

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: H ENVIRONMENT & EARTH SCIENCE Volume 16 Issue 5 Version 1.0 Year 2016 Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Rotifera Abundance and Species Diversity in Al-Kufa River, Iraq

By Muhanned R. Nashaat, Khalid A. Rasheed & Hussein A. Hassan

Al-Nahrain University

Abstract- The present study was carried out on AL-Kufa River in the middle of Iraq to study the biodiversity of rotifera by investigating some of biodiversity index values. The samples were collected monthly, from March 2012 till February 2013, at four selected stations on the river; the first is located in Al-Kifil City, the second in Al- Kufa City, the third in AbuSkhar City and the fourth after Al- Mushkab City. About 92 Taxa of rotifera was identified. The rotifera density recorded ranged from 425 to 17925 Ind./m³, the high values were in Spring and Autumn, while the lowest values were recorded in Summer and Winter. The results of the relative abundance index showed that *Keratella cochlearis, K. valga, Euchlanis delatata* were more abundant in the Kufa River. Also the results of constancy index showed 9 taxa belonged to rotifera which were considered "Constant" at all stations. The other taxonomic units ranged between emergency and additive according to its presence in the study stations.

GJSFR-H Classification: FOR Code: 050199

ROTIFERAA BUNDANCE ANDSPECIESDIVERSITYINALKUFARIVERIRAD

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



© 2016. Muhanned R. Nashaat, Khalid A. Rasheed & Hussein A. Hassan. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Rotifera Abundance and Species Diversity in Al-Kufa River, Iraq

Muhanned R. Nashaat^a, Khalid A. Rasheed ^a & Hussein A. Hassan^P

Abstract- The present study was carried out on AL-Kufa River in the middle of Iraq to study the biodiversity of rotifera by investigating some of biodiversity index values. The samples were collected monthly, from March 2012 till February 2013, at four selected stations on the river; the first is located in Al-Kifil City, the second in Al- Kufa City, the third in AbuSkhar City and the fourth after Al- Mushkab City. About 92 Taxa of rotifera was identified. The rotifera density recorded ranged from 425 to 17925 Ind./m³, the high values were in Spring and Autumn, while the lowest values were recorded in Summer and Winter. The results of the relative abundance index showed that Keratella cochlearis, K. valga, Euchlanis delatata were more abundant in the Kufa River. Also the results of constancy index showed 9 taxa belonged to rotifera which were considered "Constant" at all stations. The other taxonomic units ranged between emergency and additive according to its presence in the study stations.

The values of the species richness index of rotifera varied from 3.42 to18.26 with the greatest values in April ,while lower values in September and June. The Shanon-Weiner index of rotifera ranged from 1.85 to 3.78 bits/Ind., with the highest values in April 2012 and September 2012, while lower values in June 2012 and January 2013. The uniformity index of rotifera varied from 0.01 to 0.9,these high values indicate that there is no ecological stresses on zooplankton in the study area.

I. INTRODUCTION

he rotifera play an important role in the freshwater ecosystem as optional feeders on phytoplankton planctonic bacteria, which considered as and predators (Aronovich and Spektova, 1974), most rotifera feed on algae or minutes that less than 20μ in length, but some types can engulf the cells with a total length of 48µ (Erdugan and Guher, 2005). Research has shown a clear interest in studying the rotifera, Mohammed (1986) studying the presence of rotifera in the Euphrates River and found that they are dominant quantitatively and qualitatively, especially the species belonging to the genera Brachionus and Keratella and Polyarthra. Al-Saboonchi et al. (1986) identified in their study on the marshes of Irag's, 32 species of zooplankton were belogated to the 19 species of rotifera, 7 species of copepoda and 6 species of cladocera, the study pointed out that the highest growth of zooplankton occurs at the end of the Spring, and less growth in the

Author o: Biotechnology Research Center/Al-Nahrain University e-mail: k rasheed29@yahoo.com Summer, while the rotifera characterized as dominant group, also had considered *Lecane* the most dominant of the rest of the rotifera . Saadallah (1998) showed in his study the impact of Hamrin impoundment on the Diyala River and identified 88 taxonomic units in five locations, the rotifera was the dominant group in that study, and the *K.quadrata, Keratella cochalaris, Polyarthra dolicoptera*, recorded a higher percentage of the total population density of the zooplankton community. Al-Lami (2000) studied the presence of zooplankton in the Tigris and Atham rivers, 38 taxonomic units were recorded with highly occupied of rotifera, while the genus *Brachionus* and *Keratella* recorded the largest number of species.

