

GLOBAL JOURNAL

OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C

Biological Sciences

Extract on Angiogenesis

Evaluation of Hygienic Status

Highlights

Different Critical Points

Diseases in Cross River State

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future

VOLUME 15

ISSUE 4

VERSION 1.0



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE

VOLUME 15 ISSUE 4 (VER. 1.0)

OPEN ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY

© Global Journal of Science
Frontier Research. 2015.

All rights reserved.

This is a special issue published in version 1.0
of "Global Journal of Science Frontier
Research." By Global Journals Inc.

All articles are open access articles distributed
under "Global Journal of Science Frontier
Research"

Reading License, which permits restricted use.
Entire contents are copyright by of "Global
Journal of Science Frontier Research" unless
otherwise noted on specific articles.

No part of this publication may be reproduced
or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic or mechanical, including
photocopy, recording, or any information
storage and retrieval system, without written
permission.

The opinions and statements made in this
book are those of the authors concerned.
Ultraculture has not verified and neither
confirms nor denies any of the foregoing and
no warranty or fitness is implied.

Engage with the contents herein at your own
risk.

The use of this journal, and the terms and
conditions for our providing information, is
governed by our Disclaimer, Terms and
Conditions and Privacy Policy given on our
website [http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/
menu-1463/](http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/menu-1463/)

By referring / using / reading / any type of
association / referencing this journal, this
signifies and you acknowledge that you have
read them and that you accept and will be
bound by the terms thereof.

All information, journals, this journal,
activities undertaken, materials, services and
our website, terms and conditions, privacy
policy, and this journal is subject to change
anytime without any prior notice.

Incorporation No.: 0423089
License No.: 42125/022010/1186
Registration No.: 430374
Import-Export Code: 1109007027
Employer Identification Number (EIN):
USA Tax ID: 98-0673427

Global Journals Inc.

(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)

Sponsors: *Open Association of Research Society*
Open Scientific Standards

Publisher's Headquarters office

Global Journals Headquarters
301st Edgewater Place Suite, 100 Edgewater Dr.-Pl,
Wakefield MASSACHUSETTS, Pin: 01880,
United States of America
USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392
USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392

Offset Typesetting

Global Journals Incorporated
2nd, Lansdowne, Lansdowne Rd., Croydon-Surrey,
Pin: CR9 2ER, United Kingdom

Packaging & Continental Dispatching

Global Journals
E-3130 Sudama Nagar, Near Gopur Square,
Indore, M.P., Pin:452009, India

Find a correspondence nodal officer near you

To find nodal officer of your country, please
email us at local@globaljournals.org

eContacts

Press Inquiries: press@globaljournals.org
Investor Inquiries: investors@globaljournals.org
Technical Support: technology@globaljournals.org
Media & Releases: media@globaljournals.org

Pricing (Including by Air Parcel Charges):

For Authors:

22 USD (B/W) & 50 USD (Color)
Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional):
200 USD (B/W) & 250 USD (Color)

INTEGRATED EDITORIAL BOARD
(COMPUTER SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, MEDICAL, MANAGEMENT, NATURAL
SCIENCE, SOCIAL SCIENCE)

John A. Hamilton, "Drew" Jr.,
Ph.D., Professor, Management
Computer Science and Software
Engineering
Director, Information Assurance
Laboratory
Auburn University

Dr. Henry Hexmoor
IEEE senior member since 2004
Ph.D. Computer Science, University at
Buffalo
Department of Computer Science
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Dr. Osman Balci, Professor
Department of Computer Science
Virginia Tech, Virginia University
Ph.D. and M.S. Syracuse University,
Syracuse, New York
M.S. and B.S. Bogazici University,
Istanbul, Turkey

Yogita Bajpai
M.Sc. (Computer Science), FICCT
U.S.A. Email:
yogita@computerresearch.org

Dr. T. David A. Forbes
Associate Professor and Range
Nutritionist
Ph.D. Edinburgh University - Animal
Nutrition
M.S. Aberdeen University - Animal
Nutrition
B.A. University of Dublin- Zoology

Dr. Wenying Feng
Professor, Department of Computing &
Information Systems
Department of Mathematics
Trent University, Peterborough,
ON Canada K9J 7B8

Dr. Thomas Wischgoll
Computer Science and Engineering,
Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio
B.S., M.S., Ph.D.
(University of Kaiserslautern)

Dr. Abdurrahman Arslanyilmaz
Computer Science & Information Systems
Department
Youngstown State University
Ph.D., Texas A&M University
University of Missouri, Columbia
Gazi University, Turkey

Dr. Xiaohong He
Professor of International Business
University of Quinnipiac
BS, Jilin Institute of Technology; MA, MS,
PhD,. (University of Texas-Dallas)

Burcin Becerik-Gerber
University of Southern California
Ph.D. in Civil Engineering
DDes from Harvard University
M.S. from University of California, Berkeley
& Istanbul University

Dr. Bart Lambrecht

Director of Research in Accounting and Finance
Professor of Finance
Lancaster University Management School
BA (Antwerp); MPhil, MA, PhD
(Cambridge)

Dr. Carlos García Pont

Associate Professor of Marketing
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Doctor of Philosophy (Management),
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
Master in Business Administration, IESE,
University of Navarra
Degree in Industrial Engineering,
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Dr. Fotini Labropulu

Mathematics - Luther College
University of Regina
Ph.D., M.Sc. in Mathematics
B.A. (Honors) in Mathematics
University of Windsor

Dr. Lynn Lim

Reader in Business and Marketing
Roehampton University, London
BCom, PGDip, MBA (Distinction), PhD,
FHEA

Dr. Mihaly Mezei

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department of Structural and Chemical
Biology, Mount Sinai School of Medical
Center
Ph.D., Eötvös Loránd University
Postdoctoral Training,
New York University

Dr. Söhnke M. Bartram

Department of Accounting and Finance
Lancaster University Management School
Ph.D. (WHU Koblenz)
MBA/BBA (University of Saarbrücken)

Dr. Miguel Angel Ariño

Professor of Decision Sciences
IESE Business School
Barcelona, Spain (Universidad de Navarra)
CEIBS (China Europe International Business School).
Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen
Ph.D. in Mathematics
University of Barcelona
BA in Mathematics (Licenciatura)
University of Barcelona

Philip G. Moscoso

Technology and Operations Management
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Ph.D in Industrial Engineering and
Management, ETH Zurich
M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, ETH Zurich

Dr. Sanjay Dixit, M.D.

Director, EP Laboratories, Philadelphia VA
Medical Center
Cardiovascular Medicine - Cardiac
Arrhythmia
Univ of Penn School of Medicine

Dr. Han-Xiang Deng

MD., Ph.D
Associate Professor and Research
Department Division of Neuromuscular
Medicine
Davee Department of Neurology and Clinical
Neuroscience
Northwestern University
Feinberg School of Medicine

Dr. Pina C. Sanelli

Associate Professor of Public Health
Weill Cornell Medical College
Associate Attending Radiologist
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital
MRI, MRA, CT, and CTA
Neuroradiology and Diagnostic
Radiology
M.D., State University of New York at
Buffalo, School of Medicine and
Biomedical Sciences

Dr. Roberto Sanchez

Associate Professor
Department of Structural and Chemical
Biology
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Ph.D., The Rockefeller University

Dr. Wen-Yih Sun

Professor of Earth and Atmospheric
SciencesPurdue University Director
National Center for Typhoon and
Flooding Research, Taiwan
University Chair Professor
Department of Atmospheric Sciences,
National Central University, Chung-Li,
TaiwanUniversity Chair Professor
Institute of Environmental Engineering,
National Chiao Tung University, Hsin-
chu, Taiwan.Ph.D., MS The University of
Chicago, Geophysical Sciences
BS National Taiwan University,
Atmospheric Sciences
Associate Professor of Radiology

Dr. Michael R. Rudnick

M.D., FACP
Associate Professor of Medicine
Chief, Renal Electrolyte and
Hypertension Division (PMC)
Penn Medicine, University of
Pennsylvania
Presbyterian Medical Center,
Philadelphia
Nephrology and Internal Medicine
Certified by the American Board of
Internal Medicine

Dr. Bassey Benjamin Esu

B.Sc. Marketing; MBA Marketing; Ph.D
Marketing
Lecturer, Department of Marketing,
University of Calabar
Tourism Consultant, Cross River State
Tourism Development Department
Co-ordinator , Sustainable Tourism
Initiative, Calabar, Nigeria

Dr. Aziz M. Barbar, Ph.D.

IEEE Senior Member
Chairperson, Department of Computer
Science
AUST - American University of Science &
Technology
Alfred Naccash Avenue – Ashrafieh

PRESIDENT EDITOR (HON.)

Dr. George Perry, (Neuroscientist)

Dean and Professor, College of Sciences

Denham Harman Research Award (American Aging Association)

ISI Highly Cited Researcher, Iberoamerican Molecular Biology Organization

AAAS Fellow, Correspondent Member of Spanish Royal Academy of Sciences

University of Texas at San Antonio

Postdoctoral Fellow (Department of Cell Biology)

Baylor College of Medicine

Houston, Texas, United States

CHIEF AUTHOR (HON.)

Dr. R.K. Dixit

M.Sc., Ph.D., FICCT

Chief Author, India

Email: authorind@computerresearch.org

DEAN & EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (HON.)

Vivek Dubey(HON.)

MS (Industrial Engineering),

MS (Mechanical Engineering)

University of Wisconsin, FICCT

Editor-in-Chief, USA

editorusa@computerresearch.org

Sangita Dixit

M.Sc., FICCT

Dean & Chancellor (Asia Pacific)

deanind@computerresearch.org

Suyash Dixit

(B.E., Computer Science Engineering), FICCTT

President, Web Administration and

Development , CEO at IOSRD

COO at GAOR & OSS

Er. Suyog Dixit

(M. Tech), BE (HONS. in CSE), FICCT

SAP Certified Consultant

CEO at IOSRD, GAOR & OSS

Technical Dean, Global Journals Inc. (US)

Website: www.suyogdixit.com

Email: suyog@suyogdixit.com

Pritesh Rajvaidya

(MS) Computer Science Department

California State University

BE (Computer Science), FICCT

Technical Dean, USA

Email: priteshr@computerresearch.org

Luis Galárraga

J!Research Project Leader

Saarbrücken, Germany

CONTENTS OF THE ISSUE

- i. Copyright Notice
 - ii. Editorial Board Members
 - iii. Chief Author and Dean
 - iv. Contents of the Issue
-
- 1. *Gmelina Arborea* Roxb: Associated Mycoflora and Diseases in Cross River State, Nigeria. **1-11**
 - 2. Influence Evaluation of *Ocimum Sanctum* Leaf Extract on Angiogenesis by using Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) Assay. **13-19**
 - 3. Evaluation of Hygienic Status and Marketing System of Raw Cow Milk in Different Critical Points of Oromia Special Zone. **21-30**
-
- v. Fellows and Auxiliary Memberships
 - vi. Process of Submission of Research Paper
 - vii. Preferred Author Guidelines
 - viii. Index



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE

Volume 15 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2015

Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Gmelina Arborea Roxb: Associated Mycoflora and Diseases in Cross River State, Nigeria

By Umana, E. J, Akwaji, P. I., Markson, A. A & Udo, S. E

University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

Abstract- *Gmelina arborea* Roxb is a major income earner for the Government and people of Cross River State, Nigeria. In spite of the vast economic potential of this plant in Nigeria, very little pathological research had been done on diseases afflicting this valuable species. In view of this, a survey on mycoflora and diseases associated with *G. arborea* was carried out in major plantations in Oban and Awi in Akamkpa Local Government Area and Ovonum in Obubra Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria. Twenty four plant stands were sampled in four sites per location. The plant stands were examined for various disease symptoms and the means of infection calculated in each location. Percentage frequencies (occurrence) were also determined using the means. Randomized Block Design (RBD) was used for the experiment. A total of twenty fungi were isolated (root, bark, leaf, seed and soil) while five fungal diseases were identified in the field. The fungal isolates were: *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Bouvardia sp*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Cercospora appi*, *Chalaropsis sp*, *Dacromyces deliquescens*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Geotrichum sp*, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii*, *Phoma herbarum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Thielaviopsis brasicae*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichosporon oedocephalus* and *Graphium penicilliodes*. The diseases were leaf spot, stem canker, die back, Butt and root rot and Damping off.

Keywords: *gmelina arborea roxb, mycoflora, diseases, cross river state, nigeria.*

GJSFR-C Classification : FOR Code: 270499



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



Gmelina Arborea Roxb: Associated Mycoflora and Diseases in Cross River State, Nigeria

Umana, E. J ^α, Akwaji, P. I. ^σ, Markson, A. A ^ρ & Udo, S. E ^ω

Abstract- *Gmelina arborea* Roxb is a major income earner for the Government and people of Cross River State, Nigeria. In spite of the vast economic potential of this plant in Nigeria, very little pathological research had been done on diseases afflicting this valuable species. In view of this, a survey on mycoflora and diseases associated with *G. arborea* was carried out in major plantations in Oban and Awi in Akamkpa Local Government Area and Ovonum in Obubra Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria. Twenty four plant stands were sampled in four sites per location. The plant stands were examined for various disease symptoms and the means of infection calculated in each location. Percentage frequencies (occurrence) were also determined using the means. Randomized Block Design (RBD) was used for the experiment. A total of twenty fungi were isolated (root, bark, leaf, seed and soil) while five fungal diseases were identified in the field. The fungal isolates were: *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Bovularia* sp, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Cercospora appi*, *Chalaropsis* sp, *Dacromyces deliquescens*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Geotrichum* sp, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii*, *Phoma herbarum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Thielaviopsis basicola*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichosporonoides oedocephalus* and *Graphium penicilliodes*. The diseases were leaf spot, stem canker, die back, Butt and root rot and Damping off. Of the twenty fungal isolates, *A. flavus* occurred in all parts of the plant and the soil while others occurred in four or three parts. Pathogenicity tests revealed that all the isolated fungi were pathogenic on *G. arborea*.

Keywords: *gmelina arborea roxb*, *mycoflora*, *diseases*, *cross river state*, *nigeria*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gmelina arborea Roxb (Family: Verbanaceae) is reported to be widely grown deciduous tree of moderate to large size with an arborescent habit hence the specific name “*arborea*” (Cromer *et al.*, 1993). It is a fast growing tree, which grows faster than some exotic species under the same conditions. It is medium-sized, reaching a height of about 30 – 40m, with a bole averaging 40cm in diameter but sometimes attaining 50cm. The leaves are more or less heart-shaped, 10 - 25cm × 5 - 18cm and globrous or velvety beneath, the corolla is bright yellow and the ovary glabrous (Anon, 2002). Drupes are ovate or pyriform, 2 - 2.5cm long, smooth, becoming orange-yellow, pulpy with large egg-shaped stone, having 1 - 4cells, seeds 1 - 4 (Duke,

2002). The drupes are reported to contain butyric acid, traces of tartaric acid resinous and saccharine matter. Resinous and saccharine matter and benzoic acid are also found in roots (Julian, 1982).

Gmelina arborea is native to tropical moist forest from India, Burma and Sri Lanka to Southern China. It is widely introduced in Brazil, Gambia, Honduras, Ivory Coast, Malaysia, Malawi and Sierra Leone (Duke, 2002). Ademiluyi and Okeke (1973) described *G. arborea* as one of the widely grown plantation species in Nigeria. Best development of *G. arborea* in Nigeria occurs where air temperature ranges from 18°C - 35°C, with distinct dry season, and relative humidity above 40%. The occurrence of these climatic features in West Coast of Africa accounts for the success of *G. arborea* in Nigeria, Cote d'Ivoire, Sierra Leone and Ghana (Chijoke, 1986, FAO, 1989). *G. arborea* can be propagated by seeds or cuttings (wildings and root cuttings) (Enemuoh, 1970).

