



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: F
MATHEMATICS AND DECISION SCIENCES
Volume 21 Issue 4 Version 1.0 Year 2021
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

Towards the Efficiency of the Ratio Estimator for Population Median in Survey Sampling

By Matthew Joshua Iseh

Akwa Ibom State University

Abstract- This study leveraged on the fact that researchers in survey sampling sometimes do not take into consideration the tool that will be most appropriate in the measure of location. As a result, users of statistics often go for the mean or total, which has been widely discussed in the finite population sampling literature, unlike the median, which is more complicated to deal with given that it has to do with ordered data. This study suggests an estimator of population median in single and double sampling technique with two auxiliary variables. From the result obtained, it is established that the proposed estimators perform better when the considered variables are from a highly skewed distribution, such as income, expenditure, scores. In addition, it was observed that the proposed estimators were less bias and more efficient than the existing estimators of their class.

GJSFR-F Classification: FOR Code: 13P25



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:





Towards the Efficiency of the Ratio Estimator for Population Median in Survey Sampling

Matthew Joshua Iseh

Abstract- This study leveraged on the fact that researchers in survey sampling sometimes do not take into consideration the tool that will be most appropriate in the measure of location. As a result, users of statistics often go for the mean or total, which has been widely discussed in the finite population sampling literature, unlike the median, which is more complicated to deal with given that it has to do with ordered data. This study suggests an estimator of population median in single and double sampling technique with two auxiliary variables. From the result obtained, it is established that the proposed estimators perform better when the considered variables are from a highly skewed distribution, such as income, expenditure, scores. In addition, it was observed that the proposed estimators were less bias and more efficient than the existing estimators of their class.

I. INTRODUCTION

Most times in survey sampling, some researchers do not take into consideration the tool that will be most appropriate in the measure of location. As a result, users of Statistics often go for the mean or total which has been widely discussed in the finite population sampling literature unlike the median which is more complicated to deal with since it has to do with ordered data. However, it has been established that the median unlike the mean performs better when the considered variables are from a highly skewed distribution. In surveys involving the estimation of income, expenditure, scores, etc., it is very reasonable to assume that the population median unlike the population mean is known, hence the possibility of incorporating auxiliary information in the formulation of such estimators.

Authors like Gross (1980), Kuk and Mak (1989), Singh et al. (2003), Singh and Solanki (2013), Aladag and Cingi (2015), have made useful contributions in estimation of population median. Works by Enang et.al. (2016) on alternative exponential median estimator, Shabbir, and Gupta (2017) on a generalized difference type estimator for population median and Iseh (2020) on enhancing efficiency of ratio estimator of population median by calibration techniques were added advantage in this area. However, it should also be noted that not much has been done in estimating population median. On further improvement of the median estimator, Singh, Joarder, and Tracy (2001), extended the ratio estimator to two-phase sampling, while Singh, Singh, and Upadhyaya (2007) suggested the ratio-type estimator in two-phase sampling using two auxiliary variables. In addition, Jhajj, Kaur, and Jhajj (2016), defined a ratio exponential-type estimator in two phase sampling with two auxiliary variables. On the lines of Shabbir and Gupta (2017), Baig, Masood and Tarray (2019) suggested an

Author: Ph.D., Department of Statistics, Akwalbom State University, Mkpaterin, Nigeria. e-mail: eeseaglechild@gmail.com

improved class of difference-type estimators for population median using two auxiliary variables under simple random scheme and two phase sampling scheme.

So far, the shortcoming of the existing estimators is that, while some of these estimators are less biased with large mean square error (MSE), others are highly biased with less MSE. Based on these developments as a benchmark, this study proposes a separate ratio exponential-type estimator in simple random sampling and two phase sampling schemes with two auxiliary variables that will be more precise with greater gains in efficiency to estimate the median for finite population.

a) Notations

Consider a finite population $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}$ with size N . Let Y , X , and Z be the study and auxiliary variables respectively. Let y_i represents the samples of the interest variable and x_i and z_i represents the samples of the auxiliary variables known for every unit in the population for the i^{th} element drawn under SRSWOR. Let $f_Y(M_Y)$, $f_X(M_X)$, and $f_Z(M_Z)$ represent the density functions of the random variables with \hat{M}_Y, \hat{M}_X , and \hat{M}_Z being the samples from the population median M_Y , M_X and M_Z respectively, with correlation coefficient $\rho_{M_Y M_X} = 4(P_{11} - 0.25)$, where $P_{11} = P(Y \leq M_Y \cap X \leq M_X)$, $\rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 4(P_{11} - 0.25)$, where $P_{11} = P(Y \leq M_Y \cap Z \leq M_Z)$, and $\rho_{M_X M_Z} = 4(P_{11} - 0.25)$, where $P_{11} = P(X \leq M_X \cap Z \leq M_Z)$, (considering the continuous distributions of all variables y, x , and z with their marginal densities respectively as $N \rightarrow \infty$).