Kufa River is of great important sources of water in a wide agriculture area, drinking water, different human uses and as a source of fisheries. Due to the lack of studies on the biological diversity of this river, the current study was conducted, which aimed to recognize the quantity and quality of rotifera stationed in Kufa River and the biodiversity of rotifera within the study area by applying some biological indicators index.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Study area

Euphrates River originates from southeastern Turkey and estimated about 2290 Km in length (Al-Masoudi, 2000) it passing inside Iraqi territory for a distance of 1159 Km without any tributary, then extends 150 Km south of Ramadi Province, where Al-Hindia Dam. Then divided into two major branches, the Al-Hindiah River and Al- Hilla River, then the river being from Al-Hindia Dam at a distance of 180km even Al- Kfel district then divided after about 1Km to Al-Abasya and Kufa Rivers. The length of Kufa River within the province of Najaf, about 75.2 Km and discharge is controlled through Kufa Dam.

Four stations were selected for water sample collection. The first station in Al-Kfel City about 1Km. The second station is located after the departure of the river from Al- Kufa City about 2Km, it away approximately 22Km from the first station, the third station is located within an agricultural area in the Gurf Al-Sakhar City and lies about 20Km away from the second station. Fourth station is located at the exit of the river from the Meshkab City about 10Km, its about 20Km away from the third station (Figure 1).

Author a: Agric, Res. Directorate /Ministry of Sci. & Tech, P.O. Box 765, Baahdad.

Author p: College of Ecological Science/Al- Qasim Al-Kathraa University.



Figure (1): Map showing the study stations in Kufa River

For the purpose of this study 40 liters of water passage through plankton net type (Hydro-bios) with opening diameter 55μ . Samples were concentrated to 10ml and was keeping in bottles after addition of 4% formalin. The sample identified and counted using a compound microscope depending on (Edmondson, 1959; Pennak, 1978; Pontin, 1978), the results expressed as individual/m³. Environmental indicators were accounted for as follows: (1) Relative abundance index (Ra): according to the formula contained in Omori & Ikeda (1984). (2) Constancy index (S): the existence and frequency of each type of account according to the formula contained in Serafim et al. (2003). (3) Species richness index (D): calculated monthly according to the formula set out in Sklar(1985). (4) Shannon-Wiener index of diversity (H): monthly calculated from this value used the Shannon-Weiner equation as stated in Floder & Sommer (1999). Results expressed as bits/individual. The bit is equal one piece of information, the values less than 1 bit, means have low diversity, while more of 3 bits means high diversity. (5) Species uniformity index (E): this index is computed according to the formula contained in Neves et al. (2003), the values greater than 0.5 as equal or homogeneous in appearance (Proto-Neto, 2003).

III. Results and Discussion

The rotifera varied in its density in Kufa River depending on the different of months and study station. Station 1 recorded a higher density of rotifera in October 2012 with 17.925 Ind./m³, while the lowest density was 425 Ind./m³ during July 2012 at station 2 (Figure 2).





The high recording densities of rotifera occurred during Autumn season, especially in October, which may be related with the appropriate conditions such as:temperature, high level of disolved oxygen and the availability of food, such as:- bacteria or phytoplankton or detritus (Dhanpathi, 2000). This result was agree with Mangalo and Akbar (1986) and Sabri et al. (1993) that considered the temperature and dissolved oxygen are the main factors that led to increasing the intensity of rotifera during Autumn season. The low values of rotifera density were recorded in the Summer season, which may go back to higher water temperatures, or a lack of dissolved oxygen, generally, the rotifera live with higher oxygen (Sladecek, 1983). Station 2 recorded the lowest population density of rotifera, the reason may be due to the occurrence of the station in the area receive a lot of human waste and the accompanying rise in the proportion of organic pollutants that lead to depletion of dissolved oxygen when organic pollutants degradation (Ahmad et al., 2011).

The relative abundance index of rotifera set out in the table (1), the proportion of the species displayed for each station in the study period, as follows: Euchlanis delatata recorded the highest percentage compared to the total density of other species at station 1 (12%), followed by the Keratella valga (10%), followed by K. cochlearis with (5%). K. cochlearis recorded the highest percentage compared to the total density of other species in station 2 (15%), followed by the K.valga that recorded 14%, followed by Brachionus calyciflorus calyciflorus 5%, while station 3 recorded the K .valga with the highest percentage compared to the total density of other species in this station reached to 14%, followed by K. cochlearis 13%, followed by B. calyciflorus calyciflorus 4%. Station 4 has recorded the K. cochlearis with highest percentage compared to the total density of other species (13%), followed by K.valga (11%) and *E. delatata* followed with 8% (Figure 3).



