

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: H ENVIRONMENT & EARTH SCIENCE Volume 16 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2016 Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Seasonal and Spatial Analysis of Air Pollutants Emissions from Fuel-Wood Utilization in Selected Rural Communities within Odeda LGA, Nigeria

By Oyebanji, F. F., Oguntoke, O., Ojekunle, O. Z., Adeofun, C. O., Adedeji, O. H., Ekpo, U. F. & Adekunle, M. M.

Pan African University,

Abstract- The effects of fuel-wood utilization on spatial and temporal concentration of air pollutants were assessed in 12 purposively selected rural communities in Odeda Local Government Area of Ogun state. Carbon (II) oxide (CO), Carbon (IV) oxide (CO_2), Sulphur (IV) oxide (SO_2), Nitrogen (IV) oxide (NO_2), Methane (CH_4) and Particulate Matter of 2.5 ($PM_{2.5}$) and 10 (PM_{10})were monitored at 0, 2 and 5 m away from the cooking points using active air samplers in dry and wet seasons. Data collected were subjected to descriptive, ANOVA and Correlation statistics at 0.05 significant level.

Keywords: dirty energy, ambient environment, air quality, spatio-temporal concentrations.

GJSFR-H Classification : FOR Code: 960199



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



© 2016. Oyebanji, F. F., Oguntoke, O., Ojekunle, O. Z., Adeofun, C. O., Adedeji, O. H., Ekpo, U. F. & Adekunle, M. M. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Seasonal and Spatial Analysis of Air Pollutants Emissions from Fuel-Wood Utilization in Selected Rural Communities within Odeda Lga, Nigeria

Oyebanji, F. F. ^a, Oguntoke, O. ^c, Ojekunle, O. Z. ^p, Adeofun, C. O. ^{co}, Adedeji, O. H. ^{*}, Ekpo, U. F. [§] & Adekunle, M. M. ^x

Abstract- The effects of fuel-wood utilization on spatial and temporal concentration of air pollutants were assessed in 12 purposively selected rural communities in Odeda Local Government Area of Ogun state. Carbon (II) oxide (CO), Carbon (IV) oxide (CO₂), Sulphur (IV) oxide (SO₂), Nitrogen (IV) oxide (NO₂), Methane (CH₄) and Particulate Matter of 2.5 (PM₂₅) and 10 (PM₁₀)were monitored at 0, 2 and 5 m away from the cooking points using active air samplers in dry and wet seasons. Data collected were subjected to descriptive, ANOVA and Correlation statistics at 0.05 significant level. The mean±SD concentration (ppm) of pollutants monitored at 0, 2 and 5 m respectively across the villages were CO: 37.42±5.10, 26.00±3.86, 15.27±3.65;CO₂: 21.30±6.72, 10.71 \pm 1.31, 5.63 \pm 4.31; NO₂: 0.50 \pm 0.12, 0.16 \pm 0.05, 0.14 ± 0.18 ; SO₂: 1.60 ± 0.76, 1.10 ± 1.52, 0.24 ± 0.24; CH₄: 0.50±0.52, 0.33±0.49, 0.08±0.29; PM₁₀: 77.18±8.47, 35.99±3.78, 12.74±1.59; and PM_{2.5}: 28.93±4.24, 17.92±4.33, 8.87±3.32 at average wind speed of 2.52±0.25m/s in the wet season; while CO: 15.18±4.29, 6.57±3.53, 1.17±1.40;CO₂: 44.09±10.74, 21.60±7.78, 9.78±3.10; NO₂: 0.59±0.12, 0.17 ± 0.11 $0.08 \pm 0.08;$ SO₂: 2.05 ± 0.65 0.80 ± 0.38 0.26 ± 0.26 ; CH₄: 0.58 ± 0.51 , 0.33 ± 0.49 , 0.08 ± 0.29 ; PM₁₀: 98.64±9.22. 48.53 ± 7.63 , 17.64 ± 8.98 and PM_{2.5}: 43.81±11.11, 16.44±3.71, 7.81±1.78 at average wind speed of 3.11±0.57 m/s in the dry season. PM₁₀ had the highest mean concentration for both wet and dry seasons The mean concentrations of CO and CH₄ reduced from wet to dry seasons, while CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ increased from wet to dry seasons. There were no significant differences (p >0.05) in the mean concentration of air pollutants across the communities for both seasons except for CH₄. The overall mean values of NO₂ (0.25±0.06 and 0.28±0.09) and SO₂ (0.84±0.36 and 1.03±0.36) for wet and dry seasons respectively were above the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) air quality

standards; NO₂: 0.04 – 0.06 ppm and SO₂: 0.01 ppm. This study concludes that although fuel-wood utilization affects the quality of air,concentration reduces and changes over space and time respectively.

Keywords: dirty energy, ambient environment, air quality, spatio-temporal concentrations.