Gmelina arborea Roxb is an economic tree with vast uses as timber and is a major source of raw material for the construction, instrument and paper industry (Duke, 2002). *G. arborea* timber is reasonably strong for its weight. It is used in constructions, furniture, carriages, sports, musical instruments and artificial limbs. Once seasoned, it is a very steady timber and moderately resistant to decay and ranges from very resistant to moderately resistant to termites. Its timber is highly esteemed for door and window panels, joinery and furniture especially for drawers, wardrobes, cupboards, kitchen and camp furniture, and musical instruments because of its light weight, stability and durability. It is also used for bentwood articles. In boat building it is used for decking and for oars. *G. arborea* is a popular timber for picture and slate frames, turnery articles and various types of brush backs, brush handles and toys also for handle of chisels, files, saws, screw drivers, sickles etc. The wood is also used for manufacturing tea chests and general purpose plywood, blackboards, frame core and cross bands of flush door shutters. In the instrument industry *G. arborea* timber is widely employed for the manufacture of drawing boards, plane tables, instrument boxes, thermometer scales and cheaper grade metric scales. It is also used in artificial limbs, carriages and bobbins. It is an approved timber for handles of tennis rackets, frames and reinforcements of carom boards and parking cases and crates. *G. arborea* is used in paper making and matchwood industry. *G. arborea* leaves are considered good for

Author ^α ^σ ^ρ: Department of Botany, University of Calabar, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. e-mail: akwajisnever@yahoo.com

Author ^ω: Department of Biological Sciences, Cross River University of Science and Technology, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria.

cattle (crude protein -11.9%). The root and bark of *G. arborea* are claimed to be stomachic, galactagogue laxative and anthelmintic, improve appetite, useful in hallucination, piles, and abdominal pains, burning sensations, fevers and urinary discharge. Leaf paste is applied to relieve headache and juice is used as wash for ulcers. Flowers are sweet, cooling, bitter, acrid and astringent. They are useful in leprosy and blood diseases (Agu *et al.*, 2002, Duke, 2002, Anon, 2002, Haygreen and Bonyer, 1982, Ogbonnaya *et al.*, 1992, Greaves, 1973).

The fungal pathogens which have been implicated in the pathogenesis of *G. arborea* include *Fomes lignosus* and *Heterobasidium annosum* that cause butt and root rot of this plant (Inyang, 1990). Disease caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* is more severe in moist climates. *Poria rhizomorpha* causes stem and root diseases in wet situations. Duke (2002) mentioned the following as the fungi affecting *Gmelina arborea*: *Armillaria mellea*, *Cercospora ranjita*, *Fomes roseus*, *Poliporus baudni*, *Poria rhizomorpha* and *sclerotinia rolfsii*. Most fungal attack occurs at the seedling stage, which is very delicate stage of growth of this plant. Julian (1982) reported that a whole bed of seedlings could be affected by fungal diseases. The types of fungal attack include damping-off, moulds and stem rot. Damping-off is common among seedlings in the tropics, the tissues rot near the collar causing death of the tree. The main symptom is toppling-over caused by fungi in the following genera: *Fusarium*, *Pythium*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Penicillium* and *Phytophthora*, causing about 50% seedling mortality rates if unchecked (Julian, 1982). Mould is a foliage disease affecting seedling of any size and is generally caused by species of *Botrytis*, *Penicillium* and other fungi. The attack of these diseases is aggravated by cooler and moister conditions. The fungal attacks are not only limited to the seedling stages, the bigger plant in the field can be affected. All parts of the plant can be affected by fungal diseases (Duke, 2002). In spite of the vast economic potential of

this plant in Nigeria, very little pathological research had been done on diseases afflicting this valuable species. In view of this, an extensive investigation on the mycoflora and diseases associated with *G. arborea* was carried out across major *G. arborea* plantations in Cross River State, Nigeria.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Sources of materials

The diseased plant parts comprising of the fruits, roots, stems and leaves were collected from different and widely spread locations in Cross River State, Nigeria namely Awi and Oban *Gmelina* plantations in Akamkpa Local Government Area and Ovorum in Obubra Local Government Area. Wildlings and seeds for planting (used for pathogenicity test) were collected from *G. arborea* plantation in Awi, Akamkpa L.G.A. Soils were also collected from the rhizosphere of the plantation from the three locations. The soils were put in polyethylene bags. Soil sample (sandy - loam) was also obtained from Ovorum in Obubra L.G.A, Cross River State, Nigeria for soil analysis. The Laboratory work was carried out in the Laboratory and Green house of the Department of Botany, University of Calabar, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria.

b) Survey of diseases of *G. arborea*

The study was conducted in three localities, Awi and Oban in Akamkpa L.G.A and Ovorum in Obubra L.G.A of Cross River State, Nigeria. Twenty four plant stands were sampled in four sites per location. The plant stands were examined for various disease symptoms and the means of infection (Disease incidence) calculated in each location, percentage infections were also determined using the means. The data collected were subjected to statistical analysis at $p < 0.05$. Randomized Block Design (RBD) was used for the experiment. Disease incidence was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Disease incidence (I)} = \frac{\text{Number of infected plant units} \times 100}{\text{Total number (healthy and infected of units assessed)}}$$

c) Isolation and identification of fungi associated with *G. arborea*

Isolations were made from diseased plant parts (leaf, stem, fruit, and root) and rhizosphere (soil). This was carried out using the method of Richter and Dallwitz (2000). Pieces of the diseased parts were cut with a sterile scalpel and placed separately. These were then later washed several times with distilled water and sterilized with 95% ethanol. Sterile inoculating needle was used to pick the parts and placed on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), then incubated for seven days at $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. These were sub-cultured until pure cultures were established for identification. Isolation from soils

was carried out by dilution plate and soil washing method as described by Halverson *et al.*, (1993) and Tsao (1983). For soil dilution plate method, 20grams of soil from the different locations (*Gmelina arborea* plantations) were collected in polyethylene bags. Ten grams (10g) of each soil was suspended in 90ml of distilled water. Ten-fold dilution series was made and 1ml of each was incorporated into PDA in Petri dishes (9mm). The plates were incubated at room temperature $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for seven days and fungal counts made from 10^{-1} dilution plates and recorded in percentages. For soil washing method, ten grams (10g) of each soil sample were separately suspended in 90ml of sterile distilled

water. The supernatant was in each case decanted and the settled particles washed into 250ml flasks with 50ml of sterile distilled water. The soil was shaken and allowed to settle at an angle of 45 °C for five minutes, and then the liquid was again decanted. The washing was repeated five times after which 1gram of the soils was each aseptically transferred into Petri dishes (9mm) containing 20ml of molten PDA and incubated at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ for seven days. The percentage occurrence of each fungus was recorded and the pure culture of each prepared by aseptically transferring the mycelia to newly prepared PDA and incubated at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ for seven days. Fungi identification was carried out by microscopic studies of the isolates. Identification of the

isolates were based on morphological characteristics, described in (1998) Illustrated Genera of Fungi by Barnett and Hunter and with literature on the Identification of Pathogenic Fungi by Dugan (2006). Confirmation was made by comparing with cultures identified by International Mycological Institute, Egham, UK.

The frequencies of occurrence of the isolated fungi associated with different diseases (parts) of *G. arborea* were also determined. The number of time each fungus was encountered was recorded. The percentage frequency of occurrence was calculated using the formula:

$$\frac{\text{Number of times a fungus was encountered} \times 100}{\text{Total fungal isolations}} \quad (\text{Ebele, 2011})$$

d) Soil Analysis

Sandy-loam soil collected from Ovorum in Obubra L.G.A of Cross River State, Nigeria used for the planting of *G. arborea* was analyzed at the Research Laboratory, Department of Soil Science, University of Calabar, Nigeria for percentage moisture, pH, total Nitrogen N (determined using Kjeldahl's method followed by spectrophotometry procedure), organic carbon (determined by oxidation with $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, Yeoman's and Bremner, 1998, Available phosphorus P (determined using the method of Murphy and Riley, 1972), Potassium K (determined using flame photometry).

e) Soil sterilization/planting of *G. arborea*

Soil sterilization was conducted in the Department of Botany green house, University of Calabar, Nigeria under mean temperature of 30°C . The top soil collected at 0-40cm depth were heat sterilized in a cut covered metal drum using firewood at 100°C for 20 minutes and allowed to cool. The sterilized soil was dispensed into polyethylene bags. The polyethylene bags were filled with about 5 kilogram (5kg) of the sterilized sandy-loam soil and *G. arborea* seeds and

seedlings obtained from the wild sown on the soil. Two to three seeds of *G. arborea* were sown on the sterilized soil. After seedling emergence, they were thinned down to one stand.

f) Koch's Postulates and Pathogenicity Test (Disease severity)

To confirm pathogenicity of fungal isolates obtained from (leaf, stem, root, bark and soil), a seven-day old culture of isolates filtrates from the different location were grown on basal medium supplemented with pectin as the only carbon source. This was done by pouring 100ml of inoculums at the base of the plants. The plants were earlier wounded with a sterile inoculating needle to facilitate entry of spores (Koleosho *et al.*, (1987). The base of the plant stands were then covered with polyethylene bags for one day to prevent moisture loss and entry of other pathogens. Pathogenicity tests were carried out at intervals and in sets when the plants were 4 months, 8 months and 12 months old. On appearance of symptoms, the area of infection was measured (determined) using a metre rule (mm) and the mean percentage infection (Disease severity) calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Disease severity (S) (Area)} = \frac{\text{Area of plant tissue affected}}{\text{Total area}}$$

Pathogenicity tests of each isolate were replicated thrice.

III. RESULTS

a) Fungal diseases of *G. arborea* identified in the field


A total of five fungal diseases were identified in the field (Awi, Oban and Ovorum) during the survey. The diseases were leaf spot, stem canker (Plate 1), Die bark (Plate 2), Butt and root rot and Damping-off (Table 1). Out of the three locations sampled, Awi and Ovorum had 12 stands each being infected by leaf spot disease

while 18 stands were infected in Oban which represented 50% and 75% infection respectively. Stem canker was observed on 10 stands (41.67%) in Awi, 20 stands (83.3%) in Oban and 12 stands (50%) in Ovorum. Damping-off disease was the most observed disease in the three locations with a total of 15 stands (62.5%) in Awi, 18 stands (75%) in Oban and 16 stands (66.67%) in Ovorum. The results showed that all the diseases were more prevalent in Oban than Awi and Ovorum (Table 1).

Table 1 : Number of sampled and infected plant stands by the various fungal diseases of *G. arborea* in Awi, Oban and Ovonum.

Diseases	Total number of plant samples	Number of infected plants		
		Awi	Oban	Ovonum
Leaf spot	24	12.12 \pm 0.04	18.0 \pm 0.08	12.1 \pm 0.06
Stem canker	24	10.12 \pm 0.07	20.14 \pm 0.02	12.03 \pm 0.05
Die-bark	24	7.01 \pm 0.11	13.12 \pm 0.10	10.12 \pm 0.07
Butt and root rot	24	13.11 \pm 0.06	17.10 \pm 0.09	12.4 \pm 0.09
Damping off	24	15.06 \pm 0.08	18.1 \pm 0.08	16.10 \pm 0.5

Note: Values are means of three replicates \pm standard error.

**Plates 1 & 2 :** Photographs of Stem canker and Die bark disease of *G. arborea* caused by fungi as observed in Awi, Oban and Ovonum.

b) Fungal isolates of different parts of *G. arborea* and soil

A total number of twenty fungi were isolated from different parts (root, bark, leaf and seed) of *G.*

arborea as well as soil. A breakdown of the isolated fungi is presented in (Table 2).


Table 2 : Fungal isolates as sociated with different parts of *G. arborea* and soil

S/N	Fungi	Source of inoculum				
		Root	Bark	Leaf	Seed	Soil
1.	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2.	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> . Van Tiegh	✓	✓		✓	✓
3.	<i>Apodachlya pyrifer</i>		✓	✓	✓	✓
4.	<i>Botryodiplodia theobromae</i> . Pat	✓	✓			✓
5.	<i>Bovularia</i> sp			✓	✓	
6.	<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i> . Ellis		✓			✓


7.	<i>Cercospora appi</i> . Fresen	✓		✓	✓	
8.	<i>Chalaropsis</i> sp. Peyron			✓	✓	✓
9.	<i>Dacromyces deliquescens</i>	✓	✓			✓
10.	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> . Link: Fr.	✓	✓			✓
11.	<i>Geotrichum</i> sp Link: Fr.	✓		✓	✓	✓
12.	<i>Mucor mucedo</i> . Link: Fr.	✓			✓	
13.	<i>Penicillium vermiculatum</i> Link: Fr.	✓			✓	
14.	<i>Penicillium thomii</i> .Link: Fr.	✓			✓	✓
15.	<i>Phoma herbarum</i> . Sacc		✓	✓		
16.	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> . Ehrenb: Fr.	✓		✓	✓	✓
17.	<i>Thielaviopsis brasicola</i> : Berk and Broom	✓				✓
18.	<i>Trichoderma viride</i> . Pers: Fr.				✓	✓
19.	<i>Trichosporonoides oedocephalos</i>		✓			
20.	<i>Graphium penicillioides</i>	✓	✓		✓	✓

Results (Table 2) shows that *Aspergillus flavus* was isolated from infected root, bark, leaf and seed of *G. arborea*, while *A. niger* was isolated from infected root, bark, seed and soil. *Apodachlya pyrifera* (Plate 3) was isolated from infected (bark, leaf, seed and soil), *Botryodiplodia theobromae* (Plate 4) (root, bark and soil), *Bouvardia* sp (leaf and soil), *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (bark and soil), *Cercospora appi* (root, leaf and seed), *Chalaropsis* sp (leaf, seed and soil), *Dacromyces deliquescens* (Plate 5) (root, bark and soil), *Geotrichum*


sp (Plate 6) (root, leaf, seed and soil), *Mucor mucedo* (Plate 7) (root and seed), *Fusarium oxysporum* (Plate 8) (root, bark and soil), *Penicillium vermiculatum* (root and seed), *Penicillium thomii* (root, seed and soil), *Phoma herbarum* (bark and leaf), *Rhizopus stolonifer* (root, leaf, seed and soil), *Thielaviopsis brasicola* (Plate 9) (root and soil), *Trichoderma viride* (seed and soil), *Trichosporonoides oedocephalos* (Plate 10) (bark) and *Graphium penicillioides* (root, bark, seed and soil).




Plates 3 & 4 : Photomicrographs of *Apodachlya pyrifera* and *Botryodiplodia theobromae* × 400 isolated from infected *G. arborea* parts and rhizosphere (soil)



Plates 5 & 6 : Photomicrographs of *Dacromyces deliquescens* and *Geotrichum* sp $\times 400$ isolated from infected *G. arborea* parts and rhizosphere (soil)



Plates 7 & 8 : Photomicrographs of *Mucor mucedo* and *Fusarium oxysporum* $\times 400$ isolated from infected *G. arborea* parts and rhizosphere (soil)



Plates 9 & 10 : Photomicrographs of *Thielaviopsis brasicola* and *Trichosporon oedocephalos* $\times 400$ isolated from infected *G. arborea* parts

c) Percentage frequency (occurrence) of fungal isolates

Mean percentage frequencies (occurrence) of fungi isolated from different parts of *G. arborea* and soil is presented in (Table 3). Prominent among the fungi isolated from root were *Botryodiplodia theobromae* with mean percentage frequencies of $11.00 \pm 0.10\%$ followed by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Thielaviopsis brasicola* with mean percentage frequencies of $9.55 \pm 0.39\%$ each. *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *Bouvaria sp.*, *Chalaropsis sp.*, *Phoma herbarum*, *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichosporon oedocephalos* were not present in the infected root of *G. arborea*.

