



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: F  
MATHEMATICS AND DECISION SCIENCES  
Volume 17 Issue 7 Version 1.0 Year 2017  
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal  
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)  
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

# A Sufficient Condition for the Uniform Convergence of Truncated Cardinal Functions Whittaker Inside the Interval

By A. Yu. Trynin  
*Saratov State University*

**Abstract-** In terms of the one-sided module of continuity and positive (negative) module of change obtain sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of truncated cardinal functions Whittaker inside the interval.

**Keywords:** *sinc approximation, interpolation functions, uniform approximation, cardinal functions Whittaker.*

**GJSFR-F Classification:** MSC 2010: 41A05; 65D05, 65T60.



*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:*





# A Sufficient Condition for the Uniform Convergence of Truncated Cardinal Functions Whittaker Inside the Interval

A. Yu. Trynin

**Abstract-** In terms of the one-sided module of continuity and positive (negative) module of change obtain sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of truncated cardinal functions Whittaker inside the interval.

**Keywords:** sinc approximation, interpolation functions, uniform approximation, cardinal functions Whittaker.

## I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

E. Borel and E.T. Whittaker introduced the notion of a truncated cardinal function, whose restriction on the segment  $[0, \pi]$  reads as follows:

$$C_{\Omega}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(\Omega x - k\pi)}{\Omega x - k\pi} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\Omega}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k \sin \Omega x}{\Omega x - k\pi} f\left(\frac{k\pi}{\Omega}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

here  $\Omega > 0$  and  $n = [\Omega]$  is integer part  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $\frac{\sin(\Omega x)}{\Omega x}$  called sinc-function. Up to now, a fairly well-studied problem is the one concerning sinc approximations of an analytic function on the real axis decreasing exponentially at infinity. The most complete survey of the results obtained in this direction by 1993 be found in [1].

Sinc approximations have wide applications in mathematical physics, in constructing various numerical methods and the approximation theory for the functions of both one and several variables [2], [3] [4], [5], [6] [1], [7], in theory of quadrature formulae [8], [1], in theory of wavelets or wavelet-transforms in [9, Ch. 2], [10], [11].

One test for the uniform convergence on the axis for Whittaker cardinal functions were provided in [12], [13]. Another important sufficient condition for convergence of sinc approximations was obtained in [14]. It was established that for some subclasses of functions absolutely continuous together with their derivatives on the interval  $(0, \pi)$  and having a bounded variation on the whole axis  $\mathbb{R}$  Kotel'nikov series (or cardinal Whittaker functions) converge uniformly inside the interval  $(0, \pi)$ . In [15] was obtained by an upper bound for the best possible approximations of sines. In book [16] designated perspective directions of development of sinc approximations. In papers [17] there were obtained estimates for

the error of approximations of uniformly continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  functions by the values of various operators being combinations of sines. Unfortunately, while approximating continuous functions on a segment by means of (1.1) and many other operators, Gibbs phenomenon arises in the vicinity of the segment end-points, see, for instance [18]. In [19] and [18] various estimates for the error of approximation of analytic in a circle functions by sinc-approximations (1.1) (when  $\Omega = n$ ) were obtained.

In paper [19] sharp estimates were established for the functions and Lebesgue constants of operator (1.1) (when  $\Omega = n$ ). Works [20], [21] were devoted to obtaining necessary and sufficient conditions of pointwise and uniform in interval  $(0, \pi)$  convergence of values operators (1.1) (when  $\Omega = n$ ) for functions  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ . In [22] there was constructed an example of continuous function vanishing at the end-points of the segment  $[0, \pi]$  for which the sequence of the values of operators (1.1) (when  $\Omega = n$ ) diverges unboundedly everywhere on the interval  $(0, \pi)$ . Work [23] was devoted to studying approximative properties of interpolation operators constructed by means of solutions to the Cauchy problems with second order differential expressions. Papers [24] and [25] were devoted to applications of considered in [23] Lagrange-Sturm-Liouville interpolation processes. In [26] the results of work [23] were applied for studying approximative properties of classical Lagrange interpolation processes with the matrix of interpolation nodes, whose each row consists of zeroes of Jacobi polynomials  $P_n^{\alpha_n, \beta_n}$  with the parameters depending on  $n$ . In the works [27], [28], [29] of construction of new operators sinc approximations. They allow you to uniformly approximate any continuous function on the segment.

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present work we follow the lines of publications [33], [34], [35], [36], [30], [37], [38], [39], [31], [32], [40] and we obtain sufficient conditions approximations of continuous on the segment  $[0, \pi]$  functions inside interval  $(0, \pi)$  by means of truncated cardinal function (1.1) (in case  $\Omega > 0$ ).

