwise Convergence: If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges pointwise to $f$ on $[a, b]$, it is not necessary that $\left\{T f_{n}\right\}$ converges to $T f$ as can be seen from the following example. Define $f_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x \in\left\{\frac{1}{n} / n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
0 & \text { if } & x \notin\left\{\frac{1}{n} / n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges pointwise to 0 .
But $\quad\left(f_{n}\right)_{\odot}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { if } & x=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } & 0<x \leq 1\end{array}\right.$ does not converge to 0 .
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#### Abstract
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## I. Introduction

In recent time, numerous researchers had used weibull distribution as an alternative to some distribution e.g Gamma and Log-normal distribution in reliability engineering and life testing. The weibull distribution is a well known common distribution and has been a powerful probability distribution in reliability analysis, while weighted distributions are used to adjust the probabilities of the events as observed and recorded. Mahdy applied Azzalini's method to the weibull distribution that produced a new class of weighted weibull distribution as $W W(\lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution with an additional parameter called "Sensitive Skewness Parameter" and the sensitive skewness parameter governs essentially the shape of the probability density function of the $W W(\lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution.

The probability density and the cumulative density function (pdf and cdf) of the new class of weighted weibull distribution by Mahdy (2013) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y|\{\lambda, \beta, \alpha\}|}(y)=\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}} \text {, for } \mathrm{y}>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{y|\{\lambda, \beta, \alpha\}|}(y)=\frac{\left[\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]}{\alpha^{\beta}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]

Figure 1 : The Probability Density Function of Weghted Weibull Distribution with a=1,

$$
\mathrm{b}=1, \lambda=0.5, \beta=3.5, \alpha=2
$$

Authors on exponenetiated-weighted weibull are very few. The aim of this article is to introduce and investigate this distribution on its statistical properties. The paper is divided as follows: In section 2, we present the proposed distribution exponentiatedweighted weibull distribution. Moments, and moment generating function is studied in section 3, section 4, discussed on the estimation of parameters mathematically and in section 5 we preset the real application to data set and section 6 concluded the research.

## iI. The Proposed Exponentiated-Weighted Weibull Distribution

Recently, many authors have studied the properties of exponentiated distributions. For instance, Gupta et al (2001) for exponential pareto, Nadarajah and Gupta (2007) for exponential gamma distribution, Mudholkar et al (1995) studied on exponentiated weibull distribution, Salem and Abo-Kasem (2011) based their research on estimation for the parameters of the exponentiated weibull distribution, Gupta and Kundu (2001) they put up a paper on exponentiated exponential etc. Azzalini (1985) first proposed a method of obtaining weighted and the method has been used extensively for several symmetric and non-symmetric distributions. Mahdy (2013) applied the method to study a new class of weighted weibull distribution with an additional parameter called "sensitive skewness parameter". More so, various extensions of weibull and exponential distribution have been proposed in literature. An extension of exponential distribution has been provided by Nadarajah and Kotz (2005) using the logit of Beta distribution and the logit of Beta distribution (the link function of the Beta generalized distribution) is introduced by Jones (2004). Since then extensive work has been done using the logit of beta distribution in literature. For instance, Gupta and Kundu (1999) proposed a generalized exponential distribution which provides an alternative to exponential and weibull distributions. Famoye et al (2005) also introduced the Beta-weibull distribution alongside its major properties and Cordeiro et al (2011) among others.

Now, letting y be a random variable form of the distribution with parameters and defined (1) and (2) using the logit of beta by Jones (2004), we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{E W W}^{(y)}=\frac{1}{B(a, b)}[F(y)]^{a-1}[1-F(y)]^{b-1} f(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $\mathrm{b}=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=a[F(y)]^{a-1} \quad f(y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting expressions (1) and (2) in (4) to obtain the probability density function of Exponenetiated-weighted weibull distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=a\left[\frac{\left[\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right]^{a-1} \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2 : The PDF of Exponentiated Weighted Weibull Distribution with values of the parameters $(a=1.5, b=1, c=\lambda=1.5, d=\alpha=3.5, e=\beta=2$. $)$ and is rightly skewed

Where $a>0, \lambda>0, \beta>0, \alpha>0$ and $y>0$ such that $Y \sim E W W(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$. Equation (5) is the pdf of Exponenetiated-weighted weibull distribution.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{set} u(x)=\frac{\left[\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]}{\alpha^{\beta}} \\
\frac{d u}{d y}=\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]\right. \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

substituting $d y$ into (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{E W W}^{(y)}=a\left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]^{a-1} d u\right.  \tag{7}\\
u=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, (5) can becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=a[U]^{a-1} \frac{d u}{d y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## a) Cumulative Density Function (cdf)

The probability density function (pdf) of $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ given in (7), then expression (7) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=P(Y \leq y)=\int_{0}^{y} f(u) d u \\
=\int_{0}^{y} a\left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]^{a-1} \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}} d u\right. \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
F_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=P(Y \leq y)=\int_{0}^{y} a[U]^{a-1} d u
$$

$=a \int_{0}^{y}[U]^{a-1} d u$ and the cdf is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{E W W}{ }^{(y)}=-(y)^{a} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) The Survival Rate Function

The survival rate function of the Exponentiated-weighted weibull distribution is given by $\quad S_{E W W}(y)=1-F_{E W W}(y)=1-\int_{0}^{y} f(u) d u$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=1-a \int_{0}^{y}[U]^{a-1} d u=1-\left[-(y)^{a}\right] \\
S_{E W W}(y)=1+(y)^{a} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

c) The Hazard Rate Function

The hazard rate function of a random variable y with the pdf and cdf is defined by

$$
h_{E W W}(y)=\frac{f_{E W W}(y)}{1-F_{E W W}(y)}
$$

Hence, the $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ with $f_{E W W}(y)$ and $F_{E W W}(y)$ respectively defined in (4) and (10), the hazard rate function can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a(u)^{a-1} u^{\prime}}{S_{E W W}(y)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where U is expression in (5)
To show that $\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \infty h_{E W W}(y)=0$ and $y \xrightarrow{\lim } 0 h_{E W W}(y)=0$, we have the following

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{y} \rightarrow} \infty h_{E W W}(y)=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \frac{a(u)^{a-1} u^{\prime}}{1-(1+y)^{a}}
$$

where, $u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right.$

$$
=y \rightarrow \infty \frac{a\left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]^{a-1} \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}}}{1-(1+x)^{a}}
$$

For simplification on the rigorous mathematics, we take the limit of the following:
When $y \rightarrow \infty=0$ and $y \rightarrow 0=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \infty & \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}
\end{aligned}=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \infty^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda \infty^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha \infty)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}
$$

$$
=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } 0 \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) 0^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda 0^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha 0)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}=0
$$

As $y \rightarrow \infty=0$ and $y \rightarrow 0=0$, expression (12) above tends to $\infty$ and 0 and equal to zero.

## d) Asymptotic Behaviours

Following the steps in hazard function above taken $\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \infty f_{E W W}(y)$ and $y \xrightarrow{\lim } 0 f_{E W W}(y)$ of the $E W W(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution is investigated as follows. Now from expression (5), we have

$$
\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty f_{E W W}(y)=a\left[\frac{\left[\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right]^{a-1} \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}
$$

taking the limit

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \infty^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda \infty \beta}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha \infty)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}} \\
=0 \\
=\mathrm{y} \xrightarrow{\lim } 0 \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) 0^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda 0^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha 0)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

From the above results as $y \rightarrow \infty=0$ and $y \rightarrow 0=0$, this shows that the distribution has at least a mode.

## iii. Moments and Moment Generating Function

Hosking (1990) described in their paper that when a random variable following a generalized beta generated distribution i.e $y \sim G B G(f, a, c)$ then $\mu_{r}^{\prime}=E\left[F^{-1} K^{\frac{1}{c}}\right]^{r}$ where $K \sim B(a, 1), c$ is a constant and $F^{-1}(y)$ is the inverse of CDF of the weighted weibull distribution, since $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution is a special form when $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{c}=1$.We then derive the moment generating function (mgf) of the proposed distribution $m(t)=$ $E\left(e^{t y}\right)$ and the general rth moment of a beta generated distribution is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{B(a, 1)} \int_{0}^{1}\left[F^{-1}(y)\right]^{r}[y]^{a-1} d u \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, using the taylor series expansion around the point $E\left(y_{f}\right)=\mu_{f}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\sum_{u=0}^{r}\binom{r}{k}\left[F^{-1}(\mu)\right]^{r-k}\left[F^{-1(1)}\left(\mu_{f}\right)\right]^{k} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{r}{i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cordeiro et al (2011) gave an alternative series expansion for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ in terms of $r(r, U)=E\left(U^{r} F(U)^{Y}\right)$ where k follows the parent distribution then for $\mathrm{u}=0,1, \ldots$

$$
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{B(a, 1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{b-1}{i} r(r, i-1)
$$

They further described another mgf of y for generated beta distribution as

Where,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(t)=\frac{1}{B(a, 1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \rho(t, i-1)  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \rho(t, r)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t y}[F(y)]^{m} f(y) d y
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{y}{ }^{(t)}=\frac{1}{B(a, 1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t y}[F(y)]^{(i+1)-1} f(y) d y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting both probability density and cumulative density function of the weighted weibull distribution into (16), we obtain

$$
M_{E W W(y)}{ }^{(t)}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \int \begin{gather*}
e^{t y}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]^{(i+1)-1}\right.  \tag{17}\\
\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}} d y
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (17) becomes the mgf of Exponentiated-weighted weibull distribution. Then, setting $\mathrm{a}=1$ and $\mathrm{i}=0$, the same expression (17) is reduced to becomes the parent distribution. To obtain the rth moment of $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$, the weighted weibull distribution by Mahdy (2013) and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{(y)}{ }^{(t)}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{t y} \frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}} d y \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{j}}{j!\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{j}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{j+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{j+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (17) can be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E W W(y)}{ }^{(t)}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{t^{j}}{j!\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{j}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{j+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{j+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rth moment of the $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution can also be written from equation (19) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=E\left(Y^{r}\right)=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{t^{r}}{r!\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{r}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, putting $\mathrm{a}=1$ in expression (20) leads to the rth moment of the weighted weibull model by Mahdy (2013) and is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=E\left(Y^{r}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{r}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\}  \tag{21}\\
\mu_{E W W(r)}^{\prime}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{r}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{r+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (22), it is easy to obtain the first and the second mean about the origin e.g when $\mathrm{r}=1$ and the second moment when $\mathrm{r}=2$, etc.
The first moment of $\operatorname{EWW}(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ is obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{E W W(1)}^{\prime}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second moment can also be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2}^{\prime}=V(a, 1, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)^{(y)}=V_{1}-V_{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=E(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)^{\left(Y^{2}\right)}=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{2+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\} \\
& V_{2}=E(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)^{(Y)}=\left(a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{a-1}{i} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\lambda^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(1-\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\right)^{-\left(\frac{1+\beta}{\beta}\right)}\right\}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, the standard deviation is given by

$$
S D_{E W W} \cdot(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)^{(Y)}=\sqrt{V_{1}-V_{2}}
$$

## IV. Estimation of Parameter

We show the maximum likelihood estimate (MLEs) of the parameter of $E W W(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ distribution mathematically following Cordeiro et al (2011) and Shittu and Adepoju (2013) studied on the log-likelihood function for $\omega=(a, c, \varphi)$, where $\varphi=(\lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ and setting $\omega$ to be a vector of parameter and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\omega)=n \log c-n \log [B(a, 1)]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [f(y ; \varphi)]+(a-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [F(y ; \varphi)] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Note that, } \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}=1(24) \text { becomes } \theta=(a, \varphi) \\
L(\omega)=-n \log (a, 1)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [f(y ; \varphi)]+(a-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [F(y ; \varphi)] \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(y ; \varphi)=\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}} \text { and } \\
F(y ; \varphi)=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$L_{E W W}{ }^{(\omega)}=-n \log (a, 1)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y}{ }^{\beta}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right]+(a-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\{(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]$

For determining the MLE of $a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha$, we take the partial derivative of the (27) with respect to ( $a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{E W W}^{(\omega)}}{\partial a}=-n \log (a, 1)+(a-1) \sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{1}{\alpha \beta}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right]\right. \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{L_{E W W}{ }^{(\omega)}}{\partial \lambda}=\sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\left.\frac{\partial \lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda}}\right]}{\frac{\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\alpha^{\beta}}}\right]+ \\
& (\mathrm{a}-1) \sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(\frac { 1 } { \alpha ^ { \beta } } \left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y \beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)_{-1}\right.\right.}{\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right.}\right)_{-1}}\right] \\
& \frac{L_{E W W}^{(\omega)}}{\partial \beta}=\sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\frac{\partial \lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{\left.-\lambda(\alpha y)^{\beta}\right)}\right.}{\left.\alpha^{\beta}{ }^{(\beta \beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)}\right)^{\beta}\right)}}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right]+ \\
& \text { (a-1) } \sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)}-1\right)\right.}{\left.\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)_{-1}}\right]}\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \frac{L_{E W W}{ }^{(\omega)}}{\partial \alpha}=\sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\left.\frac{\left.\partial \lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)}\right)^{\beta}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}{ }^{\frac{\left.\lambda \beta\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right) y^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda(\alpha y)}\right)^{\beta}\right)}{\alpha^{\beta}}}\right]}{\frac{1}{}}+\right. \\
& (\mathrm{a}-1) \sum_{y=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right\}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right.}\right)_{-1)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha \beta}\left\{\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)\left(1-e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}}\right)+e^{-\lambda y^{\beta}\left(1+\alpha^{\beta}\right)_{-1}}\right.}\right] \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations derive above can also be solved using iteration method (Newton Raphson) to obtain the $\widehat{a}, \widehat{\lambda}, \widehat{\beta}, \hat{\alpha}$ the MLE of $(a, \lambda, \beta, \alpha)$ respectively.

Taking second derivatives of the said equations $28,29,30$ and 31 with respect to the parameters above, it is possible to derive the interval estimate and hypothesis tests on the model parameter. This may be shown in further research.

