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Abstract

Design and operating parameters of diesel engine were optimized in the present work with respect to performance, combustion and emission parameters. The goal is to reduce brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC), exhaust gas temperature (EGT), ignition delay (ID), emissions (CO, NOx, HC) and to increase peak pressure (PP), brake thermal efficiency (BTHE), heat release (HR) simultaneously with least number of experimental runs. The objective was accomplished through experimental investigations, design of experiments, Taguchi method and Grey Relational Analysis. Four parameters viz. injection timing (IJT), injection pressure (IP), compression ratio (CR) and load were varied at four levels and the (nine) responses were recorded. Taguchi approach was applied to individual response and observed that optimal factor settings for various responses are different. Grey relational approach (by assigning weighting factor for each response) was applied to solve multi objective optimization problem. The optimal combination of factors was obtained as injection timing 280 bTDC, injection pressure 180 bar, compression ratio 19 and load 80% full load and load was observed to be most influential factor among the four with a contribution of 70.37%. The model developed was validated by confirmation test and found good agreement between predicted and experimental values of responses.

Index terms — diesel engine, performance parameters, combustion parameters, emission parameters, taguchi approach, signal to noise ratio, grey relational approach.

1 Optimization of Diesel Engine Parameters for Performance, Combustion and Emission Parameters using Taguchi and Grey Relational Analysis

Abstract—Design and operating parameters of diesel engine were optimized in the present work with respect to performance, combustion and emission parameters. The goal is to reduce brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC), exhaust gas temperature (EGT), ignition delay (ID), emissions (CO, NOx, HC) and to increase peak pressure (PP), brake thermal efficiency (BTHE), heat release (HR) simultaneously with least number of experimental runs. The objective was accomplished through experimental investigations, design of experiments, Taguchi method and Grey Relational Analysis. Four parameters viz. injection timing (IJT), injection pressure (IP), compression ratio (CR) and load were varied at four levels and the (nine) responses were recorded. Taguchi approach was applied to individual response and observed that optimal factor settings for various responses are different. Grey relational approach (by assigning weighting factor for each response) was applied to solve multi objective optimization problem. The optimal combination of factors was obtained as injection timing 280 bTDC, injection pressure 180 bar, compression ratio 19 and load 80% full load and load was observed to be most influential factor among the four with a contribution of 70.37%. The model developed was validated by confirmation test and found good agreement between predicted and experimental values of responses.

here is a huge demand for diesel engines in industrial, agricultural and automotive sector. The advantages of diesel engines over gasoline engines are fuel economy, high thermal efficiency, low CO2 emissions, ruggedness, flexibility to operate at higher compression ratio and so on. However, faster depletion of fossil fuels and environment pollution demand the engine designers to take control over fuel economy and emissions. Much
research has been carried out to tackle these problems. Various investigators attempted to optimize engine design
and/or operating parameters with respect to performance, combustion and emission parameters to control fuel
economy or emissions. Diverse numerical and statistical techniques are available for optimization; however, some
offer single objective optimization and some other multi objective. The benefit of multi objective or multivariate
optimization over single objective optimization is that influence of factors on multiple responses can be assessed
and studied.

2 a) Design of experiments

Most processes depend on some controllable factors. Similarly, performance, combustion process and emissions of
a diesel engine, depends on design parameters like injection timing, injection pressure, compression ratio, engine
size, type of combustion chamber and operating parameters such as load, intake temperature and pressure of air,
speed, air-fuel ratio etc. To realize the effect of control factors on responses like; performance, combustion and
emission parameters, a series of experiments are to be run. Experiments are to be well designed for generating
more significant information within fewer runs to evaluate the important effects, rather than employing unplanned
experiments. Design of Experiments (DOE) offer systematic investigation of the control factors that influence the
responses. Common methods in DOE are hit and miss, one factor at a time, full factorial, fractional factorial etc.
Fractional factorial method has advantage of less number of experiments without loss of much information and
adopted in the present work. The four phases involved in DOE are planning, screening or process characterization,
optimization and verification. Planning includes defining the problem and objective, followed by development
of experimental plan which provides all significant information. Screening or process characterization comprises
of selection of factors which are really important, or the vital few. Various methods are available for screening
but most widely used is fractional factorial method. In fractional factorial method, only a selected subset or
fraction of runs in the full factorial design is performed. Optimization is the phase where best or optimal values
of control factors are determined by various techniques available. Verification is the phase in which optimal factor
values after prediction are tested for confirmation of results. In planning phase, factors, levels and responses are
chosen and orthogonal arrays are formed, which were originally developed by Sir R.A. Fisher and later added by
Taguchi. Experiments are conducted based on orthogonal arrays and analysed based on Signal to Noise Ratio
(SNR). Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) measures the variation of response relative to nominal or target value. Two
types of SNR used in the present work; smaller the better and larger the better.