Figure (3): The relative abundance index of dominant rotifera in the study area from March 2012 to February 2013

The lack recording of relative abundance index values of the dominant species of rotifera, which failed to reach to the percentage of abundant species or prevalent as the relative abundance index, provides proof that the Kufa River has non-exposed to any type of environmental pressures during the study period, which may provide suitable for the dominant rotifera species to environment resistance (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011), Neves *et al.* (2003) shows that dominant one species or a few species in Lake Atalaia in Brazil due to the presence of high quantities of organic waste. Proto- Neto (2003) refer in his study on Ovia River in Nigeria, that the presence of many species in large numbers for any group gives evidence of a cleaner environment.

According to the constansy index these species: Brachionus angularis, B. calyciflorus, Euchlanis delatata, Flinia longiseta, Keratella cochlearis, K. valga, Lepadella ovalis, Monostyla bulla, M. closterocerca can be considered as the most frequent and so it is a constant species in Kufa river, according to this guide as it existed in 50% or more of the total samples in this study.

Nine constant taxa are unequally distributed in the study stations of Kufa River, with numbers 9,4,5,5

taxonomic unit at stations 1,2,3 and 4, respectively. Which formed 85%,41%,59% and 66% of total taxonomic units, respectively. Station 1 recorded more containment of constant species, while station 2 is less, reason for this is the fact that station 1 more environmentally stable than the rest of the stations, as observed through a high total density and diversity of this station, while station 2 was opposite of that. The species with hight frequency appearance often is that recorded with high densities in this study, may be dau to the widespread species in warm water with organic contamination (Hofman, 1998) or perhaps, species that have a wide range to withstand environmental conditions (Kulkarni and Surwase, 2013). The presence of large numbers with high frequency in the current study is compatible with (Porto-Neto, 2003) when he described the clean environment, which that contains a large number of species and high-frequency, especially species that are unbearable to the pollution. Sterner (2002) noted that the existence of the species belonging to the genera Euchlanis, Filinia, Mytilinia and Monostyla directory to clean environment.

Table (1): Taxonomic units of rotifera in Kufa River and relative abundance index (Ra Index) Constansy index (S Index). Represent R = Rare species (less than 10%), La = Less abundant species (10-40%), A = Abundant species (40-70%), D = Dominant species (greater than 70%) A = Accidental species (1%-25%), Ac = Accessory species (25% - 50%), C = Constant species (greater than 50%)

| | Relative abundance Index | | | | Constansy Index | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|----|----|----|
| Station Rotifera Taxa | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Anuraeopsis fissa | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | Ac | Α |
| Aspelta bidentata | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| Asplanchna priodonta | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| Brachionus angularis | R | R | R | R | С | С | С | С |
| B. bidentatus | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Ac |
| B.calyciflorus amphiceros (long spine) | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | Ac | Ac |
| B.calyciflorus amphiceros (short spine) | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Ac |
| B.calyciflorus calyciflorus | R | R | R | R | С | С | С | Ac |
| B. falcatus | R | R | R | R | Ac | А | Ac | А |
| B. haranansis | R | R | R | R | А | А | | Α |
| B. quadridentaths | R | R | R | R | Ac | А | Ac | А |
| B. rubens | R | R | R | R | Ac | А | Ac | Α |
| B . urceolaris | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Ac |
| B. zahniseri | - | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - |
| Brachionus sp. | R | - | R | - | А | - | А | - |
| Cephalodella auriculata | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Ac |
| C .intuta | - | - | R | - | - | - | А | - |
| C .mucronata | - | - | - | R | - | - | - | А |
| C . forficul | R | - | R | - | А | - | Ac | - |
| C. gibba | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Α |
| C. intilloides | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| Cephalodella sp. | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - | - |

