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Abstract- An approach combining reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was developed to analyze Urushiol congeners in poison ivy extract. The peak signatures detected in poison ivy were separated in 18 min at wavelengths 254 nm, 260 nm, and 280 nm with a gradient elution on the RP-HPLC system. The ESI-MS data confirmed the fragmentation patterns of six Urushiol congeners (C15:0-2 and C17:1-3) detected in the poison ivy extract. Recovery studies conducted with Urushiol (15:2) show recovery within ±2%, well within the recovery efficiency of ±15-20%. The validation data showed that the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ) for Urushiol (15:2) was 0.29 ± 0.03 ppb and 0.97 ± 0.01 ppb, respectively, with a sensitivity of 0.110 ± 0.002 mAU ppb⁻¹. A standard addition calibration approach was used to quantify the Urushiol (15:2) content in the poison ivy extract and reveal one poison ivy leaf may contain 0.674 ± 0.025 mg/g of Urushiol (15:2). Our investigation demonstrates the quantitation of Urushiol congeners in complex mixtures. This same approach can be beneficial for analyzing other chemical components in food and different types of complex matrices.
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1. Introduction

Poison ivy (a plant in the family Anacardiaceae, specifically Toxicodendron radicans) is well-known for causing bothersome rash and intense itching in sensitive individuals. The allergen in the plant causing the irritation, blistering, and inflammation has been documented as Urushiol (1, 2-benzenediol, 3-pentadactyl). Touching the stem, root, or leaves of poison ivy results in direct skin contact with Urushiol oil, which causes itching. Urushiol is a lipophilic catechol with a 15 or 17 alkyl side chain either fully saturated or has 1-3 double bonds. A naming convention is usually adopted depending on the number of carbons and double bonds on the side chain. For example, a 15:0 indicates 15 carbon atoms with zero double bonds, and a 17:3 indicates 17 carbon atoms with three double bonds, etc. Structural activity studies have previously reported that the catechol ring and the side branching may be required for Urushiol’s allergenicity. For example, the dimethylether derivative is not allergenic; however, Urushiol congeners with a higher degree of unsaturation on the side chain have higher allergenic potential. Sensitivity to Urushiol can develop anytime, and almost all parts of the human body are sensitive to the chemical.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has a long history of operation in the reverse phase mode using a C₁₈ column and detectors such as UV, DAD, UV-DAD, fluorescence, or electrochemical detectors. In cases where good separation is required, especially for separation and purification in natural product samples, techniques for the preparation of stationary phases may be required. Some investigations have utilized Urushiol as a stationary phase in an HPLC column to demonstrate good separation performance for studying natural product extracts. Other analyses have used HPLC to separate, identify, and quantify lacquer saps containing catechol lipids. An earlier approach by Yamauchi et al. has previously resolved the ten components in Japanese lacquer Urushiol by combining HPLC gel columns that utilize differences in the degree of unsaturation.

For identification purposes, mass spectrometry (MS) has long been used to decode organic structures. MS has been the most powerful detector for chromatographic systems, offering qualitative and quantitative information, providing high sensitivity, and distinguishing different substances with the same retention time. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) can be a critical tool for guarding the safety of our food supply by monitoring toxic substances such as pesticide residues. The literature shows that the first chromatographic MS Urushiol analysis was a gas chromatography MS (GC-MS) analysis reported in 1975. Draper et al. have employed HPLC/MS² to determine Urushiol congeners. Urushiol was also identified in poison ivy without any sample preparation using leaf spray MS. MALDI mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI-MSI) was employed to analyze Urushiol in poison ivy stems. The result from the study indicates that the in situ localization of the Urushiol congeners with 15-carbon side chains is distinctly different from those with 17-carbon side chains in the stem tissue. Several other studies have investigated the HPLC-MS approach for analyzing Urushiol in different extracts.