For large sample approximations, the following are obtainable:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_Y &= M_Y(1 + e_0), & \hat{M}_X &= M_X(1 + e_1), & \hat{M}_Z &= M_Z(1 + e_2), \\ e_0 &= \frac{\hat{M}_Y - M_Y}{M_Y} & e_1 &= \frac{\hat{M}_X - M_X}{M_X} & e_2 &= \frac{\hat{M}_Z - M_Z}{M_Z} \\ E(e_0) &= E(e_1) = E(e_2) = 0 & \lambda &= \frac{1-f}{4n} \\ E(e_0^2) &= \lambda C_{M_Y}^2 & E(e_1^2) &= \lambda C_{M_X}^2 & E(e_2^2) &= \lambda C_{M_Z}^2 \\ E(e_0 e_1) &= \lambda C_{M_Y} C_{M_X} \rho_{M_Y M_X} & E(e_0 e_2) &= \lambda C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} \\ C_{M_Y} &= \{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-1} & C_{M_X} &= \{M_X f_X(M_X)\}^{-1} & C_{M_Z} &= \{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)\}^{-1} \\ k_1 &= \frac{C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{C_{M_X}} & k_2 &= \frac{C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z}}{C_{M_X}}\end{aligned}$$

where, it is also assumed that the distribution function $f_Y(M_Y)$, $f_X(M_X)$, and $f_Z(M_Z)$ are nonnegative.

II. EXISTING ESTIMATORS UNDER SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING

This section considers some existing estimators in simple random sampling in estimating population median and the expression of bias and MSE up to the first order approximation as follows;

A: The median estimator (per unit) due to Gross (1980) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_G &= \hat{M}_y \\ \text{var}(\hat{M}_G) &= \lambda M_Y^2 C_{M_Y}^2\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

B: The median estimator (classical ratio) by Kuk and Mak (1989) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_R &= \hat{M}_y \left(\frac{M_X}{\hat{M}_x} \right) \\ B(\hat{M}_R) &= \lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 (1 - k_1) \\ \text{MSE}(\hat{M}_R) &= \lambda M_Y^2 [C_{M_Y}^2 + C_{M_X}^2 (1 - 2k_1)]\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

C: The median estimator (exponential ratio) following Bahl and Tuteja (1991) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_{ER} &= \hat{M}_y \exp \left[\frac{M_X - \hat{M}_x}{M_X + \hat{M}_x} \right] \\ B(\hat{M}_{ER}) &= \frac{\lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 (3 - 4k_1)}{8} \\ \text{MSE}(\hat{M}_{ER}) &= \lambda M_Y^2 \left[C_{M_Y}^2 + \frac{C_{M_X}^2}{4} (1 - 4k_1) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

D: The median estimator (chain ratio-type) by Kadilar and Cingi (2003) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_{CR} &= \hat{M}_y \left(\frac{M_X}{\hat{M}_x} \right)^2 \\ B(\hat{M}_{CR}) &= \lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 (1 + 2k_1) \\ \text{MSE}(\hat{M}_{CR}) &= \lambda M_Y^2 [C_{M_Y}^2 + 4C_{M_X}^2 (1 + k_1)]\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

E: The median estimator (product-type) following Robson (1957) and Murthy (1964) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_P &= \hat{M}_y \left(\frac{\hat{M}_x}{M_X} \right) \\ B(\hat{M}_P) &= \lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 k_1 \\ \text{MSE}(\hat{M}_P) &= \lambda M_Y^2 [C_{M_Y}^2 + C_{M_X}^2 (1 + 2k_1)]\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

F: The median estimator (exponential product-type) following Bahl and Tuteja (1991) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_{EP} &= \hat{M}_y \exp \left[\frac{\hat{M}_x - M_X}{M_X + \hat{M}_x} \right] \\ B(\hat{M}_{EP}) &= \frac{\lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 (4k_1 - 1)}{8} \\ MSE(\hat{M}_{EP}) &= \lambda M_Y^2 \left[C_{M_Y}^2 + \frac{C_{M_X}^2}{4} (1 + 4k_1) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