| Colurella adriatica | R | R | R | R | Ac | Ac | Ac | Ac |
|---------------------------|-----|----|--------|--------|----------|------|---------|--------|
| Colurella sp. | - | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - |
| Dipluchlanis propatula | B | R | R | B | Ac | Ac | Ac | Δ |
| Euchlanis delatata | 19 | R | R | R | , (ö | Ac | Ac | C |
| | D | D | D | D | 0 | | <u></u> | ^ |
| F opliopoio | | | | | | AC | | A |
| F. Opliensis | R | R | R | R | AC | AC | AC | AC |
| Hexarthra mira | K | R | R | K . | AC | A | AC | A |
| Keratella cochlearis | R | La | La | La | С | Ac | A | С |
| K. hiemalis | R | R | R | - | Ac | A | A | - |
| K .paloda | - | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - |
| K. quadrata (long spine) | R | R | R | R | Ac | А | А | А |
| K. quadrata (short spine) | R | R | - | R | Ac | А | - | А |
| K. valga | La | La | La | La | С | С | С | С |
| Keratella sp. | R | - | R | - | Α | - | Α | - |
| Lecane depress | R | - | - | _ | Ac | - | - | - |
| l elasma | R | R | R | R | A | Α | А | Α |
| L heaurensis | R | R | R | R | Δ | Ac | Ac | Δ |
| | D | D | D | D | <u>^</u> | | Ac | ^ |
| L. IUIIa | п | | n | п | AU | AC A | AU | A |
| L .plosenensis | - | R | - | - | - | A | - | - |
| L. nana | K | - | R | - | A | - | A | - |
| L. ohionsis | R | - | R | R | AC | - | A | A |
| L.rhombioes | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| Lecane sp. | R | - | - | R | A | - | - | A |
| Lepadella depresa | R | - | - | - | А | - | - | - |
| L. ovalis | R | R | R | R | С | Ac | Ac | Ac |
| L. salpina | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| L . patella | R | - | R | - | Ac | - | А | - |
| Lepadella sp. | R | - | - | - | А | - | - | - |
| Lophcaris salpina | R | - | R | R | A | - | Α | Α |
| Macrochaetus subqudratus | R | _ | R | R | Ac | _ | Δ | Δ |
| Manfredium oudaatulatum | D | | D | D | Λc | | ^ | ^ |
| | | - | | | AU | - | A | A C |
| | R D | R | R D | R D | 0 | | | |
| M. Closterocerca | ĸ | R | R | R | C A | AC | AC | AC |
| M. Iunaris | K | R | R | R | AC | A | AC | A |
| M. quadridentata | R | R | R | - | A | A | A | - |
| M. Stenroosi | - | - | - | R | - | - | - | A |
| M. thalera | - | R | R | R | - | A | Ac | A |
| M. thienemanni | R | - | - | - | A | - | - | - |
| <i>Monostyla</i> sp. | R | R | R | R | Ac | Α | Ac | Α |
| Monomata grandis | - | - | R | - | - | - | А | - |
| Mytilina mucronata | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | А | А |
| Notholca acuminate | R | - | - | R | Ac | - | - | Ac |
| N. squamula | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | А | А |
| Philodina roseola | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | Α | Α |
| Philodinavus paradoxus | R | _ | R | - | Δ | _ | Δ | - |
| Platvias patulus | R | R | R | R | Δ | Ac | Δ | Δ |
| P quadricornic | D | 11 | D | D | <u>^</u> | AU | | ^ |
| F. quadriconnis | | - | n | п | AC A | - | A | A |
| P. polyacanthus | R | - | - | - | A | - | - | - |
| Polyarthra dolicoptera | K | - | R | R | A | - | A | A |
| P. vulgaris | R | R | R | R | A | A | A | A |
| Polyarthra sp. | R | - | - | - | A | - | - | A |
| Pomopholyx complanata | R | - | R | R | A | - | A | A |
| P. sulcata | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - | - |
| Rotaria neplunia | R | R | R | R | A | Ac | Α | Ac |
| Scardium longicaudum | R | - | R | - | A | - | A | - |
| Synchaete oblonga | R | R | R | R | А | Α | А | Ac |
| Synchaete sp. | R | R | R | R | А | А | Ac | Α |
| Pedipartia gracilis | R | - | - | - | A | - | - | _ |
| Testudinella natina | R | R | R | R | Ac | _ | А | Α |
| Trichocerca bicristata | R | R | R | R | A | Ac | Ac | Δ |
| monocoroa pronotata | | | | | · · · | 10 | , \\\ | / \ |

| T . capucina | R | - | R | - | Ac | - | Α | - |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| T . cylindrical | R | - | R | R | Ac | - | Α | Α |
| T. longiseta | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| T . insignis | - | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - |
| T. porcellus | R | R | R | R | Ac | Α | Α | Α |
| Trichocerca sp. | R | - | - | - | Ac | - | - | - |
| Trichotria tetractis | R | R | R | R | Α | Ac | Α | Ac |
| Tripleuchlionis plicata | R | - | - | - | Α | - | - | - |
| Other Rotifera | R | R | R | R | Ac | Α | Ac | Ac |

Ninety two taxonomic units of rotifera were identified in this study. The differences and changes in the number of taxonomic units of the current study compared with the previous studies may be return to several reasons, including:- the dominant nature of environmental conditions in the area, as well as, the nature distribution of phytoplankton and the size of the aperture size of plankton net, which may be control the quantity and quality of zooplankton collected (Ajeel *et al.*,2008).