Our study aims to develop, optimize, and validate an RP-HPLC method for determining Urushiol in poison ivy extract. We also aim to utilize ESI-MS to confirm the fragmentation patterns of Urushiol detected in the poison ivy extract. Our approach will be helpful for quality control authorities seeking to quantify active compounds in nutrition products.
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II. Experimental Section

a) Materials and Reagent

Urushiol (15:2) CAS 83258-37-1 was purchased from MilliporeSigma (Atlanta, GA). HPLC water, LC-MS grade acetonitrile and methanol, and LC-MS optima formic acid were also purchased from Fisher Scientific LLC. The ESI tuning solution for the Advion CMS mass spectrometry was purchased from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA).

b) Poison Ivy Sample Pretreatment

Dried poison ivy on the train path behind the Wilveria Bass Atkinson Science Building on the Winston-Salem State University campus was ground in a mortar and pestle for approximately one minute, and ~2 g was transferred into a clean 250 mL KIMAX Kimble glass bottle. The sample was soaked in 5 mL of methanol for three days. After the mixture was filtered with filter paper, all solvent was dried with a roto evaporator. The dried extract was weighed and dissolved in 1 mL of methanol. The resulting solutions were then used to prepare 10ppm of the sample. Solid-phase extraction (SPE) was used to clean the sample before analyzing it on an Agilent 1260 HPLC and Advion expressionCMS mass spectrometry (MS).

c) Urushiol Stock Solutions & Method Validation Studies

Stock solutions of the Urushiol (15:2) were prepared to 1000 ppm by accurately weighing 1 mg of analyte and dissolving in 1 mL ethanol. Subsequent serial dilutions from the stock using 9:1 CH3OH:H2O were prepared between concentrations of 0.05 ppm to 150 ppm. Calibration studies were conducted by injecting three replicates of each concentration on the Agilent 1260 HPLC. Data from the calibration studies were used to determine method validation parameters. The method validation studies were conducted at 280 nm wavelength. A standard addition calibration curve corresponding to stock solutions of 0.1 to 150 ppm was generated to determine the content of Urushiol (15:2) in poison ivy extract.

d) Agilent 1260 LC & Advion CMS MS Instrumental Conditions

We analyze the poison ivy extract dissolved in methanol on an Agilent 1260 HPLC-DAD instrument and an Advion expressionCMS MS. The experimental operating parameters developed on both instrumentations were published elsewhere.

HPLC conditions: Freshly prepared mobile phases (Solvent A; 0.1% Formic Acid in Water, Solvent B; 0.1% Formic Acid in Acetonitrile) were placed on the instrument weekly. The injection volume is 5μL, and the column temperature is 45°C. The mobile phase flow rate is 0.400 mL/min. Hold at 90% mobile phase A and 10% mobile phase B for 7.00 min, then ramp to 60% B over 2.00 min, ramp to 95% B over the next 3.10 min, and hold at 95% B for 0.01 min. Return to 90% mobile phase A and 10% mobile phase B over 2.89 min and hold for 3.0 min for re-equilibration. The total gradient program is 18.00 min long.

CMS MS: scan mode; CMS range, start m/z; 10.0 Da, end m/z; 600.0 Da, scan time; 1,000.0 ms, scan delay; 100 μs, delta background start time; 0, delta background end time; 10.0

III. Results and Discussions

a) Agilent 1260 HPLC-DAD

The high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) process involves forcing a high pressure through a closed column containing fine particles, resulting in a high-resolution separation29-30. Two advantages consistently reported in the literature for HPLC are increased sensitivity and analysis without derivatization29-30. The Agilent 1260 HPLC used in these studies consisted of an auto sampler, a solvent delivery system, a high-pressure chromatography column, and a DAD detector31. The poison ivy sample was separated with a total run time of 18 min (including 1 min equilibration time), and the peaks were well resolved. Figure 1 shows example chromatograms of a poison ivy sample collected at 254, 260, and 280 nm, respectively. At 254 nm, the peaks detected distinct from the blank occurred at retention times, 4.111 ± 0.101, 6.624 ± 0.036, 6.771 ± 0.024, 7.051 ± 0.007, 7.164 ± 0.027, 7.564 ± 0.057, 12.384 ± 0.045, and 13.904 ± 0.017 minutes. At 260 nm, the peaks detected distinct from the blank occurred at retention times, 4.111 ± 0.098, 6.598 ± 0.049, 6.791 ± 0.044, 7.051 ± 0.011, 7.191 ± 0.032, 7.584 ± 0.052, 12.391 ± 0.067, and 13.911 ± 0.022 minutes. At 280 nm, the peaks detected distinct from the blank occurred at retention times, 4.011 ± 0.077, 6.791 ± 0.033, 7.057 ± 0.027, 7.191 ± 0.032, and 13.918 ± 0.022 minutes. At 280 nm, small signature peaks were seen between 6.138 and 6.558 minutes. That was due to the enhancement of the signal at 280 nm. The chromatographic behavior at the three wavelengths studies was different. Above 6 minutes, the chromatographic baseline at 254 nm and 260 nm drifts to higher absorbance. That could be due to the acetonitrile contributing a higher absorbance at the lower wavelength of 254 nm and 260 nm during the gradient run. When the system returns to equilibrium, the absorbance of acetonitrile drops back to the baseline. The same effect is seen at 260 nm but to a much lesser extent. At 280 nm, this effect disappears. Figure 1 shows that Urushiol gives a better response at the high wavelength of 280 nm. Most poison ivy contains an oil called Urushiol responsible for the allergic reaction to the plant. Using the Urushiol (15:2) pure standard, we confirmed that the peak at 13.91-13.92 minutes was the Urushiol (15:2) response in the poison ivy extract. With all peaks detected in the poison ivy...
extract fully resolved, the RP-HPLC with acetonitrile as the solvent used in this investigation demonstrated selectivity on conventional C18 columns.