G: The median estimator (alternative exponential) due to Enang et.al. (2016) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{M}_{AE} &= \alpha_1 \left[\hat{M}_y \exp \left[\frac{M_X - \hat{M}_x}{M_X + \hat{M}_x} \right] \right] + \alpha_2 \left[\hat{M}_y \exp \left[\frac{\hat{M}_x - M_X}{M_X + \hat{M}_x} \right] \right] \\ B(\hat{M}_{AE}) &= \lambda M_Y C_{M_X}^2 (4k_1 - 8k_1^2 + 1) \\ MSE(\hat{M}_{AE}) &= \lambda M_Y^2 C_{M_Y}^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2)\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

H: Shabbir and Gupta (2017) suggested generalized difference-type estimator for population median as

$$\hat{M}_{PP}^G = [m_1 \hat{M}_y + m_2 (M_X - \hat{M}_x)] \left[\left(\frac{a M_X + b}{a \hat{M}_x + b} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha_2 a (M_X - \hat{M}_x)}{a \{ (\gamma - 1) M_X + \hat{M}_x \} + 2b} \right\} \right]$$

where m_1 and m_2 are unknown constants whose values are to be determined.

Let a and b are defined to be unknown population parameters and α_1 , α_2 and γ are scalar quantities which can take different values like $\alpha_1 = b = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = a = \gamma = 1$

At the optimum values of $m_{1(opt)} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda M_X^2}{1 + \lambda M_Y^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2)}$ and

$$m_{2(opt)} = \frac{M_Y}{M_X} \left[1 + m_{1(opt)} \left\{ \frac{\rho_{M_Y M_X} C_{M_Y}}{C_{M_X}} - 2 \right\} \right]$$

The expressions for the bias and the mean square error up to the first order of approximation are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}B(\hat{M}_{PP}^G) &\cong (m_{1(opt)} - 1) M_Y + m_{2(opt)} \left\{ \lambda M_Y \left(\frac{3}{8} C_{M_X}^2 - C_{M_Y} \right) + \lambda M_X C_{M_X}^2 \right\} \text{ and} \\ MSE(\hat{M}_{PP}^G) &\cong \frac{\lambda M_Y^2}{1 + \lambda M_Y^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2)} \left[C_{M_Y}^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2) (1 - \lambda C_{M_X}^2) - \frac{1}{4} C_{M_X}^4 \right]\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

I: Baig, Masood and Tarray (2019) suggested an improved class of difference-type estimators for population median using two auxiliary variables

$$\hat{M}_P^I = [\hat{M}_Y + m_1(M_X - \hat{M}_X)] \left[m_2 \exp\left(\frac{M_Z - \hat{M}_Z}{M_Z + \hat{M}_Z}\right) + (1 - m_2) \exp\left(\frac{\hat{M}_Z - M_Z}{M_Z + \hat{M}_Z}\right) \right]$$

Where m_1 and m_2 are unknown constant.

$$B(\hat{M}_P^I) = \lambda \left[m_1 M_X C_{M_{XZ}} \left(m_2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + M_Y C_{M_{YZ}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - m_2 \right) \right] M_Y$$

where $m_{1(opt)} = \frac{M_Y C_{M_Y} (\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X})}{M_X C_{M_X} (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)}$ and

$$m_{2(opt)} = \frac{C_{M_Z} (\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1) + 2 C_{M_Y} (\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_Y M_Z})}{2 C_{M_Z} (\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1)}$$

$$MSE(\hat{M}_P^I) = \frac{\lambda M_Y^2 C_{M_Y}^2}{(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)^2} \left[(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2 - \rho_{M_Y M_Z}^2 + 2 \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \right] \quad (9)$$

III. THE PROPOSED ESTIMATOR UNDER SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING WITH ONE VARIABLE

$$\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha) = \hat{M}_Y \left[\alpha \frac{M_X}{\hat{M}_X} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\hat{M}_X}{M_X} \right] \exp \left[\frac{(M_X - \hat{M}_X)}{(M_X + \hat{M}_X)} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha) = M_Y (1 + e_0) [1 + e_1 - 2\alpha e_1 + \alpha e_1^2] \exp \left\{ \frac{-e_1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{e_1}{2} + \frac{e_1^2}{4} \right] \right\}$$

$$\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha) = M_Y \left[1 + e_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) e_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) e_0 e_1 + \left(2\alpha - \frac{1}{8} \right) e_1^2 \right]$$

$$\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha) - M_Y = M_Y \left[e_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) e_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) e_0 e_1 + \left(2\alpha - \frac{1}{8} \right) e_1^2 \right] \quad (11)$$

$$Bias(\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha)) = M_Y \left[\left(2\alpha - \frac{1}{8} \right) \lambda C_{M_X}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) \lambda C_{M_Y} C_{M_X} \rho_{M_Y M_X} \right] \quad (12)$$