The prominent fungi isolated from the infected bark of *G. arborea* were *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *B. theobromae* and *Graphium penicilloides* with mean percentage frequencies of $13.76 \pm 0.42\%$ each, followed by *Fusarium oxysporum* $12.84 \pm 0.32\%$, *Ceratocystis fimbriata* and *Dacromyces deliquescens* with mean frequencies of $11.93 \pm 0.06\%$ each. However, the following fungi *Bouvaria sp.*, *Cercospora appi*, *Chalaropsis sp.*, *Geotrichum sp.*, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Thielaviopsis brasicola* and *Trichoderma viride* were absent in the bark of *G. arborea*.

The highest mean percentage frequencies of fungi isolated from the leaf of *G. arborea* were that of

Aspergillus flavus, *Cercospora appi* and *Rhizopus stolonifer* with $14.29 \pm 0.49\%$ each, followed by *Geotrichum sp.* $13.19 \pm 0.49\%$, *Aspergillus niger*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Dacromyces sp.*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii*, *Thielaviopsis brasicola*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichosporon oedocephalos* and *Graphium penicilloides* were not present on the leaf of *G. arborea*.

Aspergillus flavus, *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Geotrichum sp.* had the highest mean frequency of $8.82 \pm 0.42\%$ each from the fruit. These were followed by *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium thomii* and *Trichoderma viride* with mean percentage frequency of $8.24 \pm 0.39\%$ each. *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Dacromyces sp.*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Phoma herbarum*, *Thielaviopsis brasicola* and *Trichosporon oedocephalos* were absent in fruit. For soil, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Mucor mucedo* were the most prominent fungi with mean percentage frequency of $10.17 \pm 0.48\%$ each, these were followed by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* and *Geotrichum sp.* with mean percentage frequency of $9.60 \pm 0.45\%$ each. The fungi that were absent from the soil are *Bouvaria sp.*, *Cercospora appi*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii* and *Trichosporon oedocephalos*.

Table 3 : Percentage frequency of fungi isolated from different parts of *G. arborea* and soil

S/N	Fungi	Source of inoculum				
		Root	Bark	Leaf	Fruit	Soil
1.	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	9.55 ± 0.39	9.17 ± 0.41	14.29 ± 0.49	6.82 ± 0.42	0
2.	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	8.28 ± 0.14	9.17 ± 0.41	0	7.65 ± 0.37	7.34 ± 0.38
3.	<i>Apodachlya pyrifera</i>	0	13.76 ± 0.42	10.09 ± 0.42	7.06 ± 3.25	5.65 ± 0.31
4.	<i>Botryodiplodia theobromae</i>	11.00 ± 0.10	13.76 ± 0.42	0	0	10.17 ± 0.48
5.	<i>Bouvaria sp.</i>	0	0	9.17 ± 0.36	7.65 ± 0.57	0
6.	<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i>	0	11.93 ± 1.06	0	0	9.04 ± 0.43
7.	<i>Cercospora appi</i>	6.37 ± 0.39	0	14.29 ± 0.49	7.06 ± 0.32	0
8.	<i>Chalaropsis sp.</i>	0	0	9.17 ± 0.36	5.88 ± 0.28	5.65 ± 0.31
9.	<i>Dacromyces deliquescens</i>	6.37 ± 0.39	11.93 ± 1.06	0	0	7.34 ± 0.38
10.	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	7.64 ± 0.42	12.84 ± 0.32	0	0	10.17 ± 0.48
11.	<i>Geotrichum sp.</i>	6.37 ± 0.39	0	13.19 ± 0.46	8.82 ± 0.42	9.60 ± 0.45
12.	<i>Mucor mucedo</i>	8.25 ± 0.41	0	0	8.24 ± 0.39	10.17 ± 0.48
13.	<i>Penicillium vermiculatum</i>	5.73 ± 0.21	0	0	7.65 ± 0.37	0
14.	<i>Penicillium thomii</i>	5.73 ± 0.17	0	0	8.26 ± 0.39	0
15.	<i>Phoma herbarum</i>	0	8.26 ± 0.26	9.17 ± 0.26	0	5.65 ± 0.31
16.	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	8.28 ± 0.41	0	14.29 ± 0.49	8.82 ± 0.42	7.34 ± 0.38
17.	<i>Thielaviopsis brasicola</i>	9.55 ± 0.39	0	0	0	6.78 ± 0.36
18.	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	0	0	0	8.24 ± 0.39	7.34 ± 0.36
19.	<i>Trichosporon oedocephalos</i>	0	9.17 ± 0.42	0	0	0
20.	<i>Graphium penicilloides</i>	6.37 ± 0.39	13.76 ± 0.42	0	5.88 ± 0.28	7.90 ± 0.37

Note: values are means of four replicates \pm standard error, 0 = No occurrence.

d) Soil analysis

Soil analysis revealed the presence of reasonable level of sand (24.5%), silt (60.5%) and clay (20.8%) as well as macronutrients potassium (K) 139% and phosphorus (P) 63%, magnesium (Mg) 145% and calcium (Ca) 109%, but low in Nitrogen (N) 20% and organic carbon (C) 1.89%. The soil P^H was 6.7% as presented in (Table 5).

Table 4 : Soil analysis

Soil constituents	% content
Texture (%)	
Sand	24.5
Silt	60.5
Clay	20.8
P^H	6.7
Nutrients (mg/kg)	
Nitrogen (N)	20
Organic carbon (C)	1.89
Phosphorus (P)	63
Potassium (K)	139
Magnesium (Mg)	145
Calcium (Ca)	109

e) Koch's postulates and pathogenicity tests

Pathogenicity (degree of infection) of fungi isolated from different parts of *G. arborea* and soil and their mean percentage infection is presented in (Table 5).

Results from the study showed that *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae* and *Thielaviopsis basicola* showed significant pathogenicity

of $95 \pm 2.5\%$, $75 \pm 2.28\%$ and $75 \pm 2.21\%$ respectively (at $p < 0.05$) on the root of *G. arborea*. *Fusarium oxysporum* was also pathogenic on the bark (stem) but to a lesser extent ($48 \pm 1.15\%$), *B. theobromae* was also pathogenic on the bark ($47 \pm 1.25\%$) while the effect of *Thielaviopsis basicola* was not detected on any other part except the root. Of all the ten fungi isolated from the bark of *G. arborea*, the three most pathogenic ones were *Apodachlya pyrifera* ($75 \pm 2.25\%$), *Ceratocystis fimbriata* ($65 \pm 2.25\%$) and *Dacromyces deliquescens* ($50 \pm 1.78\%$). *Apodachlya pyrifera* was also pathogenic to the leaf and fruits, $48 \pm 1.14\%$ and $28 \pm 0.98\%$ respectively. Except the root, *Ceratocystis fimbriata* also affected the leaf ($49 \pm 2.9\%$) and fruits ($39 \pm 1.02\%$), while *Dacromyces deliquescens*, also affected the root ($26 \pm 0.61\%$). Out of the nine fungi isolated from the leaf of *G. arborea*, the three most pathogenic ones were *Cercospora appii* ($65 \pm 2.07\%$), *Bouvalaria* and *Chalaropsis* species with percentage infection of $50 \pm 0.65\%$ each. *Cercospora appii* was also pathogenic to the fruit ($46 \pm 0.88\%$) and root ($27 \pm 0.16\%$). *Bouvalaria* sp was also pathogenic to fruit ($46 \pm 0.87\%$) while *Chalaropsis* sp was slightly pathogenic to the fruit ($29 \pm 0.31\%$) at $p < 0.05$. The three most pathogenic fungi out of the fourteen isolated from *G. arborea* fruit were *Trichoderma viride* ($70 \pm 2.31\%$), *Geotrichum* sp ($50 \pm 0.23\%$) and *Graphium penicilloides* ($50 \pm 0.23\%$). *Geotrichum* sp affected other parts except the stem, while *Graphium penicilloides* was also pathogenic to the root ($43 \pm 0.28\%$) and the stem ($47 \pm 0.34\%$).

Table 5 : Degree of infection (pathogenicity) by fungi isolated from various parts of *G. arborea* Roxb

S/N	Fungi	Percentage (%) infection of parts			
		Root	Bark	Leaf	Fruit
1.	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	43 ± 10.38	36 ± 0.96	21 ± 0.50	48 ± 1.03
2.	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	38 ± 0.99	41 ± 1.02	0	36 ± 0.82
3.	<i>Apodachlya pyrifera</i>	0	75 ± 0.05	48 ± 1.14	28 ± 0.98
4.	<i>Botryodiplodia theobromae</i>	75 ± 2.38	47 ± 1.25	0	0
5.	<i>Bouvalaria</i> sp.	0	0	50 ± 0.65	46 ± 0.87
6.	<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i>	0	65 ± 2.25	49 ± 2.09	39 ± 1.02
7.	<i>Cercospora appii</i>	27 ± 0.16	0	65 ± 2.07	46 ± 0.88
8.	<i>Chalaropsis</i> sp.	0	0	50 ± 0.65	29 ± 0.31
9.	<i>Dacromyces deliquescens</i>	26 ± 0.61	50 ± 1.78	0	0
10.	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	95 ± 2.54	48 ± 1.15	0	0
11.	<i>Geotrichum</i> sp.	60 ± 0.20	0	39 ± 1.01	50 ± 0.21
12.	<i>Mucor mucedo</i>	31 ± 0.39	0	0	27 ± 0.41
13.	<i>Penicillium vermiculatum</i>	28 ± 1.36	0	0	38 ± 1.00
14.	<i>Penicillium thomii</i>	28 ± 0.20	0	0	42 ± 0.00
15.	<i>Phoma herbarum</i>	0	48 ± 1.17	48 ± 1.09	0
16.	<i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i>	38 ± 1.01	0	32 ± 0.76	44 ± 1.06
17.	<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>	75 ± 2.24	0	0	0
18.	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	0	0	0	70 ± 2.31
19.	<i>Trichosporon oedocephalos</i>	0	41 ± 1.00	0	0
20.	<i>Graphium penicilloides</i>	43 ± 0.28	47 ± 0.34	0	50 ± 0.23
	CONTROL	-	-	-	-

The affected root and stem showed a marked reduction in dry weight when compared with the control, the lengths of the stem were shorter in the affected ones, and there were also dead spots on the stems. In the case of the leaf, the numbers of leaf spot depended on the fungus applied, and this varied significantly. The pathogenicity of the different fungi on the fruit showed significant differences based on the fungus applied and the rate of deterioration.

IV. DISCUSSION

The wood of *Gmelina arborea* Roxb is suitable for general utility purposes especially in construction and structural work, carpentry, packaging utility, furniture, decorative veneers, light flooring, musical instruments, particle boards, electric poles, sawn timbers, valuable source of timber, pulp and fodder. This species has been extensively used in afforestation programmes, and has wider involvement in folk medicine. In spite of the economic potential of this tree in Nigeria, very little pathological research had been done on diseases afflicting this valuable species. This work involved extensive investigation of the fungal pathogens that affect *G. arborea* Roxb and the diseases they cause. In this study, twenty pathogenic fungi were isolated from various parts of *G. arborea* including roots, bark, leaf, fruit and rhizosphere (soil). The fungi were: *Aspergillus flavus* isolated from infected root, bark, leaf and seed of *G. arborea* while *A. niger* was isolated from infected root, bark, seed and soil. *Apodachlya pyrifera* was isolated from infected (bark, leaf, seed and soil), *Botryodiplodia theobromae* (root, bark and soil), *Bouvardia sp* (leaf and soil), *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (bark and soil), *Cercospora appi* (root, leaf and seed), *Chalaropsis sp* (leaf, seed and soil), *Dacromyces deliquescens* (root, bark and soil), *Geotrichum sp* (root, leaf, seed and soil), *Mucor mucedo* (root and seed), *Fusarium oxysporum* (root, bark and soil), *Penicillium vermiculatum* (root and seed), *Penicillium thomii* (root, seed and soil), *Phoma herbarum* (bark and leaf), *Rhizopus stolonifer* (root, leaf, seed and soil), *Thielaviopsis brasicola* (root and soil), *Trichoderma viride* (seed and soil), *Trichosporon oedocephalos* (bark) and *Graphium penicilloides* (root, bark, seed and soil). In this study, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Cercospora appi*, *Dacromyces deliquescens*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Geotrichum sp*, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, *Thielaviopsis brasicola* and *Graphium penicilloides* that were isolated from the root of *Gmelina arborea* agreed with the report of Inyang (1990). Tsao (1983) observed that some of these isolated fungi were isolated from the root of rubber plant (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and were pathogenic to the plant. The following fungi *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *B. theobromae*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Dacromyces deliquescens*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Phoma*

herbarum and *Trichosporon oedocephalos* isolated from the bark of *G. arborea* tree and found to be highly pathogenic to the plant especially at the seedling stage agrees with the findings of Inyang (1990). *A. flavus*, *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *Bouvardia sp*, *Cercospora appi*, *Geotrichum sp*, *Phoma herbarum* and *Rhizopus stolonifer* isolated from the leaf of *G. arborea* agrees with the findings of Duke (2002). Of the twenty fungi isolated, only four were not found in the soil (rhizosphere surrounding the tree). This finding is in conformity with the observations of Inyang (1990). In the fruit of *G. arborea* only *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Dacromyces deliquescens* and *Thielaviopsis brasicola* were amongst the twenty isolated fungi. This finding agrees with the work of Duke (2002) who reported on the pathogenicity of *C. fimbriata*, *B. theobromae* and *T. brasicola* on the seed of *Gmelina arborea*.

In this study, some major fungal diseases were observed in the field during the survey. The diseases were leaf spot, stem canker, die bark, butt and root rot and damping-off. These findings are in conformity with the works of Orwa *et al.*, (2009), Nair and Sumardi (2000) who reported wilting in 1-2 month old seedlings, damping-off disease, which caused high seedling mortality, root-collar disease on 4-month-old seedlings and Anthracnose disease in nurseries. Plantation diseases observed by these researchers included leaf spot, vascular necrosis and chlorosis, heart rot and root rot, stem and branch canker (machete disease) and a bark disease (worm disease) that can girdle the base of the tree and cause die-back of branches in 2-year-old plantations.

In this study, we observed that all the twenty isolated fungal pathogens were pathogenic on *G. arborea*. The affected root and stem showed a marked reduction in dry weight when compared with the control, the lengths of the stem were shorter in the affected ones, and there were also dead spots on the stems. In the case of the leaf, the numbers of leaf spot depended on the fungus applied, and this varied significantly. The pathogenicity of the different fungi on the fruit showed significant differences based on the fungus applied and the rate of deterioration. These findings agree with that of Duke (2002), Inyang (1990) and Taysum (1987) who reported similar observations on different parts of *G. arborea* inoculated with the different isolated fungal pathogens.