b) Advion Expression CMS MS

During the CMS MS studies, the instrument was attentively tuned daily in positive and negative ion detection modes. Masses identified for Urushiol-congenerin the poison ivy extracted with methanol are shown in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the structure of Urushiol (15:0, 15:1, and 15:2), demonstrating possible fragmentation sites. The pure standard we purchased from Millipore Sigma was Urushiol (15:2). The MS data shows several m/z detected for Urushiol (15:2), as shown in Table 1. The most critical masses that correspond to fragmentation from Urushiol (15:2) in the positive ion mode were 317.3 and 339.3, corresponding to the [M + H]^+ (C_{21}H_{33}O_5^+) and [M + Na]^+ (C_{21}H_{35}O_4Na^+) ions, respectively. Other fragmented ions include 123.4 (C_{6}H_{16}O_2 or C_{8}H_{14}O), 137.2 (C_{6}H_{16}O_2 or C_{8}H_{14}O), 151.1 (C_{9}H_{16}O_2 or C_{11}H_{16}O), 165.3 (C_{10}H_{18}O_2 or C_{12}H_{20}O), 179.3 (C_{11}H_{19}O_2, 193.3 (C_{12}H_{21}O_2, 233.3 (C_{15}H_{23}O_2), 247.1 (C_{16}H_{24}O_2), 273.1 (C_{19}H_{25}O_2), 287.3 (C_{19}H_{25}O_2), 299.3 (C_{22}H_{27}O_3) and 301.2 (C_{22}H_{29}O_3). The fragment observed at m/z 255.1 and 269.5 was attributed to fragments at 287.3 (C_{19}H_{25}O_2 - H_2O) and 273.1 (C_{19}H_{25}O_2 - H_2O) losing H_2O. The base peak in the positive ion mode occurred at m/z 397.3, an unnamed peak. In the negative ion mode, the [M – H]^- ion occurred at m/z 315.2 (C_{21}H_{33}O_4). Four other fragment ions of Urushiol (15:2) were observed in the negative ion mode and these occurred at m/z 109.3 (C_{6}H_{14}O_2 or C_{8}H_{12}O), 255.1 (C_{16}H_{21}O_2 - H_2O), 269.3 (C_{19}H_{23}O_2 - H_2O), and 299.3 (C_{22}H_{25}O_2). The base peak in the negative ion mode was the C_{21}H_{33}O_4 at m/z 315.2. Figure 3 shows the mass spectra of Urushiol (15:2) pure standard in the positive ion mode. In Figure 3(a), we display the full scan mode spectra of the compounds, and in Figures 3(b and C), we display two zoomed-in selected scans that show how the fragments were mined in the data. Figure 4 shows the mass spectra of Urushiol pure standard in the negative ion mode. Identifying these fragments in Urushiol's (15:2) pure standard enabled us to elucidate poison ivy's full scan mode.