Squaring both sides of (11), retaining terms to the second-degree and taking expectations, the MSE of $\hat{M}_{st}(\alpha)$ to the first order of approximation is obtained as;

$$MSE(\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha)) = M_Y^2 \left[\lambda C_{M_Y}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right)^2 \lambda C_{M_X}^2 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha \right) \lambda C_{M_Y} C_{M_X} \rho_{M_Y M_X} \right] \quad (13)$$

minimizing (13) with respect to α gives

$$\alpha = \frac{2k_1 + 1}{4}$$

$$MSE_{opt}(\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y^2 C_{M_Y}^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2) \quad (14)$$

And the optimum bias becomes

$$Bias_{opt}(\hat{M}_{srs}(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y \left[\frac{3}{8} C_{M_X}^2 - C_{M_Y}^2 \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2 + C_{M_Y} C_{M_X} \rho_{M_Y M_X} \right] \quad (15)$$

a) A Case of Two Variables under Simple Random Sampling

$$\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha) = \hat{M}_Y \left[\alpha \frac{M_X}{\hat{M}_X} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\hat{M}_X}{M_X} \right] \exp \left[\frac{(\hat{M}_Z - M_Z)}{(\hat{M}_Z + M_Z)} \right] \quad (16)$$

$$Bias(\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y \left[\alpha C_{M_X}^2 + \frac{3}{8} C_{M_Z}^2 + (1 - 2\alpha) C_{M_X} C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_X M_Y} - (1 - 2\alpha) \frac{C_{M_X} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z}}{2} - \frac{C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{2} \right] \quad (17)$$

$$MSE(\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y^2 \left[C_{M_Y}^2 + (1 - 2\alpha)^2 C_{M_X}^2 + \frac{C_{M_Z}^2}{4} - (1 - 2\alpha) C_{M_X} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z} + 2(1 - 2\alpha) C_{M_X} C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_X M_Y} - C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} \right] \quad (18)$$

Minimizing (18) with respect to α gives $\alpha = \frac{2C_{M_X}^2 + 2C_{M_X} C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_X M_Y} - C_{M_X} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z}}{4C_{M_X}^2}$

Substituting into (18) gives

$$MSE_{opt}(\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y^2 \left[C_{M_Y}^2 (1 - \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2) + \frac{C_{M_Z}^2}{4} (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) + C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} (\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \right]$$

$$MSE_{opt}(\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y^2 \left[C_{M_Y}^2 + \frac{C_{M_Z}^2}{4} - \left(\frac{k_2}{2} - k_1 \right)^2 - C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} \right] \quad (19)$$

And the minimum bias given as

$$Bias_{opt}(\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)) = \lambda M_Y \left[\frac{C_{M_X}^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8} C_{M_Z}^2 + \frac{C_{M_X} C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_X M_Y}}{2} - \frac{C_{M_X} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z}}{4} - C_{M_Y}^2 \rho_{M_X M_Y}^2 - \frac{C_{M_Z}^2 \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2}{4} - \frac{C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{2} + C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Y} \right] \quad (20)$$

b) Application

The bias and MSE values of the existing and proposed estimators are computed using two different populations under simple random sampling. The percent relative efficiencies of the estimators are obtained as follows:

$$\%RE = \frac{MSE(\hat{M}_G)}{MSE(.)} \times 100$$

where $MSE(\hat{M}_G)$ is the MSE of classical median estimator while $MSE(.)$ denotes the MSE of estimators mentioned here. The population statistics and the results of analyses are shown as follows:

Population 1: Let Population 1: Let y ; x and z respectively be the number of fish caught by the marine recreational fisherman in years 1995, 1994 and 1993 in USA given by Singh (2003a)

$N = 69$; $n = 17$; $M_Y = 2068$; $M_X = 2011$; $M_Z = 2307$; $\rho_{M_X M_Y} = 0.1505$; $\rho_{M_X M_Z} = 0.1431$; $\rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 0.3166$; $f_Y(M_Y) = 0.00014$; $f_X(M_X) = 0.00014$; and $f_Z(M_Z) = 0.00013$.

Population 2: Let y as the U.S. exports to Singapore in billions of Singapore dollars, x as the money supply figures in billions of Singapore dollars and z is the local supply in U.S. dollars given by Aczel and Sounderpandian (2004).