Fix  $\rho_\lambda = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\ln \lambda}\right)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , let  $h(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for each nonnegative  $\lambda$  let  $q_\lambda$  be arbitrary function in the ball  $V_{\rho_\lambda}[0, \pi]$  of radius  $\rho_\lambda$  in the space of functions with bounded variation vanishing at the origin, so that

$$V_0^\pi[q_\lambda] \leq \rho_\lambda, \quad \rho_\lambda = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\ln \lambda}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad q_\lambda(0) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

For a potential  $q_\lambda \in V_{\rho_\lambda}[0, \pi]$ , where  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , the zeros of solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' + (\lambda - q_\lambda(x))y = 0, \\ y(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad y'(0, \lambda) = h(\lambda), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

or, provided that  $h(\lambda) \neq 0$

$$V_0^\pi[q_\lambda] \leq \rho_\lambda, \quad \rho_\lambda = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\ln \lambda}\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad q_\lambda(0) = 0, \quad h(\lambda) \neq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

Ref

18. Trynin A. Yu., Sklyarov V. P. *Error of sinc approximation of analytic functions on an interval*, Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing 7 (3), 263-270 (2008).

the zeros of Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} y'' + (\lambda - q_\lambda(x))y = 0, \\ y(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad y'(0, \lambda) = h(\lambda), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

which lie in  $[0, \pi]$  and are numbered in ascending order, will be denoted by

$$0 \leq x_{0,\lambda} < x_{1,\lambda} < \dots < x_{n(\lambda),\lambda} \leq \pi \quad (x_{-1,\lambda} < 0, x_{n(\lambda)+1,\lambda} > \pi). \quad (2.5)$$

(Here  $x_{-1,\lambda} < 0$ , and  $x_{n(\lambda)+1,\lambda} > \pi$  are the zeros of the extension of solution of the Cauchy problem (2.2) or (2.4) corresponding to some extension of function  $q_\lambda$  outside  $[0, \pi]$  having similar bounds for the variation).

In [23] the properties of the Lagrange type approximation investigated. The operators which include the solution of the Cauchy problem of the form (2.4) or (2.5) and the continuous function which bind

$$S_\lambda(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{y(x, \lambda)}{y'(x_{k,\lambda}, \lambda)(x - x_{k,\lambda})} f(x_{k,\lambda}) = \sum_{k=0}^n s_{k,\lambda}(x) f(x_{k,\lambda}); \quad (2.6)$$

it interpolates  $f$  at the nodes  $\{x_{k,\lambda}\}_{k=0}^n$ .

Let  $C_0[0, \pi] = \{f : f \in C[0, \pi], f(0) = f(\pi) = 0\}$ . When approximation using sinc approximations (1.1) function  $f \in C[0, \pi] \setminus C_0[0, \pi]$  near the endpoints of the Gibbs phenomenon occurs. This problem can be solved with the help of the reception that was used in the construction of the operator [23, formula (1.9)]

$$T_\lambda(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{y(x, \lambda)}{y'(x_{k,\lambda}, \lambda)(x - x_{k,\lambda})} \left\{ f(x_{k,\lambda}) - \frac{f(\pi) - f(0)}{\pi} x_{k,\lambda} - f(0) \right\} + \frac{f(\pi) - f(0)}{\pi} x + f(0), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $y(x, \lambda)$  – solution problem Cauchy (2.2) or (2.4) and  $x_{k,\lambda}$  – the zeros of the solutions.

### III. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS OF SINC APPROXIMATIONS WITHIN THE INTERVAL OF UNIFORM CONVERGENCE $(0, \pi)$

Let  $\Omega$  set of real continuous non decreasing convex up on  $[0, b-a]$ , vanishing at zero functions  $\omega$ . Let  $C(\omega^l, [a, b])$  and  $C(\omega^r, [a, b])$  is the set of elements of  $C[a, b]$  such that for any  $x$  and  $x+h$  ( $a \leq x < x+h \leq b$ ) we have the equalities

$$f(x+h) - f(x) \geq -K_f \omega(h) \text{ or } f(x+h) - f(x) \leq K_f \omega(h), \quad (3.1)$$

accordingly. Where  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Selecting positive constants  $K_f$  may depend only on the function  $f$ . In this case the function  $\omega(h)$  is sometimes referred to, accordingly, the left-hand or right-hand continuity module. In principle, the definition of a unilateral module of continuity could be considered any functions  $\hat{\omega}(h)$  vanishing at zero, continuous on  $[0, b-a]$  or  $[0, \infty)$ . The wording of all the results of this work in this case, would remain in force. Without loss of generality, in the definition of unilateral modulus of continuity (3.1) can be considered  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Classic modulus of continuity  $f \in C[a, b]$  denoted as usual  $\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{|h| < \delta; x, x+h \in [a, b]} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$ . The module of continuity of  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ , if  $a = 0$ ,  $b = \pi$  will denote  $\omega_1(f, \delta) = \sup_{|h| < \delta; x, x+h \in [0, \pi]} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$ . Module of change of  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  is called function defined by the equation

$$v(n, f) = \sup_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)|,$$

where  $T_n = \{a \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n \leq b\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take a non-negative, non-decreasing convex up function of a natural argument to  $v(n)$ . If a module of changes of function  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , such that  $v(n, f) = O(v(n))$  with  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we say that  $f$  belongs to the class  $V(v)$ . Here, also, the choice of uniformity of the constants o-symbolism can only depend on  $f$ .