Station 1 recorded the highest value for species richness index of rotifera in April 2012 and amounted to 18.26, while the lowest values (3.42) have been recorded during July 2012 at station 2 (Fig. 4).



Figure (4): Monthly variations of species richness index (D) of rotifera in the stations of Kufa River from March 2012 to February 2013

Species richness index of taxonomic units abundance was a better indication of the change in the ecosystem. The increase in abundance of taxonomic units associated with an increased of bio-health community and the habitats (Barbour *et al.*, 1999).

The rotifera was the most important groups of zooplankton because of their largest numbers in the aquatic ecosystem, in addition to being vital credible evidence to assess the physical processes (Aquino *et al.*, 2008). This group showed quantity and numerically abundant in all stations, it was found 92 taxonomic units belonging to 32 genera, this which is higher than recorded in many local studies such as the study of Al-Lami *et al.* (1999) which recorded 58 species, while Ibrahim (2005) record 70 species in the Dagharah Rivers and Diwaniyah and Rabee (2007) record 34 species.

The reasons for increasing the species number of rotifera in freshwater ecosystem dating may be related to the lacking of interaction of rotifera with different trophic levels. Some species, such as *Keratella cochlearis* possibly live in a high-nutrition environments,

© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

as well as with a low level of nutrition (Ferraz *et al.*, 2009), in addition to their small size and parthenogenesis reproduction and the short periods of their growth (Ozbayl and Altındag, 2009). Also, their ability to adapt to living in low oxygen content (Jappesen *et al.*, 2002). While Jose and Sanalkumar (2012) explained the increasing number of rotifera attributed to the lack of food specialization, parthenogenesis reproduction and high fertility of it.

The genus *Brachionus* showed higher diverse than the rest, As recorded 11 species with varying degrees in all the stations, followed by genus *Lecane* with 8 species and genus *Monostyla* with 7 species and genus *Trichocerca* and *Keratella* with 6 species for each one, this corresponds with what referred to Okogwu (2010) that species belonging to the family of Brachionidae and Lecanidae numerically dominant in environments at higher temperatures and increased content of dissolved oxygen. While the following genus appeared with one species only, *Anuraeopsis, Aspelta, Asplanchna, Lophcaris, Mytilina, Rotaria, Philodinavus,* Testudinella, Trichotria, Philodina, Pedipartia, Tripleuchlionis, Monomata, Macrochaetus and Manfredium.

The results also showed that some species may be frequented to appear in abundance in all study stations, including *Brachionus angularis*, *B. calyciflorus*, *Cephalodella auriculata*, *Colurella adriatica*, *Euchlanis delatata*, *Keratella cochlearis*, *K. valga*, *Lecane elasma*, *L. luna*, *Lepadella ovalis*, *Monostyla bulla and Polyarthra vulgaris*. While some species appeared only in one station, such as *Aspelta bidentata*, *Asplanchna priodonta*, which was appearing at station 1 and species *Brachionus zahniseri* appeared at station 2 and *Monostyla stenroosi* appeared at the station 4.

By comparing the current results with local and global studies, Al-Namrawi (2010) have recorded values to the species richness index of rotifera ranged from 2.2-

1.56 in the TharThar Canal. Nashaat (2010) record values ranged from 1.23-6.174 on the Tigris River, also on the Tigris River Al-Mashhadani (2012) record a value ranged from 2.53-8.84. Ghazi and Ali (2012) recorded a value for rotifera in the Shatt Al-Arab ranged from 8.47-6.39.

Globally Aquino *et al.* (2008) record value to the species richness index of rotifera in Lake Paoay in the Philippines ranged from 2.5-2, Sleem and Hassan (2010) recorded in the Nile River, values ranged from 4.2 to 8.1.

Station 1 recorded the highest value of Shannon Weiner index for the biodiversity of rotifera through April 2012 and amounted to 3.78 bits/Ind., while the lowest value 1.85 bits/Ind. during July 2012 at the station 2 (Fig. 5).



Figure (5): Monthly variations of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index(H) bits/Ind. for rotifera at four stations in Kufa River during March 2012 until February 2013

The diversity of rotifera depends mainly on the water temperature and available food that the diversity is gradually increasing in the Spring to the Summer at rising of water temperature and increasing in the density of phytoplankton, which is the source of food for rotifera and decrease diversity at the end of Autumn, when the water becomes colder (Erdugan and Guher, 2005). According to the values of Shannon- Weiner index in the current study Kufa River has highly biodiversity where exceeded more than 1 bits/Ind. and Kufa River water can be considered at this moment as moderate organic pollution, where the values more than 3 bits/ Ind. = Clean condition, values 3-1 bits/ Ind. = Moderately polluted, while values less than 1 bit/ Ind. = Heavily polluted (Goel, 2008).