V. CONCLUSION

Pathogenic fungi and fungal diseases associated with *G. arborea* in different locations in Cross River State, Nigeria were investigated. Twenty pathogenic fungi (*Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Apodachlya pyrifera*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Bouvardia sp*, *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Cercospora appi*,

Chalaropsis sp, *Dacromyces deliquescens*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Geotrichum* sp, *Mucor mucedo*, *Penicillium vermiculatum*, *Penicillium thomii*, *Phoma herbarum*, *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Thielaviopsis brasicola*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichosporonoides oedocephalus* and *Graphium penicilliodes*) were isolated from bark, root, leaves, fruit and rhizosphere while a total number of five fungal diseases (leaf spot, stem canker, die bark, butt and root rot and damping-off) were identified (observed) in the field. Of the twenty fungal isolates, *A. flavus* occurred in all parts of the plant and the soil while others occurred in four or three parts. Pathogenicity tests revealed that all the isolated fungi were pathogenic on *G. arborea*. Due to the major role played by this plant in the economy of Cross River State, Nigeria, and the menace caused by the isolated fungal pathogens on *G. arborea*, further research will be carried out by these authors on the use of plant extracts in controlling these fungi.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our profound gratitude to the management and staffs of the Cross River State Forestry Commission for granting us permission to carry out this survey in the various *Gmelina arborea* plantations. We thank also the technical staffs of the Department of Botany, University of Calabar, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria for the invaluable assistance during the practical aspect of the research work.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

- Ademiluyi, E. and Okeke, R. E. (1973). Studies on the specific gravity and fibre characteristics of *Gmelina arborea* in some Nigerian Plantations. Nigerian Journal of Sciences 13: 132 – 242.
- Agus, C. S., Kita, H. K., Toda, H., Karyants, O. and Hardiwinita, S. (2002). Nutrient circulation characteristics with different site index for fast growing species of *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. Plantation forest in tropical region. Phytopathology, 91 (7): 1111 – 1119.
- Anonymous. (2002). *Gmelina: Gmelina arborea* Roxb. ASEAN Regional Centre for Biodiversity Conservation. ASEAN Environmental Education Plan 2000 – 2005.
- Barnett, H. L., Hunter, B. B., 1998. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi 4th edition, APS press, St. Paul Minnesota, p. 32
- Chijoke, E. O. (1986). Soil factors and growth of *Gmelina arborea* in Omo Forest Reserve. Forest Ecology and Management 23: 245 – 251.
- Cromer, R. N., Kriedemann, P. E., Sands, P. I. and Stewart, L. G. (1993). Leaf growth and photosynthetic response to nitrogen and phosphorus in seedling trees of *Gmelina arborea*. Plant Physiology, 20: 83 – 98.
- Dugan, F. M., 2006. The identification of fungi. APS press, St Paul Minnesota. p. 50

- Duke, J. A. (2002). *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. Purdue University, Centre for New Crops and Plant Products. http://www.hot.purdue.edu/newcrop/Dukeenergy/Gmelina_arborea.html. Retrieval Date: 3/10/2002.
- Ebele, M. I. (2011). Evaluation of aqueous plant extracts to control rot fungi in paw paw. Journal of Applied Biosciences 37:2419-2424.
- Enemuoh, P. O. (1970). Development of Forest Resources in the Economic Advancement of Nigeria. In Proceeding of the Inaugural Conference of Forestry Association of Nigeria. October 22 – 28.
- FAO (1989). *Le Gmelina arborea*. Bureau regionale de la FAO pour l'Afrique, Accra, Ghana.
- Greaves, A. (1973). Site studies and associated productivity of *Gmelina arborea* in Nigeria. M. Sc. Dissertation, Department of Biological Sciences, University College of North Wales, Bangor.
- Halverson, I. J., Clayton, M. K. and Handelsman, J. O. (1993). Population biology of *Bacillus cereus* UW 85 in the rhizosphere of field-grown soy beans. Soil Biological Biochemistry 25 (4): 485 – 493.
- Haygreen, J. G. and Bonyer, J. L. (1982). Forests products and wood science: An introduction. Iowa. The State University Press. Pp. 20 – 30.
- Inyang, L. E. D. (1990). Fungal butt and root diseases: threats to bioconservation of Nigeria forest. Nigerian Journal of Science Bioconservation 1: 98 – 115.
- Julian, E. (1982). Plantation Forestry in the tropics. New York, Oxford University Press. P. 50.
- Murphy, J. and Riley, J. P. (1972). A modified single selection method for determination of phosphate in natural water. Analytical Chemistry Acta 27: 31 – 36.
- Nair, K. S. S. and Sumardi, R. (2000). Insect pests and diseases of major plantation species. In Nair, KSS (ed.). Insect pests and diseases in Indonesia forests. CIFOR, Indonesia. Pp 15 – 37.
- Ogbonnaya, C. I. M., Nwaloze, C. and Nwaigbo, L. C. (1992). Growth wood properties of *Gmelina arborea* (Verbanaceae) seedlings grown under five soil moisture regimes. American Journal of Tropical Botany, 79(2): 128 – 132.
- Orwa, C. A. M., Kindt, R. and Jamnadass, R. S. A. (2009). Agroforestry Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. <http://www.Worltagroforestry.org/sites>.
- Richter, H. G. and Dallwitz, M. J. (2000). Commercial Timbers: Descriptions, illustrations, identification and information. Retrieval: English Version 4th May, 2002. <http://biodiversity.uno.edu/delta>.
- Tsao, P. H. (1983). Factors affecting isolation and quantification of Phytophthora from soil: Phytophthora, its biology, taxonomy and pathology (Eds Ewim, D. C., Barthnicki-gracia, S. and Tsao, P. H., St Paul American Phytopathological Society.

23. Yeoman's, J. C. and Bremner, J. M. (1989). A rapid and precise method for routine determination of organic carbon in soil. *Community Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 19: 1467 – 1476.



This page is intentionally left blank



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE

Volume 15 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2015

Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Influence Evaluation of *Ocimum Sanctum* Leaf Extract on Angiogenesis by using Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) Assay

By U. H. Shah, G. R. Gonjari & A. E. Patil

Balwant College, India

Abstract- *Ocimum sanctum* is a sacred herb of India is also known as 'Tulsi' or 'Holy Basil'. There are several medicinal properties attributed to leaf, bark, root as well as seeds of *O. sanctum*. This holy plant is used in the present investigation to study its angiogenic efficiency. The effect of methanolic extract of *O. sanctum* leaves was studied by using chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay in ovo. The angiogenesis was studied after 48 hrs, 72 hrs and 96 hrs treatment of on chick CAM after day 6. The CAM was studied morphometrically and histologically. There was highly significant decrease in number of secondary and tertiary blood vessels as well as in total CAM area.

Keywords: *ocimum sanctum*, *angiogenesis*, *cam*, *cancer*.

GJSFR-C Classification : FOR Code: 060799



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



Influence Evaluation of *Ocimum Sanctum* Leaf Extract on Angiogenesis by using Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) Assay

U. H. Shah ^α, G. R. Gonjari ^σ & A. E. Patil ^ρ

Abstract- *Ocimum sanctum* is a sacred herb of India is also known as 'Tulsi' or 'Holy Basil'. There are several medicinal properties attributed to leaf, bark, root as well as seeds of *O. sanctum*. This holy plant is used in the present investigation to study its angiogenic efficiency. The effect of methanolic extract of *O. sanctum* leaves was studied by using chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay in ovo. The angiogenesis was studied after 48 hrs, 72 hrs and 96 hrs treatment of on chick CAM after day 6. The CAM was studied morphometrically and histologically. There was highly significant decrease in number of secondary and tertiary blood vessels as well as in total CAM area.

Keywords: *ocimum sanctum*, angiogenesis, cam, cancer.

I. INTRODUCTION

During development of vascular system two processes are involved- vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. Vasculogenesis is the process of blood vessel formation from angioblasts while neovascularisation from pre-existing blood vessel is angiogenesis. Angiogenesis is the rapid process up to organogenesis. In adults it is slow but plays very important physiological role during wound healing and reproduction. The process of angiogenesis is highly balanced and regulated by angiogenic and angiostatic factors- vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), transforming growth factor (TGF), angiogenin, tumor necrosis factor (TNF). These factors act as switches to control angiogenesis, if imbalanced leads to pathological conditions. Excessive angiogenesis occurs in cancer, psoriasis, arthritis, diabetic blindness, asthma and atherosclerosis. Reduced angiogenesis is observed in heart and brain ischemia, neurodegeneration, hypertension and respiratory distress ^[1]. For controlling all these pathological conditions, pro- and antiangiogenic therapies have been developed. There are various assays have been developed to study angiogenesis. The mostly used assay is chick chorioallantoic assay. It is easiest and cheapest angiogenesis assay.

In this present investigation we have used methanolic leaf extract of *O. sanctum* (Tulsi) to study its

angiogenic or angiostatic potential. *O. sanctum*, a queen of herbs is one of the holiest and health giving herb in India and subcontinent. The medicinal use of *O. sanctum* is as old as human. In ayurveda it is known as 'elixir of life' and commonly used against headaches, common cold, and soared throat. Ethanolic extract of *O. sanctum* decreases glucose level and increase glycogen in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats ^[2] and having normal wound healing as well as dexamethesone depressed wound healing by fast epithelialization and wound contraction ^[3].

Aqueous extract of *O. sanctum* possesses radio-protective effect by reduction in rapid peroxidation in both kidney and salivary glands of rat ^[4]. The extract of *O. sanctum* having different pharmacological activities like- analgesic, antiulcer, antidepressant, anti-anxiety, anti-tussive, anti-thyroidic, anti-stress, anti-spasmodic, anti-pyretic and anti-plasmodial ^[5]. Prashar et al have reported that *O. sanctum* leaf extract having blocking effect of chemical carcinogenesis ^[6].

Though there were many reports explaining different ethnomedicinal properties of *O. sanctum*, its efficiency on angiogenesis has not been studied. Hence this investigation is aimed for screening the properties of methanolic leaf extract of *O. sanctum* on angiogenesis by using chick CAM assay.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Preparation of extract

The plant was properly identified and the leaves were collected from local area of Sangli district from Maharashtra (India). These were washed with distilled water, shed dried, mechanically powdered, strained through muslin cloth and extracted in methanol. The yield of methanol extract was 3.41%. The concentrated solution of known concentration was prepared and stored as stock solution. At the time of treatment was dissolved in dextrose with normal saline (DNS) was purchased from Mark-Bioscience Ltd, Goa (G21730031, Exp. Dec. 2015). DNS is the medicated saline used to prepare proper concentrations of the extract for treatment.

b) Chorioallantoic membrane assay

For screening the effect of methanolic extract of *O. sanctum* on angiogenesis chick CAM assay was

Author α: Department of Zoology, Balwant College, Vita (415-311).

e-mail: uhs7460@gmail.com

Author σ ρ: Department of Zoology and Fisheries, Y. C. Institute of Science, Satara (415-001). e-mail: medadaspatil@gmail.com

used. The fertilized eggs of *Gallus gallus* was purchased from local farmers, sterilized by 50% alcohol and incubated in aseptic incubator at 37.5°C with 70- 75% humidity. The eggs were grouped three groups- sham control, DNS control and treated. These are again sub grouped into three – 48, 72 and 96 hrs for treatment according to schedule in Table 1. Some eggs were

incubated for normal development. All eggs were observed after 144 hrs of incubation. According to mortality and cytotoxic study the dose of extract selected was 0.4 mg/ml. The window method was used for administration of desired dose [7]. The leaf extract was administrated in DNS, sealed and incubated up to day 6.

Table 1 : Treatment schedule at different developmental stages of chick embryo

Groups	Exposure to treatment in hrs			Treatment in hrs
	48	72	96	
I	-	-	✓	48
II	-	✓	-	72
III	✓	-	-	96

i) Evaluation of CAM angiogenesis

The morphometric evaluation was made as described by Meloknian [8]. The CAM area was calculated-

$$\text{Area} = (1/2 A) \times (1/2 B) \times \pi$$

Where A is longest length, B is longest width and $\pi = 3.14$

Morphometric study of number of secondary and tertiary blood vessels were counted manually on computer image by counting branching points [9]. Histological evaluation of CAM was made by processing of CAM for paraffin embedding and sectioning. The sections were cut at 5 μm thickness with the help of rotator microtome.

c) Statistical analysis

The data was expressed in mean \pm SE. The statistical analysis between the groups was made by one way ANOVA. The value of $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$ were considered as significant.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this present investigation we have studied effect of acetone methanol extract of *O. sanctum* leaf by using CAM assay. The chick CAM assay is ideal model to study angiogenesis [10]. After treatment at different developmental stages the chick embryos were evaluated morphometrically and histologically at 144 hrs of development.

Table 2 : Morphometric evaluation of chick CAM after treatment of *Ocimum sanctum* leaf extract

Treatment (hrs)	Groups	Number of blood vessels		CAM area(sq.cm)
		Secondary	Tertiary	
48 (0.4mg/ml)	Normal	11 \pm 0.489	113 \pm 3.162	26.50 \pm 2.4
	Sham control	10 \pm 0.632	110 \pm 3.149	26.00 \pm 2.46
	DNS control	11 \pm 0.439	117 \pm 3.915	28.00 \pm 1.65
	Leaf extract treated	9 \pm 0.436	98 \pm 1.183	22.93 \pm 1.73
72 (0.4mg/ml)	Normal	11 \pm 0.510	115 \pm 2.9	25.98 \pm 2.5
	Sham control	10 \pm 0.461	108 \pm 1.994	25.50 \pm 2.56
	DNS control	12 \pm 0.616	120 \pm 3.055	29.50 \pm 2.38
	Leaf extract treated	9 \pm 0.439	88 \pm 1.825	20.48 \pm 1.73
96 (0.4mg/ml)	Normal	12 \pm 0.50	115 \pm 2.89	26.50 \pm 2.01
	Sham control	9 \pm 0.754	108 \pm 3.438	24.00 \pm 2.5
	DNS control	12 \pm 0.461	120 \pm 2.983	30.50 \pm 2.66
	Leaf extract treated	8 \pm 0.336	77 \pm 2.983	18.32 \pm 2.016

(Results expressed as mean \pm S. E. of 6 embryos. $a < 0.05$, $b < 0.01$, $c < 0.001$ vs. Normal embryos. $p < 0.05$, $q < 0.01$, $r < 0.001$ vs. Sham control embryos $x < 0.05$ $y < 0.01$, $z < 0.001$ vs. DNS control embryos)

a) Morphometric evaluation

The morphometric evaluation was made by considering branching points to differentiate primary, secondary and tertiary blood vessels. There was very slight decrease in angiogenesis in sham operated embryos and very marginal increase in DNS controlled embryos as compare to that of normal. The significant decrease in number of primary and secondary blood

vessels was observed at 48 hrs treatments- 15.84% and 14.9% respectively. More significant decrease in number of blood vessels was observed at 72 hrs of treatment (34.3% and 43.3% respectively) was reported. Total area of CAM was decreased 18.10% at 48 hrs treatment, 30.57% at 72 hrs treatment while 39.93% at 96 hrs treatment of methanolic extract of *O. sanctum* (Plate I, Table 2 and Fig. 1-3).

b) Histological evaluation (Plate II)

For histological evaluation T. S. of CAM was studied as described in materials and methods. Histologically CAM consisted of mesodermal cells intervening between ectodermal and endodermal layers. There is presence of capillary plexus near the ectoderm. Formation of capillary plexus was studied by Melkonian et al ^[13]. The undifferentiated mesenchymal cells are forming capillary plexus. The blood vessel associated with capillary plexus is considered as part of capillary plexus. Normal CAM is with numerous mesodermal blood vessels with capillary plexus by day 6. The considerable inhibition of blood vessels associated with capillary plexus in extract treated embryos by day 6. The thickness of CAM was also decreased in treated CAM. Sham controlled CAM was with slight decrease in blood vessels and capillary plexus while in DNS controlled CAM was with slight increase in number of capillary plexus with main blood vessel.

