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1. Introduction

This research article is motivated by Fig. 1 as presented in Bancroft (2016 available online at http://www.vos.noaa.gov/MWL/201604/northpacific.shtml#contents), who states that, in August 2015 “Super-Typhoon Soudelor was a strengthening typhoon while tracking northwest and crossing near 16N 144E at 0000 UTC August 3rd with sustained winds of 115 knots (or 59 m s\(^{-1}\)). It became a super-typhoon 12 hours later and after another six hours reached maximum intensity with sustained winds of 155 knots (80 m s\(^{-1}\)). At 1200 UTC on the 4th Soudelor was a super typhoon near 19N 137E with sustained winds 140 knots(72 m s\(^{-1}\)). Fig.1 is an infrared satellite view of Soudelor, and it is just a coincidence that we have a Jason-2 altimeter pass through the eye wall of Soudelor. Note the highest significant wave height of 90.55 feet (or 27.6 m) in the northwest eye wall. This is the highest satellite detected wave height that is known of by the author. Later on the 4th Soudelor passed west of the area with a weakening trend”.

Author: Prof., Coastal Studies Institute, Louisiana State University. e-mail: sahsu@lsu.edu
Fig. 1: A zoomed-in infrared satellite Image of Super-Typhoon Soudelor valid 1232 UTC August 4, 2015. A Jason -2 altimeter pass appears as a swath of significant wave heights given in feet to two decimal places cutting across the central core of Soudelor (for more detail, see Bancroft, 2016 at http://www.vos.noaa.gov/MWL/201604/northpacific.shtml#contents)

II. WIND-WAVE RELATION DURING WIND SEAS

Analytical formulas for the wind-wave relation are available in the literature. Examples are presented in Hsu et al. (2017a), who also provided following equation when the sea surface is aerodynamically rough (Hsu et al. 2017b) under the conditions of $U_{10} \geq 9$ m s$^{-1}$ and $H_s/L_p \geq 0.020$, such that

$$U_{10} = 35 H_s / T_p,$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (1)

Here, parameter $H_s$ is the significant wave height (in meters), $U_{10}$ is the wind speed (in m s$^{-1}$) at 10 m, $L_p (= 1.56 T_p^2)$ is the dominant wave length (in meters), and $T_p$ is the peak wave period (in seconds).

By simultaneous measurements of $U_{10}$, $H_s$ and $T_p$ at the National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) Station 42003 during Hurricane Ivan in 2004 and Katrina in 2005 and at 42056 during Wilma, Eq. (1) is further verified as shown in Fig. 2. For tracks and datasets of these three hurricanes, all located on the right side of the storm track, see www.nhc.noaa.gov and www.ndbc.noaa.gov, respectively. However, because $T_p$ is not available from Fig. 1, one needs to correlate $U_{10}$ and $H_s$ directly rather than using Eq. (1). This was accomplished in the next Section.
III. RELATION BETWEEN BAROMETRIC PRESSURE AND MET-OCEAN PARAMETERS

Because the most important meteorological-oceanographic (met-ocean) parameter during a storm at sea is the barometric pressure, we first relate it to the wind speed and wave height. To validate Fig. 1, all similar circle-eye tropical cyclone datasets including barometric pressure \( p_c \) and the wind speed \( V_{\text{max}} \) available in Li et al. (2013) were incorporated in Fig. 3. It is found that they are negatively linearly related to a correlation coefficient \( R = 0.89 \). By Abel et al. (1989), the wave height may also be related negatively linearly to the barometric pressure as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, it was postulated that the wind speed and wave height are positively linearly related so that

\[
H_s = a U_{10} - b, \tag{2a}
\]

Here, coefficients “a” and “b” are the slope and the intercept of this proposed linear relation between \( H_s \) and \( U_{10} \), respectively. Note that these coefficients may vary with different storms and needed to be determined from field measurements.