I. INTRODUCTION

here had been a notion that rural air is free from contaminations because the areas are often devoid of fumes that is emitted from vehicle exhausts, the tiny particles released by diesel engines and majorly the various air pollutants released during industrial activities. This notion has been proved wrong by various authors.

However, either one lives in the rural or urban area, air in good quality and quantity is one of the necessities of life upon which man's existence and sustenance are pivoted. Meanwhile its availability and variability in space and time is dependent on the activities going on around such area and critical issues that must be taken into consideration are exposure and health related studies. This is because some epidemiological studies have used available ambient air monitoring data to predict exposure of the population of their interest (Briggs *et al.*, 2000).

It should not be assumed that pollutants even when released from a point source would be homogenously distributed in terms of quality in space and time. According to Jerrett *et al.*, 2005 and Miller *et al.*, 2007, some epidemiological studies have shown the importance of intra-urban air pollution concentration variability, which often results in inconsistent comparisons for air quality data within different sites and consequently inconsistent actions to control.

In this study we investigated the rural air pollution distribution with respect to space and timeas it relates to air pollutants emitted during combustion of wood as fuel in the selected rural communities of Odeda, Ogun state, Nigeria.

Author α: Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria and Department of Environmental Management, Life and Earth Science Institute, Pan African University, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

e-mail: oyebanjiff@funaab.edu.ng

Author $\sigma \rho \stackrel{(c)}{\omega} \stackrel{(c)}{\not{i}}$: Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Federal University of Agriculture.

Author §: Department of Biology, Federal University and Toxicology, Federal University of Agriculture.

Author <u>x</u>: Department of Environmental Management, Life and Earth Sciences Institute, Pan African University, University of Ibadan.

II. THE STUDY AREA

Odeda is quite a large town and is one of the 20 local government areas within Ogun State, southwest of Nigeria. It lies on the North-eastern zone of the State, on longitude 7°12′ to 7°31′ and latitude 3°15′and 3°45′(Figure 1).This Local Government Area (LGA) shares boundary with Abeokuta south and north local government areas to the West, Obafemi-Owode local government to the South, whereas to the North and East, Odeda shares boundary with Akinyele and Ibarapa Local government of Oyo state respectively. The population figure is 109,449 (NPC, 2006) and covers a land area of about 1,554 km².

The mean monthly rainfall and temperature of the area are about 900.3mm and 33.3°C (Akanni, 1992). The LGA falls largely within the derived savanna vegetation which dominated the northernmost part of Ogun state. Nevertheless, pockets of forest vegetation which have not been degraded by human activities still exist. Generally, the floristic composition includes both true forest and savanna species. Important tree species found in this vegetation type include Lophira lanceslate, Daniellia oliverri and Afzolia Africana (Gbadegesin, 1992) among others. Odeda LGA is predominantly a rural community with numerous villages. Apart from farming which is the major occupation of the residents, people also engage in trading of farm produce, which is done on the periodic market days and hunting. Fuel-wood utilization still remains the highly patronized energy source in the study area where even people in the youthful age resides. The major occupation is farming and fuel-wood is used to prepare farm produce for sale. There is no preference for any particular specie of wood, the type of wood used depends on availability, and hence different species and sizes of woods are used in combination to generate an efficient heat needed and it is often collected from nearby forest (Oyebanjiet al., 2013). This local government has approximately 438 settlements/communities spread across the three zonal divisions in the local government namely Odeda, Opeji and llugun.





III. METHODOLOGY

Communities sampled were drawn purposively and based on exclusion criteria that is: absence of social amenities (such as tarred roads, electricity supply and other modern facilities) and 2km distance from any known major road (these criteria were paramount in order to prevent interference from vehicular emission and allowance for pollutant dispersion respectively).

Odeda is divided into three (3) zones namely Odeda, Opeji and Ilugun. A total of 12 communities were selected after considering the criteria earlier mentioned. The 12 communities are Iwo-Alli, JagunAkinfenwa, Ilafin, Ogijan (Odeda zone), Ogboja, Abusi, Owe, Molaaka (Opeji zone), Molaade, Akonko, Osho and Oju-ogun (Ilugun zone).Ten (10) sampling points where fuel-wood is used for cooking were randomlyselected from each of the 12 sampled communities for air quality monitoring making a total of 120 points in all. Wind vane was used to determine the wind direction (in order to determine the best location for mounting air samplers); the MultiRAE lite QRAE systems multigas sampler was used to monitor the concentration of Nitrous oxide (NO and NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), Carbon dioxide (CO₂), Sulphur dioxide (SO₂), Temperature (°C) and humidity (%); the QRAE⁺ was

2016

Year

34

2016

used to monitor Lowest Explosive Limit (LEL i.e. CH_4), Kanomax Anemometer was used for measuring wind speed and velocity while Dust track II Aerosol monitor R11593 for measuring suspended particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}).

These gases were monitored in replicates, in each of the communities between November and January for dry season and June to October. Point source air quality monitoring was taken at the cooking points 0 m, 2m and 5 m away from the cooking points along the wind direction.