According to Melkonian number of capillary plexuses was decreased in CAM treated with cytochalasin D and suramin which inhibit angiogenesis. The same findings were observed in the present investigation. There was decrease in CAM thickness indicates that metanolic extract of *O. sanctum* inhibit angiogenesis.

This antiangiogenic property of *O. sanctum* can be used for antiangiogenic therapy against cancer. No tumor can develop without angiogenesis to meet increasing demand of food and oxygen. Due to severe side effects and non targeting killing of neoplastic cells by chemotherapy, scientists are in search of alternative medicines. For safer and effective approach of antiangiogenic drugs, the herbalists are trying to search such drugs from herbs. Morphine was the first drug isolated from plant, *Papaver somniferum* in 1805. According to Manikandan et al ^[14] there is differential sensitivities of gastric carcinoma and normal stomach tissue to growth control and apoptosis induction by ethanolic *O. sanctum* leaf extract. Administration of ethanolic leaf extract selectively induces apoptosis in MNNG- treated rats but not in normal rats. The *O. sanctum* contains phytochemicals like- eugenol, ursolic acid, carvacrol, apigenin, luteolin and carvacrol. Eugenol, ursolic acid and carvacrol have been reported to inhibit cell proliferation in vitro ^[15]. Eugenol, ursolic acid and apigenin were found to induce apoptosis by influencing Bcl-2/ax ratio and cytochrome C mediated caspase 3 activation ^[16]. Present investigation supports the above findings. This extract is having combination of different phytoconstituents which acts together to reduce sprouting angiogenesis. This antiangiogenic property of *O. sanctum* was also reported of acetone leaf extract ^[9].

Hence antiangiogenic property of *O. sanctum* may be aimed to halt new blood vessel growth to treat disease like cancer, diabetic blindness, rheumatoid

arthritis etc. This therapy will starve the tumor for oxygen and nutrients.

IV. CONCLUSION





O. sanctum is used traditionally in our country and called 'Elixir of Life'. However the leaf extract have to be screened by ethno pharmacological research. As it is promising plant for anticancer therapy, extensive investigations on the metabolism, pharmacodynamic interactions of individual metabolism as well as molecular mechanism has to be studied.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Marimpietri D, Brignole C, Nico B, Pastorino f, Pezzolo A, Piccardi F, Cilli M, Di Paolo D, Pagnan G, Longo L, Perri P, Ribbati D, Ponzoni M. Combined therapeutic effect of vinblastin and rapamycin on human neuroblastoma growth, apoptosis and angiogenesis, Clin Cancer Res.; 2007; 13(13):3977-88.
2. Narendhirakannan RT, Subramanian S, Kandaswamy M, Biochemical evaluation of antidiabetic properties of some commonly used Indian plants on streptozotocin- induced diabetes in experimental rats. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol Physiol.; 2006; 33a;1155-57.
3. Udupa SL, Shetty S, Udupa AL, Somayaji SN. Effect of *Ocimum sanctum* Linn. On normal dexamethason suppressed wound healing. Indian J. Exp. Biol.; 2006; 44: 49-54.
4. Bhartiya US, Raut YS, Joseph LJ. Protective effect of *Ocimum sanctum* L. after high dose – 131 iodine exposure in mice: an in vivo study. Indian J. Exp. Biol.; 2006; 44: 647-52.
5. Mamun ANM, Azam M, Dash BK, Hafiz FB, Sen MK. Ethanomedicobotanical study of *Ocimum sanctum* L. (Tulsi)- A review. Mintage J. of Pharm. And Medical Science; 2013; 2(2): 37-42.
6. Prashar R, Kumar A, Hower A, Cole KJ, Dawis W, Philips DH,. Inhibition by extract of *Ocimum sanctum* of DNA binding activity of 7, 12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene in rat hepatocytes in vitro Cancer Lett; 1998; 128: 155-60.
7. Korn MJ, Kramer KS. Windowing chicken eggs for developmental studies. J. Vis. Exp; 2009; 8: 306.
8. Melkonian G, Cheung L, Marr R, Tong C, Talbot P. Main stream and side stream cigarette smoke inhibit growth and angiogenesis in the day 5 chick chorioallantoic membrane. Toxicological Science; 2002; 68: 237- 48.
9. Shah UH, Gonjari GR, Patil AE. Efficiency of *Ocimum sanctum* Linn. Leaf extract on angiogenesis. Int. J. Drug Dev. & Res.; 2014; 6(3): 77- 84.
10. Tapper D, Langer R, Bellow AR, Folkman J. Angiogenesis capacity, a diagnostic marker for human eye tumors. Surgery; 1979; 86(1): 36- 40.

11. Petruzzelli GJ. Tumor angiogenesis; Head Neck; 1996; 18: 283-91.
12. Valdes TI, Kreutzer D, Moussy F. The chick chorioallantoic membrane as a nivel invitro model for testing biomaterial.; J. Biomed master Res. 2002; 62: 275- 82.
13. Melkonian G, Munoz N, Chung J, Tong C, Marr R, Talbot P. Capillary plexus development in the day five to day six chick chorioallantoic membrane is inhibited by cytochlasin D and suramin.; J. Exp. Zoology; 2002; 292: 241- 54.
14. Manikandan P, Vidjaya LP, Pratibha D, Nagini S. Proliferation, angiogenesis and apoptosis-associated proteins are molecular target for chemoprevention of MNNG-induced gastric carcinogenesis by ethanolic *Ocimum sanctum* leaf extract.; Singapore Med. J.; 2007; 48(7); 645- 51
15. He L, Mo H, Hadisusilu S, Quresi AA, Elson CE. Isoprenoids suppress the growth of murine B16 malanomas in vitro; J. Nutr. ; 1997; 127: 668- 74.



Plate I



Morphometric evaluation of chick CAM for angiogenesis

- A- Piece of normal CAM
- B- piece of sham control CAM
- C- Piece of DNS control CAM
- D- Piece of *O. sanctum* leaf extract treated CAM
- PBV- primary blood vessel
- SBV- secondary blood vessel
- TBV- tertiary blood vessel

Plate II



Histological evaluation chick CAM for angiogenesis

T. S. of chick CAM

A-Normal CAM

B-Sham control CAM

C- DNS control CAM

D- *O.sanctum* leaf extract treated CAM

BV- blood vessel

CPL- capillary plexus

ECT-ectoderm

END- endoderm

MES- mesoderm

Fig. 1
Effect of *O. sanctum* leaf extract on number of blood vessels in chick CAM




Fig. 2
Effect of *O. sanctum* leaf extract on number of blood vessels in chick CAM





Fig. 3
Effect of *O. sanctum* leaf extract on area of chick CAM



This page is intentionally left blank



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: C
BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE

Volume 15 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2015

Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal

Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)

Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Evaluation of Hygienic Status and Marketing System of Raw Cow Milk in Different Critical Points of Oromia Special Zone

By Amistu Kuma, Melese Abdisa & Degefa Tolossa

Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia

Abstract- The study was conducted at peri-Addis Ababa districts of Oromia with the aim of assessing hygienic status, knowledge gap, constraints affecting production, marketing and consumption of milk. A total of 102 milk producing farmers at Holeta, Sebeta and Sululta districts, Informal merchant, collection centers dairy cooperative and retail centers at Addis Ababa were engaged by using multi-stage purposive sampling method. About 99% of participants in the areas market whole milk and 94% of the milk produced per households was sold. About 96.1 and 23% of the participants stated that milk production and marketing in areas maintain household food security and profitable farm activity respectively. The major challenges of milk production and marketing in the areas were; feed shortage, high feed cost, disease, shortage of land for grazing, and price fluctuation during fasting season, long term contract for milk marketing and milk quality, respectively.

Keywords: *hygienic practice , food security, value chain, critical points, raw milk, knowledge gap.*

GJSFR-C Classification : FOR Code: 069999



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



Evaluation of Hygienic Status and Marketing System of Raw Cow Milk in Different Critical Points of Oromia Special Zone

Amistu Kuma ^α, Melese Abdisa ^σ & Degefa Tolossa ^ρ

Abstract- The study was conducted at peri-Addis Ababa districts of Oromia with the aim of assessing hygienic status, knowledge gap, constraints affecting production, marketing and consumption of milk. A total of 102 milk producing farmers at Holeta, Sebeta and Sululta districts, Informal merchant, collection centers dairy cooperative and retail centers at Addis Ababa were engaged by using multi-stage purposive sampling method. About 99% of participants in the areas market whole milk and 94% of the milk produced per households was sold. About 96.1 and 23% of the participants stated that milk production and marketing in areas maintain household food security and profitable farm activity respectively. The major challenges of milk production and marketing in the areas were; feed shortage, high feed cost, disease, shortage of land for grazing, and price fluctuation during fasting season, long term contract for milk marketing and milk quality, respectively. About 40% uses traditional flavoring agents and anti-microbial effect for cleaning milk transporting equipments. Channels of milk marketing involved in this area include direct sellers, milk collection centers, informal merchants, milk cooperative unions, hotels, dairy product processing plants and retail shops. However, majority of the participants brought their milk to the collection center and private dairy processing plants. About 68.6 % and 31.4% of the participants bring milk twice daily to collection center and private processing plant, respectively. About 98%, 97.1 and 94.15% of the participants in the study sites used plastic utensils for milking, storing before transportation and transporting milk. Besides, lack of training for producers, lack of awareness on standard milk and milk product production and marketing, lack of aseptic milk handling and use of traditional flavor plants on milk microbial load were major knowledge gap in the areas and milk available to the consumer in Addis Ababa via different supply chain critical points have low hygienic status according to American and European community member state.

Keywords: hygienic practice , food security, value chain, critical points, raw milk, knowledge gap.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia is believed to have the largest livestock population in Africa. Despite its huge population, the livestock subsector in the country is less

productive in general, and compared to its potential, the direct contribution to the national economy is limited (Kedija *et al.*, 2008; Sintayehu *et al.*, 2008). Consequently, the national milk production and overall milk consumption in Ethiopia are very low, even compared with other African countries of lowest livestock population (Zegeye, 2003; Melese and Beyene, 2009).

For smallholder farmers, dairying provides the opportunity to the efficient land use, labor and feed resources and generates regular income (Yitaye *et al.*, 2009). In Ethiopia, one of the developing countries, urban and peri-urban dairying constitutes an important sector of the agricultural production system (Yitaye *et al.*, 2009). Livestock represents major national resources and form an integral part of agricultural production system (Gebrewold *et al.*, 2000). Cows contribute to about 95% of the total annual milk produced at national level, while small ruminants and camels contribute 12.5% and 6.3%, respectively (Kedija *et al.*, 2008 and CSA, 2010). More than 75% of the product is absorbed locally for consumption (Getachew and Gashaw, 2001).

Dairy production, among the sector of livestock production systems, is a critical issue in Ethiopia where livestock and its products are important source of food and income, and dairying have not been fully exploited and promoted in the country (Sintayehu *et al.*, 2008). To be effective, the efforts to improve the productivity of smallholder dairy production and improve its market orientation needs to be supported and informed by detailed understanding of the current and dynamic condition of production, marketing, processing and consumption of milk and dairy products (Asfaw, 2009).

In the context of developing countries, the potential advantages of market-oriented smallholder dairying is improving the welfare of farm households and its multiplier effects on other sectors of the economy. Milk and milk products generates income for the farm households on regular basis, milk provides a highly nutritious food for people of all age groups and particularly for infants and lactating mothers thus reducing the problem of malnutrition among rural households and the value adding activities such as the processing, marketing and distribution of milk and milk

Author ^α: College of Agriculture, Department of Animal and Range Sciences, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia.
e-mail: amistu_5@yahoo.com

Author ^σ: Center for Food Science and Nutrition, College of Natural Science, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Author ^ρ: College of Development Studies, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

products also create employment opportunities in the rural and urban sectors (Bennet *et al.*, 2006 and Asfaw, 2009).

Nutritionally, milk has been defined as the most nearly "perfect food". It is a compensatory part of daily diet especially for the mothers with child as well as growing children (Javaid *et al.*, 2009; Olatunji *et al.*, 2012). It is daily produced, sold for cash or readily processed. It is a cash crop in the milk-shed areas that enables families to buy other foodstuffs, contributing significantly to the household food security. It also constitutes a significant proportion of the value of all livestock food products in Ethiopia (about 56%), while livestock food products constitute an important proportion of the value of total food products in the country (Belete *et al.*, 2010).

Milk is a complex biological fluid and by its nature, a good growth medium for many microorganisms. Because of its physico-chemical properties, it needs strict hygienic condition to avoid contamination of milk with microorganisms. Therefore, the microbial content of milk is a major feature in determining its quality (Rogelj, 2003). Food quality and safety standards in Ethiopia are one of the most concern areas because producers need to minimize loss while the general public would like to have a fair idea of what standard of food to buy for consumption. Also the safety of the food supplied for consumption especially for foods like milk is of paramount concern.

Microbial load is a major factor in determining milk quality. It indicates the hygienic level exercised during milking, cleanliness of the milk utensils, condition of storage, and manner of transport as well as the cleanliness of the udder of the individual animals (Ahmed, 2009; Fatine *et al.*, 2012). The initial microbiological quality of milk can vary substantially based on factors such as the health of the animal, the sanitary condition of the milking environment and milker (Biruk *et al.*, 2009).

Microbial contamination of milk can therefore originate from within the udder; the exterior of the teats and udder; and from the milk handling and storage equipment (Biruk *et al.*, 2009; Negash *et al.*, 2012). Unsafe milk not only impairs with public health but also its perishable nature makes it most susceptible to spoilage organisms that could result in quantitative loss of the milk. Hence, the quantitative loss of meager resource milk, due to spoilage could affect not only the small holder milk producer but also the consumption by urban dwellers and the entire nation. A range of factors can lead to food being unsafe, such as poor handling and storage conditions, naturally occurring toxins in food itself, contaminated water, pesticides and drug residues, and lack of adequate temperature control. Such safety problems, in extreme cases, can have

negative impact on the food security status of a country (FAO, 2011).

FAO (2011) defines food loss as the decrease in edible food mass throughout the supply chain which could have a significant impact on the livelihoods of many smallholders given that most of them live on the margins of food insecurity. These losses can occur at production, postharvest and processing stages in the chain (Parfitt *et al.*, 2010). For milk, losses at agricultural production level refer to decreased milk production due to unhealthy dairy cow and its environment. At postharvest handling and storage, milk loss is caused by mishandling and degradation during transportation between farm and distribution. The quality of milk may be lowered by numerous factors such as adulteration, contamination during and after milking and the presence of udder infections (Esron *et al.*, 2005).

Seventy percent of total milk sold in Addis Ababa informally comes from smallholder dairy production system located around Addis Ababa. The raw milk is thus marketed directly or through middlemen without any form of pasteurization or quality control measures (Ashenafi, 2002; Zelalem and Faye, 2006). Hygienic production and safe handling of milk from the production to consumption chain has always been a matter of consumer complaint on the ground that the milk is presumed sub standard. This could partly be attributed to non-existence of dairy facilities at small holders' production system. Usually milk is collected in a milk collection container, before loading to centers of processing or milk retail shops.

Awareness and knowledge of available standards for dairy products, processing, handling and marketing is not well ahead. One can presume that milk at the spot of immediate production may neither be sub-standard nor adulterated. Most of the concern of quality and safety are raised as milk starts along the supply chain.

In the first step, diagnostic survey was made and discussions were held with agricultural extension officers and available dairy cooperatives/unions in the three districts. Two villages were selected purposively from each district on the basis of dairy production potential, linkage to milk market, access to supply milk collection center, presence of dairy cooperative unions and accessibility. Subsequently, a total of 102 dairy farmers (40 from Holeta, 30 from Sululta and 32 from Sebeta) were selected with the help of Development Agents and used as study participants.