$N = 67$; $n = 23$; $M_Y = 4.8$; $M_X = 7.0$; $M_Z = 151$; $\rho_{M_X M_Y} = 0.6624$; $\rho_{M_X M_Z} = 0.7592$; $\rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 0.8624$; $f_Y(M_Y) = 0.0763$; $f_X(M_X) = 0.0526$; and $f_Z(M_Z) = 0.0024$;

Table 1: Results for Simple Random Sampling

Estimator	Population I			Population II		
	Absolute bias	MSE	PRE	Absolute bias	MSE	PRE
\hat{M}_G	0	565443.6	100	0	1.23	100
\hat{M}_R	246.3	988372.8	57.2	0.08	0.82	150
\hat{M}_{ER}	87.27	627420.2	90.1	0.01	0.72	170.8
\hat{M}_{CR}	373.78	3307296	17.1	0.59	9.31	13.2
\hat{M}_P	42.32	1338418	42.2	0.17	4.06	30.3
\hat{M}_{EP}	14.98	802442.8	70.5	0.05	2.34	52.6
\hat{M}_{AE}	408.87	552636.1	102.3	0.03	0.69	178.3
\hat{M}_{PP}^G	3373.88	491568.8	115.0	1.54	0.52	236.5
\hat{M}_P^I	46089.73	502378.1	112.6	0.48	0.31	396.8
$\hat{M}_{SRS}(\alpha)$	144.55	552636.1	102.3	0.15	0.69	178.3
$\hat{M}_{SRS}^*(\alpha)$	207.98	520612.8	108.6	0.13	0.38	323.7

c) Median Estimators under Two Phase Sampling

Consider a finite population with N units $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}$. The i^{th} unit of the population values for the study variable y , auxiliary variables x and z are y_i ; x_i and z_i respectively. Under two-phase sampling design, a sample of size n' is drawn using simple random sampling without replacement at first phase and the values on x and z are obtained on the units of the sample. In second phase, a simple random sampling without replacement criteria is used for drawing sample of size n from the first phase sample and the values on the variables y ; x and z are taken on selected units. To obtain the properties of the proposed median estimator under two-phase sampling scheme the following existing estimators are summarized as;

- i) Singh, Joarder, and Tracy suggested a ratio estimator for median in two phase Sampling

$$\hat{M}_{SA} = \frac{\hat{M}_y}{\hat{M}_x} \hat{M}'_x$$

$$B(\hat{M}_{SA}) = \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \frac{(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Y})}{4f_Y(M_Y)}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{M}_{SA}) = \frac{\{f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-2}}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \left(\frac{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)}{M_X f_X(M_X)} \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)}{M_X f_X(M_X)} \right) - 2\rho_{M_X M_Y} \right\} \right] \quad (21)$$

Singh, Singh, and Upadhyay (2007) studied a ratio-type estimator of median using two auxiliary variables

$$\widehat{M}_S = \widehat{M}_y \left(\frac{\widehat{M}_x'}{\widehat{M}_x} \right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{M_Z}{\widehat{M}_z'} \right)^{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{M_Z}{\widehat{M}_z} \right)^{\alpha_3}$$

Where

$$\alpha_{1opt} = \left(\frac{M_X f_X(M_X)}{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right),$$

$$\alpha_{2opt} = \left(\frac{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)}{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)} \right) \rho_{M_X M_Z} \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right),$$

$$\alpha_{3opt} = \left(\frac{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)}{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(\widehat{M}_S) \cong & \frac{\{f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-2}}{8M_Y(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \left\{ (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z})^2 \right. \right. \\ & - 2\rho_{M_X M_Y} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) + \left. \left. \left(\frac{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)}{M_X f_X(M_X)} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \right\} \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) \left\{ (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) \right. \\ & + 2\rho_{M_X M_Z} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) + \left. \left. \left(\frac{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)}{M_X f_X(M_X)} \right) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \right\} \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \left\{ \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2\rho_{M_Y M_Z} \rho_{M_X M_Z} (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) + \left(\frac{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)}{M_X f_X(M_X)} \right) \rho_{M_X M_Z} (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{M}_S) \cong \frac{\{f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-2}}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \frac{\rho_{M_Y M_X}^2 + \rho_{M_Y M_Z}^2 - 2\rho_{M_Y M_X} \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)} \right] \quad (22)$$

ii) Jhajj, Kaur, and Jhajj (2016) defined ratio-exponential-type estimator as

$$\widehat{M}_{YH} = \widehat{M}_y \left(\frac{M_Z}{\widehat{M}_z'} \right)^{v_1} \left(\frac{M_Z}{\widehat{M}_z} \right)^{v_2} \exp \left[\left(\frac{v_3 (\widehat{M}_x - M_X)}{(M_X + \widehat{M}_x)} \right) \right]$$