Numerous studies have been carried out to study the effect of IJT, IP, CR and load of the engine on
performance, combustion and emission parameters [1][2][3][4][5]. For better performance, the engine should
be operated at a set of optimal design and operating parameters. Optimization with the help of orthogonal
arrays was proposed by Taguchi [6] in which optimum set of factors is determined for each response with the help
of (Signal to Noise Ratio) SNR. Taguchi method has been applied successfully for numerous problems in various
fields of science and technology. Diesel engine parameters are not an exception for it. A brief review of research
carried is following.

T. Ganapathy et al. [7] used Taguchi method to optimize ten operating and design variables of diesel engine
for maximum brake thermal efficiency, peak pressure, temperature, IMEP, BMEP and reported improvement in
above said parameters at optimal condition obtained by Taguchi approach. Horng-Wen Wu et al. [8] reported
that Taguchi method is good to find optimal operating parameters for high brake thermal efficiency and low
BSFC, NO x and smoke. Kaliamoorthy S et al. [9] employed Taguchi method to optimize power, static injection
timing, fuel fraction and compression ratio for best values of brake power, fuel economy and emissions and
reported that confirmation tests showed good agreement with predicted values of parameters. Karthikeyan R
et al [10] from their work concluded that Taguchi method of optimization efficiently predicted optimum level of
parameters and found satisfactory results at optimum setting. The inference from the work of Vincent H. Wilson
et al. [11] confirmed that Taguchi method is efficient in predicting range of optimum settings of valve opening
pressure, piston to head clearance volume, static injection timing, area of the spray nozzle hole and load for best
values of NO x emissions and brake specific fuel consumption.

Even though Taguchi method proved as one of the best methods for optimization, its major limitation is
inability to tackle multi objective optimization. This drawback is trounced by application of grey relation analysis
and Taguchi method collectively. Grey relational analysis, proposed by Deng in 1982, which is commonly used
for assessing the degree of correlation between sequences by grey relational grade. In this analysis, responses
are normalized (between zeros to one) which is known as grey relational generation. Grey relational coefficient
is calculated using normalized data of responses. Grey relational coefficients of all the responses is averaged to
gain overall grey relational grade. The calculation of grey relational grade converts multi variant optimization
problem into single response optimization, overall grey relational grade being objective function. By maximizing
the overall grey relational grade the optimal parametric combination is evaluated. Some research work is also
reported regarding use of grey relational analysis in conjunction with Taguchi method.

The results of research done by Ashish Karnwal et al. [12] emphasized that Taguchi method coupled with grey
relational analysis can be used successfully for exploration of multiple-performance variables of diesel engine. In
their work, biodiesel blend, compression ratio, opening pressure of nozzle and injection timing are optimized for
best values of brake thermal efficiency, brake specific energy consumption and exhaust gas temperature of diesel
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Where y i is the response from i th experiment and i=1, 2 ...n. After SNRs are evaluated, main effect plot for SNRs are drawn to find optimal values of the factors. ANOVA is performed to explore and model relationship between responses and factors and relative percentage contribution of factors on response. When the response is to be minimized, ‘smaller the better’ SNR is appropriate and is computed using the Eq. 1 while ‘larger the Better’ SNR is apt for the maximizing response applying the Eq. 2. results were in good agreement with predicted values [16]. Similar results were also reported by some other

4 b) Motivation and Objectives
As per available literature, most of the research work pertaining to diesel engine parameters was concentrated on either of performance or emission parameters or both. However, so far no work was reported on optimization for parameters of combustion like peak pressure, ignition delay, and heat release together with performance and emission parameters. Hence, objective of present work is to spot out optimal values of design and operating parameters of diesel engine, which would maximize brake thermal efficiency, peak pressure, and heat release and to minimize BSFC, exhaust gas temperature, ignition delay and emissions simultaneously.