By analogy with the positive (negative) change of function will be called positive (negative) module of change of function  $f$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , accordingly, the function of a natural argument type

$$v^+(n, f) = \sup_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k))_+ \text{ and } v^-(n, f) = \inf_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k))_-,$$

where  $z_+ = \frac{z+|z|}{2}$  and  $z_- = \frac{z-|z|}{2}$  and  $T_n = \{a \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n \leq b\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $f$  belongs to the class of  $V^+(v)$  or  $V^-(v)$ , if there exists a constant  $M_f$ , that for any natural  $n$  true inequality

$$v^+(n, f) \leq M_f v(n) \text{ or } v^-(n, f) \geq -M_f v(n)$$

accordingly.

Unless otherwise stated, suppose that for each  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $n := [\sqrt{\lambda}]$ ,  $\Omega := \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $x_{k,\lambda} := k\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $l_{k,\lambda}(x) := \frac{(-1)^k \sin \Omega x}{\Omega x - k\pi}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ ,  $0 \leq a < b \leq \pi$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < (b-a)/2$ . If a non-decreasing concave function of a natural argument  $v(n)$  and the function  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq k_2 - k_1 - 1} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2 - k_1 - 1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right\} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $k_1, k_2 + 1$  — the smallest and largest number of nodes  $x_{k,\lambda} = k\pi/\Omega$ , falling in the interval  $[a, b]$ , then for any continuous on  $[0, \pi]$ , the function  $f \in C(\omega^l[a, b]) \cap V^-(v)$  ( $f \in C(\omega^r[a, b]) \cap V^+(v)$ ) is performed

$$\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow \infty} \|f - C_\Omega(f, \cdot)\|_{C[a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon]} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Here operator  $C_\Omega(f, \cdot)$  defined in (1.1).

**Remark 3.2** On the set  $[0, \pi] \setminus [a, b]$  ratio (1.1) can be not performed (See [22]).

We present auxiliary results, which will be used in the future.

Ref

22. Trynin A.Yu. On divergence of sinc-approximations everywhere on  $(0, \pi)$ , Algebra i Analiz, 22:4 (2010), 232-256 English transl. St. Petersburg Math. J. 22 (2011), 683-701.

**Proposition 3.3** ([23, Proposition 9]). *Let  $y(x, \lambda)$  be the solution of Cauchy problem (2.4) or (2.5) and assume that in case of the Cauchy problem (2.4) relations (2.1) hold, while in the case of (2.5) relations (2.3) hold. If  $f \in C_0[0, \pi]$ , then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left( f(x) - S_\lambda(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})) s_{k, \lambda}(x) \right) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

**Remark 3.4.** From the Proposition 3.3 follows that values operators

$$A_\lambda(f, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) + f(x_{k, \lambda})) s_{k, \lambda}(x),$$

$$B_\lambda(f, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_{k-1, \lambda}) + f(x_{k, \lambda})) s_{k, \lambda}(x)$$

or

$$C_\lambda(f, x) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (f(x_{k-1, \lambda}) + 2f(x_{k, \lambda}) + f(x_{k+1, \lambda})) s_{k, \lambda}(x)$$

give an opportunity approximations every function  $f \in C_0[0, \pi]$ .

For any  $0 \leq a < b \leq \pi$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < (b - a)/2$  denoted

$$Q_\lambda(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) := \max_{p_1 \leq p \leq p_2} \left| \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{f(x_{2m+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{2m, \lambda})}{p - 2m} \right|. \quad (3.5)$$

Here the dashes on the summation signs in (3.5) mean that are no terms with zero denominator. Where  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the indices of the zeros determined by the inequalities

$$x_{p_1, \lambda} \leq a + \varepsilon < x_{p_1+1, \lambda}, \quad x_{p_2, \lambda} \leq b - \varepsilon < x_{p_2+1, \lambda},$$

$$x_{k_1-1, \lambda} < a \leq x_{k_1, \lambda}, \quad x_{k_2+1, \lambda} \leq b < x_{k_2+2, \lambda},$$

$$m_1 = \left[ \frac{k_1}{2} \right] + 1, \quad m_2 = \left[ \frac{k_2}{2} \right].$$

Here  $[z]$  denote the integer part  $z$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *If function  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ , then from a ratio*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Q_\lambda(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

*follows (3.3).*

**Proof of Proposition 3.5.** We denote

$$\psi_{k, \lambda} = f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda}) \quad k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \lambda > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

We take into account that we have the estimate

$$|\psi_{k,\lambda}| = |f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})| \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \quad \text{for all } k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \lambda > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

We fix an arbitrary  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$ . Choose index  $p = p(x, \lambda)$ , so that  $x \in [x_{p,\lambda}, x_{p+1,\lambda})$ . Then  $x = x_{p,\lambda} + \frac{\alpha\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ , where  $\alpha = \alpha(x, \lambda) \in [0, 1)$

$$x - x_{k,\lambda} = \frac{p - k + \alpha}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\pi.$$

From (3.8) for all  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  we have the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{k: k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \\ |p-k| \geq 3;}} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k + \alpha} - \sum_{\substack{k: k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \\ |p-k| \geq 3;}} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{\substack{k: k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \\ |p-k| \geq 3;}} \frac{\alpha}{|p - k|(|p - k| - 1)} \leq \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right). \quad (3.9)$$

Notice, that if  $h(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}$ ,  $q_\lambda \equiv 0$  solution of the Cauchy problem (2.4) is  $y(x, \lambda) = \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x$ .