Figure 5(a) shows poison ivy's full scan mode mass spectra, whereas Figures 5(b and c) show selected scans of two data-mined spectra in the positive ion mode. Figure 6 shows the mass spectra of poison ivy in the negative ion mode. Note that the poison ivy HPLC chromatogram reveals several chromatographic signatures. This investigation focused on identifying the signature of Urushiol in poison ivy. Previous research reported seven Urushiol congeners in poison ivy ranging from C15:0-3 and C17:1-3. We thus set out to mine the full scan mode mass spectra to reveal fragments that may be identical to the fragments identified to Urushiol congeners previously reported in poison ivy. In the positive ion mode of poison ivy, a fragment at m/z 321.5 and 343.2 corresponded to the [M + H]^+ (C_{21}H_{33}O_5^+) and [M + Na]^+ (C_{21}H_{35}O_4Na^+) ions, respectively, of Urushiol (15:0). The positive ion mode mass spectra reveal other fragments of 137.5 (C_{6}H_{16}O_2), 165.4 (C_{10}H_{18}O_2), 169.4 (C_{12}H_{14}O), 179.1 (C_{12}H_{16}O_2), 183.3 (C_{13}H_{14}O), 197.6 (C_{14}H_{13}O), 211.2 (C_{14}H_{17}O), 221.4 (C_{15}H_{18}O_2), 235.5 (C_{15}H_{19}O_2), 249.4 (C_{16}H_{18}O_2), 263.5 (C_{17}H_{19}O_2), 277.5 (C_{18}H_{21}O_2), 291.4 (C_{19}H_{23}O_2), 303.4 (C_{20}H_{24}O_2), and 305.5 (C_{20}H_{26}O_2). A fragment at m/z 273.5 was attributed to m/z 291.4 (C_{19}H_{21}O_2 - H_2O) losing H_2O. The base peak in the negative ion mode occurred at m/z 104.0, an unnamed peak. In the negative ion mode, the [M – H]^- ion was small and occurred at m/z 319.6 (C_{21}H_{30}O_2). Four other fragment ions were observed in the negative ion mode of poison ivy, and these occurred at m/z 113.5 (C_{6}H_{15}O), 182.9 (C_{12}H_{17}O), 291.0 (C_{19}H_{23}O_2), and 303.3 (C_{20}H_{24}O_2). The base peak in the negative ion mode was also the C_{19}H_{27}, at m/z 182.9. All these fragments confirmed the presence of Urushiol (15:0) congener in the poison ivy studied.

Mass and fragments for Urushiol (15:1) congener was also seen in the full scan mode mass spectra for poison ivy. In the positive ion mode of poison ivy, a fragment at m/z 319.1 and 341.4 corresponded to the [M + H]^+ (C_{21}H_{33}O_5^+) and [M + Na]^+ (C_{21}H_{35}O_4Na^+) ions, respectively, of Urushiol (15:1). The positive ion mode mass spectra reveal other fragments of 123.2 (C_{6}H_{14}O_2), 137.5 (C_{6}H_{14}O_2), 151.2 (C_{8}H_{14}O_2), 165.4 (C_{10}H_{16}O_2), 179.1 (C_{11}H_{14}O_2), 193.2 (C_{12}H_{16}O_2), 195.3 (C_{13}H_{16}O_2), 233.3 (C_{15}H_{18}O_2), 247.9 (C_{16}H_{18}O_2), 261.2 (C_{19}H_{20}O_2), 275.5 (C_{18}H_{19}O_2), 289.5 (C_{19}H_{21}O_2), 301.0 (C_{20}H_{22}O_2), and 303.2 (C_{20}H_{24}O_2). A fragment at m/z 229.2 and 215.1 was attributed to fragments at m/z 247.9 (C_{16}H_{20}O_2 - H_2O) and 233.3 (C_{19}H_{22}O_2 - H_2O) losing H_2O. In the negative ion mode, the [M – H]^- ion occurred at m/z 317.1 (C_{21}H_{32}O_2). Two other fragment ions were observed in the poison ivy's negative ion mode spectra, which occurred at m/z 109.2 (C_{6}H_{14}O), and 111.2 (C_{8}H_{12}O). These fragments correspond to fragmentation patterns found in Urushiol (15:1) congener.