By comparing the recorded values of diversity in this study with some local studies, Al-Lami *et al.* (2000) has recorded values from 2-2.2 bits/ Ind.in the Tigris River and TharThar Arm, as Al-Namrawi (2002) recorded values ranged from 0.56-2.8bit/ Ind. in the Euphrates River, while Ibrahim (2005) gives values of diversity ranged from 1.92-2.36 bits/ Ind. in the Diwaniyah and Dagharah Rivers, Al-Namrawi (2005) recorded values from 0.9-1.88 bits/ Ind. in the Tigris River and 0.7-2.4 bits/Ind. in the Euphrates River, also Shekha (2008) recorded values of diversity from 0.69-1.77bits/Ind. in the Great Zab River.

Globally Flinn *et al.* (2005) record a value ranged from 0.75-1.02 bits/Ind. in the Mississippi River in the United States, Okogwu (2010) record values in the Lake Ehoma in Nigeria ranged from 0.68-1.28 bits/Ind., Jafari *et al.* (2011) recorded values ranged from 1.21-2.48bits/Ind. in Haraz River in Iran.

Station 1 recorded the highest value of the species uniformity index of rotifera in April 2012 reached 0.99, while in July 2012 it was recorded values about 0.01 at station 2 (Fig. 6). The highest values of this index in the study stations indicate that the species was homogeneous in appearance because of the absence of any stress or environmental pressure thus providing a favorable environment for the stability of rotifer fauna. While the low-lying values for this index (few species of high density), which is a sign of the presence of environmental pressure and this is agree with what

2016

Year

referred to Green (1993), which is what happened in the stations 2 and 3, when that the decline in species uniformity index value of species may be due to the organic content with decline of dissolved oxygen concentrations and incressed of BOD_5 value. Thadeus

and Lekinson (2010) recorded values from 0.99-0.993 in Tropical Jungle River in Nigeria also Ezekiel *et al.* (2011) recorded values ranged from 0.87 - 0.978 in Maceio Sombreiro River in Nigeria and is little like to the record in this study.



Figure (6): Monthly variations of species uniformity index (E) of rotifera in the Kufa River during the March 2012 until February 2013

Locally Ibrahim (2005) recorded values for this index in the Diwaniyah and Dagharah Rivers ranged from 1.45-1.62, also Rabee (2007) recorded values ranged from 0.41-0.99 in the Euphrates River, Nashaat (2010) recorded values ranged from 0.7-0.99 in Tigris River, Al-Namrawi (2010) recorded values ranged from 0.87-0.67 in the TharThar Canal and from 0.88-0.91 in the Euphrates River, Al-Hilfi (2011) recorded values ranging from 0.000108-0.725 in the Tigris River.

References Références Referencias

- 1. Ahmad,U.; Parveen, S.; Khan A.A.; Kabir, H.A.; Mola, H.R.A. and Ganai, A.H.(2011) Zooplankton population in relation to physico-chemical factors of a sewage fed pond of Aligarh (UP), India Research Article Biology and Medicine, 3 (2): 336-341.
- Ajeel, Sh.G.; Salman, S. D. and Ali, M. H. (2008) Zooplankton of Basrah district, Southern Iraqi Marsh, Bulletin 3(2):171-191.
- Al-Hilfi, H. T (2011). The impact of Kadhimiya wastewater treatment plant on the environmental characteristics of the Tigris River. MsC. Thesis. Faculty of Science, University of Baghdad.
- 4. Al-Lami, A. A.; Mangalo, H.H.; Abdul-Kareem, T.K. and Abbas, E. K. (1999). Zooplankton Occurrence in Euphrates River- Iraq. 1 Rotifera. The Scientific J. of Iraqi Atomic Energy Commission, 1: 74-8.
- Al-Lami, A. A. (2000). Qualitative and quantitative composition of zooplankton in the Atham and Tigris rivers. The First Scientific Conference on the Environment and Methods to Protect Pollution. Baghdad, November 5 - 6 (2000): 333-324.