In the present work Taguchi method and grey relational analysis are used for optimization. Taguchi analysis results show order of factors influencing particular response in the form of ranks. Hence, ANOVA (Analysis of variance) is used to find the percentage contributions of IJT, IP, CR and load on response parameters.

5 II. Materials and Methods
6 a) Experimental setup
Experiments were carried out on a 4-s singlecylinder, water cooled direct injection, variable compression ratio diesel engine. The specifications of the engine are presented in Table 1 and layout of engine in Fig. 1. The engine is attached to an eddy current dynamometer with speed sensing unit incorporated. PCB (USA) make piezo-electric transducer is flush mounted in the cylinder head and used to measure cylinder pressure. An optical encoder is employed to capture the rpm of the crank shaft. Data acquisition system with high speed is used for acquisition and analysis of pressure crank angle data is done by software. To eliminate effect of cycle to cycle variation, pressure crank angle data for 100 consecutive cycles is recorded and averaged. Parameters are calculated using averaged data. Software calculates and displays performance and combustion parameters from the recorded observations. Each experiment was conducted four times and values are averaged to avoid errors. Emissions are measured by a gas analyzer; specifications are presented in Table 2.

7 III. Methodology
The factors considered in the present work are injection timing, injection pressure, compression ratio and load. Each factor is varied at 4-levels as presented in Table 3. The Taguchi method employs orthogonal arrays from theory of DOE to learn the effect of huge number of controllable factors on responses inside a small experimental matrix. Use of orthogonal arrays notably reduce the number of experiments in view of the fact that it provides the shortest possible matrix in which all factors are varied over working range. Furthermore, the conclusions from this shortest number of experiments are valid over entire range. L16 orthogonal array is prepared from Taguchi’s design with four factors and four levels as presented in Table 4. Interaction among the factors was neglected because all are independent. Motivation for selection of response variables is, to make the present work significant to the existing studies of focussing all pervasive performance, combustion and emission parameters that confront the contemporary diesel engine design. Various performance parameters considered in the present work are brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC), brake thermal efficiency (BTHE) and exhaust gas temperature (EGT). Low values of BSFC and EGT are preferable whereas high value of BTHE is preferable. BSFC and BTHE were calculated and EGT was recorded from display.
Combustion parameters studied in the present work are ignition delay (ID), peak pressure (PP) and Heat release (HR). The time interval between start of injection (CAD at which fuel injection starts) and start of combustion (CAD at which combustion starts) in diesel engines is called ignition delay period [19]. It may be expressed in terms of CAD (crank angle degrees) or milliseconds. From p-fl data, CAD is noted, where positive values of heat release (start of combustion) is observed and ignition delay was calculated as difference between CAD of start of combustion and start of injection.

Peak pressure is the maximum cylinder pressure attained during combustion process very near to and after TDC and is taken from p-fl data. Heat release is the amount of heat released during combustion process. According to Heywood [19], combustion continues well into the expansion stroke up to 31°. HR is taken as the sum total of HR per CAD from start of combustion to significant positive values of HR per CAD.

NO_x, CO and HC are the emissions considered in this work for analysis and are measured using exhaust gas analyser (details are presented in Table ??2).

8 a) Taguchi Method
Taguchi approach employs the parameter SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) for optimization. Largest value SNR is preferred as it indicates minimized effects of noise factors. SNRs are calculated by formulae mentioned in section 1.2 based on criteria smaller the better or larger the better. Larger the better criteria is used for brake thermal efficiency, peak pressure, and heat release whereas smaller the better criteria is used for BSFC, exhaust gas temperature, ignition delay, CO, NO X and HC. In the present work Minitab software is used for Taguchi design, SNR calculations, main effects plots and performing ANOVA. After computation of SNRs, main effect plots for SN Ratios are plotted by taking data means. The SNRs for different responses were calculated at each factor level. The average effects were calculated by taking sum total of each factor level and then dividing by number of data points.

In view of the fact that Taguchi approach results in different optimal conditions for various responses, overall optimal condition cannot be figured out. Hence in the present work grey relational analysis is also carried out for multi objective optimization.