The data collected were subjected to descriptive (mean and standard deviation), ANOVA and Correlation analyses using the statistical package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 17.0.1 and Microsoft Excel, 2007).

IV. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the mean values of meteorological data for both the wet and dry seasons. Temperature ranged from $29.67\pm3.82^{\circ}$ C to $37.57\pm5.50^{\circ}$ C and from $26.93\pm0.10^{\circ}$ C to $31.50\pm0.45^{\circ}$ C for wet and dry season respectively. Humidity ranged from $70.00\pm0.70\%$ to $85.00\pm0.12\%$ and $56.37\pm0.75\%$

to 68.13±0.84% for wet and dry season respectively, wind speed ranged from 1.98±0.31 to 2.91±0.61 and 2.13 ± 0.15 m/s to 3.86 ± 0.19 m/s for wet and drv season respectively. Various air pollution studies either in urban or rural areas has always taken into consideration major weather parameters, which include temperature, humidity and wind speed. This is because temperature and humidity affect the concentration of air pollutants in the atmosphere specifically; wind speed influences the rate of air pollutant dispersal as well as atmospheric mixing. High humidity and low wind speed will increase the concentration of air pollutants in the atmosphere with short and long term implications for human health according to Oin et al., 1993. Research have shown that air pollution gets worse during the dry season as pollutants remain suspended and concentrated in the atmosphere due to lack of rain to wash and dissolve them (WMO, 1999; Gunnar et al., 2002). This may suggest the general increase noticed in the concentration of some pollutants during the dry season. Also, if there is a temperature inversion, dispersal of pollutants may be highly difficult concentrating the pollutants to a particular area.



Figure 2 : Meteorological parameters monitored during wet and dry season

The change in mean concentration of air pollutants with 0, 2, and 5 m distances is shown on Figures 3 to 9 for CO, CO_2 , NO_2 , SO_2 , CH_4 , $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in the wet and dry seasons respectively. Naeher *et al.*, (2007) states that inorganic gases including CO, O_3 , inhalable coarse and fine particles are transported over a long distance. However, particles in micron size range are not easily removed by gravitational settling and therefore can be transported over long distances (Echalar *et al.*, 1995). The transport of biomass

combustion particles over hundreds of kilometers has been extensively documented (Andrae *et al.*, 1988). Haze layers with elevated concentrations of CO, carbon dioxide (CO_2), Ozone (O_3), and Nitric oxide (NO) have been observed. During transport, many of the gaseous species are converted to other gases or into particles. As pollutants are dispersed, there is atmospheric mixing and hence concentration reduces as shown in this study. The "black carbon" from biomass emissions contributes to regional and global climate change as well as adverse health effects in some parts of the world (Venkataraman *et al.*, 2005; Koch & Hansen, 2005). All the air pollutants (smoke) tend to disperse away from

the point source generation area especially on high wind intensity days (EPA, 2010 and Oren, 2001) and this suggests their dispersion.









Figure 4 : Concentration of CO₂ at distances to the cooking point between villages during wet and dry seasons

Figure 5 : Concentration of NO₂ at distances to the cooking point between villages during wet and dry seasons



Figure 6 : Concentration of SO₂ at distances to the cooking point between villages during wet and dry seasons











Figure 9 : Concentration of PM₁₀ at distances to the cooking point between villages during wet and dry seasons

The disparity recorded in the concentration of CO between the two seasons may not be far from the fact that CO is yielded from incomplete combustion (Naeher, et al., 2007) and it is expected that wood during the wet season may have high moisture content which produces lower energy and heat output because the heat produced will be used to vapourize moisture thereby producing thick smoke with high CO concentration (DEC, 2009). In the dry season, wood are very dry and hence yield complete combustion and give high mean CO₂ concentration during the dry season as observed in thetable 3, but the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has been a major concern in this era of climate change. The trees that are supposed to help sequester CO₂ were cut for fuel without replanting (Odii and Mokwunye, 2003). However, the mean concentrations for NO₂, SO₂, $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} increased during the dry season except for CH₄ whose mean concentration reduced as shown by Figure 7.It is expected that during the wet season the mean concentration of the aforementioned air pollutants will be higher than that of the dry season because of the burning of supplement materials like polythene bags, plastic bottles, "iha", "oguso", "eesan" etc as explained by the respondents to compensate for the low amount of dry wood (Zafar et al., 2010). Gases that have been the atmosphere would dispersed into remain suspended and concentrated during the dry season until they are washed down onto the earth surface by rain and thereby react with rain water to form new compounds hence reducing the concentration of the pollutant gases in the air. Some weather parameters affect the ambient concentration and dispersion rate of air pollutants, depending on wind speed air pollutants can travel very far and respect no boundary. During the dry seasons the mean concentration of CO₂, NO₂, SO₂, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ increase but that of CO and CH₄ reduce and vice versa.