Where $v_{1opt} = \left(\frac{\{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)\}}{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}} \right) \rho_{M_X M_Z} \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right),$

$$v_{2opt} = \left(\frac{\{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)\}}{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right), \quad v_{3opt} = \left(\frac{2\{M_X f_X(M_X)\}}{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{\rho_{M_X M_Z}^2 - 1} \right)$$

$B(\hat{M}_{YH})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\cong \frac{\{f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-2}}{8M_Y(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \left\{ (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z})^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad - 2\rho_{M_X M_Y} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \\ &\quad + \left. \left(\frac{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}}{\{M_X f_X(M_X)\}} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \right\} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) \left\{ (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) \right. \\ &\quad + 2\rho_{M_X M_Z} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) - 2\rho_{M_Y M_Z} (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \\ &\quad + \left. \left(\frac{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}}{\{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)\}} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_Z} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_X}) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \right\} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} \right) (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \rho_{M_X M_Z} \left\{ \rho_{M_X M_Z} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) \right. \\ &\quad - 2\rho_{M_Y M_Z} (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \left. \right\} \\ &\quad + \left. \left(\frac{\{M_Y f_Y(M_Y)\}}{\{M_Z f_Z(M_Z)\}} \right) \rho_{M_X M_Z} (\rho_{M_Y M_X} - \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}) (1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$MSE(\hat{M}_{YH}) \cong \frac{\{f_Y(M_Y)\}^{-2}}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \rho_{M_Y M_Z}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \frac{\rho_{M_Y M_X}^2 + \rho_{M_Y M_Z}^2 - 2\rho_{M_Y M_X} \rho_{M_X M_Z} \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{(1 - \rho_{M_X M_Z}^2)} \right] \quad (23)$$

iii) Baig, Masood and Tarray (2019) suggested an improved class of difference-type estimators for population median under two phase sampling with two auxiliary variables

$$\hat{M}_P^I = [\hat{M}_y + m_1(\hat{M}_x' - \hat{M}_x)] \left[m_2 \exp \left(\frac{M_Z - \hat{M}_z'}{M_Z + \hat{M}_z'} \right) + (1 - m_2) \exp \left(\frac{\hat{M}_z' - M_Z}{M_Z + \hat{M}_z'} \right) \right]$$

where m_1 and m_2 are unknown constant.

$$B(\hat{M}_P^I) = M_Y \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - m_2 \right) \rho_{M_Y M_Z} C_{M_Y} C_{M_Z}$$

Where $m_{1(opt)} = \frac{M_Y C_{M_Y} \rho_{M_Y M_X}}{M_X C_{M_X}}$ and $m_{2(opt)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{M_Y \rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{C_{M_Z}}$

$$MSE(\hat{M}_P^I) = M_Y^2 \frac{C_{M_Y}^2}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n'} \right) \rho_{M_Y M_X}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right) \rho_{M_Y M_Z}^2 \right] \quad (24)$$

d) *Proposed Median Estimator Under Two Phase Sampling*

$$\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) = \hat{M}_y \left[\alpha \frac{\hat{M}_x'}{\hat{M}_x} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\hat{M}_x}{\hat{M}_x'} \right] \exp \left[\frac{(M_Z - \hat{M}_z')}{(M_Z + \hat{M}_z')} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) = M_Y(1 + e_0)[\alpha(1 + e_1')(1 + e_1)^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)(1 + e_1)(1 + e_1')^{-1}] \exp \left\{ \frac{-e_2'}{2} \left[1 - \frac{e_2'}{2} + \frac{e_2'^2}{4} \right] \right\}$$

$$\text{Bias} \left(\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) \right) = M_Y \left[\alpha(\lambda - \lambda_1)C_{M_X}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\lambda_1 C_{M_Z}^2 + (\lambda - \lambda_1)(1 - 2\alpha)C_{M_X}C_{M_Y}\rho_{M_X M_Y} - \lambda_1 \frac{C_{M_Y}C_{M_Z}\rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{2} \right] \quad (26)$$

$$MSE \left(\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) \right) = M_Y^2 \left[(\lambda - \lambda_1)(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)C_{M_X}^2 + \lambda_1 \frac{C_{M_Z}^2}{4} + \lambda C_{M_Y}^2 + 2(\lambda - \lambda_1)(1 - 2\alpha)C_{M_X}C_{M_Y}\rho_{M_X M_Y} - \lambda_1 C_{M_Y}C_{M_Z}\rho_{M_Y M_Z} \right] \quad (27)$$