The MS of poison ivy also indicates a strong presence of the Urushiol (15:2) congener. In the positive ion mode of poison ivy, a fragment at m/z 317.5 and 339.1 corresponded to the [M + H]^+ (C_{15}H_{23}O_5^+) and [M + Na]^+ (C_{15}H_{25}O_4Na^+) ions, respectively, of Urushiol (15:2). Other fragmented ions include 123.2 (C_{6}H_{14}O_2 or C_{8}H_{12}O), 137.5 (C_{6}H_{14}O_2 or C_{8}H_{12}O), 151.2 (C_{8}H_{14}O_2 or C_{10}H_{16}O_2), 165.4 (C_{10}H_{16}O_2 or C_{12}H_{18}O_2), 179.1 (C_{12}H_{16}O_2), 193.2 (C_{12}H_{18}O_2), 233.3 (C_{15}H_{18}O_2), 247.1 (C_{16}H_{18}O_2), 272.3 (C_{18}H_{20}O_2), 287.2 (C_{19}H_{22}O_2), 299.3 (C_{20}H_{24}O_2) and 301.0 (C_{20}H_{26}O_2). The fragment observed at m/z 255.2 and 269.2 was attributed to fragments at m/z 287.2 (C_{19}H_{21}O_2 - H_2O) and 273.2
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(C15H8O2 - H2O) losing H2O. In the negative ion mode, the [M – H]− ion occurred at m/z 315.2 (C16H12O3). Four other fragment ions of Urushiol (15:2) congener in poison ivy was observed in the negative ion mode, and these occurred at m/z 109.2 (C6H4O5 or C8H13), 255.4 (C16H12O2 - H2O), 269.3 (C16H12O2 - H2O), and 299.3 (C21H13O). From all the fragment signatures identified compared to the pure Urushiol (15:2) standard, we can conclude that the identity of the peak in the HPLC profile between 13.91-13.92 minutes was Urushiol (15:2), one of the congeners responsible for the itching behavior of poison ivy.

Few signatures were observed in the MS that could be assigned to Urushiol (15:3) congener. However, many features exist in the MS, including the [M + H]± and [M + Na]±, that could confirm the presence of Urushiol (17:1-3) congeners.

c) Method Validation Studies (Agilent 1260 HPLC-DAD)

The Urushiol (15:2) was purchased as a 10 mg solid. Method validation studies typically utilize calibrations involving blanks and known standard concentration preparation. In this investigation, the blank was a solution containing all reagents and solvents used in the analysis with no deliberate added Urushiol (15:2). The blank used in this investigation for preparing all samples has the following ratio: 90:10 methanol: DI H2O. We initially conducted percent recovery studies to ensure standards were being prepared accurately. A 2 ppm unspiked sample of Urushiol (15:2) was used to design the experiment and calculate standard recoveries. Spikes of 5 ppm (STD-1), 10 ppm (STD-2), 20 ppm (STD-3), and 150 ppm (STD-4) were added to each 2 ppm unspiked sample. The calibration study is shown in Table 2. Triplicate measurements were recorded for each peak area indicated in Table 2; thus, the data shown is the average of the three measurements. The percent recovery for each spiked sample was calculated using eq. 1.

\[
\% \text{ Urushiol (15:2) recovery} = \left( \frac{\text{Peak area}_{\text{spiked sample}} - \text{Peak area}_{\text{unspiked sample}}}{\text{Peak area}_{\text{added}}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

The data shows an excellent recovery of within ±2% was obtained for each prepared concentration spiked on a 2 ppm Urushiol (15:2) sample, indicating that samples were prepared well and the instrument was functioning correctly.