We take into account (3.7). We decompose the sum in (3.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in [0, \lambda-1] \setminus [k_1, k_2]} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}(x) = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k: k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \\ |p-k| \geq 3;}} \psi_{k,\lambda} l_{k,\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k: k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \\ |p-k| < 3;}} \psi_{k,\lambda} l_{k,\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in [0, \lambda-1] \setminus [k_1, k_2]} \psi_{k,\lambda} l_{k,\lambda}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, using the triangle inequality, of (3.7), (3.9) uniformly for all  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}(x) - \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}x}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k: |p-k| \geq 3} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k + \alpha} - \sum_{k: |p-k| \geq 3} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k} \right| + \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| < 3} \left| \psi_{k,\lambda} l_{k,\lambda}(x) \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| < 3} \frac{|\psi_{k,\lambda}|}{|p - k|} \leq \frac{5}{\pi} \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

There are a constant  $C$  and number  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  independent of function  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ ,  $0 \leq a < b \leq \pi$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < (b - a)/2$ , such that for all  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  and  $n > n_0$  the inequality is fair



$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in [0, n-1] \setminus [k_1, k_2]} \psi_{k, \lambda} l_{k, \lambda}(x) \right| \leq \frac{\omega_1 \left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)}{2} \sum_{k \in [0, n-1] \setminus [k_1, k_2]} |l_{k, \lambda}(x)| \leq$$

$$C \omega_1 \left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \ln \frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon}.$$

Thence, by (3.11) (3.4) we have for all  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  ratio

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( f(x) - C_{\Omega}(f, x) - \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda} x}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

We estimate the last term in (3.12) by means of ratio (3.8) and triangle inequality

$$\left| \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda} x}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{\psi_{2m, \lambda}}{p - 2m} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| + O \left( \omega \left( f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \right). \quad (3.13)$$

By the continuity of  $f$  there exists a sequence of positive integers  $\{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , such that

$$l_n = o(n), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \infty, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \omega \left( f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{l_n} \frac{1}{k} = 0, \quad n := [\lambda]. \quad (3.14)$$

We estimate the second sum in (3.13)

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| \leq l_n} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| > l_n} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right|. \quad (3.15)$$

From here and inequalities (3.8) follows

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| \leq l_n} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| \leq l_n} \left| \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \omega \left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{l_n} \frac{1}{k}. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence by (3.15) after taking the Abel transform in case  $k \in [k_1, k_2] : |p - k| > l_n$  we obtain the estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k: |p-k| > l_n} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| \leq \frac{4 \|f\|_{C[a, b]}}{l_n + 1} + 4 \|f\|_{C[a, b]} \sum_{k=l_n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}.$$

Hence by (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we obtain the uniform estimate for all  $x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\psi_{k, \lambda}}{p - k} \right| = o(1). \quad (3.17)$$



Notice, that if  $h(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}$ ,  $q_\lambda \equiv 0$  solution of the Cauchy problem (2.4) is  $y(x, \lambda) = \sin \sqrt{\lambda}x$ . Then by (3.4), (3.5), (3.12), (3.13), (3.17) and triangle inequality we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(x) - C_\Omega(f, x) \right| \leq \\ & \left| f(x) - C_\Omega(f, x) - \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}x}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p-k} \right| + \\ & \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{\psi_{2m,\lambda}}{p-2m} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\psi_{k,\lambda}}{p-k} \right| + O\left(\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right) \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} Q_\lambda(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From which it follows the sufficiency (3.6) for uniform convergence (3.3). Proposition 3.5 proved.

For all  $0 \leq a < b \leq \pi$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < (b-a)/2$  denoted

$$Q_\lambda^*(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) := \max_{p_1 \leq p \leq p_2} \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \left| \frac{f(x_{2m+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{2m,\lambda})}{p-2m} \right|. \quad (3.18)$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *If function  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ , then the ratio of*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_\lambda^*(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

*implies (3.3).*

**Proof.** Indeed, by Proposition 3.5 satisfy the condition (3.19) implies truth of the saying (3.6) and therefore, the ratio (3.3).

**Remark 3.7.** Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 are analogues of known signs of A.A. Privalov uniform convergence of trigonometric polynomial and algebraic interpolations polynomial Lagrange with the matrix of interpolation nodes P.L. Chebyshev [33].