---

**Fig. 2:** Validation of Eq. (1) during Hurricanes Ivan, Katrina, and Wilma

**Fig. 3:** Relation between barometric pressure and the maximum wind speed during circle-eye tropical cyclones
IV. Wind-Wave Relation During Wilma

To verify Eq. (1), pertinent data from Hurricane Wilma (see Figs. 5 thru 7) are employed. The buoy used was 42056, which was located on the right side of the storm’s track as shown in Fig. 6 in the northwestern Caribbean Sea. Similar to Figs. 3 and 4, the negatively linearly relation between $U_{10}$ and barometric pressure as well as between $H_s$ and barometric pressure was presented in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. According to Hasse and Weber (1985), overwater stability categories may be estimated using a graphic approach from the measurements of wind speed and air and sea temperature difference. On the basis of Fig. 10, stability “D” prevailed during the entire period (as used in this study) from 14UTC on 19 thru 23UTC on 23, 2005 at Buoy 42056, indicating that the stability is near-neutral so that the logarithmic wind profile law is valid (see, e.g., Hsu2003; Vickery et al.2009). Our results to verify Eq. (1) is presented in Fig. 10 that

$$H_s = 0.43U_{10} - 2, \quad (2b)$$

Since the correlation coefficient $R = 0.91$, Eq. (2b) is useful.
Fig. 5: Satellite view of Hurricane Wilma over the northwestern Caribbean Sea
Fig. 6: Wilma’s track (in red) and the location of Buoy 42056 in the northwestern Caribbean Sea (see http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/hurricanes/2005/wilma/).
Fig. 7: Met-ocean measurements at NDBC Buoy 42056 during Hurricane Wilma

Fig. 8: Relation between wind speed and barometric pressure at 42056 during the period shown in Fig. 6

\[ y = -0.947x + 966 \]

\[ R = 0.94 \]

For \( U_{10} \geq 9 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) and \( H_s/L_p \geq 0.020 \)

Data source: www.ndbc/noaa.gov
Fig. 9: Relation between $H_s$ and barometric pressure at 42056 during the period shown in Fig. 6

\[ y = -0.413x + 419 \]
\[ R = 0.86 \]
For $U_{10} \geq 9 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $H_s/L_p \geq 0.020$

Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov

Fig. 10: Relation between $H_s$ and $U_{10}$ as measured at 42056 during Wilma

\[ y = 0.43x - 2 \]
\[ R = 0.91 \]
For $U_{10} \geq 9 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $H_s/L_p \geq 0.020$

Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov

V. APPLICATIONS

a) Wind-wave relation during Hurricane Jose in 2017

In September 2017 Hurricane Jose, a Category 4 hurricane, passed near the data buoy 41043, located approximately 170 n.m. NNE of San Juan, Puerto Rico. Simultaneous measurements of $U_s$, $H_s$ and $T_p$, were available (for the track and buoy measurements, see www.nhc.noaa.gov and www.ndbc.noaa.gov, respectively). Using the method presented in Hsu et al. (2017a), Eq. (2b) is verified in Fig. 11.
b) Wind-wave relation during Typhoon Russ in 1990

According to the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (http://www.usno.navy.mil/NOOC/nmfc-ph/RSS/jtwc/atcr/1990atcr.pdf), Typhoon Russ, the last western Pacific tropical cyclone of 1990, was the most severe to strike Guam in 14 years. Russ formed in the Marshall Islands, tracked west-northwestward and intensified to near super typhoon intensity as it approached Guam. The typhoon passed within 55 km of the southern tip of Guam and brought typhoon force winds which caused extensive damage, especially to the southern portion of the island. After leaving Guam, Russ slowly weakened, recurved and became an extratropical cyclone.

During Typhoon Russ, the National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) (see www.ndbc.noaa.gov) operated a data buoy (Station 52009) near Guam. Before it was capsized during Russ, that buoy provided some wind and wave measurements (see Fig.12) that can be employed to validate Eq. (2b). Because the wind speeds were recorded at 5 instead of 10 m, one needs to adjust $U_5$ to $U_{10}$ using the power-law wind profile (see, e.g., Panofsky and Dutton, 1984) and Hsu (2003) that

$$\frac{U_{10}}{U_5} = \left( \frac{10}{5} \right)^p,$$

where

$$p = \left( \frac{U_{\text{gust}}}{U_5} - 1 \right) / 2 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{\text{gust}} \quad \text{is the wind gust measured at the buoy (see, Hsu, 2003).}$$

Fig.13 shows the result that

$$U_{10} = 1.1 \ U_5,$$

Figs. 14 and 15 show that, similar to Figs. 8 and 9, Eq. (1) should exist. Fig. 16 is a validation of Eq. (2b).