In addition to milk producing households, collection centers, informal merchants and dairy cooperative union at each districts were interviewed referring to milk marketing outlets, handling patterns and transportation of products to further processing and final consumers.

Following the routes, milk retailers' in Addis Ababa were also interviewed on milk handling, transportation, cooling system and if they met long-term consumer's milk demand and preference. Data were analyzed using SPSS software (ver.16, 2007) package. Descriptive statistics such as mean, frequency distribution and percentage was used to report data from survey study. Significant log mean differences were separated based on Least Significant Difference (LSD) test mean separation technique. Means were declared significant at ($p < 0.05$).

II. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a) Herd structure

About 19.6% ($n=20$) of the participants own local dairy cows. However, majority of the participants own cross breed dairy cows. The mean number of cross breed and local cows were 2.54 ± 0.17 and 2.50 ± 0.17 , respectively, per households on the study sites. About 43.2% of the participants have more than three milking cows, 18.3% own three milking cows, 17.9% own two dairy cows and 20.6% own only one dairy cow per households. This implies that milk production is one of important income generating activity in the areas and contributes greatly to household food security and economy.

b) Hygienic practices

Hygienic practices are major pathways to produce safe and quality products for the consumers there by reduces microbial contamination and loss of product. Source and type of water used for washing hand and utensil have profound effect on microbial contamination of the milk. About 26.5, 6.9, 46.1, 2.9 and 17.6% of the participants only used cold pipe water, warm river water, warm pipe, cold river water and cold well water, respectively for washing udder and teat before milking in the whole study site (Table 1). Additionally, through hand washing (especially in the developing countries) in between milking, during pre-milking and post-milking stages by using safe disinfectants can enhance the safety of fresh milk (Oliver, 2005).

Only 77.2% of the study participants wash their hands before milking in all the study sites. The proportion was higher at Sebeta then Holeta 77.2 and 76.5%, respectively. This is due to lack of training for producers and other milk handlers on the washing of their hands and milk utensils that mitigate the growth of microorganisms and maintaining the safety of products thereby enhancing the safe product available for consumers and reduce the loss of product that have profound effect on food security.

Table 1 : Percentage hygienic practices of dairy farmers followed during milking at different study sites

	<i>Districts</i>		
	<i>Sebeta</i>	<i>Sululta</i>	<i>Holeta</i>
Hygienic practices	n=32	n=30	n=40
Practicing barn cleaning daily	94.4	95.7	98.6
Using bedding materials for milking cows	26.6	63.4	78.6
Producers followed during milking			
Washing udder before and after milking	--	--	--
Washing udder before milking only	82.5	86.3	93.3
Not common practice	3.7	3.2	--
Some times	13.2	10.5	6.7
Washing hands before milking	77.2	70.9	76.5
Type of water used for udder washing			
Cold	28.1	20.0	37.5
Warm	59.7	70.0	50
Both alternatively	9.7	-	8.3
Sources of water for farm activities			
Warm tap/Pipe water	76.7	73.6	79.0
Well water	4.6	1.2	2.0
River water	18.7	25.1	19.0

Majority of participants did not use bedding materials for milking cows in the whole study areas. But the proportion was very low for Sebeta which was related to high price of material and unavailability. Only 26.6, 63.4 and 78.6% of the respondents at Sebeta, Sululta and Holeta, respectively, use bedding materials. Use of bedding materials and frequent cleaning of barn have profound effect on reducing microbial contamination of teat and udder (Sintayehu *et al.*, 2008).

According to study participants, about 40% uses traditional flavoring agents and anti-microbial effect for cleaning milk transporting equipments. Among them about 22.5% and 20.6% used 'woira' and 'Kosorot' respectively and the remaining used 'Ajekis' and 'Largo' for washing equipments. Almost all of the participants in the study area use plastic materials for milking, storage and transportation of milk and only insignificant number of participants; 1.2% and 1.3% used metal can and

stainless steel respectively and 1.1 % used clay pot for storage before transportation.

Table 2 : Percentage milking procedure and frequency of dairy farmers followed during milking at different study site

	Districts Sebeta	Sululta	Holeta
Pre-milking procedure	n=32	n=30	n=40
Use of towel for drying udder			
Common towel for cleaning and drying udder and teat	48.1	5	72.2
Individual towel for each	3.4	4.3	3.8
Massage with bare hand	64.4	59.1	50.3
No washing and drying	3.5	10.0	12.4
Milking procedure			
Hand milking	100	100	100
Machine milking	--	--	--
Milking Frequency			
Once daily	2.1	2.6	2.0
Twice a daily	96.3	96.8	97.3

Almost all participants households in the study sites follows milking their cows per day, (91.2%)morning and afternoon, (6.9%)morning only and (1%) milk cows either mid day, evening or morning. The result of present study was similar to that of Sintayehu *et al.* (2008) who stated majority of the participants (96.3%) milk their cows twice daily in Shashmane-Dilla area, Southern Ethiopia.

c) Milk production per households and milking practice

Milk production and marketing have a significant effect on the household food security as well as contributing to the national GDP. Table 3 indicates milk production per household.

Table 3 : Mean number of milking cows per/household and milk produced per study sites

Variables	Sebeta n=32	Districts	
		Holeta N=40	Sululta N=30
No. of cows currently milked			
One	8(27.5)	10(24.5)	8(24.1)
Two	6(22.5)	7(16.7)	6(17.2)
Three	5(18.6)	7(17.2)	11(29.6)
More than three	9(31.4)	12 (29.8)	10(28.8)
1-5 liters	1(2.9)	1(3.4)	1(3.1)
6-10 liters	11(38.6)	15(37.3)	13(36.9)
> 10 liters	14(52.0)	20(49.1)	18(53.4)
> 15 liters	2(6.5)	4(10.2)	2(6.6)
Use of cooling system			
Refrigerator	1(3.3)	1(1.6)	1(2.6)
Traditional system	11(40.0)	20(49.1)	17(49.6)
At room temperature	16 (56.7)	19(49.3)	16(47.2)

The mean number of cow from which milk is pooled daily was 2.59 ± 0.114 per household in the whole study areas (Table 3). Majority of participants in the study areas pool milk from more than three cows (31.4%), from two cows (22.5%), from three cows(14.1%) and the remaining were from only one cows(20.7%). About 52% of the participants in study sites produce on average more than 10 liters of milk daily and 45.1% and 2.9% of participants respectively

produce 6-10 and 1-5 liters of milk per day/cow. This implies that majority of study participants produce and market high amount of milk that helps to sustain their household food security. Consumption of milk at household level was very low and majority of milk was sold per households that help to generate income.

On the contrary to the present finding, another study Teshager *et al.* (2013) found higher mean (96%) of milk consumption per household. About 96.1% of the

participants intended to expand milk production for the future while the remaining was not interested to do so. About (96.1%) and (2.3%) of the participants, respectively responded that milk production maintains household food production and generates income/profitable.

d) *Milk production and marketing in area*

Marketing system of milk at study area is unorganized and is carried out through direct sellers (milk passes directly from the producer to the consumer) and indirect marketing channels where several agencies operate between producer and consumer.

The channels in marketing of milk involved in this area include direct sellers, milk collection centers, informal merchants, milk cooperative unions, hotels, dairy product processing plants and retail shops. However, majority of the participants brought their milk to the collection center and private dairy processing plants. Almost all of the participants were marketing milk travelling on foot by holding milk and small number of the participants were supplying milk by travelling by horse cart and others are by using bicycle. That was in line with Kedija *et al.* (2008), who reported majority of participants were market milk travelling on foot by holding milk in Meiso districts of Oromia.

Majority of milk was marketed to collection centers in the case of Holeta and Sululta and then to Addis Ababa where as in the case of Sebeta, majority of milk taken to private milk processing plant, collection center to Addis Ababa and informal merchants contribute to higher share of milk marketing outlets. About 68.6 % and 31.4% of the participants bring milk twice daily to collection center and private processing plant, respectively. About 99% of the participants were marketing milk in the form of whole milk. Whereas Teshager *et al.* (2013) reported that traditionally selling of raw milk was considered as taboo and none of the respondents were involved in raw milk marketing in Algie, Oromia regional state, Ethiopia. Besides the report is higher that 64.4% that is reported by Teshager *et al.* (2013) from south western parts of Oromia.

The result of current the study for milk marketing was higher than that reported by Teshager *et al.* (2013) in Ilu Aba Bora zone in that only 10.5% overall milk was marketed which indicates that milk production is the major income generating activity in the area that helps to maintain household food security. But the results of current study agreed with that of Agza *et al.* (2013) that showed about 94% of milk produced was sold while 6% was retained for home consumption that shows the producers provide good service to the community in the area by serving as a good source of milk supply.

e) *Milk production and household food security*

Food security is alarming issue in worldwide currently. In its broad term food security describes

safety, quality and enough food for all members of household to maintain productive and healthy life. Majority of participants in the area responded that milk production and marketing have a key role in maintaining household food security and nutritious diet to all household members.

About 52%, 45.1% and 2.9% of the participants produced more than 10 liters, 6-10 liters and 1-5 liters of milk per households per day on average, respectively. This indicates that the areas were potential for milk production and it contributes significantly to household food security.

About 67.3% of participants in three districts showed that milk production and marketing plays invaluable role in household food security. From the total participants about 51.2%, 17.1 and 22% stated that milk production used as source of purchasing food crop, students school fee and saving bank, respectively. At household level, females play great role in milking, milk handling and marketing of milk. About 47.5%, 12.9% and 39.6 female, male and both gender, respectively, of the participants declared that involvement in milk production in all districts.

f) *Milk handling practices*

Major factors that affect quality of dairy products are related with type and hygienic status of milking utensils used as well as method and frequency of cleaning udder, storage of milk and transportation utensils. About 98%, 97.1 and 94.15% of the participants in the study sites used plastic utensils for milking, storing before transportation and transporting milk. The result of present study was higher than that reported by Sintayehu *et al.* (2008) in Southern Ethiopia. Besides, significant number of respondents use plastic jar having narrow neck which may not be suitable for cleaning and may cause for microbial growth. More than half of the study participants did not use aroma producing plants like woira (*Olea africana*) that have profound effect on reducing growth of microorganisms (Sintayehu *et al.*, 2008 and Asfaw, 2008). On the other hand, some participants use 'Ajekis' and Largo 'liquid soap' for washing utensils.

g) *Major challenges of Milk production and marketing in the study areas*

Milk production is one of crucial income generating activity that maintains household food security and national economy as whole. However, it is challenged by a number of factors that hinder level of production as well as safety issues of the product. As indicated in the figure1, the major challenges identified in the study sites include; feed shortage, high price of feed, disease, lack of capital, price fluctuation/market condition, and shortage of land for expansion.

Almost all of the participants were claiming feed shortage and high price of feed resource as the major

challenge in the areas. Similarly, different research works Agza *et al.* (2013); Teshager *et al.* (2013); Kedija *et al.* (2008) in different parts of Oromia were implicated that milk production in Ethiopia is highly hindered by

one or more of the above mentioned factors that affect productivity of milking cows as well as household income from them.




Figure 1 : The major challenges of milk production in the study site

On top of the above factors that challenge milk production in the areas, the milk produced also doesn't reach point of final consumption at required time and condition of product that creates conducive environment for growth of many microorganisms that spoil products and results in food safety hazard as well as loss of

products. Major problems of milk marketing in the area identified were indicated in Figure 2 and include; price fluctuation during fasting months, distance to selling centers and/or market, long term contracts, milk quality, lack of quality based pricing system.




Figure 2 : The major challenges of milk marketing in the study areas

As majority of community members in the areas were Orthodox Christian followers and they do have long fasting season that abstains consumption of animal products. This also resulted in price fluctuation in milk marketing. About 96% of the participants in the area responded that fasting season has a profound effect in the amount of milk marketed and diminution of its price. Besides to that, lack of well sophisticated transportation system, lack of consistent/long term customer flow especially during fasting season, lack of cooling system and lack of standard for pricing system have also their negative contribution to marketing of milk in the areas. Problems identified were slightly similar to that reported by (Teshager *et al.*, 2013). Majority of the participants in the study area complains that during fasting season both collection centers and private milk processing

plants restricts the amount of milk to be brought to the center. These factors coupled with unavailability and expensiveness of raw materials in the area discourages milk producing households.

h) Awareness on milk production, transportation and marketing system

The level of awareness among producers play great role to maintain products in safer condition and good marketability of the products there by ensuring household food security as well improving economic status. However, although the sites are potential for milk production, majority of the participants were not in position to get support from responsible bodies for future expansion of the business and they have not got adequate training on milk production, transportation and marketing system.

According to the participants, only 52.5% of the respondents got training on milk production only from government where as the others were not well oriented in producing the product that penetrate the market and competitive in area. The level of awareness contributes a lion's share in producing market competitive product there by maintaining household food security and national economy as well.

Besides to this, awareness trigger producers to produce safe and quality item there by helps to reduce loss of product during milking, transportation and marketing chain. Due to lack of awareness, majority of the participants were not member of milk cooperative in the area. Only 45.1% of the participants were members of milk cooperatives and others were not cooperative members that challenge them in marketing the products especially during fasting season. As majority of the participants said that those who are member of dairy cooperative were not face problem of milk marketing even during long fasting season because they have agreement in milk marketing throughout production period.

Use of detergent for cleaning and traditional flavoring plants for milking and milk storing equipments have significant effect on the microbial growth on the milk. However, almost all of the participants were using 'Ajekis' for washing milking and milk transporting equipments. Only insignificant numbers of the participants were use traditional flavoring plants for washing and smoking of milking, milk storage and transporting equipments.

III. CONCLUSION

Milk production and marketing is one of the most important farm activities that helps to generate income for households, maintain household food security in study areas and contributes to national economy as well. Milk production in the study sites was highly constrained by production, handling and marketing problems that reduce the amount to be produced, safety of the product and uniform distribution of particular food item between or within group/food security in particular. The major problems identified in the areas were feed shortage and its high cost as well as price fluctuation between fasting and no fasting periods of milk consumption.

The major challenges of milk production and marketing in the areas were; feed shortage, high feed cost, disease, shortage of land for grazing, and price fluctuation during fasting season, long term contract for milk marketing and milk quality, respectively. Besides, lack of training for producers, lack of awareness on standard milk and milk product production and marketing, lack of aseptic milk handling and use of traditional flavor plants on milk microbial load were major knowledge gap in the areas.