**Proof of the Theorem 3.1** Let the function  $v$   $\omega$  satisfies the condition (3.2) and  $f \in C(\omega^l[a, b]) \cap V^-(v)$ . We show that the relation (3.19) is true. By virtue of the uniform continuity and boundedness of  $f$ , for any positive  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  there exist natural numbers  $\nu$   $n_1$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq n_1$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ) simultaneously take place two inequalities

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k} < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{6} \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$24\|f\|_{C[a,b]} < \tilde{\varepsilon}\nu. \quad (3.21)$$

Let  $\lambda \geq n_1$ . We find  $p_0$ , depending on  $n, a, b, \varepsilon$  and  $f$  at which the maximum in the definition (3.18)

$$Q_{\lambda}^*(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) = \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \left| \frac{f(x_{2m+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{2m, \lambda})}{p_0 - 2m} \right|.$$

Assuming that

$$Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) := \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \left| \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{p_0 - k} \right|.$$

The value of  $Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \varepsilon)$  is obtained from  $Q_{\lambda}^*(f, [a, b], \varepsilon)$  by the addition of non-negative terms, therefore is fair the inequality

$$Q_{\lambda}^*(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) \leq Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \varepsilon). \quad (3.22)$$

We divide  $Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \varepsilon)$  into two terms

$$Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} - 2 \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} = S_1(p_0) + S_2(p_0), \quad (3.23)$$

where two strokes mean that in the sum are absent non-negative summands and with index  $k = p_0$ .

First, we estimate the first sum. Representing it in the form

$$S_1(p_0) = \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ 0 < |p_0 - k| < \nu}} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} + \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ 0 < |p_0 - k| \geq \nu}} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} = S_{1,1}(p_0) + S_{1,2}(p_0). \quad (3.24)$$

In the case  $\{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], 0 < |p_0 - k| \geq \nu\} = \emptyset$  believe that the second term is zero.

From the inequality (3.20) have

$$|S_{1,1}(p_0)| \leq 2\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{k} < \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{3}. \quad (3.25)$$

We now estimate the amount  $S_{1,2}(p_0)$ . If  $p_0$  such that inequalities are fair  $k_1 \leq p_0 - \nu < p_0 < p_0 + \nu \leq k_2$ , then ratios take place  $p_0 - k_1 \geq \nu$   $k_2 - p_0 \geq \nu$ . Hence by (3.21), after taking the Abel transform we obtain estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_{1,2}(p_0)| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=k_1}^{p_0-\nu} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{p_0 - k} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=p_0+\nu}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{k - p_0} \right| \leq \\
&\left| \sum_{k=k_1}^{p_0-\nu-1} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k_1,\lambda})}{(p_0 - k)(p_0 - k - 1)} \right| + \left| \frac{f(x_{p_0-\nu+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k_1,\lambda})}{p_0 - k_1} \right| + \\
&\left| \sum_{k=p_0+\nu}^{k_2-1} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{p_0+\nu,\lambda})}{(k - p_0)(k + 1 - p_0)} \right| + \left| \frac{f(x_{k_2,\lambda}) - f(x_{p_0+\nu,\lambda})}{k_2 - p_0} \right| \leq \\
4\|f\|_{C[a,b]} \sum_{i=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} + \frac{4\|f\|_{C[a,b]}}{\nu} &\leq \frac{8\|f\|_{C[a,b]}}{\nu} < \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{3}. \quad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we prove (3.26), if  $p_0$  would be so, that will be inequality  $p_0 - \nu < k_1 \leq p_0 < p_0 + \nu \leq k_2$  or inequality  $k_1 \leq p_0 - \nu < p_0 \leq k_2 < p_0 + \nu$ . Of the possible variant remained only when  $p_0 - \nu < k_1 \leq p_0 \leq k_2 < p_0 + \nu$ . In this situation, we have  $|S_{1,2}(p_0)| = 0$ .

From (3.24), (3.25) end (3.26) we obtain inequality

$$|S_1(p_0)| \leq \frac{2\tilde{\epsilon}}{3} \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $\lambda \geq n_1$ .

Let's move on to the study of the properties of the sum  $S_2(p_0)$ . Take any integer  $m : 1 \leq m \leq k_2 - k_1 - 2$  and represented  $S_2(p_0)$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq S_2(p_0) &= -2 \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ |p_0 - k| \leq m}} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} - \\
&2 \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ |p_0 - k| > m}} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} = \\
&S_{2,1}(p_0) + S_{2,2}(p_0). \quad (3.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Function  $f \in C(\omega^l[a, b])$ , therefore by definition (3.1) we have relation

$$f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda}) \geq -K_f \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq S_{2,1}(p_0) = -2 \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ |p_0 - k| \leq m}} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} \leq$$

$$4K_f\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\sum_{k=1}^m\frac{1}{k}. \quad (3.29)$$

We estimate the amount  $S_{2,2}(p_0)$ .