## V. Real Data Set

The data used in this section was studied by Lemonte in his BJPS Accepted Manuscript on the remission times (in months) of a random sample of 128 bladder cancer patients reported in Lee and Wang (2003) to compare and contrast the Exponentiated Weighted Weibull and Weighted Weibull distribution.
$R$ (code) software is used to determine the maximum likelihood estimates and the log-likelihood for the Exponentiated Weighted Weibull distribution are: $\hat{a}=$ 8.95941, $\hat{\lambda}=8.17089, \hat{\beta}=8.36111, \hat{\alpha}=7.91621$ and $\log _{E W W}=448.5781$ while the maximum likelihood estimates and the log-likelihood for the Weighted Weibull distribution are: $\hat{\lambda}=7.90434, \hat{\beta}=8.44282, \hat{\alpha}=8.70033$ and $\log _{W W}=448.4913$, where $l g_{L W W}$ and $l g_{W W}$ denote log-likelihood of both Exponentiated Weighted Weibull distribution and Weighted Weibull distribution.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0.802169648 & -0.02880174 & 0.002221849 & -0.02674447 \\
-0.028801742 & 0.65433442 & -0.025345533 & -0.02069611 \\
0.002221849 & -0.02534553 & 0.705642669 & -0.02353514 \\
-0.026744474 & -0.02069611 & -0.023535137 & 0.60907454
\end{array}\right)
$$

## VI. Conclusion

We investigated on the statistical properties of the proposed distribution e.g moments, moment generating function, estimation of parameters using R (Code) software for data analysis presented in this article. We also upgraded with an additional parameter to the existing three parameters in the weighted weibull distribution and the results from the estimated parameters show that the Exponentiated Weighted Weibull distribution has a better representation of data than weighted weibull distribution.
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## I. Introduction

## Generalized Gaussian Hypergeometric function of one variable is defined by

$$
{ }_{A} F_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{A} & ; &  \tag{1}\\
b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B} & ; & z
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{k}\left(a_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(a_{A}\right)_{k} z^{k}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{k}\left(b_{2}\right)_{k} \cdots\left(b_{B}\right)_{k} k!}
$$

where the parameters $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{B}$ are neither zero nor negative integers and $A, B$ are nonnegative integers and $|z|=1$

## Contiguous Relation is defined by

[ Andrews p.363(9.16), E. D. p.51(10)]

$$
(a-b){ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a, & b ; & z  \tag{2}\\
c & ; & z
\end{array}\right]=a_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a+1, & b ; & z \\
c & ; & \left.z-b_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b+1 ; & z \\
c ; &
\end{array}\right] . \begin{array}{ll}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Gauss second summation theorem is defined by [Prudnikov., 491(7.3.7.5)]

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a, b ; & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+1}{2} ; & \frac{2}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}  \tag{3}\\
\quad=\frac{2^{(b-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

In a monograph of Prudnikov et al., a summation theorem is given in the form [Prudnikov., p.491(7.3.7.8)]

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b  \tag{5}\\
\frac{a+b-1}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\sqrt{\pi}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}+\frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}\right]
$$

[^2]Now using Legendre's duplication formula and Recurrence relation for Gamma function, the above theorem can be written in the form

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a, b & ; & 1  \tag{6}\\
\frac{a+b-1}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{2^{(b-1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(b)}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right)}+\frac{2^{(a-b+1)} \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}{\{\Gamma(a)\}^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)}\right]
$$

## Recurrence relation is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\zeta+1)=\zeta \Gamma(\zeta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { II. Main Summation Formula } \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b ; & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+45}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]= \\
& =\frac{2^{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+45}{2}\right)}{(a-b) \Gamma(b)}\left[\frac { \Gamma ( \frac { b } { 2 } ) } { \Gamma ( \frac { a + 1 } { 2 } ) } \left\{\frac{1}{\left[\prod_{\zeta=1}^{21}\{a-b-(2 \zeta-1)\}\right]\left[\prod_{\eta=1}^{22}\{a-b+(2 \eta-1)\}\right]} \times\right.\right. \\
& \times(2097152 a(-13113070457687988603440625+32835960506324395192397625 a \\
& -33100902479081461753011150 a^{2}+18852771841456100048901630 a^{3} \\
& -6998152417792503243516261 a^{4}+1830981648088050432124941 a^{5} \\
& -354501246907809948405480 a^{6}+52462262753503539155240 a^{7}-6068045552614043865426 a^{8} \\
& +557078686778195952226 a^{9}-41014337504927410260 a^{10}+2436777594647459700 a^{11} \\
& -117140064945846306 a^{12}+4552422878866226 a^{13}-142380641710440 a^{14}+3551773672360 a^{15} \\
& -69638530941 a^{16}+1048818981 a^{17}-11702670 a^{18}+91070 a^{19}-441 a^{20}+a^{21} \\
& +53745962882620608893167875 b-45053743668222224004344100 a b \\
& +113826458136107026075141710 a^{2} b-32581896347358559999317420 a^{3} b \\
& +22213537883171068151439531 a^{4} b-3437881821120782582829456 a^{5} b \\
& +1069513403791665845357128 a^{6} b-102017962030642073210480 a^{7} b \\
& +17605238308074264053574 a^{8} b-1094032303287108057912 a^{9} b+114934366191849037236 a^{10} b \\
& -4742709531308534760 a^{11} b+317038686494337262 a^{12} b-8612153544899408 a^{13} b \\
& +370669544999304 a^{14} b-6357587526960 a^{15} b+172733256855 a^{16} b-1689533124 a^{17} b+27096622 a^{18} b \\
& -114380 a^{19} b+903 a^{20} b+3418740929846540363004450 b^{2}+152628207170808204647275770 a b^{2} \\
& -29662057538012999895338574 a^{2} b^{2}+73924679489058918046015938 a^{3} b^{2} \\
& -9095261732430392323657176 a^{4} b^{2}+6475045382105375335889480 a^{5} b^{2} \\
& -523071699408050859929016 a^{6} b^{2}+171242942351303632910536 a^{7} b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$-9336842670271654008484 a^{8} b^{2}+1689474770765990596076 a^{9} b^{2}-62588562419040481252 a^{10} b^{2}$ $+6843044908448608444 a^{11} b^{2}-169609751776172344 a^{12} b^{2}+11684556963374568 a^{13} b^{2}$ $-185095028637272 a^{14} b^{2}+8105951624872 a^{15} b^{2}-73945230446 a^{16} b^{2}+2006403306 a^{17} b^{2}$ $-7972286 a^{18} b^{2}+123410 a^{19} b^{2}+49302844553810665888575930 b^{3}+5196235439832751151572788 a b^{3}$ $+91735804724807821121609526 a^{2} b^{3}-6747725269089701729734368 a^{3} b^{3}$ $+16438427349229328982545896 a^{4} b^{3}-1038876114726270005445776 a^{5} b^{3}$ $+735240415476876038312632 a^{6} b^{3}-34241820697597088722848 a^{7} b^{3}$
$+11226186945118492939852 a^{8} b^{3}-371078402291905961224 a^{9} b^{3}+67240314521518855860 a^{10} b^{3}$ $-1526743180734652192 a^{11} b^{3}+166445736776215624 a^{12} b^{3}-2459287660143888 a^{13} b^{3}$ $+167607787407128 a^{14} b^{3}-1445744454752 a^{15} b^{3}+61843300298 a^{16} b^{3}-235148940 a^{17} b^{3}$ $+6096454 a^{18} b^{3}+6523406582853698567485227 b^{4}+43689757687398414790547553 a b^{4}$ $+1858191737565276546672360 a^{2} b^{4}+19566549200385431103972216 a^{3} b^{4}$ $-673581690360746582755756 a^{4} b^{4}+1596002262124887064872892 a^{5} b^{4}$ $-56864612882774626831720 a^{6} b^{4}+39096473046294723020936 a^{7} b^{4}-1099649665242617000798 a^{8} b^{4}$ $+352022359346404662630 a^{9} b^{4}-7157179769538448936 a^{10} b^{4}+1266877992724507592 a^{11} b^{4}$ $-17271058034689004 a^{12} b^{4}+1832663509135228 a^{13} b^{4}-14882731838680 a^{14} b^{4}+979484169144 a^{15} b^{4}$ $-3560764597 a^{16} b^{4}+145008513 a^{17} b^{4}+6412982275781442369534447 b^{5}$ $+3020391903873160874624880 a b^{5}+11072544506717425040943320 a^{2} b^{5}$ $+251409502832929940580848 a^{3} b^{5}+1850900598832213039588308 a^{4} b^{5}$ $-33362183528683696143184 a^{5} b^{5}+76635144078132798484872 a^{6} b^{5}-1614107103242780383952 a^{7} b^{5}$ $+1064600574662833613834 a^{8} b^{5}-18285405023328014000 a^{9} b^{5}+5631584078513951272 a^{10} b^{5}$ $-68669517587706672 a^{11} b^{5}+11692563911936180 a^{12} b^{5}-87796234586480 a^{13} b^{5}+8925930851320 a^{14} b^{5}$
$-30677356528 a^{15} b^{5}+1917334783 a^{16} b^{5}+604573745934401191005912 b^{6}$
$+2761535361221837965136664 a b^{6}+446409836411046907751368 a^{2} b^{6}$
$+1155941471891438860601896 a^{3} b^{6}+15624214876016679739352 a^{4} b^{6}$
$+87313680148565150111832 a^{5} b^{6}-874649607643712372472 a^{6} b^{6}+1943115716512939776808 a^{7} b^{6}$
$-24520882366446890424 a^{8} b^{6}+15396021766853800072 a^{9} b^{6}-157355447527654376 a^{10} b^{6}$ $+46179770718580408 a^{11} b^{6}-309271965286648 a^{12} b^{6}+50125620668424 a^{13} b^{6}-159286274280 a^{14} b^{6}$ $+15338678264 a^{15} b^{6}+231724339078175706150136 b^{7}+153811376527605888117264 a b^{7}$ $+374921775760059309890200 a^{2} b^{7}+28831347254232216398432 a^{3} b^{7}$ $+58118545317700335413400 a^{4} b^{7}+490468210861184892336 a^{5} b^{7}+2185851421255096595000 a^{6} b^{7}$ $-12438236313336433600 a^{7} b^{7}+26672527585599011688 a^{8} b^{7}-196990298922392976 a^{9} b^{7}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +117137843987075912 a^{10} b^{7}-652631982303392 a^{11} b^{7}+181277011099912 a^{12} b^{7}-512075874352 a^{13} b^{7} \\
& +78378960360 a^{14} b^{7}+15128932813380875312110 b^{8}+56881481026993911310578 a b^{8} \\
& +13039358045721374943420 a^{2} b^{8}+22139104917821671572676 a^{3} b^{8}+921471943096864139266 a^{4} b^{8} \\
& +1520878767836758616286 a^{5} b^{8}+8095043487442343432 a^{6} b^{8}+29720901376476436984 a^{7} b^{8} \\
& -94427080896983406 a^{8} b^{8}+195113449113625614 a^{9} b^{8}-774287072717444 a^{10} b^{8} \\
& +434411052282116 a^{11} b^{8}-1013931747010 a^{12} b^{8}+265182149218 a^{13} b^{8}+3024008960876320420246 b^{9} \\
& +2332408473401733698504 a b^{9}+4569477008635213654148 a^{2} b^{9}+492678254183696354488 a^{3} b^{9} \\
& +645945537218444676642 a^{4} b^{9}+15329149883995675728 a^{5} b^{9}+21357540300644860312 a^{6} b^{9} \\
& +69758491996612848 a^{7} b^{9}+215842089833789562 a^{8} b^{9}-353214178214040 a^{9} b^{9} \\
& +702101231167908 a^{10} b^{9}-1152680301864 a^{11} b^{9}+608359048206 a^{12} b^{9}+137104871154095942604 b^{10} \\
& +454222013907202843900 a b^{10}+120615054891875887772 a^{2} b^{10}+161949836634482706524 a^{3} b^{10} \\
& +9180121926490903960 a^{4} b^{10}+9807216639522886584 a^{5} b^{10}+132405723186144408 a^{6} b^{10} \\
& +158910354362756568 a^{7} b^{10}+291326223911580 a^{8} b^{10}+772341543530700 a^{9} b^{10} \\
& -503154100020 a^{10} b^{10}+960566918220 a^{11} b^{10}+16116280063842269532 b^{11} \\
& +13266524009934170456 a b^{11}+22468630494292536788 a^{2} b^{11}+2744654110430536928 a^{3} b^{11} \\
& +2812829209563463896 a^{4} b^{11}+85999358210064208 a^{5} b^{11}+77331167721172456 a^{6} b^{11} \\
& +552383916933472 a^{7} b^{11}+579276741224844 a^{8} b^{11}+457412818200 a^{9} b^{11}+1052049481860 a^{10} b^{11} \\
& +504464808447965118 b^{12}+1520074513892383306 a b^{12}+422390481555014024 a^{2} b^{12} \\
& +483431815242444952 a^{3} b^{12}+29546096072985492 a^{4} b^{12}+24458385708121820 a^{5} b^{12} \\
& +381004267695688 a^{6} b^{12}+294616546783832 a^{7} b^{12}+864510226398 a^{8} b^{12}+800472431850 a^{9} b^{12} \\
& +36842919196739110 b^{13}+30556991340393392 a b^{13}+46327319945209016 a^{2} b^{13} \\
& +5648934122340208 a^{3} b^{13}+4881179381635732 a^{4} b^{13}+144878605202576 a^{5} b^{13} \\
& +100261443987096 a^{6} b^{13}+623957998160 a^{7} b^{13}+421171648758 a^{8} b^{13}+777692306266456 b^{14} \\
& +2164283489573848 a b^{14}+578915870956584 a^{2} b^{14}+585771144020552 a^{3} b^{14}+32252520795880 a^{4} b^{14} \\
& +22313057280808 a^{5} b^{14}+256037937176 a^{6} b^{14}+151532656696 a^{7} b^{14}+35857948972408 b^{15} \\
& +28280942023504 a b^{1} 5+39037774410808 a^{2} b^{15}+4143128700320 a^{3} b^{15}+3132630060840 a^{4} b^{15} \\
& +63714509712 a^{5} b^{15}+36576848168 a^{6} b^{15}+484185248451 b^{16}+1250881854197 a b^{16} \\
& +288251667106 a^{2} b^{16}+262400960302 a^{3} b^{16}+9586673915 a^{4} b^{16}+5752004349 a^{5} b^{16}+13811946279 b^{17} \\
& +9489432124 a b^{17}+11986499486 a^{2} b^{17}+837826964 a^{3} b^{17}+563921995 a^{4} b^{17}+106564578 b^{18} \\
& +254376218 a b^{18}+39191490 a^{2} b^{18}+32224114 a^{3} b^{18}+1743994 b^{19}+839188 a b^{19}+962598 a^{2} b^{19} \\
& \left.\left.+5719 b^{20}+12341 a b^{20}+43 b^{21}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{\left[\prod_{\mu=1}^{22}\{a-b-(2 \mu-1)\}\right]\left[\prod_{\xi=1}^{21}\{a-b+(2 \xi-1)\}\right]} \times
\end{aligned}
$$