Farm households market raw whole milk mainly to private milk processing plant, milk collection center and dairy cooperative unions rather than local market in the study area. The result obtained in this study concluded that milk available to the consumer in Addis Ababa via different supply chain critical points have low hygienic status according to American and European community member state. Milk marketing actors especially from collection center to retail shop and/vendors should use refrigerated vehicle and cold chain in place of open container and vehicle to maintain bulk tank temperature there by minimize microbial growth during transportation and storage.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Agza B., Melesse K., Funga A. and Kassech M.(2013). 'Assessment of knowledge gap and factors affecting consumption of dairy products in Ada'a & Lume districts of East Showa Zone, Ethiopia.' *African Journal of Food Science and Technology* 4(9):201-210.
2. Ahmed, M. E. (2009). 'The association between bulk tank milk analysis for raw milk quality and on-farm manages. practices. URL:udini.proquest.com/view/the-association-between-bulk-tank-pqid:1855008811/. Accessed at 22/ 11/2013.
3. Ahmed, M.I.(2007). 'The hygienic quality of raw milk produced by some dairy farms in Khartoum-Sudan'. *Journal of Microbiology*, 2: 988-991.
4. Ahmed, A.(2011). Investigation on nutritional and microbiological properties of camel (*camelus dromedarius*)milk :a case study of Mieso district, Oromia, Ethiopia MSc. Thesis Submitted to Center for Food Science and Nutrition, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
5. Azage, T.(2003). Financing market oriented dairy development: the case of Ada'a-Liben districts Dairy Association ,Ethiopia. Urban Agricultural Magazine. No.9. Koninklijke.
6. Belete Anteneh, Azage Tegegne, Fekadu Beyene and Berhanu Gebremedhin (2010). Cattle milk and meat production and marketing systems and opportunities for market-orientation in Fogera woreda, Amhara region, Ethiopia. IPMS (Improving Productivity and Market Success) of Ethiopian Farmers Project Working Paper 19. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya. 65 pp.
7. Beyene F. (1994). Present situation and future aspects of milk production, milk handling and processing of dairy products in Southern Ethiopia. Food production strategies and limitations: The case of Aneno, Bulbula and Dongora in Southern Ethiopia. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Food Science. Agricultural University of Norway. Norway.

8. Biruk, H, Samson, W, Zelalem, Y (2009). Microbial properties of milk and traditionally produced Ethiopian fermented milk products: a review. Proceedings of the 17th Annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, September 24 to 26. pp. 137-148.
9. CSA.(2010). Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Central Statistical Authority. Livestock and livestock characterization. Agri. Survey. 2(468): 107.
10. CSA (2013). Agricultural Sample Survey 2012/13 [2005 E.C.] Volume II. Report on Livestock and Livestock Characteristics (Private Peasant Holdings) Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency (CSA).Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
11. El-Behoos St., Dokki, Giza, Dweik, M., Stringer, R. C., Dastider, S. G., Wu, Y., Almasri, M. and Barizuddin, S. (2012); Specific and targeted detection of viable *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 using a sensitive and reusable impedance biosensor with dose and time response studies. Department of Dairy Science, National Research Center, Talanta 94(0): 84-89.
12. EL-zubeir IEM, Ahmed, M I.(2007). 'The hygienic quality of raw milk produced by some dairy farms in Khartoum-Sudan'. *Journal of Microbiology*, 2: 988-991.
13. Eson, D., Karimuebo E, Lughano T, Kusiluka RH, Melegela Aglowisye M, Kapaa M, Calvin S. (2005). 'Study on Mastitis, milk quality and health risk associated with consumption of milk from pastoral herds in Dodoma and Morgora region'. *Tanzania, Journal of Veterinary Science* 6: 213-221.
14. Fadda, M.E., Mossa, V., Pisano, M.B., Deplano, M., Cosentino, S.(2004). 'Occurrence and characterization of yeasts isolated from artisanal Fiore Sardo cheese'. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 95; 51-59.
15. FAO(2008). Milk hygiene in milking, milk production hygiene and udder health. FAO Animal Production and Health Papers-78. FAO Corporate Document Repository.(CDR), pp.1-7.
16. FAO (2011). Global food losses and food waste: Extent causes and prevention. Study conducted for the International Congress, SAVE FOOD, at Interpack 2011, Düsseldorf, Germany.
17. Fatine, H., Abdelmoula, E, Doha B, Hinde, H. (2012). 'Bacterial Quality of Informally Marketed Raw Milk in Kenitra City, Morocco'. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*;11(8): 662-669.
18. Garedew L, Berhanu A, Mengesha D, Tsegay G.(2012). Identification of gram-negative bacteria from critical control points of raw and pasteurized cow milk consumed at Gondar town and its suburbs, Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*; 12:950.
19. Gebrewold A, Alemayehu M, Demeke S, Dediye S and Tadesse A.(2000). Status of Dairy Research in Ethiopia. In: The role of village dairy co-operatives in dairy development. Small holder dairy development project (SDDP), Proceeding of Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
20. Javaid, SB, Gadahi JA, Khaskeli M, Bhutto M B, Kumbher S and Panhwar A H.(2009). 'Physical and chemical quality of market milk sold at Tandojam, Pakistan'. *Pakistan Vet. J.* 29(1): 27-31.
21. Jayarao, B. M., Donaldson, S. C., Straley, B. A., Sawant, A. A., Hegde, N. V. and Brown, J. L. (2006). 'A survey of food-borne pathogens in bulk tank milk and raw milk consumption among farm families in Pennsylvania'. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89: 2451-2458.
22. Kedija, H., Azage, T., Mohammed, Y. K., and Berhanu, G., (2008). Traditional cow and camel milk production and marketing in agropastoral and mixed crop-livestock systems: The case of Mieso District, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. IPMS (Improving Productivity and Market Success) of Ethiopian Farmers Project Working Paper 13. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya. 56:1-3
23. Lues, JFR, De Beer H, Jacoby A, Jansen KE, Shale K.(2010). 'Microbial quality of milk, produced by small scale farmers in a peri-urban area in South Africa.' *African J Microbiology Res*;4(17):1823- 1830.
24. Lundy, M., Gottret, M., Cifuentes, W., Ostertag, C., Best, R., Peters, D., Ferris, S.(2004). Increasing the competitiveness of market chains with smallholder producers. Field Manual 3. The Territorial Approach to Rural Agro-enterprise Development. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, Cali, Colombia.
25. Mekonnen, A.(2009). Isolation and Identification of *Staphylococcus* Species from Cottage Cheese (Ayib) and Raw Bovine Milk in Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, MSc. Thesis Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Debre Zeit, Ethiopia.
26. Mohammed, A M A, Simeon E, Yemeserach A.(2004). Dairy Development in Ethiopia. EPTD Discussion Paper No.123.International Food Policy Research Institute, NW Washington, D.C
27. Nebiyu RG.(2008).Traditional and improved milk and milk products handling practices and compositional and microbial quality of raw milk and buttermilk in Delebo water shade of Wolayita zone. MSc. Thesis, Hawassa University, Ethiopia.
28. O'Connor C B. (1994). Rural Dairy Technology. ILRI training manual No. 1. International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 133p.
29. Olatunji, EA., Jubril AE, Okpu EO, Olafadehan OA, Ijah UJ and Njidda AA.(2012). Bacterial Assessment

- and Quality Analysis of Raw Milk Sold in Gwagwalada Area Council of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria. Food Science and Quality Manage. 7, ISSN 2224-6088 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0557.
30. Olfa, S B., Rouaa L, Imène F, Hamadi A and Mohamed A A. (2013). 'Detection of *Escherichia coli* in unpasteurized raw Milk', *International Journal of Agricultural and Food Science*, 3(2): 53-55
 31. Oliver, SP., Jayarao BM, Almeida R A. (2005). Food borne pathogens in milk and the dairy farm environment. Food safety and public health implication. Food borne Pathog. Dis., (2): 115-129.
 32. Ombui, J.N., Kaburia, H.F., Macharia, J.K. and Nduhiu, G.(1994). 'Coliform counts and *Escherichia coli* in raw commercial milk from dairy farmers in Kiambu District, Kenya'. *East African Medical Journal*, 71: 635-639.
 33. Padulosi, S., Noun J, Giuliani A, Shuman F, Rojas W, Ravi B. (2004). Realizing the benefits in neglected and underutilized plant species through technology transfers and human resources development. In: Proceedings of the Norway/UN Conference on Technology Transfer and Capacity Building, 23-27 May, 2004. Trondheim, Norway. Pp. 117-127.
 34. Park, Y W.(2006). Goat milk-chemistry and nutrition. In: Park, Y W , Haenlein, G F W (eds.), Handbook of Milk and Non-bovine Mammals. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Professional, UK, 34-58.
 35. Perko, B.(2011). Effect of prolonged storage on microbiological quality of raw milk, Mljekarstvo ;61 (2): 114-124.
 36. Popescu, A. and Angel, E.(2009). Analysis of milk quality and its importance for milk processors. *Lucrări Științifice Zootehnie Și Biotehnologii, Timisoara*, 42(1):501- 503.
 37. Quinn, PJ., Carter ME, Markey B, Carter GR (1999).Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Moss by International Limited, Spain, 118-143:209-242
 38. Radostits, OM., Gay CC, Hinchcliff KW, Vonstable PO. (2007). Veterinary Medicine, a Text Book of the Disease of Cattle, Horses, Sheep, Pigs and Goats.10th ed. London: Saunders Elsevier. pp. 896 - 921.
 39. Ramesh, C., Chandan(2006). Manufacturing Yogurt and Fermented Milks, 6th ed., Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp.7-40.
 40. Randolph, H(2006). Identifying spoilage bacteria and potential shelf- life problems. *Dairy Food/Find Article* 1-3.
 41. Rehrachie, M., Yohannes, G. (2000). Effect of supplementary concentrate feeds with different ingredients for local and crossbred cows on major milk constituents. Proceedings of 7th annual conference of Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, May 26-27 1999. pp. 263-267.
 42. Rezaei, MF., Karimi, M Yahyaei, H Javdani, A Shahabi and Farahi, A (2013). The survey of microbial total count and prevalence of *Escherichia coli* in raw milk in Markazi Province, Iran. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 3(12), 474-477.
 43. Sintayehu, Y., Beyene .F, Tegegne A, Gebremedhin B(2008). Dairy production, processing and marketing systems of Shashemene- Dilla area, South Ethiopia. Improving Productivity and Market Success (IPMS), International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI),Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
 44. SNV. (2008). Netherlands Development Organization Study on Dairy Investment Opportunities in Ethiopia.
 45. Soares, V.A., Kus, M.M., Peixoto, A.C., Carrocci, J.S., Salazar, R.F., Filho, H.I., (2009). Determination of nutritional and toxic elements in pasteurized bovine milk from Vale do Paraíba region(Brazil). *Food Control*, 21: 45-49.
 46. SPSS (Statistical Procedures for Social Sciences) (2007). SPSS (Version 16). Statistical. SPSS BI Survey Tips.Inc. Chicago, USA.
 47. Tassew, A., and Seifu, E. (2011). 'Microbial quality of raw cow's milk collected from farmers and dairy cooperatives in Bahir Dar Zuria and Mecha district, Ethiopia'. *Agriculture, Biology J. N. Am.* 2(1): 29-33.
 48. Tebug, S. F., Kasulo, V., Chikagwa-Malunga S., Wiedemann S., Roberts D. J. and Chagunda M. G.(2012). Smallholder dairy production in Northern Malawi: production practices and constraints. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 44 (1), 55– 62.
 49. Tesafye, L., Puskur R., Azage T. and Hoekstra D.(2008).“Exploring innovation capacity in the Ethiopian dairy systems.” Paper presented on the international conference on: Advancing Agriculture in Developing Countries through Knowledge and Innovation, International Food Policy Research Institute, Addis Ababa.
 50. Teshager, A., Belay, D., and Taye, T. (2013). Smallholder Cattle Milk Production, Utilization and ,Marketing Pattern in Different Agro-Ecological Districts of Ilu Aba Bora Zone, Southwestern Ethiopia'. *American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research*; 8(1): 24-30, 2013 ISSN 1818-6785 ;DOI: 10.5829/idosi.aejr.2013.8.1.6671.
 51. Tola, A., Ofodile, LN., and Fikadu, B. (2007). Microbial quality and chemical composition of raw whole milk from Horro cattle in East Wollega, Ethiopia. Available on: www.ajol.info/index.php/ejes/article/View/41995
 52. Tola, A. (2002). Traditional milk and milk products handling practices and raw milk quality in eastern Wollega. MSc Thesis. Alemaya University, Ethiopia.

53. Ulfina, G., Jiregna, D., Alganesh, T., Shiv, P. and Late Mulugeta, K. (2013). 'Dairy Production Potential and Challenges in Western Oromia Milk Value Chain, Oromia, Ethiopia'. *Journal of Agriculture and Sustainability* ISSN 2201-4357 (2)1: 1-21
54. Vasanthakumari, R. (2009). *Practical Microbiology*. BI Publications Pvt Ltd, New Delhi; 57.
55. Yagoub, SO., Awadalla, NE., El Zubeir, IEM.(2005). 'Incidence of some potential pathogens in raw milk in Khartoum North (Sudan) and their susceptibility to antimicrobial agents.' *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advance*; 4:341-344.
56. Yitaye, AA., Maria, W., Azage, T. and Zollitsch, W. (2009). Performance and limitation of two dairy production System in the North western Ethiopian highlands. *Tropical Animal production and Health*;41(7):1143-1150.
57. Zelalem, Y. (2003). Sanitary conditions and microbial qualities of dairy products in urban and peri-urban dairy shed of the central Ethiopia. DEA. Lyon, France.
58. Zelalem, Y. and Faye, B. (2006). 'Handling and Microbial Load of Cow's Milk and Irgo - Fermented Milk Collected from Different Shops and Producers in Central Highlands of Ethiopia'. *Ethiopian Journal of Animal Production*; 6(2):67-82
59. Zucali, M., Bava, L., Tamburini A., Brasca, M., Vanoni L. and Sandrucci, A. (2011). 'Effects of season, milking routine and cow cleanliness on the bacterial and somatic cell counts of bulk tank milk.' *Journal of Research*; (4): 436-441.

GLOBAL JOURNALS INC. (US) GUIDELINES HANDBOOK 2015

WWW.GLOBALJOURNALS.ORG

FELLOWS

FELLOW OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (FARSS)

Global Journals Incorporate (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society (OARS), U.S.A and in turn, awards “FARSS” title to individuals. The 'FARSS' title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief/Editorial Board Members/Dean.



- The “FARSS” is a dignified title which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSS or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSS.

FARSS accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. After recognition as FARSB, you can add 'FARSS' title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, and Visiting Card etc.

The following benefits can be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification:



FARSS designated members are entitled to avail a 40% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) with Global Journals Incorporation (USA), if the same is accepted by Editorial Board/Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author in case of multiple authors, you will be entitled to avail discount of 10%.

Once FARSB title is accorded, the Fellow is authorized to organize a symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). The Fellow can also participate in conference/seminar/symposium organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent.



You may join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) after successful completion of three years as Fellow and as Peer Reviewer. In addition, it is also desirable that you should organize seminar/symposium/conference at least once.

We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.





The FARSS can go through standards of OARS. You can also play vital role if you have any suggestions so that proper amendment can take place to improve the same for the benefit of entire research community.

As FARSS, you will be given a renowned, secure and free professional email address with 100 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.



The FARSS will be eligible for a free application of standardization of their researches. Standardization of research will be subject to acceptability within stipulated norms as the next step after publishing in a journal. We shall depute a team of specialized research professionals who will render their services for elevating your researches to next higher level, which is worldwide open standardization.

The FARSS member can apply for grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A. Once you are designated as FARSS, you may send us a scanned copy of all of your credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria. After certification of all your credentials by OARS, they will be published on your Fellow Profile link on website <https://associationofresearch.org> which will be helpful to upgrade the dignity.



The FARSS members can avail the benefits of free research podcasting in Global Research Radio with their research documents. After publishing the work, (including published elsewhere worldwide with proper authorization) you can upload your research paper with your recorded voice or you can utilize chargeable services of our professional RJs to record your paper in their voice on request.



The FARSS member also entitled to get the benefits of free research podcasting of their research documents through video clips. We can also streamline your conference videos and display your slides/ online slides and online research video clips at reasonable charges, on request.





The FARSS is eligible to earn from sales proceeds of his/her researches/reference/review Books or literature, while publishing with Global Journals. The FARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in a closed manner. In this case, whenever readers purchase that individual research paper for reading, maximum 60% of its profit earned as royalty by Global Journals, will be credited to his/her bank account. The entire entitled amount will be credited to his/her bank account exceeding limit of minimum fixed balance. There is no minimum time limit for collection. The FARSS member can decide its price and we can help in making the right decision.