Table 1 shows the overall mean concentrations of air pollutants for both wet and dry seasons

respectively. There is no significant variation (p < 0.05) between the mean concentrations of pollutants among the villages except for CH_4 which shows significant variation in the two seasons monitored. There is no significant difference between the mean concentrations of air pollutants measured in the selected communities except for CH_4 (p < 0.05), this may because most of them burn the same kind of wood for the same kind of activity and hours of cooking. However, only the kind of supplement material added may be different (Zafar *et al.*, 2010).

VILLAGES	CO (wet)	CO (dry) CO ₂ (wet) CO ₂ (dry)	SO ₂ (wet)	$SO_2(dry)$	NO ₂ (wet)	NO ₂ (dry)	CH4 (wet)	CH_4 (dry)	$PM_{2.5}$ (wet)	PM _{2.5} (dry)	PM10 (wet)	$PM_{10}(dry)$
Iwo-Alli	19.70 ± 9.02^{a}	5.40 ± 4.77^{a} 9.73 $\pm4.8^{c}$	3^{a} 14.89±9.08 ^a	0.42 ± 0.39^{a}	0.69 ± 0.69^{a}	0.11 ± 0.08^{a}	0.21 ± 0.35^{a}	1.22 ± 0.68^{a}	0.33 ± 0.58^{ab}	23.11±39.65 ^ª	65.95±37.04ª	12.05±8.91ª	30.57 ± 26.47^{a}
Ogijan	$28.74{\pm}13.24^{a}$	8.36±7.28 ^a 12.86±7.1	15 ^a 27.02±22.29 ⁴	^a 1.12±0.68 ^a	1.22 ± 0.63^{a}	$0.23{\pm}0.23^{a}$	$0.11{\pm}0.18^{a}$	9.12 ± 5.5^{bcd}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	24.96 ± 41.83^{a}	64.03 ± 34.43^{a}	15.27 ± 9.32^{a}	$29.60{\pm}28.49^{a}$
JagunAkinfenwa	$1 24.88 \pm 14.18^{a}$	13.53±8.23 10.67±6.4	45 ^a 26.36±17.02 ⁶	1 1.37±1.95 ^a	$1.59{\pm}1.86^{a}$	0.21 ± 0.22^{a}	$0.24{\pm}0.34^{\rm a}$	2.33 ± 1.1^{ab}	$0.33{\pm}0.58^{ab}$	34.07 ± 58.61^{a}	66.00 ± 41.09^{a}	16.67 ± 11.84^{a}	29.59 ± 27.38^{a}
llafin	$25.89{\pm}13.38^{a}$	9.63 ± 8.79^{a} 12.07 ±6.9	97 ^a 33.43±18.51 ⁶	a 0.55±0.52 ^a	$0.74{\pm}0.78^{a}$	$0.23{\pm}0.24^{a}$	$0.29{\pm}0.26^{a}$	3.07 ± 1.24^{abc}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	27.14 ± 46.54^{a}	46.83 ± 38.98^{a}	14.35±9.71ª	20.20 ± 15.94^{a}
Ogboja	$25.84{\pm}10.94^{a}$	8.16 ± 9.27^{a} 12.79±6.9	97 ^a 17.14±14.86 ⁱ	1 1.16±0.65 ^a	0.66 ± 1.07^{a}	$0.24{\pm}0.24^{\rm a}$	$0.28{\pm}0.23^{a}$	$10.14{\pm}5.20^{cd}$	$1.00{\pm}0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	25.17 ± 42.14^{a}	46.70 ± 38.39^{a}	16.61±9.53 ^a	$20.91{\pm}13.89^{a}$
Owe	26.42 ± 11.14^{a}	9.60 ± 8.18^{a} 11.27±7.4	44^{a} 20.38±16.29 ⁶	^a 0.58±0.54 ^a	$0.80{\pm}0.78^{a}$	$0.22{\pm}0.15^{a}$	$0.19{\pm}0.26^{a}$	5.01 ± 2.29^{abcd}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	24.39 ± 41.75^{a}	42.82 ± 35.76^{a}	18.72±9.65ª	23.17 ± 13.84^{a}
Molaaka	26.87 ± 13.33^{a}	$10.28\pm9.1511.39\pm8.0$	00 ^a 33.66±28.03 ⁱ	^a 0.56±0.54 ^a	$0.70{\pm}0.70^{a}$	$0.27{\pm}0.24^{a}$	$0.30{\pm}0.23^{a}$	5.69 ± 1.63^{abcd}	$0.33{\pm}0.58^{ab}$	24.79 ± 42.49^{a}	55.23 ± 38.90^{a}	19.77 ± 9.78^{a}	15.52 ± 10.12^{a}
Abusi	20.84 ± 9.72^{a}	3.94 ± 5.40^{a} 24.39±15	$23^{a}26.27\pm16.70^{b}$	^a 0.92±0.73 ^a	$1.27{\pm}0.83^{a}$	$0.25{\pm}0.24^{a}$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.29^{a}$	9.15 ± 7.78^{bcd}	$0.67{\pm}0.58^{\rm ab}$	23.97 ± 40.67^{a}	55.83 ± 40.75^{a}	17.47 ± 9.43^{a}	$21.58{\pm}15.05^{a}$
Molaade	24.02 ± 9.53^{a}	7.30 ± 6.52^{a} 10.74±8.2	28 ^a 31.81±19.72 ⁶	^a 1.48±0.77 ^a	1.66 ± 1.01^{a}	0.29 ± 0.25^{a}	$0.33{\pm}0.19^{a}$	11.39 ± 5.98^{d}	$0.67{\pm}0.58^{ab}$	27.00 ± 44.93^{a}	52.37 ± 42.16^{a}	21.18 ± 10.52^{a}	20.17 ± 17.84^{a}
Osho	32.37 ± 10.68^{a}	7.60±6.06 ^a 13.06±9.7	76 ^a 18.63±15.17 ⁶	^a 0.61±0.55 ^a	$1.27{\pm}1.08^{a}$	$0.31{\pm}0.26^{a}$	0.27 ± 0.39^{a}	6.56 ± 2.02^{abcd}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	26.05 ± 44.58^{a}	54.63 ± 48.83^{a}	23.49 ± 10.79^{a}	$20.01{\pm}17.67^{a}$
Oju-ogun	30.27 ± 10.55^{a}	3.35±4.68 ^a 10.26±8.0	0 ^a 21.82±14.88 ⁱ	a 0.71±0.57 ^a	1.02 ± 0.89^{a}	$0.33{\pm}0.27^{a}$	$0.42{\pm}0.23^{a}$	8.68±1.19 ^{bcd}	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$	27.92 ± 43.35^{a}	54.27 ± 48.29^{a}	25.16 ± 10.77^{a}	22.47 ± 21.64^{a}
Akonko	$30.90{\pm}10.92^{a}$	5.03 ± 6.52^{a} 11.34 ±9.3	32^{a} 30.49±19.08 ⁶	¹ 0.60±0.56 ^a	0.79 ± 0.82^{a}	$0.30{\pm}0.26^{a}$	0.40 ± 0.33^{a}	$6.09{\pm}2.97^{abcd}$	$0.67{\pm}0.58^{ab}$	25.51 ± 43.67^{a}	34.56 ± 59.36^{a}	22.13 ± 59.36^{a}	18.47 ± 17.54^{a}
Means with th	he same sup	erscript column-wis	se are not signi	ificantly diffe	srent accord	ding to Dur	ncan Multip	ile Range te:	st				