(2097152b (-13113070457687988603440625 + 53745962882620608893167875a $+3418740929846540363004450 a^{2}+49302844553810665888575930 a^{3}$ $+6523406582853698567485227 a^{4}+6412982275781442369534447 a^{5}+604573745934401191005912 a^{6}$ $+231724339078175706150136 a^{7}+15128932813380875312110 a^{8}+3024008960876320420246 a^{9}$ $+137104871154095942604 a^{10}+16116280063842269532 a^{11}+504464808447965118 a^{12}$ $+36842919196739110 a^{13}+777692306266456 a^{14}+35857948972408 a^{15}+484185248451 a^{16}$ $+13811946279 a^{17}+106564578 a^{18}+1743994 a^{19}+5719 a^{20}+43 a^{21}+32835960506324395192397625 b$
$-45053743668222224004344100 a b+152628207170808204647275770 a^{2} b$
$+5196235439832751151572788 a^{3} b+43689757687398414790547553 a^{4} b$ $+3020391903873160874624880 a^{5} b+2761535361221837965136664 a^{6} b$ $+153811376527605888117264 a^{7} b+56881481026993911310578 a^{8} b+2332408473401733698504 a^{9} b$
$+454222013907202843900 a^{10} b+13266524009934170456 a^{11} b+1520074513892383306 a^{12} b$ $+30556991340393392 a^{13} b+2164283489573848 a^{14} b+28280942023504 a^{15} b+1250881854197 a^{16} b$ $+9489432124 a^{17} b+254376218 a^{18} b+839188 a^{19} b+12341 a^{20} b-33100902479081461753011150 b^{2}$ $+113826458136107026075141710 a b^{2}-29662057538012999895338574 a^{2} b^{2}$ $+91735804724807821121609526 a^{3} b^{2}+1858191737565276546672360 a^{4} b^{2}$ $+11072544506717425040943320 a^{5} b^{2}+446409836411046907751368 a^{6} b^{2}$ $+374921775760059309890200 a^{7} b^{2}+13039358045721374943420 a^{8} b^{2}+4569477008635213654148 a^{9} b^{2}$
$+120615054891875887772 a^{10} b^{2}+22468630494292536788 a^{11} b^{2}+422390481555014024 a^{12} b^{2}$ $+46327319945209016 a^{13} b^{2}+578915870956584 a^{14} b^{2}+39037774410808 a^{15} b^{2}+288251667106 a^{16} b^{2}$
$+11986499486 a^{17} b^{2}+39191490 a^{18} b^{2}+962598 a^{19} b^{2}+18852771841456100048901630 b^{3}$
$-32581896347358559999317420 a b^{3}+73924679489058918046015938 a^{2} b^{3}$
$-6747725269089701729734368 a^{3} b^{3}+19566549200385431103972216 a^{4} b^{3}$
$+251409502832929940580848 a^{5} b^{3}+1155941471891438860601896 a^{6} b^{3}$
$+28831347254232216398432 a^{7} b^{3}+22139104917821671572676 a^{8} b^{3}+492678254183696354488 a^{9} b^{3}$
$+161949836634482706524 a^{10} b^{3}+2744654110430536928 a^{11} b^{3}+483431815242444952 a^{12} b^{3}$ $+5648934122340208 a^{13} b^{3}+585771144020552 a^{14} b^{3}+4143128700320 a^{15} b^{3}+262400960302 a^{16} b^{3}$
$+837826964 a^{17} b^{3}+32224114 a^{18} b^{3}-6998152417792503243516261 b^{4}$ $+22213537883171068151439531 a b^{4}-9095261732430392323657176 a^{2} b^{4}$ $+16438427349229328982545896 a^{3} b^{4}-673581690360746582755756 a^{4} b^{4}$ $+1850900598832213039588308 a^{5} b^{4}+15624214876016679739352 a^{6} b^{4}$ $+58118545317700335413400 a^{7} b^{4}+921471943096864139266 a^{8} b^{4}+645945537218444676642 a^{9} b^{4}$
$+9180121926490903960 a^{10} b^{4}+2812829209563463896 a^{11} b^{4}+29546096072985492 a^{12} b^{4}$ $+4881179381635732 a^{13} b^{4}+32252520795880 a^{14} b^{4}+3132630060840 a^{15} b^{4}+9586673915 a^{16} b^{4}$
$+563921995 a^{17} b^{4}+1830981648088050432124941 b^{5}-3437881821120782582829456 a b^{5}$ $+6475045382105375335889480 a^{2} b^{5}-1038876114726270005445776 a^{3} b^{5}$ $+1596002262124887064872892 a^{4} b^{5}-33362183528683696143184 a^{5} b^{5}$
$+87313680148565150111832 a^{6} b^{5}+490468210861184892336 a^{7} b^{5}+1520878767836758616286 a^{8} b^{5}$
$+15329149883995675728 a^{9} b^{5}+9807216639522886584 a^{10} b^{5}+85999358210064208 a^{11} b^{5}$ $+24458385708121820 a^{12} b^{5}+144878605202576 a^{13} b^{5}+22313057280808 a^{14} b^{5}+63714509712 a^{15} b^{5}$ $+5752004349 a^{16} b^{5}-354501246907809948405480 b^{6}+1069513403791665845357128 a b^{6}$ $-523071699408050859929016 a^{2} b^{6}+735240415476876038312632 a^{3} b^{6}$
$-56864612882774626831720 a^{4} b^{6}+76635144078132798484872 a^{5} b^{6}-874649607643712372472 a^{6} b^{6}$ $+2185851421255096595000 a^{7} b^{6}+8095043487442343432 a^{8} b^{6}+21357540300644860312 a^{9} b^{6}$
$+132405723186144408 a^{10} b^{6}+77331167721172456 a^{11} b^{6}+381004267695688 a^{12} b^{6}$ $+100261443987096 a^{13} b^{6}+256037937176 a^{14} b^{6}+36576848168 a^{15} b^{6}+52462262753503539155240 b^{7}$ $-102017962030642073210480 a b^{7}+171242942351303632910536 a^{2} b^{7}$ $-34241820697597088722848 a^{3} b^{7}+39096473046294723020936 a^{4} b^{7}-1614107103242780383952 a^{5} b^{7}$ $+1943115716512939776808 a^{6} b^{7}-12438236313336433600 a^{7} b^{7}+29720901376476436984 a^{8} b^{7}$
$+69758491996612848 a^{9} b^{7}+158910354362756568 a^{10} b^{7}+552383916933472 a^{11} b^{7}$ $+294616546783832 a^{12} b^{7}+623957998160 a^{13} b^{7}+151532656696 a^{14} b^{7}-6068045552614043865426 b^{8}$ $+17605238308074264053574 a b^{8}-9336842670271654008484 a^{2} b^{8}+11226186945118492939852 a^{3} b^{8}$ $-1099649665242617000798 a^{4} b^{8}+1064600574662833613834 a^{5} b^{8}-24520882366446890424 a^{6} b^{8}$ $+26672527585599011688 a^{7} b^{8}-94427080896983406 a^{8} b^{8}+215842089833789562 a^{9} b^{8}$ $+291326223911580 a^{10} b^{8}+579276741224844 a^{11} b^{8}+864510226398 a^{12} b^{8}+421171648758 a^{13} b^{8}$ $+557078686778195952226 b^{9}-1094032303287108057912 a b^{9}+1689474770765990596076 a^{2} b^{9}$ $-371078402291905961224 a^{3} b^{9}+352022359346404662630 a^{4} b^{9}-18285405023328014000 a^{5} b^{9}$
$+15396021766853800072 a^{6} b^{9}-196990298922392976 a^{7} b^{9}+195113449113625614 a^{8} b^{9}$ $-353214178214040 a^{9} b^{9}+772341543530700 a^{10} b^{9}+457412818200 a^{11} b^{9}+800472431850 a^{12} b^{9}$ $-41014337504927410260 b^{10}+114934366191849037236 a b^{10}-62588562419040481252 a^{2} b^{10}$ $+67240314521518855860 a^{3} b^{10}-7157179769538448936 a^{4} b^{10}+5631584078513951272 a^{5} b^{10}$ $-157355447527654376 a^{6} b^{10}+117137843987075912 a^{7} b^{10}-774287072717444 a^{8} b^{10}$ $+702101231167908 a^{9} b^{10}-503154100020 a^{1} 0 b^{10}+1052049481860 a^{11} b^{10}+2436777594647459700 b^{11}$ $-4742709531308534760 a b^{11}+6843044908448608444 a^{2} b^{11}-1526743180734652192 a^{3} b^{11}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +1266877992724507592 a^{4} b^{11}-68669517587706672 a^{5} b^{11}+46179770718580408 a^{6} b^{11} \\
& -652631982303392 a^{7} b^{11}+434411052282116 a^{8} b^{11}-1152680301864 a^{9} b^{11}+960566918220 a^{10} b^{11} \\
& -117140064945846306 b^{12}+317038686494337262 a b^{12}-169609751776172344 a^{2} b^{12} \\
& +166445736776215624 a^{3} b^{12}-17271058034689004 a^{4} b^{12}+11692563911936180 a^{5} b^{12} \\
& -309271965286648 a^{6} b^{12}+181277011099912 a^{7} b^{12}-1013931747010 a^{8} b^{12}+608359048206 a^{9} b^{12} \\
& +4552422878866226 b^{13}-8612153544899408 a b^{13}+11684556963374568 a^{2} b^{13} \\
& -2459287660143888 a^{3} b^{13}+1832663509135228 a^{4} b^{13}-87796234586480 a^{5} b^{13}+50125620668424 a^{6} b^{13} \\
& -512075874352 a^{7} b^{13}+265182149218 a^{8} b^{13}-142380641710440 b^{14}+370669544999304 a b^{14} \\
& -185095028637272 a^{2} b^{14}+167607787407128 a^{3} b^{14}-14882731838680 a^{4} b^{14}+8925930851320 a^{5} b^{14} \\
& -159286274280 a^{6} b^{14}+78378960360 a^{7} b^{14}+3551773672360 b^{15}-6357587526960 a b^{15} \\
& +8105951624872 a^{2} b^{15}-1445744454752 a^{3} b^{15}+979484169144 a^{4} b^{15}-30677356528 a^{5} b^{15} \\
& +15338678264 a^{6} b^{15}-69638530941 b^{16}+172733256855 a b^{16}-73945230446 a^{2} b^{16}+61843300298 a^{3} b^{16} \\
& -3560764597 a^{4} b^{16}+1917334783 a^{5} b^{16}+1048818981 b^{17}-1689533124 a b^{17}+2006403306 a^{2} b^{17} \\
& -235148940 a^{3} b^{17}+145008513 a^{4} b^{17}-11702670 b^{18}+27096622 a b^{18}-7972286 a^{2} b^{18}+6096454 a^{3} b^{18} \\
& \left.\left.\left.+91070 b^{19}-114380 a b^{19}+123410 a^{2} b^{19}-441 b^{20}+903 a b^{20}+b^{21}\right)\right)\right\}- \\
& -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{4194304}{\left[\prod_{\zeta=1}^{21}\{a-b-(2 \zeta-1)\}\right]\left[\prod_{\eta=1}^{22}\{a-b+(2 \eta-1)\}\right]}(13113070457687988603440625\right. \\
& +53745962882620608893167875 a-3418740929846540363004450 a^{2} \\
& +49302844553810665888575930 a^{3}-6523406582853698567485227 a^{4} \\
& +6412982275781442369534447 a^{5}-604573745934401191005912 a^{6}+231724339078175706150136 a^{7} \\
& -15128932813380875312110 a^{8}+3024008960876320420246 a^{9}-137104871154095942604 a^{10} \\
& +16116280063842269532 a^{11}-504464808447965118 a^{12}+36842919196739110 a^{13} \\
& -777692306266456 a^{14}+35857948972408 a^{15}-484185248451 a^{16}+13811946279 a^{17}-106564578 a^{18} \\
& +1743994 a^{19}-5719 a^{20}+43 a^{21}+32835960506324395192397625 b+45053743668222224004344100 a b \\
& +152628207170808204647275770 a^{2} b-5196235439832751151572788 a^{3} b \\
& +43689757687398414790547553 a^{4} b-3020391903873160874624880 a^{5} b \\
& +2761535361221837965136664 a^{6} b-153811376527605888117264 a^{7} b \\
& +56881481026993911310578 a^{8} b-2332408473401733698504 a^{9} b+454222013907202843900 a^{10} b \\
& +2164283489573848 a^{14} b-28280942023504 a^{15} b+1250881854197 a^{16} b-9489432124 a^{17} b \\
& +254376218 a^{18} b-839188 a^{19} b+12341 a^{20} b+33100902479081461753011150 b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+113826458136107026075141710 a b^{2}+29662057538012999895338574 a^{2} b^{2} \\
+ \\
+91735804724807821121609526 a^{3} b^{2}-1858191737565276546672360 a^{4} b^{2} \\
+11072544506717425040943320 a^{5} b^{2}-446409836411046907751368 a^{6} b^{2} \\
\\
+374921775760059309890200 a^{7} b^{2}-13039358045721374943420 a^{8} b^{2} \\
+4569477008635213654148 a^{9} b^{2}-120615054891875887772 a^{10} b^{2}+22468630494292536788 a^{11} b^{2} \\
-422390481555014024 a^{12} b^{2}+46327319945209016 a^{13} b^{2}-578915870956584 a^{14} b^{2} \\
+39037774410808 a^{15} b^{2}-288251667106 a^{16} b^{2}+11986499486 a^{17} b^{2}-39191490 a^{18} b^{2}+962598 a^{19} b^{2} \\
+ \\
+18852771841456100048901630 b^{3}+32581896347358559999317420 a b^{3} \\
+ \\
+73924679489058918046015938 a^{2} b^{3}+6747725269089701729734368 a^{3} b^{3} \\
+ \\
+19566549200385431103972216 a^{4} b^{3}-251409502832929940580848 a^{5} b^{3} \\
+ \\
+1155941471891438860601896 a^{6} b^{3}-28831347254232216398432 a^{7} b^{3} \\
+22139104917821671572676 a^{8} b^{3}-492678254183696354488 a^{9} b^{3}+161949836634482706524 a^{10} b^{3} \\
-2744654110430536928 a^{11} b^{3}+483431815242444952 a^{12} b^{3}-5648934122340208 a^{13} b^{3} \\
+585771144020552 a^{14} b^{3}-4143128700320 a^{15} b^{3}+262400960302 a^{16} b^{3}-837826964 a^{17} b^{3} \\
+32224114 a^{18} b^{3}+6998152417792503243516261 b^{4}+22213537883171068151439531 a b^{4} \\
\\
+9095261732430392323657176 a^{2} b^{4}+16438427349229328982545896 a^{3} b^{4} \\
\\
+673581690360746582755756 a^{4} b^{4}+1850900598832213039588308 a^{5} b^{4} \\
+77331167721172456 a^{11} b^{6}-381004267695688 a^{12} b^{6}+100261443987096 a^{13} b^{6}-256037937176 a^{14} b^{6}
\end{gathered}
$$