9 b) Grey Relational Analysis
The degree of approximation among the sequences is measured using a parameter called grey relational grade in grey relational analysis. In grey relational analysis, the responses are normalized between zero and 1. This process is known as grey relational generation. Normalized data for lower the better criteria can be calculated by Eq.3 and for higher the better by Eq. 4.

\[ \gamma_{ij} = \frac{\max_k y_{ij}(k) - \min_k y_i(k)}{\max_k y_{ij}(k) - \min_k y_i(k)} \]

\[ \gamma_{ij} = \frac{\max_k y_{ij}(k) - \min_k y_i(k)}{\max_k y_{ij}(k) - \min_k y_i(k)} \]

For comparison (normalized value of response) and \( i=1,2,\ldots,m \) and \( k=1,2,\ldots,n \); \( m \) is total number of experiments and \( n \) is total number of responses. \( \min y_i(k) \) and \( \max y_i(k) \) are lowest and highest values of \( y_i(k) \) respectively. Next, deviational sequences \( \delta_{ij} \) for responses are calculated from Eq.5.

\[ \delta_{ij} = \max_k (|x_{ij}(k) - y_{ij}(k)|) \]

\[ \delta_{ij} = \max_k (|x_{ij}(k) - y_{ij}(k)|) \]

Grey relational coefficient \( \varphi_{ij} \) for each response is calculated to represent the correlation between the desired responses and actual experimental data using Eq. 6. \( \gamma_{ij} \) from all responses were calculated and averaged in each factor level. The average effects were calculated by taking sum total of each factor level and then dividing by number of data points.
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Where \( y_i(k) \) is the original sequence (response from experiments), \( x_i(k) \) is the sequence where \( x_0(k) \) was an ideal sequence.

12 ( ) ( )
\( \delta_{ij} = \max_k (|x_{ij}(k) - y_{ij}(k)|) \)

13 ( ) ( )
Subsequent to calculation of grey relational coefficients grey relational grade \( \varphi \) is calculated for each response by assigning appropriate weighting factor \( \omega_i \). Weighting factor is assigned to a particular response, based on
their relative significance, and the sum of weighting factors must be equal to unity [22]. In the present work weighting factor 0.2 is assigned for brake thermal efficiency and 0.1 for all other responses.

14 \( ( ) \)

\[
n k i k k ? ? ? = = \quad (7)
\]

This study uses L 16 orthogonal array of Taguchi method mentioned in Table 4 to find out best Injection timing, injection pressure, compression ratio and load setting for diesel engine. At four levels of each factor, the responses viz. BSFC, brake thermal efficiency, peak pressure, and heat release, exhaust gas temperature, ignition delay, CO, NOx and HC are determined.

15 IV. Taguchi Results Analysis and Confirmation Experiments

SNR curves are graphical representations of variation in responses with variation in factor levels. From these curves two observations are noted. First one is most influential parameters and second is their optimum levels. After taking average of SNRs at four levels of particular factor, plots are drawn for means of SNRs Vs factor level. Fig. 2 (a) to 2(i) show such plots for all 9 response variables. Level with highest value of mean SNR is considered as optimal value.

16 A

For \( k \) th response variable, where \( ? \) is grey relational grade, \( ? \) \( (k) \) is distinguishing coefficient, \( ? \) \( i \) is weighting factor. Closeness of particular response with optimal value is given by higher value of grey relational grade.

A grey relational grade is a weighted sum of the grey relational coefficients, and is calculated using Eq. 7. Experiments were conducted at optimal set of conditions as mentioned in Table 4 and corresponding responses were recorded. The values of responses at optimal settings from Taguchi analysis are compared with that of baseline engine and presented in Table 6. It is observed from Table 6 that the parameters BSFC, EGT, ID, CO, NOx and HC shown significant decrease for optimized engine compared to baseline engine and is represented in Fig. 3(a), whereas the parameters BTHE, PP and HR shown significant increase for optimized engine compared to baseline engine and is represented in Fig. 3(b).

17 Fig. 2 (c) : Main effects plot for SNR of EGT

18 a) Grey Relational Analysis Results

Taguchi approach, even though resulted in optimal values of responses, factors are optimized one at a time (single objective optimization) and for various responses different factor settings were obtained. To overcome this problem with Taguchi approach, grey relational analysis with Taguchi approach was carried out for multi objective optimization.