Table 1 : Variation in air pollutants between villages during both seasons (p < 0.05)

On a general note, considering the relationship between all measured parameters during the wet season (using the Pearson's correlation at 5% significant level)were positive and significant relationship exist between air pollutants CO_2 at cooking point and 2 m, CO at 5 m and cooking point, NO_2 at point and 2 m, NO_2 at 5 m and CH_4 at 2 m, SO_2 at 5 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CO at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, SO_2 at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CO_2 at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CO_2 at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CO_2 at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CO_2 at 2 m and CH_4 at 5 m, CH_4 at 5 m and PM_{10} at 5 m, CH_4 at 5 m and PM_{10} at 5 m, CH_4 at 5 m and PM_{10} at 5 m, CH_4 at 5 m and $PM_{2.5}$ at point, CH_4 at 5 m and $PM_{2.5}$ at 2 m, whereas negative significant relationship exist

between CO₂ at 5 m and CO at point and between CO at 5 m and CO₂ at 5 m (p < 0.05) as shown on Table 2.In the same vein, during the dry season some air pollutant measured both positive and negative significant relationship, however, the strongest positive relationships were displayed between CO₂ at all the points, CO₂ at 2 m and SO₂ at point, PM_{2.5} at 2 m and PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} at 5 m and PM₁₀ at 2 m (p < 0.05). Negative significant relationships were displayed between NO₂ at 2 m, PM_{2.5} at 5 m and PM₁₀ at 2 m, and between NO₂ at 5 m and PM₁₀ at 2 m as displayed on Table 3.

Table 2 : Correlation Matrix of air pollutants and particulates with five factor (Wet season)