#### Abstract

$+36576848168 a^{1} 5 b^{6}+52462262753503539155240 b^{7}+102017962030642073210480 a b^{7}$ $+171242942351303632910536 a^{2} b^{7}+34241820697597088722848 a^{3} b^{7}$ $+39096473046294723020936 a^{4} b^{7}+1614107103242780383952 a^{5} b^{7}+1943115716512939776808 a^{6} b^{7}$ $+12438236313336433600 a^{7} b^{7}+29720901376476436984 a^{8} b^{7}-69758491996612848 a^{9} b^{7}$ $+158910354362756568 a^{10} b^{7}-552383916933472 a^{11} b^{7}+294616546783832 a^{12} b^{7}$ $-623957998160 a^{13} b^{7}+151532656696 a^{14} b^{7}+6068045552614043865426 b^{8}$ $+17605238308074264053574 a b^{8}+9336842670271654008484 a^{2} b^{8}+11226186945118492939852 a^{3} b^{8}$ $+1099649665242617000798 a^{4} b^{8}+1064600574662833613834 a^{5} b^{8}+24520882366446890424 a^{6} b^{8}$ $+26672527585599011688 a^{7} b^{8}+94427080896983406 a^{8} b^{8}+215842089833789562 a^{9} b^{8}$ $-291326223911580 a^{10} b^{8}+579276741224844 a^{11} b^{8}-864510226398 a^{12} b^{8}+421171648758 a^{13} b^{8}$ $+557078686778195952226 b^{9}+1094032303287108057912 a b^{9}+1689474770765990596076 a^{2} b^{9}$ $+371078402291905961224 a^{3} b^{9}+352022359346404662630 a^{4} b^{9}+18285405023328014000 a^{5} b^{9}$ $+15396021766853800072 a^{6} b^{9}+196990298922392976 a^{7} b^{9}+195113449113625614 a^{8} b^{9}$ $+353214178214040 a^{9} b^{9}+772341543530700 a^{10} b^{9}-457412818200 a^{11} b^{9}+800472431850 a^{12} b^{9}$ $+41014337504927410260 b^{10}+114934366191849037236 a b^{10}+62588562419040481252 a^{2} b^{10}$ $+67240314521518855860 a^{3} b^{10}+7157179769538448936 a^{4} b^{10}+5631584078513951272 a^{5} b^{10}$ $+157355447527654376 a^{6} b^{10}+117137843987075912 a^{7} b^{10}+774287072717444 a^{8} b^{10}$ $+702101231167908 a^{9} b^{10}+503154100020 a^{1} 0 b^{10}+1052049481860 a^{11} b^{10}+2436777594647459700 b^{11}$ $+4742709531308534760 a b^{11}+6843044908448608444 a^{2} b^{11}+1526743180734652192 a^{3} b^{11}$ $+1266877992724507592 a^{4} b^{11}+68669517587706672 a^{5} b^{11}+46179770718580408 a^{6} b^{11}$ $+652631982303392 a^{7} b^{11}+434411052282116 a^{8} b^{1} 1+1152680301864 a^{9} b^{11}+960566918220 a^{10} b^{11}$ $+117140064945846306 b^{12}+317038686494337262 a b^{12}+169609751776172344 a^{2} b^{12}$ $+166445736776215624 a^{3} b^{12}+17271058034689004 a^{4} b^{12}+11692563911936180 a^{5} b^{12}$ $+309271965286648 a^{6} b^{12}+181277011099912 a^{7} b^{12}+1013931747010 a^{8} b^{12}+608359048206 a^{9} b^{12}$ $+4552422878866226 b^{13}+8612153544899408 a b^{13}+11684556963374568 a^{2} b^{13}$ $+2459287660143888 a^{3} b^{13}+1832663509135228 a^{4} b^{13}+87796234586480 a^{5} b^{13}$ $+50125620668424 a^{6} b^{13}+512075874352 a^{7} b^{13}+265182149218 a^{8} b^{13}+142380641710440 b^{14}$ $+370669544999304 a b^{14}+185095028637272 a^{2} b^{14}+167607787407128 a^{3} b^{14}+14882731838680 a^{4} b^{14}$ $+8925930851320 a^{5} b^{14}+159286274280 a^{6} b^{14}+78378960360 a^{7} b^{14}+3551773672360 b^{15}$ $+6357587526960 a b^{15}+8105951624872 a^{2} b^{15}+1445744454752 a^{3} b^{15}+979484169144 a^{4} b^{15}$ $+30677356528 a^{5} b^{15}+15338678264 a^{6} b^{15}+69638530941 b^{16}+172733256855 a b^{16}$ $+73945230446 a^{2} b^{16}+61843300298 a^{3} b^{16}+3560764597 a^{4} b^{16}+1917334783 a^{5} b^{16}+1048818981 b^{17}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& +1689533124 a b^{17}+2006403306 a^{2} b^{17}+235148940 a^{3} b^{17}+145008513 a^{4} b^{17}+11702670 b^{18} \\
& +27096622 a b^{18}+7972286 a^{2} b^{18}+6096454 a^{3} b^{18}+91070 b^{19}+114380 a b^{19}+123410 a^{2} b^{19}+441 b^{20} \\
& \left.+903 a b^{20}+b^{21}\right)+\frac{4194304}{\left[\prod_{\mu=1}^{22}\{a-b-(2 \mu-1)\}\right]\left[\prod_{\xi=1}^{21}\{a-b+(2 \xi-1)\}\right]} \times \\
& \times(13113070457687988603440625+32835960506324395192397625 a \\
& +33100902479081461753011150 a^{2}+18852771841456100048901630 a^{3} \\
& +6998152417792503243516261 a^{4}+1830981648088050432124941 a^{5} \\
& +354501246907809948405480 a^{6}+52462262753503539155240 a^{7}+6068045552614043865426 a^{8} \\
& +557078686778195952226 a^{9}+41014337504927410260 a^{10}+2436777594647459700 a^{11} \\
& +117140064945846306 a^{12}+4552422878866226 a^{13}+142380641710440 a^{14}+3551773672360 a^{15} \\
& +69638530941 a^{16}+1048818981 a^{17}+11702670 a^{18}+91070 a^{19}+441 a^{20}+a^{21} \\
& +53745962882620608893167875 b+45053743668222224004344100 a b \\
& +113826458136107026075141710 a^{2} b+32581896347358559999317420 a^{3} b \\
& +22213537883171068151439531 a^{4} b+3437881821120782582829456 a^{5} b \\
& +1069513403791665845357128 a^{6} b+102017962030642073210480 a^{7} b \\
& +17605238308074264053574 a^{8} b+1094032303287108057912 a^{9} b+114934366191849037236 a^{10} b \\
& +4742709531308534760 a^{11} b+317038686494337262 a^{12} b+8612153544899408 a^{13} b \\
& +370669544999304 a^{14} b+6357587526960 a^{15} b+172733256855 a^{16} b+1689533124 a^{17} b \\
& +27096622 a^{18} b+114380 a^{19} b+903 a^{20} b-3418740929846540363004450 b^{2} \\
& +152628207170808204647275770 a b^{2}+29662057538012999895338574 a^{2} b^{2} \\
& +73924679489058918046015938 a^{3} b^{2}+9095261732430392323657176 a^{4} b^{2} \\
& +6475045382105375335889480 a^{5} b^{2}+523071699408050859929016 a^{6} b^{2} \\
& +171242942351303632910536 a^{7} b^{2}+9336842670271654008484 a^{8} b^{2} \\
& +1689474770765990596076 a^{9} b^{2}+62588562419040481252 a^{10} b^{2}+6843044908448608444 a^{11} b^{2} \\
& +169609751776172344 a^{12} b^{2}+11684556963374568 a^{13} b^{2}+185095028637272 a^{14} b^{2} \\
& +8105951624872 a^{15} b^{2}+73945230446 a^{16} b^{2}+2006403306 a^{17} b^{2}+7972286 a^{18} b^{2}+123410 a^{19} b^{2} \\
& +49302844553810665888575930 b^{3}-5196235439832751151572788 a b^{3} \\
& +91735804724807821121609526 a^{2} b^{3}+6747725269089701729734368 a^{3} b^{3} \\
& +16438427349229328982545896 a^{4} b^{3}+1038876114726270005445776 a^{5} b^{3} \\
& +735240415476876038312632 a^{6} b^{3}+34241820697597088722848 a^{7} b^{3} \\
& +11226186945118492939852 a^{8} b^{3}+371078402291905961224 a^{9} b^{3}+67240314521518855860 a^{10} b^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+1526743180734652192 a^{11} b^{3}+166445736776215624 a^{12} b^{3}+2459287660143888 a^{13} b^{3} \\
+167607787407128 a^{14} b^{3}+1445744454752 a^{15} b^{3}+61843300298 a^{16} b^{3}+235148940 a^{17} b^{3} \\
+6096454 a^{18} b^{3}-6523406582853698567485227 b^{4}+43689757687398414790547553 a b^{4} \\
-1858191737565276546672360 a^{2} b^{4}+19566549200385431103972216 a^{3} b^{4} \\
+673581690360746582755756 a^{4} b^{4}+1596002262124887064872892 a^{5} b^{4} \\
+56864612882774626831720 a^{6} b^{4}+39096473046294723020936 a^{7} b^{4} \\
+1099649665242617000798 a^{8} b^{4}+352022359346404662630 a^{9} b^{4}+7157179769538448936 a^{10} b^{4} \\
+1266877992724507592 a^{11} b^{4}+17271058034689004 a^{12} b^{4}+1832663509135228 a^{13} b^{4} \\
+14882731838680 a^{14} b^{4}+979484169144 a^{15} b^{4}+3560764597 a^{16} b^{4}+145008513 a^{17} b^{4} \\
+6412982275781442369534447 b^{5}-3020391903873160874624880 a b^{5} \\
+11072544506717425040943320 a^{2} b^{5}-251409502832929940580848 a^{3} b^{5} \\
+1850900598832213039588308 a^{4} b^{5}+33362183528683696143184 a^{5} b^{5} \\
+76635144078132798484872 a^{6} b^{5}+1614107103242780383952 a^{7} b^{5}+1064600574662833613834 a^{8} b^{5} \\
+18285405023328014000 a^{9} b^{5}+5631584078513951272 a^{10} b^{5}+68669517587706672 a^{11} b^{5} \\
+11692563911936180 a^{12} b^{5}+87796234586480 a^{13} b^{5}+8925930851320 a^{14} b^{5}+30677356528 a^{15} b^{5} \\
+1917334783 a^{16} b^{5}-604573745934401191005912 b^{6}+2761535361221837965136664 a b^{6} \\
-446409836411046907751368 a^{2} b^{6}+1155941471891438860601896 a^{3} b^{6} \\
+492578254183696354488 a^{3} b^{9}+645945537218444676642 a^{4} b^{9}-15329149883995675728 a^{5} b^{9}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+21357540300644860312 a^{6} b^{9}-69758491996612848 a^{7} b^{9}+215842089833789562 a^{8} b^{9} \\
+353214178214040 a^{9} b^{9}+702101231167908 a^{10} b^{9}+1152680301864 a^{11} b^{9}+608359048206 a^{12} b^{9} \\
-137104871154095942604 b^{10}+454222013907202843900 a b^{10}-120615054891875887772 a^{2} b^{10} \\
+161949836634482706524 a^{3} b^{10}-9180121926490903960 a^{4} b^{10}+9807216639522886584 a^{5} b^{10} \\
\quad-132405723186144408 a^{6} b^{10}+158910354362756568 a^{7} b^{10}-291326223911580 a^{8} b^{10} \\
+772341543530700 a^{9} b^{10}+503154100020 a^{1} 0 b^{10}+960566918220 a^{11} b^{10}+16116280063842269532 b^{11} \\
-13266524009934170456 a b^{11}+22468630494292536788 a^{2} b^{11}-2744654110430536928 a^{3} b^{11} \\
\quad+2812829209563463896 a^{4} b^{11}-85999358210064208 a^{5} b^{11}+77331167721172456 a^{6} b^{11} \\
-552383916933472 a^{7} b^{11}+579276741224844 a^{8} b^{11}-457412818200 a^{9} b^{11}+1052049481860 a^{1} 0 b^{11} \\
\quad-504464808447965118 b^{12}+1520074513892383306 a b^{12}-422390481555014024 a^{2} b^{12} \\
\quad+483431815242444952 a^{3} b^{12}-29546096072985492 a^{4} b^{12}+24458385708121820 a^{5} b^{12} \\
-381004267695688 a^{6} b^{12}+294616546783832 a^{7} b^{12}-864510226398 a^{8} b^{12}+800472431850 a^{9} b^{12} \\
\quad+36842919196739110 b^{13}-30556991340393392 a b^{13}+46327319945209016 a^{2} b^{13} \\
\quad-5648934122340208 a^{3} b^{13}+4881179381635732 a^{4} b^{13}-144878605202576 a^{5} b^{13} \\
+100261443987096 a^{6} b^{13}-623957998160 a^{7} b^{13}+421171648758 a^{8} b^{13}-777692306266456 b^{14} \\
\quad+2164283489573848 a b^{14}-578915870956584 a^{2} b^{14}+585771144020552 a^{3} b^{14} \\
-32252520795880 a^{4} b^{14}+22313057280808 a^{5} b^{14}-256037937176 a^{6} b^{14}+151532656696 a^{7} b^{14} \\
+35857948972408 b^{15}-28280942023504 a b^{15}+39037774410808 a^{2} b^{15}-4143128700320 a^{3} b^{15} \\
\quad+3132630060840 a^{4} b^{15}-63714509712 a^{5} b^{15}+36576848168 a^{6} b^{15}-484185248451 b^{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

## iII. Derivation of the Summation Formula

Substituting $c=\frac{a+b+45}{2}$ and $z=\frac{1}{2}$ in equation (2), we get

$$
(a-b){ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b ; & \frac{1}{a, b+45} ;
\end{array}\right]=a_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a+1, b ; & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+45}{2} ; & ;
\end{array}\right]-{ }_{2} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a, b+1 ; & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{a+b+45}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now involving the formula [Salahuddin et. al. p.12-41(8)], the summation formula is obtained.
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# Global Existence and Uniqueness of the Weak Solution in Keller Segel Model 

C. Messikh ${ }^{\alpha}$, A. Guesmia ${ }^{\circ}$ \& S. Saadi ${ }^{\rho}$

Abstract- This paper deals with the global existence, uniqueness and boundedness of the weak solution for the
chemotaxis system ( $\mathbf{( P )}$ defined as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
u_{t}-\Delta u+\operatorname{div}(u \nabla c)=0 & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega \\
-\Delta c+\tau c=0 & x \in \Omega \\
u=0, c=g & \Gamma \\
u(0, x)=u_{0} & x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

The system ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) is under homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in a convex smooth bounded domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma\left(\in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)\right.$ and $u_{0} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ Based on Galerkin's method, Lax-Milgran's Theorem and maximum principle, a prove of the existence and uniqueness of a global solution for the system ( $\mathbf{( P )}$ is determined. Moreover we show that the unique solution is positive.
Keywords and Phrases: chemotaxis, global existence, boundedness, positive solution.