For optimum value of the MSE of $\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha)$, the value of α is given as $\alpha = \frac{k_1+1}{2}$, then (27) becomes

$$MSE_{opt} \left(\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) \right) = M_Y^2 \left[\lambda C_{M_Y}^2 - (\lambda - \lambda_1)C_{M_Y}^2 \rho_{M_X M_Y}^2 + \lambda_1 \frac{C_{M_Z}^2}{4} - \lambda_1 C_{M_Y}C_{M_Z}\rho_{M_Y M_Z} \right] \quad (28)$$

And the optimum bias becomes

$$\text{Bias}_{opt} \left(\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha) \right) = M_Y \left[(\lambda - \lambda_1) \frac{C_{M_X}^2}{2} + (\lambda - \lambda_1) \frac{C_{M_X}C_{M_Y}\rho_{M_X M_Y}}{2} + \frac{3}{8}\lambda_1 C_{M_Z}^2 - (\lambda - \lambda_1)C_{M_Y}^2 \rho_{M_X M_Y}^2 - \lambda_1 \frac{C_{M_Y}C_{M_Z}\rho_{M_Y M_Z}}{2} \right] \quad (29)$$

e) *Numerical study under two-phase sampling*

Here three different populations will be considered to validate the theoretical claims of both the existing and the proposed estimators. The population statistics and the results of analyses are shown as follows:

Population 3: Let Population 1: Let y ; x and z respectively be the number of fish caught by the marine recreational fisherman in years 1995, 1994 and 1993 in USA given by Singh (2003a).

$N = 69$; $n' = 24$; $n = 17$; $M_Y = 2068$; $M_X = 2011$; $M_Z = 2307$; $\rho_{M_X M_Y} = 0.1505$; $\rho_{M_X M_Z} = 0.1431$; $\rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 0.3166$; $f_Y(M_Y) = 0.00014$; $f_X(M_X) = 0.00014$; and $f_Z(M_Z) = 0.00013$.

Population 4: Let y as the U.S. exports to Singapore in billions of Singapore dollars, x as the money supply figures in billions of Singapore dollars and z is the local supply in U.S. dollars given by Aczel and Sounder pandian (2004).

$$N = 67; n' = 23; n = 15; M_Y = 4.8; M_X = 70; M_Z = 151; \rho_{M_X M_Y} = 0.6624; \rho_{M_X M_Z} = 0.7592; \rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 0.8624; f_Y(M_Y) = 0.0763; f_X(M_X) = 0.0526; \text{ and } f_Z(M_Z) = 0.0024;$$

Population 5: Let y be the district-wise tomato production (tones) in 2003, x as a district-wise tomato production (tones) in 2002 and z as a district-wise tomato production (tones) in 2001 given by MFA (2004).

$$N = 97; n' = 46; n = 33; M_Y = 1242; M_X = 1233; M_Z = 1207; \rho_{M_X M_Y} = 0.2096; \rho_{M_X M_Z} = 0.15; \rho_{M_Y M_Z} = 0.123; f_Y(M_Y) = 0.00021; f_X(M_X) = 0.0002; \text{ and } f_Z(M_Z) = 0.0002;$$

From the numerical study of three real life data sets, the following remarks are deduced;

Table 2: Results for Two Phase Sampling

Estimator	Population III			Population IV			Population V		
	AB	MSE	PRE	AB	MSE	PRE	AB	MSE	PRE
\hat{M}_G	0	346606	100	0	1.23	100	0	64795.1	100
\hat{M}_{SA}	0	729125.3	47.54	0.00	1.89	65.08	0	146126.9	44.3
\hat{M}_S	58.72	391934.3	88.43	0.35	-85.12	-1.45	8.49	69573.62	93.1
\hat{M}_{YH}	259.20	363711.8	95.30	0.09	-85.60	-1.44	286.51	70062.2	92.5
\hat{M}_p^I	32818.5	294885.2	117.54	1.21	0.01	12300	894.49	65213.1	99.4
$\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha)$	8.20	310481.2	111.64	0.07	0.29	424.14	8.57	84943.4	76.3

N/B : AB —Absolute bias

IV. DISCUSSION

From the result in Table 1, it is observed that the proposed estimator $\hat{M}_{srs}^*(\alpha)$ has outperformed other existing estimators considered in this study and as a result considered the more preferred estimator with respect to this set of data. However, the existing estimator \hat{M}_p^I had greater efficiency but heavily biased in both population I and II respectively. Again, as shown in Table 2, the proposed estimator $\hat{M}_{srs}^D(\alpha)$ has an overwhelming performance in terms of higher gains in efficiency and minimum bias as compared to the existing estimators considered in this study. This superiority in the gain in efficiency and minimum bias of the proposed estimator is because of the endearing properties of the separate ratio product-type estimator. However, \hat{M}_p^I , noticeably from population III, IV, and V had slight gain in efficiency over the proposed estimator but highly biased, and not recommended for estimation of population median.