1	VARIABLES	CO (P)	CO (2M)	CO (5M)	CO ₂ (P)	CO ₂ (2M)	CO ₂ (5M)	NO ₂ (P)	NO ₂ (2M)	NO ₂ (5M)	SO ₂ (P)	SO ₂ (2M)	SO ₂ (5M)	CH ₄ (P)	CH ₄ (2M)	CH ₄ (5M)	PM _{2.5} (P)	PM _{2.5} (2M)	PM _{2.5} (5M)	PM ₁₀ (P)	PM ₁₀ (2M)	PM ₁₀ (5M)
	CO (P)	1.00	0.55	0.747	-0.45	-0.14	-0.648*	0.50	0.32	0.43	0.01	0.18	-0.46	-0.22	0.24	-0.02	0.40	0.53	0.52	0.47	0.44	0.33
	CO (2M)		1.00	0.820	0.26	0.17	-0.14	0.791	0.865	0.893	-0.24	0.39	-0.09	0.30	0.41	0.648^{*}	-0.11	0.859	0.905	0.847	0.854	0.884
	CO (5M)			1.00	-0.26	-0.10	-0.608*	0.606^{*}	0.764	0.814	-0.35	0.35	-0.29	0.00	0.39	0.37	-0.04	0.803	0.792	0.768	0.763	0.774
	CO2 (P)				1.00	0.628*	0.895	0.28	0.13	0.08	0.03	-0.14	0.39	0.50	-0.06	0.41	-0.24	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.15
	CO2 (2M)					1.00	0.57	0.19	-0.06	0.00	-0.10	-0.38	0.44	0.52	0.26	0.21	-0.38	-0.20	-0.08	-0.18	-0.16	-0.03
	CO2 (5M)						1.00	-0.08	-0.28	-0.35	0.10	-0.21	0.34	0.34	-0.25	0.14	-0.21	-0.39	-0.34	-0.34	-0.34	-0.26
	NO2 (P)							1.00	0.701*	0.762	0.10	0.40	0.22	0.48	0.57	0.719	0.18	0.789	0.772	0.784	0.772	0.738
	NO2 (2M)								1.00	0.968	-0.31	0.53	0.03	0.30	0.46	0.760	-0.19	0.922	0.895	0.921	0.937	0.993
	NO2 (5M)									1.00	-0.18	0.48	0.11	0.38	0.576	0.740	-0.10	0.939	0.948	0.941	0.957	0.976
	$SO_{2}\left(P\right)$										1.00	-0.08	0.31	0.16	0.08	-0.08	0.804	-0.09	-0.12	0.03	0.00	-0.28
	$SO_2(2M)$											1.00	0.03	0.20	0.44	0.676^{*}	0.00	0.50	0.578	0.52	0.51	0.53
	$SO_2(5M)$												1.00	0.900	0.663	• 0.49	-0.22	-0.09	0.01	-0.02	0.01	0.07
	$CH_4(P)$													1.00	0.793	0.720	-0.32	0.16	0.32	0.23	0.26	0.36
	$CH_4(2M)$														1.00	0.691*	-0.18	0.37	0.52	0.42	0.44	0.51
	$CH_4(5M)$															1.00	-0.26	0.622^{*}	0.700^{*}	0.679^{*}	0.696*	0.796
	PM _{2.5} (P)																1.00	0.14	-0.01	0.18	0.12	-0.20
	PM _{2.5} (2M)																	1.00	0.941	0.974	0.972	0.918
	PM _{2.5} (5M)																		1.00	0.917	0.929	0.913
	$PM_{10}(P)$																			1.00	0.997	0.928
	PM ₁₀ (2M)																				1.00	0.944
	PM ₁₀ (5M)																					1.00

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

Table 3 : Relationship between air pollutant concentration at the varied distances (Dry season) using Pearson's correlation co-efficient