## I. Introduction

Chemotaxis is an important means for cellular communication. It is the influence of chemical substances in the environment on the movement of mobile species. This can lead to strictly oriented movement or to partially oriented and partially tumbling movement. The movement towards a higher concentration of the chemical substance is called positive chemotaxis whereas the movement towards regions of lower chemical concentration is called negative chemotactical movement.

The classical chemotaxis model - the so-called Keller-Segel model - system defined in (0.1) was first introduced by Paltak [11] (1953), E. Keller and L. Segel [9] (1970)

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
u_{t}-\nabla(a \nabla u)+\nabla(\chi u \nabla c)=0 & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} & \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
\alpha c_{t}-\Delta c+\tau c+\beta u=0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{0.1}
\end{array}
$$

where $u(t, x)$ denotes the density of bacteria in the position $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and at time $t, c$ the concentration of chemical signal substance, $\alpha \geq 0$ the relaxation time, the parameter $\chi$ the sensitivity of cells to the chemoattractant and $a, \tau, \beta$ are given smooth functions. As it can be seen, when $\alpha \neq 0$ the model is

[^3]called Parabolic-Parabolic while it is an Elliptic-Parabolic model when $\alpha=0$. This modelling is very simple, it exhibits a profound mathematical structure and mostly only dimension 2 is understood, especially chemotactic collapse. The proposed model has been extensively studied in the last few years (see ([7]-[8],[12], [13]) for a recent survey articles).

The Parabolic-Parabolic model has been investigated by many authers (see for examples Refs [8] and [13]), I. Fatkullin [10] had developed numerical method ( a composite particle-grid ) with adaptive time stepping which allows us to resolve and propagates singular solutions when with Neumann boundary condition.

The Elliptic-Parabolic model has been investigated by many authers (see for examples Refs [3] and [4]). This model have been carried out where the main concern is whether the solution of model is bounded or blow-up. It has been proved that the solution strongly depends on the spatial dimension. It does not occur in one-dimensional problems, and it occurs conditionally in higher dimensional situations. More precisley, see [2] in case higher dimensions ( $n \geq 3$ ), if the norm of initial condition $u_{0}$ is small in space $L^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then there are global weak solutions and if $\left(\int x^{2} u_{0}\right)^{d-2} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{n}$ with $C$ is small , then there is blow up in a finite time $T^{*}$. But in two dimension, (see [5]), if $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$ $<\frac{8 \pi}{\chi}$, there are smooth solutions, and if $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}>\frac{8 \pi}{\chi}$, there is creation of a singular measure (blow-up) in finite time.

In this paper we demonstrate the global existence and uniqueness of weak positive solution for the elliptic-parabolic model's problem defined as

$$
(P)\left\{\begin{array}{cr}
u_{t}-\Delta u+\operatorname{div}(u \nabla c)=0 & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega \\
u=0 & \Gamma \\
\left.u(0, x)=u_{0}\right) & x \in \Omega \\
\left(P_{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\Delta c+\tau c=0 \\
c=g & x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right. & \Gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $u(t, x)$ is a function denotes the density of bacteria in the position $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \Omega$ is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary $\Gamma, c$ denotes the concentration of chemical signal that stimulates the bacteria. The parameter $\tau$ is a time constant and it is expressed on the one hand the movement of bacteria (representing a random distribution side and a deterministic drift in the direction of high concentrations) and secondly the diffusion degradation of c.

To simplify the solution of the system (P), a decomposition of (P) into two subsystems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ are adopted. Lax-Milgram's Theorem is very important theorem which we help us to demonstrate the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution for the system $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$. However this theorem can not be applied directly because it is a nonhomogenous system. For this raison an adoptation of Trace Theorem is used to simplify the system $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, and together with Galerking method we can demonstrate the existence and uniquness of a weak solution for the system $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$. Therefore we have the existence and uniquness for the problem (P). Moreover we show that the solution is positive. The following initial-boundary conditions on $u_{0}$ and $g$ assumptions are used to prove the proposed solution of (P)

| $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $g \in L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $g \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $u_{0} \geq 0$ and $g \geq 0$. |

If the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is satisfies and using the theorem of trace, one can find a lifting of this trace which we denote $R(g) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Thus by definition it verifies $\gamma_{0}(R(g))=g$. Now looking for $c$ having the form $c=\widetilde{c}+R(g)$ reduces the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ to $\widetilde{c}$.

$$
\left(\widetilde{P}_{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\Delta \widetilde{c}+\tau \widetilde{c}-\Delta R(g)+\tau R(g)=0 & \text { in } x \in \Omega \\
\widetilde{c}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 1 We say $(u, \widetilde{c}) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ is a weak solution of the problem $(P)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle u_{t}, v\right\rangle+B(u, v, t)=0  \tag{0.2}\\
a(\widetilde{c}, q, t)=l(q) \tag{0.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
B(u, v, t)=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla v+\nabla c \nabla u v+\tau c u v) d x \\
a(\widetilde{c}, q)=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla \widetilde{c} \nabla q+\tau \widetilde{c} q) d x \\
l(q)=-\int_{\Omega}(\nabla R(g) \nabla q+\tau R(g) q) d x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $(v, q) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}, 0 \leq t \leq T$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2 Note that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ as $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{t} \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$. Then equality (0.4) makes sense.

## iI. Existence of Weak Solution of the Problem (P)

In this section, use the Theorem of Lax- Milgran to study the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, which its variational formulat is given by equation (0.3) and use the method of Galerking to study the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$, which its variational formulat is given by equation (0.2). So we have the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of problem (P).

## a) Existence of weak solution of the problem (P2)

Theorem 3 If the hypothesis $H_{1}$ holds. Then the problem ( $P_{2}$ ) has only one solution $c \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ for any $q \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

By applying the Theorem of Lax-Milgran, the solution $\widetilde{c}$ of the problem (0.3) exists and it is unique. So $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ has unique solution.

Remark 4 Elliptic regularity Theorem remains valid provided that the boundary condition $g$ is in the space $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ which is the image by the operator trace space $H^{2}(\Omega)$.

Remark 5 [6] If $c \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ and ( $c$ is a solution of problem $\left(P_{2}\right)$ ) this implies that $c \in W^{1, q}(\Omega)\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1, q}(\Omega)\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq q \leq 2^{*}\right)$.

Using the Maximum Principle one can show that the solution of the problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ is positive as follows. Multiplying the first equation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ by $q \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we obtain other variational formulat for problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$

$$
\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_{3}\right) \int_{\Omega}(\nabla c \nabla q+\tau c q) d x=0
$$

Proposition 6 [1] If $g \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ and $c \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ then the problem $\left(\widetilde{P}_{3}\right)$ have a positive solution $c$.

Proof. As $\Gamma$ is smooth enough and $g \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma)$ then $c \in H^{2}(\Omega)$. And as $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, by embedding of Sobolev spaces $\left(H^{2}(\bar{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})\right)$ this implies that $c \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. If $c=g \geq 0$ on $\Gamma$, then $c^{-}=\min (c, 0) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} c c^{-} d x & =\int_{\Omega}\left(c^{-}\right)^{2} d x \\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla c \nabla c^{-} d x & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla c^{-}\right)^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the support of functions $c^{-}$and $c^{+}=\max (c, 0)$ is set $A(x)=\{x / u(x)=0\}$. This implies that $\nabla u=0$ on $A(x)$. As $c=c^{+}+c^{-}$, thus we have

$$
0=\int\left(\nabla c^{-}\right)^{2}+\tau\left(c^{-}\right)^{2} d x \geq \min (1, \tau)\left\|c^{-}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

Finally, we find $c^{-}=0$.

## b) Existence of a weak solution of the problem (P1)

Before proving the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$, we need the following lemma
Lemma 7 i) For all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ then $B(., ., t)$ is continuous in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, there exists a constant positive $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B(u, v, t)| \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) For any $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $H_{2}$ is hold. Then there exists a constant positive $\beta$ such that

$$
\beta\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq B(u, u, t)
$$

Proof. i) We use the Cauchy-Shwarz inequality and $c \in H^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for any $q \in\left[1, \frac{2 n}{n-2}[\right.$ with $n=2$ or $n=3$, we obtain i) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u, v, t) \leq & \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla c\|_{L^{4}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{4}(\Omega)} \\
& +\tau\|c\|_{L^{4}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{4}(\Omega)} \\
\leq & C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Making use of $-\Delta c+\tau c=0$ the expression of $B(u, u, t)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u, u, t) & =\int(\nabla u)^{2}+\frac{\nabla c}{2} \nabla u^{2}+\tau c u^{2} d x \\
& =\int(\nabla u)^{2}+\left(\tau c-\frac{\Delta c}{2}\right) u^{2} d x \\
& =\int(\nabla u)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau c u^{2} d x \geq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by Poincarre inequality yields

$$
B(u, u, t) \geq \beta\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} .
$$

To demonstrate the existence of weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ via the method of Galerking, we assume $w_{k}=w_{k}(x)$ are smooth functions verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text { is an orthogonal basis of } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text { is an orthonormal basis of } L^{2}(\Omega) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a positive integer $m$. We will look for a function $u_{m}:[0 T] \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t):=\sum_{k=1}^{m} d_{m}^{k}(t) w_{k} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{m}^{k}(0)=\left(u_{0}, w_{k}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}, w_{k}\right\rangle+B\left(u_{m}, w_{k}, t\right)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \text { and } \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{\prime}=u_{t}$ and here (.,.) denotes the scalar product in $L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 8 (construction of the approximate solution) For each integer m, there exists a unique function $u_{m}$ of the form (1.4) satisfying (1.5) and (1.6).

Proof. Assuming $u_{m}$ has the structure (1.4). Substituting (1.4) into (1.5) and using (1.3) we obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{m}^{\prime k}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} d_{m}^{l} B\left(w_{l}, w_{k}, t\right)=0 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad \text { and } k=1, \ldots, m \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to standard existence theory for ordinary differential equations, there exists a unique absolutely continuous functions $d_{m}(t)=\left(d_{m}^{1}, d_{m}^{2}, \ldots, d_{m}^{m}\right)$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.7). So $u_{m}$ of the form (1.4) satisfies (1.5) and (1.6) for all $t \in[0 T]$.

## c) Energy estimates

We propose now to send $m$ to infinity and show a subsequence of our solutions $u_{m}$ of the approximation problems (1.5) and (1.6) converges to a weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$. For this we will need some uniform estimates.

Theorem 9 (Energy estimates) [6]. There exists a constant C, depending only on $\Omega, T$ and $c$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m=1,2, \ldots$
Proof. 1. Multiplying equation (1.6) by $d_{m}^{k}(t)$, summing for $k=1, \ldots, m$, and then recalling (1.4) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{u}_{m}, u_{m}\right)+B\left(u_{m}, u_{m}, t\right)=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. From Lemma 7, there exists constant $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq B\left(u_{m}, u_{m}, t\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Consequently (1.10) yields the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)+\beta\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq 0 \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Integrate inequality (1.11) from 0 to $T$ and we employ the inequality (1.13) to find

$$
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}=\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} d t \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

3. Fix any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, with $\|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq 1$, and write $v=v^{1}+v^{2}$, where $v^{1} \in \operatorname{span}\left(w_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{k=m}$, and $\left(v^{2}, w_{k}\right)=0(k=1, \ldots, m)$. We use (1.6), we deduce for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ that

$$
\left(u_{m}^{\prime}, v^{1}\right)+B\left(u_{m}, v^{1}, t\right)=0
$$

Then (1.4) implies

$$
\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}, v\right\rangle=\left(u_{m}^{\prime}, v\right)=\left(u_{m}^{\prime}, v^{1}\right)=-B\left(u_{m}, v^{1}, t\right),
$$

consequently

$$
\left|\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}, v\right\rangle\right| \leq C\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

Since $\left\|v^{1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq 1$. Thus

$$
\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

and therefore
$\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}=\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} d t \leq C \int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} d t \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$.