Initially responses were normalized based on higher the better or smaller the better criteria and deviation sequences were calculated. Grey relational coefficients are calculated using Eq. 6 and grey relational grades for responses were calculated using Eq. 7 by assigning appropriate weights and are presented in Table ???. The grey relational grade signifies the correlation between the reference sequence and comparability sequence, higher value of grey relational coefficient indicates stronger correlation. From Table 9, it is concluded that optimal factor setting is 4 th level of IJT i.e. 28 o bTDC, 1 st level of IP (180 bar), 4 th level of both CR and % full load i.e. 19 and 80% full load respectively.

To validate the model developed for optimize factor settings for maximum value of GRG prediction was carried at IJT 24 o bTDC, IP 200 bar, CR 16.5 and at 40% full load. GRG for prediction was 0.556263 where as confirmation test by experimentation at the above factor settings was 0.551443. Further, from Table 9. it is reported that most influencing factor is % full load whereas least one is injection timing. However, relative importance of factors on responses quantitatively must be known for accurate determination of optimal factor setting, which can be accomplished by ANOVA.

19 b) Analysis of Variance

The objective of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is to explore most influential parameter (factor) that effect response, quantitatively. ANOVA is carried out using MINITAB software and results are presented in Table 10.
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Figure 5:

1
Engine Type Kirloskar
Number of cylinders Single(01)
Combustion Direct injection
Bore 80 mm
Stroke 110 mm
Compression Ratio Variable (15-20)
Rated Speed 1500 rpm
Power 5 hp
Type of cooling Water cooling
Fuel injector opening pressure 200 bar
Fuel injection timing 22 o before TDC
Type of loading Electrical loading

Figure 6: Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhaust gas</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Measuring Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0-15.0% vol</td>
<td>0.01% vol</td>
<td>+ 0.06% vol</td>
<td>NDIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>0-30000 ppm (Propane)</td>
<td>1 ppm vol</td>
<td>+ 12ppm</td>
<td>NDIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15000 ppm (Hexane)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO X</td>
<td>0-5000 ppm</td>
<td>1 ppm vol</td>
<td>+ 50% vol</td>
<td>Electrochemical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injection Timing ( 0 bTDC)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Pressure (bar)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Full Load</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Table 3:
### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Injection Timing (bTDC)</th>
<th>Injection Pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Compression Ratio</th>
<th>% Full Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Table 4

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controlled Factors</th>
<th>BSFC (kg/kW-hr)</th>
<th>BTHE (%)</th>
<th>EGT (°C)</th>
<th>PP (bar)</th>
<th>ID (CAD) (J)</th>
<th>HR (%)</th>
<th>CO (vol)</th>
<th>NOx (ppm)</th>
<th>HC (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IJT (degrees BTDC)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP (bar)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Full Load</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: Table 5