VARIABLES	CO (P)	CO (2M)	CO 5M	CO ₂ (P)	CO ₂ (2M)	CO ₂ (5M)	NO ₂ (P)	NO ₂ (2M)	NO ₂ (5M)	SO ₂ (P)	SO ₂ (2M)	SO ₂ (5M)	CH ₄ (P)	CH ₄ (2M)	CH ₄ (5M)	PM _{2.5} (P)	PM _{2.5} (2M)	PM _{2.5} (5M)	PM ₁₀ (P)	PM ₁₀ (2M)	PM ₁₀ (5M)
CO (P)	1.00	0.862	0.716	0.46	0.00	0.39	-0.36	-0.24	-0.35	0.22	-0.22	-0.36	0.02	-0.25	0.24	-0.36	-0.18	0.09	-0.13	0.11	0.19
CO (2M)		1.00	0.868	0.30	-0.14	0.26	-0.38	-0.51	-0.579*	0.39	0.07	-0.19	-0.04	-0.49	-0.07	-0.10	0.09	0.36	0.18	0.27	0.29
CO (5M)			1.00	0.20	0.01	0.38	-0.09	-0.41	-0.47	.662*	0.21	-0.19	-0.02	-0.47	-0.20	0.22	0.18	0.41	0.36	0.29	0.08
$CO_{2}\left(P\right)$				1.00	0.712	0.648*	-0.24	0.30	0.31	-0.03	0.24	0.15	0.06	0.01	-0.29	-0.16	0.07	-0.08	-0.38	-0.48	-0.39
$CO_2(2M)$					1.00	0.692*	0.14	0.39	0.52	0.14	0.42	0.41	0.17	0.38	-0.42	0.01	0.16	-0.23	-0.25	-0.50	-0.54
CO ₂ (5M)						1.00	0.07	0.31	0.34	0.08	0.01	-0.12	0.12	-0.07	-0.36	-0.17	0.02	-0.10	-0.16	-0.25	-0.18
$NO_2(P)$							1.00	0.37	0.33	0.18	-0.23	-0.29	0.26	0.21	-0.12	0.46	-0.15	-0.35	-0.24	-0.45	-0.34
NO ₂ (2M)								1.00	0.936	-0.06	-0.16	0.04	0.12	0.39	0.12	-0.08	-0.42	-0.655*	-0.596*	-0.687*	-0.44
NO ₂ (5M)									1.00	-0.04	-0.01	0.19	0.20	0.52	0.04	-0.03	-0.21	-0.590*	-0.44	-0.644*	-0.56
SO ₂ (P)										1.00	0.50	0.30	0.22	0.10	-0.08	0.44	0.19	0.16	0.31	0.11	-0.18
SO ₂ (2M)											1.00	0.808	-0.19	-0.06	0.00	0.43	0.49	0.29	0.43	0.00	-0.35
$SO_2(5M)$												1.00	-0.02	0.34	-0.30	0.04	0.26	-0.03	0.06	-0.12	-0.11
$CH_4(P)$													1.00	0.598	*0.25	0.15	0.42	0.20	-0.10	0.02	-0.02
CH4 (2M)														1.00	0.43	-0.19	-0.04	-0.40	-0.37	-0.22	-0.21
CH4 (5M)															1.00	-0.36	-0.35	-0.19	-0.22	0.14	0.15
$PM_{2.5}(P)$																1.00	0.598*	0.49	0.53	-0.01	-0.53
PM _{2.5} (2M)																	1.00	0.832	0.690*	0.37	-0.22
PM _{2.5} (5M)																		1.00	0.784	0.673*	0.11
PM ₁₀ (P)																			1.00	0.720	-0.04
PM ₁₀ (2M)																				1.00	0.53
PM ₁₀ (5M)																					1.00

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

The implication of the positive significant relationship is that there exists direct proportional relationships between the parameters although at different significant level which corroborates the study of the associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and lung function decrements in children (Koenig *et al.*, 1993), visits to emergency departments for asthma (Norris *et al.*, 1999), hospitalizations for asthma (Sheppard *et al.*, 1999), and increases in asthma symptoms in children (Yu and Lumley, 2000), as well as increase in exhaled Nitric oxide (Koenig *et al.*, 2003, 2005). High PM concentrations results in the condensation of gases when they are combusted (Naeher*et al.*, 2007) this may also explain the positive correlation shown by PM and almost all the other monitored gases.

V. Conclusions

Finally, the various values observed, meets with the World Health Organization (WHO), Air Quality Guidelines, 2005 but when compared with National Environmental Standards and Regulation Enforcement Agency (NESREA) standards for ambient air, SO₂ and NO₂ exceed the limit. It should be noted that Nigerian Air Quality Standards have notactually considered fuelwood sources and there are no set standards and limits for this activity.

References Références Referencias

1. Akanni, C.O. 1992. Aspects of Climate. In: Ogun State in Maps. In Onakomaiya, S.O., Oyesiku, K. and

Jegede, J (eds.). Rex Charles Publication Ltd, Ibadan. pp 18-19.

- Andrae, M. O., Browell, E. V., Garstang, M., Gregory, G. L., Harriss, R. C., Hill, G. F., Jacob, D. J., Pereira, M. C., Sachse, G.W., Setzer, A.W., Silva Dias, P. L., Talbot, R.W., and Wofsy, S.C. 1988. BiomassBurning Emissions and associated Haze Layers over Amazonia. *J. Geophys.Res.* 93(D2):1509–1527.
- 3. Briggs, D. J., Cornelius, D. H., Gulliver, J. & Willes, J. 2000. A regression based method for mapping traffic related air pollution: Application and Testing in Four contrasrting urban environment. *The Science of the Total Environment* 253: 151-167
- DEC (Department of Environment and Conservation), 2009. Air Quality Information Sheet (Firewood Restrictions and Air Quality in Western Australia. 2009232-0709 pp 1-7.
- Echalar, F., Gaudichet, A., Cachier, H., and Artaxo, P. 1995. Aerosolemission by tropical forest and savanna biomass burning: Characteristic trace elements and fluxes.Geophys. Res. Lett22(22):3039–3042
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2010. Control Emmissions Technologies - Transport & Dispersion of Air Pollutants. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/apti/course422/ce1.html
- Gbadegesin, A.S. 1992. Vegetation. In: Ogun State in Maps. Onakomaiya, S.O, Oyesiku, KandJegede, J (eds.). Rex Charles Publication, Ibadan. p 21.