## d) Existence and uniqueness

Next we pass to limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$, to build a weak solution of our initial boundary-value problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 10 (Existence of weak solution). Under hypothesis $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$, there exists a weak solution of $\left(P_{1}\right)$.
Proof. 1. According to the energy estimates (1.8), we see that the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in
$L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$. Consequently there exists a subsequence which is also noted by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and a function $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, with $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m} & \rightharpoonup & u \tag{1.14}
\end{array} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)
$$

2. Next fix an integer $N$ and choose a function $v \in C^{1}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} d^{k}(t) w_{k} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{d^{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ are given smooth functions. We choose $m \geq N$, multiply equation (1.6) by $d^{k}(t)$, sum for $k=1, \ldots, N$, and then integrate with respect to $t$ to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}, v\right\rangle+B\left(u_{m}, v, t\right) d t=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall (1.14) to find upon passing to weak limits that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle+B(u, v, t) d t=0 \quad \forall v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As functions of the form (1.15) are dense in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Hence in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle+B(u, v, t) d t=0 \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \text { and } \forall t \in[0 T], \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Remark 2 we have $u \in C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
3. In order to prove $u(0)=u_{0}$, we first note from (1.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}-\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+B(u, v, t) d t=(u(0), v(0)) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in C^{1}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with $v(T)=0$. Similary, from (1.16) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}-\left\langle u_{m}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+B\left(u_{m}, v, t\right) d t=\left(u_{m}(0), v(0)\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use again (1.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}-\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+B(u, v, t) d t=\left(u_{0}, v(0)\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $u_{m}(0) \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Comparing (1.19) and (1.21), we conclude $u(0)=$ $u_{0}$.

Theorem 11 (Uniqueness of weak solutions ) A weak solution of $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is unique. Proof. We suppose there exists two weak solution $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. We put

$$
U=u_{2}-u_{1}
$$

then $U$ is also a solution of $\left(P_{1}\right)$ with $U_{0}=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(0) \equiv 0$. Setting $v=U$ in identity (1.18) we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|U\|_{L^{2}(U)}^{2}\right)+B(U, U, t)=0
$$

From Lemma 7 we have $B(U, U, t) \geq \beta\|U\|_{H_{0}^{1}(U)}^{2} \geq 0$, so $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|U\|_{L^{2}(U)}^{2}\right) \leq 0$, then integrate with respect to $t$ to find

$$
\|U\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left\|U_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=0
$$

thus $U \equiv 0$.

## e) Global solution of problem (P)

Our main results in this paper are stated as follows.
Theorem 12 i) if $c \geq c_{0}>0$. Then la solution $(u, c)$ of problem ( $P$ ) is global
ii) if $c \geq c_{0}>0$. Then la solution $(u, c)$ of problem $(P)$ is global. Furthermore there exists $\tau_{0}>0$ such that $\|u\|_{L^{2}} \leq e^{-\tau_{0} t}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}$.

Proof. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\frac{d E}{d t}=-B(u, u, t) \leq 0
$$

therefore

$$
E(t) \leq E(0)
$$

ii) We have

$$
\frac{d E}{d t}=-B(u, u, b, t)=-\int\left((\nabla u)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau c u^{2}\right) d x \leq \frac{-1}{2} \tau c_{0}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=-\tau_{0} E(t)
$$

This implies that

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{-\tau_{0} t}
$$

Proposition $13[1]$ Let $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is the unique weak solution of $\left(P_{1}\right)$. If $u_{0} \geq 0$ in $\Omega$, then $u \geq 0$ in $] 0, T[\times \Omega$.
Proof. If $u_{0} \geq 0$ on $\Gamma$. Therefore $u^{-}=\min (u, 0) \in L^{2}(] 0, T\left[; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. A reasoning similar to the Proposition 6, we obtain for all $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} B\left(u^{-}, u^{-}, t\right) d x=0
$$

Using the Lemma 7 and integrating with respect to $\tau$ from 0 to $t$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2} d x+\beta \int_{0}^{t}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}(U)}^{2} d s \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{-}(0)\right)^{2} d x=0
$$

Since $u^{-}(0)=\left(u_{0}\right)^{-}=0$. So $u^{-}=0$.
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#### Abstract

Aim of the paper is to investigate effects of variable thermal conductivity on flow of a viscous incompressible fluid in variable free stream near a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet. The equations of continuity, momentum and energy are transformed into ordinary differential equations and solved numerically using Similarity transformation and Homotopy Perturbation Method. The velocity and temperature distributions are discussed numerically and presented through graphs. Skin-friction coefficient and the Nusselt number at the sheet are derived, discussed numerically and their numerical values for various values of physical parameter are presented through Tables. Keywords: homotopy perturbation method, similarity transformation method, steady, boundary layer, variable thermal conductivity, stretching sheet, skin-friction coefficient and nusselt number.


## I. Introduction

Study of heat transfer in boundary layer find applications in extrusion of plastic sheets, polymer, spinning of fibers, cooling of elastic sheets etc. The quality of final product depends on the rate of heat transfer and therefore cooling procedure has to be controlled effectively. Liquid metals have small Prandtl number of order 0.01~ 0.1(e.g. $\operatorname{Pr}=0.01$ is for Bismuth, $\operatorname{Pr}=0.023$ for Mercury etc.) and are generally used as coolants because of very large thermal conductivity.

Aim of the present paper is to investigate effects of variable thermal conductivity, heat source/sink and variable free stream on flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid and heat transfer on a non-conducting stretching sheet. Linear stretching of the sheet is considered because of its simplicity in modelling of the flow and heat transfer over stretching surface and further it permits the similarity solution, which are useful in understanding the interaction of flow field with temperature field. The heat source and sink is included in the work to understand the effect of internal heat generation and absorption [Chaim (1998)].

The Homotopy Perturbation Method is a combination of the classical perturbation technique and homotopy technique, which has eliminated the limitations of the traditional perturbation methods. This technique can have full advantage of the traditional perturbation techniques. J. H. He, Approximate analytical solution for seepage flow with fractional derivatives in porous media. J. H. He, A coupling method of homotopy technique and perturbation technique for nonlinear problems. To illustrate the basic idea of the Homotopy Perturbation Method for solving nonlinear differential equations, we consider the following nonlinear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{u})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]Subject to boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{u}, \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $A$ is a general differential operator, $B$ is a boundary operator, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ is a known analytic function, and $\Gamma$ is the boundary of the domain $\Omega$.

The operator $A$ can, generally speaking, be divided into two parts: a linear part $L$ and a nonlinear part $N$. Equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{u})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the homotopy technique, we construct a homotopy $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{p}): \Omega *(0,1) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{p})=(1-\mathrm{p})\left[\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)\right]+\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})]=0  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)+\mathrm{pL}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right)+\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})]=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $p \in[0,1]$ is an embedding parameter and $u_{0}$ is an initial approximation of which satisfies the boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(\mathrm{~V}, 0)=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~V}, 1)=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the changing process of $p$ from zero to unity is just that of $v(r, p)$ from $u_{0}(r)$ to $u(r)$. In Topology, this is called deformation and $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{u}_{0}\right), \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{v})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ are called homotopic. According to the HPM, we can first use the embedding parameter $p$ as a "small parameter," and assume that the solution of can be written as a power series in $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\quad V_{0}+p V_{1}+\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $p=1$ results in the approximate solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\lim V=V_{0}+V_{1}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{p} \rightarrow 1
\end{align*}
$$

The series is convergent for most cases; however, the convergent rate depends upon the Nonlinear operator $A(V)$. The second derivative of $N(V)$ with respect to $V$ must be small because the parameter may be relatively large; that is, $p \rightarrow 1$.

In this paper is to investigate effects of variable thermal conductivity on flow of a viscous incompressible fluid in variable free stream near a stagnation point on a nonconducting stretching sheet.

## iI. Formulation of the Problem

Consider steady two-dimensional flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid of variable thermal conductivity in the vicinity of a stagnation point on a non-conducting stretching sheet It is assumed that external field is zero, the electric field owing to polarization of charges and Hall Effect are neglected. Stretching sheet is placed in the plane $y=0$ and $x$-axis is taken along the sheet The fluid occupies the upper half plane i.e. $y>0$. The governing equations are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{u} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{v} \frac{\partial \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathrm{p}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{v} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{u}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
\rho C_{p}\left(u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(k^{*} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)+Q\left(T-T_{\infty}\right), \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$-perturbation parameter, $\eta$-similarity parameter $\left\{=(c / v)^{1 / 2} y\right\}, \eta_{\infty}$-value of $\eta$ at which boundary conditions is achived, $\kappa$-uniform thermal conductivity, $\kappa^{*}$-variable thermal conductivity, $v$-kinematic viscosity, $\rho$-density of fluid, $\psi$-stream function, $\sigma$-electrical conductivity, $\theta$-dimensionless temperature $\left\{=\left(T-T_{\infty}\right) /\left(T_{w}-T_{\infty}\right)\right\}, \tau_{w}$-shear stress, S-heat source/sink parameter $\left\{=Q / \rho C_{p} c\right\}$, T-fluid temperature.

The second derivatives of $u$ and $T$ with respect to $x$ have been eliminated on the basis of magnitude analysis considering that Reynolds number is high. Hence the Navier-Stokes equation modifies into Prandtl's boundary layer equation.
The boundary conditions are.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y=0: \quad u=u_{w}(x)=c \quad v=0, \quad T=T_{w}  \tag{12}\\
y \rightarrow \infty: \quad u=U(x)=b x, \quad T=T_{\infty}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Introducing the stream function $\psi(x, y)$ as defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the similarity variable $\eta=(c / v)^{1 / 2} y$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, y)=(c v)^{1 / 2} \quad x f(\eta) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the equations (3) and (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
(1+\varepsilon) \theta^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\operatorname{Pr} \theta^{\prime} f+\operatorname{Pr} S \theta=0 .
$$

The governing boundary layer and thermal boundary layer equations (15) and (16) with the boundary conditions (17) are solved using Homotopy Perturbation Method.

Equations (15) and (16) are non-linear coupled differential equation. To solve these equations, we introduce the following Homotopy.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(f, p)=(1-p)\left[\left(\frac{d^{3} f}{d \eta^{3}}\right)-\left(\frac{d^{3} f_{I}}{d \eta^{3}}\right)\right]+p\left[\frac{d^{3} f}{d \eta^{3}}+f \frac{d^{2} f}{d \eta^{2}}-\left(\frac{d f}{d n}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right]=0  \tag{16}\\
& \quad D(\theta, p)=(1-p)\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial \eta^{2}}+P_{r} S \theta\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{I}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+P_{r} S \theta_{I}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+P\left[(1+\varepsilon \theta) \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial n^{2}}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{d n}\right)^{2}+P_{r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} f+P_{r} S \theta=0\right. \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

With the following assumption

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=f_{0}+p f_{1}+p^{2} f_{2}+\cdots  \tag{18}\\
\theta=\theta_{0}+p \theta_{1}+p^{2} \theta_{2} \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using equation (18),(19) into equation (10) and (11) and on comparing the like powers of p , we get the zeoth order equation,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left(\frac{d^{3} f_{0}}{d \eta^{3}}\right)-\left(\frac{d^{3} f_{I}}{d \eta^{3}}\right)\right]+\left[\frac{d^{3} f_{0}}{d \eta^{3}}+f_{0} \frac{d^{2} f_{0}}{d \eta^{2}}-\left(\frac{d f_{0}}{d n}\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right]=0}  \tag{21}\\
{\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+P_{r} S \theta_{0}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{I}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+P_{r} S \theta_{I}\right)\right]+\left[\left(1+\varepsilon \theta_{0}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{0}}{\partial n^{2}}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{0}}{d n}\right)^{2}+P_{r} \frac{\partial \theta_{0}}{\partial n} f+P_{r} S \theta_{0}\right]} \\
=0 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the corresponding boundary conditions are of zeroth order equations are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta=0: \mathrm{f}_{0}=0, \mathrm{f}_{0}^{\prime}=1, \theta_{0}=1  \tag{22}\\
\eta=\infty: \quad \mathrm{f}_{0}^{\prime}=\lambda, \quad \theta_{0}=0  \tag{23}\\
\frac{d^{3} f_{1}}{d \eta^{3}}+\left(e^{-n}-\lambda e^{-\eta}\right)+\left(\lambda \eta-e^{-n}+\lambda e^{-n}-\lambda+1\right)\left(\lambda e^{-\eta}-e^{-\eta}\right)-\left(\lambda+e^{-n}-\lambda e^{-n}\right)(\lambda+ \\
\left.e^{-n}-\lambda e^{-n}\right)+\lambda^{2}=0  \tag{24}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{I}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+P_{r} S \theta_{I}+e^{-\eta}\left(1+\varepsilon e^{-\eta}\right)+\epsilon e^{-2 \eta}-P_{r} e^{-\eta}\left(\lambda \eta-e^{-\eta}+\lambda e^{-\eta}-\lambda+1\right)-P_{r} S e^{-\eta} \\
=0 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the corresponding boundary conditions are of first order equations are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\eta}=0: \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{0}}=0, \boldsymbol{f}_{0}^{\prime}=0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}=0  \tag{26}\\
\boldsymbol{\eta}=\infty: \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}=0, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}=0 ;
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Solving equations with corresponding boundary conditions, the following functions can be obtained successively, by summing up the results, and $p \rightarrow 1$ we write the $\mathrm{f}(\boldsymbol{\eta}), \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$, profile as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\eta)=\lambda \eta-e^{-\eta}+\lambda e^{-\eta}-\lambda+1+\left(\eta e^{-\eta}+4 e^{-\eta}+3 \eta-4\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\eta)=e^{-\eta}+\frac{A_{2} e^{-\eta}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{A_{3} e^{-2 \eta}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+4}+\frac{A_{4} e^{-\eta}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(\eta-\frac{\eta-2}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{2 A_{4}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{4}}+\frac{A_{3}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+4}+\frac{A_{2}}{\left(A_{1}\right)^{2}+1}\right) e^{-\eta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=\sqrt{P_{r} S}: A_{2}=P_{r} S+P_{r}-\lambda P_{r}-1: A_{3}=\lambda P_{r}-P_{r}-2: A_{4}=\lambda P_{r}$

Skin-Friction: Skin-friction coefficient at the sheet is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f}=x f^{\prime \prime}(0) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nusselt Number: The rate of heat transfer in terms of the Nusselt number at the sheet is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N u=-\theta^{\prime}(0) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. Conclusion

It is observed from Table 1 as L increases, the numerical values of $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ also increase. It is noted from Table 2 that the numerical values of $-\theta^{\prime}(0)$ increase when $\lambda$ increases and $-\theta$ ' $(0)$ decreases when $\varepsilon$ increases. The skin-friction coefficient and Nusselt number are presented by equations (30) and (31) and they are directly proportional to $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ and $-\theta^{\prime}(0)$ respectively. The effects of $\varepsilon, \operatorname{Pr}$ and $S$ on Nusselt number have been presented through Table 3 respectively.