$$0 \leq S_{2,2}(p_0) = -2 \sum_{\substack{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], \\ |p_0 - k| > m}} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} \leq$$

$$2 \sum_{k=k_1}^{p_0-m-1} \frac{-(f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda}))_-}{p_0 - k} + 2 \sum_{k=p_0+m+1}^{k_2} \frac{-(f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda}))_-}{k - p_0}. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that  $p_0 - m \leq k_1$  or  $p_0 + m \geq k_2$ , then in (3.30) disappears respectively, the first or second term. In case  $p_0 - m < k_1 < k_2 < p_0 + m$ , sum  $S_{2,2}(p_0)$  in (3.28) absent. Take into account that  $f \in V(v)$ . We will apply Abel's transformation in estimate (3.30)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq S_{2,2}(p_0) \leq & 2 \frac{\sum_{k=k_1}^{p_0-m-1} -(f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda}))_-}{p_0 - k_1} + \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{p_0-m-1} \frac{\sum_{j=k}^{p_0-m-1} -(f(x_{j+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{j,\lambda}))_-}{(p_0 - k)(p_0 - k + 1)} + \\ & \frac{\sum_{k=p_0+m+1}^{k_2} -(f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda}))_-}{k_2 - p_0} + \sum_{k=p_0+m+1}^{k_2-1} \frac{\sum_{j=p_0+m+1}^k -(f(x_{j+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{j,\lambda}))_-}{(p_0 - k)(p_0 - k - 1)} \leq \\ & 2 \frac{((p_0 - k_1) - m - 1)K_f\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)}{p_0 - k_1} + M_f \sum_{k=k_1+1}^{p_0-m-1} \frac{v(p_0 - m - k)}{(p_0 - k)(p_0 - k + 1)} + \\ & \frac{((k_2 - p_0) - m - 1)K_f\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)}{k_2 - p_0} + M_f \sum_{k=p_0+m+1}^{k_2-1} \frac{v(k - p_0 - m)}{(p_0 - k)(p_0 - k - 1)} \leq \\ & 2M_f \left( \sum_{k=m+1}^{p_0-k_1-1} \frac{v(k - m)}{k(k + 1)} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-p_0-1} \frac{v(k - m)}{k(k + 1)} \right) + 4K_f\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \leq \\ & 4M_f \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k_1-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} + 4K_f\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) we have

$$0 \leq S_2(p_0) \leq 4K_f \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} + 4M_f \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k_1-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} + 4K_f \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right).$$

Conditions (3.2), due to the non-negativity of both summands, equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq k_2-k_1-1} \max \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}, \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k_1-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right\} = 0.$$

Therefore exists an  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_2 \geq n_1$ , that for every  $\lambda \geq n_2$  there are  $m : 1 \leq m \leq k_2 - k_1 - 1$  for which the inequality

$$0 \leq S_2(p_0) \leq \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{3}. \quad (3.31)$$

As result of by (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), (3.27) and (3.31) we get that for any  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$  exists an  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , that for every  $\lambda > n_2 > n_1$  there exists an  $m : 1 \leq m \leq k_2 - k_1 - 2$ , that performed the inequalities

$$Q_{\lambda}^*(f, [a, b], \epsilon) \leq Q_{\lambda}^{**}(f, [a, b], \epsilon) < \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

Now Theorem 3.1 follows from Proposition 3.6.

To prove the theorem 3.1 if  $f \in C(\omega^r[a, b]) \cap V^+(v)$  is sufficient to note that if  $f \in C(\omega^r[a, b]) \cap V^+(v)$ , then  $-f \in C(\omega^l[a, b]) \cap V^-(v)$  and operator  $C_{\Omega}(f, \cdot)$  — linear. Theorem 3.1 proved.

**Remark 3.8.** In the case when  $f \in C(\omega^l[a, b]) \cap V(v)$  or  $f \in C(\omega^r[a, b]) \cap V(v)$  ( $v$  is the majorant classic module change  $v(n, f)$ ) in [33] proved that the conditions of the form (3.2) are sufficient for the uniform convergence of trigonometric interpolation processes and sequences of classical Lagrange interpolation polynomials with the matrix of interpolation nodes P.L. Chebyshev.

The paper [34] set uniform convergence of trigonometric Fourier series for the  $2\pi$ –periodic, functions of the class  $f \in C(\omega[a, b]) \cap V(v)$ , where functions  $\omega$   $v$  are majorants classical modulus of continuity  $\omega(f, \delta)$  and module changes  $v(n, f)$ .

**Remark 3.9.** From Theorem 3.1 it follows that if  $f_1 \in C(\omega_1^r[a, b]) \cap V^+(v_1)$ , and  $f_2 \in C(\omega_2^l[a, b]) \cap V^-(v_2)$ , and the two pairs of functions  $(v_i, \omega_i)$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfy the relation (3.2), that, although a linear combination of  $f = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2$  can non-belong to any of classes, however because of the linearity of the operator  $C_{\Omega}(f, \cdot)$ , will have the relate (3.3).