- Al-Lami, A. A., Sabri, A. W., Muhsen, K. A., Abbas, A. K. and Ali, E. H. (2000). Environmental impacts of the diversity on zooplankton of TharThar arm on the Tigris River. Journal of Environmental Research and Sustainable Development,3 (2): 53-64.
- Al-Msoudi, R. M. (2000). Water resources and their role in agricultural production in the province of Karbala, MsC. Thesis, College of Education (Ibn Rushd), the University of Baghdad.
- 8. Al-Mashadani, H. A. F. (2012). An environmental study of some zooplankton in the Tigris River at the city of Baghdad. PhD.Thesis. Faculty of Science, University of Baghdad.
- 9. Al-Namrawi, A. M. (2002). The impact of Qadisiya dam on some environmental factors down-stream of the Euphrates River, with reference to the zooplankton and zoobenthos. MsC. Thesis, College of Science, the University of Baghdad.
- Al-Namrawi, A. M. (2005). Biodiversity of zooplankton and benthic invertebrates in the Tigris and Euphrates central Iraq. PhD. Colleg of Science/University of Baghdad.
- Al-Saboonchi A.A.; Barak A.A. and Mohammed A.M. (1986). Zooplankton of Garma Marshes, Iraq. J. Biol. Sci. Res. 17(1): 33-40.
- Aquino, R. Y.; Carmela, D. C.; Ann, S. C.; Angelica, G. S., and Papa, D. S. (2008). Zooplankton Composition and Diversity in Paoay Lake, Luzon Is, Philippines. Philippine J. of Sci., 137 (2): 169-177.
- 13. Aronovich, T.M. and Spektova, L.V. (1974). Survival and fecundity of *Brachionus calciflorus* in water of different salinities. Hydrobiol. J., 10: 71-74.

- Barboure, M.T.; Gereisten, j.; Snyder, B.D. and Stribling, J.B. (1999). Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Wade able Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macro invertebrates, and Fish. 2nd Edition U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Water; Washington, D.C. 152pp.
- 15. Dhanpathi, M.V. (2000) Taxonomic notes on the rotifers from India from 1989 2000. Indian Association of Aquatic Biologists (IAAB), Hyderabad.
- Edmondson, W.T. (1959) Freshwater biology. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, Freshwater Ecol. 18: 383-393.
- 17. Erdugan, S. and Guher, H. (2005). The rotifera fauna of Gala lake (Edirne-Turkey). Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 8(11):1579-1583.
- Ezekiel, E.N.; Hart, A.I. and Abowei, J.F. (2011) The Physical and Chemical Condition of Sombreiro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria Res. J. Environ. Earth Sci., 3(4): 327-340.
- Ferraz, H.A.;Landa, G.G. and Paprocki, H.(2009). Zooplankton of an urban stretch, Itapecerica river, Divinópolis, Minas Gerais, Brazil, J.Check List, Campinas, 5(4): 890–894.
- Flinn, M. B., Whiles, M. R., Adams, S. R. and Garvey, J. E.(2005) Macroinvertebrate and zooplankton responses to emergent plant production in upper Mississippi River floodplain wetlands Arch. Hydrobiol. ,162 (2): 187–210.
- 21. Floder, S. and Sommer, U. (1999). Diversity in planktonic communities: An Experimental test pf the intermediate disturbance hypothesis. Limnpl. Oceanogr., 44(4):1114-1119.
- 22. Ghazi, A. H. and Ali, M. H.(2012) Rotifers community structure and abundance along Shatt Al-Arab River from Garmmat Ali to Al- Fao, Southern IraqMarsh Bulletin,7(2):150-161.
- Goel, P.K. (2008) Water Pollution. Causes, Effects and Control. 2 nd Ed, Reprint New Age international (P) Limitd, Publishers, New Delhi.
- 24. Green, J. (1993). Diversity and dominance in planktonic rotifers. Hydrobiologia, 255/256: 345-352.
- 25. Hoffman, G.L. (1998). Parasites of North American freshwater fishes. 2nd edn. Cornell Univ. Press, London: 539pp.
- Ibrahim, S. Sh. (2005). Diversity of invertebrates in rivers Dagharah, Diwaniyah/ Iraq. PhD. Thesis. Faculty of Education, University of Qadisiyah.
- Jafari, N.;Nabavi, S. M. and Akhavan, M.(2011). Ecological investigation of Zooplankton abundance in the River Hazar northeast Iran : Impact of environmemtal variables . Arch. Biol. Sci., Belgrade, 63 (3), 785-798.
- Jappesen, E.; Jensen, J.P. and Sandergaeid, M. (2002).Response of phytoplankton, zooplankton and fish to re-oligotrophication: an II year study of