Since the slope is unity and $R = 0.96$, Eq. (2b) can be applied to typhoon conditions.

For $U_{10} \geq 9 \ m/s$ at Buoy 41043 during Jose in 2017

Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov

---

**Fig. 11**: Verification of Eq. (2b) at Buoy 41043 during Hurricane Jose in 2017

\[
y = 1.04x \\
R = 0.93 \\
\text{For } U_{10} \geq 9 \ m/s \\
\text{at Buoy 41043} \\
during Jose in 2017 \\
\text{Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov}
\]
Fig. 12: Met-ocean conditions at NDBC Buoy 52009 before its capsizing by Typhoon Russ (Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov)

Fig. 13: The relation between the wind speed at 5 m, $U_5$, and wind gust, $U_{gust}$, at 52009 during Russ

$y = 1.26x$

$R = 0.99$

Data source: www.ndbc.noaa.gov
Fig. 14: Relation between $U_5$ and barometric pressure at NDBC Buoy 52009 during Russ

$y = -0.635x + 650$

$R = 0.98$

For $H_s/L_p \geq 0.020$

in wind seas
during Typhoon Russ

Data source:

www.ndbc.noaa.gov

Fig. 15: The relation between $H_s$ and barometric pressure at NDBC Buoy 52009 during Russ

$y = -0.281x + 287$

$R = 0.97$

For $H_s/L_p \geq 0.020$

in wind seas
during Typhoon Russ

Data source:

www.ndbc.noaa.gov
According to Liu et al. (2008), an extreme $H_s = 23.9$ m was measured by a data buoy near Taiwan. The best track of Typhoon Krosa in 2007 is provided in Fig. 17 (see Joint Typhoon Warning Center available online at http://www.usno.navy.mil/NOOC/nmfc-ph/RSS/jtwc/atcr/2007atcr.pdf). Because the wind speed was 125 knots and 115 knots at 00 and 12 UTC on 6th, respectively, we use the average near-surface wind speed (a surrogate of $U_{10}$) of 120 knots (62 m s$^{-1}$). Now, substituting the $U_{10}$ value into Eq. (6), $H_s = 23.8$ m, which is in excellent agreement with that of 23.9 m as measured.
d) Typhoon Soudelor in 2015

From Fig. 1, $U_{10} = 72$ m s$^{-1}$. Substituting this value into Eq. (2b), $H_s = 29.0$ m, which is also in reasonable agreement with that of 27.6 m as measured by the altimeter on Jason-2 satellite as discussed in the Introduction.

e) Relation between $U_*$ and $H_s$

According to Andreas et al. (2012), overwater friction velocity ($U_*$) is linearly related to $U_{10}$ such that

$$U_* = 0.0583 U_{10} - 0.243,$$

According to Edson et al. (2013, Eq. 22),

$$U_* = 0.062 U_{10} - 0.28,$$

And under hurricane conditions, Hsu et al. (2017b) suggest that,

$$U_* = 0.062 U_{10} - 0.29,$$

By of simultaneous measurements of $U_*, U_{10}$ and $H_s$ by Geernaert et al. (1987), linear relations amongst all three met-ocean parameters (see Figs. 18 thru 20) are

For $U_{10} \geq 9$ m s$^{-1}$,

$$U_* = 0.062 U_{10} - 0.26,$$  \hfill (7)

$$U_* = 0.12 H_s + 0.34,$$  \hfill (8)

$$H_s = 0.45 U_{10} - 4,$$  \hfill (9)

It is interesting to note that Equations (2b) and (9) are in good agreement numerically, although the former is based on Hurricane Wilma in the Caribbean Sea whereas the latter was based on extra-tropical cyclones over the North Sea.