**Remark 3.10.** Each of the classes of functions: Dini-Lipschitz  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(f, 1/n) \ln n = 0$  (see., [20, Corollary 2]), and satisfying the condition of Krylov (continuous function of bounded variation), is a subset of functional class, described by the terms (3.2).

**Remark 3.11.** If  $f \in C[0, \pi]$ , there are the relations

$$\begin{aligned} v^+(n, f) &\leq v(n, f) \leq 2(v^+(n, f) + \|f\|_{C[0, \pi]}), \\ -v^-(n, f) &\leq v(n, f) \leq 2(-v^-(n, f) + \|f\|_{C[0, \pi]}). \end{aligned}$$

Ref

33. Privalov A. A. Uniform convergence of Lagrange interpolation processes, Math. Notes, (1986) vol. 39, issue 2, pages 124–133 English version: Mathematical Notes, 1986, 39:2, 124–133.

**Corollary 3.12.** From Theorem 3.1 follow that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^l(f, 1/n) \ln n = 0$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega^r(f, 1/n) \ln n = 0$  ensure fairness (3.3).

**Corollary 3.13.** If a non-decreasing, concave function of natural argument  $v$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} < \infty, \quad (3.32)$$

then for any function  $f \in C[0, \pi] \cap V^{\pm}(v)$  is true ratio (3.3).

**Proof.** Indeed, from the continuity of  $f$  implies the existence of a sequence of positive integers  $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(f, 1/n) \ln m_n = 0$ . Therefore, the convergence of series (3.32) ensures that the condition (3.2) for any function  $f$ , belonging to at one the classes of  $C[0, \pi] \cap V^+(v)$  or  $C[0, \pi] \cap V^-(v)$ . The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Stenger F. *Numerical Metods Based on Sinc and Analytic Functions*, (N.Y., Springer Ser. Comput. Math., 20 Springer-Verlag, 1993).
2. Livne Oren E., Brandt Achi E. *MuST: The multilevel sinc transform*, SIAM J. on Scientific Computing, 33(4), 1726-1738 (2011).
3. Coroianu L, Sorin G. Gal *Localization results for the non-truncated max-product sampling operators based on Fejer and sinc-type kernels*, Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. 49, No 1, (2016), p. 38-49.
4. Richardson M., Trefethen L. *A sinc function analogue of Chebfun*, SIAM J. SCI. COMPUT. 2011. Vol. 33, No. 5, p. 2519-2535.
5. Khosrow M., Yaser R., Hamed S. *Numerical Solution for First Kind Fredholm Integral Equations by Using Sinc Collocation Method*, International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics. 2016. Vol. 6, Num. 3, p.120-128.
6. Marwa M. Tharwat *Sinc approximation of eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville problems with a Gaussian multiplier* Calcolo: a quarterly on numerical analysis and theory of computation Vol. 51 Issue 3, September (2014) Pages 465-484.
7. Alquran M.T., Al-Khaled K. *Numerical Comparison of Methods for Solving Systems of Conservation Laws of Mixed Type*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis 5(1), 35 - 47 (2011).
8. Chen J., Lu E., Huang B. Sampling theorem for multiwavelet subspaces / J. Chen, E. Lu, B. Huang // Journal of Shanghai University (English Edition) . – 2007 . – Vol. 11 . – 6 . – P. 570-575.
9. Daubechies I. *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, Society for industrial and applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (1992).
10. Novikov I. Ya., Stechkin S. B. *Basic constructions of wavelets*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat., 3:4 (1997), 999-1028 English version: Russian Mathematical Surveys, 1998, 53:6, 1159-1231.
11. Maksimenko I. E., Skopina M.A. *Multivariate periodic wavelets*, St. Petersburg Math. J. Vol. 15 (2004), No. 2, Pages 165-190 English version: St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal, 2004, 15:2, 165-190.
12. Brown J. L. Jr. *On the error in reconstructing a nonbandlimited function by means of bandpass sampling theorem*, J. of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 18, 75-84, (1967).