It is important to note that, although the existing estimator \hat{M}_{SA} is asymptotically unbiased from the sets of data considered in this study, it was less efficient, and should not form a bet for median estimation. It becomes imperative to seek for an estimator that is less biased with minimum MSE that will enhance the

improvement of the estimation of the median of a finite population of which the proposed estimator has bridged the gap.

V. CONCLUSION

This study was towards formulating an improved exponential-type estimator for population median using both simple random and two-phase sampling with two auxiliary variables. The bias and mean square error were obtained. From the theoretical derivations and numerical illustrations, it is evident to say that among the existing and proposed estimators, the proposed estimators under simple random sampling and two-phase sampling exhibit superior performance both in negligible bias and in gain in efficiency. It suffices to conclude that the proposed estimators perform better than existing estimators considered in this work in estimating population median when the population median of the auxiliary variables are known and positively correlated with the study variable. However, though the suggested estimator performed poorly with less efficiency in population V, probably because of the data type, it was still shown to have less bias compared to other estimators of its class. The idea of using separate ratio-product exponential-type estimator in this case has really paid off in improving on the efficiency of the median estimator under stratified random sampling. In addition, the proposed estimator will be suitable and highly recommended when the variable considered is from a distribution that is highly skewed.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Aczel, A. D. & Sounderpandian, J. (2004). Complete Business Statistics. 5thed., McGraw Hill, New York.
2. Aladag, S. & Cingi, H. (2015). Improvement in estimating the population median in simplerandom sampling and stratified random sampling using auxiliary information. *Communication in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 45(5), 1013-1032.
3. Bahl, S & Tuteja, R. K. (1991). Ratio and product type exponential estimator. *Journal of Information and Optimization sciences*, 12(1), 159-164.
4. Baig, A., Masood S. & Tarray, T. A. (2019). Improved class of difference-type estimators for population median in survey sampling. *Communication in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, DOI: 10.1080/03610926.2019.1622017
5. Enang, E. I., Etuk, S. I., Ekpenyong, E. J. & Akpan, V. M. (2016). An alternative Exponential estimator of population median. *International Journal of Statistics and Economics*, 17(3), 85-97.
6. Gross, T.S. (1980). Median estimation in sample surveys. *in American Statistical Association Proceedings of Survey Research methodology Section*, pp.181-184.
7. Iseh, M. J. (2020). Enhancing efficiency of ratio estimator of population median by calibration techniques. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, 9(8), 14-23.
8. Jhaggi, H. S., Kaur, H. & Jhaggi, P. (2016). Efficient family of estimators of median using two-phase sampling design. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 45 (15):4325–31. DOI: 10.1080/03610926.2014.911912.
9. Kadilar, C. & Cingi, H. (2004). Ratio estimators in simple random sampling. *Applied Mathematical Computations*, 151, 893-902.
10. Kuk, A.Y.C. & Mak, T.K. (1989). Median estimation in the presence of auxiliary variable. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society. Series B*, 51,261-269.
11. MFA. 2004. Crops area production, Government of Pakistan, Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestocks. Islamabad, Pakistan: Economic Wing.

12. Murthy, M. N. (1964). Product method of estimation, *Sankhya: Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A*, 26:69-74.
13. Shabbir, J. & Gupta, S. (2017). A generalized class of difference-type estimator for population median in survey sampling. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 46 (5):1015–28. DOI: 10.15672/HJMS.201610614759.
14. Singh, S.(2003a). *Advanced Sampling Theory and Applications: How Michael 'Selected' Amy*. Volume I and II. Kluwer academics Publishers, the Netherlands.
15. Singh, S., Joarder, A. H. & Tracy, D. S. (2001). Median estimation using double sampling.
16. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics* 43 (1):33–46. DOI: 10.1111/1467-842X.00153.
17. Singh, S., Singh, H. P. & Upadhyaya, L. N. (2007). Chain ratio and regression-type estimators for median estimation in survey sampling. *Statistical Papers* 48 (1):23–46. DOI: 10.1007/s00362-006-0314y.
18. Singh, H.P., Singh, S. & Puertas, S.M. (2003). Ratio-type estimators for the median of finite populations. *Allegemeines Statistisches Archiv*, 87, 369-382.
19. Singh, H.P. & Solanki, R.S. (2013). Some classes of estimators for the population median using auxiliary information. *Communication in Statistics*, 42, 4222-4238.
20. Robson, D. S. (1957). Application of multivariate polykeys to the theory of unbiased ratio-type estimator, *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 52:511-522