- Gunnar, D. N., Jitka, S. H., Rikke, M. L., *et al.*, 2002: Ige – Mediated Asthma and Rhinitis: A Role of Allergen Exposure? Pharmacology and Toxicology, volume 90 Issue 5 page 231.
- 9. Jerrett, M., Arain, A., Kanaroglou, P., Beckerman. B., Potoglou, D. and Sahsuvaroglu, T. 2005. A review and evaluation of intraurban air pollution exposure models. *J. Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol*15: 185-204
- Koch, D., and Hansen, J. 2005. Distant origins of Arctic black carbon: A Goddard Institute for Space Studies Model Eexperiment. J.Geophys. Res. 110:4204.
- Koenig, J. Q., Larson, T. V., Hanley, Q. S., Rebolledo, V., Dumler, K. and Ceckoway, H. 1993. Pulmonary function changes in children associated with fine particle matter. *Environ Resources* 63: 26-38.
- 12. Koenig, J. Q., Jansen, K., Mar, T. F., Lumley, T., Kaufman, J. and Trenga, C. A. 2003. Measurement of offline exhaled nitric oxide in a study of community exposure in daily life along main roads in Tokyo. *Environ Health Perspect* 111: 1625-1629.
- Koenig, J. Q., Mar, T. F., Allen, R. W., Jansen, K., Lumley, T., and Sullivan, J. H. 2005. Pulmonary effects of indoor and outdoor generated particles in children with asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 113: 499-503.
- Koning H. W., Smith, K. R. and Last J. M. 1985. Biomass fuel combustion and health⁻ Bulletin of World Health Organisation1985; 63(1): 11–26. PMCID: PMC2536350.
- Miller, K. A., Siscovick, D. S., Sheppard, L., Shepherd, K., Sullivan, J. H. and Anderson, G. L. 2007. Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. *N Engl J Med* 356: 447-458.
- Naeher Luke P., Michael Rauer, Michael Lipsett, Judith T. Zelikoff, Christopher D. Simpson and Jane Q. Koenig and Kirk R. Smith 2007. Woodsmoke health effects: Review inhalation toxicology, 19:67– 106, 2007 copyright _c in form a healthcare issn: 0895-8378 print/1091-7691 online doi: 10.1080/08958370600985875.
- Norris, G., Young Pong, S. N., Koenig, J. Q., Larson, T. V., Sheppard, L. and Stout, J. W. 1999. An association between fine particles and asthma emergency department visits for children in Seattle. *Environ Health Perspct* 107: 489-493.
- NPC (National Population Census) 2006. Population Distribution by Sex, State, LGAs, and Senatorial Districts. Available at: www.population.gov.ng/ publications.
- 19. Odii M.A.C.A. and Mokwunye J.N. 2003. Minimisingfel wood consumption through the evolution of hot stone cooker as an alternative domestic energy supply. JASR Vol. 3 No. 1 pg 40-54.

- Oin, Y.H., Zhang, X.M., Jin, H.Z., Liu, Y.Q., and Fan, Z.J 1993: Effects of Indoor Air Pollution on Respiratory illness of School Children. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Indoor AirQuality. Clim. Helsinki, Finland. pp 477-482. Sinton, J. and Weller, R.P (2003), Air Pollution Challenges in Rural China. Available at: www.wilsoncenter.org
- 21. Oren C. N., 2001. *Air Pollution.* Access Science, The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Oyebanji, F. F., Adeofun, C. O., Adedeji, O. H., Ekpo, U. F., Oguntoke, O. &Ojekunle, O. Z. 2013. Assessment of respiratory health impacts of fuelwood utilization on exposed rural women in Odeda, Southwestern, Nigeria. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Environment and Earth. 13(4) 1: 16-23.
- 23. Sheppard, L., Levy, D., Norris, G., Larson, T. V., and Koenig, J. Q. 1999. Effects of ambient air pollution on non-elderly asthma hospital admissions in Seattle, Washington, 1987–1994. *Epidemiology* 10(1):23–30.
- 24. Venkataraman, C., Habib, G., Eiguren-Fernandez, A., Miguel, A. H.,and Friedlander, S. K. 2005. Residential biofuels in South Asia:Carbonaceous aerosol emissions and climate impacts. *Science*307:1454–1456.
- 25. World Health Organization (WHO) 2005. WHO air quality guidelines global update 2005. Copenhagen, Denmark, WHO Regional Office for Europe. Available at: www.euro.who.int/Document/E87950. pdfwww.mdpi.com/journal/ijerph
- 26. WMO 1999. Weather, climate and Health. WMO special publications No. 892: pp36.
- 27. Yu, O., Sheppard, L. and Lumley, T. 2000. Effects of ambient air pollution on symptoms of asthma in Seattle-area children enrolled in CAMP study. *Environ HealthPerspect* 108: 1209-14.
- Zafar Fatmi, Asma Rahman, AmbreenKazi, M. Masood Kadir and NaliniSathiakumar 2010. Situational Analysis of Household Energy and Biomass Use andAssociated Health Burden of Indoor Air Pollution and Mitigation Efforts in Pakistan. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2010, 7, 2940-2952; doi:10.3390/ijerph707294.

2016

Year