13. Butzer P. L., Higgins J.R., Stens R. L. *Classical and approximate sampling theorems: studies in the  $L_p(R)$  and the uniform norm*, Journal of Approximation Theory 137, 250-263 (2005).
14. Shmukler A. I., Shulman T. A., *Certain properties of Kotel'nikov series*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 1974, no. 3, 93-103.
15. Sklyarov V. P. *On the best uniform sinc-approximation on a finite interval*, East Journal on Approximations, 14 (2), 183-192 (2008).
16. Zayed A. I., Schmeisser G. (eds.) *New Perspectives on Approximation and Sampling Theory, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*/A. I. Zayed, G. Schmeisser // Springer International Publishing Switzerland (2014).
17. Kivinukk A., Tamberg G. *Interpolating generalized Shannon sampling operators, their norms and approximation properties*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process., 8, 77-95 (2009).
18. Trynin A. Yu., Sklyarov V. P. *Error of sinc approximation of analytic functions on an interval*, Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing 7 (3), 263-270 (2008).
19. Trynin A. Yu. *Estimates for the Lebesgue functions and the Nevai formula for the sinc approximations of continuous functions on an interval*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 48:5 (2007), 1155-1166 English transl. Siberian Mathematical Journal September 2007, Volume 48, Issue 5, pp 929-938.
20. Trynin A. Yu. *Tests for pointwise and uniform convergence of sinc approximations of continuous functions on a closed interval*, Mat. Sb., 198:10 (2007), 141-158 English transl. Sbornik: Mathematics(2007),198(10):1517-1534.
21. Trynin A. Yu. *A criterion for the uniform convergence of sinc-approximations on a segment*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 2008, no. 6, 66-78 English transl. Russian Mathematics June 2008, 52(6), 58-69.
22. Trynin A. Yu. *On divergence of sinc-approximations everywhere on  $(0, \pi)$* , Algebra i Analiz, 22:4 (2010), 232-256 English transl. St. Petersburg Math. J. 22 (2011), 683-701.
23. Trynin A. Yu. *A generalization of the Whittaker-Kotel'nikov-Shannon sampling theorem for continuous functions on a closed interval*, Mat. Sb., 200:11 (2009), 61-108 English version: Sbornik: Mathematics, 2009, 200:11, 1633-1679.
24. Trynin A. Yu. *On the absence of stability of interpolation in eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville problem*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 2000, no. 9, 60-73 English version: Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika), 2000, 44:9, 58-71.
25. Trynin A. Yu. *The divergence of Lagrange interpolation processes in eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville problem*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 2010, no. 11, 74-85 English version: Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika), 2010, 54:11, 66-76.
26. Trynin A. Yu. *On operators of interpolation with respect to solutions of a Cauchy problem and Lagrange-Jacobi polynomials*, Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat., 75:6 (2011), 129-162 English version: Izvestiya: Mathematics, 2011, 75:6, 1215-1248.
27. Trynin A. Yu. *On some properties of sinc approximations of continuous functions on the interval*, Ufimsk. Mat. Zh., 7:4 (2015), 116-132 English version: Ufa Mathematical Journal, 2015, 7:4, 111-126 (PDF, 399 kB); 10.13108/2015-7-4-111.
28. Trynin A. Yu. *On necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence of sinc approximations*, Algebra i Analiz, 27:5 (2015), 170-194.
29. Trynin A. Yu. *Approximation of continuous on a segment functions with the help of linear combinations of sines*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 2016, no. 3, 72-81



- English version: Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika), 2016, 60:3, 63-71.
30. Trynin A. Yu. *On inverse nodal problem for Sturm-Liouville operator*, Ufimsk. Mat. Zh., 5:4 (2013), 116-129 English version: Ufa Mathematical Journal, 2013, 5:4, 112-124 (PDF, 401 kB); 10.13108/2013-5-4-112.
31. Trynin A. Yu. *Differential properties of zeros of eigen functions of the Sturm-Liouville problem*, Ufimsk. Mat. Zh., 3:4 (2011), 133-143.
32. K. Mochizuki and I.Yu. Trooshin, *Evolution equations of hyperbolic and Schrödinger type. Asymptotics, estimates and nonlinearities. Based on a workshop on asymptotic properties of solutions to hyperbolic equations, London, UK, March 2011* P/ 227-245, 2012.
33. Privalov A. A. *Uniform convergence of Lagrange interpolation processes*, Math. Notes, (1986) vol. 39, issue 2, pages 124-133 English version: Mathematical Notes, 1986, 39:2, 124-133.
34. Chanturiya Z. A. *On uniform convergence of Fourier series*, Math. USSR-Sb. (1976) vol. 29, issue 4, pages 475-495.
35. Dyachenko M. I., *On a class of summability methods for multiple Fourier series*, Mat. Sb., 204:3 (2013), 3-18 English version: Sbornik: Mathematics, 2013, 204:3, 307-322.
36. Volosivets S. S., Golubov B.I. *Uniform Convergence and Integrability of Multiplicative Fourier Transforms*, Mat. Zametki, 98:1 (2015), 44-60 English version: Mathematical Notes, 2015, 98:1, 53-67.
37. Farkov Yu. A. *On the best linear approximation of holomorphic functions*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat., 19:5 (2014), 185-212.
38. Borisov D. I., Dmitriev S.V. *On the spectral stability of kinks in 2D Klein-Gordon model with parity-time-symmetric perturbation*, Studies in Applied Mathematics, 138:3 (2017), 317-342.
39. D. Borisov, G. Cardone, T. Durante, *Homogenization and norm resolvent convergence for elliptic operators in a strip perforated along a curve*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Section: A Mathematics, 146:6 (2016), 1115 – 1158.
40. Pokornyi Yu. V., Zvereva M. B., Shabrov S. A., *Sturm-Liouville oscillation theory for impulsive problems*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 63:1(379) (2008), 111-154 English version: Russian Mathematical Surveys, 2008, 63:1, 109-153.

This page is intentionally left blank