Table 3 summarizes the calibration response data for Urushiol (15:2) investigated at 280 nm. The calibration plot enables us to determine slope, intercept, correlation coefficient (R²) values, the limit of detection (LOD), and the limit of quantitation (LOQ). We determine the LOD and LOQ by injecting replicate runs of the blank used in this investigation for preparing all samples has the following ratio: 90:10 methanol: DI H2O. We initially conducted percent recovery studies to ensure standards were being prepared accurately. A 2 ppm unspiked sample of Urushiol (15:2) was used to design the experiment and calculate standard recoveries. Spikes of 5 ppm (STD-1), 10 ppm (STD-2), 20 ppm (STD-3), and 150 ppm (STD-4) were added to each 2 ppm unspiked sample. The validation study is shown in Table 2. Triplicate measurements were recorded for each peak area indicated in Table 2; thus, the data shown is the average of the three measurements. The percent recovery for each spiked sample was calculated using eq. 1.
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Table 1: Summary of significant CMS mass spectral characteristics of components found in Urushiol (15:2) and poison ivy [Urushiol (15:0) and Urushiol (15:2)]. The studied scan range was 0-600 m/z.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urushiol Pure Standard [15:2] (MeOH)</th>
<th>(+) m/z identified on Advion</th>
<th>-m/z identified on Advion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poison Ivy (MeOH), m/z identified for Urushiol 15:0</th>
<th>(+) m/z identified on Advion</th>
<th>-m/z identified on Advion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMS MS</td>
<td>137.5, 165.4, 169.4, 179.1, 183.3, 197.6, 211.2, 221.4, 235.5, 249.4, 263.3, 273.5, 277.5, 291.4, 303.4, 305.5, 314.1, 314.4</td>
<td>113.5, 182.9, 291.0, 303.3, 319.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poison Ivy (MeOH), m/z identified for Urushiol 15:1</th>
<th>(+) m/z identified on Advion</th>
<th>-m/z identified on Advion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poison Ivy (MeOH), m/z identified for Urushiol 15:2</th>
<th>(+) m/z identified on Advion</th>
<th>-m/z identified on Advion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2: Summary of recovery studies for Urushiol (15:2) pure standard investigated using the Agilent 1260 HPLC-DAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Name</th>
<th>Peak Area*(unspiked sample)**</th>
<th>Peak Area (added)</th>
<th>Peak Area (spiked sample)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD-1</td>
<td>16.9968</td>
<td>42.1167</td>
<td>58.2645</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD-2</td>
<td>17.1431</td>
<td>82.4076</td>
<td>98.9049</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD-3</td>
<td>17.3241</td>
<td>254.8777</td>
<td>271.0746</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD-4</td>
<td>16.8142</td>
<td>1682.7880</td>
<td>1700.0839</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Peak areas are in mAU.
**Unspiked sample = 2 ppm.
*% Recovery calculated using eq. 1.
*Not applicable.
Table 3: Summary of method validation parameters for Urushiol (15:2) pure standard investigated using the Agilent 1260 HPLC-DAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>$B_1$/ppm</th>
<th>$B_0$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>LOD/ppb</th>
<th>LOQ/ppb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urushiol</td>
<td>0.110 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.493 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following equation gives the calibration summary for the Urushiol response:

$$\text{Peak area response} = B_0 (\text{mAU}) + B_1 (\text{mAU} \times \text{ppm}^{-1}) \times [\text{concentration}](\text{ppm})$$

where $B_0$ is the intercept or noise, and $B_1$ is the sensitivity or slope.

**Figure 1:** Example RP-HPLC chromatographic extract from poison ivy at 254 nm, 260 nm, and 280 nm wavelengths. The Urushiol (320.51 Da) peak was confirmed with a pure standard. We could see that the acetonitrile contributes a higher absorbance at the lower wavelength of 254 nm during the gradient run. When the system returns to equilibrium, the absorbance of acetonitrile drops back to the baseline. The same effect is seen at 260 nm but to a much lesser extent. At 280 nm, this effect disappears. The Urushiol gives a better response at the high wavelength of 280 nm.
Figure 2: Illustrative fragmentation patterns of Urushiol (15:0 and 15:2)
Figure 3: Example MS spectra of pure Urushiol (15:2) standard in the positive ion mode for (a) full scan, (b) selected scan for m/z 269.5, 273.1, 287.3, 301.2, 317.3, 339.3, and (c) selected scan for m/z 165.0, 179.3, 193.2, 233.3, 247.1, and 255.1.
Figure 4: Example MS spectra of pure Urushiol (15:2) standard in the negative ion mode for (a) full scan showing m/z 315.2, (b) selected scan for m/z 255.1, 269.3, 299.3, and 301.5, and (c) selected scan for m/z 109.3.
Figure 5: Example MS spectra of poison ivy extract in the positive ion mode for (a) full scan, (b) selected scan for m/z 137.5, 151.2, 165.4, 169.4, 179.1, 183.3, 193.2, 197.6, and (c) selected scan for m/z 277.3, 287.2, 291.4, 301.0, 303.4, 305.4, 305.5, 317.5, and 321.4.
Figure 6: Example MS spectra of poison ivy extract in the negative ion mode for (a) full scan, (b) selected scan for m/z 109.2 and 113.5, and (c) selected scan for m/z 291.0, 299.1, and 319.6.