Table 1

| $\lambda$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ | $\lambda$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -1 | 0.1 | -1.0800 |
| 0.01 | -1.0098 | 1.0 | 0.0004 |
| 0.05 | -1.0450 | 2.0 | 2.0175 |

Table 2

| $\lambda$ | $-\theta^{\prime}(0)$ | $\varepsilon$ | $-\theta^{\prime}(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | .81235 | 0.0 | 0.223558 |
| 0.5 | .13629 | 0.05 | 0.215792 |
| 2.0 | .24133 | 0.1 | 0.204672 |

Table 3

| $\varepsilon$ | S | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | Nu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.010357 |
| 0.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 3.542315 |
| 0 | -0.1 | 1 | 2.565423 |
| 0.1 | -0.1 | 1 | 2.845633 |
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From figure 1, we observe that as $\lambda$ increases, value of f' also increases. From figure 2 it is observed that when $\lambda$ increase simultaneously $\theta$ also increases. In figure3, $\lambda, \mathrm{S}$ and $\operatorname{Pr}$ are constant but when $\varepsilon$ increases $\theta$ will also increased.It is observed in figure $4, \mathrm{~s}, \varepsilon$ and $\lambda$ are constant, when $\operatorname{Pr}$ increases, $\theta$ will also increase. Figure 5 is a physical model which becomes clearer from figure, 6 and 7 .
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# Homeotopy Groups of 2—Dimensional Manifolds with One Boundary Component 

David J. Sprows

## I. Introduction

Let Y be a compact, connected 2-dimensional manifold with boundary. The homeotopy group of Y , denoted $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y})$, is defined to be the group of isotopy classes in the space of all homeomorphisms of Y onto Y. This group (also known as the mapping class group) has been studied for various manifolds (see, for example, [2] and [3]). It is also possible to consider "subhomeotopy groups" where there are restrictions placed on the action of the homeomorphisms on the boundary of Y (see, for example, [7] and [8]). In this note we will consider the special case of a compact, connected manifold with exactly on boundary component. For the remainder of this paper we will assume Y represents a compact, connected manifold with exactly one boundary component and we willet X denote the closed $2-$ manifold obtained by sewing a disk to the boundary of Y. Let Aut $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ denote the group of automorphisms of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ where $\mathrm{x}_{0} \varepsilon \mathrm{X}$ $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathrm{Y})$. In this paper we establish the following result.

Theorem. If Y is not aMoebius band or a disk, then $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y})=$ Aut $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$

## II. Proof of the Theorem

Let $\left[\left(X, x_{0}\right),\left(X, x_{0}\right)\right]$ denote the set of homotopy classes (rel $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) of maps from ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) to ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) and let [ f$]$ denote the homotopy class (rel $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) of a mapping f from ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) to ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ). Let End $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ denote the set of endomorphisms of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ with the operation of composition.

Lemma 1. If X is a closed 2-manifo1d with $\pi_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=0$ and $\phi:\left[\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow$ End $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ is given by $\phi([\mathrm{f}])=\mathrm{f}_{*}$, then $\phi$ is a bijection which preserves the operation of composition.
Proof of lemma 1.

1. Clearly $\phi$ is well defined and $\phi([f \circ g])=\phi([f]) \circ \phi([g])$
2. Claim $\phi$ is a surjection.

Let F in END $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$. We define $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ as follows:

Take $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ to be vertex of the triangulation of X and let T be a maximal tree in X . If $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{T}$, we let $f(x)=x_{0}$. Now, suppose $s$ is a $1 —$ simplex not in $T$ with $h:[0,1]=s$. Let $\Gamma_{i}$, be a path in $T$ from $x_{0}$ to $h(i), i=0$, Define the loop $\alpha_{s}$ at $x_{0}$ by letting $\alpha_{s}(t)=\Gamma_{0}(t)$ if $-1 \leq t \leq 0, \alpha_{s}(t)=h(t)$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and $\alpha_{s}(t)=\Gamma_{0}^{-1}(t)$ if $1 \leq t \leq 2$. If $F\left[\alpha_{s}\right]=[\beta]$, we let $f / s=\beta \circ h^{-1} s$. This defines $f$ on the 1 -skeleton of X .

Finally, if $\Delta$ is a 2 -simplex with edges s1, s2, and s3, then $\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{S} 1} * \alpha_{\mathrm{S} 2} * \alpha_{\mathrm{S} 3}\right]=1$. This means $\mathrm{f} / \delta \Delta$ is null homotopic, i.e., f extends to $\Delta$. Hence, the mapping of f defined on the 1 -skeleton as above, extends to a mapping defined on all of the 2-dimensional manifold $X$. Note that by construction, $f_{*}\left[\alpha_{s}\right]=F\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$ and since $\left\{\left[\alpha_{s}\right]\right.$ : S is a 1 -simplex of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}$ generates $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ we have $\mathrm{f}_{*}=\mathrm{F}$.

## iII. Claim $\phi$ is an Injection

Suppose $\mathrm{f}_{*}=\mathrm{g}_{*}$. As in Part 2), let T be a maximal tree. $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{T}$ is homotopic ( $\mathrm{rel} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) to a map which sends T to $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ (just use the retraction of T to $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ). Hence, by the homotopy extension property, $f$ is homotopic (rel $x_{0}$ ) to a map $f^{\prime}$ with $f^{\prime}(T)=x_{0}$. Therefore, we can assume $f(T)=g(T)=x_{0}$. In particular, for each 1 -simplex $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}$ are loops at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$. Since $\mathrm{f}_{*}=\mathrm{g}_{*}$ this means $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{rel}_{0}\right)$. Thus, for each 2simplex $\Delta$ we have a map $\mathrm{H}: \delta(\Delta \mathrm{xI}) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ where $\mathrm{H} / \Delta \mathrm{x} 0=\mathrm{f} / \Delta, \mathrm{H} / \Delta \mathrm{xl}=\mathrm{f} / \Delta$ and for each 1simplex s in $\delta \Delta, \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{SxI}$ is a homotopy from $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}$ to $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{s}$. Since $\pi 2\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=0$ and $\delta(\Delta \mathrm{xI})=\mathrm{S}^{2}$, this map $H$ can be extended to all of $\Delta x I$. Fitting together each of these H's we get a homotopy (rel $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) from f to g , i.e., $[\mathrm{f}]=[\mathrm{g}]$.

Lemma 2 : If X is a closed 2-manifold and $\mathrm{h}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ is a homeomorphism which is homotopic to the identity $\left(\mathrm{rel}_{\mathrm{x}}\right.$ ) then h is isotopic to the identity $\left(\mathrm{rel}_{\mathrm{x}}\right.$ ).

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 6.3 of [1].
Lemma 3. If X is a closed 2-manifold with $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$ and G is an automorphism of $\pi 1\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$, then there exists a homeomorphism $\mathrm{h}:\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)+\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ with $\mathrm{h}_{*}=\mathrm{G}$.

Proof. This result is proved in [4].
Let $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ denote the group of isotopy classes (rel $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) in the space of all homeomorphisms of ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) onto ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ).

By Lemma 2 the function from $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ to $\left[\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right.$ ] which sends the isotopy class (rel $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) of a homeomorphism to its homotopy class ( $\mathrm{rel} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ ) is an injection. By Lemma 1 the composition $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left[\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right),\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow$ End $\pi 1\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ is a monomorphism of the group $H\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ onto a subgroup of Aut $\pi 1\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. Finally Lemma 3 shows that this monomorphism is an isomorphism onto Aut $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$. Thus the proof of the theorem reduces to showing that $H(Y)$ is isomorphic to $H\left(X, x_{0}\right)$. This is done by the following lemma.

Lemma 4. If Y is a compact, connected 2-dimensional manifold with one boundary component and X is the closed $2-$ manifold obtained by sewing a disk to the boundary of $Y$ and $x_{0} \in X-B d(Y)$ then $H\left(X, x_{0}\right)=H(Y)$.

Proof. This result is a special case of Theorem 6 of [6].
Remark 3. If Y is a Moebius band or disk, then $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y})$ is not isomorphic to Aut $\pi 1(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} 0)$. In the case $Y$ is a disk, so that $X=S^{2}$, we have Aut $\pi 1\left(X, x_{0}\right)=1$ while $H(Y)=Z_{2}($ see Theorem 4.2 of [4]). In the case $Y$ is a Moebius band, so that $X=P^{2}$, we have Aut $\pi 1$, $\left(X, x_{0}\right)=1$ while $H(Y)=Z_{2}$ (see Theorem 8.1 of[4]).
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#### Abstract
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## I. Introduction

The literature on survey sampling describes a great variety of techniques for using auxiliary information in order to obtain improved estimators for estimating some most common population parameter such as population total, population mean, population proportion, population ratio etc. More often we are interested in the estimation of the mean of a certain characteristic of a finite population on the basis of a sample taken from the population following a specified sampling procedure.

Use of auxiliary information has shown its significance in improving the efficiency of estimators of unknown population parameters. Cochran (1940) used auxiliary information in the form of population mean of auxiliary variate at estimation stage for the estimation of population parameters when study and auxiliary variate are positively correlated. In case of negative correlation between study variate and auxiliary variate, Robson (1957) defined product estimator for the estimation of population mean which was revisited by Murthy (1967). Ratio estimator performs better than simple mean estimator in case of positive correlation between study variate and auxiliary variate.

[^5]For further discussion on ratio cum product estimator, the reader is referred to Singh (1967), Shah and Shah (1978), Singh and Tailor (2005), Tailor and Sharma (2009), Tailor and Sharma (2009), Sharma and Tailor (2010), Choudhury and Singh (2011) etc.

When the population mean $\bar{X}$ of the auxiliary variable $x$ is unknown before start of the survey, it is estimated from a preliminary large sample on which only the auxiliary characteristic $x$ is observed. The value of $X$ in the estimator is then replaced by its estimate. After then a smaller second-phase sample of the variate of interest (study variate) $y$ is then taken. This technique is known as double sampling or two-phase sampling. Neyman (1938) was the first to give the concept of double sampling in connection with collecting information on the strata sizes in a stratified sampling Consider a finite population $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)$ of size N units, $y$ and $x$ are the study and auxiliary variate respectively. When the population mean $\bar{X}$ of $x$ is not known, a first phase sample of size $n_{1}$ is drawn from the population on which only the $x$ characteristic is measured in order to furnish a good estimate of $\bar{X}$. After then a second-phase sample of size $n\left(n<n_{1}\right)$ is drawn on which both the variates $y$ and $x$ are measured.

The usual ratio and product estimators in double sampling are:

$$
\bar{Y}_{R}{ }^{d}=\bar{y} \frac{\overline{x_{1}}}{\bar{x}}
$$

and

$$
\bar{Y}_{P}{ }^{d}=\bar{y} \frac{\bar{z}}{\overline{z_{1}}}
$$

where $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ and $\bar{z}$ are the sample mean of $x, y$ and $z$ respectively based on the sample of size $n$ out of the population $N$ units and $\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}, \overline{x_{1}}=\frac{1}{n_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}$ and $\bar{z}_{1}=\frac{1}{n_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} z_{i}$ denote the sample mean of $x$ and $z$ based on the first- phase sample of the size $n_{1}$.

Singh (1967) improved the ratio and the product methods of estimation by studying the ratio cum product estimator for estimating $\bar{Y}$ as

$$
\bar{Y}_{R P}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{Z}}\right)
$$

Motivated by Singh (1967), Choudhury and Singh (2011) proposed a modified class of ratio cum product type of estimator for estimating population mean $\bar{Y}$ as

$$
\bar{Y}_{R P}^{(\alpha)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{Z}}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

Motivated by ?) and as an extension to the work of Choudhury and Singh (2011), we have developed an improved class of ratio-cum-product estimators in double sampling to estimate the population mean $\bar{Y}$ theoretically and studied the properties of the proposed estimator.

## II. The Proposed Estimator

The proposed improved class of ratio-cum-product estimators of population mean $\bar{Y}$ in two-phase sampling is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\overline{x_{1}}}{\bar{x}} \cdot \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z}_{1}}\right)^{\alpha} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a suitably chosen constant.
To obtain the bias and MSE of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ to the first degree of approximation, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}=(\bar{y}-\bar{Y}) / \bar{Y}, \quad e_{1}=(\bar{x}-\bar{Y}) / \bar{X}, \quad e_{2}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{X}\right) / \bar{X}, \quad e_{3}=(\bar{z}-\bar{Z}) / \bar{Z} \\
& e_{4}=\left(\overline{z_{1}}-\bar{Z}\right) / \bar{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressing $Y_{R P}^{\bar{w}(d)}$ in terms of $e$ 's and neglecting higher power of $e$ 's, we have
$Y_{R P}^{\bar{w}(d)}=\bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)\left\{\left(1+e_{2}\right)\left(1+e_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(1+e_{3}\right)\left(1+e_{4}\right)^{-1}\right\}^{\alpha}$.
Assuming the sample size to be large enough so that $\left|e_{1}\right|<1,\left|e_{4}\right|<1$ and expanding $\left(1+e_{1}\right)^{-1},\left(1+e_{4}\right)^{-1}$ in powers of $e_{1}, e_{4}$, multiplying out and neglecting higher powers of $e^{\prime} s$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{R P}^{\bar{w}(d)}= & \bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)\left[1-\left\{e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{1}^{2}-e_{4}^{2}+e_{1} e_{2}-e_{1} e_{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+e_{2} e_{4}+e_{1} e_{3}-e_{2} e_{3}+e_{3} e_{4}\right\}\right]^{\alpha} \\
\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}-\bar{Y}= & \bar{Y}
\end{align*} e_{0}-\alpha\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}-\frac{e_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{e_{2}^{2}}{2}+\frac{e_{3}^{2}}{2}-\frac{e_{4}^{2}}{2}+e_{0} e_{1}\right)
$$

The following two cases will be considered separately.
Case I: When the second phase sample of size $n$ is subsample of the first phase of size $n_{1}$.

Case II: when the second phase sample of size $n$ is drawn independently of the first phase sample of size $n_{1}$.