23 Danish Lakes. Aqua. Ecosystem Health and Manag., 5: 31-43.

- 29. Jose, R. and Sanalkumar, M.G.(2012) Seasonal Variations in the Zooplankton Diversity of River Achencovil Inter. J.of Sci.and Res. Publications, 2 (11):1-5.
- Kulkarni, D.A and Surwase, S.S. (2013). Studies on Occurance, Richness and Composition of Zooplankton in Seena river water at, Mohal, Dist-Solapur, MS, India Int. Res. J. Biological Sci 2(2), 25-28.
- Mangalo, H.H. and Akbar, M. M(1986).Seasonal Variation in population Density of Zooplankton in the lower Reaches of Diyala River, Baghdad, Iraq. J. Biol. Sci. Res., 7(3):99-113.
- Mohammed, M.B.M. (1986). Association of invertebrates in the Euphrates and Tigris rivers at Fallujia and Baghdad, Iraq. Hydrobiol., 106: 337-350.
- Nashaat, M. A. (2010). Impact of Al-Durah powerplant effluents on hysical, chemical and invertebrates biodiversity in Tigris river, southern Baghdad. Thesis of Doctorate. Coll. of Sci .Uni. of Baghdad.183pp.
- Neves, I.F; Rocha, O.; Roche, K.F.; and pinto, A.A (2003). Zooplankton community structure of two marginal lakes of the river Cuibá (Mato Grosso, Brazil) with analysis of Rotifera and Cladocera diversity. Braz. J. Biol. 63: 329-343.
- Okogwu,O.I(2010) Seasonal variations of species composition and abundance of zooplankton in Ehoma Lake, afloodplain lake in Nigeria . Int. J. Trop. Biol Vol. 58 (1): 171-182.
- 36. Omori, M. and Ikeda, T. (1984). Methods in marine zooplankton ecology. Wiley and Sons, New York on plankton poplulaon. Report, MN7B,National Grants Competition.
- Özbay, H.. and Altındag, A.(2009) Zooplankton abundance in the River Kars, Northeast Turkey: Impact of environmental variables Afr. J. Biotechnol. 8 (21), pp. 5814-5818.
- Pennak, R. W. (1978). Fresh water invertebrates of the United States. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and sons. Inc. New York, 803pp.
- Pontin, R.M. (1978). A key to the freshwater planktonic and semi-planktonic rotifera of the British Isles. Freshwater Biological Association Sci. Puble. No. 38.
- 40. Porto-Neto,V.F.(2003).Zooplanktonas bioindicator of environmental quality in the Tamandane Reff System (Pernambnco- Brazil): An thropogenic influences and interaction with mangroves. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Bremenm Brazil.
- 41. Rabee, A. M.(2010) The effect of AL-Tharthar-Euphrates canal on the quantitative and qualitative composition of Zooplankton in Euphrates River. J. of Al-Nahrain Uni.,13 (3):120-128.

- Sabri, A. W.; Ali, Z.H.; Shawkat, S.F.; Thejar, L.A.; Kassim, T.I. and Rasheed, K.A. (1993). ZoopIndkton population in the river Tigris: Effect of Sammrra Imoundment, Reg. Riv. 8: 237-250.
- Saadallah, H. A. (1998). An environmental study on the impact of Hamrin impoundment on benthic invertebrates and zooplankton in the Diyala River. PhD. Thesis, College of Education, Ibn al-Haytham -University of Baghdad.
- 44. Serafim Jr, M.; Lansac- Toha, F. A.; paggi, J. c.; velho, L. F. M. and Robertson, B. (2003). Cladocera fauna composition in ariver-lagoon sytem of the upper parana River Floodplain, with a new record for Brazil. J. Biol., 63:34-76.
- 45. Shekha, Y. A. (2008). The effect of Erbil city wastewater discharge on water quality of Greater Zab River, and the risks of irrigation. Ph. D. Thesis. Univ. of Baghdad. Iraq.
- 46. Sklar, F.H.(1985). Seasonality and community structure of the Back swamp invertebrates in Alonisiana Tupelo wetlands. Wetlands J. 5: 69 86.
- 47. Sladecek, V.(1983). Rotifers as indicators of water quality. Hydrobio.,100:169 201I.
- Sleem, S.H. and Hassan, M.M.(2010). Impact of Pollution on Invertebrates Biodiversity in the River Nile Associated With Dahab and El-Warrak Islands, Egypt. Inter. j. Envrion. Sci. and Engin., 1: 15-25.
- 49. Sterner, R. (2002). Biodiversity in urban ponds and lakes: Human effects on plankton population. Repport,MN7B,National Grants competition.
- 50. Thadeus, I.T.O and Lekinson, A. M. (2010). Zooplankton-based assessment of the trophic state of a tropical forest river Int. J. Fish. Aquac, 2(2): 64-70.