The FARSS member is eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account.



MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN SCIENCE (MARSS)

The ' MARSS ' title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief / Editorial Board Members/Dean.

The “MARSS” is a dignified ornament which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., MARSS or William Walldroff, M.S., MARSS.



MARSS accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. After becoming MARSS, you can add 'MARSS' title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, Visiting Card and Name Plate etc.

The following benefits can be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification.



MARSS designated members are entitled to avail a 25% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) in Global Journals Inc., if the same is accepted by our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author of a group of authors, you will get discount of 10%.

As MARSS, you will be given a renowned, secure and free professional email address with 30 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders, Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.





We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.

The MARSS member can apply for approval, grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A.



Once you are designated as MARSS, you may send us a scanned copy of all of your credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria.

It is mandatory to read all terms and conditions carefully.



AUXILIARY MEMBERSHIPS

Institutional Fellow of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)-OARS (USA)

Global Journals Incorporation (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) and in turn, affiliates research institutions as “Institutional Fellow of Open Association of Research Society” (IFOARS).

The “FARSC” is a dignified title which is accorded to a person’s name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSC or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSC.



The IFOARS institution is entitled to form a Board comprised of one Chairperson and three to five board members preferably from different streams. The Board will be recognized as “Institutional Board of Open Association of Research Society”-(IBOARS).

The Institute will be entitled to following benefits:



The IBOARS can initially review research papers of their institute and recommend them to publish with respective journal of Global Journals. It can also review the papers of other institutions after obtaining our consent. The second review will be done by peer reviewer of Global Journals Incorporation (USA). The Board is at liberty to appoint a peer reviewer with the approval of chairperson after consulting us.

The author fees of such paper may be waived off up to 40%.

The Global Journals Incorporation (USA) at its discretion can also refer double blind peer reviewed paper at their end to the board for the verification and to get recommendation for final stage of acceptance of publication.



The IBOARS can organize symposium/seminar/conference in their country on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)-OARS (USA). The terms and conditions can be discussed separately.

The Board can also play vital role by exploring and giving valuable suggestions regarding the Standards of “Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS)” so that proper amendment can take place for the benefit of entire research community. We shall provide details of particular standard only on receipt of request from the Board.



Journals Research
inducing researches

The board members can also join us as Individual Fellow with 40% discount on total fees applicable to Individual Fellow. They will be entitled to avail all the benefits as declared. Please visit Individual Fellow-sub menu of GlobalJournals.org to have more relevant details.



We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.



After nomination of your institution as “Institutional Fellow” and constantly functioning successfully for one year, we can consider giving recognition to your institute to function as Regional/Zonal office on our behalf.

The board can also take up the additional allied activities for betterment after our consultation.

The following entitlements are applicable to individual Fellows:

Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) By-laws states that an individual Fellow may use the designations as applicable, or the corresponding initials. The Credentials of individual Fellow and Associate designations signify that the individual has gained knowledge of the fundamental concepts. One is magnanimous and proficient in an expertise course covering the professional code of conduct, and follows recognized standards of practice.



Open Association of Research Society (US)/ Global Journals Incorporation (USA), as described in Corporate Statements, are educational, research publishing and professional membership organizations. Achieving our individual Fellow or Associate status is based mainly on meeting stated educational research requirements.

Disbursement of 40% Royalty earned through Global Journals : Researcher = 50%, Peer Reviewer = 37.50%, Institution = 12.50% E.g. Out of 40%, the 20% benefit should be passed on to researcher, 15 % benefit towards remuneration should be given to a reviewer and remaining 5% is to be retained by the institution.



We shall provide print version of 12 issues of any three journals [as per your requirement] out of our 38 journals worth \$ 2376 USD.

Other:

The individual Fellow and Associate designations accredited by Open Association of Research Society (US) credentials signify guarantees following achievements:

- The professional accredited with Fellow honor, is entitled to various benefits viz. name, fame, honor, regular flow of income, secured bright future, social status etc.



- In addition to above, if one is single author, then entitled to 40% discount on publishing research paper and can get 10% discount if one is co-author or main author among group of authors.
- The Fellow can organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and he/she can also attend the same organized by other institutes on behalf of Global Journals.
- The Fellow can become member of Editorial Board Member after completing 3yrs.
- The Fellow can earn 60% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper.
- Fellow can also join as paid peer reviewer and earn 15% remuneration of author charges and can also get an opportunity to join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)
- • This individual has learned the basic methods of applying those concepts and techniques to common challenging situations. This individual has further demonstrated an in-depth understanding of the application of suitable techniques to a particular area of research practice.

Note :

//

- In future, if the board feels the necessity to change any board member, the same can be done with the consent of the chairperson along with anyone board member without our approval.
- In case, the chairperson needs to be replaced then consent of 2/3rd board members are required and they are also required to jointly pass the resolution copy of which should be sent to us. In such case, it will be compulsory to obtain our approval before replacement.
- In case of “Difference of Opinion [if any]” among the Board members, our decision will be final and binding to everyone.

//



PROCESS OF SUBMISSION OF RESEARCH PAPER

The Area or field of specialization may or may not be of any category as mentioned in 'Scope of Journal' menu of the GlobalJournals.org website. There are 37 Research Journal categorized with Six parental Journals GJCST, GJMR, GJRE, GJMBR, GJSFR, GJHSS. For Authors should prefer the mentioned categories. There are three widely used systems UDC, DDC and LCC. The details are available as 'Knowledge Abstract' at Home page. The major advantage of this coding is that, the research work will be exposed to and shared with all over the world as we are being abstracted and indexed worldwide.

The paper should be in proper format. The format can be downloaded from first page of 'Author Guideline' Menu. The Author is expected to follow the general rules as mentioned in this menu. The paper should be written in MS-Word Format (*.DOC,*.DOCX).

The Author can submit the paper either online or offline. The authors should prefer online submission.Online Submission: There are three ways to submit your paper:

(A) (I) First, register yourself using top right corner of Home page then Login. If you are already registered, then login using your username and password.

(II) Choose corresponding Journal.

(III) Click 'Submit Manuscript'. Fill required information and Upload the paper.

(B) If you are using Internet Explorer, then Direct Submission through Homepage is also available.

(C) If these two are not convenient, and then email the paper directly to dean@globaljournals.org.

Offline Submission: Author can send the typed form of paper by Post. However, online submission should be preferred.



PREFERRED AUTHOR GUIDELINES

MANUSCRIPT STYLE INSTRUCTION (Must be strictly followed)

Page Size: 8.27" X 11"

- Left Margin: 0.65
- Right Margin: 0.65
- Top Margin: 0.75
- Bottom Margin: 0.75
- Font type of all text should be Swis 721 Lt BT.
- Paper Title should be of Font Size 24 with one Column section.
- Author Name in Font Size of 11 with one column as of Title.
- Abstract Font size of 9 Bold, "Abstract" word in Italic Bold.
- Main Text: Font size 10 with justified two columns section
- Two Column with Equal Column with of 3.38 and Gaping of .2
- First Character must be three lines Drop capped.
- Paragraph before Spacing of 1 pt and After of 0 pt.
- Line Spacing of 1 pt
- Large Images must be in One Column
- Numbering of First Main Headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman Letters, Capital Letter, and Font Size of 10.
- Numbering of Second Main Headings (Heading 2) must be in Alphabets, Italic, and Font Size of 10.

You can use your own standard format also.

Author Guidelines:

1. General,
2. Ethical Guidelines,
3. Submission of Manuscripts,
4. Manuscript's Category,
5. Structure and Format of Manuscript,
6. After Acceptance.

1. GENERAL

Before submitting your research paper, one is advised to go through the details as mentioned in following heads. It will be beneficial, while peer reviewer justify your paper for publication.

Scope

The Global Journals Inc. (US) welcome the submission of original paper, review paper, survey article relevant to the all the streams of Philosophy and knowledge. The Global Journals Inc. (US) is parental platform for Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology, Researches in Engineering, Medical Research, Science Frontier Research, Human Social Science, Management, and Business organization. The choice of specific field can be done otherwise as following in Abstracting and Indexing Page on this Website. As the all Global

Journals Inc. (US) are being abstracted and indexed (in process) by most of the reputed organizations. Topics of only narrow interest will not be accepted unless they have wider potential or consequences.

2. ETHICAL GUIDELINES

Authors should follow the ethical guidelines as mentioned below for publication of research paper and research activities.

Papers are accepted on strict understanding that the material in whole or in part has not been, nor is being, considered for publication elsewhere. If the paper once accepted by Global Journals Inc. (US) and Editorial Board, will become the copyright of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

Authorship: The authors and coauthors should have active contribution to conception design, analysis and interpretation of findings. They should critically review the contents and drafting of the paper. All should approve the final version of the paper before submission

The Global Journals Inc. (US) follows the definition of authorship set up by the Global Academy of Research and Development. According to the Global Academy of R&D authorship, criteria must be based on:

- 1) Substantial contributions to conception and acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the findings.
- 2) Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.
- 3) Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

All authors should have been credited according to their appropriate contribution in research activity and preparing paper. Contributors who do not match the criteria as authors may be mentioned under Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements: Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned under acknowledgement. The specifications of the source of funding for the research if appropriate can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with address.

Appeal of Decision: The Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed elsewhere.

Permissions: It is the author's responsibility to have prior permission if all or parts of earlier published illustrations are used in this paper.

Please mention proper reference and appropriate acknowledgements wherever expected.

If all or parts of previously published illustrations are used, permission must be taken from the copyright holder concerned. It is the author's responsibility to take these in writing.

Approval for reproduction/modification of any information (including figures and tables) published elsewhere must be obtained by the authors/copyright holders before submission of the manuscript. Contributors (Authors) are responsible for any copyright fee involved.

3. SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts should be uploaded via this online submission page. The online submission is most efficient method for submission of papers, as it enables rapid distribution of manuscripts and consequently speeds up the review procedure. It also enables authors to know the status of their own manuscripts by emailing us. Complete instructions for submitting a paper is available below.

Manuscript submission is a systematic procedure and little preparation is required beyond having all parts of your manuscript in a given format and a computer with an Internet connection and a Web browser. Full help and instructions are provided on-screen. As an author, you will be prompted for login and manuscript details as Field of Paper and then to upload your manuscript file(s) according to the instructions.



To avoid postal delays, all transaction is preferred by e-mail. A finished manuscript submission is confirmed by e-mail immediately and your paper enters the editorial process with no postal delays. When a conclusion is made about the publication of your paper by our Editorial Board, revisions can be submitted online with the same procedure, with an occasion to view and respond to all comments.

Complete support for both authors and co-author is provided.

4. MANUSCRIPT'S CATEGORY

Based on potential and nature, the manuscript can be categorized under the following heads:

Original research paper: Such papers are reports of high-level significant original research work.

Review papers: These are concise, significant but helpful and decisive topics for young researchers.

Research articles: These are handled with small investigation and applications

Research letters: The letters are small and concise comments on previously published matters.

5. STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

The recommended size of original research paper is less than seven thousand words, review papers fewer than seven thousands words also. Preparation of research paper or how to write research paper, are major hurdle, while writing manuscript. The research articles and research letters should be fewer than three thousand words, the structure original research paper; sometime review paper should be as follows:

Papers: These are reports of significant research (typically less than 7000 words equivalent, including tables, figures, references), and comprise:

- (a) Title should be relevant and commensurate with the theme of the paper.
- (b) A brief Summary, "Abstract" (less than 150 words) containing the major results and conclusions.
- (c) Up to ten keywords, that precisely identifies the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.
- (d) An Introduction, giving necessary background excluding subheadings; objectives must be clearly declared.
- (e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition; sources of information must be given and numerical methods must be specified by reference, unless non-standard.
- (f) Results should be presented concisely, by well-designed tables and/or figures; the same data may not be used in both; suitable statistical data should be given. All data must be obtained with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage. As reproduced design has been recognized to be important to experiments for a considerable time, the Editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned un-refereed;
- (g) Discussion should cover the implications and consequences, not just recapitulating the results; conclusions should be summarizing.
- (h) Brief Acknowledgements.
- (i) References in the proper form.

Authors should very cautiously consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate efficiently. Papers are much more likely to be accepted, if they are cautiously designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and be conventional to the approach and instructions. They will in addition, be published with much less delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.



The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and to make suggestions to improve brevity.

It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

Format

Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min, except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 l rather than $1.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3$, or 4 mm somewhat than $4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the e-mail address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art. A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:



- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.

References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author's name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication

Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.



Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.

6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

6.1 Proof Corrections

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded

(Free of charge) from the following website:

www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.

As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing's Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors' final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

6.3 Author Services

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article - once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

6.4 Author Material Archive Policy

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy & electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher's terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org.



Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.

2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.

3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.

4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.

6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.

7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.

8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.

9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.

10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.

11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.



12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.

13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.

14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.

15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.

16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.

17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.

18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.

19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.

20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.

21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.

24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.

25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.

26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.



27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.

28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.

29. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.

30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.

32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.

34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium through which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

INFORMAL GUIDELINES OF RESEARCH PAPER WRITING

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.

Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.



Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

- Adhere to recommended page limits

Mistakes to evade

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

- Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)
- Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper
- Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)
- Align the primary line of each section
- Present your points in sound order
- Use present tense to report well accepted
- Use past tense to describe specific results
- Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives
- Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.



Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript-- must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else

Introduction:

The **Introduction** should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.



- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently. You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.



Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form.

What to stay away from

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text

Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.



THE ADMINISTRATION RULES

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.

- The **major constraint** is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.
- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.
- **Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)**
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.



CRITERION FOR GRADING A RESEARCH PAPER (COMPILATION)
BY GLOBAL JOURNALS INC. (US)

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).

Topics	Grades		
	A-B	C-D	E-F
<i>Abstract</i>	Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below	Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form Above 200 words	No specific data with ambiguous information Above 250 words
<i>Introduction</i>	Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited	Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter	Out of place depth and content, hazy format
<i>Methods and Procedures</i>	Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads	Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed	Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning
<i>Result</i>	Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake	Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend	Irregular format with wrong facts and figures
<i>Discussion</i>	Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited	Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious	Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend
<i>References</i>	Complete and correct format, well organized	Beside the point, Incomplete	Wrong format and structuring



INDEX

A

Apodachlya · 2, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 19
Aspergillus · 2, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 19
Assays · 26

B

Botryodiplodia · 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 19
Brasicola · 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20

C

Caspase · 30
Ceratocystis · 2, 4, 9, 10, 14, 16, 18, 19
Cercospora · 2, 4, 10, 14, 16, 18, 19
Chalaropsis · 2, 10, 14, 16, 18, 20
Chorioallantoic · 26, 31, 32
Cytochalasin · 30

D

Dacromyces · 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20
Deliquescens · 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20

G

Galactagogue · 4
Geotrichum · 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
Globrous · 2

I

Ischemia · 26

L

Luteolin · 30

M

Morphometrically · 26, 28
Mycoflora · 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24

N

Neovascularisation · 26

O

Obubra · 2, 4, 6
Oromia · 1, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, LVI
Ovonum · 2, 4, 6, 7, 8
Oxysporum · 2, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20

P

Pasteurization · 40
Pathogenicity · 2, 6, 16, 20
Penicilliods · 2, 10, 15, 17, 20
Postharvest · 40

T

Theobromae · 2, 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18, 19
Trichosporonoides · 2, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20

U

Ursolic · 30



save our planet



Global Journal of Science Frontier Research

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.JournalofScience.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org

ISSN 9755896



© Global Journals