## CASE I

## iII. Bias, mse and Optimum Value of $\bar{Y} \underset{R P}{w d}$ in Case I

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3}\right)=E\left(e_{4}\right)=0 ; \\
& E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{X}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{3}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Z}^{2} ; \\
& E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) C_{X}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{4}^{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3} e_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) C_{Z}^{2} ; \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} ; \quad E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} ; \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{Y Z} C_{Y} C_{Z} ; \quad E\left(e_{0} e_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) \rho_{Y Z} C_{Y} C_{Z} ; \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{X Z} C_{X} C_{Z} ; \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right)=E\left(e_{2} e_{3}\right)=E\left(e_{2} e_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) \rho_{X Z} C_{X} C_{Z} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=\frac{n}{N}$ is the sampling fraction, $f^{*}=\frac{n_{1}}{N}, \quad C_{X}^{2}=\frac{S_{X}^{2}}{X^{2}}$,

$$
C_{Y}^{2}=\frac{S_{Y}^{2}}{Y^{2}}, \quad C_{Z}^{2}=\frac{S_{Z}^{2}}{Z^{2}} .
$$

Taking expectations on both the sides and using the results of (3) in (2), we get the bias of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I}= & \bar{Y}  \tag{4}\\
& \left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{2 n}\right)\left[\alpha^{2}\left\{C_{X}^{2}+C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}\right)\right\}+\alpha\left\{C_{X}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{Y X}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{Y Z}\right)\right\}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& =\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{2 n}\right)\left[\alpha^{2} K_{3}+\alpha\left(K_{1}-K_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{1}=\frac{n}{n_{1}}, \quad K_{Y X}=\rho_{Y X} \frac{C_{Y}}{C_{X}}, \quad K_{1}=C_{X}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{Y X}\right), \quad K_{2}=C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{Y Z}\right)$, $K_{3}=C_{X}^{2}+C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}\right)$.

Now from equation (2), we have

$$
\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}-\bar{Y}=\bar{Y}\left[e_{0}-\alpha\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}\right)\right]
$$

Squaring both the sides and taking expectations in the above equation and using the results of (3), we get the mean square error of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I} & =\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right)\left[\alpha^{2}\left\{C_{X}^{2}+C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}\right)\right\}-2 \alpha\left(C_{Y X}-C_{Y Z}\right)\right] \\
& =\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right)\left[\alpha^{2} K_{3}-2 \alpha S_{1}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{1}=C_{Y X}-C_{Y Z}, C_{Y Z}=\rho_{Y Z} C_{Y} C_{Z}, C_{Y X}=\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}$

Differentiating $M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)$ w.r.t $\alpha$ and equating to zero, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{S_{1}}{K_{3}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now putting the optimum value of $\alpha$ from (7) in the proposed estimator (1), we get the asymptotically optimum estimator(AOE) as

$$
\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I(o p t)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\overline{x_{1}}}{\bar{x}} \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z}_{1}}\right)^{I \alpha(o p t)} .
$$

Therefore, after putting the value of $\alpha$ in (4) and (6), we obtain the optimum bias and MSE of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ respectively as

$$
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{2 n}\right) \frac{S_{1}}{K_{3}}\left[K_{1}^{\prime}-K_{2}^{\prime}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{1}^{\prime}=C_{X}^{2}\left(1-K_{Y X}\right), \quad K_{2}^{\prime}=C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-K_{Y Z}\right) \\
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2}-\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right) \frac{S_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}} . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1 For $\alpha=1$, the estimator reduces to ratio cum product estimator in double sampling. The bias and MSE of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{(d)}$ are obtained by putting $\alpha=1$ in relation (4) and (6) as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{(d)}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right)\left[K_{1}^{\prime}-C_{X Z}+C_{Y Z}\right]  \tag{9}\\
K_{1}^{\prime}=C_{X}^{2}\left(1-K_{Y X}\right) \\
\text { and } \quad M S E\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{(d)}\right)_{I}=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) S_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right)\left(K_{1}+K_{4}\right) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
K_{4}=C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}+2 K_{Y Z}\right)
$$

Remark 2 For $\alpha=1$ and when the auxiliary variate $z$ is not used, i.e if $z$ is nonzero constant, the proposed estimator reduces to the usual ratio estimator in two phase sampling. The bias and mean square error of $\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}$ can be obtained by putting $\alpha=1$ and omitting the terms of $z$ in equation (4) and (6), respectively as

$$
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right) K_{1}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right) K_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3 For $\alpha=1$ and when the auxiliary variate $x$ is not used, i.e if $x$ is non-zero, the proposed estimator reduces to the usual product estimator in two phase sampling. The bias and mean square error of $\bar{Y}_{P}^{d}$ can be obtained by putting $\alpha=1$ and omitting

## $\mathrm{N}_{\text {otes }}$

 the terms of $x$ in equation (4) and (6), respectively as$$
\begin{gather*}
B\left(\bar{Y}_{P}^{d}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right) C_{Y Z} \\
\text { and } M\left(\bar{Y}_{P}^{d}\right)_{I}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right) K_{5} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $K_{5}=C_{X}^{2}\left(1+2 K_{Y X}\right)$.

## IV. Efficiency Comparisons

Compairison of the optimum proposed estimator $\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I \alpha(o p t)}$
a) with sample mean per unit estimator $\bar{y}$

The MSE of sample mean $\bar{y}$ under SRSWOR sampling scheme is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\bar{y})=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) S_{Y}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (13) and (8), we observed

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\bar{y})-M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I \alpha I(o p t)}=\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}}>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $K_{3}>0$ i.e $K_{X Z}<1 / 2$.
b) with ratio estimator in double sampling

From equation (11) and (8), we observed

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}\right)-M\left[\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right]_{I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right)\left(K_{1}+\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}}\right)>0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\quad K_{1}>0, K_{3}>0$ i.e. $K_{Y X}<1 / 2, K_{X Z}<1 / 2$.
c) with product estimator in double sampling

From (12) and (8), we observed

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{P}^{d}\right)-M S E\left[\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right]_{I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1-f_{1}}{n}\right)\left[K_{5}+\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}}\right]>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\quad K_{3}>0, K_{5}>0$ i.e. $K_{X Z}<1 / 2$.
d) with ratio cum product estimators in double sampling

From (10) and (8), we observed

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{d}\right)-M\left[\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right]_{I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[K_{1}+K_{5}+\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}}\right]>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\quad K_{1}>0, K_{3}>0, K_{5}>0$ i.e. $K_{Y X}<1 / 2, K_{X Z}<1 / 2, K_{X Z}-K_{Y Z}<1 / 2$.

Now we state the theorem

Theorem 4 To the first degree of approximation, the proposed class of estimators $\bar{Y}_{R d}^{w(d)}$ under the optimality (7) is consider to be more efficient than $\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}, \quad \bar{Y}_{P}^{d}, \quad \bar{Y}_{R P}^{d}$ and $\bar{y}$ under the given conditions $K_{1}, K_{3}, K_{4}$, and $K_{5}>0$, where $K_{1}=$ $C_{X}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{Y X}\right), \quad K_{3}=C_{X}^{2}+C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}\right), \quad K_{4}=C_{Z}^{2}\left(1-2 K_{X Z}+2 K_{Y Z}\right) \quad$ and $K_{5}=C_{X}^{2}\left(1+2 K_{Y X}\right)$.
V. Bias, mse and Optimum Value of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ in Case II

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3}\right)=E\left(e_{4}\right)=0 ; \\
& E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Y}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{X}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{3}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) C_{Z}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) C_{X}^{2} ; \quad E\left(e_{4}^{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3} e_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) C_{Z}^{2} ; \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} ; \quad E\left(e_{0} e_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{Y Z} C_{Y} C_{Z} \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f}{n}\right) \rho_{X Z} C_{X} C_{Z} ; \quad E\left(e_{2} e_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{1-f^{*}}{n}\right) \rho_{X Z} C_{X} C_{Z} ; \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{0} e_{4}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{1} e_{4}\right)=E\left(e_{3} e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3} e_{4}\right)=0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking expectations in (2) and using the results of (18), we get the bias of $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}\left[\alpha^{2} N_{1} K_{3}+\alpha\left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{X}^{2}-C_{Z}^{2}\right)-f^{\prime} S_{1}\right\}\right]
$$

where

$$
f^{\prime}=\frac{1-f}{n}, \quad f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1-f_{1}}{2 n}, \quad N_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n_{1}}-\frac{2}{N}\right) .
$$

Squaring and taking expectations in both the sides of (2) and using the results of (18), we obtain the MSE of $\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)$ to the first degree of approximation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2} f^{\prime} C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{Y}^{2} 2\left[\alpha^{2} N_{1} K_{3}-\alpha f^{\prime} S_{1}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimization of (19) is obtained with optimum value of $\alpha$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{f^{\prime} S_{1}}{2 N_{1} K_{3}}=\alpha_{I I(o p t)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $\alpha$ from (20) in (1) gives the AOE of (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right\}_{I I(o p t)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\overline{x_{1}}}{\bar{x}} \cdot \frac{\bar{z}}{\overline{z_{1}}}\right)^{\alpha_{I I(o p t)}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the resulting bias and MSE of (21) are, respectively given as

$$
B\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y} \frac{f^{\prime} S_{1}}{2 N_{1} K_{3}}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{1}-\frac{f^{\prime} S_{1}}{2 N_{1} K_{1}}\right]
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=C_{X}^{2}-C_{Z}^{2} \text { and }
$$

$$
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}=\bar{Y}^{2} f^{\prime} C_{Y}^{2}-\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{f^{\prime 2} S_{1}^{2}}{2 N_{1} K_{3}}
$$

For simplicity, we assume that the population size $N$ is large enough as compared to the sample sizes $n$ and $n_{1}$ so that the finite population correction (FPC) terms $1 / N$ and $2 / N$ are ignored.

Ignoring the FPC in (19), the MSE of $\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I}$ reduces to

$$
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\alpha^{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right) K_{3}-\alpha \frac{S_{1}}{n}\right]
$$

which is minimized for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{n_{1} S_{1}}{\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}}=\alpha_{I I(o p t)}^{*} \quad(\text { say }) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $\alpha$ from (22) in (1), we obtained AOE of (1) as

$$
\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)^{*}=\bar{Y}\left[\frac{x}{x_{1}} \cdot \frac{z_{1}}{z}\right]^{\alpha_{I I(o p t)}^{*}}
$$

Therefore, the resulting MSE of $\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}-\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{n_{1} S_{1}^{2}}{n\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5 For $\alpha=1$, the proposed estimator reduces to ratio cum product estimator in double sampling and MSE is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}+\bar{Y}^{2} 2\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right) K_{3}-\frac{S_{1}}{n}\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ignoring the FPC, the variance of $\bar{y}$ under SRSWOR is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(y)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the MSE of $\left(\bar{Y}_{R d}\right)_{I I}$ and $\left(\bar{Y}_{P d}\right)_{I I}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R d}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{X}^{2}\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{n_{1}}-\frac{2}{n} K_{Y X}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{Y}_{P d}\right)_{I I}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}+\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right) C_{Z}^{2}+\frac{2 C_{Y Z}}{n}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.

## VI. Efficiency Copmparisons

Compairison of the optimum proposed estimator $\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}$
a) with sample mean per unit estimator

From (25) and (23), we observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\bar{y})_{I I}-M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2} \frac{n_{1} S_{1}^{2}}{n\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}}>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
K_{3}>0 \text { i.e } K_{X Z}<1 / 2 .
$$

b) with ratio estimator in double sampling

From (26) and (23), we observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R d}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{n_{1} S_{1}^{2}}{n\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}}+C_{X}^{2} P\right]>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
K_{3}>0, P>0 \text { i.e } K_{X Z}<1 / 2, K_{Y X}<1-\frac{n}{2 n_{1}}
$$

where

$$
P=\frac{2}{n}\left(1-K_{Y X}\right)-\frac{1}{n_{1}} .
$$

c) with product estimator in double sampling

From (27) and (23), we observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\bar{Y}_{P d}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[Q-\frac{C_{Z}^{2}}{2 n_{1}}+\frac{n_{1} S_{1}^{2}}{n\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}}\right]>0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
K_{3}>0 \text { i.e } K_{X Z}<1 / 2, \text { where } Q=C_{X}^{2}+\frac{C_{Z}^{2}}{2}+3 C_{Y X} .
$$

d) with ratio cum product estimator in double sampling

From (24) and (23), we observed that

$$
M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P d}\right)_{I I}-M\left(\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}\right)_{I I \alpha(o p t)}^{*}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right) K_{3}-\frac{S_{1}}{2 n}+\frac{n_{1} S_{1}^{2}}{n\left(n+n_{1}\right) K_{3}}\right]>0
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}>0 \text { i.e } K_{X Z}<1 / 2 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VII. Conclusion

We have developed an efficient class of ratio-cum-product estimators in two phase sampling. The comparative study shows that the proposed estimator $\bar{Y}_{R P}^{w(d)}$ established their superiority over sample mean $\bar{Y}$, ratio estimator $\bar{Y}_{R}^{d}$, product estimator $\bar{Y}_{P}^{d}$ and ratio-cum-product estimator $\overline{Y_{R P}}$ in two-phase sampling under the given conditions. Hence from the resulting equation (14), (15), (16) and (17), we conclude that under the given conditions the proposed estimator is consider to be the best estimator.
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## Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the email address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

## Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

## Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art.A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:
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- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.
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The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

## Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication
Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: $>650 \mathrm{dpi}$.

Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.
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Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

## TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.
2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.
3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.
4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.
5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.
6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.
7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.
8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.
9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.
10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.
11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.
12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.
13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.
14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.
15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.
16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.
17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.
18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.
19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.
20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.
21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.
22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.
23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.
24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.
25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.
26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.
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27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.
28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.
29. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.
30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.
31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.
32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.
33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.
34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

## Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.


## Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

## General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

- Adhere to recommended page limits

Mistakes to evade

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

- Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)
- Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper
- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)
- Align the primary line of each section
- Present your points in sound order
- Use present tense to report well accepted
- Use past tense to describe specific results
- Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives
- Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.

## Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript-must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else


## Introduction:

The Introduction should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.
- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.


## Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

## Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.


## Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.


## Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form. What to stay away from
- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text

Discussion:
The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.


## Administration Rules Listed Before Submitting Your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.

- The major constraint is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.
- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.
- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).
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