Figure 11: Table 5
### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Response Variable</th>
<th>Taguchi Prediction value</th>
<th>Confirmation Test value</th>
<th>% Difference between prediction and confirmation test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BSFC (kg/kW-hr)</td>
<td>0.455688</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-8.49714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BTHE (%)</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>5.802469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EGT (°C)</td>
<td>229.75</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.7617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PP (bar)</td>
<td>45.5812</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>-2.66036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ID (CAD)</td>
<td>23.43</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>-5.06726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HR (J)</td>
<td>606.519</td>
<td>623.6</td>
<td>2.739096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CO (% by vol)</td>
<td>0.05948</td>
<td>0.0622</td>
<td>4.37299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NO (ppm)</td>
<td>653.875</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>-9.71057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HC (ppm)</td>
<td>58.875</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-7.04545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>BSFC (kg/kW-hr)</th>
<th>BTHE (%)</th>
<th>EGT (°C)</th>
<th>PP (bar)</th>
<th>ID (CAD)</th>
<th>HR (J)</th>
<th>CO (% by vol)</th>
<th>NOx (ppm)</th>
<th>HC (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3349</td>
<td>0.3335</td>
<td>0.5812</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.3862</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6266</td>
<td>0.4428</td>
<td>0.4583</td>
<td>0.4667</td>
<td>0.3918</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.6316</td>
<td>0.6986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9098</td>
<td>0.7494</td>
<td>0.3952</td>
<td>0.5965</td>
<td>0.6889</td>
<td>0.4950</td>
<td>0.6005</td>
<td>0.4142</td>
<td>0.4857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8868</td>
<td>0.7146</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.05948</td>
<td>0.0622</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9701</td>
<td>0.5022</td>
<td>0.5636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.4555</td>
<td>0.5254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>0.4617</td>
<td>0.6387</td>
<td>0.6078</td>
<td>0.5224</td>
<td>0.7994</td>
<td>0.4029</td>
<td>0.4016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5402</td>
<td>0.4008</td>
<td>0.3965</td>
<td>0.4675</td>
<td>0.6889</td>
<td>0.5748</td>
<td>0.8957</td>
<td>0.3389</td>
<td>0.3778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9591</td>
<td>0.8628</td>
<td>0.6552</td>
<td>0.7708</td>
<td>0.5254</td>
<td>0.5367</td>
<td>0.3868</td>
<td>0.4307</td>
<td>0.4359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9098</td>
<td>0.7559</td>
<td>0.5812</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4627</td>
<td>0.5237</td>
<td>0.3969</td>
<td>0.3549</td>
<td>0.3669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7622</td>
<td>0.5481</td>
<td>0.5576</td>
<td>0.3773</td>
<td>0.4062</td>
<td>0.4904</td>
<td>0.6336</td>
<td>0.5825</td>
<td>0.4766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4879</td>
<td>0.3803</td>
<td>0.4634</td>
<td>0.4148</td>
<td>0.4247</td>
<td>0.5377</td>
<td>0.6322</td>
<td>0.5119</td>
<td>0.4359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6812</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8956</td>
<td>0.4247</td>
<td>0.5237</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4983</td>
<td>0.3841</td>
<td>0.7792</td>
<td>0.7377</td>
<td>0.4133</td>
<td>0.5187</td>
<td>0.6927</td>
<td>0.5342</td>
<td>0.4286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.8381</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.8147</td>
<td>0.4627</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.398354</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7231</td>
<td>0.5095</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5651</td>
<td>0.4493</td>
<td>0.75654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Table 7 Table 7 : Table 8 :
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVELS</th>
<th>JIT</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>CR %</th>
<th>FULL LOAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.563433</td>
<td>0.607479</td>
<td>0.549412</td>
<td>0.496066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.57363</td>
<td>0.581263</td>
<td>0.575372</td>
<td>0.55373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.573982</td>
<td>0.572939</td>
<td>0.579213</td>
<td>0.613363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.592598</td>
<td>0.541962</td>
<td>0.599646</td>
<td>0.640484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>0.029165</td>
<td>0.065517</td>
<td>0.050235</td>
<td>0.144418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 3 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15: Table 9:
The average of grey relational grade for each level of factor is calculated and tabulated in Table ???. From ANOVA results it is reported that load is the most influential parameter (70.37%) where as injection pressure, compression ratio and injection timing influence in the order is 12.37%, 7.22% and 2.51%.

V. Conclusions

In this paper, optimal engine design and operating parameters viz. injection timing, injection pressure, compression ratio and % full load were determined for (nine) multiple response parameters (brake thermal efficiency, brake specific fuel consumption, exhaust gas temperature, peak pressure, ignition delay, heat release, CO, NOx and HC ) by using Taguchi and grey relational analysis. 16 experiments were conducted as per L16 orthogonal array.

As Taguchi approach can handle single objective optimization problem optimal factor settings for each of nine parameters was explored separately, however it was observed that for various response parameters optimal factor settings were different. Hence authors attempted multi objective/variant optimization by using grey relational approach coupled with Taguchi approach. The grey relational analysis by assigning weighting factors, converts optimization of multi response problem into optimization of single objective i.e. grey relational grade. By using grey relational analysis coupled with Taguchi approach optimal factor settings reported were 28 0 bTDC injection timing, 180 bar injection pressure, 19 compression ratio and 80% of the full load test and load was observed to be most influential parameter. To validate the model developed for multi objective optimization confirmation test were conducted and compared with prediction and the results were satisfactory. Further ANOVA was carried out to explore relative influence of factors on responses and relative contribution of load was reported as 70.37%

Thus the relationship between the diesel engine design and operating parameters with performance, combustion and emission parameters could be better understood using Taguchi and grey relational method.