Fig. 18: Relation between $U_*$ and $U_{10}$ in the North Sea during storms

Fig. 19: Relation between $U_*$ and $H_s$ in the North Sea during storms
According to Wu (1975), the sea-surface drift velocity, $U_{\text{sea}}$, may be estimated from $U^*$ that

$$U_{\text{sea}} = 0.55U^*,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Now, substituting Equations (7) into (10), we have

$$U_{\text{sea}} = 0.034U_{10} - 0.14,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Similarly, substituting Equations (8) into (10), one gets

$$U_{\text{sea}} = 0.066H_s + 0.19,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

An evaluation of Eq. (11) is presented in Fig.21, indicating that Eq. (11) may be useful to estimate the wind-induced surface velocity. Note that the estimates of sea-surface drift velocity using Eq. (11) are in reasonable agreement with those when a typhoon’s forward moving speed is slow moving ($< 4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). For more details about drifter measurements, see Chang et al. (2014).

According to Hsu (2013), the storm surge, $S$, in meters, may be estimated rapidly using following formula that,

$$S = KV^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Here $K$ is a proportional constant for a given location (e.g., $K = 0.0051$ for the New York region) and $V$ is the wind speed in $\text{m s}^{-1}$.

During Hurricane Katrina in 2005 (see Knabb et al., 2005 or http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/tcr/AL122005_Katrina.pdf, pages 10 and 28), an extreme
storm surge value of 27.8 feet or approximate 8.5 m was observed at Pass Christian, Mississippi. In the deep-water region south of this max storm surge, an extreme significant wave height of 55 feet or approximately 17m was measured by NDBC Buoy 42040 (see http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/hurricanes/2005/katrina/). Substituting the max \( H_s \) value into Eq. (2), \( U_{10} = 44 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), respectively. Now, substituting both values of \( S = 8.5 \text{ m} \) and \( U_{10} = 44 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) into Eq. (13), we get \( K = 0.0044 \) so that Eq. (12) becomes

\[
S = 0.0044V^2. \quad (14)
\]

Eq. (14) is further verified during Hurricane Isaac in 2012 as follows: According to Berg (2013, see http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/tcr/AL092012_Isaac.pdf, pages 71 and 73), max \( S \) was 11 feet (3.4 m) located east of New Orleans, Louisiana and max \( V \) (surrogate of \( U_{10} \)) was 54 knots or 28 m s\(^{-1}\), which is located south of that storm surge measurement place in the Gulf of Mexico. Substituting \( U_{10} = 28 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) into Eq. (13), \( S = 3.4 \text{ m} \), which is identical to the measurement of 3.4 m. Therefore, it is recommended that for a coastal region, the variation of coefficient \( K \) for Eq. (12) may be determined using historical datasets of simultaneous observations of both \( S \) (from water level measurements) and \( V \) or \( H_s \) (using Eq.(2a) for the offshore regions such as best storm track data by National Hurricane Center or Joint Typhoon Warning Center).

h) Comparison between Equations (1) and (2b)

Because both Equations (1) and (2b) have been verified, it is prudent to compare these two formulas. Fig. 22 shows the result. Since the slope is nearly unity and the correlation coefficient \( R = 0.98 \), one can say that Eq. (2b) is very useful operationally because the significant wave height is available routinely from a satellite as illustrated in Fig.1. Note that the reason to employ this dataset is that, during Katrina, maximum \( H_s \) of 16.91 m was recorded at NDBC Buoy 42040 (http://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/hurricanes/2005/katrina/). Now, by substituting \( U_{10} \) from Equations (1) into (2b) and rearranging, we have

\[
T_p = 15H_s/ (H_s + 2). \quad (15)
\]

Therefore, for rapid estimation of the peak wave period, Eq. (15) may be employed as a first approximation.

VI. Conclusions

By aforementioned analyses and discussions, it was concluded that, during wind seas when the wind speed at 10m exceeds 9 m s\(^{-1}\), Eq. (2b) as deduced from the met-ocean measurements during Hurricane Wilma can explain the wind-wave relation to other tropical cyclones. Also, applications of this formula for rapid estimations of overwater friction velocity, sea-surface drift velocity, storm surges, and peak wave period were provided. These topics are needed in marine science and engineering.